Cov2clusters: genomic clustering of SARS-CoV-2 sequences

Benjamin Sobkowiak[1], Kimia Kamelian[2], James E. A. Zlosnik[3], John Tyson[3], Anders Gonçalves da Silva[4], Linda M. N. Hoang[3,5], Natalie Prystajecky[3,5], Caroline Colijn[1].

1. Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada

2. Public Health Agency of Canada, National Microbiology Laboratory, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

3. BC Centre for Disease Control Public Health Laboratory, BC Centre for Disease Control, Vancouver, Canada

4. Microbiological Diagnostic Unit Public Health Laboratory, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, The University of Melbourne, Australia.

5. Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia

Corresponding author: benjamin_sobkowiak@sfu.ca

Keywords: public health, whole genome sequencing, SARS-CoV-2

Abstract

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic remains a global public health concern. Advances in sequencing technologies has allowed for high numbers of SARS-CoV-2 whole genome sequence (WGS) data and rapid sharing of sequences through global repositories to enable almost real-time genomic analysis of the pathogen. WGS data has been used previously to group genetically similar viral pathogens to reveal evidence of transmission, including methods that identify distinct clusters on a phylogenetic tree. Identifying clusters of linked cases can aid in the regional surveillance and management of the disease. In this study, we present a novel method for producing stable genomic clusters of SARS-CoV-2 cases, cov2clusters, and compare the sensitivity and stability of our approach to previous methods used for phylogenetic clustering using real-world SARS-CoV-2 sequence data obtained from British Columbia, Canada,

Results: We found that cov2clusters produced more stable clusters than previously used phylogenetic clustering methods when adding sequence data through time, mimicking an increase in sequence data through the pandemic. Our method also showed high sensitivity when compared to epidemiologically informed clusters.

Conclusions: Our new approach allows for the identification of stable clusters of SARS-CoV-2 from WGS data. Producing high-resolution SARS-CoV-2 clusters from sequence data alone can a challenge and, where possible, both genomic and epidemiological data should be used in combination.

Background

The COVID-19 pandemic has had worldwide economic, social and health impacts

unlike any infectious disease in recent history. First identified as an unknown cause of

pneumonia in patients from Wuhan, China in late 2019, the aetiological agent was

quickly determined to be a novel *Betacoronavirus*, subsequently named severe acute

respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1–3]. Extensive global person-to-

person transmission followed and on March 11, 2020 [4], the World Health Organization

(WHO) declared COVID-19 a pandemic, with cases since reported in almost every

country in the world. As of 10th March 2022, there have been over 450 million cases and

6 million deaths associated with the disease worldwide [5].

The development of effective vaccines and regional containment strategies have

allowed countries to mitigate the spread of SARS-CoV-2 and thereby reduce

transmission, hospitalization, and death rates from COVID-19. Nevertheless, the threat

posed by the disease is still a worldwide concern due to the emergence of Variants of

Concern (VoCs) such as the Delta and Omicron variants that display increased

transmissibility with lower vaccine effectiveness [6,7], delayed global vaccination

deployment, vaccine hesitancy, and unequal access to vaccines and therapeutics.

We have seen an unparalleled effort in whole genome sequencing (WGS) of COVID-19

to identify new variants and mutations of concern. To date, there are over 9 million

sequences publicly available through the open-source GISAID initiative [8]. Utilising these

data to develop novel and easy-to-implement tools to detect growing or emerging

transmission clusters can help control the spread of the virus locally. We can use

genomic similarity to identify linked cases with shared demography or geography at a

higher resolution than a shared lineage assignment or simply via contact tracing.

Inspecting clusters can reveal sources of common exposures or patterns of

transmission through a population, which can be used to understand regional

epidemiology and inform public health policy, such as implementing restrictions in

certain settings with a high transmission risk. Practically, we have also seen that the

SARS-CoV-2 lineage nomenclature, such as the widely used Pangolin system [9] has

been dynamic through the pandemic and cannot provide sufficient resolution for

epidemiological investigations. Thus, clustering sequences by genomic similarity

provides the resolution and stability necessary for public health applications over the

course of a dynamic pandemic.

Phylogenetic trees are an effective tool for summarizing evolutionary relationships

among taxa, and tree reconstruction methods can be used to achieve realistic

measures of genetic divergence. The information contained within a phylogeny can be

used to define groups of closely related sequences that may indicate recent

transmission between cases, either through identifying distinct clades on a tree or by

using the pairwise patristic distance as a measure of divergence between tips.

Phylogenetic clustering methods have been applied in many virological analyses [10–12],

as well as early in the COVID-19 pandemic to define putative transmission clusters in

SARS-CoV-2 [13–15]. However, clustering based solely on genetic variation may not be

sufficient to effectively identify meaningful clusters in SARS-CoV-2 where there has

been rapid spread of the virus with relatively low genetic diversity [16–18], as well as

periods of lineage replacement with new VoCs also reducing regional genetic diversity

in the virus [19]. Additionally, comprehensive sampling of ongoing transmission within a

population can result in multiple clusters that are linked genetically through ancestral

samples. Defining clusters using a fixed genetic distance threshold may cause

sequences to change cluster designation through time as more sequences are

collected.

Here, we present a novel method for constructing SARS-CoV-2 genomic clusters, using

the pairwise probability of clustering under a logit regression model, and linking cases

under a given probability threshold. The logit model incorporates genetic relatedness

through phylogenetic distance and collection or symptom onset date; this method also

allows for the inclusion of other covariates of interest that may result in meaningful

clusters (e.g., contact data, exposure events). In contrast to previous clustering

approaches that often rely solely on phylogenetic inference (tree cluster reference),

clustering isolates in this pairwise manner allows for greater cluster stability through

time, as well as resolution by including epidemiological information without the need for

time-consuming manual investigation. Previous clustering designation of sequences can

also be specified *a priori* to further improve cluster stability. This also allows clustering

to be performed on subsampled datasets where previously clustered sequences have

been removed for ease of analysis. We provide this method as an R package,

github.com/bensobkowiak/cov2clusters, for use within the research and public health

community to investigate SARS-CoV-2 transmission dynamics.

Results

Sample description

Whole genome sequence data was obtained for 36,420 SARS-CoV-2 samples collected

between 15th March 2020 and 13th August 2021 in BC, Canada. These data encompass

sequences collected during the first, second and third 'waves' of the pandemic in the

province, predominantly comprising the SARS-CoV-2 sub-lineages B.1.2 and B.1.438.1

(wave 2) initially before replacement with B.1.1.7 (Alpha) and P.1 (Gamma) (wave 3),

which led to a rapid increase in cases (**Figure 1A)**. The data also includes the

beginning of a rise in cases in June 2021 that would eventually lead to the fourth 'wave'

in BC, largely driven by the Delta SARS-CoV-2 variant sub-lineages B.1.617.2 and

AY.25 (more recently denoted as AY.25.1), with the number of cases caused by this

variant increasing in early May 2021 before becoming the principal variants in BC by

August 2021 (**Figure 1B**). Levels of genetic diversity within the SARS-CoV-2 samples

collected fluctuated over the study period, with very low diversity in the population

observed during the periods of high B.1.1.7 (Alpha) and P.1 (Gamma) case numbers,

and with the introduction of the Delta variants (**Supplementary figure S1).**

Cluster results in BC SARS-CoV-2 data

Genomic clustering with cov2clusters (using the pairwise probability thresholds of 0.7

and 0.8) and TreeCluster 'single_linkage' found fewer, larger clusters than both

cov2clusters at the 0.9 threshold and TreeCluster 'max_clade'. This occurs both in the

pre-Delta dominance and Delta wave data. cov2clusters at the 0.9 probability threshold

found many small clusters and a high number of sequences assigned as non-clustered,

indicating this threshold may over-cluster the data. **Figure 2** shows the phylogenetic

trees produced by the pre-Delta dominance and Delta waves and the resulting cluster

assignments, with the largest five clusters found by each approach shown in colours

(cluster size range N = 194 - 4638 pre-Delta dominance, and cluster size range N = 181

– 4323 Delta wave), all sequences clustered in smaller clusters in grey, and non-

clustered sequences in white. The largest clusters found using cov2clusters at the 0.7

and 0.8 probability thresholds were of similar size (N = 4452 – 4638 pre-Delta

dominance, and N = 4250 - 4323 Delta wave) though the number of clusters at the 0.7

probability threshold was lower (567 vs 756 clusters pre-Delta dominance, and 453 vs

591 clusters Delta wave), with most sequences of the same sub-lineage assigned to a

single, large cluster.

Sensitivity of clustering methods with epidemiologically informed clusters

The sensitivity of the logit clustering tool, cov2clusters, for assigning sequences into

seven epidemiologically well-defined clusters was tested using two pairwise probability

thresholds (0.8, 0.9). These results were also compared to the sensitivity of TreeCluster

using both the maximum clade distance threshold approach and the maximum pairwise

linkage threshold approach (**Table 1**). We found that cov2clusters with a pairwise

probability threshold of 0.8 assigned the highest number of sequences to

epidemiologically informed clusters (92%), performing marginally better than

TreeCluster 'single_linkage' method (87%) and significantly better than TreeCluster

'max_clade' (66%) and cov2clusters at the 0.9 probability threshold (71%). We also

tested cov2clusters with a probability threshold of 0.7, though at this threshold very

large lineage-specific clusters were formed, which did not add any meaningful resolution

to transmission clusters compared to simply using lineage classification.

Cluster stability through time

The stability of the genomic clusters through time was assessed by running each

method on the Delta wave data collected up to 11th June 2021, and then re-running the

clustering, adding sequences collected each subsequent week until the end of the study

period. Stability measures tested were 1) the proportion of sequences that moved from

a cluster in the preceding week to non-clustered in the current week, 2) the number of

clusters defined in the previous week that split in the current week (i.e., any instance

where sequences that were in a single cluster in the previous week have moved to

different clusters in the current week), and 3) the overall entropy score of the clusters

found in the current week (with the lowest score of 0 occurring when all sequences are

in a single cluster).

We found that the TreeCluster 'max_clade' method resulted in the highest proportion of

sequences moving from clusters to become non-clustered in subsequent weeks

(highest on 23rd July 2021 with 1.14% of sequences). TreeCluster 'single_linkage'

resulted in lower numbers of sequences moving from clustered to non-clustered (**Figure**

3). All cov2clusters methods did not result in any sequences moving from clustered to

non-clustered. The number of cluster splits was also highest with TreeCluster

'max_clade', with 54 clusters splitting in the week ending 13th August 2021, followed by

TreeCluster 'single_linkage' with 9 clusters splitting in the week ending 23rd July 2021.

Cluster entropy was observed at its lowest in cov2clusters at the 0.7 threshold through

every week of the tested period, followed by cov2clusters at the 0.8 threshold.

cov2clusters at a 0.9 threshold and TreeCluster 'max_clade' scored the highest entropy,

reflecting the more even distribution of the data into smaller clusters, though as shown,

this can be at the cost of over-clustering.

Discussion

In this study, we have presented a new method for genomic clustering of SARS-CoV-2

using pairwise probabilities of shared cluster membership derived from a logit

regression model based on sequence divergence and sample collection dates. This

method can readily incorporate epidemiological data, such as geography, contact or

shared exposure, to add further resolution to clustering. We tested our approach using

three pairwise probability thresholds for linking sequences to form clusters and found

that at probability threshold of 0.8 formed the most stable clusters in our clinical data

from samples collected in BC, Canada. Comparing our method to other phylogenetic

clustering tools, we found the sensitivity of cov2clusters at a 0.8 probability threshold

was higher than both TreeCluster 'max_clade' and 'single_linkage', and that the clusters

produced were more stable as cases are added through time, owing to our approach

incorporating past designations in time into the clustering pipeline. This result has

particular significance for the utility of this method in real-time public health surveillance,

where sequencing datasets grow over time, and stability in cluster designations is

beneficial for reporting and surveillance. We have implemented our approach as a freely

available R package.

We used patristic distance from phylogenetic trees as the measure for genetic

divergence in our method to utilize the full information available in the sequence

alignment, compared to genetic distance measures that, while correlated with patristic

distance, may underestimate pairwise divergence [20]. Phylogenetic uncertainty in SARS-

CoV-2 trees, where many terminal branches are supported by low numbers of

mutations, has been explored previously [21]. It was shown that variation in tree topology,

which in turn will alter pairwise distances between tips, was driven by the sample set of

sequences used to construct the tree that changes through time. While this will impact

the stability of any method that uses patristic distance to inform clustering, we have

shown that our approach reduces this instability in genomic clustering.

Large clusters of genetically similar sequences were common in our dataset. Indeed,

given the high number of COVID-19 infections and relatively low genetic diversity of

SARS-CoV-2 in the province, it is expected that in settings with even moderate levels of

sequencing, we are likely to capture sequences separated by few mutations. Therefore,

large clusters will occur, with many identical or near-identical sequences and with

'chaining' of closely related sequences. In other words, with dense sampling of ongoing

person-to-person transmission, and over a short timeframe, there may be a lack of well-

separated clusters in datasets for any clustering method to uncover. This contrasts with

some other viruses, such as HIV, that will produce structured phylogenies from which

discrete clusters can be identified [20]. This in part due to HIV's chronic nature (leaving

longer time intervals between infections with a higher potential for intra-host genetic

diversity and viral populations), as well as the fact that, in HIV, relatively small clusters

are seeded by introductions from other jurisdictions. Here, when a large fraction of

infections is sequenced, the time between infections is short, and considerable

transmission is occurring within the sampling jurisdiction. Therefore, using only genomic

divergence derived from a given phylogeny is unlikely to identify well-separated SARS-

CoV-2 transmission clusters. Additional epidemiological data can be used to refine large

clusters found using our genomic clustering approach. For example, including

information such as common exposures and contact tracing data may divide large

clusters into operational units with public health relevance. One limitation of our study is

that we do not have exposure, contact or location information to explore this application.

Sequences belonging to a P.1 sublineage (P.1.14) form a single, large cluster

(illustrated as the red cluster in the delta wave dataset in **Figure 2**), coinciding with a

high number of low-diversity P.1 cases present in BC from April 2021 onwards [22], where

almost all P.1 samples were within 0-1 SNPs of another P.1 sequence. This

phenomenon is also expected with the recent Omicron variant, where rapid spread has

led to high numbers of low diversity cases [23]. Increasing the probability threshold to 0.9

(or conducting phylogenetic clustering with a smaller maximum clade divergence

threshold) breaks up the cluster into smaller groups of identical or near-identical

sequences, but this does not reflect genuine underlying clustering (**Supplementary

figure S2**). In such circumstances, we recommend including additional metadata to

refine clusters into genetically related groups with shared demography and

epidemiology. Alternatively, our approach could be used as a surveillance tool focusing

on a particular individuals or settings of interest, identifying sequences that are linked to

the focal individuals or exposure sites, moving outwards to a desired number of "rings".

While COVID-19 remains at pandemic levels with high case numbers in many regions

globally, it is anticipated that there will be a shift to endemicity characterized by

persistent, lower levels of the disease interspersed with seasonal or occasional

outbreaks [24]. In that context, it is likely that the viral population will have smaller and

better-separated clusters. We suggest that the method presented here for clustering

can be effectively utilized in both contexts.

Conclusions

Identifying meaningful, high-resolution clusters from SARS-CoV-2 genomic sequence

data alone can be a challenge due to relatively low genetic diversity and high rates of

localised transmission. Nevertheless, WGS data can be a useful tool when combined

with epidemiological and demographic data to better characterise groups of individuals

with shared transmission histories. Here we present a simple method for producing

highly stable genomic clusters of SARS-CoV-2 that incorporates genomic and

epidemiological data to link cases for use in public health surveillance.

Methods

Sequence data and phylogenetic analysis

Positive SARS-CoV-2 samples collected in British Columbia (BC), Canada, between

18th March 2020 and 13th August 2021 underwent whole-genome sequencing at the

BCCDC Public Health Laboratory. Sequencing sampling strategy changed over the

course of the pandemic and increases with sequencing capacity at the lab. Sampling

strategies included random sampling (ranging from 5-100% of cases at different

periods) and targeted sampling (outbreaks and targeted populations such as travellers)

[25]. Sequence data used in this study have been deposited in the GISAID database [8].

Nucleic acids were extracted using the MagMAX instrument from Thermofisher

(AM1836) and amplified using the Freed primer scheme (1200 base pair amplicons)

detailed here [26]. Consensus sequences were generated using the Connor Laboratory

pipeline (https://github.com/connor-lab/ncov2019-artic-nf) with consensus bases called

at a frequency of 0.75 with a subsampling read count strategy. Consensus sequences

were aligned and trimmed to Wuhan-Hu-1 reference sequence (Accession MN908947,

Version MN908947.3) using MAFFT (v7.471) [27] prior to phylogenetic tree production. A

specific fork of the ARTIC pipeline for processing SARS-CoV-2 sequences was created

to support the SARS-CoV-2 sequencing efforts at the BCCDC Public Health Laboratory,

located here: https://github.com/BCCDC-PHL/ncov2019-artic-nf. Sequences with no

collection date or excess or ambiguous sites (> 15% missing calls) were removed from

the analysis.

Phylogenetic analyses

A multiple sequence alignment of the full SARS-CoV-2 genome was used to construct

maximum-likelihood (M-L) phylogenetic trees with IQ-TREE (v.2.1.3) [28]. One sequence

per individual was included for analysis, with the earliest sequence chosen where

longitudinal samples were taken from the same disease episode. Optimal nucleotide

substitution models for the data were chosen using ModelFinder in IQ-TREE [29] and

applied to each tree construction pipeline. For comparison to the proposed clustering

approach, phylogenetic clustering was performed using TreeCluster (v.1.0.3) [30] using

two thresholds, 1) a maximum divergence threshold within clusters of 4×10^{-4}

substitutions/genome (used previously for generating SARS-CoV-2 phylogenetic

clusters [13]), and 2) a maximum pairwise divergence threshold (among pairs in a cluster)

of 5×10^{-5} substitutions/genome, equivalent to a SNP distance of between 1-2 SNPs.

Genomic clustering methodology

Genomic clusters were defined as networks of connected sequences (nodes) where the

pairwise probability of clustering was above a given threshold. The probability of

clustering between two sequences was calculated using the logit model:

$$P = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

$$z = \beta_0 + \beta_d \chi_d + \beta_t \chi_t \dots \beta_n \chi_n$$

Coefficients (β) can be either manually chosen or estimated using the logistic regression

on data with known clusters. d is the pairwise genetic divergence, calculated between

all pairs of isolates by extracting patristic distances (the sum of branch lengths

connecting two tips) on the phylogenetic tree of up to 40,000 sequences (the upper

computational limit), in units of substitutions/genome. t is a measure of difference in

time between sequences, either the date of collection or symptom onset, and can be

extracted from the associated metadata or inferred from a timed phylogeny. Additional

covariates (n), such as contact data between hosts or shared exposure events, can be

included to further resolve clusters. Pairwise transmission probabilities calculated in

previous clustering runs can be included in new analysis to allow for greater continuity

in cluster designations, as well as permitting subsequent clustering runs to be run on

subsampled datasets to increase speed and efficiency when clustering large numbers

of sequences. The full R code is available in the supplementary materials and available

at github.com/bensobkowiak/cov2clusters.

We compared the results of our genomic clustering method at three pairwise probability

thresholds of 0.7, 0.8 and 0.9 to link sequences to the clusters obtained using

TreeCluster 'max_clade' (where the maximum pairwise patristic distance threshold

between any two sequences in a cluster was 4×10^4 substitutions per sequence site)

and 'single_linkage' (where any two sequences up to a maximum patristic distance

threshold of 5×10^5 substitutions per sequence site must be in the same cluster). The

data were separated into two large datasets, defined as pre-Delta dominance wave (N =

19,617), which included all sequences collected before 6th May 2021, and Delta wave

(N = 17,297), which included all sequences after this date, as well as 500 randomly

selected sequences collected before this date as a representative skeleton tree of past

diversity. Beta coefficients fo r the genomic clustering algorithm of β_0 = 3, β_1 = -1.9736 x

10^{-4}, and β_2 = 7.5 x 10^{-2} were manually chosen, which corresponds to a pairwise

probability of 0.95 between sequences with the same genomic sequence and collected

date, with a decrease in pairwise probability as the patristic distance and/or collection

date difference increases. **Supplementary figure S3** provides the results of the

pairwise probabilities using logistic regression with these beta coefficients with variable

patristic distance (converted to SNP distance by multiplying by the genome length) and

difference in collection dates between sequences.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the University of British Columbia Ethics Board (#H20-

02285).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Whole genome sequence data included in this study are deposited in the GISAID

repository https://www.gisaid.org. The cov2clusters code is available at:

https://github.com/bensobkowiak/cov2clusters.

Competing interests

The authors declare they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by funding from Michael Smith Foundation for Health

Research and he Federal Government of Canada's Canada 150 Research Chair

program. This work was supported by the Canadian Covid genomics network

(CanCoGen).

Authors' contributions

BS, CC, and NP conceived the project. BS and CC developed the methodology, with

support from KK, JEAZ, AGdS and NP. Data were provided by KK, JT, LMNH and NP.

BS performed the analysis. BS and CC wrote the first version of the manuscript, and

KK, JEAZ, LMNH, and NP provided revisions for the final manuscript. All authors have

read and approve of the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the work of the Public Health Laboratory at the British

Columbia Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC), for providing the SARS-CoV-2 isolates

used in this study

References

1.   Lu, R. *et al.* Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel

coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. *Lancet* **395**, 565–

574 (2020).

2.   Zhu, N. *et al.* A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019.

N. Engl. J. Med. **382**, 727–733 (2020).

3.   Zhou, P. *et al.* A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of

probable bat origin. *Nature* **579**, 270–273 (2020).

4.   World Health Organization. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the

media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020. (2020). Available at:

https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-

opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020.

5.   Dong, E., Du, H. & Gardner, L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track

COVID-19 in real time. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **20**, 533–534 (2020).

6.   Bruxvoort, K. J. *et al.* Effectiveness of mRNA-1273 against delta, mu, and other

emerging variants of SARS-CoV-2: test negative case-control study. *Bmj* e068848

(2021). doi:10.1136/bmj-2021-068848

7.   Collie, S., Champion, J., Moultrie, H., Bekker, L.-G. & Gray, G. Effectiveness of

BNT162b2 Vaccine against Omicron Variant in South Africa. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **325**,

2728–2731 (2021).

8.   Shu, Y. & McCauley, J. GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data –

from vision to reality. *Eurosurveillance* **22**, 2–4 (2017).

9.   O'Toole, Á. *et al.* Assignment of epidemiological lineages in an emerging

pandemic using the pangolin tool. *Virus Evol.* **7**, 1–9 (2021).

10.   Müller, N. F. *et al.* Characterising the epidemic spread of influenza A/H3N2 within

a city through phylogenetics. *PLoS Pathog.* **16**, 1–18 (2020).

11.   Ragonnet-Cronin, M. *et al.* Automated analysis of phylogenetic clusters. *BMC*

Bioinformatics **14**, (2013).

12.   Sivay, M. V. *et al.* Characterization of HIV-1 Epidemic in Kyrgyzstan. *Front.*

Microbiol. **12**, 1–10 (2021).

13.   Seemann, T. *et al.* Tracking the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia using genomics.

Nat. Commun. **11**, 1–9 (2020).

14.   Kant, R. *et al.* Incidence Trends for SARS-CoV-2 Alpha and Beta Variants,

Finland, Spring 2021. *Emerg. Infect. Dis. J.* **27**, (2021).

15.   Banu, S. *et al.* A Distinct Phylogenetic Cluster of Indian Severe Acute Respiratory

Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Isolates. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* **7**, 1–8 (2020).

16.   Rausch, J. W., Capoferri, A. A., Katusiime, M. G., Patro, S. C. & Kearney, M. F.

Low genetic diversitymay be an Achilles heel of SARS-CoV-2. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

Sci. U. S. A. **117**, 24614–24616 (2020).

17.   Flores-Alanis, A. *et al.* Molecular epidemiology surveillance of SARS-CoV-2:

Mutations and genetic diversity one year after emerging. *Pathogens* **10**, 1–14

(2021).

18.   du Plessis, L. *et al.* Establishment and lineage dynamics of the SARS-CoV-2

epidemic in the UK. *Science (80-.).* **371**, 708–712 (2021).

19.   Elliott, P. *et al.* Exponential growth, high prevalence of SARS-CoV-2, and vaccine

effectiveness associated with the Delta variant. *Science (80-.).* **9551**, (2021).

20.   Poon, A. F. Y. Impacts and shortcomings of genetic clustering methods for

infectious disease outbreaks. *Virus Evol.* **2**, 1–9 (2016).

21.   Turakhia, Y. *et al.* Stability of SARS-CoV-2 Phylogenies. *bioRxiv*

386   2020.06.08.141127 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.06.08.141127

387   22.   Cecco, L. Canada ski resort linked to largest outbreak of P1 Covid variant outside

388   Brazil. *The Guardian* (2021). Available at:

389   https://www.theguardian.com/world/2021/apr/11/canada-ski-resort-p1-covid-

390   variant-whistler.

391   23.   Viana, R. *et al.* Rapid epidemic expansion of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in

392   southern Africa. *Nature* (2022). doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04411-y

393   24.   Telenti, A. *et al.* After the pandemic: perspectives on the future trajectory of

394   COVID-19. *Nature* **596**, 495–504 (2021).

395   25.   British Columbia Centre for Disease Control. Weekly update on Variants of

396   Concern (VOC). **6**, 1–7 (2022).

397   26.   Freed, N. E., Vlková, M., Faisal, M. B. & Silander, O. K. Rapid and inexpensive

398   whole-genome sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 using 1200 bp tiled amplicons and

399   Oxford Nanopore Rapid Barcoding. *Biol. Methods Protoc.* **5**, 1–7 (2021).

400   27.   Katoh, K., Asimenos, G. & Toh, H. Multiple Alignment of DNA Sequences with

401   MAFFT. in *Bioinformatics for DNA Sequence Analysis* (ed. Posada, D.) 39–64

402   (Humana Press, 2009). doi:10.1007/978-1-59745-251-9_3

403   28.   Minh, B. Q. *et al.* IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic

404   Inference in the Genomic Era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 1530–1534 (2020).

405   29.   Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., Von Haeseler, A. & Jermiin, L.

406   S. ModelFinder: Fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat.*

407   *Methods* **14**, 587–589 (2017).

408   30.   Balaban, M., Moshiri, N., Mai, U., Jia, X. & Mirarab, S. TreeCluster: Clustering

409   biological sequences using phylogenetic trees. *PLoS One* **14**, 1–20 (2019).

Figure 1. The cumulative number (A) and lineage proportion (B) of SARS-CoV-2 sequences per week included in the study, coloured by lineage. Major lineages present in the data are annotated.

Figure 2. Maximum-likelihood phylogenies and clustering assignments of the (A) pre-Delta dominance wave (N = 19,617) and (B) Delta wave (N = 17,297) sequences. Sequences in the largest five clusters found by each method are coloured, with those in the largest cluster in red, followed by green, blue, yellow and pink. All other clustered sequences are coloured grey, and non-clustered sequences are in white.

	Pre-Delta introduction (N = 19617)					Post-Delta introduction (N = 17297)				
Clustering method	cov2clusters 0.7	cov2clusters 0.8	cov2clusters 0.9	TreeCluster 'max'	TreeCluster 'linkage'	cov2clusters 0.7	cov2clusters 0.8	cov2clusters 0.9	TreeCluster 'max'	TreeCluster 'linkage'
No. clusters	567	756	1794	1060	962	453	591	1449	945	790
Max. cluster size	4638	4452	960	372	3105	4323	4250	1416	770	3619
No. non-clustered	757	1124	3486	2038	1450	671	927	2683	606	1161

Table 1. The sensitivity of cov2clusters at three pairwise probability thresholds (0.8 and 0.9) and TreeCluster ('max_clade' and 'single_linkage' methods) for placing sequences within seven epidemiologically well supported SARS-CoV-2 clusters in British Columbia, Canada.

Epidemiologically informed cluster	cov2clusters 0.8	cov2clusters 0.9	TreeCluster 'max_clade'	TreeCluster 'single_linkage'
Cluster A (B.1.1.7) (N = 5)	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	2/5 (50%)	4/5 (80%)
Cluster B (B.1.618) (N = 3)	3/3 (100%)	1/3 (33%)	3/3 (100%)	3/3 (100%)
Cluster C (P.1) (N = 16)	14/16 (88%)	7/16 (44%)	15/16 (94%)	14/16 (88%)
Cluster D (P.1) (N = 18)	18/18 (100%)	16/18 (89%)	2/18 (11%)	18/18 (100%)
Cluster E (P.1) (N = 14)	14/14 (100%)	14/14 (100%)	14/14 (100%)	14/14 (100%)
Cluster F (B.1.1.7) (N = 21)	17/21 (81%)	14/21 (67%)	16/21 (76%)	14/21 (67%)
Cluster G (P.1) (N = 6)	6/6 (100%)	3/6 (50%)	3/6 (50%)	5/6 (83%)
Overall	**76/83 (92%)**	**59/83 (71%)**	**55/83 (66%)**	**72/83 (87%)**

Figure 3. Cluster stability for each tested method assessed on SARS-CoV-2 sequences collected in British Columbia, Canada before 18th June 2021, adding sequences collected each week until 13th August 2021. (A) The proportion of sequences becoming non-clustered when clustered the week previously, (B) the number of cluster splits, and (C) the clustering entropy score.

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Median and interquartile pairwise SNP distance between sequences collected in the study period by week of 2021.

Figure S2. The pairwise patristic distance between P.1 sequences in clusters identified by each clustering approach.

Figure S3. The pairwise probability of linking two sequences by SNP distance and difference in collection date using the selected beta coefficients used in this study (= 3, = -1.9736 x 10^{-4}, and = 7.5 x 10^{-2}). Patristic distance has been converted to SNP distance by multiplying SNP distance by the genome length for easier interpretation of pairwise sequence distance.