medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Evolutionary Trajectories of SARS-CoV-2 Alpha and Delta Variants in White-Tailed Deer in Pennsylvania

Andrew D. Marques[1], Scott Sherrill-Mix[1], John K. Everett[1], Hriju Adhikari[1], Shantan Reddy[1], Julie C. Ellis[2], Haley Zeliff[3], Sabrina S. Greening[2], Carolyn C. Cannuscio[4, 5], Katherine M. Strelau[4,5], Ronald G. Collman[6], Brendan J. Kelly[7], Kyle G. Rodino[8], Frederic D. Bushman[1], Roderick B. Gagne[2], Eman Anis[3]

[1] Department of Microbiology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

[2] Department of Pathobiology, Wildlife Futures Program, University of Pennsylvania School of Veterinary Medicine, New Bolton Center, Kennett Square, PA 19348

[3] Department of Pathobiology, University of Pennsylvania School of Veterinary Medicine, New Bolton Center, Kennett Square, PA 19348

[4] Leonard Davis Institute of Health Economics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

[5] Department of Family Medicine and Community Health, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

[6] Pulmonary, Allergy and Critical Care Division; Department of Medicine; University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine; Philadelphia, PA 19104

[7] Division of Infectious Diseases; Department of Medicine & Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology, and Informatics; Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

[8]Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

Correspondence

Eman Anis: eanis@vet.upenn.edu

Erick Gagne: rgagne@vet.upenn.edu

Frederic Bushman: bushman@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introductory paragraph (150 words max)

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic likely began by spillover from bats to humans[1-3]; today multiple animal species are known to be susceptible to infection[4-8]. White-tailed deer, *Odocoileus virginianus* are infected in the United States at substantial levels[9-11], raising concerns about the formation of a new animal reservoir and potential of spill-back of new variants into humans[12]. Here we characterize SARS CoV-2 in deer from Pennsylvania (PA) sampled during fall and winter 2021. Of 93 nasal swab samples analyzed by RT-qPCR, 18 (19.3%) were positive for SARS-CoV-2. Seven whole-genome sequences were obtained, which were annotated as alpha and delta variants, the first reported observations of these lineages in deer, documenting multiple new jumps from humans to deer. The alpha lineage persisted in deer after its displacement by delta in humans, and deer-derived alpha variants diverged significantly from those in humans, consistent with a distinctive evolutionary trajectory in deer.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Main text

SARS-CoV-2 was detected by RT-qPCR in nasal swabs from 18 of 93 wild white-tailed deer sampled in Pennsylvania during fall and winter 2021 (19.3%; 95% CI: 12.6, 28.6) (described in Tables S1 and S2). Positive deer were identified in 10 of the 31 Pennsylvania counties surveyed from 10/2/2021 to 12/27/2021 (Figure 1). There was no difference in the proportion of positives between volunteer-collected samples and those collected by veterinary technicians (volunteer 11/66 and technician 7/27, p= 0.46). However, deer sampled as road-killed were significantly more likely to be positive than all other sample types (hunter harvested 11/66, road-killed 6/13 and other 1/14, p=0.002). The prevalence estimate was higher in female deer (35%; 95% CI: 22.13,50.5) than male deer (7.5%; 95% CI: 2.97,17.9). No information was available on possible symptoms or disease for the animals sampled.

Viral whole-genome sequencing was attempted on the eight samples with the highest viral RNA levels (lowest cycle of threshold values in the RT-qPCR), and high-quality genome sequences were recovered from seven (Table S3). To confirm sample provenance, the non-viral sequence reads were analyzed for the deer samples and for human samples that were sequenced in parallel. All deer samples yielded reads mapping to the deer genome, and few or none mapping to the human genome. In contrast, reads from human samples overwhelmingly mapped to the human genome (Figure 2a), confirming the host species origin of our samples in deer.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Deer genomes annotated as alpha and delta variants, the first reported identification of these lineages in wild white-tailed deer. Previously the alpha variant was shown to be able to infect white-tailed deer that were experimentally inoculated[11]. Figure 2b shows a phylogenetic tree for alpha, and Figure 2c a phylogenetic tree for delta, in each case comparing Pennsylvania (PA) deer to contemporaneous human-derived sequences from across the same Mid-Atlantic region.

The two alpha sequences sampled from deer in adjoining counties in northeastern PA differed by 45 substitutions and were widely separated on the phylogenetic tree. A parsimonious explanation is thus that the two alpha lineages were introduced independently into deer and then diversified during transmission within deer. In addition, these deer-derived alpha genomes appear particularly divergent in contrast to the human alpha genomes sequenced previously in the same region. Only one out of 1240 human genomes were as divergent or more divergent from the inferred alpha common ancestor as were the deer sequence, giving a probability of randomly drawing two such divergent lineages as $(1/1240)^2=6.5X10^{-7}$.

For the delta variants (Figure 2C), two deer genomes were assigned to the same AY.103 clade and differed only by 7 substitutions, making the discrimination between a single or independent introductions for these sequences difficult. Two other deer SARS-CoV-2 genomes differed by over 21 substitutions from the AY.103 sequences and were assigned to the delta AY.88 lineage, which is an uncommon lineage for the PA area with only 0.14% of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

human-derived PA delta genomes being AY.88. The two AY.88 specimens from deer were identical. These likely represent a single introduction into deer given the scarcity of this lineage, the genetic similarity between samples, and the fact that the two isolates were from adjoining counties in northeastern PA and were sampled within a period of 2 days. The last delta sample was assigned to the AY.5 lineage. This genome clustered with the AY.88 specimens and differed by no more than 13 SNPs, making it unclear if it represents an independent introduction. Thus, a simple model would support identification here of at least four independent transmissions of SARS-CoV-2 from humans into deer, two of alpha and two of delta. It is also possible, though less likely, that SARS-CoV-2 entered deer fewer times and subsequently diverged, though this would require independent acquisition in deer of many mutations shared with contemporaneous human lineages.

The alpha and delta SARS-CoV-2 sequences from deer determined here were compared to deer SARS-CoV-2 sequences reported previously from other viral lineages to investigate possible deer-specific sequence polymorphisms. 108 deer genome sequences were downloaded from GISAID (Table S6), corresponding to samples acquired from 9/28/2020 to 2/25/2021 in Iowa and Ohio and dominated by early pandemic lineages including B.1.2 and B.1.311[9,10]. This revealed several substitutions that were highly enriched or invariant in deer isolates, but rare or absent in human isolates (Table S4). These include three silent mutations in ORF1ab, c7303t, c9430t, and c20259t. Mutation c7303t was found in 86% of these PA deer and 29% of previously published deer, whereas it

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

was found in 0.04% of Mid-Atlantic human subjects and 0.09% of global genomes reported by NextStrain. Mutation c9430t was found in 43% of these PA deer and 56% of previously published deer, whereas it was found in 0.35% of Mid-Atlantic human subjects and 0.46% of global genomes reported by NextStrain. Mutation c20259t was found in 43% of these PA deer and 19% of previously published deer, whereas it was absent in Mid-Atlantic human subjects and present in 0.12% of global genomes reported by NextStrain (data from 1/26/2022). The enrichment of these mutations suggests possible functional interaction with deer-specific factors, which could influence RNA synthesis, RNA folding, or protein binding.

Samples from deer were compared longitudinally to samples from the mid-Atlantic region recovered from infected human subjects (Figure 2D). The alpha samples from deer were recovered in November of 2021, months after the alpha variant was displaced by delta in humans. In contrast, the delta isolates from deer were contemporaneous with the delta wave in humans. This suggests possible persistence of the alpha wave selectively in deer. Consistent with this, the deer alpha lineages appear relatively more diverged from human alpha isolates than do the deer delta lineages from human delta isolates (p=0.00056 for t-test comparing branch lengths of deer alpha versus delta isolates).

In summary, a survey of SARS-CoV-2 in 93 deer in Pennsylvania over the fall-winter of 2021 showed 19% of the deer sampled to be positive. Prior surveys carried out over the fall and winter of 2020 showed similarly high point prevalence of infection in Iowa (33.2%)[9] and Ohio (35.8%)[10]. We report the first

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

examples of the alpha and delta lineages in wild white-tail deer, likely derived from at least four independent spillovers from humans to deer. Given that there are estimated to be 30 deer per acre in PA, and over a million deer total, this suggests an enormous number of spillovers and infected deer in the state[13,14]. Mechanisms of infection and transmission are incompletely understood. Studies of experimental infections show efficient transmission between deer, potentially involving the common practice of individuals touching noses when in groups, but the mechanism of efficient transmission from humans to deer remains obscure. At present it is unclear to what degree SARS-CoV-2 is evolving in new directions in the deer host. Our findings of alpha persistence in deer after replacement of alpha by delta in humans, and the divergence seen between our deer and human alpha genomes, are all consistent with long-term persistence and spread of the alpha variant in deer.

Methods

Collection of samples from white-tailed deer

Samples were collected from hunter harvested deer by state employee volunteers as well as by veterinary technicians responding to calls about sick or injured deer that needed to be euthanized (e.g., deer with neurologic symptoms, hit by cars). Nasal swabs were taken within hours of death, placed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and stored in commercial refrigerators in field offices. Samples were shipped to the University of Pennsylvania within one week of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

collection and stored at -80°C until RNA extraction. Chi-squared tests were performed using R Statistical software (v4.0.5)[15] (R Core Development Team 2021) to test for differences in proportions of positive deer by gender, age, source of deer (i.e., from volunteer compared to technician collectors as well as between hunter harvested, road-killed, or all other causes of death).

RNA extraction and detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA by RT-qPCR

Nucleic acid was extracted with a QIAamp viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA was assessed by a RT-qPCR that specifically targets two different regions of the viral nucleocapsid gene as described previously.[16]

SARS-CoV-2 whole genome sequencing

Viral genomes were sequenced using the POLAR protocol[17]. The sample's RNA (5µl) was mixed with Random Hexamers (0.5 µl of 50 µM, Thermo Fisher), dNTPs Mix (0.5µl of 10mM each, Thermo Fisher), and nuclease-free water (1 µl). This mixture was incubated (5 minutes at 65ºC). Subsequently, reverse transcription was performed adding 6.5 µl from the previous reaction to SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (0.5 µl, Thermo Fisher), 5X First-Strand Buffer (2 µl, Thermo Fisher), DTT (0.5 µl of 0.1M, Thermo Fisher), and RNaseOut (0.5 µl, Thermo Fisher). This mixture was incubated (50 minutes at 42ºC, then 10 minutes at 70ºC). For cDNA amplification, the previous mixture (2.5 µl) was added to Q5 Hot Start DNA Polymerase (0.25 µl, NEB), 5X Q5

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Reaction Buffer (5 µl, NEB), dNTPs mix (0.5 µl of 10mM each, NEB), and pooled Artic-ncov2019 v4 primers (reaction set 1 used 4.0 µl of pooled primer set 1, reaction set 2 used 3.98 µl of pooled primer set 2, IDT) and water (volume reaction brought to 25 µl). The samples were brought to 98ºC for 30 seconds then cycled from 98ºC for 15 seconds to 65ºC for 5 minutes for 25 cycles, followed by 98ºC for 15 seconds and 65ºC for 5 minutes. The two reactions (one for each primer set) were pooled together. Tagmentation was completed with the Nextera XT Library Preparation Kit (Illumina). IDT for Illumina DNA/RNA UD Indexes were used for barcoding (Illumina). Quantification of DNA was performed using Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA quantitation assay kit (Invitrogen). The pooled libraries were quantified using the Qubit1X dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen) and sequenced using the Illumina NextSeq platform.

Sequence Data Processing

Sequence data were processed as previously described[18]. BWA aligner tool (v0.7.17) was used to align subject sequences to the Wuhan reference sequence (NC_045512.2)[19]. Samtools package (v1.10) was used to filter alignments[20]. Variants were called using Pangolin lineage software (3.1.17 with the PangoLEARN 2021-12-06 release)[21,22].

Host Sequence Analysis

The proportion of host sequences was inferred using a sampling of raw reads from all samples on any sequencing batch performed with deer specimen.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

1,000 raw reads for each sample were blasted[23-25] against a database constructed of a SARS-CoV-2 genome (NC_045512.2), human genome (GCF_000001405.39), feline genome (GCF_018350175.1), and white-tailed deer genome (GCF_002102435.1). Reads were tallied for each genome to which they were closely matched.

Mutation Analysis

108 deer-derived SARS-CoV-2 genomes were downloaded from GISAID and compared with the 7 deer sequenced in this publication and the human dataset. The previously published genomes were downloaded on 12/29/2021. Mutations were called in reference to the Wuhan strain (NC_045512.2).

Human subjects

Human sequences newly determined here were collected as follows. For most samples, the University of Pennsylvania Institutional Review Board (IRB) reviewed the research protocol and deemed the limited data elements extracted to be exempt from human subject research per 45 CFR 46.104, category 4 (IRB #848605). For hospitalized subjects, following informed consent (IRB protocol #823392), patients were sampled by collection of saliva, oropharyngeal and/or nasopharyngeal swabs, or endotracheal aspirates if intubated, as previously described [18]. Further samples were collected from asymptomatic subjects detected in a screening program at the Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania and symptomatic subjects tested throughout the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

PennMedicine clinical network under IRB protocols #843565 and #848608. Human samples were sequenced as described for deer samples.

Phylogenetic Analysis

Deer and human sequences are available at GENBANK and GISAID (Table S3 and Table S7). NextClade was used to align sequences to the Wuhan reference[26]. IQ-TREE (v1.6.12) was used to infer a tree using maximum-likelihood methods using 1,000 bootstrap replicates[27]. Visualization of the inferred tree was performed using FigTree (v.1.4.4)[28].

Data availability

Sequence accession numbers for deer-derived SARS-CoV-2 genomes can be found in Table S3 (OM570187-OM570193). Accession numbers for human SARS-CoV-2 genomes can be found in Table S7. Computer code is available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4046252.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to hunters and wildlife personnel who provided specimens, and to Laurie Zimmerman for artwork and help with manuscript preparation. We acknowledge help from staff of the Philadelphia Department of Public Health. This work was supported in part by the Penn University Research Foundation. SG is supported by the Robert J. Kleberg, Jr. and Helen C. Kleberg Foundation. Funding was provided by a contract award from the Centers for

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Disease Control and Prevention (CDC BAA 200-2021-10986 and 75D30121C11102/000HCVL1-2021-55232), philanthropic donations to the Penn Center for Research on Coronaviruses and Other Emerging Pathogens, and in part by NIH grant R61/33-HL137063 and AI140442 -supplement for SARS-CoV-2. BJK is supported by NIH K23 AI 121485. Additional assistance was provided by the Penn Center for AIDS Research (P30-AI045008). HZ's stipend to conduct this work was provided by a private donation by Tracy Holmes. This project has been funded in part with Federal funds from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, under Contract No. 75N93021C00015.

Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments. A. M., J. C. E., R. G. C., B. J. K., K. G. R., F. D. B., R. B. G., E. A.

Performed the experiments A. M., S. R., H. A., B. G., E. A.

Analyzed the data A. M, S. S.-M., J. E., F. D. B., B. G., E. A.

Contributed materials/analysis tools J. C. E., H. Z., S. S. G., C. C., K. S., R. G. C., B. J. K., K. G. R., F. D. B., R. B. G., E. A.

Wrote the paper A. M., S. S.-M., J. C. E., H. Z., S. S. G., C. C., K. S., R. G. C., B. J. K., K. G. R., F. D. B., R. B. G., E. A.

Figure Legends

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 1. Map of Pennsylvania (PA), showing sampling sites and locations of SARS-CoV-2 positive deer. The counties comprising PA are outlined. Counties with positive samples are shown in red; counties sampled but lacking positive samples are in blue; counties in grey were not sampled. The numbers of deer sampled and the number positive are shown for each county sampled. The deer samples sequenced were assigned to variants as indicated by the rectangles outside the map; variant type is color coded (teal for alpha/B1.1.7, purple/pink for delta/AY.#)

Figure 2. Checking sample tracking by analysis of nonviral sequences. Sequence reads from each SARS-CoV-2 sample were aligned to the white-tailed deer (GCF_002102435.1) and human (GCF_000001405.39) genomes, and nonviral reads enumerated. The numbers of deer and human reads are shown for each deer sample, denoted by the laboratory accession number VSP###. Results for 140 human samples, sequenced in the same sample batches, are shown to the far left. The proportion of reads aligning to the deer genome are shown in green, the average fraction aligning to the human genome is shown in orange.

Figure 3. Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 whole genome sequences from white-tailed deer in PA and comparison to local human-derived sequences. A) Phylogeny of contemporaneous human alpha lineage sequences from PA, collected from 1/6/2021 to 11/16/2021, with deer sequences marked (1240 human and 2 deer sequences). B) Phylogeny of human delta lineage sequences from PA, collected

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

from 10/14/2021 to 11/28/2021, with deer sequences marked (440 human and 5 deer sequences). C) Longitudinal comparison of deer variants and contemporary human variants. The bar plots show the progression of SARS-CoV-2 variants detected by genome sequencing in humans in eastern PA from 1/31/2021 to1/3/2021. The variants are color-coded according to the key to the right. The variants from white-tailed deer sequences are shown at the top of the figure, with the arrows showing the times of sampling. The deer isolates are color-coded on the deer icons as in the key.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table 1. SARS-CoV-2 prevalence estimates stratified by sex, age, and sampling region.

Supplementary Table 2. Results of qPCR analysis.

Supplementary Table 3. SARS-CoV-2 whole genome sequences from white-tailed deer.

Supplementary Table 4. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in deer from this study.

Supplementary Table 5. Key materials and resources.

Supplementary Table 6. GISAID Acknowledgements.

Supplementary Table 7. Human Sequences Used.

References

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

1 Sarah, T. *et al.* Coronaviruses with a SARS-CoV-2-like receptor-binding domain allowing ACE2-mediated entry into human cells isolated from bats of Indochinese peninsula. *Nature Portfolio*, doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-871965/v1 (2022).

2 Zhou, H. *et al.* Identification of novel bat coronaviruses sheds light on the evolutionary origins of SARS-CoV-2 and related viruses. *Cell* **184**, 4380-4391 e4314, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.06.008 (2021).

3 Xiao, K. *et al.* Isolation of SARS-CoV-2-related coronavirus from Malayan pangolins. *Nature* **583**, 286-289, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2313-x (2020).

4 Oude Munnink, B. B. *et al.* Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 on mink farms between humans and mink and back to humans. *Science* **371**, 172-177, doi:10.1126/science.abe5901 (2021).

5 Lu, L. *et al.* Adaptation, spread and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in farmed minks and associated humans in the Netherlands. *Nat Commun* **12**, 6802, doi:10.1038/s41467-021-27096-9 (2021).

6 Oreshkova, N. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 infection in farmed minks, the Netherlands, April and May 2020. *Euro Surveill* **25**, doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.23.2001005 (2020).

7 Salajegheh Tazerji, S. *et al.* Transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) to animals: an updated review. *J Transl Med* **18**, 358, doi:10.1186/s12967-020-02534-2 (2020).

8 Murphy, H. L. & Ly, H. Understanding the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) exposure in companion, captive, wild, and farmed animals. *Virulence* **12**, 2777-2786, doi:10.1080/21505594.2021.1996519 (2021).

9 Kuchipudi, S. V. *et al.* Multiple spillovers from humans and onward transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in white-tailed deer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **119**, doi:10.1073/pnas.2121644119 (2022).

10 Hale, V. L. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 infection in free-ranging white-tailed deer. *Nature*, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04353-x (2021).

11 Cool, K. *et al.* Infection and transmission of ancestral SARS-CoV-2 and its alpha variant in pregnant white-tailed deer. *Emerg Microbes Infect* **11**, 95-112, doi:10.1080/22221751.2021.2012528 (2022).

12 Bashor, L. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 evolution in animals suggests mechanisms for rapid variant selection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**, doi:10.1073/pnas.2105253118 (2021).

13 National Deer Association Staff. An annual report on the status of white-tailed deer - the foundation of the hunting industry in North America. (National Deer Association, 2022).

14 Rosenberry, C. R., Fleegle, J. T. & Wallingford, B. D. Monitoring deer populations in Pennsylvania. *Bureau of Wildlife Management, Pennsylvania Game Commission* (2011).

15 R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing (2021).

16 Anis, E. *et al.* Evaluation of a real-time RT-PCR panel for detection of SARS-CoV-2 in bat guano. *J Vet Diagn Invest* **33**, 331-335, doi:10.1177/1040638721990333 (2021).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22270679; this version posted February 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

17 Hilaire, B. G. S. *et al.* A rapid, low cost, and highly sensitive SARS-CoV-2 diagnostic based on whole genome sequencing. doi:10.1101/2020.04.25.061499 (2020).

18 Everett, J. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Genomic Variation in Space and Time in Hospitalized Patients in Philadelphia. *mBio* **12**, doi:10.1128/mBio.03456-20 (2021).

19 Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754-1760, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324 (2009).

20 Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078-2079, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352 (2009).

21 O'Toole, A. *et al.* Assignment of epidemiological lineages in an emerging pandemic using the pangolin tool. *Virus Evol* **7**, veab064, doi:10.1093/ve/veab064 (2021).

22 Rambaut, A. *et al.* A dynamic nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 lineages to assist genomic epidemiology. *Nat Microbiol* **5**, 1403-1407, doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0770-5 (2020).

23 Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of molecular biology* **215**, 403-410, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2 (1990).

24 Altschul, S. F. *et al.* Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Research* **25**, 3389-3402, doi:10.1093/nar/25.17.3389 (1997).

25 Camacho, C. *et al.* BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* **10**, 421, doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-421 (2009).

26 Aksamentov, I., Roemer, C., Hodcroft, E. B. & Neher, R. A. Nextclade: clade assignment, mutation calling and quality control for viral genomes. *Journal of Open Source Software* **6**, 3773, doi:10.21105/joss.03773 (2021).

27 Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. Terrace Aware Data Structure for Phylogenomic Inference from Supermatrices. *Systematic Biology* **65**, 997-1008, doi:10.1093/sysbio/syw037 (2016).

28 Rambaut, A. *FigTree*, <http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/> (2007).



Figure 1. Map of Pennsylvania (PA), showing sampling sites and locations of SARS-CoV-2 positive deer. The counties comprising PA are outlined. Counties with positive samples are shown in red; counties sampled but lacking positive samples are in blue; counties in grey were not sampled. The numbers of deer sampled and the number positive are shown for each county sampled. The deer samples sequenced were assigned to variants as indicated by the rectangles outside the map; variant type is color coded (teal for alpha/B1.1.7, purple/pink for delta/AY.#)



Figure 2. Checking sample tracking by analysis of nonviral sequences. Sequence reads from each SARS-CoV-2 sample were aligned to the white-tailed deer (GCF_002102435.1) and human (GCF_000001405.39) genomes, and nonviral reads enumerated. The numbers of deer and human reads are shown for each deer sample, denoted by the laboratory accession number VSP###. Results for 140 human samples, sequenced in the same sample batches, are shown to the far left. The proportion of reads aligning to the deer genome are shown in green, the average fraction aligning to the human genome is shown in orange.



Figure 3. Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 whole genome sequences from white-tailed deer in PA and comparison to local human-derived sequences. A) Phylogeny of contemporaneous human alpha lineage sequences from PA, collected from 1/6/2021 to 11/16/2021, with deer sequences marked (1240 human and 2 deer sequences). B) Phylogeny of human delta lineage sequences from PA, collected from 10/14/2021 to 11/28/2021, with deer sequences marked (440 human and 5 deer sequences). C) Longitudinal comparison of deer variants and contemporary human variants. The bar plots show the progression of SARS-CoV-2 variants detected by genome sequencing in humans in eastern PA from 1/31/2021 to1/3/2021. The variants are color-coded according to the key to the right. The variants from white-tailed deer sequences are shown at the top of the figure, with the arrows showing the times of sampling. The deer isolates are color-coded on the deer icons as in the key.