

Title: Cell-Mediated Immune Response after COVID 19 Vaccination in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease

Authors:

Freddy Caldera, DO, MS*, Francis A. Farraye, MD, MSc[†], Brian M. Necela, PhD^α, Davitte Cogen, BSc^α, Sumona Saha, MD, MS*, Arnold Wald, MD*, Nader D. Daoud, MD[†], Kelly Chun, PhD^γ, Ian Grimes, MD*, Megan Lutz, MD*, Melanie D. Swift, MD, MPH^θ, Abinash Virk, MD^ε, Adil E. Bharucha, MBBS, MD^δ, Tushar C. Patel, MD^λ, Gregory J. Gores, MD^δ, Saranya Chumsri, MD^β, Mary S. Hayney, PharmD, MPH[‡], Keith L. Knutson, PhD^α,

Institutional Affiliations:

* Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine & Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin, United States

α Department of Immunology, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida, United States

θ Division of Public Health, Infectious Diseases and Occupational Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, United States

ε Division of Infectious Disease, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, United States

δ Division of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, United States

λ Division of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL, United States

β Division of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida, United States

γ LabCorp, R&D and Specialty Medicine

‡ School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine & Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin, United States

† Inflammatory Bowel Disease Center, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida, United States

Corresponding author

Freddy Caldera, DO, MS

1685 Highland Avenue

Madison, WI, USA 53705-2281

Phone (608) 263-1995; Fax: (608)265-5677; E-mail: fcaldera@medicine.wisc.edu

Guarantor of the article: Freddy Caldera, DO, MS

Specific author contributions: F.C. - study concept and design, acquisition of data, analysis, and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, and critical revision of manuscript K.L.K – acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of manuscript, and critical revision of manuscript. B.M.N – Acquisition of data and drafting of manuscript. DC- acquisition of data. S.S.- critical revision of manuscript. AW- critical revision of manuscript. H.S.P- acquisition of data, critical revision of manuscript, K.C- acquisition of data, critical revision of manuscript, analysis and interpretation of data, I.G.-critical revision of manuscript, M.L- critical revision of manuscript, M.D.S. – data acquisition and critical revision of manuscript. A.V. - data acquisition and critical revision of manuscript. A.E.B. – data acquisition and critical revision of manuscript. T.C.P. – data acquisition and critical review of manuscript. G.J.G – data acquisition and critical review of manuscript. M.S.H- study concept and design, acquisition of data, analysis, and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, and critical revision of manuscript. F.A.F, study concept and design, analysis and interpretation of data and critical revision of manuscript. N.D.D, acquisition of data

Financial support: Takeda Pharmaceuticals, American College of Gastroenterology, and the Mayo Clinic.

Potential competing interests: Dr. Freddy Caldera has received research support from Takeda Pharmaceuticals. He has been a consultant for Takeda, Arena Pharmaceuticals, GSK, and

Celgene. Dr. Farraye is a consultant for Arena, BMS, Braintree Labs, Gilead, GSK, Innovation Pharmaceuticals, Iterative Scopes, Janssen, Pfizer and Sebela. He sits on a DSMB for Bacainn Pharmaceuticals, Lilly and Theravance. Dr. Hayney is a consultant for GSK Vaccines and Seqirus and has received research support from Takeda Pharmaceuticals, Dynavax, and Sanofi. Dr. Chun is an employee of LabCorp. Dr. Swift has received research support from Pfizer.

Keywords: Crohn's disease, Ulcerative Colitis, immune response,

Abstract

Introduction

Most patients with IBD mount an antibody response to mRNA COVID-19 vaccines, but few studies have evaluated the cell mediated immune response (CMIR).

Methods

We performed a prospective study (HERCULES) to evaluate CMIR among patients with IBD and healthy controls (HC) after completion of the primary series of mRNA COVID-19 vaccines.

Results

One hundred 158 patients with IBD and 20 HC were enrolled. The majority (89%) of IBD patients developed a CMIR which was not different than HC (94%, $p=0.6667$). There was no significant difference ($p=0.5488$) in CMIR response between those not immunosuppressed (median 255 Spike T cells/million PBMC, IQR 146, 958) and immunosuppressed (median 377, IQR 123, 1440). There was also no correlation between antibody responses and CMIR ($p=0.5215$)

Discussion

Most patients with IBD achieved CMIR to a COVID-19 vaccine. Future studies are needed evaluating sustained CMIR and clinical outcomes.

Introduction

Two mRNA coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccines, mRNA-1273 (Moderna) and BNT162b2 (Pfizer-BioNTech), are highly effective in the general population.(1) Several studies have demonstrated that the majority of patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (95-99%) are able to achieve a measurable antibody response after the two-dose mRNA vaccine primary series, and 100% have a measurable antibody response after three doses.(2-5) Those who were less likely to seroconvert were older in age, received the BNT162b2 vaccine, and be on combination therapy with an anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF)-alpha inhibitor and an immunomodulator. (3-5)

The “HumoRal and CellULar initial and Sustained immunogenicity in patients with IBD” (HERCULES) study found that after vaccination for COVID-19, antibody concentrations were lower in patients with IBD than in healthy controls (HC).(5) However, the clinical relevance of these differences is unknown. Vaccine induced cell-mediated immune response (CMIR) is an important component for protection against viruses such as SARS-CoV2, but a paucity of data in patients with IBD exists. The aim of this study was to evaluate the CMIR of COVID-19 vaccine patients with IBD.

Methods

This was a two center, prospective, non-randomized study comprised of patients with IBD and HC in the HERCULES cohort.(5) Participants with IBD were enrolled at the University of

Wisconsin-Madison (UW) and Mayo Clinic Florida (MAYOFL) and 20 HC were enrolled at MAYOFL. The inclusion criteria for patients with IBD on stable medication therapy has been previously described.(5) HC were eligible if they were not on immunosuppressive therapy and had documentation that they completed an mRNA vaccine series. Completion of an mRNA vaccines series was confirmed by review of the Wisconsin Immunization Registry for those recruited at UW and via electronic health records for those recruited at MAYOFL. Similar to other COVID-19 immunogenicity clinical trials , the humoral immune response and CMIR were measured at 28–35 days after the 2-dose mRNA series in patients with IBD and at approximately 30 days in HCs.

The primary outcome was the CMIR to mRNA COVID-19 vaccines in patients with IBD. The secondary outcomes were a comparison of the (1) CMIR in patients with IBD and HC and (2) CMIR and humoral immune response in all participants. (See Supplementary Methods for full details of measurement of humoral immune response, CMIR to SARS-CoV2 spike protein, and statistical analysis). The study received Institutional Review Board approval at the University of Wisconsin and Mayo Clinic Florida.

Results

One hundred fifty-eight patients with IBD and 20 HC were enrolled. A greater proportion of HCs than patients with IBD (85% vs 54%) received the Pfizer vaccine (Table 1). The numbers of T cells responsive to spike antigens were evaluable in 151 patients with IBD and in 18 HC. The spike antibody levels were evaluable in 152 patients with IBD and in 18 HCs.

Among HC, all but one had a CMIR and all had a humoral immune response to an mRNA vaccine series. Likewise, most IBD patients had a CMIR (89%) and a humoral immune response (97%) (Table 1, Figure 1A). Three of four participants with no measurable antibody did have a CMIR (76, 232, & 4600 Spike T cells/million PBMC). There was no association between levels of antibody and CMIR (Figure 1B). Among patients with IBD, the humoral but not CMIR response was lower in patients taking vs not taking immunosuppressive medication(s) (Figures 1C-D). Additionally, no difference in Spike T cell responses was found between those on anti-TNF therapy or JAK inhibitors compared to other therapies (Table 1).

Discussion

In this study, essentially all patients with IBD, even patients on immunosuppressant medications, mounted a CMIR to the COVID-19 vaccine. By contrast to earlier studies, which observed lower antibody response after COVID-19 vaccination in immunosuppressed patients with IBD, the CMIR was not significantly different between those on immunosuppressants medications vs. those on non-immunosuppressive therapy in this study. Interestingly, we did not find a correlation between vaccine-induced antibody levels and CMIR.

Our findings contrast that seen in other immunosuppressed populations with CMIR rates of 36-46% in solid organ transplant recipients, 58% in those on B-cell depleting therapy, and 62-74% in patients with psoriasis on biological therapy and/or an immunomodulator. (6-9) Consistent with prior findings, humoral immune response studies in the above populations have shown that they have lower rates of antibody response after a primary mRNA series than those seen in this study. (7, 10)

Our study suggests that the humoral immune response provides an incomplete measure of COVID-19 vaccine-induced immune response. Additionally, our results and those of previous studies evaluating antibody responses to COVID-19 vaccines in patients with IBD suggest that most patients with IBD have a vaccine-induced immune response after an mRNA COVID-19 primary series similar to what has been seen in HC. This would suggest most patients with IBD may not require a third dose in the primary vaccine series. An additional dose to the primary series was recommended by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) for those who are moderately to severely immunocompromised. (11) This recommendation was largely based on evidence that solid organ transplant recipients who had a suboptimal antibody response (56%) after the primary series, and these data were extrapolated to other similarly immunosuppressed populations.(10) This additional dose to the primary series is intended for people who likely did not mount a protective immune response. A booster dose, preferentially an mRNA dose, is currently recommended for everyone age 12 years and older five months after their primary series for the general population and three months in moderately-severely immunosuppressed patients. Whether patients with IBD on immune-modifying therapy may benefit from an additional or earlier booster dose or a mix and match immunization strategy to reduce the incidence of breakthrough infections and/or severe disease is not known. It is unknown whether these therapies may impact sustained humoral and CMIR in patients with IBD.

Our study has several strengths. We evaluated patients on stable treatment regimens, and we used an established assay to measure CMIR, of which higher levels may be associated

with better protection from disease. (12) We are limited by small samples in treatment groups and HC.

In summary, we found that almost all patients with IBD were able to mount CMIR which did not correlate with antibodies. Further studies are needed in evaluating sustained CMIR, the impact of booster dosing on CMIR, and long-term antibody concentrations and CMIR in patients with IBD.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all the subjects who participated in the study, the specialty pharmacists at UW-Health for their help, and all the staff at the Office of Clinical Trials at University of Wisconsin-Madison for all their work in completion of the study. The authors acknowledge Edward Famularo at Mayo Florida, Sue McCrone, and Kayla Dillon, both at UW, for assisting with sample processing at Mayo Florida and Zhou Li for statistical advice.

References

1. Rolak S, Hayney MS, Farraye FA, et al. What Gastroenterologists Should Know About COVID-19 Vaccines. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2021;19:657-661.
2. Schell TL, Knutson KL, Saha S, et al. Humoral Immunogenicity of Three COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine Doses in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *medRxiv* 2021:2021.12.22.21268217.
3. Kappelman MD, Weaver KN, Zhang X, et al. Factors Affecting Initial Humoral Immune Response to SARS-Cov-2 Vaccines among Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2021.
4. Melmed GY, Botwin GJ, Sobhani K, et al. Antibody Responses After SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccination in Adults With Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Ann Intern Med* 2021;174:1768-1770.
5. Caldera F, Knutson KL, Saha S, et al. Humoral Immunogenicity of mRNA COVID-19 Vaccines Among Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Healthy Controls. *Official journal of the American College of Gastroenterology | ACG* 2022;117:176-179.

6. Mahil SK, Bechman K, Raharja A, et al. Humoral and cellular immunogenicity to a second dose of COVID-19 vaccine BNT162b2 in people receiving methotrexate or targeted immunosuppression: a longitudinal cohort study. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2022;4:e42-e52.
7. Mrak D, Tobudic S, Koblischke M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in rituximab-treated patients: B cells promote humoral immune responses in the presence of T-cell-mediated immunity. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021;80:1345-1350.
8. Ruether DF, Schaub GM, Duengelhoefer PM, et al. SARS-CoV2-specific Humoral and T-cell Immune Response After Second Vaccination in Liver Cirrhosis and Transplant Patients. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2022;20:162-172.e9.
9. Hall VG, Ferreira VH, Ierullo M, et al. Humoral and cellular immune response and safety of two-dose SARS-CoV-2 mRNA-1273 vaccine in solid organ transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2021;21:3980-3989.
10. Boyarsky BJ, Werbel WA, Avery RK, et al. Antibody Response to 2-Dose SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccine Series in Solid Organ Transplant Recipients. *JAMA* 2021;325:2204-2206.
11. Mbaeyi S, Oliver SE, Collins JP, et al. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices' Interim Recommendations for Additional Primary and Booster Doses of COVID-19 Vaccines - United States, 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021;70:1545-1552.
12. Wyllie D, Jones HE, Mulchandani R, et al. SARS-CoV-2 responsive T cell numbers and anti-Spike IgG levels are both associated with protection from COVID-19: A prospective cohort study in keyworkers. *medRxiv* 2021:2020.11.02.20222778.
13. Walsh EE, Frenck RW, Falsey AR, et al. Safety and Immunogenicity of Two RNA-Based Covid-19 Vaccine Candidates. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2020;383:2439-2450.
14. Caldera F, Hillman L, Saha S, et al. Immunogenicity of High Dose Influenza Vaccine for Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease on Anti-TNF Monotherapy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2020;26:593-602.
15. Wyant T, Leach T, Sankoh S, et al. Vedolizumab affects antibody responses to immunisation selectively in the gastrointestinal tract: randomised controlled trial results. *Gut* 2015;64:77-83.

Figure 1. Humoral and cell mediated immune responses in IBD patients and normal healthy individuals following vaccination. A, Box and whisker plot comparing Spike-specific T cell levels (per million PBMC) in all IBD patients and normal healthy controls. P value was calculated using the Mann-Whitney test. **B,** Correlation plot comparing paired antibody ($\mu\text{g/ml}$ serum) and Spike-specific T cell (per million PBMC) levels in patients with IBD. P value and r correlation coefficient were calculated using the Spearman correlation test. **C-D,** Box and whisker plot comparing Spike-specific antibody levels ($\mu\text{g/ml}$ serum) and Spike-specific T cell (per million PBMC) levels in IBD patients treated with either non-immunosuppressive or immunosuppressive regimens. P values were calculated using the Mann-Whitney test. Each symbol represents a unique patient or healthy donor.

Supplementary Information

Humoral immune response measurements

Nucleocapsid and Spike protein S1 receptor binding domain (RDB)-specific IgG antibodies were measured in sera at 28-35 days post completion of the two dose mRNA series in patients with IBD and at approximately 30 days in HC similarly to COVID-19 immunogenicity clinical trials. (13)

LabCorp's Cov2Quant IgG assay uses electrochemiluminescence immunoassay technology for the quantitative measurement of IgG antibodies to SARS-CoV-2. This assay was used to measure anti-receptor binding domain IgG antibodies (the target of COVID-19 vaccines) and Roche anti nucleocapsid (indicative of a prior infection) antibodies in all patients with IBD. The Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland) was used to measure the antibody responses in the normal healthy controls. Patients with prior COVID-19 infection (as assessed with an FDA-approved nucleocapsid antibody test) and patients on immunosuppressive therapy for an indication other than cancer were excluded. The sensitivity and correlation to neutralizing antibodies has been previously described. (5)

Fluorospot Analysis

Fluorospot assays were performed to quantitate antigen-specific T cells capable of secreting interferon- γ (IFN- γ) with use of the human IFN- γ Fluorospot^{Plus} kit (Mabtech). Cryopreserved peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were thawed at 37°C, washed twice with RPMI media with 10% AB serum (Gemini Bio-Products), and viability determined by trypan blue

exclusion using the Cellometer Vision (Nexcelom Bioscience). Only samples with > 85% viability were used in the assay. PMBCs were plated at 2.5×10^5 per well in triplicate in 96-well round bottom plates and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 24 hr. with complete medium alone, Spike protein peptide pools 1 + 2 (Stemcell Technologies, 1µg/ml), or phytohemagglutinin (PHA, 7.5 µg/ml, positive control). The SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein peptide pools 1 + 2 are pools of 158 peptides each consisted of 15-mer peptides with 11-amino acid overlaps that span amino acids 1-1273 of the spike protein. After 24 hours, cells were transferred to fluorospot plates pre-coated with anti-IFN-γ and that were blocked for 2 hr. with complete media at 37 °C. Plates were incubated for additional 24 hr, washed, and incubated with biotinylated anti-IFN-γ and streptavidin-550 conjugates with washes between each step. After the final wash, plates were incubated for 15 min with fluorescence enhancer-II, and after its removal, dried under a hood blower for 15 min. Plates were read on an AID ELISpot reader (San Diego, CA) using the Cy3 filter. AID Spot parameters were as follows: intensity (min 14; max 250); size min 43, max 5000); emphasis (small) and algorithm C. Antigen-specific T cells were defined as the average number of spots elicited by the antigen of interest minus the average number of spots elicited with culture medium alone. For each patient, the number of Spike-specific T cells was calculated by summing the individual responses to pools 1 and 2. For samples where spots were too numerous to count, spot number was set to 6400. All spot numbers were multiplied by four to achieve a standardized spots per million cells. Six patients with IBD and two healthy controls were excluded in the final analysis due to lack of PHA response. Although the lack of a PHA could indicate profound therapy-induced immune suppression, it could also indicate poor

cell quality or lost sample, thus the results were not included. One IBD patient was excluded due to pre-vaccine positive COVID nucleocapsid response.

Data analysis and statistical design

IBD treatment groups were defined as patients on stable doses of maintenance therapy in the following groups. The non-systemic immunosuppressive group: on mesalamine monotherapy or no therapy for IBD, or on vedolizumab monotherapy. Vedolizumab was considered in this group since previous studies have shown that it does not appear to impact vaccine response (14, 15).

Categorical variables were reported as frequencies (percentages) and continuous variables were reported as median with interquartile range. Mann-Whitney test was used to compare continuous variables between groups and Fisher's exact test was used to compare categorical variables. Spearman's test was used to evaluate for correlations between antibody and T cell responses. All tests were two sided with p value < 0.05 considered statistically significant.







Table 1			
Baseline demographics			
	IBD Patients N=158	Healthy Controls N= 20	P value
Age in years (median (IQR))	42 (35, 57)	50 (42, 58)	0.2462
Male (%)	79 (50%)	9 (45%)	0.8133
Vaccine Manufacturer			
Moderna	72 (46%)	3 (15%)	0.0086
Pfizer	86 (54%)	17 (85%)	
Type of IBD			
Crohn's Disease (%)	106 (67%)	--	--
Ulcerative Colitis (%)	52 (33%)	--	--
IBD treatment¹			
Mesalamine monotherapy or no IBD therapy	18 (11%)	--	--
Vedolizumab monotherapy	25 (16%)	--	--
Thiopurine	9 (6%)	--	--
Anti-TNF monotherapy	61 (39%)	--	--
Anti-TNF combination	13 (8%)	--	--
Ustekinumab monotherapy or combination	16 (10%)	--	--
Tofacitinib	6 (4%)	--	--
Corticosteroid therapy (2.5-40mg/day)	10 (6%)	--	--

Duration of immunosuppression (mean \pm SD)	62.2 \pm 56.7		
Post vaccine immune summary			
Post vaccine Spike antibody concentrations (μ g/ml; median and IQR , IBD) (U/ml; median and IQR, Healthy)	34 (17, 67) N=152 evaluable	2500 (1534, 2500) N=20 evaluable	N/A
Post vaccine Spike T cell levels (per million PBMC; media, IQR)	357 (143, 1285) N=151 evaluable	576 (112, 1717) N=18 evaluable	0.3288
Antibody response	147 (97%)	18 (100%)	1.000
Cell-mediated immune response \geq 50 spots	130 (89%)	17 (94%)	0.6997
Post vaccine immune responses by IBD therapy or vaccine type			
	Not immunosuppressed¹	Immunosuppressed²	P value (Mann-Whitney U)
Post vaccine Spike antibody concentrations (μ g/ml; median and IQR , IBD)	66 (37,103) N=41 evaluable	27 (14,48) N=111 evaluable	<0.0001
Post vaccine Spike T cell levels (per million PBMC; media, IQR)	255 (146, 958) N=41 evaluable	377 (123, 1440) N=110 evaluable	0.5488
	No anti-TNF or Tofacitinib	Anti-TNF or Tofacitinib	P value (Mann-Whitney U)
Post vaccine Spike antibody concentrations (μ g/ml; median and IQR , IBD)	59 (28, 100) N=74 evaluable	78 (14,38) N=78 evaluable	<0.0001

Post vaccine Spike T cell levels (per million PBMC; media, IQR)	314 (96, 975) N=72 evaluable	401 (172, 1572) N=79 evaluable	P=0.1137
	Pfizer	Moderna	P value (Mann-Whitney U)
Post vaccine Spike antibody concentrations (µg/ml; median and IQR , IBD)	31 (12, 56) N=81	38 (24, 78) N=71	0.0060
Post vaccine Spike T cell levels (per million PBMC; media, IQR)	380 (146, 1377) N=82	352 (120, 1008) N=69	0.6718
<p>1 Not immunosuppressed= no treatment, mesalamine, budesonide, vedolizumab 2 Immunosuppressed includes all patients treated with thiopurines, anti-TNF, ustekinumab, tofacitinib or corticosteroids.</p>			