Monitoring peripheral hemodynamic response to changes in blood pressure via photoacoustic imaging

# Yash Mantri <sup>a</sup>, Tyler R. Dorobek <sup>b</sup>, Jason Tsujimoto <sup>a</sup>, William F. Penny <sup>c</sup>, Pranav S. Garimella <sup>d</sup>, Jesse V. Jokerst <sup>b, e, f, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Bioengineering, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Nanoengineering, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA.

<sup>d</sup> Department of Nephrology – Hypertension, School of Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA.

<sup>e</sup> Materials Science Program, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA.

<sup>f</sup> Department of Radiology, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA.

\*Corresponding author: University of California San Diego 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA, USA, 92093. Email: jjokerst@ucsd.edu

#### Abstract

Chronic wounds and amputations are common in chronic kidney disease patients needing hemodialysis (HD). HD is often complicated by drops in blood pressure (BP) called intra-dialytic hypotension. Whether intra-dialytic hypotension is associated with detectable changes in foot perfusion, a risk factor for wound formation and impaired healing remains unknown. Photoacoustic (PA) imaging is ideally suited to study perfusion changes. We scanned the feet of 20 HD and 11 healthy subjects. HD patients were scanned before and after a dialysis session whereas healthy subjects were scanned twice at rest and once after a 10 min exercise period while BP was elevated. Healthy (r=0.70, p<0.0001) and HD subjects (r=0.43, p<0.01) showed a significant correlation between PA intensity and systolic BP. Furthermore, HD cohort showed a significantly reduced PA response to changes in BP compared to the healthy controls (p<0.0001). Hence showing that PA can monitor hemodynamic changes due to changes in BP.

**Keywords:** Dialysis; perfusion; blood pressure; optoacoustic imaging; hypertension; chronic kidney disease

#### Abbreviations

- CKD Chronic kidney disease
- ESKD End stage kidney disease
- HD Hemodialysis
- BP Blood pressure
- TcOM Transcutaneous oxygen monitoring
- fMRI Functional magnetic resonance imaging
- LDI Laser doppler imaging

# 1. Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) affects more than 9% of the global population.[1, 2] An estimated two million of these patients with CKD progress to end-stage kidney disease (ESKD) and must undergo kidney replacement therapies such as a kidney transplant or dialysis.[1] Rates of non-traumatic lower limb amputations are ~4.3/100 person-years for ESKD patients and reach 13.8/100 person-years for the diabetic subpopulation.[3] Foot ulcers usually precede 84% of amputations with half occurring in diabetic patients.[4] Worse, diabetic dialysis patients develop foot ulcers at five-fold higher rate than even diabetic chronic kidney disease patients.[5] Foot ulceration is a significant risk factor for limb loss, and thus prevention—along with timely diagnosis and treatment—may translate to a reduced amputation rate. There is an urgent need to develop novel, non-invasive techniques to diagnose risk factors for ulceration and prevent limb loss in persons with ESKD.

Clinically, tissue perfusion is often inferred using measurements of blood pressure (BP),[6] blood oxygen saturation,[7] and lactate levels.[8] Measurements of full body hemodynamic parameters fail to reflect changes in peripheral microcirculation.[9] Transcutaneous oxygen monitoring (TcOM),[10] functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI),[11] laser doppler imaging (LDI)[12], and spatial frequency domain imaging (SFDI)[13] can measure local perfusion and oxygenation in specialized care settings. TcOM is a non-invasive skin oxygen tension measurement system used for infants and adults.[10] But TcOM suffers from long acquisition times (15-20 min) and is susceptible to calibration errors and poor inter-rater usability.[14] fMRI can non-invasively image difficult to access areas like the brain and produce perfusion maps.[11] But fMRI has poor temporal resolution (5 sec) and reproducibility.[15, 16] LDI can provide real-time and continuous perfusion monitoring but is sensitive to all movements resulting in erroneous readings, and it can only penetrate a few millimeters into tissue.[17] SFDI can provide a large field of view and rapid imaging but is limited to surface tissues and still needs to be developed further for clinical use.[13]

Photoacoustic (PA) imaging is a hybrid imaging modality that can solve these major limitations. PA imaging uses pulsed light to generate sound waves via thermal expansion that can be overlaid with conventional ultrasound (US) data.[18] PA employs the difference in light absorption between oxygenated and deoxygenated hemoglobin to measure oxygen saturation, map vasculature and tissue perfusion in real time.[19]

In pre-clinical settings, PA is used to measure disease biomarkers,[20] oxidative stress,[21, 22] blood oxygen saturation,[23] and image chronic wounds.[24, 25] Clinically PA is used for diagnosing and monitoring breast cancer progression, [26, 27] vascular dynamics in human fingers, [28] inflammatory bowel disease, [29] and many more diseased states.[30-33] Recently, we demonstrated the ability of PA imaging to monitor angiogenesis and predict wound healing.[34] The non-invasive, real-time, and enhanced penetration depth (>4 cm) of PA imaging make it an ideal tool to map peripheral tissue perfusion as a risk factor for the development of limb complications.

Here, we aimed to explore the use of PA to measure changes in peripheral blood perfusion in a cohort of HD patients before and after dialysis. We hypothesized that the change in PA signal would be dependent on changes in blood pressure given the intradialytic hypotension that often occurs in patients.[35-39] The loss of pressure is followed by peripheral vasoconstriction and reduced peripheral perfusion because blood flow is redirected towards the vital organs.[40] This controlled change in blood pressure in a resting patient without medication or exercise is unique

to HD patients. Hence HD patients make an exquisite cohort to study the effects of blood pressure on peripheral photoacoustic signals and tissue perfusion.

# 2. Methods

## 2.1 Patients

This study was performed in accordance with the ethical guidelines for human experimentation stated in the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the University of California San Diego's Human Research Protections Program and was given Institutional Review Board approval (IRB# 191998). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before participation. All subjects were ≥18 years old and able to provide consent. Those in the CKD group were all on hemodialysis. Exclusion criteria were: (i) presence of bloodborne pathogens and (ii) presence of implants in the imaging region. Dialysis patients (n=22) were recruited consecutively for this study at the outpatient Hemodialysis Unit, UC San Diego Health System. Eleven healthy volunteers, with no known vascular disease history were recruited at UC San Diego.

The dialysis patients were each scanned twice: once at the start of HD (pre-dialysis), and again at the completion of HD (post-dialysis). Blood pressure and ultrafiltration volume were recorded by the Fresenius 2008T dialyzer (Fresenius Medical Care, Waltham, MA, USA). Two of the 22 dialysis patients were subsequently excluded from the analysis due to complications during HD (unrelated to imaging) preventing a post HD scan.

The 11 healthy subjects were each scanned three times: 1) at baseline; 2) after 3 hours of rest and no pressure changes (negative control (test/re-test), pre-exercise); and 3) after 10 minutes of exercise to elevate blood pressure (positive control, post-exercise). We monitored body temperature, heart rate, and blood pressure at all imaging time points. **Fig. 1** illustrates the study protocol for the two groups. **Table 1** describes the CKD/HD and healthy group demographics.

Category	CKD/HD group (n = 22)	Healthy group (n = 11)
Age (mean ± SD)	59.2 ± 14.3 years	26.5 ± 4.1 years
Male/Female	7/15	11/0
Diabetic/non-diabetic	14/8	0/11
Average UF removed	2732.3 ± 1109 ml	N.A.

**Table 1.** CKD/HD and Healthy group demographics.

## 2.2 Blood pressure measurements

Blood pressure (BP) for the HD patients was measured internally by the dialyzer. We also noted their ultrafiltration volume at the end of the HD session. Patients were at rest sitting in semi-Fowler's position at the time of measurement. BP measurements were made just before and at completion of the HD session (**Fig. 1D**). Change in systolic pressure was defined as the difference between BP<sub>HDend</sub> and BP<sub>HDstart</sub>.

For the healthy group we used an electronic blood pressure monitor (Omcron Healthcare Inc., Lake Forest, IL, USA, Model no: BP742N). The cuff was placed on the left upper arm and the subjects were sitting up straight for all measurements. We recorded BP twice at rest (T=0 and T=3 hrs) and once after 10 min of exercise (**Fig. 1C**). The T=3 hrs time point was chosen to mimic the length of a typical HD session without changes in BP, serving as a negative control. Exercise consisted of climbing up and down stairs for 10 min to simulate an increase in blood

pressure (positive control). Changes in systolic BP were calculated as differences between (i) BP<sub>pre-exercise</sub> and BP<sub>baseline</sub>; and (ii) BP<sub>post-exercise</sub> - BP<sub>pre-exercise</sub>.

#### 2.3 Photoacoustic imaging

All the PA imaging was done using the AcousticX from Cyberdyne Inc. (Tsukuba, Japan). This LED-based PA scanner operates at 850 nm wavelength with an LED repetition rate of 4 kHz, pulse width of 70 ns, and operating at 2.6 µJ/cm<sup>2</sup> per pulse.[41] It employs a 128-element linear array transducer with a 0.38 x 6 cm field of view, 7 MHz central frequency, and a bandwidth of 80.9%.[42] We used a custom hydrophobic gel pad from Cyberdyne Inc. along with sterile US coupling gel (Aquasonic 100, Parker Laboratories Inc. Fairfield NJ, USA) to couple the transducer with the skin surface. A sterile sleeve (CIV-Flex<sup>™</sup> #921191 from AliMed Inc., Dedham, MA, USA) covered the transducer during imaging. All images were acquired at 30 frames/s in a single handheld sweep.

We scanned two spots on each foot (except for one patient in whom only one foot was scanned due to a left lower limb amputation). The plantar area was scanned in a medial-lateral direction and the heel area in an inferior-superior direction (**Fig. 1B**). It must be noted that since all the scans are done manually, precise control of the scan area was extremely difficult. But the same general area was scanned for every patient. CKD/HD patients were scanned once before and once after an HD session (**Fig. 1D**). Healthy subjects (the control group) were scanned three times (**Fig. 1C**). BP measurements and PA imaging were carried out simultaneously.

#### 2.4 Image processing

All scans were reconstructed and visualized using the proprietary AcousticX software (Cyberdyne Inc. version 2.00.10); 8-bit PA, B-mode, and PA+US overlayed coronal crosssectional images were exported. We used the proprietary software developed by the PA system's manufacturer for image reconstruction. The system reconstructs PA images using Fourier transform analysis.[41] All the processing was done manually. Scans ranged between 45 – 180 frames governed by scan distance and length. PA signal was quantified using region of interest (ROI) analysis *via* ImageJ (with Fiji extension), version 2.1.0/1.53c. We drew a 4-cm-wide and 1-cm-deep rectangular ROI that was kept constant across all frames. PA signal from the skin was excluded from analysis to minimize the impact of variable melanin concentration in different skin tones. Integrated density function was used to quantify the PA signal within the ROI. Global PA intensity was defined as the mean integrated PA density of all four imaging regions (**Fig. 1B**).

## 2.5 Statistics

We measured changes in PA intensity as a function of changes in systolic BP. Simple linear regression was used to fit this data and plotted with 95% confidence intervals. We used a paired, two-tailed t-test to compare PA intensities pre-and-post exercise and HD for the healthy and diseased groups, respectively. We also used a student's t-test to compare the hemodynamic response to changes in blood pressure for HD *vs.* healthy subjects. A p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. We tested equality of the two population variances (Healthy *vs.* CKD/HD group) using a one-tailed F-test with an alpha = 0.05. The difference was considered significant if the F-value was less than the  $F_{critical}$  value. We also ran two separate multivariate linear regressions (CKD/HD and healthy group) to study the effect of other confounding variables such as body mass index (BMI in kg/m<sup>2</sup>), diabetic status (Y/N), UF removed (mI), age (years), sex (M/F). A covariance matrix was also calculated to study the effect of the confounding variables on each other. Future work will evaluate heart rate and body temperature in this multivariate analysis.





Figure 1. Photoacoustic monitoring of peripheral perfusion; study design and timeline. A. The CKD/HD group consisted of patients on hemodialysis (HD). B. We scanned the plantar and heel area in a medial-lateral and inferior-superior direction, respectively. Global PA intensity was defined as the mean PA intensity of all four imaging regions. C. Healthy subjects were scanned at baseline (T = 0 hrs), preexercise (T = 3 hrs), and immediately after 10 min of exercise (post-exercise). D. HD patients were scanned pre-and-post their HD session. Red downward triangles represent imaging time points. Blue line indicated healthy subjects at rest for 3 hrs and CKD/HD patients on dialysis. Green denotes the 10 min exercise period to increase blood pressure in healthy subjects.

## 3. Results

A total of 33 subjects were recruited for this study. All subjects were pseudonymized with an identification code at the start of the study. The healthy and the CKD/HD group are referred as HC 0XX and CKD/HD 0YY respectively. Patient demographics and BP data can be found in **Tables S1** (Healthy) and **S2** (CKD/HD).

## 3.1 Healthy control group

Eleven subjects (26.5  $\pm$  4.1 years old) with no history of cardiovascular or other disease were recruited at UCSD. Subjects were scanned at baseline (T = 0 hrs), pre-exercise at rest (T = 3 hrs), and immediately post-exercise (**Fig. 2A**). Exercising consisted of climbing up and down stairs for 10 minutes. We monitored BP, heart rate, and body temperature at each imaging time point (**Table S1**). **Fig. 2** shows PA data from the healthy cohort.



**Figure 2.** Hemodynamic response to changes in blood pressure for healthy subjects. A. Study design for the healthy group. Subjects were scanned at baseline (T = 0 hrs), pre-exercise at rest (T = 3 hrs), and immediately post-exercise. **B**. PA and US overlay of the left heel (HC 002). Blood vessels appear as distinct red dots marked by the yellow arrow. The skin surface is labelled in blue. At rest there was negligible change in systolic BP between baseline and pre-exercise. After a 10 min exercise session, blood pressure increased by 40 mm of Hg accompanied by a higher PA signal. PA intensity was quantified using a rectangular ROI measuring 4 cm x 1 cm. The skin surface was excluded from analysis. The ROI annotated images can be found in the supplementary information. Scale bar represents 0.5 cm. **C**. PA intensity was significantly higher after exercise (\*\*\*\*, p<0.0001, n= 11) at each imaging site. There were no significant differences between PA<sub>Baseline</sub> and PA<sub>Pre-Exercise</sub>. This rest period served as a negative control for the healthy group. **D**. The change in global PA intensity in the healthy group correlated with the change in systolic BP (r = 0.81, p<0.001). The 22 data points reflect changes between PA<sub>Pre-Exercise</sub> – PA<sub>Pre-Exercise</sub> (Pink) (n=11). Error bars in panel C represent standard deviation between 11 subjects and in panel D at least 90 frames.

The PA signal under the skin surface increased by 47% (p<0.01), and the average BP increased by 27.6  $\pm$  18.2 mmHg immediately post-exercise relative to baseline (**Fig. 2 B-C**). The

change in PA intensity was directly (r = 0.81) and significantly (p<0.0001) proportional to the change in systolic BP (**Fig. 2D**). PA intensity was significantly (p<0.0001) higher after exercise when BP was elevated (**Fig. 2C**). As a negative control, the subjects were maintained at rest for 3 hrs, simulating the length of a typical HD session. There were no significant changes in BP and PA intensity (baseline - pre-exercise) during the rest period (p = 0.18).

#### 3.2 CKD/HD group

Twenty-two HD patients were enrolled in this cohort (59.2  $\pm$  14.3 years old). Two patients developed hypotension-related complications preventing a second scan and were not included the analysis due to incomplete data acquisition (**Table S2**). The complications were independent of the imaging study. Patients were scanned before and after a routine HD session (**Fig. 3B**).




Figure 3. Changes in peripheral tissue perfusion during hemodialysis. A. PA and US overlay of the left heel in subject CKD/HD 012. Blood perfusion into the heel is considerably lower after dialysis when BP is low. Scale bar represents 0.5 cm. B. CKD/HD patients were scanned just before and after a routine dialysis session. C. A paired t-test showed a significant decrease in global PA signal and hence perfusion after dialysis. E. Hemodynamic response of the CKD group to changes in BP during dialysis showed a positive correlation (r = 0.43, p<0.01). Error bars in panel C-D represent standard deviation among 20 patients and in panel E at least 90 frames.

On average PA signal and systolic BP reduced by 11% and 16.6  $\pm$  19.8 mm of Hg respectively. PA intensity and hence perfusion was significantly lower after dialysis (**Fig. 3C**, p<0.01). PA intensity in different imaging regions trended lower after dialysis but the difference was not statistically significant. PA intensity showed a positive correlation to changes in BP during an HD session (r = 0.43, p<0.01, **Fig. 3E**). PA signal and hence perfusion was higher pre-dialysis

when BP was higher. The UF removed showed a positive correlation with BP but did not directly correlate with the change in PA intensity (**Fig. S4**)

#### 3.3 Healthy vs. CKD/HD subjects

HD patients showed a significantly different PA response to changes in BP compared to healthy controls (**Fig. 4**). The mean PA intensity was significantly higher for the HD group (**Fig. 4A**, p<0.01). More importantly, the data spread (standard deviation) for the diseased group was significantly wider (F = 0.045;  $F_{critical} = 0.63$ ). The hemodynamic response to changes in BP was characterized using the slope of changing PA as a function of changing systolic pressure (**Fig. 4B**). The slope for the HD group (1870 a.u. intensity/mm Hg) was significantly (p = 0.0001) lower than the healthy group (5116 a.u. intensity/mm Hg).




Figure 4. Comparing hemodynamic response to changes in BP in health vs. dialysis patients. A. PA intensities of the healthy and diseased group for all scans. CKD/HD show a significantly wider PA distribution (F = 0.045;  $F_{critical} = 0.63$ ) compared to the healthy group. Dialysis patients also showed a significantly higher (\*\*, p<0.01) mean PA intensity compared to healthy control group due to higher mean BP. **B**. Healthy subjects showed a significantly higher slope (significant difference between slopes; p = 0.0001) to changes in BP compared to the CKD/HD patients. The slope of the simple linear regression characterizes the hemodynamic response to changes in BP. Error bars in panel A represent standard deviation among all scans ( $n_{healthy} = 44$ ;  $n_{diseased} = 80$ ) and in panel B at least 90 frames.

# 4. Discussion

This cohort study explored the use of photoacoustic imaging to compare changes in peripheral perfusion in response to varying BP in HD patients *vs.* healthy controls. Our data suggests that HD patients show a significantly reduced PA response (p = 0.0001) to changes in BP compared to healthy controls.

The removal of excess fluid during dialysis as UF increases hematocrit.[35] Since PA leverages hemoglobin in red blood cells to generate contrast, the increase in hematocrit should increase PA intensity after dialysis.[43, 44] But we observed the opposite effect: The global PA intensity significantly decreases after HD (**Fig. 3C**, p<0.01). This can be attributed to the loss of BP after dialysis. A sudden loss in blood pressure results in peripheral vasoconstriction as blood is shunted towards the vital organs to preserve their function.[45] Vasoconstriction results in reduced peripheral perfusion that is observed as lower PA intensity after HD. Hypertension is present in 68% of HD patients, and this further reduces peripheral perfusion.[46] The changes in peripheral perfusion can exacerbate the risk of developing complications such as ulceration, amputations, and cardiovascular morbidity.[47-49]

The management of BP in HD patients is challenging but extremely important. A sudden drop in BP can leave patients lethargic and weak.[50] Some patients show a paradoxical rise in blood pressure after dialysis due to an increased cardiac output and hematocrit.[51, 52] Three HD patients (13.6%) showed a paradoxical rise in BP in the HD cohort, which might explain why individual imaging regions showed no significant difference before and after dialysis (**Fig. 3D**). Instead, a paired comparison of the global PA intensity in **Fig. 3C** showed a statistically significant difference (p<0.01).

The main clinical finding of this work is that photoacoustic imaging can be used to differentiate between a healthy and diseased response to changes in BP (**Fig. 4B**). The slopes characterize the change in perfusion as a function of BP. The healthy control cohort showed a significantly higher perfusion (p = 0.0001) when BP was elevated compared to the CKD/HD cohort. HD patients had a significantly wider distribution of PA intensities due to higher variability in BP compared to the control group (HD:  $135.4 \pm 29.1$  mm of Hg; Healthy:  $137.3 \pm 19.4$  mm of Hg, **Fig. 4A**). Although this cohort study was not age and gender matched, we have 85% power in our results (**Fig. S5**). Furthermore, the healthy group showed a significant positive correlation between global PA intensity and absolute systolic BP (**Fig. S6**, r = 0.70, p<0.05) at baseline. The CKD/HD group showed no significant correlation which can be attributed to varying disease etiologies and progression. Hence, this work suggests that PA imaging can be used to monitor peripheral tissue perfusion, a risk factor for wound formation and impaired healing.[53, 54] Others have also shown the use of PA imaging to visualize tissue perfusion but most studies use high-powered lasers which are expensive, bulky, and pose an exposure risk above the maximum permissible exposure limit.[28, 55, 56]

Whether these changes in perfusion pose a significant risk to CKD/HD patients remains to be seen. A future longitudinal study predicting wound formation and correlating changes in perfusion with wound healing will help clinicians tailor therapeutic regimes to best serve each patient. For example, patients with poor perfusion can be provided advanced therapies such as hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) that are known to promote angiogenesis, perfusion, and wound healing.[57]

Changes in BP are also dependent on other confounding factors such as BMI,[58] diabetic status,[59] age[60] etc. A multivariate linear regression (**Fig. S7**) shows a strong positive

correlation (R = 0.72) accounting for seven such confounding variables. Furthermore, the covariance matrix shows that the volume of UF removed has strong and positive covariance with the change in systolic pressure. Patients who had high volumes of UF removed, tended to show higher changes in pressure. In the healthy group (**Fig. S8**), the main contributing variable was the change in systolic BP (R = 0.81) whereas all the other confounding variables had relatively low covariance with each other. This means that the contributor to PA change was the change in systolic BP (p = 0.0019). Melanin, the molecule that gives skin its characteristic color is also a major absorber and source of PA signal.[61] Our group recently studied the effect of skin tone on PA oximetry.[61] In this work, we excluded any signals from the skin surface and since patients serve as their own controls between scans, and we only evaluated the change between scans; thus, skin tone should have minimal impacts. Furthermore, this study recruited a diverse set of CKD/HD patients and HD subjects from all possible skin types (Fitzpatrick scores 1-6) to account for skin tone bias. Other confounding variables could be changes in vascular physiology (increased resistance, calcification etc.) due to chronic diseased states in the CKD/HD groups,[62] but these are extremely difficult to account for.

## 4.1 Limitations

The LED-based PA system used in this work is an inexpensive, portable, and non-invasive imaging system. The LEDs used in this system operate 1000-fold under the maximum permissible exposure limit of 20 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>.[63] But the system is limited in data processing as it condenses the number of frames between acquisition and export. Since we used a handheld scanner for all scans, it is difficult to generate 3D maps of the imaging area even though the system is capable to do so. The use of motion compensation and deep-learning algorithms to reduce noise and align frames could help map perfusion and oxygenation of the tissue.[64, 65] Future work will look to map tissue oxygenation in real time.

Within the CKD/HD group, hematocrit readings could help normalize PA intensity changes for variable fluid loss between patients. But not all dialyzers were equipped to measure hematocrit in real time limiting our analysis.

# 5. Conclusion

The management of BP and peripheral perfusion in dialysis patients is extremely important and challenging to monitor. Peripheral perfusion measurements are rare at the point-of-care. In this work, we imaged peripheral perfusion in 20 HD patients and 11 healthy subjects using PA imaging. We compared the peripheral tissue perfusion response to changes in blood pressure due to dialysis or exercise. The healthy group showed a positive correlation to changes in BP. The CKD/HD group also showed a positive correlation to BP changes during a dialysis session. In comparison, the CKD/HD group showed a significantly reduced PA response to changes in blood pressure blood pressure compared to healthy controls.

## Acknowledgments

**Fig. 1A-B** were created with BioRender.com. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health through R21 AG065776 as well as internal funds from UCSD under the Galvanizing Engineering in Medicine program. YM and TD would like to acknowledge help from Yvette Waters, RN, BSN, CNN and the entire nursing team at the outpatient hemodialysis unit, UC San Diego Medical Center, Hillcrest, San Diego, CA, USA.

# **Conflict of Interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

# **Data Availability**

The raw anonymized data that supports the findings of this study are available on reasonable request from the corresponding author.

## Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version.

# References

[1] A.C. Webster, E.V. Nagler, R.L. Morton, P. Masson, Chronic kidney disease, The lancet 389(10075) (2017) 1238-1252.

[2] B. Bikbov, C.A. Purcell, A.S. Levey, M. Smith, A. Abdoli, M. Abebe, O.M. Adebayo, M. Afarideh, S.K. Agarwal, M. Agudelo-Botero, Global, regional, and national burden of chronic kidney disease, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017, The Lancet 395(10225) (2020) 709-733.

[3] P.W. Eggers, D. Gohdes, J. Pugh, Nontraumatic lower extremity amputations in the Medicare end-stage renal disease population, Kidney international 56(4) (1999) 1524-33.

[4] R.E. Pecoraro, G.E. Reiber, E.M. Burgess, Pathways to diabetic limb amputation. Basis for prevention, Diabetes Care 13(5) (1990) 513-21.

[5] A. Ndip, M.K. Rutter, L. Vileikyte, A. Vardhan, A. Asari, M. Jameel, H.A. Tahir, L.A. Lavery, A.J. Boulton, Dialysis treatment is an independent risk factor for foot ulceration in patients with diabetes and stage 4 or 5 chronic kidney disease, Diabetes Care 33(8) (2010) 1811-6.

[6] S. Magder, The meaning of blood pressure, Critical Care 22(1) (2018) 1-10.

[7] J.-L. Vincent, J.-J. Moraine, P. Van Der Linden, Toe temperature versus transcutaneous oxygen tension monitoring during acute circulatory failure, Intensive care medicine 14(1) (1988) 64-68.

[8] F.G. Zampieri, L.P. Damiani, J. Bakker, G.A. Ospina-Tascón, R. Castro, A.B. Cavalcanti, G. Hernandez, Effects of a resuscitation strategy targeting peripheral perfusion status versus serum lactate levels among patients with septic shock. A Bayesian Reanalysis of the ANDROMEDA-SHOCK Trial, American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 201(4) (2020) 423-429.

[9] A. Lima, J. Bakker, Noninvasive monitoring of peripheral perfusion, Applied physiology in intensive care medicine (2006) 131-141.

[10] M.M. Kmiec, H. Hou, M. Lakshmi Kuppusamy, T.M. Drews, A.M. Prabhat, S.V. Petryakov, E. Demidenko, P.E. Schaner, J.C. Buckey, A. Blank, Transcutaneous oxygen measurement in humans using a paramagnetic skin adhesive film, Magnetic resonance in medicine 81(2) (2019) 781-794.

[11] G.K. Aguirre, J.A. Detre, J. Wang, Perfusion fMRI for functional neuroimaging, Int Rev Neurobiol 66 (2005) 213-236.

[12] M. Leahy, F. De Mul, G. Nilsson, R. Maniewski, Principles and practice of the laser-Doppler perfusion technique, Technology and health care 7(2-3) (1999) 143-162.

[13] S. Gioux, A. Mazhar, D.J. Cuccia, Spatial frequency domain imaging in 2019: principles, applications, and perspectives, Journal of biomedical optics 24(7) (2019) 071613.

[14] A. Ercengiz, M. Mutluoglu, Hyperbaric Transcutaneous Oximetry, (2017).

[15] K. Specht, Current challenges in translational and clinical fMRI and future directions, Frontiers in psychiatry 10 (2020) 924.

[16] C.M. Bennett, M.B. Miller, G.L. Wolford, Neural correlates of interspecies perspective taking in the post-mortem Atlantic Salmon: An argument for multiple comparisons correction, Neuroimage 47(Suppl 1) (2009) S125.

[17] B. Fagrell, G. Nilsson, Advantages and limitations of one-point laser Doppler perfusion monitoring in clinical practice, Vascular medicine review (2) (1995) 97-101.

[18] Y. Mantri, J.V. Jokerst, Engineering plasmonic nanoparticles for enhanced photoacoustic imaging, ACS nano 14(8) (2020) 9408-9422.

[19] M. Xu, L.V. Wang, Photoacoustic imaging in biomedicine, Review of scientific instruments 77(4) (2006) 041101.

[20] C. Moore, R.M. Borum, Y. Mantri, M. Xu, P. Fajtová, A.J. O'Donoghue, J.V. Jokerst, Activatable Carbocyanine Dimers for Photoacoustic and Fluorescent Detection of Protease Activity, ACS sensors (2021).

[21] Y. Mantri, B. Davidi, J.E. Lemaster, A. Hariri, J.V. Jokerst, lodide-doped precious metal nanoparticles: measuring oxidative stress in vivo via photoacoustic imaging, Nanoscale 12(19) (2020) 105 11-105 20.

[22] A. Hariri, E. Zhao, A.S. Jeevarathinam, J. Lemaster, J. Zhang, J.V. Jokerst, Molecular imaging of oxidative stress using an LED-based photoacoustic imaging system, Scientific reports 9(1) (2019) 1-10.

[23] A. Hariri, J. Wang, Y. Kim, A. Jhunjhunwala, D.L. Chao, J.V. Jokerst, In vivo photoacoustic imaging of chorioretinal oxygen gradients, Journal of biomedical optics 23(3) (2018) 036005.

[24] A. Hariri, F. Chen, C. Moore, J.V. Jokerst, Noninvasive staging of pressure ulcers using photoacoustic imaging, Wound Repair and Regeneration 27(5) (2019) 488-496.

[25] Y. Wang, Y. Zhan, L.M. Harris, S. Khan, J. Xia, A portable three-dimensional photoacoustic tomography system for imaging of chronic foot ulcers, Quantitative imaging in medicine and surgery 9(5) (2019) 799.

[26] N. Nyayapathi, J. Xia, Photoacoustic imaging of breast cancer: a mini review of system design and image features, Journal of biomedical optics 24(12) (2019) 121911.

[27] N. Nyayapathi, H. Zhang, E. Zheng, S. Nagarajan, E. Bonaccio, K. Takabe, X.C. Fan, J. Xia, Photoacoustic dual-scan mammoscope: results from 38 patients, Biomedical Optics Express 12(4) (2021) 2054-2063.

[28] J. Ahn, J.Y. Kim, W. Choi, C. Kim, High-resolution functional photoacoustic monitoring of vascular dynamics in human fingers, Photoacoustics 23 (2021) 100282.

[29] M.J. Waldner, F. Knieling, C. Egger, S. Morscher, J. Claussen, M. Vetter, C. Kielisch, S. Fischer, L. Pfeifer, A. Hagel, Multispectral optoacoustic tomography in Crohn's disease: noninvasive imaging of disease activity, Gastroenterology 151(2) (2016) 238-240.

[30] A.P. Regensburger, A.L. Wagner, J. Claussen, M.J. Waldner, F. Knieling, Shedding light on pediatric diseases: multispectral optoacoustic tomography at the doorway to clinical applications, Molecular and cellular pediatrics 7(1) (2020) 1-6.

[31] A.P. Regensburger, A.L. Wagner, V. Danko, J. Jüngert, A. Federle, D. Klett, S. Schuessler, A. Buehler, M.F. Neurath, A. Roos, Multispectral optoacoustic tomography for non-invasive disease phenotyping in pediatric spinal muscular atrophy patients, Photoacoustics 25 (2022) 100315.

[32] S. Manohar, S. Gambhir, Clinical photoacoustic imaging, Photoacoustics 19 (2020).

[33] A.B.E. Attia, G. Balasundaram, M. Moothanchery, U. Dinish, R. Bi, V. Ntziachristos, M. Olivo, A review of clinical photoacoustic imaging: Current and future trends, Photoacoustics 16 (2019) 100144.

[34] Y. Mantri, J. Tsujimoto, B. Donovan, C.C. Fernandes, P.S. Garimella, W.F. Penny, C.A. Anderson, J.V. Jokerst, Photoacoustic monitoring of angiogenesis predicts response to therapy in healing wounds, Wound Repair and Regeneration (2021).

[35] J. Himmelfarb, T.A. Ikizler, Hemodialysis, New England Journal of Medicine 363(19) (2010) 1833-1845.

[36] B. Charra, Fluid balance, dry weight, and blood pressure in dialysis, Hemodialysis International 11(1) (2007) 21-31.

[37] Q. Maggiore, F. Pizzarelli, A. Santoro, G. Panzetta, G. Bonforte, T. Hannedouche, M.A.A. de Lara, I. Tsouras, A. Loureiro, P. Ponce, The effects of control of thermal balance on vascular stability in hemodialysis patients: results of the European randomized clinical trial, American journal of kidney diseases 40(2) (2002) 280-290.

[38] B.V. Stefánsson, S.M. Brunelli, C. Cabrera, D. Rosenbaum, E. Anum, K. Ramakrishnan, D.E. Jensen, N.-O. Stålhammar, Intradialytic hypotension and risk of cardiovascular disease, Clinical journal of the American Society of Nephrology 9(12) (2014) 2124-2132.

[39] Q. Maggiore, Isothermic dialysis for hypotension-prone patients, Seminars in dialysis, Wiley Online Library, 2002, pp. 187-190.

[40] T.W. Secomb, Hemodynamics, Comprehensive physiology 6(2) (2016) 975.

[41] A. Hariri, J. Lemaster, J. Wang, A.S. Jeevarathinam, D.L. Chao, J.V. Jokerst, The characterization of an economic and portable LED-based photoacoustic imaging system to facilitate molecular imaging, Photoacoustics 9 (2018) 10-20.

[42] E. Maneas, R. Aughwane, N. Huynh, W. Xia, R. Ansari, M. Kuniyil Ajith Singh, J.C. Hutchinson, N.J. Sebire, O.J. Arthurs, J. Deprest, Photoacoustic imaging of the human placental vasculature, Journal of biophotonics 13(4) (2020) e201900167.

[43] R.K. Saha, M.C. Kolios, A simulation study on photoacoustic signals from red blood cells, The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 129(5) (2011) 2935-2943.

[44] E. Hysi, R.K. Saha, M.C. Kolios, On the use of photoacoustics to detect red blood cell aggregation, Biomedical optics express 3(9) (2012) 2326-2338.

[45] E. Ozakin, N.O. Yazlamaz, F.B. Kaya, E.M. Karakilic, M. Bilgin, Perfusion index measurement in predicting hypovolemic shock in trauma patients, The Journal of Emergency Medicine 59(2) (2020) 238-245.

[46] D. Bolignano, S. Rastelli, R. Agarwal, D. Fliser, Z. Massy, A. Ortiz, A. Wiecek, A. Martinez-Castelao, A. Covic, D. Goldsmith, Pulmonary hypertension in CKD, American Journal of Kidney Diseases 61(4) (2013) 612-622.

[47] J.E. Flythe, S.E. Kimmel, S.M. Brunelli, Rapid fluid removal during dialysis is associated with cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, Kidney international 79(2) (2011) 250-257.

[48] L.A. Lavery, D.C. Lavery, N.A. Hunt, J. La Fontaine, A. Ndip, A.J. Boulton, Amputations and foot-related hospitalisations disproportionately affect dialysis patients, International wound journal 12(5) (2015) 523-526.

[49] M. Meloni, V. Izzo, L. Giurato, V. Cervelli, R. Gandini, L. Uccioli, Impact of heart failure and dialysis in the prognosis of diabetic patients with ischemic foot ulcers, Journal of clinical & translational endocrinology 11 (2018) 31-35.

[50] N. Evangelidis, B. Sautenet, K.E. Manera, M. Howell, J.C. Craig, A.K. Viecelli, E. O'Lone, N. Scholes-Robertson, D.W. Johnson, Y. Cho, Perspectives on blood pressure by patients on haemo-and peritoneal dialysis, Nephrology 26(1) (2021) 62-69.

[51] A.I. Gũnal, I. Karaca, H. Celiker, E. Ilkay, S. Duman, Paradoxical rise in blood pressure during ultrafiltration is caused by increased cardiac output, Journal of nephrology 15(1) (2002) 42-47.

[52] M. Cirillo, M. Laurenzi, M. Trevisan, J. Stamler, Hematocrit, blood pressure, and hypertension. The gubbio population study, Hypertension 20(3) (1992) 319-326.

[53] Y. Mantri, J. Tsujimoto, B. Donovan, C.C. Fernandes, P.S. Garimella, W.F. Penny, C.A. Anderson, J.V. Jokerst, Photoacoustic monitoring of angiogenesis predicts response to therapy in healing wounds, medRxiv (2021).

[54] M. Makhsous, M. Priebe, J. Bankard, R. Rowles, M. Zeigler, D. Chen, F. Lin, Measuring tissue perfusion during pressure relief maneuvers: insights into preventing pressure ulcers, The journal of spinal cord medicine 30(5) (2007) 497-507.

[55] M. Choi, A.J. Shapiro, R. Zemp, Tissue perfusion rate estimation with compression-based photoacoustic-ultrasound imaging, Journal of Biomedical Optics 23(1) (2018) 016010.

[56] E. Zhang, J. Laufer, R. Pedley, P. Beard, In vivo high-resolution 3D photoacoustic imaging of superficial vascular anatomy, Physics in Medicine & Biology 54(4) (2009) 1035.

[57] R.J. Goldman, Hyperbaric oxygen therapy for wound healing and limb salvage: a systematic review, PM&R 1(5) (2009) 471-489.

[58] Z. Gundogdu, Relationship between BMI and blood pressure in girls and boys, Public health nutrition 11(10) (2008) 1085-1088.

[59] G.L. Bakris, The importance of blood pressure control in the patient with diabetes, The American journal of medicine 116(5) (2004) 30-38.

[60] S. Landahl, C. Bengtsson, J.A. Sigurdsson, A. Svanborg, K. Svärdsudd, Age-related changes in blood pressure, Hypertension 8(11) (1986) 1044-1049.

[61] Y. Mantri, J.V. Jokerst, Impact of skin tone on photoacoustic oximetry and tools to minimize bias, Biomedical Optics Express 13(2) (2022) 875-887.

[62] R.C. Shroff, R. McNair, N. Figg, J.N. Skepper, L. Schurgers, A. Gupta, M. Hiorns, A.E. Donald, J. Deanfield, L. Rees, Dialysis accelerates medial vascular calcification in part by triggering smooth muscle cell apoptosis, Circulation 118(17) (2008) 1748-1757.

[63] R. Manwar, X. Li, S. Mahmoodkalayeh, E. Asano, D. Zhu, K. Avanaki, Deep learning protocol for improved photoacoustic brain imaging, Journal of biophotonics 13(10) (2020) e202000212.

[64] A. Hariri, K. Alipour, Y. Mantri, J.P. Schulze, J.V. Jokerst, Deep learning improves contrast in low-fluence photoacoustic imaging, Biomedical optics express 11(6) (2020) 3360-3373.

[65] M. Mozaffarzadeh, C. Moore, E.B. Golmoghani, Y. Mantri, A. Hariri, A. Jorns, L. Fu, M.D. Verweij, M. Orooji, N. de Jong, Motion-compensated noninvasive periodontal health monitoring using handheld and motor-based photoacoustic-ultrasound imaging systems, Biomedical Optics Express 12(3) (2021) 1543-1558.