medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

A scoping review protocol on *in vivo* human plastic exposure and health impacts

Louise M. Goodes[1,2], Enoch V.S. Wong[1], Jennifer Alex[1], Louise Mofflin[1], Priyanka Toshniwal[1], Manuel Brunner[1,3], Terena Solomons[4], Emily White[1], Omrik Choudhury[1], Bhedita J. Seewoo[1,2], Yannick R. Mulders[1], Tristan Dale[1,5,6], Hamish J. Newman[1,7,8], Andrew B. Lowe[9], Delia V. Hendrie[10], Christos Symeonides[1,11], Sarah A. Dunlop[1,2]

[1] Minderoo Foundation, 171 - 173 Mounts Bay Road, Perth, WA 6000, Australia
[2] School of Biological Sciences, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia
[3] School of Molecular Sciences, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia
[4] Health and Medical Sciences (Library), The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia
[5] Fiona Stanley Hospital, 11 Warren Drive, Murdoch, WA 6150, Australia
[6] UWA Medical School, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia
[7] Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, Hospital Ave, Nedlands, WA 6009, Australia
[8] School of Human Sciences, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia
[9] School of Molecular & Life Sciences, Curtin University, Kent St, Bentley WA 6102, Australia
[10] School of Population Health, Curtin University, Kent St, Bentley WA 6102, Australia
[11] Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Royal Children's Hospital, 50 Flemington Rd, Parkville, VIC 3052, Australia

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

ABSTRACT

Background: Global plastic production has increased exponentially since the 1960s, with more than 6300 million metric tons of plastic waste generated to date. Studies have found a range of human health impacts associated with exposure to plastic chemicals. However, only a fraction of plastic chemicals used have been studied *in vivo*, and then often in animals, for acute toxicological effects. With many questions still unanswered about how long-term exposure to plastic impacts human health, there is an urgent need to map human *in vivo* research conducted to date, casting a broad net by searching terms for a comprehensive suite of plastic chemical exposures and the widest range of health domains.

Methods: This protocol describes a scoping review that will follow the recommended framework outlined in *2017 Guidance for the Conduct of Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Scoping Reviews*, to be reported in accordance with *Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews* and *Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist*. A literature search of primary clinical studies in English from 1960 onwards will be conducted in MEDLINE (Ovid) and EMBASE (Ovid) databases. References eligible for inclusion will be identified through a quality-controlled, multi-level screening process. Extracted data will be presented in diagrammatic and tabular form, with a narrative summary addressing the review questions.

Discussion: This scoping review will comprehensively map the primary research undertaken to date on plastic exposure and human health. Secondary outputs will include extensive databases on plastic chemicals and human health outcomes/impacts.

Keywords: human, plastic, plastic chemicals, polymers, additives, exposure, health, toxicity

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Registration: Open Science Framework (OSF)-Standard Pre-Data Collection Registration, https://osf.io/gbxps DOI: 10.17605/OSF.IO/GBXPS

BACKGROUND

Continuous human exposure to plastic chemicals has become inevitable due to its ubiquity in the environment (1,2). 'Plastic' is a broad term for material made up of polymers and usually a number of chemical additives that impart desirable properties, such as flexibility and fire resistance (3,4). We will use the term 'plastic materials' as an umbrella term inclusive of plastic chemicals, plastic products and plastic particles; and 'plastic chemicals' to refer to polymers, monomers and/or additives.

As of 2017, approximately 8300 million metric tons (Mt) of virgin plastic chemicals had been produced, and as of 2015, 6300 million Mt of plastic waste generated (5). While some of the plastic waste is recycled or incinerated (5), the majority accumulates in landfills or in the environment (5), as macroplastic debris in the oceans (6–8), as microparticles in air (9–11), micro- or nanoparticles in soils (12–14) and in water supplies (15–18).

Plastic materials are used in every aspect of our lives (19) and common routes of exposure to plastic chemicals and particles (20) include inhalation (21), ingestion (including trophic transfer) (22–24), and direct dermal contact (25–27). Furthermore, children are exposed prenatally to plastic chemicals through maternal and paternal exposure (28), as well as postnatally through breast milk (29).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Of the thousands of plastic chemicals in use globally today, more than 20% have been identified as substances of concern by the European Union on the basis of persistence, bioaccumulation and/or toxicity, while 39% remain unclassified in terms of hazard (30). Some plastic chemicals are persistent organic pollutants that are known to bioaccumulate in human fatty tissues (31). A growing body of evidence links human exposure to various plastic chemicals with adverse health impacts (32–35) and public concern about the threat of plastic pollution on our health is high (36). Despite this, research efforts have focused on a few plastic chemicals for which established measurement techniques exist (37) and for which a limited range of health impacts have been identified – primarily endocrine disruption (38–40), reproductive toxicity (41,42), carcinogenicity (43,44), metabolic and nutritional conditions (45), and neurodevelopment (46,47). The findings of these studies are often inconsistent, as plastic chemical exposure can show sex-specific effects (38), differentially affect developmental stages (48), have health outcomes in association with specific plastic chemical mixtures (38), and can elicit non-monotonic responses (34). There is insufficient published data on the toxicity of micro- and nano-plastics in humans (49,50), however an absence of evidence of toxicity does not equate to evidence of safety (51).

We are not aware of any sources or publications comprehensively summarising research conducted to date on how plastic chemicals and plastic particles are impacting human health, or which populations, demographically and geographically, have been studied in this respect. Preliminary searches conducted in Prospero, Epistimonikos, Cochrane, Medline (Ovid) and Embase (Ovid) databases in May 2020 did not identify any previous or current scoping review on this broad topic. A number of systematic and literature reviews were identified, focusing on a small number of classes of plastic chemicals, such as phthalates, and bisphenol A (52–55), and certain diseases associated with these chemical species (56,57). These systematic and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

literature reviews addressed only a few specific chemicals and did not evaluate non disease-specific health domains, such as changes in gene expression, oxidative stress and the microbiome. Demographic and geographic bias (for example, due to social equity issues) were not evaluated, suggesting that many populations could be under-represented in the literature. For example, young children could be highly exposed and particularly vulnerable to the effects of some plastic chemicals (53,58), and populations in developing countries could be at increased exposure risk (59) due to a combination of higher plastic pollution burden (60), combined with inadequate waste management facilities and lower occupational health and safety regulations (61).

To demonstrate the scope of research conducted to date, and to inform future research efforts, we will conduct a scoping review to chart published primary human *in vivo* studies that investigated the relationship between exposure to plastic materials and human health since 1960. The scoping review aims to summarise the research to date, identify knowledge gaps and provide a framework for future studies in areas requiring more in-depth research.

Review question

In primary human *in vivo* research conducted since 1960 on the effects of plastic materials on health, which plastic chemicals/particles and which health domains have (and have not) been investigated?

In relation to our main question, the following questions will inform sub-analyses:

1. Which populations (geographically, by age group, sex and general/special exposure risk status) have been examined?
2. Which study designs were adopted?

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

3. When were these studies conducted over time (Jan 1960–Jan 2021)?

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The Population, Exposure and Outcome (PEO) mnemonic (Table 1) was created to construct clear inclusion criteria

Table 1: PEO framework for identifying the research question and inclusion criteria.

Criteria	Determinants
Population	Global human populations of all ages, including the developing fetus
Exposure	Directly *in vivo* measured exposures to included plastic materials
Outcome	Direct *in vivo* physiological or psychological health outcome measures

Population

The review will consider studies that investigate any population globally, and any age group, including the developing fetus. Animal studies and *in vitro* human studies will be excluded.

Exposure

Only *in vivo* directly measured exposures (i.e. via bio-samples, including for example blood, urine, breast milk and adipose tissue) of plastic materials that meet inclusion criteria will be included. All avenues of plastics exposure are considered relevant, including environmental contact via activities of everyday living, occupational exposure, accidental ingestion through contaminated food or water, cross-placental exposure, and ingestion through breast milk.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Plastics inclusion and exclusion criteria

This scoping review focuses on plastic materials to which humans are generally exposed, other than at the point of synthesis or product manufacturing. A systematic decision-making process (Figure 1) will be applied to determine the inclusion or exclusion of plastic chemicals. Briefly, plastic additives and synthetic polymers will be identified from preselected references: seven for additives (62–68), and seven for polymers (69–75). Chemicals that are classified as *additive – plasticiser*, *additive – flame retardant*, *per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS)*, *individual polymer* or *polymer class* and *bisphenols* will be included, unless commonly used in biomedical applications or as food additives (since inclusion would result in the retrieval of many thousands of references unrelated to the effects of plastic chemicals on health). Additional plastic chemicals identified during the screening process, or missing plastic chemicals that are structurally and systematically related to included substances (based on expert decision by Authors JA and MB, postdoctoral degrees in chemistry), will undergo the same selection criteria and subsequently included or excluded. Polymer metabolites, monomers other than bisphenols, and plastic additives other than plasticisers and flame retardants, are excluded from this review.

A comprehensive and searchable database of included plastic chemicals will be developed, indexing the multiple names, synonyms and commonly used terminology describing these chemicals.

Outcome

Only physiological and psychological changes in human health that are directly measured *in vivo* are included.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Human Health Outcome Measures

Health outcome measures include any assessment of change in structure or function of the human body, whether undertaken at the clinical, functional, physiological or cellular level. From preliminary searches, we anticipate encountering three types of health-related impact assessments in relation to plastics exposures:

1. Measures of direct impact on human health as a diagnosis of illness or disease, for example, breast cancer;
2. Clinical measures to detect a disease/disorder where no illness/disease has been diagnosed, for example oestrogen levels as a measure of change in ovarian function; and
3. Measures of impact on physiological or biochemical measures within an organ/body system that are not associated with a specific disorder, for example genetic and epigenetic changes and measures of metabolism and oxidative stress.

We will include measures of all the health-related impacts listed above, classifying them within the body system/organ in which they were investigated, using the hierarchical structure of the *International Classification of Disease 11th Revision* (ICD-11) (76).

Types of sources

This scoping review considers peer-reviewed journal articles presenting primary human research, inclusive of all study designs. Excluded sources are literature/systematic reviews, meta-analyses, editorials, book reviews/chapters, perspectives, opinion/commentary pieces, conference abstracts and proceedings, and grey literature.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

METHODS

Design

The scoping review uses the methodological framework as described in the *Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews* (77) and reporting will be compliant with the *Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist* (78) (see Additional file I for completed PRISMA-P checklist accompanying this Protocol) and informed by *Conducting high quality scoping reviews – challenges and solutions* (79).

Constructing the search strategy

The search strategy aims to find published plastic chemicals/particles and human health studies from 1960 onwards. The starting point of 1960 was selected since, although large-scale use of plastic dates back to ~1950, significant increases in global production occurred from the 1960s onward (5).

We identified key articles relevant for our scoping review by conducting an initial scoping search in Medline (Ovid) and Embase (Ovid) databases and examining the hierarchy of controlled vocabulary in these databases (MeSH and Emtree respectively). Indexed terms were selected representing plastic materials (including commonly used terms for chemical classes meeting our inclusion criteria) and a broad range of health outcome categories informed by preliminary searches and expert input from Author CS, a consultant paediatrician with relevant research expertise (most human health categories being represented, except for those obviously unrelated to plastic chemical exposure or likely to result in the retrieval of many irrelevant results). MeSH and Emtree terms, corresponding text words, text words contained in the titles

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

and abstracts of key articles, and terms and synonyms for all specific plastic chemicals included in our database, will comprise the final search strategy, being developed in consultation with Research Librarian, Author TS. A large number of exclusion terms such as "plastic surgery", plasticity, etc., will be utilised to minimise irrelevant results.

In summary, the search strategy will include: Health outcomes AND plastic material exposures NOT exclusions, limited to English language and dates ranging from 1960 to current. A search filter will be used in each database (Medline and Embase, via the Ovid platform) to identify human-only studies and exclude animal studies (80–82). The keywords and indexed terms representing health outcomes will be combined with Boolean operator OR and combined using the Boolean Operator AND with the keywords and indexed terms for plastic materials. The proximity operator ADJ will capture terms adjacent within a tested number of words within the title and abstract fields, and the truncation symbol * will be used where appropriate.

Scopus and Web of Science databases were investigated as sources, however due to the lack of controlled vocabulary and no filters for human-only studies, these will not be searched.

In anticipation of a very large number of results from this search, the reference lists of retrieved papers will not be reviewed. We will, however, review the reference lists associated with a related 'Umbrella Review' of systematic reviews with meta-analyses on this topic, being conducted by Minderoo Foundation and reported separately (*in preparation*).

Study selection

All identified articles will be collated and uploaded into the DistillerSR (Evidence Partners, Ottawa, Canada) systematic review software application for screening and data extraction, and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

deduplicated. Relevant articles will then be selected via two screening stages, each preceded by an in-depth training process for the team of reviewers, who have postgraduate and postdoctoral degrees in chemistry, biochemistry, environmental and health sciences. Training will involve the provision of guidelines, including inclusion/exclusion criteria and practical examples of their application, education on classifying study design, access to our database of included plastic chemicals and demonstration of the screening process using relevant articles to be included for the scoping review, ensuring consistency and reliability of assessment decisions.

References will first be screened for relevance by title, abstract and index terms. Reviewers will initially screen several sets of the same 100 references and discuss their screening decisions, proceeding to single-screening after achieving an 85% level of agreement with subsequently agreed (resolved) group decisions over three sets. Articles with no abstract but potentially relevant to the scoping review will be included at the first screening stage. Auditing will be performed on a random selection of screened references to evaluate screening accuracy, and we will use the inbuilt artificial intelligence (DistillerAI) tool to identify possible false exclusions, re-screening references as necessary and thereby minimising the number of valuable articles being discarded.

During the second screening stage, full-text articles will be reviewed for eligibility. Training will again involve the screening of several sets of full-texts, with discussions after each set about screening decisions. Reviewers will proceed to single-screening only after achieving an 85% level of agreement with subsequently resolved group decisions and excluding no more than two eligible articles in error per 100 full-texts. Eligible articles will be included for data

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

extraction, whereas articles excluded once will require a second reviewer to confirm the exclusion. Any disagreements will be resolved by a third reviewer with relevant experience.

DATA EXTRACTION

The data to be extracted will include specific publication details, study characteristics, relevant plastic exposures detected in the body and the health outcome measures analysed in relation to plastic exposures. Data extraction forms designed in DistillerSR (drafts are provided in Additional file II) will be piloted extensively during a period of training, involving independent reviewing followed by group meetings to discuss decisions and revise forms as necessary (final versions to be provided in the scoping review paper). Two reviewers will extract data from each full-text. Reviewer pairs will discuss conflicts that arise, with input from a third reviewer with the most relevant expertise if assistance is required to resolve decisions. Articles may be excluded during this phase if found ineligible or if it is impossible to extract data due to ambiguity or poor reporting quality, with the reasons for exclusion reported in the final paper.

The findings reported in the included studies, i.e. correlations between plastic exposures and health outcome measures, or lack thereof, will not be recorded, since we are following the typical scoping review framework, without conducting quality assessment of retrieved articles. The afore-mentioned 'Umbrella Review' of systematic reviews with meta-analyses will capture high-level evidence on this topic.

DATA ANALYSIS AND PRESENTATION

Frequency counts and descriptive statistics will be presented for publication details and study characteristics. The extracted data will be presented graphically, in diagrammatic and tabular

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

form, in a manner that aligns with the objective of this scoping review. A narrative summary will accompany the tabulated and charted results.

Graphical illustrations will show the trend, by year of publication, for when studies about the impact of plastics and health were conducted; the number of articles by country of authors and investigated study populations; age ranges and sex of participants; frequency of the different study designs used; the plastic chemical/particle exposures and health outcome measures. The results will be presented to address the research questions, make comparisons, identify methodologies used and identify research gaps.

DISCUSSION

This scoping review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of research published since 1960 on the exposure of humans to plastic materials and the health outcomes assessed. Due to the breadth of our review question, we anticipate a large number of studies to intersect with the PEO framework. A detailed search strategy has been designed to identify eligible studies based on all three criteria: *in vivo* human populations, directly measured plastic materials that are included according to clear criteria and scientifically reliable sources, and direct measures of human health outcomes.

While health outcomes traditionally involve diseases and health conditions, the potential impacts of plastic materials may be subtle, perhaps only becoming apparent over time. By adapting the ICD-11 sub-categories to include health outcomes not necessarily classified as disease states (e.g. epigenetic changes and oxidative stress measures), this scoping review aims to identify a wider suite of health-related outcome measures that should be taken into

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

consideration for plastic exposure research. This database could also benefit future research endeavours.

In summary, this scoping review will provide an overview of the research so far undertaken on plastic material exposure and human health. This can serve as a basis to identify research clusters, by topic and geographical location of studied populations, as well as knowledge gaps, helping to prioritise specific areas in need of more in-depth research. Additionally, alongside the primary outputs, findings may be used to strengthen efforts to better regulate the production, importation and use of plastic materials that human populations are ubiquitously exposed to.

Additional (Supplementary) Information

Additional file I: Completed PRISMA-P checklist (PDF)

Additional file II: Draft data extraction forms (PDF)

Figure Titles

Figure 1: Schematic overview of the process for inclusion/exclusion of plastic chemicals in the scoping review

Figure 2: Study selection process

Abbreviations

ICD-11: *International Classification of Disease 11th Revision*

JBI: *Joanna Briggs Institute*

OSF: *Open Science Framework*

PEO: *Population, Exposure and Outcome*

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

PRISMA-ScR: *Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses extension for scoping reviews*

Definitions

plastic chemicals: polymers, monomers and/or additives

plastic materials: plastic chemicals, plastic products and plastic particles

Acknowledgements

The selection of specific plastic materials and associated terms was determined in consultation with polymer science expert Dr Marck Norret, The University of Western Australia. We also acknowledge the contribution from Brady Johnston, Minderoo Foundation, to create searchable online databases of our included plastics and health outcome measures, using the "Shiny" package in RStudio; and from Meredith Ryland and Joanne Webb, Minderoo Foundation, towards the writing of this manuscript.

Authors' contributions

Scoping review design: Sarah A. Dunlop, Christos Symeonides, Louise M. Goodes, Delia Hendrie, Tristan Dale, Hamish Newman, Enoch V.S. Wong, Priyanka Toshniwal

Manuscript: Louise Goodes, Enoch V.S. Wong, Louise Mofflin, Priyanka Toshniwal, Manuel Brunner, Terena Solomons, Yannick Mulders, Sarah A. Dunlop (guarantor)

Review of Manuscript: Christos Symeonides, Jennifer Alex, Tristan Dale, Hamish Newman, Andrew Lowe, Delia Hendrie

Identification of plastics and their sources: Emily White, Jennifer Alex, Christos Symeonides, Andrew Lowe

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Classification of study designs, health outcomes and measures: Bhedita Seewoo, Christos Symeonides, Louise Mofflin

Coding and data management: Omrik Choudhury, Enoch V.S. Wong

Funding

This scoping review was supported by Minderoo Foundation.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed will be included in the final published scoping review article and additional information related to the protocol will be available upon reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Formal research ethics approval is not required for scoping review the published literature. The findings of the scoping review will be disseminated via Minderoo Foundation website, peer-reviewed scientific publication, presented at congresses or conferences, to policy makers and stakeholders aiming to achieve a world free of plastic pollution, and through various social media platforms. Data deposition and curation will be managed by Minderoo Foundation.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare they have no competing interests and they have developed this protocol without input from any funding body.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

REFERENCES

1. Landrigan PJ, Stegeman JJ, Fleming LE, Allemand D, Anderson DM, Backer LC, et al. Human health and ocean pollution. *Ann Glob Health*. 2020 Dec 3;86(1):1–64.

2. Bergmann M, Mützel S, Primpke S, Tekman MB, Trachsel J, Gerdts G. White and wonderful? Microplastics prevail in snow from the Alps to the Arctic. *Sci Adv*. 2019 Aug 14;5(8).

3. Directive (EU) 2019/904. The reduction of the impact of certain plastic products on the environment. Off J Eur Union Eur Parliam Counc. L 155/1:1–19.

4. Fahlman BD. Polymeric Materials. *Mater Chem*. 2018;373–483.

5. Geyer R, Jambeck JR, Law KL. Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. *Sci Adv*. 2017 Jul 5;3(7):e1700782.

6. Cózar A, Echevarría F, González-Gordillo JI, Irigoien X, Úbeda B, Hernández-León S, et al. Plastic debris in the open ocean. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* U S A. 2014 Jul 15;111(28):10239–44.

7. Van Der Mheen M, Van Sebille E, Pattiaratchi C. Beaching patterns of plastic debris along the Indian Ocean rim. *Ocean Sci*. 2020 Oct 30;16(5):1317–36.

8. Jang YC, Lee J, Hong S, Lee JS, Shim WJ, Song YK. Sources of plastic marine debris on beaches of Korea: More from the ocean than the land. *Ocean Sci* J. 2014 Jul 6;49(2):151–62.

9. Liao Z, Ji X, Ma Y, Lv B, Huang W, Zhu X, et al. Airborne microplastics in indoor and outdoor environments of a coastal city in Eastern China. *J Hazard Mater*. 2021 Sep 5;417:126007.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

10. Dris R, Gasperi J, Saad M, Mirande C, Tassin B. Synthetic fibers in atmospheric fallout: A source of microplastics in the environment? *Mar Pollut Bull*. 2016 Mar 15;104(1–2):290–3.

11. Wesch C, Elert AM, Wörner M, Braun U, Klein R, Paulus M. Assuring quality in microplastic monitoring: About the value of clean-air devices as essentials for verified data. *Sci Rep*. 2017 Dec 1;7(1):1–8.

12. Scheurer M, Bigalke M. Microplastics in Swiss Floodplain Soils. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2018 Mar 20;52(6):3591–8.

13. He P, Chen L, Shao L, Zhang H, Lü F. Municipal solid waste (MSW)landfill: A source of microplastics? -Evidence of microplastics in landfill leachate. *Water Res*. 2019 Aug 1;159:38–45.

14. Wahl A, Le Juge C, Davranche M, El Hadri H, Grassl B, Reynaud S, et al. Nanoplastic occurrence in a soil amended with plastic debris. *Chemosphere*. 2021 Jan 1;262:127784.

15. Pivokonsky M, Cermakova L, Novotna K, Peer P, Cajthaml T, Janda V. Occurrence of microplastics in raw and treated drinking water. *Sci Total Environ*. 2018 Dec 1;643:1644–51.

16. Mintenig SM, Löder MGJ, Primpke S, Gerdts G. Low numbers of microplastics detected in drinking water from ground water sources. *Sci Total Environ*. 2019 Jan 15;648:631–5.

17. Kosuth M, Mason SA, Wattenberg E V. Anthropogenic contamination of tap water, beer, and sea salt. *PLoS ONE*. 2018 Apr 1;13(4):e0194970.

18. Lai Y, Dong L, Li Q, Li P, Hao Z, Yu S, et al. Counting Nanoplastics in Environmental Waters by Single Particle Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectroscopy

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

after Cloud-Point Extraction and in Situ Labeling of Gold Nanoparticles. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2021 Apr 20;55(8):4783–91.

19. de Sousa FDB. The role of plastic concerning the sustainable development goals: The literature point of view. *Clean Responsible Consum*. 2021 Dec 1;3:100020.

20. Enyoh CE, Shafea L, Verla AW, Verla EN, Qingyue W, Chowdhury T, et al. Microplastics exposure routes and toxicity studies to ecosystems: An overview. Vol. 35, *Environmental Health and Toxicology*. Korean Society of Environmental Health and Toxicology; 2020.

21. Pauly JL, Stegmeier SJ, Allaart HA, Cheney RT, Zhang PJ, Mayer AG, et al. Inhaled cellulosic and plastic fibers found in human lung tissue. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 1998 May 1;7(5):419–28.

22. Dar E, Kanarek MS, Anderson HA, Sonzogni WC. Fish consumption and reproductive outcomes in Green Bay, Wisconsin. *Environ Res*. 1992 Oct 1;59(1):189–201.

23. Anderson HA, Wolff MS, Lilis R, Holstein EC, Valciukas JA, Anderson KE, et al. Symptoms and clinical abnormalities following ingestion of polybrominated-biphenyl-contaminated food products. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 1979;320(1):684–702.

24. Jamieson DJ, Terrell ML, Aguocha NN, Small CM, Cameron LL, Marcus M. Dietary exposure to brominated flame retardants and abnormal pap test results. *J Womens Health*. 2011 Sep 1;20(9):1269–78.

25. Lv Y, Lu S, Dai Y, Rui C, Wang Y, Zhou Y, et al. Higher dermal exposure of cashiers to BPA and its association with DNA oxidative damage. *Environ Int*. 2017 Jan 1;98:69–74.

26. Lazarov A, Cordoba M. Purpuric contact dermatitis in patients with allergic reaction to textile dyes and resins. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2000;14(2):101–5.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

27. Majasuo S, Liippo J, Lammintausta K. Non-occupational contact sensitization to epoxy resin of bisphenol A among general dermatology patients. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2012 Mar;66(3):148–53.

28. Breton CV, Landon R, Kahn LG, Enlow MB, Peterson AK, Bastain T, et al. Exploring the evidence for epigenetic regulation of environmental influences on child health across generations. *Commun Biol*. 2021 Jun 22;4(1):1–15.

29. Chao H-R, Wang S-L, Lee W-J, Wang Y-F, Päpke O. Levels of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in breast milk from central Taiwan and their relation to infant birth outcome and maternal menstruation effects. *Environ Int*. 2007 Feb 1;33(2):239–45.

30. Wiesinger H, Wang Z, Hellweg S. Deep Dive into Plastic Monomers, Additives, and Processing Aids. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2021 Jul 6;55(13):9339–51.

31. Jackson E, Shoemaker R, Larian N, Cassis L. Adipose Tissue as a Site of Toxin Accumulation. *Compr Physiol*. 2017 Oct 1;7(4):1085–135.

32. Lithner D, Larsson A, Dave G. Environmental and health hazard ranking and assessment of plastic polymers based on chemical composition. *Sci Total Environ*. 2011 Aug 15;409(18):3309–24.

33. Demeneix BA. Evidence for Prenatal Exposure to Thyroid Disruptors and Adverse Effects on Brain Development. *Eur Thyroid J*. 2019 Dec 1;8(6):283–92.

34. Vandenberg L, Colborn T, Hayes T, Heindel J, Jacobs D, Lee D, et al. Hormones and endocrine-disrupting chemicals: low-dose effects and nonmonotonic dose responses. *Endocr Rev*. 2012 Jun;33(3):378–455.

35. Vethaak AD, Legler J. Microplastics and human health. *Science*. 2021;371(6530):672–4.

36. Davison SMC, White MP, Pahl S, Taylor T, Fielding K, Roberts BR, et al. Public concern about, and desire for research into, the human health effects of marine plastic

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

pollution: Results from a 15-country survey across Europe and Australia. *Glob Environ Change*. 2021 Jun 17;102309.

37. Manini P, Andreoli R, Niessen W. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry in occupational toxicology: a novel approach to the study of biotransformation of industrial chemicals. *J Chromatogr A*. 2004 Nov 26;1058(1–2):21–37.

38. Tanner EM, Hallerbäck MU, Wikström S, Lindh C, Kiviranta H, Gennings C, et al. Early prenatal exposure to suspected endocrine disruptor mixtures is associated with lower IQ at age seven. *Environ Int*. 2020 Jan 1;134:105185.

39. Fu X, Xu J, Zhang R, Yu J. The association between environmental endocrine disruptors and cardiovascular diseases: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Res*. 2020 Aug 1;187:109464.

40. Gore AC, Chappell VA, Fenton SE, Flaws JA, Nadal A, Prins GS, et al. EDC-2: The Endocrine Society's Second Scientific Statement on Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals. *Endocr Rev*. 2015 Dec 1;36(6):E1–150.

41. Cai H, Zheng W, Zheng P, Wang S, Tan H, He G, et al. Human urinary/seminal phthalates or their metabolite levels and semen quality: A meta-analysis. *Environ Res*. 2015 Oct 1;142:486–94.

42. Golestanzadeh M, Riahi R, Kelishadi R. Association of phthalate exposure with precocious and delayed pubertal timing in girls and boys: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Sci Process Impacts*. 2020 Apr 29;22(4):873–94.

43. Boffetta P, Catalani S, Tomasi C, Pira E, Apostoli P. Occupational exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls and risk of cutaneous melanoma: A meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer Prev*. 2018 Jan 1;27(1):62–9.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

44. Zhang K, Shi H, Peng J, Wang Y, Xiong X, Wu C, et al. Microplastic pollution in China's inland water systems: A review of findings, methods, characteristics, effects, and management. *Sci Total Environ*. 2018 Jul 15;630:1641–53.

45. Kim KY, Lee E, Kim Y. The Association between Bisphenol A Exposure and Obesity in Children-A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019 Jul 15;16(14):E2521.

46. Lam J, Lanphear BP, Bellinger D, Axelrad DA, McPartland J, Sutton P, et al. Developmental pbde exposure and IQ/ADHD in childhood: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2017 Aug 1;125(8).

47. Radke EG, Braun JM, Nachman RM, Cooper GS. Phthalate exposure and neurodevelopment: A systematic review and meta-analysis of human epidemiological evidence. *Environ Int*. 2020 Apr 1;137:105408.

48. Braun JM. Early-life exposure to EDCs: role in childhood obesity and neurodevelopment. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2016 133. 2016 Nov 18;13(3):161–73.

49. SAPEA A. Scientific Perspective on Microplastics in Nature and Society. *Sci Advice Policy Eur Acad Berl*. 2019;

50. World Health Organization. Microplastics in drinking-water [Internet]. 2019 p. 1–101. Report No.: 9789241516198. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/326499

51. Leslie HA, Depledge MH. Where is the evidence that human exposure to microplastics is safe? *Environ Int*. 2020 Sep 1;142:105807.

52. Gómez-Mercado CA, Mejía-Sandoval G, Segura-Cardona ÁM, Arango-Alzate CM, Hernández-González SI, Patiño-García DF, et al. Exposición a Bisfenol A (BPA) en mujeres embarazadas y su relación con la obesidad en sus hijos: revisión sistemática. *Rev Fac Nac Salud Pública*. 2018 Mar 31;36(1):66–74.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

53. Ejaredar M, Lee Y, Roberts DJ, Sauve R, Dewey D. Bisphenol A exposure and children's behavior: A systematic review. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 2017 272. 2016 Mar 9;27(2):175–83.

54. Jeddi MZ, Janani L, Memari AH, Akhondzadeh S, yunesian M. The role of phthalate esters in autism development: A systematic review. *Environ Res*. 2016 Nov 1;151:493–504.

55. Zarean M, Keikha M, Feizi A, Kazemitabaee M, Kelishadi R. The role of exposure to phthalates in variations of anogenital distance: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Pollut*. 2019 Apr 1;247:172–9.

56. Rochester JR. Bisphenol A and human health: A review of the literature. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2013 Dec 1;42:132–55.

57. Hauser R, Calafat AM. Phthalates and human health. *Occup Environ Med*. 2005 Nov 1;62(11):806–18.

58. Wu M, Tu C, Liu G, Zhong H. Time to Safeguard the Future Generations from the Omnipresent Microplastics. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol* [Internet]. 2021 Jul 5 [cited 2021 Aug 17]; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-021-03252-1

59. Velis CA, Cook E. Mismanagement of Plastic Waste through Open Burning with Emphasis on the Global South: A Systematic Review of Risks to Occupational and Public Health. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2021;55:7186–207.

60. Lebreton L, Andrady A. Future scenarios of global plastic waste generation and disposal. P*algrave Commun* 2019 51. 2019 Jan 29;5(1):1–11.

61. Johannes HP, Kojima M, Iwasaki F, Edita EP. Applying the extended producer responsibility towards plastic waste in Asian developing countries for reducing marine plastic debris. *Waste Manag Res* J Int Solid Wastes Public Clean Assoc ISWA. 2021 May;39(5):690–702.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

62. ECHA (European Chemicals Agency). Mapping exercise – Plastic additives initiative [Internet]. European Chemicals Agency. [cited 2020 Jul 21]. Available from: https://echa.europa.eu/de/mapping-exercise-plastic-additives-initiative#table

63. ECHA (European Chemicals Agency). Candidate list of substances of very high concern for authorisation [Internet]. European Chemicals Agency. 2021 [cited 2020 Jul 21]. Available from: https://echa.europa.eu/candidate-list-table

64. ECHA (European Chemicals Agency). Substances restricted under REACH [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jul 21]. Available from: https://echa.europa.eu/de/substances-restricted-under-reach

65. Stockholm Convention. Stockholm Convention on persistent organic pollutants (POPS) [Internet]. UN Environment Programme; 2019 [cited 2020 Jul 21]. Available from: http://chm.pops.int/TheConvention/Overview/TextoftheConvention/tabid/2232/Default.aspx

66. Stockholm Convention. POPRC recommendations for listing chemicals [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Jul 21]. Available from: http://chm.pops.int/Convention/POPsReviewCommittee/Chemicals/tabid/243/Default.aspx

67. Groh KJ, Backhaus T, Carney-Almroth B, Geueke B, Inostroza PA, Lennquist A, et al. Overview of known plastic packaging-associated chemicals and their hazards. *Sci Total Environ*. 2019 Jul;651:3253–68.

68. ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). ATSDR's Substance Priority List [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Jul 16]. Available from: https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/spl/index.html

69. CROW (Chemical Retrieval on the Web). CROW Polymer Science [Internet]. CROW Polymer Science. 2021 [cited 2021 Apr 27]. Available from: https://www.polymerdatabase.com/

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

70. Wypych G. Handbook of Polymers [Internet]. Second edition. Toronto: ChemTec Publishing; 2016. 706 p. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9781895198928500021

71. Lithner D, Larsson A, Dave G. Environmental and health hazard ranking and assessment of plastic polymers based on chemical composition. *Sci Total Environ*. 2011 Aug;409(18):3309–24.

72. Koltzenburg S, Maskos M, Nuyken O. Polymer Chemistry [Internet]. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag; 2017. Available from: https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9783662492772

73. Ravve A. Principles of Polymer Chemistry [Internet]. 3rd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2012. Available from: https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9781461422112

74. Braun D, Cherdron H, Rehahn M, Ritter H, Voit B. Polymer Synthesis: Theory and Practice: Fundamentals, Methods, Experiments [Internet]. 5th ed. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag; 2013. Available from: https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9783642289798

75. Salamone JC. Polymeric Materials Encyclopedia [Internet]. Vol. 9. London New York: CRC Press; 1996. 554 p. Available from: https://books.google.com.au/books?id=oYHJ-imh7rgC

76. World Health, Organization (WHO). International Classification of Diseases, Eleventh Revision (ICD-11) [Internet]. 2019. Available from: https://icd.who.int/browse11. Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-ND 3.0 IGO).

77. Peters MDJ, Marnie C, Tricco AC, Pollock D, Munn Z, Alexander L, et al. Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. *JBI Evid Synth*. 2020 Oct 1;18(10):2119–26.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

78. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. Httpsdoiorg107326M18-0850. 2018 Sep 4;169(7):467–73.

79. Khalil H, Peters MDJ, Tricco AC, Pollock D, Alexander L, McInerney P, et al. Conducting high quality scoping reviews-challenges and solutions. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2021 Feb 1;130:156–60.

80. Venn M. Subject Guides: Systematic Reviews for Health: 8. Search Limits [Internet]. 2020. Available from: https://utas.libguides.com/SystematicReviews/SearchLimits

81. Bramer W, Fowler S, Ket J, Otten R, Riphagen I. Animal studies exclusion - bmi-online search blocks [Internet]. Biomedische Informatie. 2020. Available from: https://blocks.bmi-online.nl/catalog/16

82. Tessier V. Human studies [Internet]. CHLA Canadian Health Libraries Association. 2019. Available from: https://extranet.santecom.qc.ca/wiki/!biblio3s/doku.php?id=concepts:etudes-sur-les-humains

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

620



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.10.22270706; this version posted February 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .


Identification
References identified through database searching (n=)
References after records removed (n=)
Screening
References screened at Level 1 (n=)
References excluded at Level 1 (n=)
Eligibility
Full-text articles (Level 2) assessed for eligibility (n=)
Full-text articles excluded (n=)
Included
Eligible References (Level 3) included in Data extraction (n=)
Full-text articles excluded (n=)