

1 **Title**

2 Female gender, depressive symptoms, manual job, and higher physical disability predict
3 long term low back pain persistence

4

5 **Short title:**

6 Course and prognosis of long-term low back pain

7

8 **Authors:**

9 Luís Antunes Gomes^{1,2*}, Ana Maria Rodrigues^{1,2,3}, Jaime C. Branco^{1,2,4}, Helena

10 Canhão^{1,2}, Eduardo Brazete Cruz^{1,5}

11

12 ¹Comprehensive Health Research Centre (CHRC), Portugal

13 ²EpiDoC Unit, CEDOC, NOVA Medical School, NOVA University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

14 ³Unidade de Reumatologia, Hospital dos Lusíadas, Lisbon, Portugal

15 ⁴Serviço de Reumatologia do Hospital Egas Moniz - Centro Hospitalar Lisboa Ocidental

16 (CHLO-EPE), Lisbon, Portugal

17 ⁵Physical Therapy Department, School of Health, Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal,

18 Setubal, Portugal

19

20 ***Corresponding author:**

21 Email: ftluisgomes@gmail.com

22 **ABSTRACT**

23 **Background:** Low Back Pain (LBP) is a long-term health condition with distinct clinical
24 courses. The characterization of these courses together with the identification of
25 prognostic factors of a persistent disabling LBP course has the potential to enable a
26 better identification of patients in high-risk and ultimately allow the development of
27 personalized interventions to change their long-term prognosis. This study aimed to
28 assess the course of chronic LBP (CLBP) over 5 years in a large population-based study,
29 its cumulative impact on disability and health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and the
30 indicators for persistent CLBP course.

31 **Material and methods:** Active CLBP participants were identified from a representative
32 sample of 10,661 adults randomly recruited from the dwelling population of EpiDoC.
33 Pain, disability and HRQoL were assessed at three time-points. According to their pain
34 symptoms over time, participants were classified as having a persistent or relapsing pain
35 course. A General Linear Model was used to compare mean differences between and
36 within groups. The relation between baseline variables and persistent CLBP was
37 modulated through logistic regression.

38 **Results:** Among the 1,201 adults with active CLBP at baseline, 634 completed the three
39 time-points of data collection (52.8%) and 400 (63.1%) were classified as having a
40 persistent course. Statistically significant interactions were found between the group
41 and time on disability ($F(2,126) = 23.78, p < 0.001$) and HRQoL ($F(2,125) = 82.78, p < 0.001$).
42 In the adjusted model, the persistent course was associated with the disability level (OR:
43 1.84, CI95% 1.4 to 2.4), presence of depressive symptoms (OR: 1.96, CI95% 1.2 to 3.2),
44 female gender (OR: 1.9, CI95% 1.26-2.87), and having a manual job (OR: 1.46, CI95%

45 1.02 to 2.1).

46 **Conclusion:** In the long-term, CLBP patients have distinct clinical courses. Being female,
47 presenting depressive symptoms, having a manual job and a higher disability at baseline
48 predict a CLBP persistent outcome.

49

50 Introduction

51 Non-specific Low Back Pain (LBP) is one of the most common health problems in
52 societies and is strongly associated with poor self-rated general health [1-4]. It causes
53 severe long-term pain, disability, work absenteeism, and an increased use of healthcare
54 services [1,2].

55 LBP is traditionally divided into acute (pain of less than 6 weeks), sub-acute (6 to 12
56 weeks) or chronic (more than 12 weeks) symptom duration [5]. The typical course of
57 acute is initially favorable, i.e., is characterized by a marked reduction in the mean of
58 pain and disability in the first 6 weeks [6]. However, from this point forward, the
59 improvement slows down and the probability of developing a persistent and disabling
60 back pain condition (CLBP – Chronic Low Back Pain) increases for approximately 40% of
61 the patients [6,7]. Some of these patients will recover, but a minority will develop a
62 persistent disabling condition. This minority is responsible for most of the social and
63 health costs associated with LBP [8], to which absenteeism and reduced productivity
64 costs must be added [9].

65 To better address the individual consequences of persistent disabling LBP on patients
66 and eventually define more appropriate treatment solutions, an improved
67 understanding of its long-term prognosis is needed. Traditionally, LBP episodes have
68 been understood and examined as episodic, recurrent but unrelated [10,11]. Thus, most
69 of the published research is focused on the evaluation of a single episode or presence
70 of pain or disability at specific time-points. It is, therefore, often difficult to know
71 whether a particular study includes individuals with an initial episode of LBP or/and
72 individuals with an exacerbation of a recurrent LBP condition [12,13].

73 The population-based studies with long-term follow-up (5 to 10 years) published to date
74 have shown that LBP is a persistent long-term condition with a variable clinical course
75 and multiple interrelated episodes [14-21]. Despite differences in the case definition or
76 in the assessment time-points, only 6 to 14% of adults enrolled in these studies reported
77 pain at all specific time-points of the follow-up [18,19]. In contrast, 23 to 35% of the
78 population studied reported that they were "painless at the time of evaluation" [16-18]
79 or had "no day of pain during the previous year" [20]. These results suggest that there
80 is a considerable percentage of individuals reporting pain at a given time who fully
81 recover, while others maintain some level of pain and disability over time, with a small
82 subgroup reporting persistent and potentially disabling pain [16,18-20]. Consequently,
83 the clinical course based on the population mean may not adequately reflect the within-
84 person variation in LBP experienced by individuals, opening the possibility of classifying
85 LBP in clinically relevant subgroups [16,19].

86 The Epidemiology of Chronic Diseases Cohort Study (EpiDoC), being a population-based
87 study with prolonged follow-up [22-24], produced a set of data that could help identify
88 distinct LBP courses and investigate its impact on disability and quality of life. On the
89 other hand, the presence of distinct clinical courses among LBP patients opens the
90 possibility of identifying prognostic indicators for a persistent LBP course. Although a set
91 of indicators has been associated with the development of persistent and disabling pain
92 [25-30], the majority have emerged from studies that have evaluated pain at a single
93 point of time, with follow-up limited to 1 year [31,32]. The identification of predictors of
94 more disabling LBP course might allow healthcare professionals to better identify the
95 patients at high-risk of persistent disabling symptoms, and eventually change their long-

96 term prognosis through forms of treatment that best fit and respond to their
97 characteristics [16].

98 The aim of this study was to investigate the presence of different LBP course patterns
99 across 5 years, to examine its cumulative impact on disability and HRQoL and to compare
100 the contribution of socio-demographic, lifestyle, psychosocial and symptom-related
101 indicators to persistent LBP, using data from the EpiDoC.

102

103 **Materials and Methods**

104 This study used a longitudinal analysis of active CLBP patients' data from the EpiDoC.
105 The EpiDoC began with the EpiDoC 1 study (EpiReumaPt) in 2011-13. EpiReumaPt was a
106 population-based study with a representative sample of the Portuguese population,
107 comprised of 10.661 non-institutionalized adults (≥ 18 years), living in Portugal and able
108 to speak and read the Portuguese language [22,23]. From there, and over a 5-year
109 period, two subsequent time-points of data collection (every 18 months) have been
110 completed, using data based on the same participants [24]. The detailed procedures for
111 the study design and sample selection and recruitment are published elsewhere [23].
112 Local and regional ethical committees granted ethical approval for this study. All
113 patients gave informed consent after receiving written and oral information about the
114 study [22,23].

115 This study's sample consists of all the individuals recruited under the EpiReumaPt study
116 who self-reported active CLBP on the day of the interview [4]. Active CLBP was defined
117 as pain located in the lower back, between the lower thorax and the gluteal folds, that
118 was present most of the time for a minimum period of 90 days, and without a specific

119 cause. Individuals with a diagnosis of other spinal pain (neck or dorsal back pain) or with
120 LBP lasting less than 90 days were excluded [4].

121 For the purposes of this study, a more stringent case definition was used. Participants
122 who reported “no pain/discomfort” at baseline when answering to question 4 of the
123 Euroqol, 5 dimensions, 3 levels (EQ-5D-3L) (*Regarding pain/discomfort please indicate*
124 *which statement best describes your health today?*), were excluded. Finally, only those
125 participants who participated in all the time-points of data collection (baseline and time-
126 points 2 and 3) were included in the final analysis.

127 At baseline, data were collected in face-to-face interviews by trained research assessors
128 (RA) based on a standardized questionnaire which included self-report instruments of
129 disability, health-related quality of life (HRQoL), and anxiety and depressive symptoms.

130 At the follow-up time-points, the RA collected the same self-report measures of
131 disability and HRQoL through telephone interviews. To prevent withdrawals, when a
132 participant was not available, a maximum of 6 additional attempts were made at
133 different times [24].

134 CLBP course across 5 years was represented by a three-digit variable based on the
135 participants’ answer to question 4 of the EQ-5D-3L: from left to right, the first digit
136 stands for CLBP status at baseline, the second for the pain status at time-point 2, and
137 the third for the pain status at time-point 3.

138

139 **Outcome Measures**

140 The primary outcome considered in this study was “persistent LBP” defined as the
141 presence of moderate or extreme pain/discomfort (question 4 of the EQ-5D-3L) at
142 baseline and in all the subsequent time-points of data collection. Additionally, disability

143 status and HRQoL were evaluated through the Portuguese versions of the Health
144 Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) and the EQ-5D-3L [33,34]. The weight applied to the
145 severity states of the EQ-5D-3L was based on the Portuguese valuation study of the EQ-
146 5D-3L [35].

147

148 **Prognostic Indicators**

149 The selection of potential prognostic indicators for the persistent course pattern of CLBP
150 was based on previous published literature [25-30] and covered four domains: socio-
151 demographic (age, gender, employment status, marital status, and education), lifestyle
152 (body mass index, physical exercise, and its frequency), psychosocial (anxiety and
153 depression) and symptom-related indicators (disability and HRQoL).

154 Anxiety and depression were measured through the Portuguese version of the Hospital
155 Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) which is divided into an Anxiety subscale (HADS-
156 A) and a Depression subscale (HADS-D) (the cut-off used for positive anxiety and
157 depression symptoms was >11) [36,37].

158

159 **Statistical Analysis**

160 All data analyses were performed using SPSS, Version 24.0 for OX Yosemite (SPSS Inc.,
161 Chicago, IL).

162 Firstly, since complete case analysis may lead to biased results because data are unlikely
163 to be missing completely at random [38], nonresponse bias was assessed by comparing
164 the characteristics of the respondent and non-respondent samples at baseline (time-
165 point 1). A chi-square test and a student's *t*-test were used to compare the demographic

166 and clinical data of responders and non-responders, as appropriate.

167 Secondly, and as different CLBP courses were expected, descriptive statistics were
168 planned for different CLBP groups. Baseline characteristics were then compared using
169 the chi-square test and independent *t*-test for categorical and continuous variables,
170 respectively. Differences between groups on disability and HRQoL, over time, were
171 examined using repeated measures analysis of variance (General Linear Model
172 procedure).

173 Finally, to identify prognostic indicators, associations between the baseline variables
174 and the persistent course of CLBP were modelled through binary logistic regression
175 analysis. A multiple logistic regression was used through backward conditional
176 procedure. All comparisons were adjusted for variables that reveal statistically
177 significant differences in bivariate analysis ($p < 0.20$). The non-significant variable with
178 the highest *p*-value was removed in a stepwise manner until all variables had $p < 0.05$.

179 The results are presented as crude and adjusted odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence
180 intervals.

181 The discriminative ability of the model was assessed through the area under the receiver
182 operating characteristic curve (AUC), ranging from 0.5 (no discrimination) to 1.0 (perfect
183 discrimination) [39]. All *p* values are two-sided, and the significance level was 5%.

184

185 **Results**

186 Of the 1.201 selected participants with active CLBP at baseline (time-point 1), 634
187 (52.8%) completed all the time-points of data collection (complete data on main
188 outcomes - EQ5D index and HAQ). Non-responders at time-point 2 and/or 3 were lost

189 due to unsuccessful contact and for other reasons (participants refused to sign the
190 consent form for follow-up, expressed the wish to leave the study, had an invalid contact
191 or have died) (Fig 1).

192

193 **Fig 1. Flow diagram of recruitment**

194

195 At baseline, no statistically significant differences in socio-demographic and clinical
196 characteristics were found between responders and non-responders, except for HRQoL
197 (EQ-5D-3L index, $p=0.005$), with responders reporting a statistically significantly lower
198 HRQoL. The participants were aged 61.6 ± 13.6 years, predominantly females (78.4%)
199 and with a low educational level (64.4%). Considering the 3 time-points of data
200 collection, 400 (63.1%) participants were classified as having a persistent LBP course and
201 234 (36.9%) as having a relapsing LBP course. Of the 234 participants classified with a
202 relapsing LBP course, 63 (26.9%) reported no pain in time-points 2 and 3 (pain/no
203 pain/no pain), 49 (20.9%), an intermittent pattern of pain (pain/no pain/pain), and 122
204 (52.1%), reported no pain only in the third time-point (pain/pain/no pain). The
205 characteristics of the relapsing and persistent subgroups are presented in Table 1.

206

207 **Table 1.** Socio-demographic characteristics of the participants at baseline. Total sample and groups
208 (n=634).

	Total Sample (n=634)	Relapsing LBP Course (n=234)		Persistent LBP Course (n=400)	
	n (%)	n	(%)	n	(%)
Age (years) (Mean \pm SD¹)		59.6 \pm 15.1		62.7 \pm 12.7	
Female gender	497 (78.4%)	158	31.79	339	68.21
Educational level (%) (n = 634)					
0–4 years	408	128	31.37	280	68.63
5–9 years	113	56	49.56	57	50.44
10–12 years	65	23	35.38	42	64.62
>12 years	37	22	59.46	15	40.54
Marital status (%)					

Single	41 (6.5%)	41	41.46	24	58.54
Married	400 (63.1%)	400	36.00	256	64.00
Divorced	38 (6.0%)	38	39.47	23	60.53
Widower	144 (22.7%)	144	37.50	90	62.50
Consensual union	11 (1.7%)	11	36.36	7	63.64
Anxiety positive symptoms	194 (30.6%)	59	30.4%	135	69.6%
Depressive positive symptoms	135 (21.3%)	28	20.7%	107	79.3%
Employment status (%)					
Full-time employed	123 (19.4%)	57	46.34	66	53.66
Part-time employed	13 (2.1%)	6	46.15	7	53.85
Domestic worker	61 (9.6%)	18	29.51	43	70.49
Unemployed	49 (7.7%)	22	44.90	27	55.10
Retired	344 (54.3%)	117	34.01	227	65.99
Student	2 (0.3%)	1	50.00	1	50.0
Temporary disabled	21 (3.3%)	7	33.33	14	3.5
Other ²	21 (3.3%)	6	2.6	15	3.8
Manual worker (Yes)	397 (62.6%)	133	56.8	264	66.0
BMI⁽³⁾ (kg/m²) (%)	n=588	n=221		n=367	
Underweight (<18.50 kg/m ²)	1 (0.2%)	1	100	0	0
Normal (18.5–24.99 kg/m ²)	162 (25.6%)	162	43.21	92	56.79
Overweight (15.00–29.99 kg/m ²)	234 (36.9%)	234	38.46	144	61.54
Obese (≥30.00 kg/m ²)	191 (30.1%)	191	31.41	131	68.59
Physical exercise (%) (Yes)	134 (21.1%)	51	38.1%	83	61.9%
Average time per day (minutes)					
≤45min	63 (47%)	22	34.9%	41	65.1%
>45 min	71 (53%)	29	40.9%	42	59.2%
Average time per week (minutes)					
≤150min/week	61 (45.5%)	22	36.1%	39	63.9%
>150 min/week	73 (54.5%)	29	39.7%	44	60.3%
Pain					
Moderate pain or discomfort	234 (37%)	209	89.3%	25	10.7%
Extreme pain or discomfort	400 (63%)	338	84.5%	62	15.5%
Disability (HAQ score, n=634)⁽⁴⁾			0.76 ± 0.69		1.13 ± 0.70
HRQoL (EQ-5D-3L index, n=634)⁽⁵⁾			0.52 ± 0.20		0.43 ± 0.20

209
210 ⁽¹⁾ SD: Standard deviation; ⁽²⁾ Other: Do not know/do not answer; ⁽³⁾ BMI: Body Mass Index; ⁽⁴⁾ HAQ: A lower HAQ
211 disability score indicates better function; ⁽⁵⁾ HRQoL EQ-5D-3L index: "1" corresponds to the best possible health, and
212 "0" to death. Negative values correspond to states of health considered to be worse than death.
213
214 Statistically significant differences were found between groups for age ($p=0.008$),
215 educational level ($p=0.001$), HRQoL (EQ-5D-3L Index, $p=0.005$) and disability ($p=0.005$).
216 The participants in the persistent subgroup are older and less educated, have higher
217 levels of disability and lower HRQoL (Table 2). Moreover, statistically significant
218 associations were found between gender ($p\leq 0.005$), anxiety ($p=0.024$) and depressive
219 symptoms ($p\leq 0.005$), and the type of LBP condition (relapsing or persistent).

220

221 **Table 2.** Health Related Quality of Life and functional status at the different time-points, in "relapsing"
222 and "persistent" LBP subgroups (n=634).

		Mean ± SD Relapsing CLBP course	Mean ± SD Persistent CLBP course	p-value
Time-point 1 (Baseline)	EQ-5D-3L index (n=634)	0.52 ± 0.19	0.43 ± 0.19	< .0005
	Score HAQ (n=634)	0.76 ± 0.69	1.13± 0.70	< .0005
Time-point 2	EQ-5D-3L index (n=634)	0.58 ± 0.28	0.30± 0.23	< .0005
	Score HAQ (n=631)	0.38 ± 0.55	0.69 ± 0.80	< .0005
Time-point 3	EQ-5D-3L index (n=634)	0.70 ± 0.32	0.34 ± 0.24	< .0005
	Score HAQ (n=631)	0.55± 0.60	1.27± 0.70	< .0005

223

224 ⁽¹⁾ **HAQ:** A lower HAQ disability score indicates better function; ⁽²⁾ **HRQoL EQ-5D-3L index:** "1" corresponds
225 to the best possible health, and "0" to death. Negative values correspond to states of health considered
226 to be worse than death.

227

228 **Course of disability and HRQoL between groups, overtime**

229 HRQoL and disability status have improved over time in the "relapsing group", whereas
230 in the "persistent group" they have remained relatively stable (Table 2). Statistically
231 significant interactions were found between the group (relapsing or persistent) and time
232 (time-point 1 to 3) on disability ($F(2,1258)=23.779, p<0.001$), and HRQoL (EQ-5D-3L
233 index), ($F(2, 1252)=82.779, p<0.001$). At any time-point there were statistically
234 significant differences on disability ($p<0.005$) and HRQoL (EQ-5D-3L index, $p<0.005$),
235 between groups. Moreover, and over time, an opposite pattern of impact was found
236 between groups; comparing to baseline, HRQoL was statistically significantly higher
237 ($p<0.001$) and disability significantly lower ($p<0.001$) at time-point 3 in the relapsing

238 group, whereas HRQoL statistically significantly decreased ($p<0.001$) and disability
239 significantly increased ($p<0.001$) in the persistent group.

240

241 Prognostic Indicators for the persistent CLBP course

242 After adjustments, a persistent course of moderate to extreme pain or discomfort was
243 associated with the disability score at baseline, positive depressive symptoms, being
244 female and having a manual job. Participants with higher disability scores at baseline
245 had a higher OR for persistence of pain (1.84, CI95% 1.4-2.4, $p=0.001$). Participants with
246 positive depressive symptoms, manual workers and females have also a higher
247 probability of developing a persistent CLBP course than non-depressive participants (OR:
248 1.96, CI95% 1.2-3.2, $p=0.007$), non-manual workers (OR: 1.46, CI95% 1.02-2.1, $p=0.04$),
249 and males (OR: 1.9, CI95% 1.26-2.87, $p=0.002$) (Table 3).

250

251 **Table 3.** Odds Ratios (95% Confidence Intervals) for the associations between prognostic indicators and
252 the persistent course of CLBP (n=400).

Prognostic indicators	Persistent CLBP Course					
	Crude OR	CI 95%	p-value	Adjusted OR	CI 95%	p-value
Socio-demographic Indicators						
Age (continuous)	1.02	1.01-1.03	0.006**			
Gender (ref male)	2.67	1.82-3.93	0.001**	1.9	1.26-2.87	0.002
Educational Level (ref Secondary or higher Education)	1.45	0.94-2.22	0.093*			
Marital Status (ref living alone)	1.10	0.79-1.54	0.567			
Psychosocial indicators						
Anxiety positive symptoms (Ref no)	1.51	1.05-2.17	0.025**			
Depressive positive symptoms (Ref no)	2.69	1.71-4.23	0.001**	1.96	1.21-3.18	0.007
Employment status (ref working)	1.27	0.90-1.80	0.175*			
Manual worker (Ref no)	1.70	1.21-2.40	0.002**	1.46	1.02-2.1	0.040
Lifestyle Indicators						
BMI (Ref Underweight/normal weight)	1.44	0.99-2.08	0.055*			
Physical exercise (Ref yes)	1.06	0.72- 1.58	0.756			
Symptom-related Indicators						
Pain (Question 4- EQ-5D-3L) (Ref Moderate pain)	1.53	0.93-2.52	0.091*			
Disability (HAQ ⁴ , 0-24)	2.19	1.71-2.90	0.001**	1.84	1.4-2.4	0.001
HRQoL (EQ5D ⁵ Index, 0-1)	0.74	0.03-0.19	0.001**			
Area Under the Curve (AUC)				0.70	0.68-0.74	0.001

253

254 * $P<0.2$; ** $P<0.05$; *** $P<0.01$.

255 ¹ **OR**: Odds Ratio; ² **CI**: Confidence Intervals; **BMI**: Body Mass Index; ⁽⁴⁾ **HAQ**: A lower HAQ disability score
256 indicates better function; ⁽⁵⁾ **HRQoL EQ-5D-3L index**: “1” corresponds to the best possible health, and “0”
257 to death. Negative values correspond to states of health considered to be worse than death.

258

259 **Discussion**

260 The aim of this study was to investigate the presence of different LBP symptoms’ courses
261 during a follow-up period of 5 years, to examine its cumulative impact on disability and
262 HRQoL and to quantify the contribution of different prognostic indicators in predicting
263 the long-term persistence of symptoms. To our knowledge, this is the first large
264 prospective study that addresses the long-term course and prognosis of a restricted
265 sample of active CLBP patients. Its results provide evidence for different symptoms’
266 courses among people classified as chronic patients according to the traditional
267 temporal categorization of LBP, suggesting that classifying LBP simply as acute or chronic
268 is insufficient [5-17], and supporting the current understanding of LBP as a relapsing
269 condition of interrelated episodes of pain [11,14,18-20,40].

270 In this study, 26.9% of participants reported at least one time-period of complete
271 remission of LBP symptoms. This result is consistent with other long-term population
272 studies’ findings that reported values of pain-free people in all follow-up points between
273 23 and 35% [18-20]. Contrary to previous studies, the proportion of participants that
274 had reported a persistent symptoms’ course at all follow-up points (63.1%) was
275 substantially higher, with values ranging from 6 to 29% [18-20]. These differences might
276 be explained in part by differences in sample characteristics [7], or the long-standing
277 LBP definition used and data collection methods [18-20,41]. In this study, and since no
278 questions were made to participants regarding their LBP symptoms between time-

279 points, it is possible that the persistent group includes people with continuous mild pain
280 with low impact and people with a fluctuating symptoms course that varies between
281 severe pain episodes with a large impact on their live and pain-free periods [15].

282 The results obtained regarding the course of disability and HRQoL seem to support this
283 relapsing course of LBP symptoms. Despite statistically significant differences between
284 groups at all follow-up time-points, both groups share a similar relapsing pain pattern
285 over time. Nevertheless, it is important to highlight that statistically significant
286 differences found between the baseline and time-point 3 indicate that disability
287 decreases over time in the relapsing group and increases over time in the persistent
288 group. Comparisons of this study's findings with other studies are difficult since those
289 designed to describe the long-term course of disability and/or HRQoL are rare.

290 The present study explored potential prognostic indicators for persistent LBP. Although
291 there is a vast amount of studies dedicated to risk factors for the onset of LBP or
292 concerned with the transition of acute to CLBP, few studies investigated prognostic
293 indicators for persistent CLBP over periods of more than 1 year. In this study, being
294 female, have a manual job, presenting positive depressive symptoms, and reporting
295 disability, increases the chances of a persistent long-lasting LBP. These indicators are
296 consistent with findings reported in other studies [42-46].

297 Overall, the four indicators identified show a moderate ability to distinguish between
298 relapsing and persistent courses (AUC 0.70). This result limits the capacity of these
299 indicators to identify at presentation patients who are at risk for persistent disabling
300 symptoms, and suggests that other potential prognostic factors (e.g., physical, or
301 psychosocial) should be considered to predict persistent LBP.

302

303 **Strengths and Limitations**

304 Strengths of the current study include its long-term prospective design, the large sample
305 size, data on the long-term impact of persistent versus relapsing CLBP courses on
306 disability and HRQoL and the identification of prognostic indicators for the persistent
307 subgroup.

308 Nevertheless, some methodological limitations must be considered. First, only 52.8% of
309 the baseline sample responded to both follow-ups. Although participants included in
310 this study were similar to those lost, except for HRQoL, the possibility of selection bias
311 and residual confounding cannot be ruled out.

312 Another limitation already mentioned was the lack of information regarding LBP
313 symptoms between data collection periods. Future studies should seek to enroll patients
314 with an identical starting point of LBP symptoms' and follow them more frequently over
315 time, to allow a better understanding on whether a certain moment of pain is due to a
316 recurrence episode of pain or a persistent pain course. This distinction will make it
317 possible to better distinguish different courses of pain among CLBP patients and
318 potentiate the design of specific interventions whether to reduce the number of
319 recurrences, in patients with relapsing course, or to promote self-management in
320 patients with a persistent course. Furthermore, and although positive depressive
321 symptoms were significantly associated with persistent LBP, not all potentially
322 important psychological constructs were included in the present study. For example,
323 fear of pain, catastrophizing [47], and illness perceptions were found to be the strongest
324 predictors of poor prognosis in previous research [48].

325

326 **Conclusions**

327 The results of this study provide evidence on the long-term course of back pain and
328 suggested that people with CLBP might have different clinical courses ahead and
329 different prognosis in the long-term. It also provides relevant information about how
330 persistent CLBP might affect disability and HRQoL over time. Depressive and female
331 patients that have a manual job and report disability, have higher chances to develop a
332 persistent long-lasting LBP.

333

334 **Acknowledgments**

335 The authors team wish to acknowledge the contribution of Professor Carla Nunes for
336 the conceptualization and formal analysis of the current study.

337

338

339 References

- 340 1. Global Burden of Disease, Injury Incidence, Prevalence Collaborators. Global, regional,
341 and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and
342 injuries, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015.
343 *Lancet* 2016;388:1545–602.
- 344 2. Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae
345 of 289 diseases and injuries 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of
346 Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9859):2163–96.
- 347 3. Branco J, Rodrigues AM, Gouveia N, et al. Prevalence of rheumatic and musculoskeletal
348 diseases and their impact on health related quality of life, physical function and mental
349 health in Portugal: results from EpiReumaPt – a national health survey. *RMD Open*
350 2016;2:e000166. doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2015-000166.
- 351 4. Gouveia N, Rodrigues A, Eusébio M, et al. Prevalence and social burden of active chronic
352 low back pain in the adult Portuguese population: results from a national survey.
353 *Rheumatology International* 2016;36(2):183–97.
- 354 5. van Tulder M, Becker A, Bekkering T, et al. European guidelines for the management of
355 acute nonspecific low back pain in primary care. *COST Action B13* 2001.
- 356 6. Costa LC, Maher CG, Hancock MJ, et al. The prognosis of acute and persistent low-back
357 pain: a meta-analysis. *CMAJ* 2012;184(11):E613–24.
- 358 7. Costa LC, Maher CG, McAuley JH, et al. Prognosis for patients with chronic low back pain:
359 inception cohort study. *BMJ* 2009;339:b3829.
- 360 8. Global Burden of Disease 2015 DALYs and HALE Collaborators. Global, regional, and
361 national disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 306 diseases and injuries and healthy
362 life expectancy (HALE) for 188 countries, 1990-2013: quantifying the epidemiological
363 transition. *Lancet* 2015;386:2145–91.

- 364 9. Maetzel A, Li L. The economic burden of low back pain: a review of studies published
365 between 1996 and 2001. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Rheumatology*
366 2002;16(1):23–30. doi:10.1053/berh.2001.0204.
- 367 10. Hartvigsen J, Hancock MJ, Kongsted A, et al. What low back pain is and why we need to
368 pay attention. *Lancet* 2018;391(10137):2356–67.
- 369 11. Dunn, KM, Hesbaek L, Cassidy JD. Low back pain across the life course. *Best Practice*
370 *Research and Clinical Rheumatology*, 2013;27(5):591-600. doi:
371 10.1016/j.berh.2013.09.007.
- 372 12. Hancock MJ, Maher CG, Laslett M, et al. Discussion paper: what happened to the “bio”
373 in the bio-psycho-social model of low back pain? *European Spine Journal*
374 2011;20(12):2105–10. 3
- 375 13. Koes BW, van Tulder MW, Thomas S. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain. *BMJ*
376 2006;332:1430–1434.
- 377 14. Hoy D, Brooks P, Blyth F, et al. The epidemiology of low back pain. *Best Pract Res Clin*
378 *Rheumatol* 2010;24:769–781.
- 379 15. Dunn KM, Campbell P, Jordan KP. Long-term trajectories of back pain: cohort study with
380 7-year follow-up. *BMJ Open* 2013;3:e003838. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013- 003838.
- 381 16. Tamcan O, Mannion AF, Eisenring C, et al. The course of chronic and recurrent low back
382 pain in the general population. *Pain* 2010;150:451–7.
- 383 17. Hestbaek L, Leboeuf-Yde C, Manniche C. Low back pain: what is the long-term course?
384 A review of studies of general patient populations. *European Spine Journal*
385 2003;12(2):149–65.
- 386 18. Kolb E, Canjuga M, Bauer GF, et al. Course of back pain across 5 years: a retrospective
387 cohort study in the general population of Switzerland. *Spine* 2011;36 (4):E268–73.
- 388 19. van Oostrom SH, Verschuren WM, de Vet HC, et al. Ten year course of low back pain in
389 an adult population-based cohort – the Doetinchem Cohort Study. *European Journal of*

- 390 *Pain* 2011;15(9):993–8.
- 391 20. Hestbaek L, Leboeuf-Yde C, Engberg M, et al. The course of low back pain in a general
392 population. Results from a 5-year prospective study. *Journal of Manipulative*
393 *Physiological Therapy* 2003;26(4):213–9.
- 394 21. Itz CJ, Geurts JW, van Kleef Met al. Clinical course of non-specific low back pain: a
395 systematic review of prospective cohort studies set in primary care. *European Journal*
396 *of Pain* 2013;17(1):5–15. doi:10.1002/j.1532-2149.2012.00170.x
- 397 22. Ramiro S, Canhao H, Branco JC. EpiReumaPt Protocol— Portuguese epidemiologic study
398 of the rheumatic diseases. *Acta Reumatológica Portuguesa* 2010;35:384–90.
- 399 23. Rodrigues AM, Gouveia N, da Costa LP, et al. EpiReumaPt - the study of rheumatic and
400 musculoskeletal diseases in Portugal: a detailed view of the methodology. *Acta*
401 *Reumatológica Portuguesa* 2015;40:110–24.
- 402 24. Dias S, Rodrigues AM, Gregório MJ, et al. Cohort Profile: The Epidemiology of Chronic
403 Diseases Cohort (EpiDoC). *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2018;1741–1742j doi:
404 10.1093/ije/dyy185.
- 405 25. Chou R, Shekelle P. Will this patient develop persistent disabling low back pain? *JAMA*
406 2010;303:1295–302.
- 407 26. Hendrick P, Milosavljevic S, Hale L, et al. The relationship between physical activity and
408 low back pain outcomes: a systematic review of observational studies. *European Spine*
409 *Journal* 2011;20:464–74.
- 410 27. Pinheiro MB, Ferreira ML, Refshauge K, et al. Symptoms of depression as a prognostic
411 factor for low back pain: a systematic review. *Spine Journal* 2016;16:105–16.
- 412 28. Wertli MM, Eugster R, Held U, et al. Catastrophizing – a prognostic factor for outcome
413 in patients with low back pain: a systematic review. *Spine Journal* 2014;14:2639–57.
- 414 29. Wertli MM, Rasmussen-Barr E, Weiser S, et al. The role of fear avoidance beliefs as a
415 prognostic factor for outcome in patients with nonspecific low back pain: a systematic

- 416 review. *Spine Journal* 2014;14:816–36.
- 417 30. Wilkens P, Scheel IB, Grundnes O, et al. Prognostic factors of prolonged disability in
418 patients with chronic low back pain and lumbar degeneration in primary care: a cohort
419 study. *Spine Journal* 2013;38:65–74.
- 420 31. Deyo RA, Bryan M, Comstock BA, et al. Trajectories of Symptoms and Function in Older
421 Adults with Low Back Disorders. *Spine Journal* 2015;40(17):1352-362.
- 422 32. Chen Y, Campbell P, Strauss V, et al. Trajectories and predictors of the long-term course
423 of low back pain: cohort study with 5-year follow-up. *Pain* 2018;159(2):252–260.
- 424 33. Fries JF, Spitz P, Kraines RG, et al. Measurement of patient outcome in arthritis. *Arthritis*
425 *& Rheumatology* 1980;23:137–145.
- 426 34. Ferreira LN, Ferreira PL, Pereira LN. Contributos para a Validação da Versão Portuguesa
427 do EQ-5D. *Acta Médica Portuguesa* 2013;26(6):664-675.
- 428 35. Ferreira LN, Ferreira PL, Pereira LN, et al. The valuation of the EQ-5D in Portugal. *Quality*
429 *of Life Research* 2014;23(2):413–423.
- 430 36. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. Hospital anxiety and depression scale (HAD). *Acta Psychiatrica*
431 *Scandinavica* 1983;67:361–70.
- 432 37. Pais-Ribeiro J, Silva I, Ferreira T, et al. Validation Study of a Portuguese version of the
433 hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Psychology, Health & Medicine* 2007;12(2):225-
434 237.
- 435 38. Sterne JA, White IR, Carlin JB, et al. Multiple imputation for missing data in
436 epidemiological and clinical research: potential and pitfalls. *BMJ* 2009;338:b2393.
- 437 39. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. Applied logistic regression. 2nd Edition, New York, John Wiley
438 & Sons, Inc 2000;doi10.1002/0471722146.
- 439 40. Dunn KM, Jordan K, Croft PR. Characterizing the course of low back pain: a latent class
440 analysis. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2006;163:754–61.
- 441 41. Waxman R, Tennant A, Helliwell P. A prospective follow-up study of low back pain in

- 442 the community. *Spine Journal* 2000;25:2085–90.
- 443 42. Thomas E, Silman AJ, Croft, PR, et al. Predicting who develops chronic low back pain in
444 primary care: a prospective study. *BMJ* 1999;318:1662–7.
- 445 43. Grotle M, Foster NE, Dunn KM, et al. Are prognostic indicators for poor outcome
446 different for acute and chronic low back pain consulters in primary care? *Pain*
447 2010;151:790–797.
- 448 44. Carey TS, Garrett JM, Jackman AM. Beyond the good prognosis: examination of an
449 inception cohort of patients with chronic low back pain. *Spine Journal* 2000;25:115–20.
- 450 45. Costa LC, Maher CG, McAuley JH, et al. Prognosis for patients with chronic low back pain:
451 inception cohort study. *BMJ* 2009;339:b3829.
- 452 46. Schiottz-Christensen B, Nielsen GL, Hansen VK, et al. Long-term prognosis of acute low
453 back pain in patients seen in general practice: a 1-year prospective follow-up study.
454 *Family Practice* 1999;16:223–32.
- 455 47. Dunn, KM, Jordan KP, Croft PR. Contributions of prognostic factors for poor
456 outcome in primary care low back pain patients. *Eur J Pain* 2011;15(3):313-9.
- 457 48. Foster NE, Thomas E, Bishop A, et al. Distinctiveness of psychological obstacles to
458 recovery in low back pain patients in primary care. *Pain* 2010;148:398–406.




Figure 1