Psychosocial factors associated with mental health and 1 quality of life during the COVID-19 pandemic among low-2 income urban dwellers in Peninsular Malaysia 3 Psychosocial factors for mental health among the low-4 income community 5 Wong Min Fui ^{1,2}, Hazreen Abdul Majid^{2,3}, Rozmi Ismail⁴, Tin Tin Su⁵, Tan Maw Pin⁶, 6 7 Mas Ayu Said^{7*} 8 ¹Training Management Division, Ministry of Health, 62675 Putrajava, Malavsia 9 ² Centre for Population Health (CePH), Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, Faculty 10 of Medicine, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. 11 ³ Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga, 60115 Jawa Timur, 12 Indonesia. 13 ⁴ Psychology and Human Well-being Research Centre, Faculty of Social Sciences and 14 Humanities, University Kebangsaan, 43600 Bangi, Malaysia. 15 ⁵ South East Asia Community Observatory (SEACO) and Global Public Health, Jeffrey Cheah 16 School of Medicine & Health Sciences, Monash University, Bandar Sunway, 47500 Subang 17 Jaya, Malaysia. ⁶ Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, 18 19 Malaysia. ⁷ Centre for Epidemiology and Evidence-Based Practice, Department of Social and Preventive 20 21 Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malava, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malavsia. 22 *Corresponding author NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice. mas(a)ummc.edu.my23

24 Abstract

25 Background and aims

The mental well-being among low-income urban populations is arguably challenged more than any other population amid the COVID-19 pandemic. This study investigates factors associated with depression and anxiety symptoms, and quality of life among Malaysia's multi-ethnic urban lower-income communities.

30 Methods

This is a community-based house-to-house survey conducted from September to November 2020 at the Petaling district in Selangor, Malaysia. Five hundred and four households were identified using random sampling, and heads of eligible households were recruited. Inclusion criteria were age ≥ 18 years with monthly household income \leq RM6960 (estimated USD 1600) without acute psychiatric illness. The PHQ-9, GAD-7 and EQ-5D were used for depression, anxiety, and quality of life. Multivariable logistic regression was performed for the final analysis.

38 Results

39 A total of 432 (85.7%) respondents with a mean age of 43.1 years completed the survey. Mild 40 to severe depression was detected in 29.6%, mild to severe anxiety in 14.7%, and problematic 41 quality of life in 27.8% of respondents. Factors associated with mild to severe depression were 42 younger age, chronic health conditions, past stressful events, lack of communication gadgets 43 and lack of assets or commercial property. While respiratory diseases, marital status, workplace 44 issues, financial constraints, absence of investments, substance use and lack of rental income 45 were associated with mild to severe anxiety. Not attributing poverty to structural issues, helpseeking from professionals, and self-stigma were barriers, while resiliency facilitated good 46 47 psychological health. Problematic quality of life was associated with depression, older age,

48 unemployment, cash shortage, hypertension, diabetes, stressful life events and low health49 literacy.

50 **Conclusions**

A higher proportion had reported mild to severe anxiety and depression symptoms in the sampled urban poor population than previous pre-pandemic reports. The psychosocial determinants should inform policy and direct future research within this underserved population.

55 Introduction

Depression and anxiety remain two major diagnosable common mental disorders contributing 56 57 to disability and morbidity worldwide, partially attributable to lack of public health investment 58 in this area (1). The World Health Organisation reported 800,000 suicides cases globally in 59 2015, with 78% of suicides occurring in the lower to middle-income countries (LMIC) (2). Almost half a million Malaysian have significant depressive symptoms that are particularly 60 61 prominent among individuals living within households within the bottom 40% (B40) income 62 bracket (3). The recent coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic has brought mental health 63 issues to the forefront, with a reported increase in suicide cases from 609 cases in 2019 to 631 64 cases in 2020 during the pandemic period (4,5).

Over the past 50 years, the Malaysian government has invested in extreme poverty 65 66 eradication and economic growth by shared prosperity within its multi-ethnic population. The 67 rapid urbanisation observed from 1960 to 2010 (6) had, however, led to the unintended 68 consequences of the transformation of social structures resulting in pockets of urban poor in 69 the cities of Malaysia. This has led to escalating poverty in urban areas within Selangor, the 70 wealthiest state in Peninsular Malaysia (7), which threatens urban residents' mental well-being (8). Studies from high-income countries (HIC) and low-middle-income countries (LMICs) 71 72 suggest that urban residents were more likely to develop neurotic conditions than rural residents 73 (9-11). In contrast, studies from China and Germany showed that rural residents were prone to 74 mental health issues (12,13). Local studies also revealed a consistently high prevalence of 75 depression (12.3% to 24.2%) and anxiety (18% to 36.3%) among the low-income urban residents, with variations arising from on screening tools utilised and selected cut-off scores 76 77 (14-16).

Low socioeconomic status is a major social determinant of health (SDH) (17), which profoundly affects the morbidity and mortality of the community (18). Education, ethnic group or social class, income, and employment are typical indicators for socioeconomic status (19). Community-based studies from LMIC have revealed the relationship between low socioeconomic status (SES) and common mental disorders (20-23).

Recent literature revealed that low socioeconomic status was linked to lower health literacy with higher stigma (24,25) and lack of mental health help-seeking (26). Additionally, low-income individuals' perceptions of the structural issues they encountered may subsequently influence their decision-making, thus influencing mental health (27). Since the evidence for these psychosocial factors remains scarce, further exploration is needed to target mental health promotion among low-income populations (26).

A study conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic revealed that those who showed avoidance coping and lower religious coping had a higher risk of developing mild to moderate depressive symptoms (28). A recent study from China showed that resilience scores were inversely associated with mental health symptoms associated with lower among subjects with mild COVID-19 cases (29). This has led to concerns that the COVID-19 pandemic may adversely affect the mental health of populations in LMIC that lack the resources to address the increase in mental health needs of their population.

In addition, the World Bank has estimated that between 71 to 100 million people are being pushed into poverty due to the COVID-19 pandemic (30). Therefore, the current study measured the prevalence of depression and anxiety symptoms and quality of life among the urban low-income population in Malaysia during the COVID-19 and identified psychosocial determinants of depression, anxiety, and quality of life within this population.

101 Methods

102 Setting

This was a community-based cross-sectional survey conducted from September 2021 until November 2021 (corresponds to the recovery movement control order (RMCO) and start of the Controlled Movement Control Order (CMCO)) (See Fig 1) at the Petaling district of the state of Selangor in Malaysia. Proportions and effect sizes were obtained from similar studies to estimate sample size (S1 Table). The sample size was estimated using Open Epi software with a significance level of 0.05 and an alpha value of 0.2.

109 Fig 1. Timeline of each phase of study and the corresponding movement control

110 A simple random sampling was done based on the household list provided by the research committee from the Statistics Department. All respondents from the selected 111 112 household who was a) aged 18 years and older with, (b) a household income of RM 6960 and 113 below, and c) without any acute psychiatric illness were included in this study. Non-114 Malaysians were excluded from the study. This study received ethical approval from the 115 University of Malaya Research Ethics Committee (UMREC Non-Medical ref: 116 UM.TNC2/UMREC – 811). Written consent was obtained from each eligible respondent prior to the enrolment. 117

118 Data Collection

Since this is a face-to-face data collection, each enumerator was briefed about the University
Malaya COVID-19 Fieldwork Safety Protocol. Trained enumerators administered validated
questionnaires during the house-to-house data collection(See Fig 2).

122 Fig 2. The flow chart of the data collection

123 The respondents completed multiple standardised instruments in the Malay language with 124 assistance from the research team. The survey components comprised the socio-demographic

domain which captured the respondents' information such as age, gender, ethnicity, education
level, employment status during the COVID-19 pandemic, monthly household income during
COVID-19 pandemic, marital status and household size.

The health domain components comprised self-reported weight and height, history of chronic illnesses, stressful life events, substance used, the 6-item health literacy scale (HL-6) (Duong et al., 2017), 9-item Patient's Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) (31), 7-item Generalised Anxiety Disorders (GAD-7) (32) and the EQ-5D-5L health-related quality of life (33). All the study tools were piloted before the actual study, and all of them showed good internal consistency (refer to Table S2).

134 The presence of chronic diseases was self-reported by the participants based on the 135 diagnoses from medical professionals and was defined as conditions that lasted for one year or 136 more that require ongoing medical attention or limiting daily activities, or both. The listed medical conditions included hypertension, diabetes mellitus, heart diseases, stroke, mental 137 138 illness, and cancer. Substance use was recorded with a checklist of the top 10 most common 139 substances, including tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, cocaine, amphetamine-type stimulant, 140 inhalant, sleeping pills, hallucinogens, opioids and other substances. The 13-item Malay 141 version of the stressful life events checklist was also utilised (34).

142 EQ-5D-5L is a standardised self-reported perceived health-related quality of life (QoL) 143 which is rated through a descriptive system (EQ-5D) and a visual analogue scale (EQ-VAS), 144 which captures perceptions on health status in the mobility, selfcare, usual activities, pain or 145 discomfort, and anxiety or depression domain. Each dimension is rated based on five response levels from no problems to unable to/extreme problems. Each health state is assigned a 146 147 summary index score derived from a country-specific value set (35). Health state index scores 148 ranged from less than 0 to 1 (the value of full health), with higher scores indicating higher 149 health utility. The visual analogue scale (EQ-VAS) records the respondent's overall current

health on a vertical visual analogue scale on a scale of 0 to 100. The Cronbach's alpha of theEQ-5D was 0.85 (36).

The Patient Health Questionnaire measured depressive symptoms over the past two weeks. The PHQ-9 consists of 9 items scored across a four-point scale with a maximum score of 27. It is then classified further according to minimal (0-4), mild (5-9), moderate (10-14), severe (15-19), and very severe (20 or greater). Both English and Malay versions of the questionnaire has good internal reliability with a Cronbach's α of 0.70 (31, 37). The total scoring methods were adopted (38).

Generalised Anxiety Scale also measures anxiety symptoms for the past two weeks.
This was a 7-item instrument rated on a four-point scale. These cut-off values of minimal (04), mild (5-9), moderate (10-15) and severe (16-21) (39) were utilised. The Malay and English
versions had good internal reliability with Cronbach's α ranging from 0.74 to 0.92 (32, 40).

162 Religiosity was determined with the Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith 163 Questionnaire 5 items (SCSRFQ-5) brief version, which was self-reported and rated on a 4-164 point Likert scale with a maximal score of 20 and a higher score indicating greater strength 165 religiosity. The cut-off point for high (\geq 17) or low (<17) religiosity were based on the sample 166 median (41). This tool was validated in the pilot study with good internal reliability of 167 Cronbach's α of 0.79.

Resilience Scale 14 items (RS-14) was derived from the 25 items scale developed by Wagnild et al. (42). Each item was rated with a 7-point scale with a maximum total score of 98. The Malay version of RS-14 has an excellent internal consistency of 0.86 (43).

Mental Help-Seeking Attitudes Scale (MHSAS) is a 9-item semantic scale with a higher
score indicating a more positive attitude towards seeking help (44). The Malay MHSAS has a
Cronbach's α of 0.892 (45).

Self-Stigma of Seeking Help (SSOSH) scale was developed by Vogel et al. and has 10
items rated on a 5-point scale. The Malay SSOSH has been validated among the low-income
group in Malaysia with an acceptable internal consistency of Cronbach's alpha = 0.667 (26).

Poverty Attribution was used to measure the perception of low-income respondents on the cause of poverty. This 21-item new tool was developed by Rozmi et al. (46) and comprised structural support, socioeconomic support, individualistic and fatalistic domains. Each item is rated on a 5-point scale with higher scores indicating higher levels of agreement on the cause of impoverished conditions. The Malay language version showed acceptable internal validity of Cronbach alpha 0.61 to 0.87 among the four main domains.

Health Literacy Survey was a valid and reliable (Cronbach's alpha=0.85) tool in six Asian countries (47). Six items were extracted from the 12-item short-form version, with each item rated on a 4-point Likert scale. This tool was validated in the pilot study with good internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha=0.898) and test-retest of inter-item covariance of 0.469 with excellent Pearson correlation of r (98) = .839, p< .0001 were obtained. The total scores were computed and utilised for data analysis.

189 Statistical Analysis

190 Data Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 25.0 (IBM Corp LP, Armonk, NY, USA). Total scores of \geq 5 for PHQ-9 and GAD-7 was applied to determine the presence of symptomatic depression (depressed =1, not-depressed =0) and positive symptomatic anxiety (anxious=1, not-anxious =0), respectively. The students' independent sample T-test (normally distributed variables) and Mann-Whitney U-test (nonnormally distributed variables) were conducted for univariate analysis. The Pearson's Chisquare or Fisher's Exact test was used to determine the strength of association between

198 categorical explanatory variables and the outcomes variables. Multivariable logistic regression 199 was performed to elicit significant final independent variables associated with PHO-9. GAD-200 7 and EQ-5D. Hosmer-Lemeshow model development strategy was applied in the final analysis 201 with variables selection criterion of p-values of less than 0.25 with backwards purposive 202 variables exclusion of p-value more than 0.05 guided by the likelihood-ratio test (48). Effect 203 modification first-order main effects of explanatory variables were checked guided by the likelihood-ratio test. The finalised model was assessed for violation of assumptions of linearity 204 205 of explanatory variables, log odds, multicollinearity and model fitness. The model's sensitivity 206 was also assessed using the area under receiving operating characteristics (AUROC) curve. 207 Statistical significance was set at a p-value less than 0.05.

208 **Results**

209 Participant Characteristics

A total of 504 eligible participants, 432 (82.7%) participants completed the survey. Table 1 summarises participants' characteristics. Respondents had a mean age of 43.1 (SD 13.2) years. The unemployment rate doubled (33.2%) during the pandemic while income reduced by 13.5 %, and 41% lived below the poverty line.

Table 1. Socio-demographic profiles of Low-income respondents from the Petaling district (n=432)

Variables	n	%
Gender		
Male	278	64.5
Female	153	35.5
Age group (years old)		
Below 30	81	19.8
30-40	114	27.8
41-50	104	25.4
More than 50	111	27.0
Ethnicity		
Malay	323	75.1
Chinese	58	13.5
Indian	31	7.2

Others	18	4.2
Marital status	10	1.2
Single	75	17.5
Married	321	74.7
Widowed	34	7.8
Education level categorical		7.0
No Formal & Primary School	29	6.8
Secondary Schools	206	(48.4)
More than Secondary Schools	191	(44.8)
Employment status (before Covid-19)	171	(11.0)
No	69	16.2
Yes	357	83.8
Employment status (during Covid-19)	557	05.0
Yes	276	66.8
No	137	33.2
Household income (during Covid-19)	157	55.2
Below MYR 1700	136	31.5
MYR 1700 to 2700	91	21.1
MYR 2701 to 3700	77	17.8
MTR 2701 to 3700	55	17.8
MYR 4700-5700	32	7.4
More than MYR 5701	41	9.5
	41	9.5
Household size (person)	101	47.0
Less than 4	191	47.8
More than 4	234	55.2
House-ownership		
Owners	179	41.7
Shelter	13	3.0
Renting	219	51.1
Inherited	18	4.2
Asset		
House	231	53.8
Vehicle	350	81.8
Land	42	9.8
Orchard	23	5.4
Cash	148	34.5
Rental house	55	12.9
Jewellery	128	29.9
Shophouse	6	1.4
Investment	49	11.4
Others	4	0.9
Communication tools (own a mobile or smartphone)		
Yes	340	81.9
No	75	18.1
Own at least a form of communication tools (TV or		
radio and internet or laptop and smartphone/mobile		
phone)		
Yes	405	97.59
No	10	2.41

216

217 Approximately 133 (31.2%) respondents had problematic literacy levels, and 29 (6.8%) had an 218 inadequate level of health literacy. The professional help-seeking median score was high at 6.0 219 (IQR 2), and the mean self-stigma was neutral at 3.0 (SD 0.6). Poverty attribution, structural 220 and socioeconomic domains scores were 3.6 (SD 0.8) and 3.8 (SD 0.9), respectively. Low 221 resiliency was found in 133 (31%) participants. 182 (42%) scored 17 and below for religiosity. 222 Of the total respondents, 432 (30.4%) had a self-reported history of non-communicable 223 diseases with hypertension in 78 (18.2%), diabetes 38 (8.9%) and respiratory disease (COPD 224 or Asthma) 20 (4.7%). 172 (40.7 %) were overweight (body mass index (BMI) >23.0- 27.4 225 kg/m²) and 29.6 % obese (BMI \geq 27.5kg/m²). In 130 (30.2%) male respondents, substance use 226 was present, with tobacco, alcohol, and sleeping pills being the commonest used substances. 227 Approximately 209 (48.5%) had a history of stressful life events, including losing loved ones, 228 followed by working environment issues and job loss. 229 Mild to severe depression symptoms were reported by 127 (29.6 %), 30 (7%) (n = 30) of whom

had moderate to severe depressive symptoms. Mild to severe anxiety symptoms were present in 63 (14.6%), with 19 (4.4%) reporting moderate to severe depressive symptoms. For the details of the descriptive findings, refer to Tables S3 and S4.

233 Multivariable Analyses

234 Variables positively associated with mild to severe symptoms of depression were those aged 235 less than 30 years OR 5.11 (95%CI 2.04, 12.83), self-reported hypertension, having other 236 chronic illnesses, presence of past stressful life events (physical assault, long term illness, 237 family issues and workplace issues). Protective factors against the development of mild to 238 severe depressive symptoms were those who owned one or more communication tools 239 (Television or radio and internet or laptop and smartphone/mobile), absence of assets such as 240 investment shares, shop-houses, attributing structural issues to poverty, increase in resilience 241 scores and those who finds professional help is beneficial. Factors associated with anxiety

- 242 symptoms were respiratory illness (COPD or Asthma), stressful life events (marital issues,
- financial issues, workplace issues), and sleeping pills. Perceived structural issues to poverty,
- less self-stigma, and higher resilience scores were associated with fewer anxiety symptoms
- 245 (Table 2).

 Table 2: Simple and multivariable logistic regression on factors associated with Depression (n=385) and Anxiety Symptoms(n=398) (cut-off at 5)

Es stars	Depressio	on (PHQ-9)	Anxiety (GAD-7)		
Factors	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	
Age					
Below 30	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	
30-40	0.45 (0.24, 0.83)*	0.52 (0.24, 1.12)	0.68 (0.32, 1.45)	0.78 (0.31, 1.92)	
41-50	0.46 (0.24, 0.88)*	0.47 (0.21, 1.09)	0.51 (0.22, 1.17)	0.68 (0.25, 1.88)	
More than 50	0.35 (0.18, 0.67)*	0.20 (0.08, 0.49)*	0.47 (0.20, 1.07)	0.41(0.15, 1.12)	
Asset-Shop lot					
Yes	Reference	Reference			
No	0.08 (0.01, 0.70)*	0.08 (0.07, 1.00)			
Asset-Investment					
Yes	Reference	Reference			
No	0.52 (0.28, 0.95)*	0.37 (0.17, 0.80)*			
Asset-Rental house					
Yes			Reference	Reference	
No			0.65 (0.32, 1.34)	0.44 (0.18, 1.06)	
Hypertension					
No	Reference	Reference			
Yes	1.57 (0.94, 2.63)	2.25 (1.01, 5.00)*			
Other diseases					
No	Reference	Reference			
Yes	7.29 (2.78, 19.10)**	4.06 (1.26, 13.06)*			
Asthma					
No			Reference	Reference	
Yes			2.12 (0.74, 6.07)	4.44 (1.18, 16.67)*	
Sleep pill usage			Reference	Reference	
No			Reference	Reference	
Yes			10.46 (2.43, 44.95)*	11.89 (1.26, 112.16)*	
Physical or sexual assault					
No	Reference	Reference			
Yes	5.68 (2.38, 13.54)**	4.77 (1.56, 14.57)*			
Prolonged or serious illness					

No	Reference	Reference		
Yes	5.24 (2.45, 11.236)**	4.892 (1.834, 13.053)*		
Family Issues				
No	Reference	Reference		
Yes	6.87 (2.94, 16.06)**	3.74 (1.26, 11.14)*		
Marital Problem				
No			Reference	Reference
Yes			8.40 (3.45, 20.44)**	4.73 (1.52, 14.70)*
Financial issues				
No			Reference	Reference
Yes			8.45 (3.70, 19.32)**	4.67 (1.46, 14.93)*
Workplace Issue				
No	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Yes	3.28 (1.78, 6.04)**	3.48 (1.58, 7.67)*	5.03 (2.61, 9.71)**	3.533 (1.53, 8.17)*
Owing Communication Gadget 1 or 2				
No	Reference	Reference		
Yes	0.27(0.07, 0.97)*	0.06 (0.01, 0.38)*		
Poverty attribute-Structural	0.65 (0.51, 0.83)**	0.66 (0.48, 0.91)*	0.78 (0.58, 1.05)	0.67 (0.45, 0.99)*
MHSAS (Total)	0.96 (0.94, 0.98)**	0.96 (0.94, 0.99)*	0.98 (0.95, 1.00)	0.99 (0.97, 1.03)
SSOSH (Total)			1.12 (1.06, 1.19)**	1.09 (1.01, 1.17)*
Resilience (Total)	0.96 (0.94, 0.97)**	0.96 (0.94, 0.98)**	0.96 (0.94, 0.98)**	0.97 (0.95, 0.998)*

 $\overline{OR} = Odds ratio; CI = Confidence Interval. ** p = < 0.001 * p = < 0.05$

PHQ-9: Nagelkerke's R square = 0.372; Hosmer and Lemeshow Test = 0.382; Area under the curve = 0.837 p = < 0.001

GAD-7: Nagelkerke's R square = 0.307; Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test = 0.888., Area under the curve = 0.799 (95% CI: 0.737, 0.861, p = < 0.001)

Quality of Life (QoL) was problematic in 119 (27.8%) respondents. Mild to severe depressive symptoms were associated with increased odds of problematic QoL. Age, female sex, unemployment, hypertension, diabetes, stressful life events of severe injury were associated with poorer QoL. Higher literacy scores were protective against poorer QoL (Table 3). Refer to Table S5 A-I for the details of univariable analysis and Table J-L for the multivariable variable selection process.

Table 3: Simple and multivariable logistic regression on factors associated with EQ-5D (n=385)

Factors	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	
Gender			
Male	Reference	Reference	
Female	1.61 (1.05, 2.49)*	2.34(1.35, 4.04)*	
Age group (year-old)			
Below 30	Reference	Reference	
30-40	1.09 (0.51,2.34)	1.21 (0.49, 2.99)	
41-50	1.53 (0.71, 3.28)	1.61(0.63, 4.10)	
More than 50	3.24 (1.56, 6.74)*	3.06 (1.17, 8.03)*	
Asset-Cash			
Yes	Reference	Reference	
No	1.61(1.01, 2.56)*	1.88 (1.04, 3.39)*	
Work during outbreak			
Yes (R)	Reference	Reference	
No	2.33(1.49, 3.64)**	1.34 (0.76, 2.38)	
Hypertension			
No	Reference	Reference	
Yes	4.18 (2.49, 6.99)**	2.56 (1.22, 5.26)*	
Diabetes			
No	Reference	Reference	
Yes	5.98 (2.93, 12.22)**	3.07 (1.22, 7.71)*	
Severe injury due to accident			
No	Reference	Reference	
Yes	2.88 (1.41, 5.86)*	3.59 (1.37, 9.42)*	
Jobless			
No	Reference	Reference	
Yes	2.47 (1.35, 4.59)*	2.54 (1.20, 5.37)*	
Depression-symptomatic			
< 5 (R)	Reference	Reference	
≥5	3.31 (2.11, 5.18)**	2.79 (1.57, 4.49)**	
Health literacy index	0.96 (0.94 , 0.98)**	0.96 (0.94, 0.99)*	

OR = Odds ratio; CI = Confidence Interval. ** p = < 0.001 * p=<0.05

Nagelkerke's R square = 0.345; Hosmer and Lemeshow Test = 0.857; Area under the curve = 0.813 (95% CI: 0.765, 0.861), p = < 0.001

246 **Discussions**

247 Comparatively, depression and anxiety levels were higher than those previously reported in the 248 National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2012 -2019(3,49). Compared to other local 249 studies, anxiety levels were lower than the pandemic levels (28,50-51). While mild to moderate 250 anxiety levels were higher than those previously reported in international studies (52-54), it 251 was also lower than those conducted in other countries during the pandemic (55, 56). Lower-252 income groups with higher financial strain respondents are more likely to experience mild to severe depression (28). However, the lower anxiety levels could be attributed to differences in 253 254 assessment tools (28,50-51). As the study was conducted towards the later stages of the 255 pandemic as opposed to previous studies, (57,58) anxiety levels could have been higher initially 256 due to difficulties adapting to containment measures and fear of infection and death (59). 257 Depression may be more prominent at the later stages when the future is uncertain due to job 258 losses, pay cuts and poor social support (60,61).

259 To date, only a few studies have examined the relationship between mental health issues 260 and quality of life for low-income groups that allowed for comparison. The majority were those 261 studies targeted at the general population with specific diseases (62). Nevertheless, the 262 comparisons were made based on studies that involved the general population with a similar methodology. The overall prevalence for poorer quality of life was 27.8%, which is lower than 263 264 the majority of the pre-pandemic studies from other countries (63-67) and remained low even 265 after accounting for socio-demographic and chronic illness characteristics (64,68). Out of this 266 figure, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression domains had the most significant number of problematic QoL respondents. Local evidence remains fragmented and focuses primarily on 267 268 the diseased population but not on the general population. The possible explanation for the 269 lower figure is the higher proportion of Malay and male participants in this study sample. In a 270 separate cross-tabulation analysis done in the present study, anxiety/depression was the only

domain that showed significant difference across ethnic groups and gender, with Malay and males inclining to report "no problem" to their mental health well-being. Another local validation study observed a similar trend (69). Therefore, the better-perceived health-related quality of life among B40 for the current study is worth further exploration in the future study to rule out the possible information bias due to cultural influence on the lack of disclosure of mental health issues.

A positive association between higher scores of depression and poorer quality of life 277 278 were established in this study. This results were similar with studies conducted in Malaysia, 279 China and Slovenia involving urban community samples (28, 70-71). Leong et al. from the 280 northwest coast of Peninsular Malaysia has conducted a similar study with different tools, and 281 results varied for depression and anxiety among the domains in WHOQoL-BREF (50). Another 282 large scale mental health study National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related 283 Conditions, has shown (NESARC) (72) reported that anxiety does not confer a significant 284 association with quality of life. Variation in methodology in terms of the measurement tools 285 and location of the studies may explain the inconsistency of findings.

286 The escalation of financial burdens due to pay cuts and unemployment has led to marital 287 and family issues during the pandemic, increasing depression and anxiety symptoms. This was 288 supported by a Malaysian study done in urban settings that revealed severe problems at work, 289 unhappy relationships with children, spouse and family, and severe financial constraints are 290 stressful life events for depression (73). The same investigator also measured anxiety 291 symptoms and found that unhappy relationships with family and severe problems at work 292 predict the outcomes (74). The odds ratio for stressful life events were two times higher than 293 those pre-pandemic findings reported by Kader et al. (73). No doubt, movement restriction 294 increases contact time among the family members, but it can aggravate the pre-existing family conflict and causes stress to the vulnerable population (75). In line with the current study's 295

findings, physical or sexual assault showed a positive relationship with depression. Woman's
Aid Organisation (WAO) and Women, Family and Community Development Ministry
reported increased usage of their public hotlines during the pandemic, and domestic violence
was the main reason for calling (76).

Additionally, Malaysia's divorce rate also rises from 60,088 cases in 2017 to 90,766 cases in 2020, based on the syariah court data (77). The majority of the marital issues involved cases from the bottom 40 % of the low-income group who faced the challenge of job loss and financial crisis (78). The economic difficulty is closely related to depression among the parents (79). Therefore, it is pertinent to look out for stressful life events like a history of child abuse or intimate partner physical abuse as a critical risk factor for mental health issues among the low-income group.

307 Younger respondents (less than 30 years old) have a higher risk of developing 308 depression symptoms than older respondents during the pandemic. The social, emotional and 309 cognitive maturation was observed by neurodevelopmental scientists even right before the 310 adolescent age extending to the mid-20s to the 30s of age (80,81); this has marked the 311 vulnerability of the brain towards environmental changes and insults during this transition 312 period towards young adulthood, thus any stressful life event will tip off mental health issues. 313 Three studies were conducted in the local community, and the general population at the urban 314 settings supported the relationship between the younger age group of respondents and a higher 315 likelihood of mental health issues (14-15,28). Likewise, similar findings are notable among 316 studies in other countries (82-84).

Gender did not reveal any positive findings for mental health status in this study. These were unexpected findings as females were the most replicable risk factors in the past studies among the general population (85, 86), low-income groups (21, 87), and during the pandemic (82, 87, 88) (A. Ahmad, Rahman, & Agarwal, 2020; Huang & Zhao, 2020). Perhaps the

COVID-19 pandemic may have put the low-income family under serious financial strain, leading to emotional turmoil for both genders. On the other hand, the older age group (more than 50 years) and females had a poorer quality of life. The plausible explanation is the higher probability of getting chronic illness at an older age, and chronic illness tend to be higher among females than males based on the NHMS 2019 data (3).

Non-communicable diseases, including hypertension and diabetes, were reported by one in three persons within the low-income population which approximated to the prevalence of known hypertension of 15.5% and diabetes of 9.2% from the urban population reported in the NHMS 2019 (3). In contrast, a meta-analysis reported by Uphoff, Newbould (89) showed a six to seven times higher pooled prevalence than this study. The higher rate observed in the latter study was attributed to hospital-based samples and underdeveloped mental health services for secondary prevention in lower-income countries.

333 Respondents with a known history of hypertension, other diseases and perceived 334 chronic illness as stressful life events likely to report higher depressive symptoms. Asthma was 335 associated with a higher risk for anxiety symptoms. However, out of the self-reported illness, 336 only hypertension and diabetes were associated with poorer QoL. Studies showed that physical 337 illnesses like cardiovascular-related diseases had proven to predict common mental health issues (90) and affect the quality of life among the low-income population. There was 338 339 convincing evidence from a systematic review with meta-analyses done by Kohler et al. (91). 340 that both obesity and metabolic syndrome predict the occurrence of depression studies for 341 anxiety disorders involved the general population have revealed that pre-morbid chronic conditions predict psychiatric illness (aOR 3.66 95% CI:1.62, 8.30) (92,93). The plausible 342 343 explanation for chronic and mental illnesses was the disruption of their quality of life due to 344 the complication of the chronic illness. For instance, B40 respondents who developed diabetes

nephropathy and retinopathy may face significant stress due to the impairment apart from theirmedical financial burden.

347 Respondents reported a high proportion of low health literacy, with positive findings 348 and poorer life quality. This evidence supported the high rate of chronic illness among the low-349 income group revealed by a series of publications from PARTNER's (94-97). However, 350 collective evidence from the meta-analysis showed heterogeneous results for the association 351 between health literacy and quality of life among developed and developing countries (98). 352 The authors attribute the outcomes to variation of the health literacy tool among the studies; 353 therefore, more studies are needed to robust evidence to support the relationship. Nevertheless, 354 this study highlights the implication of low literacy that further intervention is needed to 355 improve their knowledge in selfcare.

356 **Poverty Attribution**

357 Poverty is not merely a result of mental disease, but it also frequently precedes mental illnesses like depression and anxiety, making it a significant risk factor for mental illness and other 358 359 negative consequences (99). Bullock and Limbert showed that poor respondents are more likely 360 to endorse structural or external attribution for poverty (100, 101). The mean score (4.0) of 361 poverty attribution to the B40 community agrees with the structural barriers, and respondents 362 who refute poverty as a structural issue showed a reciprocal association for depressive and 363 anxiety symptoms. This is supported by a small scale observational study which indicates that 364 individuals who attribute their poverty to structural or government blame are more likely to 365 experience depression (27). Rozmi et al. postulated that respondents who do not blame the government are more self-sufficient and thus experience better financial well-being (102). 366 367 Therefore, it is crucial to explore individuals' perceptions of their low-income status to 368 understand their motivation to get out of poverty (27). Short term stipend from the government

such as Bantuan Prihatin Rakyat (BPR) in the form of fast cash may ease their financial stress
in the short term, but life skill training is needed to get them out of the poverty cycle.

371 Stigma and Professional Help-Seeking

Even though the majority of the respondents have a neutral score for self-stigma, the analysis showed respondents who have higher self-stigma were likely to have anxiety symptoms but not for depression. In contrast, respondents with lower mental health-seeking attitudes predict higher depressive symptoms, not anxiety symptoms.

376 Based on the body of evidence, stigma is one of the well-established determinants for the 377 help-seeking barrier essential for people from low and high-income countries. Lacking a help-378 seeking attitude prevents them from getting earlier treatment for severe mental health issues. 379 The negative evaluations on professionals possibly derived from negative past experiences and 380 mistrust of the mental health professionals (103). Data from the Institute's mental health 381 services policy analysis (104) showed an overall limited mental health workforce in this 382 country. Currently, no counsellors are available to handle mild to moderate mental health cases 383 at the primary care clinic. The existing primary healthcare providers who were not trained in 384 mental health were obligated to manage such cases which were first diagnosed with mental 385 health issues. This may create a spillover on public trust in professional help-seeking whenever 386 a mismanaged case occurs.

387 **Resilience**

Approximately one-third of respondents have low resiliency and higher resilience scores moderate depressive and anxiety symptoms. Substantial empirical studies have supported the inverse resilience scores concerning depression and anxiety (105). A 4 years longitudinal study involving a sample of 314 college students in China supported a reciprocal relationship between resilience and mental ill-being (106). Respondents from this study were vulnerable

given their low-income status, and almost half of them reported a history of stressful life events.
Building resiliency through health promotion is crucial to shield them from the impact of ill
mental well-being due to adversity at the verge of the current pandemic financial crisis.

396 This is one of the first studies that comprehensively explored the various psychological risk factors during the Covid19 pandemic among the low-income group in LMIC. This is a 397 398 face-to-face study, thus having the benefits of capturing the responses for the non-technology savvy subjects compared to many other online studies done during the pandemic. It also 399 400 improves rapport and eases the process of obtaining consent. As this was a cross-sectional 401 study of the temporal causal relationships between the independent variables to depression, anxiety and quality of life could not be assigned, and the majority of the sample were heads of 402 403 household, male and older age group, the findings may not be generalisable to all lower-income 404 populations.

405 **Conclusions**

406 Mental health problems compromise the quality of life of the low-income group. The 407 prevalence of depression and anxiety symptoms were higher than studies prior to the pandemic. 408 Apart from the socio-demographic, chronic illnesses and stressful life events factors, this study 409 unveiled the positive psychological factors such as resiliency, stigma and help-seeking for 410 mental health. These outputs provide suitable resources for the subsequent psychosocial 411 intervention development for low-income communities. This is much needed to improve 412 mental health status, empower the low-income group population to thrive during this 413 challenging pandemic period and beyond, and shape a healthier human capital for sustainable 414 development.

415 Supporting Information

- 416 S1 Table. Sample Size According to Study objectives
- 417 (DOCX)
- 418 S2 Table. Reliability of the study tools
- 419 (DOCX)
- 420 S3 Table. Psychosocial risk factors of the B40 respondents from the Petaling district
- 421 (DOCX)
- 422 S4 Table. Health-related profiles of B40 respondents from the Petaling district
- 423 (DOCX)
- 424 S5 Table. Univariable analysis results tables and multivariable variable selection
- 425 process
- 426 (DOCX)

427 Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Ng Yit Han and Nithiah Thangiah for their contribution in statisticalanalysis.

430 Author Contributions

431 Conceptualisation: Wong Min Fui, Mas Ayu Said, Hazreen Abdul Majid, Tin Tin Su, Tan

- 432 Maw Pin, Rozmi Ismail
- 433 Data Curation: Wong Min Fui, Mas Ayu Said, Hazreen Abdul Majid
- 434 Methodology: Wong Min Fui, Mas Ayu Said, Hazreen Abdul Majid, Rozmi Ismail
- 435 Writing: Wong Min Fui, Mas Ayu Said, Hazreen Abdul Majid, Rozmi Ismail
- 436 Reviewing and Editing: Wong Min Fui, Mas Ayu Said, Hazreen Abdul Majid, Rozmi
- 437 Ismail

- 438 Tin Tin Su, Tan Maw Pin,
- 439 Funding Acquisition: Mas Ayu Said, Hazreen Abdul Majid, Tan Maw Pin, Tin Tin Su

440 **References**

- 1. Lépine JP, Briley M. The increasing burden of depression. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2011
- 442 May; 7(Suppl 1): 3-7. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S19617 PMID: 21750622
- 443 2. World Health Organization. Preventing suicide: a global imperative: World Health
 444 Organisation, 2014.
- Institute for Public Health (IPH), National Institutes of Health, Ministry of Health
 Malaysia. National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2019: Vol. I: NCDs–NonCommunicable Diseases: Risk Factors and Other Health Problems.
- 448 4. Free Malaysia Today (FMT). Over 2,400 suicides since 2018, 80% were men, says PM.
- 449 2021. 2021 September 16 [Cited 2021 December 20] Available from:
- 450 https://www.freemalaysiatoday.com/category/nation/2021/09/16/over-2400-suicides-
- 451 since-2018-80-were-men-says-pm/
- 452 5. The Star. Selangor tops list of suicide cases between Jan-May this year, says Bukit Aman.
- 453 2021 July 1 [Cited 2021 December 20] Available from:
 454 https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2021/07/01/selangor-tops-list-of-suicide-cases-
- 455 between-jan-may-this-year-says-bukit-aman
- 456 6. Thinagaran A, Dass LM, Sabri MF. The Financial Status and Factors Affecting Personal
 457 Well-Being Among Urban Poor in Kuala Lumpur. Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences
 458 and Humanities (MJSSH). 2017 Mar 24;2(1):21-39. doi:
 459 https://doi.org/10.47405/missh.v2i1.34.
- 460 7. Department Of Statistics Malaysia (DOSM). Household Income and Basic Amenities
 461 Survey. Report by State and Administrative District: Selangor [Internet]. Malaysia; 2019

462		[cited	2021	December	20]	Available	from:
463		https://www	.dosm.gov.my/	v1/index.php?r=cc	lumn/ctheme	ByCat&cat	
464	8.	Gruebner O,	, Rapp MA, A	dli M, Kluge U, C	alea S, Heinz	A: Cities and men	tal health.
465		Dtsch Arztel	ol Int. 2017; 11	4(8): 121–7. doi: 1	0.3238/arzteb	1.2017.0121 PMDI:	28302261
466	9.	Prina AM,	Ferri CP, Gue	erra M, Brayne C,	Prince M. H	Prevalence of anxie	ty and its
467		correlates an	nong older adu	lts in Latin Americ	ca, India and O	China: cross-cultura	l study. Br
468		J Psychiatry.	2011 Dec;199	9(6):485-91. doi: 10).1192/bjp.bp.	110.083915 PMID:	22016438
469	10.	Sharifi V, A	min-Esmaeili	M, Hajebi A, Mot	evalian A, Ra	dgoodarzi R, Hefaz	i M, et al.
470		Twelve-mon	th prevalence	and correlates of	psychiatric of	disorders in Iran: t	he Iranian
471		Mental Heal	th Survey, 201	1. Arch Iran Med.	2015 Feb 1;18	8(2): 76–84. PMID:	25644794
472	11.	Gruebner O,	Khan MM, La	autenbach S, Mülle	er D, Krämer	A, Lakes T, Hostert	P. Mental
473		health in the	e slums of Dł	naka-a geoepidemi	ological study	y. BMC Public He	alth. 2012
474		Dec;12(1):17	77. https://doi.o	org/10.1186/1471-2	2458-12-177		
475	12.	Jacobi F, H	öfler M, Sieg	ert J, Mack S, Ge	erschler A, So	choll L, et al. Twe	lve-month
476		prevalence, o	comorbidity an	d correlates of mer	tal disorders i	n Germany: the Mer	ntal Health
477		Module of	the German	Health Interview	v and Exan	nination Survey for	or Adults
478		(DEGS1-MF	H). Int J M	Aethods Psychiatr	Res. 201	4 Sep;23(3):304-	19. doi:
479		10.1002/mpr	.1439. Epub 2	014 Apr 11.PMID:	PMID: 2472	9411	
480	13.	Phillips MR	, Zhang J, Shi	Q, Song Z, Ding Z	Z, Pang S, et	al. Prevalence, treat	tment, and
401			• 1 • 1 • 6	. 1 1. 1			

482 epidemiological survey. Lancet (London, England). 2009;373(9680):2041-53.

481

483 14. Lugova H, Andoy-Galvan JA, Patil SS, Wong YH, Baloch GM, Suleiman A, Nordin R,
484 Chinna K. Prevalence and associated factors of the severity of depression, anxiety and
485 stress among low-income community-dwelling adults in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

associated disability of mental disorders in four provinces in China during 2001-05: an

486 Community Ment. Health J. 2021 Nov;57(8):1489-1498. doi: 10.1007/s10597-020-00765487 7. PMID: 33417170

- 488 15. Tan KL, Yadav H. Depression among the urban poor in Peninsular Malaysia: A
 489 community based cross-sectional study. J Health Psychol. 2013 Jan;18(1):121-7. doi:
- 490 10.1177/1359105311433908. PMID: 22322990
- 491 16. Abd Rashid R, Kanagasundram S, Danaee M, Abdul Majid H, Sulaiman AH, Ahmad
- 492 Zahari MM, et al. The prevalence of smoking, determinants and chance of psychological
- 493 problems among smokers in an urban community housing project in Malaysia. Int. J.
- 494 Environ. 2019 Jan;16(10):1762. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16101762.PMID: 31109033
- 495 17. Wilkinson RG, Marmot M, editors. Social determinants of health: the solid facts. World
 496 Health Organization; 2003.
- 497 18. Lahana E, Pappa E, Niakas D. The impact of ethnicity, place of residence and
 498 socioeconomic status on health-related quality of life: results from a Greek health survey.
 499 Int J Public Health. 2010 Oct;55(5):391-400. doi: 10.1007/s00038-010-0171-2. PMID:
- 500 20652355
- 501 19. Darin-Mattsson A, Fors S, Kåreholt I. Different indicators of socioeconomic status and
 502 their relative importance as determinants of health in old age. Int J Equity Health. 2017
 503 Sep 26;16(1):173. doi: 10.1186/s12939-017-0670-3. PMID: 28950875
- 20. Lund C, Breen A, Flisher AJ, Kakuma R, Corrigall J, Joska JA, Swartz L, Patel V. Poverty
 and common mental disorders in low and middle income countries: A systematic review.
- 506 Soc Sci Med. 2010 Aug;71(3):517-528. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.04.027. PMID:
 507 20621748
- 508 21. Galea S, Ahern J, Nandi A, Tracy M, Beard J, Vlahov D. Urban neighborhood poverty and
 509 the incidence of depression in a population-based cohort study. Ann Epidemiol. 2007
- 510 Mar;17(3):171-9. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2006.07.008. PMID: 17320784

511	22.	Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey: Survey of Mental Health and Well-being, England,
512		2014 [Internet]. 2014 [Cited 11 November 2019]. Available from:
513		https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/adult-psychiatric-
514		morbidity-survey/adult-psychiatric-morbidity-survey-survey-of-mental-health-and-well-
515		being-england-2014.
516	23.	Thangiah G, Said MA, Majid HA, Reidpath D, Su TT. Income Inequality in Quality of
517		Life among Rural Communities in Malaysia: A Case for Immediate Policy Consideration.
518		Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Nov 24;17(23):8731. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17238731
519		PMID: 33255397
520	24.	Degan TJ, Kelly PJ, Robinson LD, Deane FP, Smith AM. Health literacy of people living
521		with mental illness or substance use disorders: A systematic review. Early Interv
522		Psychiatry. 2021 Dec;15(6):1454-1469. doi: 10.1111/eip.13090. PMID: 33254279
523	25.	Holman D. Exploring the relationship between social class, mental illness stigma and
524		mental health literacy using British national survey data. Health (London).
525		2015;19(4):413-29. doi: 10.1177/1363459314554316. PMID: 25323051
526	26.	Ibrahim N, Amit N, Shahar S, Wee L-H, Ismail R, Khairuddin R, et al. Do depression
527		literacy, mental illness beliefs and stigma influence mental health help-seeking attitude?
528		A cross-sectional study of secondary school and university students from B40 households
529		in Malaysia. BMC Public Health. 2019;19(4):544. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-
530		6862-6
531	27.	Mickelson KD, Hazlett E. "Why me?": Low-income women's poverty attributions, mental
532		health, and social class perceptions. sex roles. 2014 Nov 1;71(9-10):319-332.
533		https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-014-0414-4
534	28.	Yee A, Hodori NA, Tung YZ, Ooi PL, Latif SA, Isa HM, et al. Depression level and coping

535 responses toward the movement control order and its impact on quality of life in the

- 536 Malaysian community during the COVID-19 pandemic: a web-based cross-sectional study.
- 537 Ann Gen Psychiatry. 2021 May 24;20(1):31. doi: 10.1186/s12991-021-00352-4. PMID:
 538 34030704
- 539 29. Zhang J, Yang Z, Wang X, Li J, Dong L, Wang F, Li Y, Wei R, Zhang J. The relationship
- 540 between resilience, anxiety and depression among patients with mild symptoms of
- 541 COVID-19 in China: a cross-sectional study. J Clin Nurs. 2020 Nov;29(21-22):4020-9.
- 542 doi: 10.1111/jocn.15425 PMID: 32702192.
- 54330. Bank W. Global economic prospects. [Internet] The World Bank. June 2020 [Cited 2021544December20].Availablefrom:
- 545 https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/33748
- 546 31. Sherina MS, Arroll B, Goodyear-Smith F. Criterion validity of the PHQ-9 (Malay version)
- 547 in a primary care clinic in Malaysia. Med J Malaysia. 2012;67(3):309-15. PMID:
 548 23082424
- Sherina M, Arroll B, Goodyear-Smith F. Validation of the GAD-7 (Malay version) among
 women attending a primary care clinic in Malaysia. J Prim Health Care. 2012 Mar
 1;4(1):5-11, A1. PMID: 22377544
- 33. Shafie AA, Vasan Thakumar A, Lim CJ, Luo N. Psychometric performance assessment of
 Malay and Malaysian English version of EQ-5D-5L in the Malaysian population. Qual
- 554 Life Res. 2019 Jan;28(1):153-162. doi: 10.1007/s11136-018-2027-9. PMID: 30317426
- 34. Min Fui W. Associated Risk Factors of Mental Disorders Among Preschool Care Providers
 in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia [unpublished dissertation]. Kuala Lumpur: University Malaya;
 2019.
- Shafie AA, Thakumar AV, Lim CJ, Luo N, Rand-Hendriksen K, Yusof FA. EQ-5D-5L
 valuation for the Malaysian population. Pharmacoeconomics. 2019 May;37(5):715-725.
- 560 doi: 10.1007/s40273-018-0758-7. PMID: 30535779

- 36. Tran BX, Ohinmaa A, Nguyen LT. Quality of life profile and psychometric properties of
 the EQ-5D-5L in HIV/AIDS patients. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2012;10:132. PMID:
 23116130
- 37. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity
 measure. J Gen Intern Med. 2001 Sep;16(9):606-13. doi: 10.1046/j.15251497.2001.016009606.x. PMID: 11556941
- 38. Manea L, Gilbody S, McMillan D. A diagnostic meta-analysis of the Patient Health
 Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) algorithm scoring method as a screen for depression. Gen Hosp
 Psychiatry.2015;37(1):67-75. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2014.09.009. PMID:
- 570 25439733
- 39. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalised
 anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166(10):1092-7. doi:
 10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092. PMID: 16717171
- 40. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Monahan PO, Löwe B. Anxiety disorders in primary
 care: prevalence, impairment, comorbidity, and detection. Ann Intern Med.
 2007;146(5):317-325. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-146-5-200703060-00004.
- 41. Plante TG, Boccaccini MT. The Santa Clara strength of religious faith questionnaire.
 Pastoral Psychol. 1997;45(5):375-387. doi: 10.1007/BF02230993.
- 42. Wagnild GM, Young HM. Development and psychometric evaluation of the Resilience
 Scale. J Nurs Meas. 1993;1(2):165-178. PMID: 7850498
- 581 43. Narayanan SS, Weng Onn AC. The Influence of Perceived Social Support and Self-
- 582 Efficacy on Resilience among First Year Malaysian Students. Kajian Malaysia: Journal of
- 583 Malaysian Studies. 2016;34(2): 1-23. doi:10.21315/km2016.34.2.1.

- 584 44. Hammer JH, Parent MC, Spiker DA. Mental Help Seeking Attitudes Scale (MHSAS):
- 585 Development, reliability, validity, and comparison with the ATSPPH-SF and IASMHS-
- 586 PO. J Couns Psychol. 2018;65(1):74. doi: 10.1037/cou0000248.
- 587 45. Ibrahim N, A'isyah M, Ching S. Validation of the Malay Mental Help Seeking Attitude
 588 Scale. International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE).
 589 2019;8(2S10):74-8.
- 46. Ismail R, Hassan N, Mansur M, Abdul Jalil S. Empowerment B40, Issues and Strategies.
 Selangor (MY): Social Science UKM Press; 2019.
- 592 47. Duong TV, Aringazina A, Kayupova G, Nurjanah, Pham TV, Pham KM, et al.
- 593 Development and validation of a new short-form health literacy instrument (HLS-SF12)
- 594 for the general public in six Asian countries. Health Lit Res Pract. 2019;3(2):e91-102. doi:
- 595 10.1037/cou0000248.
- 48. Hosmer Jr DW, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX. Applied logistic regression. 3rd ed. New
 York (US): John Wiley & Sons; 2013. doi: 10.1002/9781118548387.
- 598 49. Krishnaswamy S, Subramaniam K, Jemain AA, Low WY, Ramachandran P, Indran T et
- al. Common mental disorders in Malaysia: Malaysian mental health survey, 2003–2005.
 Asia Pac Psychiatry. 2012;4(3):201-209. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-5872.2012.00180.x.
- 50. Abdullah MF, Yusof HA, Shariff NM, Hami R, Nisman NF, Law KS. Depression and
 anxiety in the Malaysian urban population and their association with demographic
 characteristics, quality of life, and the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic. Current
 Psychology. 2021 Feb:1-2. doi: 10.1007/s12144-021-01492-2. PMID: 33623353.
- 51. Wong LP, Alias H, Md Fuzi AA, Omar IS, Mohamad Nor A, Tan MP, et al. Escalating
 progression of mental health disorders during the COVID-19 pandemic: Evidence from a
 nationwide survey. PloS One. 2021 Mar 25;16(3):e0248916. doi:
 10.1371/journal.pone.0248916. PMID: 33765039.

- 609 52. Bosman RC, Ten Have M, de Graaf R, Muntingh AD, van Balkom AJ, Batelaan NM.
- 610 Prevalence and course of subthreshold anxiety disorder in the general population: a three-
- 611 year follow-up study. J Affective Disord. 2019 Mar 15;247:105-113. doi:
- 612 10.1016/j.jad.2019.01.018.
- 53. Forsell Y. A three-year follow-up of major depression, dysthymia, minor depression and
 subsyndromal depression: results from a population-based study. Depress Anxiety
 2007;24(1):62-65. doi: 10.1002/da.20231.
- 616 54. Rodríguez MR, Nuevo R, Chatterji S, Ayuso-Mateos JL. Definitions and factors
- 617 associated with subthreshold depressive conditions: a systematic review. BMC Psychiatry.

618 2012 Dec;12(1):1-7. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-12-181. PMID: 23110575

- 619 55. Priego-Parra BA, Triana-Romero A, Pinto-Gálvez SM, Ramos CD, Salas-Nolasco O,
- 620 Reyes MM, et al. Anxiety, depression, attitudes, and internet addiction during the initial
- 621 phase of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic: A cross-sectional study in

622 México. medRxiv. 2020 May 15. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.10.20095844

- 623 56. Wu T, Jia X, Shi H, Niu J, Yin X, Xie J, et al. Prevalence of mental health problems during
- 624 the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord. 2020

625 Dec 3;281:91-98. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.11.117. PMID: 33310451

- 57. Taylor MR, Agho KE, Stevens GJ, Raphael B. Factors influencing psychological distress
 during a disease epidemic: data from Australia's first outbreak of equine influenza. BMC
- 628 Public Health. 2008 Dec;8(1):1-13. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-8-347. PMID: 18831770.
- 629 58. Moghanibashi-Mansourieh A. Assessing the anxiety level of Iranian general population
- during COVID-19 outbreak. Asian J Psychiatry. 2020 Jun;51:102076. doi:
 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102076. PMID: 32334409.
- 632 59. Rogers JP, Chesney E, Oliver D, Pollak TA, McGuire P, Fusar-Poli P, et al. Psychiatric
 633 and neuropsychiatric presentations associated with severe coronavirus infections: a

634 systematic review and meta-analysis with comparison to the COVID-19 pandemic. Lancet

635 Psychiatry. 2020;7(7):611-627. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30203-0. PMID: 32437679.

- 636 60. Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Sohrabi C, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, Iosifidis C, et al. The socioeconomic
- 637 implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): A review. Int J Surg. 2020
- 638 Jun;78:185-193. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.04.018. PMID: 32305533
- 639 61. Luo Y, Chua CR, Xiong Z, Ho RC, Ho CSH. A Systematic Review of the Impact of Viral
- 640 Respiratory Epidemics on Mental Health: An Implication on the Coronavirus Disease 2019
- 641 Pandemic. Front. Psychiatry. 2020;11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.565098
- 642 62. Hohls JK, König HH, Quirke E, Hajek A. Association between anxiety, depression and
- 643 quality of life: Study protocol for a systematic review of evidence from longitudinal studies.
- 644 BMJ Open. 2019 Mar;9(3):e027218. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-027218. PMID:
 645 30837260.
- 646 63. Bailey H, Janssen MF, La Foucade A, Kind P. EQ-5D-5L population norms and health
 647 inequalities for Trinidad and Tobago. Plos One. 2019 Apr 29;14(4):e0214283. doi:
 648 10.1371/journal.pone.0214283. PMID: 31034491
- 649 64. Wong EL, Cheung AW, Wong AY, Xu RH, Ramos-Goñi JM, Rivero-Arias O. Normative
 650 profile of health-related quality of life for Hong Kong general population using preference-
- based instrument EQ-5D-5L. Value Health. 2019 Aug 1;22(8):916-24. doi:
 10.1016/j.jval.2019.02.014.
- 653 65. Purba FD, Hunfeld JA, Iskandarsyah A, Fitriana TS, Sadarjoen SS, Passchier J, et al.
 654 Quality of life of the Indonesian general population: Test-retest reliability and population
 655 norms of the EQ-5D-5L and WHOQOL-BREF. PLoS One. 2018 May;13(5):e0197098.
- 656 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0197098. PMID: 29750806.
- 657 66. Pattanaphesaj J, Thavorncharoensap M, Ramos-Goñi JM, Tongsiri S, Ingsrisawang L,
 658 Teerawattananon Y. The EQ-5D-5L valuation study in Thailand. Expert Rev

659 Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res. 2018 Sep 3;18(5):551-8. doi:
660 10.1080/14737167.2018.1494574.

- 661 67. McCaffrey N, Kaambwa B, Currow DC, Ratcliffe J. Health-related quality of life
 662 measured using the EQ-5D–5L: South Australian population norms. Health Qual Life
 663 Outcomes. 2016 Dec;14(1):1-2. doi: 10.1186/s12955-016-0537-0. PMID: 27644755.
- 664 68. Nguyen LH, Tran BX, Hoang Le QN, Tran TT, Latkin CA. Quality of life profile of
- 665 general Vietnamese population using EQ-5D-5L. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2017
 666 Dec;15(1):1-3. doi: 10.1186/s12955-017-0771-0. PMID: 29020996.
- 667 69. Varatharajan S, Chen WS. Reliability and validity of EQ-5D in Malaysian population.
 668 Appl Res Qual Life. 2012 Jun 1;7(2):209-221. doi: 10.1007/s11482-011-9156-4.
- 669 70. Cerne A, Rifel J, Rotar-Pavlic D, Svab I, Selic P, Kersnik J. Quality of life in patients with
- depression, panic syndrome, other anxiety syndrome, alcoholism and chronic somatic
 diseases: a longitudinal study in Slovenian primary care patients. Wien. Klin. Wochenschr.
 2013 Jap:125(1):1.7. doi: 10.1007/s00508.012.0278 v
- 672 2013 Jan;125(1):1-7. doi: 10.1007/s00508-012-0278-y.
- 673 71. Ma YF, Li W, Deng HB, Wang L, Wang Y, Wang PH, et al. Prevalence of depression and
- its association with quality of life in clinically stable patients with COVID-19. J. Affect.
- 675 Disord. 2020 Oct 1;275:145-148. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.033. PMID: 32658818.
- 676 72. Comer JS, Blanco C, Hasin DS, Liu SM, Grant BF, Turner JB, Olfson M. Health-related
- quality of life across the anxiety disorders: results from the national epidemiologic survey
- on alcohol and related conditions (NESARC). J Clin Psychiatry. 2010 Aug 24;71(1):43-
- 679 50. doi: 10.4088/JCP.09m05094blu. PMID: 20816036.
- 680 73. Kader Maideen SF, Mohd. Sidik S, Rampal L, Mukhtar F. Prevalence, associated factors
- and predictors of depression among adults in the community of Selangor, Malaysia. PLoS
- 682 One. 2014 Apr 22;9(4):e95395. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0095395 PMID:24755607

- 683 74. Maideen SF, Sidik SM, Rampal L, Mukhtar F. Prevalence, associated factors and
 684 predictors of anxiety: a community survey in Selangor, Malaysia. BMC Psychiatry.
- 685 2015;15(1):1-12. doi: 0.1186/s12888-015-0648-x. PMID: 26497745
- 686 75. Shanmugam H, Juhari JA, Nair P, Ken CS, Guan NC. Impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on
- 687 mental health in Malaysia: a single thread of hope. MJP. 2020 Apr 21;29(1):78-84.
 688 https://www.mjpsychiatry.org/index.php/mjp/article/view/536
- 689 76. Implement emergency response to domestic violence amid COVID-19 crisis. Women's
- Aid Organisation. [Internet]. 2020 April 9 [Cited 2021 December 20] Available from:
- 691 https://wao.org.my/implementemergency-response-to-domesticviolence-amid-covid-19-
- 692 crisis/.
- 693 77. KOSMO. Covid-19 pushes more people to divorce. 2020 November 20 [Cited 2021
 694 December 20] Available from: https://www.kosmo.com.my/2020/11/20/covid-19-dorong695 lebih-ramai-bercerai/
- 696 78. Sinar Harian. Divorce cases in Malaysia have recorded a remarkable increase. 2020
 697 December 29 [Cited 2021 December 20] Available from:
 698 https://www.sinarharian.com.my/article/116749/BERITA/Nasional/Kes-perceraian-di-
- 699 Malaysia-rekodkan-peningkatan-luar-biasa
- 700 79. Williams DT, Cheadle JE, Goosby BJ. Hard times and heart break: Linking economic
 701 hardship and relationship distress. J. Fam. Issues. 2015 Jun;36(7):924-50. J Fam Issues.
 702 2015 Jun;36(7):924-950. doi: 10.1177/0192513X13501666. PMID: 26097273

80. Gogtay N, Giedd JN, Lusk L, Hayashi KM, Greenstein D, Vaituzis AC, et al. Dynamic
mapping of human cortical development during childhood through early adulthood. Proc

- 705 Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004 May 25;101(21):8174-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0402680101.
- 706 PMID: 15148381

- 81. IOM. Investing in the health and well-being of young adults. Washington, DC: TheNational Academies Press.2015.
- 709 82. Huang Y, Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality
- 710 during COVID-19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey. Psychiatry Res.
- 711 2020 Jun 1;288:112954. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112954. PMID: 32325383
- 712 83. Shevlin M, McBride O, Murphy J, Miller JG, Hartman TK, Levita L, et al. Anxiety,
- 713 depression, traumatic stress and COVID-19-related anxiety in the UK general population
- during the COVID-19 pandemic. BJPsych Open. 2020 Oct 19;6(6):e125. doi:
- 715 10.1192/bjo.2020.109. PMID: 33070797
- 716 84. Edwards J, Goldie I, Elliott I, Breedvelt J, Chakkalackal L, Foye U, et al. Fundamental
- facts about mental health. MHF. [Internet] 2016 [Cited 2020 March 3]. Available from:
- 718 https://www.mentalhealth.org.uk/publications/fundamental-facts-about-mental-health-
- 719 2016
- 85. Cheah YK, Azahadi M, Phang SN, Abd Manaf NH. Sociodemographic, lifestyle, and
 health factors associated with depression and generalised anxiety disorder among
 Malaysian adults. J Prim Care Community Health. Jan-Dec 2020;11:2150132720921738.
- 723 doi: 10.1177/2150132720921738. PMID: 32450747
- 86. Institute for Public Health (IPH), National Institutes of Health, Ministry of Health
 Malaysia. National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2019: Vol. I: NCDs–NonCommunicable Diseases: Risk Factors and Other Health Problems.
- 87. Mundt A, Kliewe T, Yayla S, Ignatyev Y, Busch MA, Heimann H, Heinz A, Rapp MA,
 Schouler-Ocak M, Ströhle A, Aichberger MC. Social characteristics of psychological
 distress in disadvantaged areas of Berlin. Int J Soc Psychiatry. 2014 Feb;60(1):75-82. doi:
- 730 10.1177/0020764012464017. PMID: 23117825

88. Ahmad A, Rahman I, Agarwal M. Early psychosocial predictors of mental health among
Indians during coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak. JHSCI [Internet]. 2020 July 14 [Cited
2022 January 30];10(2):147-56. Available from:
https://www.jhsci.ba/ojs/index.php/ihsci/article/view/950

- 735 89. Uphoff EP, Newbould L, Walker I, Ashraf N, Chaturvedi S, Kandasamy A, et al. A
- people with non-communicable diseases in Bangladesh, India, and Pakistan. J. Glob.

systematic review and meta-analysis of the prevalence of common mental disorders in

- 738 Health. 2019 Dec;9(2). J Glob Health. 2019 Dec;9(2):020417. doi:
- 739 10.7189/jogh.09.020417. PMID: 31893031

736

740 90. Lin EH, Rutter CM, Katon W, Heckbert SR, Ciechanowski P, Oliver MM, Ludman EJ,

Young BA, Williams LH, McCulloch DK, Von Korff M. Depression and advanced
complications of diabetes: a prospective cohort study. Diabetes Care. 2010 Feb;33(2):264-

743 9. doi: 10.2337/dc09-1068. PMID: 19933989

- 744 91. Köhler CA, Evangelou E, Stubbs B, Solmi M, Veronese N, Belbasis L, et al. Mapping risk
 745 factors for depression across the lifespan: an umbrella review of evidence from meta746 analyses and Mendelian randomisation studies. J. Psychiatr. Res. 2018 Aug 1;103:189-207.
- 747 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.05.020

92. Lim L, Ng TP, Chua HC, Chiam PC, Won V, Lee T, et al. Generalised anxiety disorder in
Singapore: prevalence, co-morbidity and risk factors in a multi-ethnic population. Soc
Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2005 Dec 1;40(12):972-9. doi: 10.1007/s00127-0050978-y. PMID: 16249971

- McEvoy PM, Grove R, Slade T. Epidemiology of anxiety disorders in the Australian
 general population: findings of the 2007 Australian National Survey of Mental Health and
- 754 Wellbeing. Aust NZJ Psychiatry. 2011 Nov;45(11):957-67. doi:
- 755 10.3109/00048674.2011.624083. PMID: 22044173

- 756 94. Amiri M, Majid HA, Hairi F, Thangiah N, Bulgiba A, Su TT. Prevalence and determinants
- 757 of cardiovascular disease risk factors among the residents of urban community housing
- projects in Malaysia. BMC Public Health. 2014 Dec;14(3):1-9.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-S3-S3
- 95. Su TT, Azzani M, Adewale AP, Thangiah N, Zainol R, Majid H. Physical Activity and
 Health-Related Quality of Life Among Low-Income Adults in Metropolitan Kuala
 Lumpur. J Epidemiol. 2019 Feb 5;29(2):43-49. doi: 10.2188/jea.JE20170183. PMID:
 29962493
- 96. Azizan NA, Thangiah N, Su TT, Majid HA. Does a low-income urban population practise
 healthy dietary habits?. Int Health. 2018 Mar 1;10(2):108-115. doi:
 10.1093/inthealth/ihy00. PMID: 29462331
- 97. Su TT, Amiri M, Mohd Hairi F, Thangiah N, Bulgiba A, Majid HA. Prediction of
 cardiovascular disease risk among low-income urban residents in metropolitan Kuala
 Lumpur, Malaysia. Biomed Res Int. 2015 Mar 2;2015. doi: 10.1155/2015/516984.
- 770 98. Zheng M, Jin H, Shi N, Duan C, Wang D, Yu X, et al. The relationship between health
 771 literacy and quality of life: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Health Qual Life
 772 Outcomes. 2018 Oct 16;16(1):201. doi: 10.1186/s12955-018-1031-7. PMID: 30326903
- 99. Ssebunnya J, Kigozi F, Lund C, Kizza D, Okello E. Stakeholder perceptions of mental
- health stigma and poverty in Uganda. BMC Int Health Hum Rights. 2009 Dec;9(1):5
 https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-698X-9-5
- 100.Bullock HE, Waugh IM. Beliefs about Poverty and Opportunity among Mexican
 Immigrant Farm Workers 1. J Appl Soc Psychol. 2005 Jun;35(6):1132-49.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2005.tb02163.x

- 101.Bullock HE. Attributions for Poverty: A Comparison of Middle-Class and Welfare
 Recipient Attitudes 1. J Appl Soc Psychol. 1999 Oct;29(10):2059-82.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.1999.tb02295.x
- 102.Norisan A, Jalaluddin A, Suzana S. Memperkasa B40 Isu dan Strategi Sains Sosial. Bangi:
- 783 Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM). 2019. ISBN 9789672388302
- 103.Rickwood D, Deane FP, Wilson CJ, Ciarrochi J. Young people's help-seeking for mental
- 785 health problems. AeJAMH. 2005 Jan 1;4(3):218-51. doi: 10.5172/jamh.4.3.218
- 786 104.Penang Institute. Bridging barriers: A report on improving access to mental healthcare in
- 787 Malaysia.[Internet] Pulau Pinang; 2018.[Cited 2021 December 20]. 141 p. Available from:
- 788 https://penanginstitute.org/programmes/penang-institute-in-kuala-lumpur/1032-bridging-
- 789 barriers-a-study-on-improving-access-to-mental-healthcare-in-malaysia/
- 790 105.Hu T, Zhang D, Wang J. A meta-analysis of the trait resilience and mental health. Pers
- 791 Individ Dif. 2015 Apr 1;76:18-27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.11.039
- 106.Wu Y, Sang ZQ, Zhang XC, Margraf J. The relationship between resilience and mental
- health in Chinese college students: a longitudinal cross-lagged analysis. Front. Psychol.
- 794 2020 Feb 5;11:108. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00108. PMID: 32116918




FIGURE 1




FIGURE 2