Liver Echinococcosis Lesion Classification Tool by Deep Learning: development, deployment, and validations

Liang Huang[1], Xiaohong Xi[2], Zhu Chen[1] , Yilan Zeng[1]

[1]Chengdu Public Health Clinical Center, Chengdu City, Sichuan Province, China, 61000

[2] Deparment of Sonography, Chenghua Women and Children's Hospitall, Chengdu City, Sichuan Province, China, 61000

Correspondence:

Yilan Zeng, Chengdu Public Health Clinical Center, 377 Jingming Road, Chengdu City, Sichuan Province, China (hyuangx@qq.com).

Abstract

The endemic of Echinococcosis imposed heavy disease burden in some areas. The sonography for Echinococcosis lesions was essential to disease diagnosis and managements. Especially the biological typing of lesions was key to disease treatments. We used deep-learning tools to help sonographer to classify the lesion types. The model achieved 85%(302/376) accuracy, in contrast to senior sonographer achieved 72%(61/85) accuracy. The accuracy of AI model was higher than senior sonographer (p-value=0.01), could be a feasible method to help sonographer in remote area.

Introduction

Echinococcosis is a result of human infection by larval stages of taeniid cestodes of the genus Echinococcus. Echinococcus *granulosus* causes cystic echinococcosis(CE), Echinococcus *multilocularis* causes alveolar echinococcosis (AE), two separately diseases with different biological processes[1,2]. The co-infection of the two pathogens was rare[3]. At least 58% of the population are at risk of acquiring echinococcosis, distributed in Europe, North and Central America, Africa, Australia and Western China[4]. It is a potential fatal zoonosis[5], a major public health concern in Qinghai-Tibet Plateau due to the highly pandemic of both CE and AE. The echinococcosis DALYs in the Tibetan communities were 126,159 life years annually estimated by Wang[6]. The Tibet population in China holds 1.66% prevalence of both CE and AE, estimated 50 million people are at risk of infecting the disease in Western China[7]. China has been investing large amount of resources to control echinococcosis, launched national control program[8]. The strategies of the national control program majorly included definitive hosts deworming, slaughtering control, and intermediate hosts vacation[2]. Ultrasound screening was included as an essential measure to find the patients and follow-up.

Echinococcosis is chronic parasites disease, liver echinococcosis were most common in clinical[9]. The treatments for it included surgically remove the lesion, PAIR(puncture, aspiration, injection, and respiration), albendazole or they

combined[10,2]. The lesion classification for CE is the one of the key aspects in patients' management, since the classification was closely corelated to the underlying biological status of the larva.

For CE, the ultrasonic WHO classification is commonly used (CL, CE1, CE2, CE3, CE4, and CE5). The CE1-2 types of CE indicating active infection should be treated by Albendazole or/and surgical resection. The CE4-5 are considered degenerated lesion due to failure of natural infection or effects of treatments, should be closely monitered[11,12]. The CE3 is considered the transitional phase of lesion. The CE1-5 are the continuous progress of "active-transitional-inactive"[13] indicating importance for biological process and disease assessments.

The AE is a silent progressing infiltrative proliferation of the parasite, mimicking a malignancy[14]. For AE cases, the lesion classification are more complex and uncertain, research revealed that the clinical stage of AE was not corelated to ultrasound appearance[15]. WHO had proposed a PNM classification system for AE[16]. The PNM classification system (P=parasitic mass in the liver, N=involvement of neighboring organs, and M=metastasis) was designed to systematic evaluation for surgical purpose majorly. Besides PNM classification system, the Ulm university proposed a classification system for AE[17], and five types of lesion proposed.

The PNM classification systems required extra examinations other than ultrasound, such as CT or MRI scan. However, the PNM system was not feasible for many

occasions. The accessibility of medical resources in Qinghai-Tibet Plateau is incomparable with urban area[18] due to the high altitude and atrocious weather, the local paramedics are lack of professional training, especially for sonography.

This study purposed to develop deep learning-based application to automatically type lesions for both AE and CE, giving diagnostic assistant for local paramedics. In this study, we used 2820 ultrasound images training our model, and used external data to validate the model. It is the largest echinococcosis ultrasound training set so far and its application for was published on a web for open access.

Previous studies had developed deep learning system for hepatology by using medical images, pathology, clinical and laboratory data, natural languages, etc. They are majorly designed to address liver problems such as liver fibrosis(cirrhosis), liver cancer, portal hypotension, etc[19]. Relatively, less study were aimed to the liver echinococcosis, which is on the list of NZDs[20] by WHO. Before our study, Xin had built a segmentation and classification networks for echinococcosis, shedding light on potential development in this field. However, it used 160 CT scan images for training, and the classification of echinococcosis were not covered all types of both AE and CE[21]. Comparing with CT images, ultrasound was more widely used in filed echinococcosis diagnosis. In this study, we used 2820 ultrasound images for training covering all echinococcosis types.

Method and Material

Patient Data

Sonographies were retrospectively obtained from National Control Program for Echinococcosis Screening in Qinghai-Tibet Plateau[22]. The medical decisions were not based on results of this study. All the patients were previously diagnosed echinococcosis by systematic evaluations including medical images and serology tests, fulfilled the criteria of echinococcosis diagnosis criteria.

In this study, we collected 3423 images of echinococcosis patients who were enrolled and routinely managed in national echinococcosis control program.

Ethical approval for this retrospective study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Chengdu Public Health Clinical Center. The patient consents for inclusion were waived as the retrospective nature of this study and anonymous data use.

Classification criteria

In this study, we used CL-CE5 classification system for CE, which was recommended by WHO recommendation[13]. We used infiltrating, calcification, and necrotic lesion for AE classification, which was recommended by Chinses Guideline for AE[23].

For CE lesions, the CL lesion, which was appears “cystic lesion”, which appears no different from simple cyst in liver, could not be confirmed by ultrasound image solely. The CE1 refers to a simple round or oval unilocular cyst with anechoic content and a visible double cystic wall. The CE2 cyst is filled with daughter vesicles. The CE3

cysts includes two stages, CE3a is characterized by the "water-lily" sign, CE3b represented by floating membranes. The CE4 typically reveals coarse variable hyperechogenic or hypoechogenic echotexture without daughter vesicles. The "ball of wool" sign, corresponding to the detached endocyst as a hypoechoic folded structure embedded in a hyperechoic matrix, is the key ultrasound sign. The CE5 cysts are partially (with an egg-shell calcified wall) or completely calcified with shadowing[13].

For AE lesions, in this study, we followed the Chinese Guideline for AE, which was also applied in National Controlling Program in endemic areas. It proposed three ultrasound types of lesions. The infiltrative lesion (AE1) appearing hailstorm pattern, heterogeneously echogenic areas, in many cases scatter calcifications can be seen. The necrosis lesion (AE2), appearing a pseudocystic pattern with an irregular hyperechoic rim. The calcification lesion, appearing solid hyperechoic lesion (AE3).

Data labelling

Expert who had more than 15 years of echinococcosis ultrasound diagnosis and ultrasound based echinococcosis patients managements had labeled the included ultrasound images by using LabelMe[24], an open-source image label tool. The lesions in the images were labeled by different color, and normal liver background was labeled as black. The labeled images were automatically cropped into fixed size of input images (512*512 pixels) for model learning and inference.

Model constructions

The U-net architecture achieves high performance on different biomedical images segmentation applications by using relatively less quantity of training images[25,26]. In this study, we used two separated U-net models. Firstly, we constructed "Classifier" U-net model which was used generalized multiclass dice loss (GMD). The GMD penalizes the wrong classifications more aggressively and more effective for training if the unbalanced category of training samples used. However, it produces more noise (false positive predictions) produced. Secondly, the identical "Shaper" U-net using conventional binary dice loss function focusing on lesion shape learning black-white (lesion-background, values 0 and 1) outputs, omitting the lesion class information. It produced more accurate shape inference for lesions. The inference result produced by multiplication of two tensors of the models' outputs (Figue 1).

The whole program was based on Python programming languages with PyTorch 1.3 deep learning framework. The core construction of the models consist 10 of convectional layers including downsampling, upsampling, and attention gates were used in this model[27]. The models were trained with mini-batch SGD optimizer with momentum on two Nvidia 1080TI GPUs. The learning rate was chosen to minimize the error in the tuning dataset. Early stop training strategies was used for preventing over fitting.

Web-based user interface

Trained weights files loaded in a web-based user interface under Flask framework for internal logical process and HTML for appearances of the application of both computer and mobile phone. The users will upload images transferred from ultrasound equipment by computer or mobile phone. The web-based UI will automatically calculate the hot-spot of the ultrasound images and crop them into proper size and optimized location(Figure 2).

Model validations

We compared classification accuracies for human and model. The senior sonographer has 15 years of working experiences. The performance of model was designed to achieved equivalent accuracy with senior sonographer.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed with the STATA/SE 14.1 software (StataCorp , 4905 Lakeway Drive, College Station, Texas , USA) and R 4.0.2[28]. The ggplot2[29] for R was used for diagram plotting. Confusion matrix[30] was used for validation of the accuracy of the model. The confusion matrix was plotted by ggplot2 packages. The α was set to 0.05. $P<\alpha$ was statistically significant.

Hardware and software

The sonographies were obtained from various ultrasound equipment, including Mindray™ M5, Seote MyLab™ Alpha. The server used for training model installed

two 1080TI GPUs with Ubuntu 18.04 LTS platform. A Nvidia AGX Xavier edge computing device providing web-based interface and model inference. These devices install Ubuntu 18.04 LTS with PyTorch 1.3 and Flask library installed.

Result

Dataset

According to our dataset, we had 2250 training images, 190 images validation images and 376 testing images. In the training dataset, for CL and CE1-5 type, 140, 172, 198, 280, and 472 images were trained, respectively. For AE lesion, 420, 290, and 278 images were trained, respectively.

Training the model and publishing

Model was trained for about 10 hours to achieved convergence after 90 epochs (multiple times of training for optimizing). The generalized dice score of Classifier for validation set was 0.77, the Dice score of Shaper for validation set was, 0.86. The web-based interface uses 3.1s for average for single image analysis in current hardware configuration (each service has six times of inferences).

Comparison with human sonographer

Total of 376 samples were inputted into AI model, archived 85%(302/376) accuracy. In contrast, total of 85 samples were classified by senior sonographer achieved

196 72%(61/85) accuracy. The accuracy of AI model was higher than senior sonographer
197 (p-value=0.01 by chi-square test)(Table 1).

198 However, the difference for each types of lesions were not statically significant
199 (except CE5, the human sonographer achieved extremely low accuracy). The result
200 were represented as confusion matrix(Figure 3 and Figure 4).

201 # Discussion

202 # Model outperforms trained sonographers

203 According to our testing, our deep learning model outperformed fast-trained
204 sonographer in echinococcosis classification task. The U-net based model has been
205 proven to be efficient for smaller size of training set. It could be highly useful for
206 local paramedics while managing echinococcosis patients.

207 # Background of the disease

208 Echinococcus *granulosus* life cycle involves dogs and other canids as definitive hosts
209 for the intestinal tapeworm as intermediate hosts for metacestode (larval) stage. The
210 metacestode (echinococcal cyst). In humans, the slowly growing hydatid cysts can
211 attain a volume of several liters and contain many thousands of protoscolices[31].
212 The life cycle of E. *multilocularis* involves small rodent intermediate hosts, such as
213 arvicolids, wild or domestic canid definitive hosts, such as red or arctic foxes, jackals,
214 wolves, or dogs. Humans are aberrant intermediate hosts acquiring the infection

through ingestion of eggs shed in the feces of definitive hosts. AE is of increasing concern globally due to the geographical spread of the parasite, its increasing prevalence in animals from endemic areas, the absence of a vaccine, and the lack of active control measures to prevent the infection[32].

Web-based application improved accessibility of AI tools

Deep learning applications are provided as source code deposited on Github[33] or similar platform for sharing. It is not feasible for many medical related applications, since the privacy concern of the data. The run-time environments of the source code were varied in wide range due to different platforms, different frameworks, and versions used. Here we used open-access web-based tool available for PC and mobile will significantly increase the accessibility for many remote areas.

Clinical implications

We demonstrated the AI model achieved better performance than sonographer, it could help doctors in remoted area for echinococcosis lesion typing. The lesion typing for echinococcosis was important for disease managements.

According to the consensus on cystic echinococcosis diagnosis and treatment, five types of lesions were recommended for classification. A natural grouping of the cysts into three relevant groups: active (CE1 and 2), transitional (CE3) and inactive (CE4

234 and 5). The lesion classification is critical to patients managements, according to the
235 consensus, CE4 and 5 indicated an inactive infection, watch and wait was
236 recommended, if patients with CE1-3, the consensus suggested an active larva
237 infection, radical medical surgery or/and ABZ should be suggested[11,2].

238 Comparing CE, AE is more problematic, surgical resection, chemotherapy, early
239 diagnosis, and multidisciplinary discussion contributed to the successful treatment for
240 AE cases[11]. AE lesions behave "cancer-like"[34], radical surgery is the first choice in all
241 cases suitable for totally resection[11]. Benzimidazoles should be used for all cases[11],
242 and liver transplantation as an alternative to palliative surgery, however, has not been
243 shown to be superior to long-term conservative therapy[35].

244

245

246 1. Moro, P. & Schantz, P. M. Echinococcosis: a review. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **13**,
247 125–133 (2009).
248 2. Wen, H. *et al.* Echinococcosis: Advances in the 21st Century. *Clin. Microbiol.*
249 *Rev.* **32**, e00075-18, /cmr/32/2/CMR.00075-18.atom (2019).
250 3. Wang, X. Q., Han, X. M., Tian, Q. S., Zhao, S. Y. & A, J. D. [Hepatic cystic and
251 alveolar echinococcosis co-infections: a report of 3 cases]. *Zhongguo Xue Xi Chong*
252 *Bing Fang Zhi Za Zhi Chin. J. Schistosomiasis Control* **32**, 213–216 (2019).
253 4. Deplazes, P. *et al.* Global Distribution of Alveolar and Cystic Echinococcosis. in
254 *Advances in Parasitology* vol. 95 315–493 (Elsevier, 2017).
255 5. Guo, B. *et al.* High endemicity of alveolar echinococcosis in Yili Prefecture,
256 Xinjiang Autonomous Region, the People's Republic of China: Infection status in
257 different ethnic communities and in small mammals. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **15**,
258 e0008891 (2021).
259 6. Wang, Q. *et al.* Disease burden of echinococcosis in Tibetan communities—A
260 significant public health issue in an underdeveloped region of western China. *Acta*
261 *Trop.* **203**, 105283 (2020).

7. Shan, X. *et al.* Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) associated with echinococcosis patients in Tibetan communities in Shiqu County, China: a case–control study. *Qual. Life Res.* **29**, 1559–1565 (2020).
8. Nationa echinococcosis Control programe(in Chinese). http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/zhengceku/2020-09/03/content_5539743.htm.
9. Eckert, J. & Deplazes, P. Biological, Epidemiological, and Clinical Aspects of Echinococcosis, a Zoonosis of Increasing Concern. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **17**, 107–135 (2004).
10. Gupta, N. *et al.* Hepatic Hydatid: PAIR, Drain or Resect? *J. Gastrointest. Surg.* **15**, 1829–1836 (2011).
11. Brunetti, E., Kern, P. & Vuitton, D. A. Expert consensus for the diagnosis and treatment of cystic and alveolar echinococcosis in humans. *Acta Trop.* **114**, 1–16 (2010).
12. Larrieu, E. *et al.* Epidemiology, diagnosis, treatment and follow-up of cystic echinococcosis in asymptomatic carriers. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **113**, 74–80 (2019).
13. Brunetti, E. *et al.* Ultrasound and Cystic Echinococcosis. *Ultrasound Int. Open* **04**, E70–E78 (2018).
14. Casulli, A., Barth, T. F. E. & Tamarozzi, F. Echinococcus multilocularis. *Trends Parasitol.* **35**, 738–739 (2019).
15. Sulima, M. *et al.* Ultrasound images in hepatic alveolar echinococcosis and clinical stage of the disease. *Adv. Med. Sci.* **64**, 324–330 (2019).
16. Kern, P. *et al.* WHO classification of alveolar echinococcosis: Principles and application. *Parasitol. Int.* **55**, S283–S287 (2006).
17. Kratzer, W. Proposal of an ultrasonographic classification for hepatic alveolar echinococcosis: Echinococcosis multilocularis Ulm classification-ultrasound. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **21**, 12392 (2015).
18. Yang, S.-J. *et al.* A remote management system for control and surveillance of echinococcosis: design and implementation based on internet of things. *Infect. Dis. Poverty* **10**, 50 (2021).
19. Ahn, J. C., Connell, A., Simonetto, D. A., Hughes, C. & Shah, V. H. The application of artificial intelligence for the diagnosis and treatment of liver diseases. *Hepatol. Baltim. Md* (2020) doi:10.1002/hep.31603.
20. Borhani, M. *et al.* Cystic echinococcosis in the Eastern Mediterranean region: Neglected and prevailing! *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **14**, e0008114 (2020).
21. Xin, S. *et al.* Automatic lesion segmentation and classification of hepatic echinococcosis using a multiscale-feature convolutional neural network. *Med. Biol. Eng. Comput.* **58**, 659–668 (2020).
22. Yu, Q., Xiao, N., Han, S., Tian, T. & Zhou, X.-N. Progress on the national echinococcosis control programme in China: analysis of humans and dogs population intervention during 2004–2014. *Infect. Dis. Poverty* **9**, 137 (2020).

303 23. Sichuan Institute of Echinococcosis Clinical Reaserch. Guide line for complex
304 alveolar echinococcosis. *Chin. J. Bases Clin. Gen. Surg.* **27**, 18 (23).
305 24. Kentaro, W. *labelme: Image Polygonal Annotation with Python.* (2016).
306 25. U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Segmentation.
307 https://lmb.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/people/ronneber/u-net/.
308 26. Ronneberger, O., Fischer, P. & Brox, T. U-Net: Convolutional Networks for
309 Biomedical Image Segmentation. in *Medical Image Computing and*
310 *Computer-Assisted Intervention – MICCAI 2015* (eds. Navab, N., Hornegger, J.,
311 Wells, W. M. & Frangi, A. F.) vol. 9351 234–241 (Springer International Publishing,
312 2015).
313 27. Oktay, O. *et al.* Attention U-Net: Learning Where to Look for the Pancreas.
314 *ArXiv180403999 Cs* (2018).
315 28. *R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R*
316 *Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL*
317 *https://www.R-project.org/.*
318 29. Hadley, W. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. (Springer-Verlag New
319 York, 2016).
320 30. Foody, G. M. Status of land cover classification accuracy assessment. *Remote*
321 *Sens. Environ.* **80**, 185–201 (2002).
322 31. Moro, P. & Schantz, P. M. Echinococcosis: a review. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **13**,
323 125–133 (2009).
324 32. Casulli, A., Barth, T. F. E. & Tamarozzi, F. Echinococcus multilocularis. *Trends*
325 *Parasitol.* **35**, 738–739 (2019).
326 33. GitHub: Where the world builds software · GitHub. https://github.com.
327 34. Reinehr, M. *et al.* Pathology of Echinococcosis: A Morphologic and
328 Immunohistochemical Study on 138 Specimens With Focus on the Differential
329 Diagnosis Between Cystic and Alveolar Echinococcosis. *Am. J. Surg. Pathol.* **44**,
330 43–54 (2020).
331 35. Salm, L. A., Lachenmayer, A., Perrodin, S. F., Candinas, D. & Beldi, G. Surgical
332 treatment strategies for hepatic alveolar echinococcosis. *Food Waterborne Parasitol.*
333 **15**, e00050 (2019).

334

335

Table 1. Comparison of human and AI

	CL	CE1	CE2	CE3	CE4	CE5	AE1	AE2	AE3	total	acc.*	p-values#
CL-Human	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.50	0.27
CL-AI	38	4	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	50	0.76	
CE1-Human	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.92	0.68
CE1-AI	4	40	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	50	0.80	
CE2-Human	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.67	1.00
CE2-AI	0	0	38	9	3	0	0	0	0	50	0.76	
CE3-Human	0	0	2	8	1	0	0	0	0	11	0.73	1.00
CE3-AI	0	0	6	38	6	0	0	0	0	50	0.76	
CE4-Human	0	0	0	1	14	7	0	0	0	22	0.64	0.15
CE4-AI	0	0	8	2	40	0	0	0	0	50	0.80	
CE5-Human	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	4	0.00	0.00
CE5-AI	0	1	0	0	1	40	8	0	0	50	0.80	
AE1-Human	0	0	0	0	2	0	19	0	0	21	0.90	0.21
AE1-AI	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	0	1	50	0.98	
AE2-Human	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	4	0.75	1.00
AE2-AI	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	10	0	14	0.71	
AE3-Human	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	4	0.50	0.55
AE3-AI	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	9	12	0.75	

* acc. accuracy=right classification/total samples

340 [#] by using Fisher' exact tests.
341
342



Figure 1. The architecture of Two U-nets model.



Figure 2. Web Application structure of model

Figure 3.



The confusion matrix of AI prediction.

Figure 4.



The confusion matrix of human sonographer.