

## Empirical Frequency Bound Derivation Reveals Prominent Mid-Frontal Alpha Associated with Neurosensory Dysfunction in Fragile X Syndrome

**Authors:** Ernest V Pedapati<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, John A. Sweeney<sup>3</sup>, Lauren M. Schmitt<sup>4,8</sup>, Lauren E. Ethridge<sup>5,6</sup>, Makoto Miyakoshi<sup>10</sup>, Rui Liu<sup>1</sup>, Elizabeth Smith<sup>7,8</sup>, Rebecca C. Shaffer<sup>4,8</sup>, Steve W. Wu<sup>2,8</sup>, Donald L. Gilbert<sup>2,8</sup>, Paul S. Horn<sup>2</sup>, Aaron Buckley, and Craig A. Erickson<sup>1,3</sup>

### Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup>Division of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH, United States.

<sup>2</sup>Division of Neurology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH, United States.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH, United States

<sup>4</sup>Division of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH, United States

<sup>5</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Section on Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK

<sup>6</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK

<sup>7</sup>Division of Behavioral Medicine and Clinical Psychology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH, United States

<sup>8</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH, United States

<sup>9</sup>Division of Biomedical Sciences, School of Medicine, University of California, Riverside.

<sup>10</sup>Swartz Center for Neural Computation, Institute for Neural Computation, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, CA, United States

\* Corresponding author: Ernest Pedapati, [ernest.pedapati@cchmc.org](mailto:ernest.pedapati@cchmc.org)

Division of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Division of Neurology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, 3333 Burnet Ave, MLC 4002, Cincinnati, OH 45229

**Keywords:** Fragile X Syndrome, Translational medicine, Thalamocortical, Electroencephalography, Gamma Oscillations, Neurodevelopmental Disorders

**Author contributions:** Conceptualization: E.V.P., J.A.S, C.A.E, and M.M, Data collection: M.A., R.C.S., C.A.E, E.V.P., K.C.D, and C.A.E., Writing (original draft): E.V.P., J.A.S., L.M.S., Writing (review and editing): E.S., L.M.S., M.M., M.L, D.L.G., S.W., R.C.S, and A.B. Data curation: M.A., R.L., and E.V.P, Analysis and Statistics: R.L., P.S.H, and E.V.P., and Supervision: J.A.S., C.A.E. **Competing interests:** The authors have indicated no conflicts interest with the present data. **Data and materials availability:** EEG data is available to the public as federally mandated at the National Database for Autism Research (NDAR). Analysis code is in preparation for upload into public repositories and is available on request.

Acknowledgments: We thank the participants and families who participated in this study. The present study was federally funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Fragile X Centers (U54HD082008 and U54HD104461).

## Abstract

The FMR1 gene is inactive in Fragile X syndrome (FXS), resulting in low levels of FMRP and consequent neurochemical, synaptic and local circuit neurophysiological alterations in the *fmr1* KO mouse. In FXS patients, electrophysiological studies have demonstrated a marked reduction in global alpha activity and regional increases in gamma oscillations that have been associated with intellectual disability and sensory hypersensitivity. Since alpha activity is associated with thalamocortical function that has widely distributed modulatory effects on neocortical excitability, insight into alpha physiology may provide insight into systems-level disease mechanisms. Herein, we took a data driven approach to clarify the temporal and spatial properties of alpha and theta activity in participants with FXS. High-resolution resting-state EEG data was collected from participants affected by FXS (n=65) and matched controls (n=70). We used a multivariate technique to empirically classify neural oscillatory bands based on their coherent spatiotemporal patterns. Participants with FXS demonstrated: 1) a redistribution of lower-frequency boundaries indicating a “slower” dominant alpha rhythm, 2) an anteriorization of alpha frequency activity, and 3) a correlation of increased individualized alpha power measurements with auditory neurosensory dysfunction. These findings suggest an important role for alterations in thalamocortical physiology for the well-established neocortical hyperexcitability in FXS, and thus a role for neural systems level disruption to cortical hyperexcitability that has been studied primarily at the local circuit level in mouse model research.

## Introduction

The FMR1 gene is inactive in Fragile X syndrome (FXS) which results in reduced Fragile X Mental Retardation Protein (FMRP) production and varying levels of intellectual disability, autistic characteristics, and sensory hypersensitivity (Baker et al., 2019; Hagerman et al., 2017). Despite a considerable understanding of molecular and microcircuit alterations in the *Fmr1*<sup>-/-</sup> KO (Dahlhaus, 2018), systems-level changes in human brain and their relationship neurosensory function and behavior remain poorly understood in FXS. EEG studies have become increasingly used in the FXS field, both in patients and mouse models, to provide insight into central disorder mechanisms at the level of brain systems (Ewen et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2018). We and others have identified reproducible, group-level abnormalities in human resting-state EEG and auditory evoked potentials in FXS which are associated with intellectual disability, neuropsychiatric symptoms, and sensory hypersensitivity (Ethridge et al., 2019; Ethridge et al., 2016; J. Wang et al., 2017). These changes include 1) a global reduction in alpha power (8-12 Hz) and increase in theta power (3.5-7.5 Hz) and 2) an increase in background gamma power (>30 Hz) (Ethridge et al., 2019; Ethridge et al., 2016; Musumeci et al., 1988; Van der Molen & Van der Molen, 2013; J. Wang et al., 2017; Wilkinson & Nelson, 2021).

In humans, alpha activity including a dominant peak frequency of approximately 10 to 13 Hz (upper alpha) has specific functional relevance across several major neurophysiological systems in typically developing individuals (Bollimunta et al., 2011; Fries, 2015; Garcia-Rill et al., 2016; Jensen & Mazaheri, 2010; Zhang et al., 2018). As a radio receiver prefers specific electromagnetic frequencies, neurons and oscillatory networks also demonstrate frequency preferences (Tseng et al., 2014; Tseng et al., 2006). In several neuropsychiatric disorders, including epilepsy, schizophrenia, neuropathic pain, and tinnitus, a lowering of the dominant peak frequency from the alpha to theta range has been observed (Vanneste et al., 2018). Furthermore, in these conditions, a lower frequency power in the theta range has been more strongly associated with elevations in gamma power. This constellation of findings, a lowering of the dominant frequency toward the theta range with parallel increases in resting gamma activity has been referred to as thalamocortical dysrhythmia (TCD, Lakatos et al., 2020; Llinas et al., 2005). In animal models of TCD, hyperpolarization of thalamic relay neurons has been observed to lead to alterations in firing patterns of low-threshold calcium spikes which are reflected in local field potentials as elevated theta rhythmicity (Jeanmonod et al., 1993; Jeanmonod et al., 2003; Llinás et al., 1999). A dominant theta rhythm may be reflective of a diminished inhibitory capacity at a systems-level resulting in excess asynchronous gamma activity and the so-called gamma "edge effect" (Golovin & Broadie, 2017; Llinás et al., 1999; Paluszkiwicz et al., 2011; R et al., 2005).

In FXS, we have hypothesized that a dominant theta peak frequency may be insufficient to drive neural ensembles with an alpha preference in thalamocortical circuitry and the canonical role of alpha in establishing inhibition-timing windows for optimal sensory and neurocognitive processing (Bonfond & Jensen, 2015; Jensen et al., 2014; Jensen & Mazaheri, 2010; Zhang et al., 2018). At present, it remains an open question in FXS whether alterations in low-frequency activity represent two distinct pathologies (e.g., elevated theta and reduced alpha activity) or a single process (i.e., reducing alpha frequencies into what is typically considered the

theta frequency band). To study this question, we used a data-driven approach to classify resting-state neural activity to compare FXS and matched control participants. We employed a “bottom-up” approach of classifying empirical bands of EEG activity distinguished by their coherent spatial and temporal features (Cohen, 2021). We reasoned that if alpha activity was “slowed” in FXS the total number of boundaries of empirical bands would remain the same as controls, but the spatiotemporal patterns within these bands would be altered. Conversely, if the EEG patterns in FXS represent either a consolidation of bands (i.e., a single theta band) or additional bands (i.e., novel spatiotemporally distinct alpha bands), then there would be a difference in the number of detected empirical bands than controls.

We tested these hypotheses in a large cohort of individuals with FXS and sex and age matched controls using high-resolution resting-state EEG. Following an empirical reconstruction of spatiotemporal boundaries (**see Figure 1**) that we found that changes in the alpha band in FXS were more consistent with a pervasive shift (mediated by genotype), rather than a reduction or increase of patterns of brain activity. The findings shed light into the nature of alpha and theta oscillations in FXS including clinical relevance and implicates a larger role for thalamocortical dysfunction.

## Results

### Participants

The final dataset of resting-state EEG data contained 65 participants with FXS (37 males) and 70 healthy controls (41 males) with demographic and clinical characteristics in **Table 1**. Cases and controls did not differ in age or sex ratio. Participants with FXS had reduced full scale intelligence quotient (IQ), verbal IQ (VIQ), non-verbal IQ (NVIQ) and increased impairment in social communication and symptoms of anxiety. NVIQ is a general measure of intellectual capacity which is useful when verbal ability varies among individuals as in FXS. EEG preprocessing was blinded to group assignment, and no group differences in epoch number, number of interpolated channels, or ICA-derived artifact components were found (**see Supplemental Table 1**).

### Exemplar of empirical bound detection in a single subject

For each subject, a series of channel covariance matrices were generated from the artifact-free EEG data to succinctly model spatiotemporal relationships for a generalized eigenvalue decomposition (GED). The detection of a series of empirical bands for a single subject is shown in **Figure 2**. The heat plot depicts the strength of spatiotemporal correlation ( $r^2$ ) between a series of narrow-band frequency channel covariance matrices ( $S_{\text{MATRIX}}$ ) and broadband channel covariance matrix ( $R_{\text{MATRIX}}$ ). The block-diagonal appearance of the shaded boxes represents the degree of  $r^2$  of spatiotemporal coherent EEG activity; adjacent boxes demonstrate the distinct spatiotemporal dynamics of distinct activity bands. The magenta line surrounding each shaded box are statistically significant clusters detected by a *dbscan* cluster algorithm. At the bottom of the heatmap, a blue line plot depicts the normalized magnitude of separation (the top eigenvalue per frequency step) between the  $S_{\text{MATRIX}}$  and  $R_{\text{MATRIX}}$ . Adjacent to each cluster is a topographical plot of principal component analysis (PCA) averaged eigenvectors from each  $S_{\text{MATRIX}}$  and  $R_{\text{MATRIX}}$  pair which represents a dipole-like projection of the empirical band of spatiotemporal coherent neural activity. Subject-level derivation plots for all 135 subjects are available in the supplemental materials.

### Group-level summary of empirical bound detection results

Number of detected bands: We first tested if the number of identified empirical EEG bands varied between the groups. The number of detected empirical bands of coherent spatiotemporal activity between 2 and 80 Hz did not differ (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) between FXS (M: 12.5 $\pm$ 3.4; F: 12.0 $\pm$ 3.6) and control (M:12.5 $\pm$ 3.8; F: 10.8 $\pm$ 3.1; **see Table 2**). No difference in number of detected bands below 30 Hz between FXS (M: 9.27 $\pm$ 2.9; F: 8.8 $\pm$ 2.5) and controls (M: 9.5 $\pm$ 3; F: 8.5 $\pm$ 2.8) was present.

Density of detected band boundaries: Next, we estimated the continuous density of the upper and lower frequency boundaries of the detected empirical bands within each group using a kernel density estimator (KDE). A higher KDE value indicated that many participants in the group shared a boundary at a specific frequency. We predicted that the density of frequency boundaries was shifted in FXS and that this difference varies based on sex and by frequency. We ran a linear mixed effect model (LME) in R (version 4.1) using the *nlme* package. We included KDE as the dependent variable and added fixed effects of group, sex, boundary

frequency, as well as interaction effects. Subject was included as a random effect. A three-way interaction effect was significant (Lower:  $F_{77,10087}=1.72$ ,  $p=.005$ ; Upper:  $F_{77,10087}=1.3$ ,  $p=.047$ ; **see Figure 3**). Post-hoc comparisons identified significant sex-matched group differences primarily within the canonical theta and alpha frequency ranges (**see Supplemental Table 2**). Both males and females with FXS displayed a greater density of boundaries within the theta band (3.5-6 Hz) but differences, as expected, were more pronounced in the male subgroup. In FXS, the peak density for both lower and upper bounds occurred in the lower theta band then remains relatively uniform until an increase in density in the high alpha band (11-12 Hz). As empirical bands in each group shared the same quantity of bands, but displayed shifted frequency boundaries, we next examined individual variation and group differences in spatiotemporal properties.

Spatiotemporal uniformity within detected boundaries: The squared correlation coefficient maps (**see Figure 2**) which define each detected empirical band represent the uniformity of spatiotemporal activity within a cluster. Overall, no strong correlation between the strength of the spatiotemporal correlation coefficient and the central frequency of each cluster was found (**see Supplemental Figure 1**). A weak inverse correlation was found in control females such that higher frequency bands had reduced correlation relative to lower frequency bands ( $r=-.15$ ,  $p<.01$ ).

Spatiotemporal changes in detected empirical alpha band: We defined each individual's empirical alpha band as the detected cluster with the lower frequency bound closest too, but not exceeding 10 Hz (Cohen, 2021). **Figure 4** shows the lower and upper boundaries of individual empirical alpha band by group. The mean detected alpha band ranged in FXS from 7.6 to 13.0 Hz and in the control group from 7.8 to 12.9 Hz. Values in this range correspond approximately to the canonical alpha band. However, in contrast to canonical frequency bands, the empirical alpha band detected by GED involves a temporal (frequency boundaries) and spatial (topography) component.

Frequency boundaries of empirical alpha band: We used an LME to examine if detected alpha boundaries varied based on group, sex, or boundary type (upper or lower). The results found a significant main effect of boundary type ( $F_{1,131}=275$ ;  $p<.001$ ), but no effect of group or sex (**see Supplemental Table 3**).

Extracting empirical alpha band topography: PCA-averaged eigenvector ( $w$ ) of the detected alpha band represents a spatial filter ( $n$ -channels in length) that maximally separates alpha range  $S_{\text{MATRIX}}$  and the broadband  $R_{\text{MATRIX}}$ . When  $w$  is applied to the alpha range  $S_{\text{MATRIX}}$  ( $w^T S_{\text{MATRIX}}$ ), we can plot the resulting component vector (with length equal to the number of channels) as a scalp topography. Though some of these components may be non-physiological (i.e., noise), certain frequencies will display topographical projections which resemble cortical dipoles of source projections (Haufe et al., 2014). We found at the subject level, the empirical alpha band consistently resulted in anatomically interpretable topography (**see Supplemental Figure 2**).

Assessing distribution of alpha band topography: As each empirical alpha band represents a distinct spatiotemporal configuration, different dipolar projections may represent different anatomical origins (Delorme et al., 2012; Zuure et al., 2020). Specifically, the reduced canonical alpha power and increased theta and gamma power reported in FXS resembles the EEG motif of thalamocortical dysrhythmia seen in certain other neuropsychiatric conditions (De Ridder et al., 2015; Llinas et al., 1999; Vanneste et al., 2018). A defining feature of TCD syndromes is a “slowed” alpha rhythm which has an anterior topography. We predicted that in FXS, the empirical alpha band would also display an anterior topography. We calculated spatial variance ( $r^2$ ) to correlate the topography of the empirical alpha band for each subject with either an ideal anterior or posterior template (see **Figure 5**) (Zuure et al., 2020). Variance, rather than correlation, is used in this scenario as the eigenvectors produced by GED have uncertainty in sign.

We conducted an LME to examine effects of group, sex, and template type (anterior or posterior) on  $r^2$ . A two-way interaction effect of group and template type was present ( $F_{1,131}=4.9$ ,  $p=.028$ ; see **Supplemental Tables 4-6**). First, between group post-hoc contrasts found that the empirical alpha band in FXS was more closely matched to the anterior template compared to controls (Anterior Template: FXS  $r^2$ -Control  $r^2$ ,  $M=.10$ , 95%CI [.02,.18],  $F=2.36$ ,  $p=.02$ , see **Figure 6**). Furthermore, within group contrasts found a significant preference for posterior template of the empirical alpha component in controls (Anterior  $r^2$ -Posterior  $r^2$ :  $M=-.18$ , 95%CI [-.27, -.10],  $F=-4.19$ ,  $p<.001$ ), but not FXS participants (Anterior  $r^2$ -Posterior  $r^2$ :  $M=-.05$ , 95%CI [-.14, -.04],  $F=-1.12$ ,  $p=.26$ ; see **Figure 7**).

Empirical Alpha Band Power is Correlated with Auditory Attention in FXS: Following identification of altered topography of alpha component participants affected by FXS, age-adjusted clinical correlations were explored. The male-only subset was considered *a priori* as they exemplified a subset with minimal to no FRMP expression. We performed Pearson’s correlations of intelligence subscales, measures of neuropsychiatric symptoms, and an auditory attention task commonly used in FXS research (see Methods for details) with derived features from their individualized alpha component. In males with FXS, following 5% FDR correction and controlling for age, auditory attention was positively correlated with absolute alpha power ( $r_{\text{partial}}=.57$ ,  $p<.001$ ,  $n=32$ , 5% FDR  $p < .05$ , see **Figure 8** for simple correlation plot). No clinical correlations emerged associated with topography. Full table of uncorrected correlations is presented in **Supplemental Table 7 and 8**.

## Discussion

Alpha oscillations are among the most prominent and physiologically relevant EEG patterns in the human brain. Marked alterations in alpha oscillations have been reported in FXS, including a leftward shift (lowering) of peak alpha frequency (PAF) and decreased global alpha power (Smith et al., 2021; Van der Molen & Van der Molen, 2013; J. Wang et al., 2017). It remains unclear, however, whether alpha activity differs between FXS and controls in terms of the frequency range it covers or its spatial configuration. Using a data-driven approach, we used spatiotemporal to classify patterns of neural activity from resting-state EEG into empirically-defined frequency bands. We found participants with FXS displayed: 1) similar total quantity of empirical activity bands as controls, 2) a “slower” dominant rhythm with a redistribution of lower-frequency boundaries, 3) an anterior topography of the empirical alpha band, and 4) significant clinical correlation of empirical alpha band activity with neurosensory dysfunction. This study clarifies key aspects of the nature of alpha oscillations in FXS and raises novel hypotheses related to the role of thalamocortical function in causing the heightened neural excitability in FXS, which is largely unknown.

### Incorporating spatial dimension to frequency band classifications

The quantity of detected empirical activity bands did not differ between FXS and control groups. Thus, both groups had similar total divisions of neural activity, though with key differences in the upper and lower frequency limits and the spatial topography of these empirically-defined bands. Compared to six to eight canonical frequency bands commonly reported, the quantity of detected empirical frequency bands for each group was approximately twelve. There is a likely reason for this increase: empirical activity bands model different spatial configurations within a frequency range. For example, multiple empirical bands with distinct topographies may fall within the range of a single canonical frequent band. This can be clearly seen in the subject-level tracings (see **Figure S2**). In both groups, fewer spatiotemporal coherent bands were identified at greater than 30 Hz, in which narrow-band activity may not sufficiently separate from background signal. In terms of alpha band activity, the mean lower (7.5 Hz) and upper (13 Hz) boundaries of the empirical alpha band in both FXS and control groups were remarkably consistent with the canonical alpha band. The present findings support the delineation of brain activity by frequency bands, as commonly performed with canonical frequency bands. However, the addition of a spatial dimension to account for variability in EEG activity may enhance the ability to model heterogeneity and provide insight physiology.

Various forms of data-driven analysis of EEG data have been successfully employed to model heterogeneity in neurodevelopmental populations. For example, across participants with neurodevelopmental conditions, a decrease in individual peak alpha frequency (IAPF) has been reported (Dickinson et al., 2018; Dickinson et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2013; Jun Wang et al., 2017).

### Altered distribution of empiric alpha frequency boundaries in FXS

Participants with FXS have a higher density of empirical band frequency boundaries in the canonical theta range, and in males with FXS, a sharp reduction of boundaries in the canonical alpha range. This suggests, especially in males with FXS, a leftward shift or “slowing” of the dominant frequency from alpha to theta frequency range (see **Figure 1C**). Narrow-band activity

of spectral peaks is thought to reflect the compound synchrony of thousands to millions of neuronal currents. Based on the comparable number of empirical bands between the groups, these results point to a redistribution of neural activity towards lower frequencies.

#### Altered configuration of empiric alpha topography in FXS

Spatially, alpha oscillations in FXS had a more anterior projection than in controls. In addition, within the FXS group, the distribution of alpha oscillations in FXS lacked the posterior-to-anterior gradient seen in healthy individuals. This analysis defined empirical alpha bands as the range of coherent spatiotemporal activity around 10 Hz. This approach ensured we captured a frequency typically defined within the canonical alpha band, with the addition of individualized lower and upper boundaries. The isolated empirical alpha components we analyzed represent a source projection, rather than scalp alpha activity. GED when applied to a narrow band and a broadband signal matrix is a form of linear source separation. The topographical plots, though reminiscent of spectral power plots, more accurately represent areas in which GED minimizes commonalities (e.g., volume conduction) and boosts differences between signals. The final topographies will capture noise or non-neural activity but can also effectively capture dipole components of brain activity. In this sense, these findings may indicate alterations in alpha source generators, which can be observed as spatiotemporal changes in the empirical alpha band.

#### Association of empirical alpha activity with sensorineural function

In individuals with FXS, particularly in males, the auditory attention task of the Woodcock-Johnson III was associated with absolute "individualized" alpha power. The auditory attention task does not rely on caregiver reports and measures speech-sound discrimination and resistance to auditory stimuli (McGrew & Woodcock, 2006). We speculate that alpha power may serve to index behaviors in which thalamocortical pathways may take a central role, such as in perceptual or attentional tasks (Bollimunta et al., 2011; Foxe & Snyder, 2011). No other behavioral correlations survived multiple comparisons and may indicate that higher-order cognition and behavioral reports may not be easily modeled by a single EEG measure.

#### Evidence for thalamocortical dysrhythmia in FXS

The slowing and shift of alpha activity from posterior to anterior electrodes have been previously reported with modulation of thalamocortical networks via anesthetic drugs and in pathological states (Vijayan et al., 2013). These features along with increases in gamma power associated with cross-frequency coupling (CFC), have been described as a neurophysiological motif called thalamocortical dysrhythmia (TCD). TCD was first described in magnetoencephalography tracings from neuropsychiatric conditions including depression, epilepsy, neurogenic pain, tinnitus, and Parkinson's disease (Llinas et al., 1999). Thus far, electrophysiological findings drawn from FXS are consistent with TCD dynamics including elevated gamma activity (Ethridge et al., 2016; Van der Molen & Van der Molen, 2013; J. Wang et al., 2017). In essence, evidence from invasive recordings and theoretical models suggest that TCD disorders have alterations in cortical and thalamic synchrony leading to alterations of thalamocortical drive and regulation of alpha oscillations (De Ridder et al., 2015; Martire et al., 2019; Vanneste et al., 2018).

#### Consequences of alpha-band alterations

What significance would spatiotemporal changes in low-frequency activity have on neural function in FXS? Theta and alpha activity transverse the cortex and have been associated with

cognitive, emotional, and physiological functions(Zhang et al., 2018). These "traveling waves" are thought to coordinate activity, including binding cell assemblies, multiplex information, and selectively process data(Jensen & Mazaheri, 2010; Klimesch, 1999; Nunez et al., 2001). For example, in the visual system, the alpha phase can modulate the efficiency of visual information processing and alpha amplitude is associated with the activity of task-relevant and task-irrelevant regions(Busch & VanRullen, 2010). Furthermore, activity within the theta-alpha spectrum is commonly associated with cross-frequency coupling of higher frequency rhythms (i.e., gamma activity) and includes heavy contributions from subcortical sources, especially the thalamus(Canolty & Knight, 2010; Lega et al., 2016; Roux et al., 2013). Thus, one reasonable consequence of major alterations in low frequencies may be reduced efficacy of system level operations which display a frequency preference(Buschman et al., 2012; Canolty & Knight, 2010).

### Limitations

Use of GED necessitates specifying parameters that can change the results(Cohen, 2021). Despite a systematic approach, varying the search step size (epsilon) can alter band detection. In addition, other methodological decisions such as filtering approaches and artifact cleaning may also change the result. To minimize potential selective bias, our preprocessing was blind to the diagnosis group, and we applied the analysis parameters uniformly across groups. Readers can also view each data-driven tracing and access the entire dataset and computer code to reproduce the current results and explore alternative parameters.

### Conclusions

Genetically, individuals with FXS share a common cause, but vary greatly in phenotypic manifestations (including sex and mosaic effects). This difference may be due to contribution varying gene expression, compensatory processes, or stochastic factors (Baker et al., 2019). FMRP-deficient circuits have significantly altered electrophysiological properties(Goswami et al., 2019; Jonak et al., 2020; Lovelace et al., 2018; Lovelace et al., 2020). Here we used a "bottom-up" approach to empirically model spatiotemporal patterns of brain activity in a large sample of participants with FXS(Cohen, 2021). EEG is being used to investigate the links between physiology and behavior and measure target engagement of interventions in NDCs (Ewen et al., 2019; Sahin et al., 2018). Here we provide compelling evidence that even within a monogenetic clinical population, coherent patterns of spatiotemporal activity reside within EEG data and contribute to additional variability to group-level analysis. In addition, empirical methods may have increased sensitivity to within-subject designs, such as clinical trials to assess individual responses. Such findings may broaden therapeutic opportunities, including novel neural targeted "pacemaker" approaches to optimize endogenous rhythms. Such limits impede treatment discovery as drugs leading to recovery of biological and behavioral functions in Fmr1-/-KO mice have not shown efficacy in clinical trials in humans(Erickson et al., 2018; Harkins et al., 2017).

## Methods

### Participants

Resting-state EEG data were collected from participants with FXS (n=65; 37 males, 28 females) and age- and sex-matched typically developing controls (n=70; 41 males, 29 females). Six other individuals recruited were not included in analyses: two for insufficient valid data epochs (2 FXS), three for excessive line-noise artifact (1 FXS and 2 controls), and one due to intolerance of EEG acquisition (1 FXS).

### Participant Consents

Study procedures were approved by the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Institutional Review Board. Participants provided written informed consent (or assent as appropriate) before participation.

### Clinical Diagnosis and Measures

Southern Blot and polymerase chain reaction confirmed diagnosis of FXS. Participants with FXS were excluded if they had a history of unstable seizures (any treated seizure within one year) and scheduled use of benzodiazepines. Controls were free of history or treatment neuropsychiatric illness as reported via clinical interview. Intellectual function was assessed using the Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale 5th Ed. (SBS)(G, 2003). To capture cognitive variability and avoid floor effects, deviation intelligent quotient (IQ) scores were computed (Sansone et al., 2014). Primary caregivers completed assessments for FXS patients, including the Social Communication Questionnaire (SCQ)(Rutter et al., 2003), Anxiety, Depression, and Mood Scale (ADAMS)(Esbensen et al., 2003) and Woodcock-Johnson III Tests of Cognitive Abilities Auditory Attention subscale(McGrew & Woodcock, 2006).

### Dataset Availability

Data is available to the public as federally mandated at the National Database for Autism Research (NDAR).

### EEG Acquisition and Processing

Five-minutes of spontaneous resting-state EEG data was acquired at 1000 Hz sampling rate with a 128-channel geodesic electrode net (HydroCel) using an EGI NetAmp 400 amplifier (Magstim, Eugene, OR). As in previous studies, participants were seated comfortably while watching a silent video (standardized across participants) in order to facilitate cooperation with procedures (J. Wang et al., 2017). Preprocessing (**see Supplemental Methods**), including filtering and artifact correction, was performed using EEGLAB (14.1.2) and custom MATLAB (2018a) scripts (<http://github.com/cincibrainlab/vhnp>). EEG preprocessing was blinded to group assignment, participant identification, and study date. Eye, cardiac, and myogenic artifacts were removed via independent component analysis on each dataset using an extended INFOMAX algorithm (Lee et al., 1999; Mullen et al., 2015). For each subject, one-hundred and twenty seconds of artifact free data (sixty, 2-second epochs) were analyzed.

### Source separation by Generalized Eigenvalue decomposition

To generate empirical frequency components we implemented generalized eigenvalue decomposition (GED) (Cohen, 2021) and a clustering algorithm to create spatiotemporal components from continuous EEG data (**Supplemental Methods**). First, we constructed

channel covariance matrices to capture the linear relationships between pairs of channels and their corresponding amplitude time series. GED was performed between sequential finite-impulse bandpass filtered signal (S) matrices between 2 to 80 Hz in 500 logarithmically spaced steps and the broadband signal (R) matrix for each subject.

GED, in this context, is a method of source separation that maximizes the separation between two channel covariance matrices while ignoring common features. With GED, spatial patterns of underlying sources can be derived by minimizing volume conduction and spatial autocorrelation in contrast to univariate electrode analysis (e.g., spectral power topography). An empirical frequency range can then be determined by clustering spatial-temporal components produced by GED. The aim of this procedure is to identify frequency bands (e.g., alpha band activity) in an individual participant basis using spatial topography and oscillation frequency simultaneously. This approach can be useful for individualizing frequency bands for each participant rather than assuming canonical bands, and so that case control differences in frequency bands can be identified and related to clinical features.

### Subject-level Clustering of Frequency Components

For each subject, the results of sequential GED between S and R matrices generated a frequency correlation matrix that represent coherent spatiotemporal activity over consecutive frequencies (**Figure 1A**). To generate individual frequency band characterization, a non-hierarchical clustering method, density-based spatial clustering (dbscan), was implemented to search and define each cluster. The number of clusters was not predefined. Instead, the value of epsilon, which is the granularity of the resolution in searching for neighborhoods, was optimized for each subject based on a systematic quality function (Cohen, 2021). For each cluster, we identified the precise lower and upper empirical frequency boundary. Next, for each subject, we smoothed the lower and upper boundary vectors applying a kernel density estimator (KDE; 2 Hz full-width half-maximum Gaussian kernel). With this approach, a continuous likelihood estimate of true frequency boundaries was created, allowing for averaging of data across subjects and comparisons between groups.

### Derivation of alpha source components

With a primary focus on alpha band activity, each participant's empirical alpha component was defined as the spatial-temporal cluster whose lower boundary is closest, but does not exceed 10 Hz, and the corresponding upper boundary. Spectral power: Absolute power ( $\mu V^2/Hz$ ) was computed for the derived alpha component time series using Welch's power spectral density (using MATLAB *pwelch*). Relative power was defined as the proportion of absolute alpha power in the total absolute spectral power of the broadband signal. Topography: To capture the degree of similarity of the spatial topography of empirical alpha components, we calculated shared spatial correlation between two "ideal" 128-channel topographic templates by applying a Gaussian centered at electrode FCz ("Anterior preference") and PCz ("Posterior preference") (**see Figure 2B**) (Zuure et al., 2020). As the alpha component has uncertainty in sign, the resulting correlations were squared to obtain shared spatial variance ( $r^2$ ).

### Statistical Testing

Statistical analyses were performed in R (version 4.1). Significance was set at  $p < .05$  for all tests and adjusted for multiple comparisons using a 5% false discovery rate (Benjamini &

Hochberg, 1995). Group comparisons of participant demographics, QC metrics, and component number were compared using one-way analysis of variance ANOVA.

To examine qualitative differences in frequency component boundaries and alpha component topography, multi-level linear mixed effects models (LME) were conducted using the *lme4* package (Bates et al., 2014). In each model, the subject was included as a random effect. Model details are presented adjacent to each result. Post-hoc contrasts of least-square means, with 5% false discovery rate corrected p-values for significance testing, were calculated using the *emmeans* package. Partial correlations were used to examine age-corrected relationships between clinical and neurophysiology features with 5% FDR p-value adjustment.

**Figure 1: Schematic.** A. Utility of data-driven classification of spatiotemporal frequency boundaries in neurodevelopmental disorders in precision medicine applications.

—

**Figure 2: Single subject empirical bounds exemplar.** Heat plot depicts strength of spatiotemporal linear correlation coefficient between narrow frequency filtered and broadband signal channel co-variance matrices (see Methods). Coherent blocks of distinct patterns of neural activity are delineated by a clustering algorithm (magenta line). Each block contains a lower (green arrow) and upper (red arrow) detected boundary. Topographic source projects constructed from PCA-averaged eigenvectors are placed adjacent to heatmap clusters. The magnitude of the separation between narrow and broadband matrices is represented by eigenvalues (blue line).



**Figure 3: Group comparison.** C. Mean kernel density estimates (KDE) of empirically defined frequency boundaries, separated by group and sex. Higher KDE values represent greater density of detected boundaries per frequency step. Lower and upper bounds were modeled and are visualized separately. A three-way interaction effect between Group X Sex X Frequency was present (Lower:  $F_{77, 10087}=1.72$ ,  $p=.005$ ; Upper:  $F_{77, 10087}=1.3$ ,  $p=.047$ ). Asterisks indicate statistical difference between control and FXS groups. Black bars provide contextual comparison with canonical frequency bands. Blue bars indicate line noise notch filter.



**Figure 4: Analysis of empirical alpha component.** A. Subject level paired plot of identified lower and upper alpha band boundaries for FXS and control groups. Each alpha band was identified as the closest, but not exceeding, lower bound to 10 Hz. Asterisks indicate significant within-group difference between lower and upper bound.

..

**Figure 5:** B. Matching templates. EEG templates were created with gaussian map centered on electrode FCz or PCz. Templates were used to determine similarity of neural projection to either anterior or posterior topography.

2

**Figure 6:** Left. Anterior topography preference for alpha component in FXS. Density plot of correlations representing subject-level matching of identified alpha component and mid-frontal topology. Right. Topography of alpha component is significantly altered in FXS participants ( $F_{1,131}=4.9$ ,  $p=.028$ ). Alpha component demonstrates a greater degree of similarity to a mid-frontal distribution.

5/4

**Figure 7:** Posterior topography preference for alpha component in controls. Boxplot depicting similarity of empirical alpha component to either anterior or posterior topography. Scatter plot (with random horizontal offset for clarity) shows subject-level data. Comparing topography within groups revealed a lack of differentiation among participants with FXS, but a posterior topography preference among controls participants.

11

**Figure 8:** Clinical correlation: Higher performance in auditory attention was positive correlated with increased relative alpha power in males with FXS. Alpha power was empirically derived with subject level boundaries visualized in Figure 2A. Simple correlation plot presented for visualization only. Adjusted age-corrected results presented in text ( $r_{\text{partial}}=.57$ ,  $p<.001$ ,  $n=32$ , 5% FDR  $p < .05$ ).

**Table 1:** Participant characteristics by group and sex with mean ( $\pm$  standard deviation) group significance testing. FXS, Fragile X Syndrome; y, years; FSIQ, Full Scale IQ; NVIQ, Non-verbal intelligence quotient; VIQ, verbal intelligence scale; Social Score, Social Communication Questionnaire; Anxiety Score, Anxiety, Depression and Mood Scale Anxiety Inventory (ADAMS).

	<b>FXS(F)</b>	<b>FXS(M)</b>	<b>Control(F)</b>	<b>Control(M)</b>	<b>p</b>
	<b>N=28</b>	<b>N=37</b>	<b>N=29</b>	<b>N=41</b>	
Age (y)	19.3 (9.48)	22.2 (9.61)	22.2 (12.2)	22.2 (9.81)	0.609
FSIQ	64.7 (28.8)	34.5 (23.2)	99.9 (6.94)	106 (10.1)	<0.001
VIQ	71.4 (26.9)	46.8 (24.6)	97.3 (9.42)	108 (13.3)	<0.001
NVIQ	58.0 (33.2)	22.1 (28.9)	103 (8.65)	104 (12.2)	<0.001
Social Score	9.12 (6.52)	17.4 (6.39)	2.75 (2.57)	1.73 (1.93)	<0.001
Anxiety Score	5.65 (4.68)	7.69 (5.00)	2.41 (3.16)	2.03 (2.22)	<0.001

**Table 2: Summary of detected frequency boundaries.** Group comparison of data-driven frequency boundaries mean ( $\pm$  standard deviation).

**Table 1:**

	<b>FXS(F)</b>	<b>FXS(M)</b>	<b>Control(F)</b>	<b>Control(M)</b>	<b>p</b>
	<b>N=28</b>	<b>N=37</b>	<b>N=29</b>	<b>N=41</b>	
Below 30 Hz	8.79 (2.47)	9.27 (2.91)	8.48 (2.82)	9.51 (3.00)	0.442
Above 30 Hz	3.25 (1.84)	3.19 (1.66)	2.28 (1.33)	2.95 (1.67)	0.091
2-80 Hz	12.0 (3.61)	12.5 (3.43)	10.8 (3.08)	12.5 (3.76)	0.177

## References

- Baker, E. K., Arpone, M., Vera, S. A., Bretherton, L., Ure, A., Kraan, C. M., Bui, M., Ling, L., Francis, D., Hunter, M. F., Elliott, J., Rogers, C., Field, M. J., Cohen, J., Maria, L. S., Faundes, V., Curotto, B., Morales, P., Trigo, C., . . . Godler, D. E. (2019). Intellectual functioning and behavioural features associated with mosaicism in fragile X syndrome. *J Neurodev Disord*, *11*(1), 41. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s11689-019-9288-7>
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2014). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.5823*.
- Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 289-300.
- Bollimunta, A., Mo, J., Schroeder, C. E., & Ding, M. (2011). Neuronal mechanisms and attentional modulation of corticothalamic alpha oscillations. *J Neurosci*, *31*(13), 4935-4943. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5580-10.2011>
- Bonnefond, M., & Jensen, O. (2015). Gamma Activity Coupled to Alpha Phase as a Mechanism for Top-Down Controlled Gating. In *PloS one* (Vol. 10). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0128667>
- Busch, N. A., & VanRullen, R. (2010). Spontaneous EEG oscillations reveal periodic sampling of visual attention. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *107*(37), 16048-16053. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1004801107>
- Buschman, T. J., Denovellis, E. L., Diogo, C., Bullock, D., & Miller, E. K. (2012). Synchronous oscillatory neural ensembles for rules in the prefrontal cortex. *Neuron*, *76*(4), 838-846.
- Canolty, R. T., & Knight, R. T. (2010). The functional role of cross-frequency coupling. *Trends Cogn Sci*, *14*(11), 506-515. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2010.09.001>
- Cohen, M. X. (2021). A data-driven method to identify frequency boundaries in multichannel electrophysiology data. *J Neurosci Methods*, *347*, 108949. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2020.108949>
- Dahlhaus, R. (2018). Of Men and Mice: Modeling the Fragile X Syndrome [Review]. *Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience*, *11*(41). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2018.00041>
- De Ridder, D., Vanneste, S., Langguth, B., & Llinas, R. (2015). Thalamocortical Dysrhythmia: A Theoretical Update in Tinnitus [Review]. *Front Neurol*, *6*(124), 124. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2015.00124>
- Delorme, A., Palmer, J., Onton, J., Oostenveld, R., & Makeig, S. (2012). Independent EEG sources are dipolar. *PloS one*, *7*(2), e30135. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0030135>
- Dickinson, A., DiStefano, C., Senturk, D., & Jeste, S. S. (2018). Peak alpha frequency is a neural marker of cognitive function across the autism spectrum. *The European journal of neuroscience*, *47*(6), 643-651. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.13645>
- Dickinson, A., Varcin, K. J., Sahin, M., Nelson, C. A., 3rd, & Jeste, S. S. (2019). Early patterns of functional brain development associated with autism spectrum

- disorder in tuberous sclerosis complex. *Autism Res*, 12(12), 1758-1773. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2193>
- Erickson, C. A., Kaufmann, W. E., Budimirovic, D. B., Lachiewicz, A., Haas-Givler, B., Miller, R. M., Weber, J. D., Abbeduto, L., Hessler, D., Hagerman, R. J., & Berry-Kravis, E. (2018). Best Practices in Fragile X Syndrome Treatment Development. *Brain Sci*, 8(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci8120224>
- Esbensen, A. J., Rojahn, J., Aman, M. G., & Ruedrich, S. (2003). Reliability and validity of an assessment instrument for anxiety, depression, and mood among individuals with mental retardation. *J Autism Dev Disord*, 33(6), 617-629. <https://doi.org/10.1023/b:jadd.0000005999.27178.55>
- Ethridge, L. E., De Stefano, L. A., Schmitt, L. M., Woodruff, N. E., Brown, K. L., Tran, M., Wang, J., Pedapati, E. V., Erickson, C. A., & Sweeney, J. A. (2019). Auditory EEG Biomarkers in Fragile X Syndrome: Clinical Relevance. *Front Integr Neurosci*, 13, 60. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnint.2019.00060>
- Ethridge, L. E., White, S. P., Mosconi, M. W., Wang, J., Byerly, M. J., & Sweeney, J. A. (2016). Reduced habituation of auditory evoked potentials indicate cortical hyperexcitability in Fragile X Syndrome. *Transl Psychiatry*, 6, e787. <https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2016.48>
- Ewen, J. B., Sweeney, J. A., & Potter, W. Z. (2019). Conceptual, Regulatory and Strategic Imperatives in the Early Days of EEG-Based Biomarker Validation for Neurodevelopmental Disabilities. *Front Integr Neurosci*, 13, 45. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnint.2019.00045>
- Foxe, J. J., & Snyder, A. C. (2011). The Role of Alpha-Band Brain Oscillations as a Sensory Suppression Mechanism during Selective Attention. *Front Psychol*, 2, 154. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00154>
- Fries, P. (2015). Rhythms for Cognition: Communication through Coherence. *Neuron*, 88(1), 220-235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.09.034>
- G, R. (2003). Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scales. In (Fifth Edition. ed.). Scarborough, Ontario: Nelson Education.
- Garcia-Rill, E., D'Onofrio, S., Luster, B., Mahaffey, S., Urbano, F. J., & Phillips, C. (2016). The 10 Hz Frequency: A Fulcrum For Transitional Brain States. *Transl Brain Rhythm*, 1(1), 7-13. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27547831>
- Golovin, R. M., & Broadie, K. (2017). Neural Circuits: Reduced Inhibition in Fragile X Syndrome. *Current Biology*, 27(8), R298-R300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.03.011>
- Goswami, S., Cavalier, S., Sridhar, V., Huber, K. M., & Gibson, J. R. (2019). Local cortical circuit correlates of altered EEG in the mouse model of Fragile X syndrome. *Neurobiol Dis*, 124, 563-572. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2019.01.002>
- Hagerman, R. J., Berry-Kravis, E., Hazlett, H. C., Bailey, D. B., Moine, H., Kooy, R. F., Tassone, F., Gantois, I., Sonenberg, N., Mandel, J. L., & Hagerman, P. J. (2017). Fragile X syndrome. *Nature reviews. Disease primers*, 3, 17065. Retrieved 2017/09//, from
- Harkins, C. M., Dominick, K. C., Wink, L. K., Pedapati, E. V., Shaffer, R. C., Fitzpatrick, S. E., Davenport, M. H., Sweeney, J. A., & Erickson, C. A. (2017). Challenges in

- Conducting Clinical Trials for Pharmacotherapies in Fragile X Syndrome: Lessons Learned. *Pharmaceutical Medicine*, 1-10.
- Haufe, S., Meinecke, F., Görgen, K., Dähne, S., Haynes, J. D., Blankertz, B., & Bießmann, F. (2014). On the interpretation of weight vectors of linear models in multivariate neuroimaging. *Neuroimage*, 87, 96-110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.10.067>
- Jeanmonod, D., Magnin, M., & Morel, A. (1993). Thalamus and neurogenic pain: physiological, anatomical and clinical data. *Neuroreport*, 4(5), 475-478. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-199305000-00003>
- Jeanmonod, D., Schulman, J., Ramirez, R., Cancro, R., Lanz, M., Morel, A., Magnin, M., Siegemund, M., Kronberg, E., Ribary, U., & Llinas, R. (2003). Neuropsychiatric thalamocortical dysrhythmia: surgical implications. *Neurosurg Clin N Am*, 14(2), 251-265. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1042-3680\(02\)00116-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1042-3680(02)00116-x)
- Jensen, O., Gips, B., Bergmann, T. O., & Bonnefond, M. (2014). Temporal coding organized by coupled alpha and gamma oscillations prioritize visual processing. *Trends Neurosci*, 37(7), 357-369. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2014.04.001>
- Jensen, O., & Mazaheri, A. (2010). Shaping functional architecture by oscillatory alpha activity: gating by inhibition. *Front Hum Neurosci*, 4, 186. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2010.00186>
- Jonak, C. R., Lovelace, J. W., Ethell, I. M., Razak, K. A., & Binder, D. K. (2020). Multielectrode array analysis of EEG biomarkers in a mouse model of Fragile X Syndrome. *Neurobiol Dis*, 138, 104794. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2020.104794>
- Klimesch, W. (1999). EEG alpha and theta oscillations reflect cognitive and memory performance: a review and analysis. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev*, 29(2-3), 169-195. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-0173\(98\)00056-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-0173(98)00056-3)
- Lakatos, P., O'Connell, M. N., Barczak, A., McGinnis, T., Neymotin, S., Schroeder, C. E., Smiley, J. F., & Javitt, D. C. (2020). The Thalamocortical Circuit of Auditory Mismatch Negativity. *Biol Psychiatry*, 87(8), 770-780. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.10.029>
- Lee, T. W., Girolami, M., & Sejnowski, T. J. (1999). Independent component analysis using an extended infomax algorithm for mixed subgaussian and supergaussian sources. *Neural Computation*, 11(2), 417-441. <https://doi.org/10.1162/089976699300016719>
- Lega, B., Burke, J., Jacobs, J., & Kahana, M. J. (2016). Slow-Theta-to-Gamma Phase-Amplitude Coupling in Human Hippocampus Supports the Formation of New Episodic Memories. *Cereb Cortex*, 26(1), 268-278. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhu232>
- Llinas, R., Urbano, F. J., Leznik, E., Ramirez, R. R., & van Marle, H. J. (2005). Rhythmic and dysrhythmic thalamocortical dynamics: GABA systems and the edge effect. *Trends Neurosci*, 28(6), 325-333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2005.04.006>
- Llinas, R. R., Ribary, U., Jeanmonod, D., Kronberg, E., & Mitra, P. P. (1999). Thalamocortical dysrhythmia: A neurological and neuropsychiatric syndrome characterized by magnetoencephalography. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 96(26), 15222-15227. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.26.15222>

- Llinás, R. R., Ribary, U., Jeanmonod, D., Kronberg, E., & Mitra, P. P. (1999). Thalamocortical dysrhythmia: a neurological and neuropsychiatric syndrome characterized by magnetoencephalography. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *96*(26), 15222-15227.
- Lovelace, J. W., Ethell, I. M., Binder, D. K., & Razak, K. A. (2018). Translation-relevant EEG phenotypes in a mouse model of Fragile X Syndrome. *Neurobiol Dis*, *115*, 39-48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2018.03.012>
- Lovelace, J. W., Rais, M., Palacios, A. R., Shuai, X. S., Bishay, S., Popa, O., Pirbhoy, P. S., Binder, D. K., Nelson, D. L., Ethell, I. M., & Razak, K. A. (2020). Deletion of Fmr1 from Forebrain Excitatory Neurons Triggers Abnormal Cellular, EEG, and Behavioral Phenotypes in the Auditory Cortex of a Mouse Model of Fragile X Syndrome. *Cereb Cortex*, *30*(3), 969-988. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhz141>
- Martire, D. J., Wong, S., Mikhail, M., Ochi, A., Otsubo, H., Snead, O. C., Donner, E., & Ibrahim, G. M. (2019). Thalamocortical dysrhythmia in intraoperative recordings of focal epilepsy. *J Neurophysiol*, *121*(6), 2020-2027. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00079.2019>
- McGrew, K. S., & Woodcock, R. W. (2006). *Woodcock-Johnson III Technical Manual: WJ III*. Riverside Publ.
- Mullen, T. R., Kothe, C. A., Chi, Y. M., Ojeda, A., Kerth, T., Makeig, S., Jung, T. P., & Cauwenberghs, G. (2015). Real-Time Neuroimaging and Cognitive Monitoring Using Wearable Dry EEG. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng*, *62*(11), 2553-2567. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2015.2481482>
- Musumeci, S. A., Colognola, R. M., Ferri, R., Gigli, G. L., Petrella, M. A., Sanfilippo, S., Bergonzi, P., & Tassinari, C. A. (1988). Fragile-X syndrome: a particular epileptogenic EEG pattern. *Epilepsia*, *29*(1), 41-47. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1157.1988.tb05096.x>
- Nunez, P. L., Wingeier, B. M., & Silberstein, R. B. (2001). Spatial-temporal structures of human alpha rhythms: theory, microcurrent sources, multiscale measurements, and global binding of local networks. *Hum Brain Mapp*, *13*(3), 125-164. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.1030>
- Paluszkiwicz, S. M., Martin, B. S., & Huntsman, M. M. (2011). Fragile X syndrome: the GABAergic system and circuit dysfunction. *Dev Neurosci*, *33*(5), 349-364. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000329420>
- R, L., FJ, U., E, L., RR, R., & HJ, v. M. (2005). Rhythmic and dysrhythmic thalamocortical dynamics: GABA systems and the edge effect. *Trends in neurosciences*, *28*(6). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2005.04.006>
- Roux, F., Wibral, M., Singer, W., Aru, J., & Uhlhaas, P. J. (2013). The phase of thalamic alpha activity modulates cortical gamma-band activity: evidence from resting-state MEG recordings. *J Neurosci*, *33*(45), 17827-17835. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5778-12.2013>
- Rutter, M., Bailey, A., & Lord, C. (2003). *The Social Communication Questionnaire: Manual*. Western Psychological Services.
- Sahin, M., Jones, S. R., Sweeney, J. A., Berry-Kravis, E., Connors, B. W., Ewen, J. B., Hartman, A. L., Levin, A. R., Potter, W. Z., & Mamounas, L. A. (2018). Discovering translational biomarkers in neurodevelopmental disorders. In *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41573-018-00010-7>

- Sansone, S. M., Schneider, A., Bickel, E., Berry-Kravis, E., Prescott, C., & Hessler, D. (2014). Improving IQ measurement in intellectual disabilities using true deviation from population norms. *J Neurodev Disord*, 6(1), 16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1866-1955-6-16>
- Smith, E. G., Pedapati, E. V., Liu, R., Schmitt, L. M., Dominick, K. C., Shaffer, R. C., Sweeney, J. A., & Erickson, C. A. (2021). Sex differences in resting EEG power in Fragile X Syndrome. *J Psychiatr Res*, 138, 89-95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2021.03.057>
- Tseng, H. A., Martinez, D., & Nadim, F. (2014). The frequency preference of neurons and synapses in a recurrent oscillatory network. *J Neurosci*, 34(38), 12933-12945. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2462-14.2014>
- Tseng, K. Y., Mallet, N., Toreson, K. L., Le Moine, C., Gonon, F., & O'Donnell, P. (2006). Excitatory response of prefrontal cortical fast-spiking interneurons to ventral tegmental area stimulation in vivo. *Synapse*, 59(7), 412-417. <https://doi.org/10.1002/syn.20255>
- Van der Molen, M. J., & Van der Molen, M. W. (2013). Reduced alpha and exaggerated theta power during the resting-state EEG in fragile X syndrome. *Biol Psychol*, 92(2), 216-219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2012.11.013>
- Vanneste, S., Song, J. J., & De Ridder, D. (2018). Thalamocortical dysrhythmia detected by machine learning. *Nat Commun*, 9(1), 1103. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-02820-0>
- Vijayan, S., Ching, S., Purdon, P. L., Brown, E. N., & Kopell, N. J. (2013). Thalamocortical mechanisms for the anteriorization of alpha rhythms during propofol-induced unconsciousness. *J Neurosci*, 33(27), 11070-11075. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5670-12.2013>
- Wang, J., Barstein, J., Ethridge, L. E., Mosconi, M. W., Takarae, Y., & Sweeney, J. A. (2013). Resting state EEG abnormalities in autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, 5(1), 24. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1866-1955-5-24>
- Wang, J., Ethridge, L. E., Mosconi, M. W., White, S. P., Binder, D. K., Pedapati, E. V., Erickson, C. A., Byerly, M. J., & Sweeney, J. A. (2017). A resting EEG study of neocortical hyperexcitability and altered functional connectivity in fragile X syndrome. *J Neurodev Disord*, 9, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s11689-017-9191-z>
- Wang, J., Ethridge, L. E., Mosconi, M. W., White, S. P., Binder, D. K., Pedapati, E. V., Erickson, C. A., Byerly, M. J., & Sweeney, J. A. (2017). A resting EEG study of neocortical hyperexcitability and altered functional connectivity in fragile X syndrome. *Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, 9(1), 11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s11689-017-9191-z>
- Wilkinson, C. L., & Nelson, C. A. (2021). Increased aperiodic gamma power in young boys with Fragile X Syndrome is associated with better language ability. *Molecular autism*, 12(1), 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13229-021-00425-x>
- Zhang, H., Watrous, A. J., Patel, A., & Jacobs, J. (2018). Theta and Alpha Oscillations Are Traveling Waves in the Human Neocortex. *Neuron*, 98(6), 1269-1281 e1264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.05.019>
- Zuure, M. B., Hinkley, L. B., Tiesinga, P. H. E., Nagarajan, S. S., & Cohen, M. X. (2020). Multiple Midfrontal Thetas Revealed by Source Separation of Simultaneous MEG

and EEG. *J Neurosci*, 40(40), 7702-7713. <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.0321-20.2020>