

1 Examining the Association between the Gastrointestinal Microbiota and Gulf War Illness: A
2 Prospective Cohort Study

3
4 Ashley Kates^{1,2*}, Julie Keating¹, Kelsey Baubie¹, Nathan Putman-Buehler², Lauren Watson⁴,
5 Jared Godfrey^{1,2}, Courtney L. Deblois^{1,5,6}, Garret Suen⁵, Dane B. Cook^{1,7}, David Rabago⁸,
6 Ronald Gangnon^{9,10}, Nasia Safdar^{1,2}

7 ¹Research, William S. Middleton Memorial Veterans Hospital, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

8 ²Department of Medicine, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin-
9 Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

10 ³Department of Biochemistry, College of Agriculture & Life Sciences, University of Wisconsin-
11 Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

12 ⁴SSM Health, St. Mary's Hospital, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

13 ⁵Department of Bacteriology, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, University of Wisconsin-
14 Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

15 ⁶Microbiology Doctoral Training Program, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison,
16 Wisconsin, USA

17 ⁷Department of Kinesiology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

18 ⁸Department of Family and Community Medicine, College of Medicine, Penn State University,
19 Hershey, Pennsylvania, USA

20 ⁹Department of Biostatistics and Medical Informatics, School of Medicine and Public Health,
21 University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

22 ¹⁰Department of Population Health Sciences, School of Medicine and Public Health, University
23 of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

24

25 * Corresponding author

26 email: akates@medicine.wisc.edu

27 **Abstract**

28 Gulf War Illness (GWI) affects 25-35% of the 1991 Gulf War Veteran population. Patients with
29 GWI experience pain, fatigue, cognitive impairments, gastrointestinal dysfunction, skin
30 disorders, and respiratory issues. In longitudinal studies, many patients with GWI have shown
31 little to no improvement in symptoms since diagnosis. The gut microbiome and diet play an
32 important role in human health and disease, and preliminary studies suggest it may play a role
33 in GWI. To examine the relationship between the gut microbiota, diet, and GWI, we conducted
34 an eight-week prospective cohort study collecting stool samples, medications, health history,
35 and dietary data. Sixty-nine participants were enrolled into the study, 36 of which met the case
36 definition for GWI. The gut microbiota of participants, determined by 16S rRNA sequencing of
37 stool samples, was stable over the duration of the study and showed no within person (alpha
38 diversity) differences. Between group analyses (beta diversity) identified statistically significant
39 different between those with and without GWI. Several taxonomic lineages were identified as
40 differentially abundant between those with and without GWI (n=9) including a greater
41 abundance of Lachnospiraceae and Ruminococcaceae in those without GWI. Additionally, there
42 were taxonomic differences between those with high and low HEI scores including a greater
43 abundance of Ruminococcaceae in those with higher HEI scores. This longitudinal cohort study
44 of GWVs found that participants with GWI had significantly different microbiomes from those
45 without GWI. Further studies are needed to determine the role these differences may play in the
46 development and treatment of GWI.

47

48 **Introduction**

49 Gulf War Illness (GWI) is a devastating chronic multi-symptom syndrome impacting 25-
50 35% of the 700,000 coalition troops deployed during the 1990-91 Gulf War.(1)(2) Following their

51 return from the Persian Gulf, Veterans experienced a unique pattern of symptoms across
52 multiple physiologic domains with no identifiable cause. Over the last 30 years, a number of
53 theories as to the cause of GWI have arisen with consensus being that troops were exposed to
54 environmental contaminants – most likely pyridostigmine bromide (PB) or chemical nerve
55 agents – during their deployment during Operations Desert Shield and Desert Storm.(3)
56 Individuals with GWI experience musculoskeletal pain, fatigue, neurological symptoms (e.g.,
57 memory and cognitive issues, difficulty sleeping, depression, dizziness, headaches), rashes and
58 other skin disorders, respiratory symptoms (e.g., persistent cough and difficulty breathing), as
59 well as gastrointestinal issues (e.g., diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, and Irritable Bowel
60 Syndrome).(4) Few effective treatments have been found, and patients have experienced little
61 to no improvement in their symptoms over time.(5) Additionally, these patients are aging faster
62 and developing additional comorbidities such as heart disease, diabetes, and arthritis at
63 younger ages than the general population.(6)

64 While several case definitions for GWI exist, the Kansas case definition is most
65 frequently reported; it is also one of the recommended case definitions by the Institute of
66 Medicine.(4)(7) According to the Kansas case definition, patients must have moderately severe
67 symptoms in three or more of the six symptom domains (chronic fatigue, neurological
68 symptoms, joint or muscle pain, gastrointestinal disturbance, respiratory symptoms, and skin
69 problems) for at least 6 months that are not explained by any other medical or psychiatric
70 condition. Using these criteria, roughly one-third of GW Veterans (GWVs) meet the definition of
71 GWI.(4)

72 Research to date primarily focuses on neurologic symptoms of GWI; however, gut
73 dysfunction is also a commonly reported symptom of GWI patients.(8) Veterans with GWI
74 related gut dysfunction experience pain, nausea, vomiting, bloating, and diarrhea similar to
75 Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS) and Myalgic Encephalomyelitis/Chronic Fatigue Syndrome
76 (ME/CFS). Disturbances to the gut microbiota may play a role in disease pathogenesis of both

77 ME/CFS and IBS.(9)(10) Given the similarities between these syndromes and GWI,
78 researchers have begun to consider the role of the gut microbiome role in GWI; preliminary
79 studies show significantly different gut microbiomes between healthy controls and those with
80 GWI in both animals and humans. Mice treated with PB and corticosterone had over 100
81 different operational taxonomic units (OTUs) than controls ($p=0.005$).(11) Similarly, mice treated
82 with PB and permethrin had reduced alpha diversity ($p <0.001$) compared to controls; high fat
83 diets further reduced alpha diversity while returns to normal feed provided partial recovery,
84 indicating the potential role of diet in GWI symptom exacerbation.(12) A small pilot study of
85 GWVs with GWI found those with GWI and gut symptoms had significantly different
86 microbiomes compared to both those with GWI and no gut symptoms and healthy controls
87 including higher abundances of Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, Erysipelotrichaceae, and
88 Bifidobacteriaceae.(13)

89 We undertook a prospective cohort study to assess the relationship between GWI and
90 the gut microbiome in GWVs with GWI and healthy controls. We hypothesized those with GWI
91 would have less diverse microbiomes and higher Bacteroidetes abundance than healthy
92 controls.

93

94 **Methods**

95 We conducted an eight-week prospective cohort study assessing the gut microbiomes of
96 GWVs. A detailed description of the study methodology has previously been published.(14)

97 **Study population, recruitment, and consent**

98 Veterans meeting deployment criteria were identified from VA databases and were
99 recruited via mailed invitation letters. Following invitation letters, GWVs interested in
100 participating completed a phone screening to determine eligibility. Inclusion and exclusion
101 criteria can be found in Table 1. Written informed consent was obtained at the enrollment visit

102 by a trained team member prior to initiating additional study procedures. Participants received
103 \$50 for completing the enrollment visit and an additional \$50 for the close-out visit. This study
104 and all associated protocols were approved by the University of Wisconsin Madison Health
105 Sciences Institutional Review Board (ID No. 2017-1212) and the Madison VA Research and
106 Development Committee.

107 **Table 1. Study inclusion and exclusion criteria**

Inclusion Criteria
Age 43-75 years
Deployed to the Persian Gulf as part of Operation Desert Shield and/or Operation Desert Storm during the 1990-1991 Gulf War
Exclusion Criteria
Diagnosed with a neurologic or musculoskeletal condition (lupus, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, or amyotrophic lateral sclerosis)
Diagnosed with inflammatory bowel disease
One or more of the GWI Kansas case definition exclusionary conditions: schizophrenia, active cancer treatment, or presence of cognitive/physical impairments following a stroke
Unstable psychiatric illness (defined as hospitalization within the previous year for depression, bipolar disorder, or post-traumatic stress disorder)
Active/unstable illness (defined as hospitalization within the last 5 years for diabetes, heart disease, rheumatoid arthritis, seizure disorder, kidney or liver disease)
Chronic infectious disease lasting 6 months or longer requiring hospitalization in the last year
Current involvement in any clinical trial
Pregnancy
Use of antibiotics, probiotics, immunomodulatory medications, or fecal microbiome transplant in the 90 days prior to screening.

108

109

110 **Enrollment**

111 Veterans meeting eligibility criteria attended an in-person enrollment session. During the
112 enrollment visit, participants completed questionnaires assessing their medical history and
113 lifestyle including smoking history, medications, alcohol use, and comorbidities. Participants
114 also completed the National Cancer Institute's Dietary History Questionnaire III (DHQ III) (15,16)
115 and a questionnaire assessing their known exposures to chemicals, biologics, and
116 pharmaceuticals during their Gulf War deployment as well as their branch of service.

117 Lastly, participants completed the Kansas GWI case definitions assessment.
118 Participants self-reported individual symptoms within the six GWI symptom domains (fatigue,
119 pain, gastrointestinal, cognition, skin, and respiratory) and rated the severity of those symptoms
120 as no, mild, moderate, or severe impacts on daily life in the previous six months. Deployed
121 GWVs with GWI must have endorsed one or more moderate to severe symptoms in the fatigue
122 domain and at least two of the other five symptom domains. Participants not meeting these
123 criteria were classified as controls.

124

125 **Sample collection and study follow-up**

126 Stool samples were collected weekly for eight weeks. Participants were provided with
127 stool collection kits and were trained by the research team on how to properly collect, store, and
128 ship specimens. Participants collected samples within a five-day window each week and were
129 asked to ship specimens overnight within 24 hours of collection. Samples not received within 72
130 hours were rejected. The study team called participants weekly to remind them to collect and
131 ship their samples. During the weekly check-in, participants were asked about antibiotic or

132 probiotic use in the last week, about gastrointestinal symptoms, and if they had questions or
133 comments for the study team.

134

135 **DNA extraction and sequencing**

136 The full laboratory methods for this study have previously been published.(14,17) Briefly,
137 total genomic DNA was extracted using a phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol and bead-beating
138 protocol with additional enzymatic lysis containing mutanolysin, lysostaphin, and lysozyme to
139 assist in lysing gram-positive cell walls. Samples were purified using the NucleoSpin Gel and
140 PCR cleanup kit according to the manufacturer's directions (Macherey-Nagal, Germany) and
141 stored at -80°C. DNA was quantified using the Qubit dsDNA kit (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA)
142 on the Biotek Synergy HTX (Biotek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA). Samples were then
143 sequenced using 16S rRNA sequencing of the V4 region on the Illumina MiSeq at the University
144 of Wisconsin Biotechnology Center. Purified DNA was normalized to 5 ng/μL, amplified using
145 barcoded primers for the 16S V4 region, and sequenced using 2x250 paired end reads.

146

147 **Microbial analysis and statistics**

148 Raw sequences were processed into amplicon sequencing variants (ASVs) using
149 QIIME2(18) following the "Moving Pictures" protocol.(19) DADA2(20) was used for the quality
150 control steps. Taxonomy was assigned using the GreenGenes(21) database and assigned to
151 the genus level whenever possible. Statistical analyses were conducted using R version
152 4.1.0.(22) Alpha diversity was assessed using the Shannon, Inverse Simpson's diversity
153 indices; richness was assessed using the Chao1 index. The Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix,
154 which was used to assess beta diversity, was visualized using non-metric multidimensional
155 scaling (NMDS). Permutational Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA) was used to estimate

156 associations between GWI status and beta diversity.(23) In addition to GWI vs controls, alpha
157 and beta diversity between those with and without gastrointestinal symptoms was also
158 assessed. The Quasi-Conditional Association Test and Generalized Estimating Equation
159 (QCAT-GEE) (24) was used to test for differentially abundant taxa (subset to the 100 most
160 prevalent ASVs) between those with GWI, after adjustment for age, added sugars, the presence
161 of gastrointestinal symptoms, pesticide exposure, and the Healthy Eating Index – 2015 (HEI).
162 The HEI is a measure of overall diet quality (independent of food quantity) and is scored by
163 assigning points to 13 dietary components with a maximum of 100 points.(25). A QCAT-GEE
164 analysis was also done by HEI. The Benjamini-Hochberg correction for the false discovery rate
165 (FDR) (26) was applied ($\alpha=0.05$). QCAT-GEE provides three tests for differences by GWI
166 status: the positive part (differences in abundance of each taxa), the zero part (differences in the
167 presence/absence of taxa), and the two part (combining the positive and zero parts). A p-value
168 of ≤ 0.05 was used for all statistical tests.

169 **Results**

170 A total of 69 GWVs, 36 with GWI and 33 healthy controls, were included in the final
171 analysis (Figure 1). The 69 patients provided 498 stool samples over eight weeks. The average
172 age for the full cohort was 56.3 years; those with GWI were younger (54.2 years) than those
173 without GWI (58.8 years, $p=0.006$). Six (9%) participants were female, three in each group. A
174 majority of participants were members of the Army (N=46, 67%), followed by the Marines
175 (N=12, 17%), Navy and Air Force (each N=5, 7%), and the Coast Guard (N=1, 1%). Fifty-nine
176 (86%) participants identified as White. Full demographics data can be found in Table 2. Those
177 with GWI reported significantly more moderate/severe symptoms across all symptom domains
178 (Table 3). Twelve members of the GWI group reported experiencing three symptoms, 13
179 reported four symptoms, eight reported five symptoms, and three reported six symptoms. Six of
180 those without GWI reported no symptoms, 11 reported one symptom, 12 reported two

181 symptoms, two reported three symptoms, and two reported four symptoms. All exposures
 182 except vaccinations were more prevalent in the GWI group (Table 4).

183

184 **Figure 1. Flowchart of study enrollment**

185

186 **Table 2. Participant demographics by age group**

	Full Cohort (N=69)	GWI (N=36)	Control (N=33)	p-value
Age (years)	56	59	54	0.006*
Gender				
Male	63 (91%)	33 (92%)	30 (91%)	
Female	6 (9%)	3 (8%)	3 (9%)	0.91
Branch				
Army	46 (67%)	26 (72%)	20 (67%)	
Navy	5 (7%)	1 (3%)	4 (13%)	
Marines	12 (17%)	7 (19%)	5 (17%)	
Air Force	5 (7%)	2 (6%)	3 (10%)	
Coast Guard	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	0.41
Race*				
White	59 (86%)	31 (86%)	28 (85%)	
Other	8 (12%)	4 (11%)	4 (12%)	
Preferred not to answer	2 (3%)	1 (3%)	1 (3%)	0.99

187

188 **Table 3. Reported moderate/severe symptoms and GWI domain by group**

	Full Cohort (N=69)	GWI (N=36)	Control (N=33)

Symptom Domain			
Fatigue	46 (67%)	36 (100%)	10 (30%)
Pain	36 (52%)	33 (92%)	3 (9%)
Neurologic	43 (62%)	31 (86%)	12 (36%)
Skin	12 (17%)	10 (28%)	2 (6%)
Respiratory	14 (20%)	11 (31%)	3 (9%)
Gastrointestinal	26 (38%)	23 (64%)	3 (9%)
Number of Symptoms			
Zero	6 (7%)	0 (0%)	6 (18%)
One	11 (16%)	0 (0%)	11 (33%)
Two	12 (17%)	0 (0%)	12 (36%)
Three	14 (20%)	12 (33%)	2 (6%)
Four	15 (22%)	13 (36%)	2 (6%)
Five	8 (12%)	8 (22%)	0 (0%)
Six	3 (4%)	3 (8%)	0 (0%)

189

190 **Table 4. Reported exposures by group**

Exposure	Full Cohort (N=69)	GWJ (N=36)	Control (N=33)	p-value
Vaccinations	65 (94%)	33 (92%)	32 (97%)	0.62
Oil well fires	55 (80%)	32 (89%)	25 (76%)	0.21
Chemical/biologic weapons	27 (46%)	17 (47%)	10 (30%)	0.22

Other chemicals	23 (33%)	14 (39%)	9 (27%)	0.44
Pyridostigmine bromide	49 (71%)	29 (81%)	20 (61%)	0.11
Pesticides	24 (35%)	17 (47%)	7 (21%)	0.042*
Toxic embedded fragments	5 (7%)	5 (14%)	0 (0%)	0.055
Infectious disease	5 (7%)	4 (11%)	1 (3%)	0.36
Heat/high temperatures	11 (16%)	8 (22%)	3 (9%)	0.19

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

Overall, participants in both the control and GWI groups reported eating diets similar to the U.S. national average (59 pts) with the average Healthy Eating Index (HEI) at 61 pts (GWI: 58.2, Control: 63.8) using the National Cancer Institute’s Dietary History Questionnaire III (DHQ III). Those in the GWI group did have a poorer diet and had a borderline significantly lower score (p-value:0.0535). Those in the GWI group also reported eating diets containing significantly more added sugars (GWI: 17.2 tsp/day, Control: 11.0 tsp/day, p-value: 0.044) and well above the recommended amount of added sugar (Table 5). No other significant differences were observed between the groups. Additionally, the mean dietary intakes for both groups fell below the USDAs recommended daily intakes for all macronutrients.(27)

Table 5. Mean dietary data by group

	Full Cohort (N=69)	GWI (N=36)	Control (N=33)	p-value	Recommended Value*
Healthy Eating Index	61.0	58.2	63.8	0.054	100 is highest score

Fiber					
Total Dietary Fiber (grams)	18.3	18.4	18.2	0.95	31 g/day
Soluble Dietary Fiber (grams)	7.1	7.0	7.2	0.88	No set recommendations
Insoluble Dietary Fiber (grams)	11.2	11.3	11.0	0.86	No set recommendations
Total Fruit (cups)	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.81	2.0
Total Vegetables (cups)	1.5	1.7	1.4	0.27	3.0
Total Legumes (cups)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.74	Approx. 0.3 cups/day or 2 cups/week
Whole grains (ounces)	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.91	>3.5
Refined grains (ounces)	3.4	3.9	3.0	0.13	<3.5
Total protein foods (ounces)	5.4	5.7	5.0	0.46	6.0
Total Dairy (cups)	2.1	2.4	1.8	0.2	3.0
Added sugars (teaspoons)	14.2	17.2	11.0	0.044	<10% of calories/day or

					<13 tsp
Energy from total fat (%kcal)	35.4%	35.6%	35.2%	0.86	20-35%
Energy from carbohydrates (%kcal)	44.8%	44.9%	44.6%	0.9	45-65%
Energy from protein (% kcal)	15.9%	15.4%	16.4%	0.32	10-35%
Energy from saturated fats (% kcal)	12.1%	12.0%	12.1%	0.92	<10%

203

204 The gut microbiota for all participants were dominated by members of the Firmicutes and
 205 Bacteroides phyla. Participants in the control group who reported experiencing moderate/severe
 206 gut-related symptoms had a very different gut microbial composition compared to all other
 207 groups. The ratio of Bacteroidetes to Firmicutes (B/F ratio) for the full cohort was 54.9% to
 208 38.0% (Figure 2). Actinobacteria (2.3%), Verrucomicrobia (2.5%), and Proteobacteria (1.8%)
 209 were the only other phyla consisting of over 1% of the ASVs.

210 **Figure 2. Relative abundance bar plot of the top 8 phyla by aggregated by week and GWI**
 211 **status.**

212 The Shannon, Inverse Simpson, and Chao1 alpha diversity index measures all showed
 213 a very stable microbiome over time, regardless of GWI status. Linear mixed-effects modeling of
 214 the three alpha diversity measures confirmed these findings ($p=0.28$) (Figure 3). While no
 215 differences between groups were statistically significant, a visual inspection of the data shows

216 slightly lower alpha diversity amongst those reporting moderate to severe gut symptoms
217 regardless of GWI status (Figure 4).

218 **Figure 3. Linear mixed-effects modeling of alpha diversity by week. Red line is those with**
219 **GWI and blue line is the controls. Lighter bands represent the 95% confidence interval of**
220 **the regression line.**

221 **Figure 4. Alpha diversity by week and GWI status stratified by the presence of**
222 **moderate/severe gastrointestinal symptoms (Yes/No).**

223 To assess beta diversity, the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity was calculated and visualized
224 using NMDS (Figure 5). Visual inspection showed a great deal of overlap of the ellipses
225 indicating the centroids are in similar places; however, the PERMANOVA analysis indicated
226 group status (GWI vs. controls, and GWI +GI, GWI, Control +GI, and control) significantly
227 explained 1.2% and 3% of the variation in the data respectively (p-value:0.001 for both).
228 However, PERMANOVA assumes homoscedasticity and the data showed significantly different
229 dispersions (p=0.002 via betadisper in vegan). To confirm results, analysis of similarities
230 (ANOSIM) was done which also found GWI status to significantly impact the gut microbiota as
231 well (p <0.0001). The microbiota was very stable over the duration of the study with “week” not
232 being associated with beta diversity using either PERMANOVA or ANOSIM (p=1.0 and 0.999
233 respectively).

234 **Figure 5. NMDS plot of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix by week and GWI status**

235 The QCAT-GEE test for differential lineages identified 9 lineages at the family level that
236 were significantly different between those with GWI and the healthy controls. This model was
237 adjusted for the subjects’ age, the presence of gastrointestinal symptoms, pesticide exposure
238 during deployment, HEI score, and added sugar consumption (in teaspoons). Three lineages
239 (Lachnospiraceae, Oscillospiraceae, and Ruminococcaceae) were more prevalent in those
240 without GWI and were significant across the positive-, zero-, and two-part tests (Table 6).
241 Additionally, we tested for whether there were differences in lineages associated with eating

242 habits (HEI score) those experiencing moderate to severe gastrointestinal symptoms (adjusted
 243 for GWI status) (Table 7). Lineages associated with the Eggerthellaceae,
 244 Erysipelatoclostridiaceae, Lachnospiraceae, and Oscillospiraceae families were all significant
 245 across the positive-, zero-, and two-part tests with Erysipelatoclostridiaceae and
 246 Lachnospiraceae associated with decreasing HEI scores.

247 **Table 6. Bacterial taxa associated with GWI adjusted for covariates at the family level**

Taxa	Positive Part	Zero Part	Two-Part	Direction*
Acidaminococcaceae	0.001	0.849	0.008	↑
Eggerthellaceae	0.446	0.028	0.059	↑
Erysipelotrichaceae	0.0735	0.002	0.012	↓
Lachnospiraceae	0.001	0.0001	0.001	↓
Marinifilaceae	0.0001	0.214	0.0001	↑
Oscillospiraceae	0.007	0.004	0.0001	↓
Peptostreptococcaceae	0.986	0.015	0.035	↓
Ruminococcaceae	0.002	0.041	0.001	↓
Veillonellaceae	0.019	0.317	0.061	↑

248 *P*-values reported have FDR correction applied.

249 *Up arrows indicate higher abundance in those with GWI, down arrows indicate higher
 250 abundance in controls

251

252 **Table 7. Bacterial taxa associated with HEI scores among those with gastrointestinal**
 253 **symptoms adjusted for GWI status at the family level**

Taxa	Positive Part	Zero Part	Two-Part	Direction*
Acidaminococcaceae	0.058	0.012	0.007	↑

Christensenellaceae	0.499	0.019	0.040	↑
Eggerthellaceae	0.038	0.039	0.001	↑
Erysipelatoclostridiaceae	0.05	0.04	0.015	↓
Lachnospiraceae	0.002	0.0001	0.0001	↓
Marinifilaceae	0.813	0.001	0.001	↓
Oscillospiraceae	0.001	0.0001	0.0001	↑
Peptostreptococcaceae	0.379	0.005	0.15	↑
Ruminococcaceae	0.701	0.0001	0.001	↑
Veillonellaceae	0.961	0.039	0.939	↑

254 *P*-values reported have FDR correction applied.

255 *Up arrows indicate increasing abundance with increasing HEI scores, down arrows indicate
 256 increase abundance with lower HEI scores

257

258 Discussion

259 This longitudinal cohort study of GWVs with and without GWI found participants with
 260 GWI had significantly different microbiomes from those without GWI. Furthermore, the gut
 261 microbiota was relatively stable over the eight weeks, regardless of GWI status, which was not
 262 surprising given no intervention was part of the study. The gut microbiota of both those with and
 263 without GWI consisted almost entirely of members of the Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes phyla
 264 across all time points. While there was no difference in alpha diversity between the groups,
 265 there was a difference in beta diversity by both group and the presence of gut symptoms.
 266 Similar to alpha diversity, beta diversity was not impacted by sampling week. We also identified
 267 several lineages that differed significantly by GWI status including members of the families
 268 Lachnospiraceae, Oscillospiraceae, and Ruminococcaceae which were all more prevalent in

269 those without GWI. Last, it appears those participants reporting gastrointestinal symptoms with
270 healthier eating habits had differing gut microbiota from those with less healthy diets.

271 While limited data on the impact of GWI and the gut microbiome exists, several studies
272 have observed greater abundances in Lachnospiraceae in those without GWI.(13) However, in
273 a pilot study of Veterans with GWI, Ruminococcaceae was found to be associated with GWI,
274 while in our study, it was associated with the healthy participant group. One potential reason for
275 this difference is the smaller sample sizes observed in the pilot study of Veterans with GWI
276 (n=16 with stool samples, 3-5 per group).(13) Other studies assessing the gut microbiome in
277 GWI mouse models have also found Ruminococcaceae to be more abundant in the healthy
278 controls.(12)(28) Previous research on Crohn's disease and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)
279 have found members of the Ruminococcaceae family to be decreased in those with the disease
280 state,(29) consistent with our findings. Several members of the Ruminococcaceae family are
281 important in microbe-mediated carbohydrate metabolism breaking down starches and cellulose
282 in the lower GI tract.(30) They are critical producers of acetate(31), a necessary short-chain
283 fatty acid (SCFA) for gut health as well as serving as a critical nutrient for butyrate producing
284 bacteria in the gut.(32)(33) While not assessed in our study, acetate is a potential mediator of
285 the gut-brain axis.(34) Animals models of multiple sclerosis (MS) have shown acetate
286 supplementation can help reduce clinical symptoms associated with MS in mice.(35) In our
287 cohort, 31 (86.1%) of those with GWI endorsed experiencing moderate to severe neurologic
288 symptoms. Lachnospiraceae has also been shown to be in decreased abundance in those with
289 GWI in both human and mouse models.(13)(28)(36) Additionally, it has been shown to be
290 reduced in those with ulcerative colitis(37), ME/CFS(38), and Crohn's disease(39). Members of
291 the Lachnospiraceae family are also key carbohydrate metabolizers producing butyrate.(37)

292 SCFAs, including butyrate and acetate, are crucial to gut health. They are the end
293 products of carbohydrate metabolism primarily produced through anaerobic fermentation of
294 dietary fiber in the gut. They also promote epithelial barrier function, cell proliferation, and act as

295 a nutrient source for many beneficial microbes in the gut.(32) Dietary interventions, either
296 through food or supplements, to increase SCFAs have been proposed as potential treatments
297 for a wide range of gut disorders including GWI. Prior studies have shown Western and high fat
298 diets are associated with GWI.(12)(28)(40) Additionally, high sugar diets have been associated
299 with decreased SCFAs, increased gut membrane permeability, and decreased microbial
300 diversity in mouse models of ulcerative colitis.(41) In our study, the diets of all participants fell
301 below the daily recommended amounts of dietary fiber, vegetables, whole grains, and fruits.
302 Additionally, participants in both groups met or exceeded the recommended amount of energy
303 from fats (including saturated fats). Those with GWI consumed significantly more added sugars
304 than those without GWI and had a slightly lower HEI score. Poor overall diet was associated
305 with ten differentially abundant lineages, most notable Lachnospiraceae and Ruminococcaceae.
306 Ruminococcaceae increased with higher HEI scores, while Lachnospiraceae trended towards
307 greater abundance in those with lower scores. However, 33 ASVs were associated with this
308 lineage, with some being more abundant in those with higher HEI scores (*Dorea*, *Anaerostipes*,
309 *Lachnoclostridium*, and *Ruminococcus*) and some more abundant in those with lower scores
310 (*Agathobacter*, *Blautia*, and *Rosburia*). Prior research has shown Lachnospiraceae to have a
311 complicated relationship to gut health with diet having a large influence on abundance.(37) This,
312 in conjunction with the low levels of Lachnospiraceae and Ruminococcaceae found in those with
313 GWI, indicates dietary interventions focused on improving diet and increasing SCFA production
314 may benefit Veterans with GWI.

315 Our study has several strengths and limitations. This is the first longitudinal cohort study
316 on GWI assessing the role of the gut microbiome and diet. We had a low dropout rate with 86%
317 of participants completing the study and a majority completing the dietary questionnaire (DHQ
318 III). One limitation to the study was the DHQ III. While food frequency questionnaires like the
319 DHQ III are standard means of collecting dietary data(42), it is cumbersome to complete and
320 relies heavily on self-reported data of foods consumed over the last month. Studies have shown

321 self-report dietary intakes underestimate the true energy consumption and individuals tend to
322 report intakes closer to their perceived norms as opposed to actual consumption.(43)

323 Participants in our cohort likely ate worse diets than reported.

324

325 **Conclusions**

326 Future studies assessing the role of diet and the gut microbiome should strive to collect
327 more detailed dietary data through the use of food diaries to reduce recall bias. Additionally,
328 research building upon these findings would benefit from the use of shotgun metagenomics
329 sequencing and the addition of metabolomics. Both metabolomics and metagenomic data would
330 provide insight into the functional role these microbiota play in the gut of those with GWI.
331 Furthermore, these methods would allow researchers to examine the role of SCFAs. Last, these
332 findings along with the existing literature on GWI, point to the role of dietary interventions in
333 alleviating the gastrointestinal symptoms frequently experienced by those with GWI. Studies
334 assessing dietary and other interventions to manipulate the gut microbiome are needed to
335 examine the safety and efficacy of treatments aimed at reducing GWI symptoms.

336

337 **Acknowledgments**

338 The authors would like to thank Catherine Shaughnessy for her help during the recruitment,
339 enrollment, and data collection phases of this study.

340

341 **References**

- 342 1. Fukuda K, Nisenbaum R, Stewart G, Thompson WW, Robin L, Washko RM, et al. Chronic
343 multisymptom illness affecting Air Force veterans of the Gulf War. *JAMA*. 1998 Sep
344 16;280(11):981–8.
- 345 2. Gronseth GS. Gulf war syndrome: a toxic exposure? A systematic review. *Neurol Clin*.
346 2005 May;23(2):523–40.
- 347 3. Minshall D. Gulf War Syndrome: a review of current knowledge and understanding. *J R*
348 *Nav Med Serv*. 2014;100(3):252–8.
- 349 4. Steele L. Prevalence and patterns of Gulf War illness in Kansas veterans: association of
350 symptoms with characteristics of person, place, and time of military service. *Am J*
351 *Epidemiol*. 2000 Nov 15;152(10):992–1002.
- 352 5. Chester JE, Rowneki M, Van Doren W, Helmer DA. Progression of intervention-focused
353 research for Gulf War illness. *Mil Med Res*. 2019 Oct 18;6(1):31.
- 354 6. Zundel CG, Kregel MH, Heeren T, Yee MK, Grasso CM, Janulewicz Lloyd PA, et al.
355 Rates of Chronic Medical Conditions in 1991 Gulf War Veterans Compared to the General
356 Population. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(6).
- 357 7. Institute of Medicine. Chronic Multisymptom Illness in Gulf War Veterans: Case Definitions
358 Reexamined. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2014.
- 359 8. Maule AL, Janulewicz PA, Sullivan KA, Kregel MH, Yee MK, McClean M, et al. Meta-
360 analysis of self-reported health symptoms in 1990-1991 Gulf War and Gulf War-era
361 veterans. *BMJ Open*. 2018 Feb 13;8(2):e016086.
- 362 9. Frémont M, Coomans D, Massart S, De Meirleir K. High-throughput 16S rRNA gene
363 sequencing reveals alterations of intestinal microbiota in myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic
364 fatigue syndrome patients. *Anaerobe*. 2013 Aug;22:50–6.
- 365 10. Lakhan SE, Kirchgessner A. Gut inflammation in chronic fatigue syndrome. *Nutr Metab*.
366 2010 Oct 12;7:79–79.
- 367 11. Alhasson F, Das S, Seth R, Dattaroy D, Chandrashekar V, Ryan CN, et al. Altered gut
368 microbiome in a mouse model of Gulf War Illness causes neuroinflammation and intestinal
369 injury via leaky gut and TLR4 activation. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(3):e0172914.
- 370 12. Angoa-Pérez M, Zagorac B, Francescutti DM, Winters AD, Greenberg JM, Ahmad MM, et
371 al. Effects of a high fat diet on gut microbiome dysbiosis in a mouse model of Gulf War
372 Illness. *Sci Rep*. 2020 Jun 12;10(1):9529.
- 373 13. Janulewicz PA, Seth RK, Carlson JM, Ajama J, Quinn E, Heeren T, et al. The Gut-
374 Microbiome in Gulf War Veterans: A Preliminary Report. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*.
375 2019 Oct 4;16(19).
- 376 14. Keating JA, Shaughnessy C, Baubie K, Kates AE, Putman-Buehler N, Watson L, et al.
377 Characterising the gut microbiome in veterans with Gulf War Illness: a protocol for a
378 longitudinal, prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open*. 2019 Aug 19;9(8):e031114.

- 379 15. National Cancer Institute. Diet History Questionnaire, Veriosn III [Internet]. Available from:
380 <https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/dhq3/>
- 381 16. National Cancer Institute, Applied Research Program. Diet*Calc Analysis Program. 2005.
- 382 17. Eggers S, Malecki KM, Peppard P, Mares J, Shirley D, Shukla SK, et al. Wisconsin
383 microbiome study, a cross-sectional investigation of dietary fibre, microbiome composition
384 and antibiotic-resistant organisms: rationale and methods. *BMJ Open*. 2018 Mar
385 27;8(3):e019450.
- 386 18. Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, et al.
387 Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2.
388 *Nat Biotechnol*. 2019 Aug 1;37(8):852–7.
- 389 19. “Moving Pictures” tutorial [Internet]. QIIME2docs. Available from:
390 <https://docs.qiime2.org/2021.4/tutorials/moving-pictures/>
- 391 20. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2: High-
392 resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat Methods*. 2016 Jul
393 1;13(7):581–3.
- 394 21. McDonald D, Price MN, Goodrich J, Nawrocki EP, DeSantis TZ, Probst A, et al. An
395 improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for ecological and evolutionary
396 analyses of bacteria and archaea. *ISME J*. 2011/12/01 ed. 2012 Mar;6(3):610–8.
- 397 22. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing [Internet].
398 Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2021. Available from:
399 <https://www.R-project.org/>
- 400 23. Dixon P. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *J Veg Sci*. 2003 Dec
401 1;14(6):927–30.
- 402 24. Tang Z-Z, Chen G, Alekseyenko AV, Li H. A general framework for association analysis of
403 microbial communities on a taxonomic tree. *Bioinforma Oxf Engl*. 2017 May 1;33(9):1278–
404 85.
- 405 25. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service. Healthy Eating Index [Internet].
406 Available from: <https://www.fns.usda.gov/healthy-eating-index-hei>
- 407 26. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful
408 Approach to Multiple Testing. *J R Stat Soc Ser B Methodol*. 1995 Jan 1;57(1):289–300.
- 409 27. U.S. Department of Agriculture and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
410 Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2020-2025. In: 9th ed. 2020. Available from:
411 [dietaryguidelines.gov](https://www.dietaryguidelines.gov)
- 412 28. Bose D, Saha P, Mondal A, Fanelli B, Seth RK, Janulewicz P, et al. Obesity Worsens Gulf
413 War Illness Symptom Persistence Pathology by Linking Altered Gut Microbiome Species to
414 Long-Term Gastrointestinal, Hepatic, and Neuronal Inflammation in a Mouse Model.
415 *Nutrients*. 2020 Sep 10;12(9):2764.

- 416 29. Morgan XC, Tickle TL, Sokol H, Gevers D, Devaney KL, Ward DV, et al. Dysfunction of the
417 intestinal microbiome in inflammatory bowel disease and treatment. *Genome Biol.* 2012
418 Apr 16;13(9):R79–R79.
- 419 30. Flint HJ, Bayer EA, Rincon MT, Lamed R, White BA. Polysaccharide utilization by gut
420 bacteria: potential for new insights from genomic analysis. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2008
421 Feb;6(2):121–31.
- 422 31. Chassard C, Bernalier-Donadille A. H₂ and acetate transfers during xylan fermentation
423 between a butyrate-producing xylanolytic species and hydrogenotrophic microorganisms
424 from the human gut. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 2006 Jan;254(1):116–22.
- 425 32. Parada Venegas D, De la Fuente MK, Landskron G, González MJ, Quera R, Dijkstra G, et
426 al. Short Chain Fatty Acids (SCFAs)-Mediated Gut Epithelial and Immune Regulation and
427 Its Relevance for Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. *Front Immunol.* 2019;10:277.
- 428 33. Duncan SH, Hold GL, Barcenilla A, Stewart CS, Flint HJ. *Roseburia intestinalis* sp. nov., a
429 novel saccharolytic, butyrate-producing bacterium from human faeces. *Int J Syst Evol*
430 *Microbiol.* 2002 Sep;52(Pt 5):1615–20.
- 431 34. Silva YP, Bernardi A, Frozza RL. The Role of Short-Chain Fatty Acids From Gut Microbiota
432 in Gut-Brain Communication. *Front Endocrinol.* 2020;11:25.
- 433 35. Chevalier AC, Rosenberger TA. Increasing acetyl-CoA metabolism attenuates injury and
434 alters spinal cord lipid content in mice subjected to experimental autoimmune
435 encephalomyelitis. *J Neurochem.* 2017 Jun;141(5):721–37.
- 436 36. Seth RK, Kimono D, Alhasson F, Sarkar S, Albadrani M, Lasley SK, et al. Increased
437 butyrate priming in the gut stalls microbiome associated-gastrointestinal inflammation and
438 hepatic metabolic reprogramming in a mouse model of Gulf War Illness. *Toxicol Appl*
439 *Pharmacol.* 2018/05/09 ed. 2018 Jul 1;350:64–77.
- 440 37. Vacca M, Celano G, Calabrese FM, Portincasa P, Gobbetti M, De Angelis M. The
441 Controversial Role of Human Gut Lachnospiraceae. *Microorganisms.* 2020 Apr
442 15;8(4):573.
- 443 38. Lupo GFD, Rocchetti G, Lucini L, Lorusso L, Manara E, Bertelli M, et al. Potential role of
444 microbiome in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome/Myalgic Encephalomyelitis (CFS/ME). *Sci Rep.*
445 2021 Mar 29;11(1):7043.
- 446 39. Maukonen J, Kolho K-L, Paasela M, Honkanen J, Klemetti P, Vaarala O, et al. Altered
447 Fecal Microbiota in Paediatric Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *J Crohns Colitis.* 2015 Dec
448 1;9(12):1088–95.
- 449 40. Holton KF, Ramachandra SS, Murray SL, Baron M, Baraniuk JN. Effect of the low
450 glutamate diet on inflammatory cytokines in veterans with Gulf War Illness (GWI): A pilot
451 study. *Life Sci.* 2021 Sep 1;280:119637.
- 452 41. Laffin M, Fedorak R, Zalasky A, Park H, Gill A, Agrawal A, et al. A high-sugar diet rapidly
453 enhances susceptibility to colitis via depletion of luminal short-chain fatty acids in mice. *Sci*
454 *Rep.* 2019 Aug 23;9(1):12294–12294.

455 42. Naska A, Laggiou A, Laggiou P. Dietary assessment methods in epidemiological research:
456 current state of the art and future prospects. *F1000Research*. 2017 Jun 16;6:926–926.

457 43. Schoeller DA. How accurate is self-reported dietary energy intake? *Nutr Rev*. 1990
458 Oct;48(10):373–9.

459









