Elevated fecal mitochondrial DNA from symptomatic norovirus infections suggests potential health relevance of human mitochondrial DNA in fecal source tracking

Kevin J. Zhu, Brittany Suttner, Jackie Knee, Drew Capone, Christine L. Moe, Christine E. Stauber, Kostas T. Konstantinidis, Thomas E. Wallach, Amy J. Pickering, Joe Brown

Corresponding Author

Joe Brown –Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, United States

Author Information

Kevin J. Zhu – School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States

Brittany Suttner – School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States

Jackie Knee –Department of Disease Control, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom

Drew Capone –Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, United States

Christine L. Moe – Center for Global Safe Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30322, United States

Christine E. Stauber – Department of Population Health Sciences, School of Public Health, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia 30302, United States

Kostas T. Konstantinidis – School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, United States

Thomas E. Wallach – Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology, SUNY Downstate Health Sciences University, Brooklyn, New York 11203, United States

Amy J. Pickering – Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, United States

Abstract

An end goal of fecal source tracking (FST) is to provide information on risk of transmission of waterborne illnesses associated with fecal contamination. Ideally, concentrations of FST markers in ambient waters would reflect exposure risk. Human mtDNA is an FST marker that is exclusively human in origin and may be elevated in feces of individuals experiencing gastrointestinal inflammation. In this study, we examined whether human mtDNA is elevated in fecal samples from individuals with symptomatic norovirus infections using samples from the US, Mozambique, and Bangladesh. We quantified hCYTB484 (human mtDNA) and HF183/BacR287 (human-associated *Bacteroides*) FST markers using droplet digital PCR. We observed the greatest difference in concentrations of hCYTB484 when comparing samples from individuals with symptomatic norovirus infections versus individuals without norovirus infections or diarrhea symptoms: 3,820% increase in US samples (p-value = 0.062), 308% in Mozambique (p-value = 0.061), and 648% in Bangladesh (p-value = 0.035). We did not observe any trends in concentrations of HF183/BacR287 in the same samples. These results suggest concentrations of fecal mtDNA increase during symptomatic norovirus infection and that mtDNA in environmental samples may represent an unambiguously human source-tracking marker that correlates with enteric pathogen exposure risk.

Introduction

Fecal source tracking (FST) aims to detect fecal contamination in environmental samples and identify the source using a variety of chemical and biological methods. Method validation studies to date have demonstrated FST markers targeting human-associated microbial DNA to have variable sensitivity (true positive rate) and specificity (true negative rate) across geographies [1–5]. Human mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) markers, having been demonstrated to have high sensitivity [6–9] and high specificity [6–9] across varying geographies, may complement the use of microbial FST targets, especially in settings or environmental matrices where other FST markers have not been previously validated [9].

In addition to retaining high sensitivity and specificity, an ideal FST marker should also convey information about the risk associated with detected fecal contamination: increasing concentration of FST markers in environmental samples should indicate increasing risk of gastrointestinal and other waterborne illnesses associated with exposure [10]. However, such a marker has not yet been identified. mtDNA FST markers differ from microbial markers because they target host DNA instead of host-associated microbial DNA. The main cellular sources of fecal mtDNA are thought to be intestinal epithelial cells (IEC) [6,7] and white blood cells (leukocytes) [6,11]. IECs constitute the intestinal epithelium that prevents the entry of harmful substances into the body while selectively allowing entry of beneficial nutrients. Leukocytes transmigrate into the intestinal lumen during enteric infections. Because of these origins, fecal mtDNA concentrations may exhibit baseline levels during homeostasis (e.g., IEC shedding to balance IEC proliferation) with elevated levels during inflammatory events (e.g., infection triggering leukocyte transmigration, increased apoptosis, IEC extrusion and shedding) [12,13].

A key assumption often used when assessing risk associated with fecal indicators is that concentrations of fecal indicators covary with concentrations of sewage present and, therefore, concentrations of pathogens. If concentrations of mtDNA FST markers increase during cases of enteric infections, specifically in symptomatic cases where vomiting and/or diarrhea facilitates the shedding of pathogens, mtDNA markers may advance the capabilities of FST markers by providing risk information beyond the assumed covariance between concentrations of indicator and pathogen. Associations between mtDNA FST markers and enteric infections have not yet been studied, and it is unknown whether concentrations of fecal mtDNA are indicative of symptomatic enteric infections.

The aim of this study was to investigate whether concentrations of a human mtDNA FST marker are higher in feces from individuals with symptomatic norovirus infections than feces from individuals without. We used archived fecal samples from participants in studies conducted in the US, Mozambique, and Bangladesh, and compared fecal mtDNA concentrations across three groups: (1) no detected enteric infection and no diarrhea, (2) norovirus infection and no diarrhea, and (3) norovirus infection and diarrhea. We hypothesized that concentrations of fecal mtDNA will be highest in feces from symptomatic norovirus infections versus those from individuals with asymptomatic norovirus infections or no enteric infections.

Materials and Methods

Feces Samples. We obtained human fecal samples from three different studies conducted in the US, Mozambique, and Bangladesh. We first investigated the US samples, using pairs of one pre-

and one post-challenge sample per subject from a norovirus Genogroup I (GI) challenge study in which norovirus-spiked oysters were used as the intentional exposure [14]. These pre- and post-challenge pairs were from six subjects who developed asymptomatic norovirus infections and five subjects who developed symptomatic norovirus infections (Table 1). In the challenge study, symptoms (chills, cramping, diarrhea, fatigue, fever, headache, myalgia, nausea, vomiting, white blood cell shift) were recorded during the challenge period and follow-up visits [14]. To be classified as symptomatic, a subject had to have at least one of the above symptoms, with fever requiring at least one other associated symptom.

Following initial results from the US samples (Figure S1), we expanded the analysis to include archived fecal samples from two other studies: 1) a cross-sectional study of child (under four years of age) enteric infections in urban Maputo, Mozambique [15,16] and 2) an experimental trial evaluating the effect of passive chlorination devices at shared water points on child (under five years of age) diarrhea prevalence in urban Bangladesh [17,18]. We classified the fecal samples using the following criteria: 1) no enteric pathogens detected and from individuals with no reported diarrhea (hereafter referred to as enteric^{-}asymptomatic), 2) norovirus GI/GII detected and from individuals with no reported diarrhea (noro^{+}asymptomatic), 3) norovirus GI/GII detected, and from individuals with reported diarrhea (noro^{+}symptomatic). Detection of enteric pathogens in the archived Mozambique and Bangladesh samples was determined by the Luminex (Austin, Texas, US) xTAG® Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel RUO (GPP) in previous studies [15,18]. The GPP detects the nucleic acid markers of 15 bacterial, viral, and parasitic enteric pathogens, including norovirus GI/GII with a limit of detection for norovirus GI/GII on the order of 10^6 genome copies/gram of feces [19]. There were low numbers of norovirus-positive feces in the Mozambique

and Bangladesh samples, and feces positive for norovirus were often positive for another GPP target [15,18]. Because of this, we included norovirus-positive feces that were positive for additional pathogen(s) in the noro^{+}asymptomatic and noro^{+}symptomatic groups. We identified enteric^{-}asymptomatic samples by selecting feces that were negative for all Luminex GPP targets. Reported diarrhea in the Bangladesh and Mozambique studies was based on caregiver-reported diarrhea criteria of ≥3 loose or watery feces in a 24-hr period with a 1-week recall period. Because the Bangladesh [17] and Mozambique [15] studies observed low prevalence of caregiver-reported diarrhea, we were limited in the number of noro^{+}symptomatic samples we could examine (Table 1).

DNA Extraction and ddPCR. Prior to DNA extraction, we stored fecal samples at -80°C. For US samples, we performed DNA extractions using 0.1 g of fecal sample and the MO BIO PowerSoil® kit (Carlsbad, CA, USA) following manufacturer's instructions. For Mozambique and Bangladesh samples, we performed DNA extractions using 0.1 g of fecal sample and the Qiagen QIAamp® 96 PowerFecal QIAcube® HT Kit automated on the Qiagen QIAcube® HT platform (Hilden, Germany) following manufacturer's instructions, using soil grinding SK38 bead tubes (Bertin Corp., Rockville, MD, USA) containing 650 µl pre-warmed Buffer PW1 and homogenizing the bead tubes on a vortexer for 10 minutes. Following DNA extraction, we stored all extracts at -80°C until analysis. We quantified hCYTB484 [9] and HF183/BacR287 [20] markers through droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) on Bio-Rad QX200™ Droplet Digital™ PCR (Hercules, CA, USA) using methods developed previously [9] and normalized marker concentrations to nanograms of double stranded DNA (ng dsDNA) to account for differences in moisture content between feces and any potential differential recovery between kits [21]. Results of biological replicates for a subset of

samples are in supporting information (Table S1). Minimum Information for Publication of Quantitative Digital PCR Experiments [22] is included in the supporting information (Table S2).

Data Analysis. Because the US samples were collected longitudinally from each subject, we applied the Wilcoxon signed rank paired test to the pre- and post-challenge US samples. For the Mozambique and Bangladesh sample sets (cross-sectional data), we used the Kruskal-Wallis test, followed with the Dunn test with Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment. We calculated effect sizes for $\log_{10}$ transformed concentrations through a difference in means approach using Cohen's *d*, the difference between the two means divided by the pooled standard deviation. To compare the relative influences of potential confounders, we fitted a generalized linear model (GLM) using a Gaussian identity function to the Mozambique and Bangladesh sample sets using reported diarrhea and norovirus GI/GII detected/not detected (as determined by the GPP) as the independent variables and $\log_{10}$ values of hCYTB484 normalized to ng of dsDNA as the dependent variable while adjusting for number of pathogens detected (as determined by the GPP), sex, age (continuous, number of months), and country (Mozambique or Bangladesh). More information on model fitting can be found in the supporting information. We performed data analyses in R version 4.0.1.

Results and Discussion

We observed the largest differences in median hCYTB484 copies / ng dsDNA between the enteric$^-$asymptomatic and noro$^+$symptomatic groups (Figure 1, Table 2): 3,820% increase for US samples, 308% increase for Mozambique samples, and 648% increase for Bangladesh samples. The larger effect sizes between enteric$^-$asymptomatic and noro$^+$symptomatic versus

enteric^{-}asymptomatic and noro^{+}asymptomatic across all three countries (Table 2) suggest that fecal mtDNA concentrations are higher in symptomatic norovirus infections than in asymptomatic norovirus infections (effect sizes calculated as the difference between means normalized to the pooled standard deviation). To investigate what variables influenced fecal mtDNA concentrations, we standardized the GLM regression coefficients to account for different units of measurements and variances of each variable. The standardized GLM regression coefficients (Table S3) show reported diarrhea (0.16, 95% CI: 0 – 0.32, *p*-value = 0.045), norovirus detected (0.12, 95% CI: -0.16 – 0.39, *p*-value = 0.38), age in months (-0.12, 95% CI: -0.28 – 0.03, *p*-value = 0.12), and country (Bangladesh or Mozambique) (0.19, 95% CI: -0.36 – -0.03, *p*-value = 0.018) as having the largest magnitudes. However, only reported diarrhea and country had *p*-values < 0.05 (0.045 and 0.018, respectively). Comparison of the standardized regression coefficients after adjusting for other potential biological confounders suggest that, of the variables tested, diarrhea and country had the largest influences on fecal mtDNA concentrations.

The largest increase in concentrations of fecal mtDNA occurred in the US samples, for several possible reasons. Firstly, epithelial cell proliferation declines with age [23]. Compared to the adults in the US study, the children in the Mozambique and Bangladesh studies may have had higher levels of proliferation even when not experiencing diarrhea. Secondly, fecal mtDNA concentrations may have varied due to environmental enteric dysfunction (EED) [24]. The Mozambique and Bangladesh study settings had high prevalence of enteric pathogen exposure [15,18] as measured by frequency of pathogen detection in feces [25]: estimated 86% and 88% of feces containing one or more pathogens in Mozambique and Bangladesh samples, respectively. EED, a condition caused by persistent exposure to enteric pathogens, infections, or perturbations and

characterized by deleterious changes in the intestinal epithelium, can result in malabsorption and diminished growth and development in children. Despite deleterious changes associated with EED that may potentially change fecal mtDNA concentrations, such as reduced intestinal villi, EED typically presents with few or no acute symptoms, potentially reducing in the effect size we observed in samples from Mozambique and Bangladesh. Lastly, the method of reporting diarrhea differed between the US versus Mozambique and Bangladesh samples: clinical monitoring versus caregiver reported. Caregiver-reported diarrhea is subject to recall biases [26,27], which may have resulted in misclassification of samples.

We did not observe any increases in HF183/BacR287 marker copies / ng dsDNA between the enteric^{-}asymptomatic and noro^{+}symptomatic groups (Figure 1), indicating that the elevated mtDNA concentrations may be specific to mtDNA and not due to a bulk increase in fecal markers. Furthermore, we detected the HF183/BacR287 marker in 52% of samples, with only 31% of samples above the lower limit of quantification (quantifiable), a finding consistent with assessments of HF183 in individual human feces across the globe [5,28]. In contrast, 100% of samples in this study were quantifiable for hCYTB484.

A variety of sources and processes related to the health of the gastrointestinal system influence fecal mtDNA concentrations. Because the integrity of the intestinal epithelium is essential to the host's health, IECs proliferate and are removed in a highly active and balanced cycle [29]. IEC removal likely depends on the epithelium and host's health, including various potential mechanisms: engulfment following apoptosis [30,31], shedding into the intestinal lumen [32–35], shedding in response to pathogen or pathogen-associated insults [36–38], and shedding during other

pathological states such as inflammatory bowel disease, neoplastic growth [39], and wound healing [34]. Additionally, current evidence of norovirus infection in humans points towards enterocytes in the small intestines as the primary tropism [40,41]. Noroviruses, as non-enveloped viruses, are presumed to have lytic effects on their host cells [42], potentially releasing host mtDNA into the intestinal lumen. Leukocytes can be found in feces from individuals with inflammatory diarrhea [40,43,44], and neutrophils are highly abundant first responders, transmigrating across the intestinal epithelium during enteric infections [45]. Lastly, there is emerging evidence of mtDNA's immune-signaling role in inflammatory diseases: pathogen-associated signal [46] and damage-associated molecular pattern [13,47]. Many of these processes through which mtDNA is shed in feces are involved with gastrointestinal health, lending plausibility to our observation of increased fecal mtDNA during symptomatic norovirus infections. However, non-pathogenic diseases such as inflammatory bowel disease and neoplastic growth may also cause elevated fecal mtDNA.

Several limitations qualify our results. Prevalences of reported diarrhea in the Bangladesh [17] and Mozambique [15] trials were low, limiting the number of samples in our analysis and constraining statistical power. Reported diarrhea in these studies was assessed through a caregiver survey and is subject to observational and recall biases [26,27]. Multiple pathogens were commonly detected in the Bangladesh and Mozambique fecal samples, with norovirus rarely detected alone. Co-infections may have affected fecal mtDNA concentrations as well as symptomology; norovirus may not have always been the cause of symptoms. While norovirus is an important cause of gastroenteritis globally, there are other enteric pathogens that can be transmitted through exposure to fecal contamination in the environment and are relevant to FST.

A human-specific FST marker that is informative of risk of illness is needed because fecal indicator bacteria exhibit non-specificity in the environment (cross-reactivity and regrowth) and because human fecal contamination represents an important risk to human health [48–52]. In this study, we observed increased concentrations of mtDNA in feces from individuals with symptomatic norovirus infections when compared to feces from individuals without norovirus infections or diarrhea symptoms. This suggests that mtDNA markers may serve as biomarkers of intestinal inflammation and may provide risk-relevant information by increasing in concentration when an individual is at higher risk of transmitting norovirus infection [53]. However, we need better understanding of the cellular sources of fecal mtDNA, intactness of fecal mtDNA after defecation, and what conditions modulate fecal mtDNA concentrations. Approaches investigating processes relevant to gastrointestinal health (e.g., expression of IEC proliferation genes) could also help identify relevant mechanisms that modulate fecal mtDNA. Studies on the fate and persistence of fecal mtDNA [8,54] are also needed to understand how the signal is attenuated in environments relative to that of pathogens [55]. Because human mtDNA FST markers are typically found at lower concentrations in sewage than those of other human-associated FST markers, improved concentration and recovery methods of mtDNA are needed [10]. Despite these knowledge and technical gaps, results from this study add to previous evidence supporting the utility human mtDNA as FST markers.

Tables

Table 1. Sample frame for this study.

Country	Individuals from which samples were collected	Ages of individuals	enteric⁻asymptomatic	noro⁺asymptomatic	noro⁺symptomatic
US [a]	11	Adult (18 to 50 years of age)	11	6	5
Mozambique	66	Children (< 4 years of age)	26	29	11
Bangladesh	120	Children (< 5 years of age)	49	68	3

[a] All US samples were part of paired samples (pre-challenge and post-challenge) from 11 individuals. 6 individuals did not develop symptoms; 5 individuals did develop symptoms.

Table 2. Comparison of median human mtDNA copies normalized to ng of dsDNA amongst the different health status groups.

	Kruskal-Wallis test	enteric⁻asymptomatic versus noro⁺asymptomatic			noro⁺asymptomatic versus noro⁺symptomatic			enteric⁻asymptomatic versus noro⁺symptomatic		
Sample Set	*p*-value	% increase in median hCYTB484 copies / ng dsDNA	*p*-value	effect size [c]	% increase in median hCYTB484 copies / ng dsDNA	*p*-value	effect size [c]	% increase in median hCYTB484 copies / ng dsDNA	*p*-value	effect size [c]
US	N/A	168 %	1 [b]	0.60				3,820 %	0.062 [b]	4.3
Mozambique	0.068	138 %	0.36 [a]	0.32	223 %	0.15 [a]	0.39	308 %	0.061 [a]	0.70
Bangladesh	0.024	111 %	0.14 [a]	0.27	585 %	0.057 [a]	1.2	648 %	0.035 [a]	1.5

[a] Dunn test adjusted with the Benjamini-Hochberg method for multiple comparisons
[b] Wilcoxon signed rank paired test for paired pre- and post-challenge samples for each individual
[c] Effect size reported as the difference between the two sample means divided by the pooled standard deviation of the $\log_{10}$ transformed data (Cohen's *d*). The larger the effect size, the larger the difference between the mean of the two sample distributions.

Figures



Figure 1. Box and whisker plots of hCYTB484 (top plot) and HF183/BacR287 (bottom plot) concentrations for the various health statuses in this study. Horizontal lines (box) denote the 25th, 50th, and 7th percentiles and the end of the vertical lines (whiskers) denote the maximum or minimum value of the data that is within 1.5 times the interquartile range over the 75th percentile or under the 25th percentile. All concentrations are plotted as $\log_{10}$(concentration + 1) and concentrations from feces are normalized to concentration of dsDNA (ng of dsDNA determined by Qubit). The number of quantifiable samples is shown at x-axis tick mark of each box and whisker ("n = #"). HF183/BacR287 plots have different numbers of quantifiable samples because HF183/BacR287 was detected in only 53% and quantifiable in 37% of all samples.

References

(1) Boehm, A. B.; Van De Werfhorst, L. C.; Griffith, J. F.; Holden, P. A.; Jay, J. A.; Shanks, O. C.; Wang, D.; Weisberg, S. B. Performance of Forty-One Microbial Source Tracking Methods: A Twenty-Seven Lab Evaluation Study. *Water Res.* **2013**, *47* (18), 6812–6828. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.12.046.

(2) Harris, A. R.; Pickering, A. J.; Harris, M.; Doza, S.; Islam, M. S.; Unicomb, L.; Luby, S.; Davis, J.; Boehm, A. B. Ruminants Contribute Fecal Contamination to the Urban Household Environment in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (9), 4642–4649. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b06282.

(3) Nshimyimana, J. P.; Cruz, M. C.; Thompson, R. J.; Wuertz, S. Bacteroidales Markers for Microbial Source Tracking in Southeast Asia. *Water Res.* **2017**, *118*, 239–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.027.

(4) Odagiri, M.; Schriewer, A.; Hanley, K.; Wuertz, S.; Misra, P. R.; Panigrahi, P.; Jenkins, M. W. Validation of Bacteroidales Quantitative PCR Assays Targeting Human and Animal Fecal Contamination in the Public and Domestic Domains in India. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2015**, *502*, 462–470. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.09.040.

(5) Reischer, G. H.; Ebdon, J. E.; Bauer, J. M.; Schuster, N.; Ahmed, W.; Åström, J.; Blanch, A. R.; Blöschl, G.; Byamukama, D.; Coakley, T.; Ferguson, C.; Goshu, G.; Ko, G.; de Roda Husman, A. M.; Mushi, D.; Poma, R.; Pradhan, B.; Rajal, V.; Schade, M. A.; Sommer, R.; Taylor, H.; Toth, E. M.; Vrajmasu, V.; Wuertz, S.; Mach, R. L.; Farnleitner, A. H. Performance Characteristics of QPCR Assays Targeting Human- and Ruminant-Associated Bacteroidetes for Microbial Source Tracking across Sixteen Countries on Six Continents. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *47* (15), 8548–8556. https://doi.org/10.1021/es304367t.

(6) Caldwell, J. M.; Raley, M. E.; Levine, J. F. Mitochondrial Multiplex Real-Time PCR as a Source Tracking Method in Fecal-Contaminated Effluents. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41* (9), 3277–3283. https://doi.org/10.1021/es062912s.

(7) Schill, W. B.; Mathes, M. V. Real-Time PCR Detection and Quantification of Nine Potential Sources of Fecal Contamination by Analysis of Mitochondrial Cytochrome b Targets. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *42* (14), 5229–5234. https://doi.org/10.1021/es800051z.

(8) He, X.; Liu, P.; Zheng, G.; Chen, H.; Shi, W.; Cui, Y.; Ren, H.; Zhang, X.-X. Evaluation of Five Microbial and Four Mitochondrial DNA Markers for Tracking Human and Pig Fecal Pollution in Freshwater. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6* (1), 35311. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep35311.

(9) Zhu, K.; Suttner, B.; Pickering, A.; Konstantinidis, K. T.; Brown, J. A Novel Droplet Digital PCR Human MtDNA Assay for Fecal Source Tracking. *Water Res.* **2020**, *183*, 116085. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116085.

(10) *Microbial Source Tracking: Methods, Applications, and Case Studies*; Hagedorn, C., Blanch, A. R., Harwood, V. J., Eds.; Springer New York: New York, NY, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9386-1.

(11) Martellini, A.; Payment, P.; Villemur, R. Use of Eukaryotic Mitochondrial DNA to Differentiate Human, Bovine, Porcine and Ovine Sources in Fecally Contaminated Surface Water. *Water Res.* **2005**, *39* (4), 541–548. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2004.11.012.

(12) Peña-Gonzalez, A.; Soto-Girón, M. J.; Smith, S.; Sistrunk, J.; Montero, L.; Páez, M.; Ortega, E.; Hatt, J. K.; Cevallos, W.; Trueba, G.; Levy, K.; Konstantinidis, K. T. Metagenomic Signatures of Gut Infections Caused by Different Escherichia Coli Pathotypes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2019**, *85* (24), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01820-19.

(13) Boyapati, R. K.; Dorward, D. A.; Tamborska, A.; Kalla, R.; Ventham, N. T.; Doherty, M. K.; Whitfield, P. D.; Gray, M.; Loane, J.; Rossi, A. G.; Satsangi, J.; Ho, G. Mitochondrial DNA Is a Pro-Inflammatory Damage-Associated Molecular Pattern Released During Active IBD. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2018**, *24* (10), 2113–2122. https://doi.org/10.1093/ibd/izy095.

(14) Leon, J. S.; Kingsley, D. H.; Montes, J. S.; Richards, G. P.; Lyon, G. M.; Abdulhafid, G. M.; Seitz, S. R.; Fernandez, M. L.; Teunis, P. F.; Flick, G. J.; Moe, C. L. Randomized, Double-Blinded Clinical Trial for Human Norovirus Inactivation in Oysters by High Hydrostatic Pressure Processing. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2011**, *77* (15), 5476–5482. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02801-10.

(15) Knee, J.; Sumner, T.; Adriano, Z.; Berendes, D.; de Bruijn, E.; Schmidt, W. P.; Nalá, R.; Cumming, O.; Brown, J. Risk Factors for Childhood Enteric Infection in Urban Maputo, Mozambique: A Cross-Sectional Study. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2018**, *12* (11), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006956.

(16) Brown, J.; Cumming, O.; Bartram, J.; Cairncross, S.; Ensink, J.; Holcomb, D.; Knee, J.; Kolsky, P.; Liang, K.; Liang, S.; Nala, R.; Norman, G.; Rheingans, R.; Stewart, J.; Zavale, O.; Zuin, V.; Schmidt, W.-P. A Controlled, before-and-after Trial of an Urban Sanitation Intervention to Reduce Enteric Infections in Children: Research Protocol for the Maputo Sanitation (MapSan) Study, Mozambique. *BMJ Open* **2015**, *5* (6), e008215–e008215. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008215.

(17) Pickering, A. J.; Crider, Y.; Sultana, S.; Swarthout, J.; Goddard, F. G.; Anjerul Islam, S.; Sen, S.; Ayyagari, R.; Luby, S. P. Effect of In-Line Drinking Water Chlorination at the Point of Collection on Child Diarrhoea in Urban Bangladesh: A Double-Blind, Cluster-Randomised Controlled Trial. *Lancet Glob. Heal.* **2019**, *7* (9), e1247–e1256. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30315-8.

(18) Berendes, D.; Capone, D.; Knee, J.; Holcomb, D.; Sultana, S.; Pickering, A. J.; Brown, J. Associations between Enteric Pathogen Carriage and Height-for-Age, Weight-for-Age and Weight-for-Height in Children under 5 Years Old in Urban Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Epidemiol. Infect. **2020**, *148*, e39. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268820000369.

(19) Navidad, J. F.; Griswold, D. J.; Gradus, M. S.; Bhattacharyya, S. Evaluation of Luminex XTAG Gastrointestinal Pathogen Analyte-Specific Reagents for High-Throughput, Simultaneous Detection of Bacteria, Viruses, and Parasites of Clinical and Public Health Importance. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2013**, *51* (9), 3018–3024. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00896-13.

(20) Green, H. C.; Haugland, R. A.; Varma, M.; Millen, H. T.; Borchardt, M. A.; Field, K. G.; Walters, W. A.; Knight, R.; Sivaganesan, M.; Kelty, C. A.; Shanks, O. C. Improved HF183 Quantitative Real-Time PCR Assay for Characterization of Human Fecal Pollution in Ambient Surface Water Samples. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2014**, *80* (10), 3086–3094. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.04137-13.

(21) Kelty, C. A.; Varma, M.; Sivaganesan, M.; Haugland, R. A.; Shanks, O. C. Distribution of Genetic Marker Concentrations for Fecal Indicator Bacteria in Sewage and Animal Feces. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2012**, *78* (12), 4225–4232. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.07819-11.

(22) Whale, A. S.; De Spiegelaere, W.; Trypsteen, W.; Nour, A. A.; Bae, Y.-K.; Benes, V.; Burke, D.; Cleveland, M.; Corbisier, P.; Devonshire, A. S.; Dong, L.; Drandi, D.; Foy, C. A.; Garson, J. A.; He, H.-J.; Hellemans, J.; Kubista, M.; Lievens, A.; Makrigiorgos, M. G.; Milavec, M.; Mueller, R. D.; Nolan, T.; O'Sullivan, D. M.; Pfaffl, M. W.; Rödiger, S.; Romsos, E. L.; Shipley, G. L.; Taly, V.; Untergasser, A.; Wittwer, C. T.; Bustin, S. A.; Vandesompele, J.; Huggett, J. F. The Digital MIQE Guidelines Update: Minimum Information for Publication of Quantitative Digital PCR Experiments for 2020. *Clin. Chem.* **2020**, *66* (8), 1012–1029. https://doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/hvaa125.

(23) Pentinmikko, N.; Katajisto, P. The Role of Stem Cell Niche in Intestinal Aging. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* **2020**, *191*, 111330. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mad.2020.111330.

(24) Liu, T. C.; Vanbuskirk, K.; Ali, S. A.; Kelly, M. P.; Holtz, L. R.; Yilmaz, O. H.; Sadiq, K.; Iqbal, N.; Amadi, B.; Syed, S.; Ahmed, T.; Moore, S.; Ndao, I. M.; Isaacs, M. H.; Pfeifer, J. D.; Atlas, H.; Tarr, P. I.; Denno, D. M.; Moskaluk, C. A. A Novel Histological Index for Evaluation of Environmental Enteric Dysfunction Identifies Geographic-Specific Features of Enteropathy among Children with Suboptimal Growth. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2020**, *14* (1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007975.

(25) Brown, J.; Cumming, O. Stool-Based Pathogen Detection Offers Advantages as an Outcome Measure for Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene Trials. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **2020**, *102* (2), 260–261. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.19-0639.

(26) Baqui, A. H.; Black, R. E.; Yunus, M.; Hoque, A. R. A.; Chowdhury, H. R.; Sack, R. B. Methodological Issues in Diarrhoeal Diseases Epidemiology: Definition of Diarrhoeal Episodes. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **1991**, *20* (4), 1057–1063. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/20.4.1057.

(27) Arnold, B. F.; Galiani, S.; Ram, P. K.; Hubbard, A. E.; Briceño, B.; Gertler, P. J.; Colford,

J. M. Optimal Recall Period for Caregiver-Reported Illness in Risk Factor and Intervention Studies: A Multicountry Study. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **2013**, *177* (4), 361–370. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kws281.

(28) Mayer, R. E.; Reischer, G. H.; Ixenmaier, S. K.; Derx, J.; Blaschke, A. P.; Ebdon, J. E.; Linke, R.; Egle, L.; Ahmed, W.; Blanch, A. R.; Byamukama, D.; Savill, M.; Mushi, D.; Cristóbal, H. A.; Edge, T. A.; Schade, M. A.; Aslan, A.; Brooks, Y. M.; Sommer, R.; Masago, Y.; Sato, M. I.; Taylor, H. D.; Rose, J. B.; Wuertz, S.; Shanks, O. C.; Piringer, H.; Mach, R. L.; Savio, D.; Zessner, M.; Farnleitner, A. H. Global Distribution of Human-Associated Fecal Genetic Markers in Reference Samples from Six Continents. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *52* (9), 5076–5084. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b04438.

(29) Williams, J. M.; Duckworth, C. A.; Burkitt, M. D.; Watson, A. J. M. M.; Campbell, B. J.; Pritchard, D. M. Epithelial Cell Shedding and Barrier Function: A Matter of Life and Death at the Small Intestinal Villus Tip. *Vet. Pathol.* **2015**, *52* (3), 445–455. https://doi.org/10.1177/0300985814559404.

(30) Hall, P. A.; Coates, P. J.; Ansari, B.; Hopwood, D. Regulation of Cell Number in the Mammalian Gastrointestinal Tract: The Importance of Apoptosis. *J. Cell Sci.* **1994**, *107 (Pt 1* (12), 3569–3577.

(31) Barkla, D. H.; Gibson, P. R. The Fate of Epithelial Cells in the Human Large Intestine. *Pathology* **1999**, *31* (3), 230–238. https://doi.org/10.1080/003130299105043.

(32) Watson, A. J. M.; Hall, L. J.; Hughes, K. R. Cell Shedding: Old Questions Answered. *Gastroenterology* **2012**, *143* (5), 1389–1391. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2012.09.025.

(33) Eisenhoffer, G. T.; Loftus, P. D.; Yoshigi, M.; Otsuna, H.; Chien, C.-B.; Morcos, P. A.; Rosenblatt, J. Crowding Induces Live Cell Extrusion to Maintain Homeostatic Cell Numbers in Epithelia. *Nature* **2012**, *484* (7395), 546–549. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10999.

(34) Bullen, T. F.; Forrest, S.; Campbell, F.; Dodson, A. R.; Hershman, M. J.; Pritchard, D. M.; Turner, J. R.; Montrose, M. H.; Watson, A. J. M. Characterization of Epithelial Cell Shedding from Human Small Intestine. *Lab. Investig.* **2006**, *86* (10), 1052–1063. https://doi.org/10.1038/labinvest.3700464.

(35) Patterson, A. M.; Watson, A. J. M. Deciphering the Complex Signaling Systems That Regulate Intestinal Epithelial Cell Death Processes and Shedding. *Front. Immunol.* **2017**, *8* (JUL), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.00841.

(36) Williams, J. M.; Duckworth, C. A.; Watson, A. J. M.; Frey, M. R.; Miguel, J. C.; Burkitt, M. D.; Sutton, R.; Hughes, K. R.; Hall, L. J.; Caamano, J. H.; Campbell, B. J.; Pritchard, D. M. A Mouse Model of Pathological Small Intestinal Epithelial Cell Apoptosis and Shedding Induced by Systemic Administration of Lipopolysaccharide. *Dis. Model. Mech.* **2013**, *6* (6), 1388–1399. https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.013284.

(37) Knodler, L. A.; Vallance, B. A.; Celli, J.; Winfree, S.; Hansen, B.; Montero, M.; Steele-

Mortimer, O. Dissemination of Invasive Salmonella via Bacterial-Induced Extrusion of Mucosal Epithelia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2010**, *107* (41), 17733–17738. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1006098107.

(38) Boshuizen, J. A.; Reimerink, J. H. J.; Korteland-van Male, A. M.; van Ham, V. J. J.; Koopmans, M. P. G.; Büller, H. A.; Dekker, J.; Einerhand, A. W. C. Changes in Small Intestinal Homeostasis, Morphology,and Gene Expression during Rotavirus Infection of InfantMice. *J. Virol.* **2003**, *77* (24), 13005–13016. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.77.24.13005-13016.2003.

(39) Ahlquist, D. A.; Harrington, J. J.; Burgart, L. J.; Roche, P. C. Morphometric Analysis of the "Mucocellular Layer" Overlying Colorectal Cancer and Normal Mucosa: Relevance to Exfoliation and Stool Screening. *Hum. Pathol.* **2000**, *31* (1), 51–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0046-8177(00)80198-7.

(40) Atmar, R. L.; Ramani, S.; Estes, M. K. Human Noroviruses. *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.* **2018**, *31* (5), 422–432. https://doi.org/10.1097/QCO.0000000000000476.

(41) Estes, M. K.; Ettayebi, K.; Tenge, V. R.; Murakami, K.; Karandikar, U.; Lin, S. C.; Ayyar, B. V.; Cortes-Penfield, N. W.; Haga, K.; Neill, F. H.; Opekun, A. R.; Broughman, J. R.; Zeng, X. L.; Blutt, S. E.; Crawford, S. E.; Ramani, S.; Graham, D. Y.; Atmar, R. L. Human Norovirus Cultivation in Nontransformed Stem Cell-Derived Human Intestinal Enteroid Cultures: Success and Challenges. *Viruses* **2019**, *11* (7), 9–11. https://doi.org/10.3390/v11070638.

(42) Karst, S. M.; Tibbetts, S. A. Recent Advances in Understanding Norovirus Pathogenesis. *J. Med. Virol.* **2016**, *88* (11), 1837–1843. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.24559.

(43) Guerrant, R. L.; Araujo, V.; Soares, E.; Kotloff, K.; Lima, A. A. M.; Cooper, W. H.; Lee, A. G. Measurement of Fecal Lactoferrin as a Marker of Fecal Leukocytes. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **1992**, *30* (5), 1238–1242. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.30.5.1238-1242.1992.

(44) Mercado, E. H.; Ochoa, T. J.; Ecker, L.; Cabello, M.; Durand, D.; Barletta, F.; Molina, M.; Gil, A. I.; Huicho, L.; Lanata, C. F.; Cleary, T. G. Fecal Leukocytes in Children Infected with Diarrheagenic Escherichia Coli. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2011**, *49* (4), 1376–1381. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02199-10.

(45) Luissint, A. C.; Parkos, C. A.; Nusrat, A. Inflammation and the Intestinal Barrier: Leukocyte–Epithelial Cell Interactions, Cell Junction Remodeling, and Mucosal Repair. *Gastroenterology* **2016**, *151* (4), 616–632. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2016.07.008.

(46) Ingelsson, B.; Söderberg, D.; Strid, T.; Söderberg, A.; Bergh, A.-C.; Loitto, V.; Lotfi, K.; Segelmark, M.; Spyrou, G.; Rosén, A. Lymphocytes Eject Interferogenic Mitochondrial DNA Webs in Response to CpG and Non-CpG Oligodeoxynucleotides of Class C. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2018**, *115* (3), E478–E487. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711950115.

(47) Boyapati, R. K.; Tamborska, A.; Dorward, D. A.; Ho, G.-T. Advances in the Understanding of Mitochondrial DNA as a Pathogenic Factor in Inflammatory Diseases.

F1000Research **2017**, *6* (0), 169. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10397.1.

(48) Colford, J. M.; Wade, T. J.; Schiff, K. C.; Wright, C. C.; Griffith, J. F.; Sandhu, S. K.; Burns, S.; Sobsey, M.; Lovelace, G.; Weisberg, S. B. Water Quality Indicators and the Risk of Illness at Beaches With Nonpoint Sources of Fecal Contamination. *Epidemiology* **2007**, *18* (1), 27–35. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ede.0000249425.32990.b9.

(49) Dwight, R. H.; Baker, D. B.; Semenza, J. C.; Olson, B. H. Health Effects Associated with Recreational Coastal Water Use: Urban Versus Rural California. *Am. J. Public Health* **2004**, *94* (4), 565–567. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.94.4.565.

(50) Wade, T. J.; Calderon, R. L.; Sams, E.; Beach, M.; Brenner, K. P.; Williams, A. H.; Dufour, A. P. Rapidly Measured Indicators of Recreational Water Quality Are Predictive of Swimming-Associated Gastrointestinal Illness. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2006**, *114* (1), 24–28. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8273.

(51) Wade, T. J.; Calderon, R. L.; Brenner, K. P.; Sams, E.; Beach, M.; Haugland, R.; Wymer, L.; Dufour, A. P. High Sensitivity of Children to Swimming-Associated Gastrointestinal Illness. *Epidemiology* **2008**, *19* (3), 375–383. https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e318169cc87.

(52) Yau, V. M.; Schiff, K. C.; Arnold, B. F.; Griffith, J. F.; Gruber, J. S.; Wright, C. C.; Wade, T. J.; Burns, S.; Hayes, J. M.; McGee, C.; Gold, M.; Cao, Y.; Boehm, A. B.; Weisberg, S. B.; Colford, J. M. Effect of Submarine Groundwater Discharge on Bacterial Indicators and Swimmer Health at Avalon Beach, CA, USA. *Water Res.* **2014**, *59*, 23–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.03.050.

(53) Sukhrie, F. H. A.; Teunis, P.; Vennema, H.; Copra, C.; Thijs Beersma, M. F. C.; Bogerman, J.; Koopmans, M. Nosocomial Transmission of Norovirus Is Mainly Caused by Symptomatic Cases. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2012**, *54* (7), 931–937. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cir971.

(54) He, X.; Chen, H.; Shi, W.; Cui, Y.; Zhang, X.-X. Persistence of Mitochondrial DNA Markers as Fecal Indicators in Water Environments. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2015**, *533*, 383–390. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.06.119.

(55) Korajkic, A.; McMinn, B.; Harwood, V. Relationships between Microbial Indicators and Pathogens in Recreational Water Settings. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2018**, *15* (12), 2842. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15122842.

For Table of Contents Only