

1 **COVID-19 vaccine attitude and its predictors among people living with chronic health**
2 **conditions in Ibadan, Nigeria**

3 Lucia Yetunde Ojewale^{1*}, Rotimi Felix Afolabi², Adesola Ogunniyi³

4
5 ¹Department of Nursing, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria

6 ²Department of Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, College of Medicine, University of
7 Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria

8 ³Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria

9
10 * Corresponding author

11 E-mail: luciyetunde@gmail.com (LYO)

12 **Abstracts**

13 **Background:** Globally COVID-19 has caused death among millions of people and new cases
14 continue to be reported daily, including in Nigeria. With the efforts of the Nigerian government
15 to ensure everyone gets vaccinated, the vaccination attitude and its predictors among persons
16 with chronic health conditions remains unclear. The study was therefore conducted to assess
17 vaccination attitude and determine its associated factors among people living with chronic
18 health conditions.

19 **Methods:** A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among 423 patients attending the
20 medical outpatient clinic of University College Hospital, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria; before
21 COVID-19 vaccination commencement. Data were collected on socio-demographic and
22 COVID-19 related characteristics, via Open Data Kit (ODK) software. The Vaccine Attitude
23 Examination (Vax) Scale including its four subscales was adopted to assess attitude towards
24 COVID-19 vaccine uptake. The main outcome was vaccine attitude status defined as positive
25 if a VAX sum score was above the median value; otherwise, non-positive'. Data were analysed
26 using Chi-square and multivariate logistic regression analyses at 5% significance level.

27 **Results:** Hypertension (27.4%), diabetes mellitus (22.0%) and heart conditions/diseases
28 (19.6%) were the top three conditions being managed by the participants. The overall
29 proportion of patients with a positive attitude towards the uptake of COVID-19 vaccination
30 was 46.6%; while 29.6% trusted the vaccine benefit, 46.6% were not worried about the
31 aftermath effect of the vaccine and 11.1% were not concerned about the vaccine commercial
32 profiteering. Factors associated with overall vaccine attitude were level of education, income,
33 knowledge of COVID-19, living room arrangement, and confidence in government (p<0.05).
34 The main influential factor on general vaccine positive attitude and the four subscales was
35 confidence in the government.

36 **Conclusion:** Less than half of people living with a chronic medical condition had a positive
37 attitude towards the COVID vaccine. The attitudes are mediated strongly by confidence in the
38 government and several sociodemographic and COVID related characteristics. A lot still needs
39 to be done to achieve the prescribed herd immunity.

40
41 **Keywords:** COVID-19 vaccine uptake, positive attitude, chronic health condition, Nigeria

42 Introduction

43 The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on social, economic and political life is unprecedented.
44 Lockdown was effected in several countries to guarantee limited contact between individuals
45 and to ensure that citizens observed social distancing, which admissibly had helped curtail virus
46 transmission [1]. However, a huge economic price was paid as many countries suffered
47 economic losses [2]. This led to easing the lockdown which soon resulted in an increase in
48 COVID 19 infection and mortality[3]. The health system has not been able to effectively cater
49 for the needs of those suffering from Acute Respiratory Distress (ARD) and SARS COVID– 2
50 pneumonias, most especially in Africa [4]. These negative effects led to efforts to prevent
51 further transmission through the development of vaccines. Vaccine development is seen as
52 crucial to ending the pandemic, [5]. As of late February 2021, COVID-19 vaccines were
53 already available and were being administered to people mostly in high-income countries like
54 the United Kingdom, United States, Canada, China, among others,[6]. In line with this and the
55 effort by the World Health Organisation to ensure that the vaccines get to low- and middle-
56 income countries, there is a need to ensure that it is well received by the general population,
57 particularly those with chronic conditions.

58 Nonetheless, vaccine availability does not necessarily translate to the uptake. It has been
59 suggested that apart from prioritizing vaccine administration, other important factors that
60 would affect vaccine distribution include the capability of the health system in ensuring that
61 the vaccines are made available for people at high risk and the willingness of the people to be
62 vaccinated, [7]. Despite the fatality of COVID-19 and the purported success in developing
63 vaccines, a sceptical attitude continues to trail vaccination in many countries of the world,
64 including developed countries. This phenomenon, sometimes referred to as ‘vaccine hesitancy,
65 has been reported in many countries largely due to vaccine disinformation [8,9]. Latkin et al.
66 (2021) reported that 40.9% of adults in the US mistrusted the vaccine while 16% of adults in

67 the UK had a high level of mistrust, towards the vaccine, [10]. Also, 69% of adult participants
68 were willing to get vaccinated in a study among over 2000 adults in US [11]. The proportion
69 of people intending to get vaccinated is similar to that reported among about a thousand Hong
70 Kong nurses, [1].

71 It was opined that the proportion of vaccinated people should be greater than two-thirds to
72 achieve ‘herd’ OR public immunity, [1]. Literature has shown that reasons for unwillingness
73 to vaccinate, mistrust of vaccines and poor vaccine intention include low socioeconomic status,
74 lower education, older age, concerns about the unforeseen side effects, mistrust of Government,
75 and poor adherence to COVID-19 prevention guidelines, [1,5,10].

76 Addressing the aforementioned factors may promote a positive attitude and high intention to
77 be vaccinated. Besides, COVID-19 vaccine recommendation by health care providers was a
78 positive mediating factor towards its uptake among US adults, [11]. Adequate understanding
79 of clients by health care professionals is thus crucial before they can effectively communicate
80 the need for vaccines [12]. Nurses, physicians and other health care workers can thus
81 effectively improve the attitude and uptake of the vaccine among their clients.

82 Generally, the population at higher risk of death or complications should be vaccinated first
83 due to inadequate supply of COVID-19 vaccine. Many countries have therefore adopted the
84 WHO vaccination guideline of prioritizing people at higher risk of mortality from the disease
85 including older adults and those with chronic conditions like diabetes and chronic kidney
86 disease [13].

87 Specifically, adults with chronic condition are more likely to be hospitalized due to COVID-
88 19 infection compared to healthy individuals in a study conducted in the United States, [14].
89 For example, having diabetes increases the risk of developing COVID-19 as well as increases
90 the risk of dying from COVID-19 complications [15]. Studies in Africa including Nigeria have

91 also corroborated the claim that people who have co-morbidities such as diabetes and
92 hypertension are more likely to suffer fatality from COVID-19 infection, [16].

93 Apart from the vulnerability of people with chronic conditions being reported, the patients
94 themselves are aware of this fact. In a study conducted among Ethiopian living with diabetes
95 and hypertension. many (79%) participants felt that they were more susceptible to COVID-19
96 death, yet only 10% were involved in a good level of COVID-19 prevention measures, [17].
97 The poor attitude towards COVID-19 prevention could be carried over to the reception of the
98 vaccine. Hence, the need to assess vaccination attitude.

99 Many studies have examined the COVID-19 vaccination attitude but few of such were
100 conducted in Nigeria. The attitude towards vaccination and its associated factors may differ
101 considerably in Nigeria. Moreover, most studies have focused on the general population,
102 whereas there is a need to ascertain the attitude of people with chronic diseases including
103 diabetes, hypertension and chronic kidney disease towards vaccination since they are among
104 the high priority group that need to get vaccinated. Against this background, the study aimed
105 at assessing COVID-19 vaccine attitude among persons living with chronic health conditions,
106 receiving treatment in University College Hospital Ibadan, Nigeria, and to determine its
107 associated factors

108 **Materials and Methods**

109 **The study design and setting**

110 A cross-sectional study on attitude and intention to COVID-19 vaccine uptake among People
111 Living with Chronic Health Conditions in Ibadan, Nigeria was conducted between March and
112 April 2021. This study is part of a larger study on ‘Covid-19 Vaccine: Attitude, Intention to
113 Vaccinate, Mediating Factors and Interventions towards a Positive Attitudes among People
114 with Chronic Conditions in Ibadan’ The present study was conducted at the Medical Outpatient
115 Clinic of the University College Hospital (UCH), Ibadan, Nigeria. Eligible consenting patients

116 were referred to participate by Counselors after routine patients' education sessions during
117 which information about the study was provided to all clinic attendees. On average, 20
118 participants were expected on each clinic day for the two months of data collection.

119 [Sample size determination and sampling strategy](#)

120 Based on the assumptions of a 50% prevalence of positive attitude towards the uptake of
121 COVID-19 vaccination among the patients and a 5% desired level of precision, the required
122 minimum sample size was estimated. A total of 423 sample size was estimated for the study
123 after adjusting for a 10% non-response rate. At every clinic visit, eligible consenting
124 participants were selected using a simple random sampling (balloting approach). Daily
125 attendance register at the Record section of the clinic served as the sampling frame. There were
126 20 "yes" of the total prepared secret ballot-papers, labelled "yes" or "no" for eligible patients
127 who registered on a clinic day. Patient who selected a "yes" was enrolled into the study after
128 written informed consent was obtained, while excluding patient who was very ill and
129 cognitively impaired.

130 [Data collection](#)

131 Data collection took place before the vaccination of the general population commenced in
132 Nigeria. Data was collected on socio-demographic variables and COVID-19 related
133 characteristics among the patients by trained research assistants. The interviewers who were
134 postgraduate students in the College of Medicine were trained at a one-day workshop. During
135 the training, they got general orientation about the study objectives, interviewing skills and
136 health research ethics. Each question item was explained as well as how to record the responses.
137 A questionnaire consisting of three (3) sections was used for data collection. The first section
138 consisted of sociodemographic data and predictors of vaccination attitude, based on a literature
139 search. Items included were gender, age, socioeconomic status using the wealth index, [18],

140 employment classification, history of children’s vaccination, daily exposure to news, and self-
141 rated adherence to the COVID-19 guidelines, among others.

142 The second section was made up of the Vaccine Attitude Examination (Vax) Scale. The VAX
143 scale is an easy to use made up of 12-item questions [19]. The scale consisted of four subscales
144 which provide information on individuals with vaccination resistance. The subscales are: 1)
145 mistrust of vaccine benefit, (2) worries about unforeseen future effects, (3) concerns about
146 commercial profiteering, and (4) preference for natural immunity. A sufficient convergent
147 validity and internal reliability (Cronbach’s alphas = 0.77-0.93) had been established for all
148 four subscales, (19); Wood, Smith, Miller, & O’Carroll, 2019). The scale is rated on a six-point
149 Likert scale (very strongly disagree (coded as 0), ..., very strongly agree (coded as 5)). With a
150 maximum possible score of 60, the overall score was dichotomized using the median value as
151 a cut off value

152 The last section was made up of questions to ascertain contextual influences on COVID-19
153 vaccine attitude. It was made up of 16 items with three main options: ‘Yes/No/Not sure’

154 [Data processing and analysis](#)

155 The analysis started with data cleaning to ensure completeness and consistency. The main
156 outcome variable was a positive attitude towards the uptake of the COVID-19 vaccine. The
157 response to the attitude questions was summed together to generate an attitude score ranging
158 from 0 to 60. Similar scores (ranged 0-15 scores) were generated for each of the four subscales
159 of attitude towards COVID-19 vaccine uptake. Having confirmed the nonnormality of the
160 outcome variable including its subscales’ scores using the Shapiro Wilk normality test ($p <$
161 0.05), an overall score above the median value was coded “1” as positive attitude; otherwise,
162 coded “0” as non-positive(20). Independent variables considered were socio-demographics,
163 contextual and COVID-19 related characteristics, see Table 1.

164

165 **Table 1.** Definitions of independent variables

Characteristics	Description
Age	Patient's age (in years) categorised as: <30, 30-49, 50-64, ≥65 years
Sex	Sex of the patient (male or female)
Ethnicity	Patient ethnicity (Yoruba, others)
Religion	The religion of patients is grouped into two: Christianity, Islam
Marital	Patient marital status: Never married, married
Employment	Patient employment status: skilled, unskilled
Education	Patient highest level of educational attainment categorised as no formal, primary, secondary, higher
Income	Patient monthly income (in Naira) categorised as: <30,000, 30,000-49,999, 50,000-99,999, ≥100,000, unknown
Assets own	Patient total asset grouped into single (only one asset declared) or multiple (two or more assets declared)
Media exposure	Exposure to media is grouped into not exposed and exposed.
Cooking fuel	Type of cooking fuel categorised as clean and unclean
Drinking water source	Source of drinking water grouped as improved and unimproved
Toilet facility	Household toilet facilities: improved; unimproved
Waste disposal	Waste disposal practice: hygienic; unhygienic
No of rooms	Residential house number of rooms categorised as 1-2, 2-4, >4
No of persons	Number of persons living in a house categorised as 1-2, 2-4, 4-6, >6
Health condition	Patient health challenges grouped as single or multiple diseases
COVID-19 knowledge	Rated level of COVID-19 knowledge grouped into poor and good
Adherence level	Adherence to the COVID-19 guideline: poor, better
Full child vaccination	Participant's children were full vaccination: complete and incomplete/none
Conf in govt	Patient rated level of confidence in government in handling pandemic: undecided, low, high
Conf in health prof	Patient rated level of confidence in health care professionals: undecided, low, high

166

167 Descriptive statistics such as percentages were used to report the frequency distribution and
 168 prevalence of the overall positive attitude towards the uptake of the COVID-19 vaccine,
 169 including its four subscales, by the independent characteristics. Chi-squared and Fisher exact
 170 tests (where applicable) were performed to assess the individual association of selected
 171 background characteristics with the positive attitude towards COVID-19 vaccine uptake in
 172 each of the subscales. All factors significantly ($p < 0.25$) associated with a positive attitude
 173 towards COVID-19 vaccination at the bivariate level were thereafter included at the
 174 multivariate stage. The logistic regression was used to determine the influence of selected
 175 background characteristics on the positive attitude towards COVID-19 vaccine uptake. The
 176 adjusted odds ratios (aORs) including their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and/or p-values are

177 reported. Data management and analysis were conducted using Stata version 14.0 statistical
178 software at a 5% level of significance.

179 Ethical Approval


180 The University of Ibadan/University College Hospital Institutional Review Committee
181 approved the survey protocol with approval number UI/EC/21/0065. Participants gave
182 informed consent and were briefed of their freedom to withdraw from the interview at any
183 point, before data collection. Every tenet of the Helsinki declaration and other ethical
184 requirements were strictly complied with throughout the study. No identifying information was
185 collected from participants and study questionnaires were accessible to only investigators and
186 authorised research staff.

187

188 Results

189 Participants' characteristics

190 The participants' mean age was 54.3 (standard deviation [SD]: 16.3) years. Most
191 participants were aged 50-64 years (35.7%), women (58.2%) and Yoruba (91.3%). Only
192 about 8.0% of respondents earned less than the national minimum wage and 8.5% had no
193 formal education. Most participants reported single health conditions (88.7%) (Table 2).
194 Hypertension (n=116; 27.4%), diabetes mellitus (n=93; 22.0%) and heart
195 conditions/diseases (n=83; 19.6%) were the top three conditions being managed by the
196 patients (see Fig 1).



197

198 Fig 1 Percentages distribution of participants' chronic health conditions (multiple response)

199

200 As shown in Table 3, majority (84.9%) of the participants rated their COVID-19
201 knowledge high while several (59.3%) had a poor adherence to the COVID-19 prevention
202 protocol. Just over half (52%) had a high confidence in the government while a greater
203 percentage had high confidence in health care workers.

204 Table 4 shows that the proportion of positive attitude towards COVID-19 vaccine uptake
205 increased with increasing respondents' age and levels of confidence in government
206 handling the pandemic, in each of the subscales as well as the overall. Overall, less than
207 half of the respondents (46.6%) had a positive attitude towards the uptake of the COVID-
208 19 vaccine. Almost an equivalent proportion of participants had a positive attitude relating
209 to COVID-19 vaccination against worries about unforeseen future effects (46.6%) and
210 preferences for natural immunity (45.9%); 29.6 % against mistrust of vaccine benefit and
211 11.1% concerned about commercial profiteering.

212 Table 2. Socio-demographic and contextual characteristics of the participants

Characteristics	n	%
Age (years)		
<30	36	8.5
30 – 49	112	26.5
50 - 64	151	35.7
≥65	124	29.3
Sex		
Male	177	41.8
Female	246	58.2
Ethnicity		
Yoruba	386	91.3
Other	37	8.7
Religion		
Christianity	292	69
Islam	131	31
Marital status		
Not married	48	11.3
Married	375	88.7
Employment status		
Skilled	313	74
Unskilled	110	26
Highest education		
No formal	36	8.5
Primary	87	20.6
Secondary	130	30.7
Higher	170	40.2
Income (monthly)		
<₦30,000	34	8
₦30,000 – ₦49,999	72	17
₦50,000 – ₦99,999	92	21.8
≥₦100,000	55	13
Unknown	170	40.2
Asset own		
Single	307	72.6
Multiple	116	27.4
Media exposure		
Not exposed	32	7.6
Exposed	391	92.4
Cooking fuel		
Clean	17	4
Unclean	406	96
Drinking water source		
Improved	219	51.8
Unimproved	204	48.2
Toilet facility		
Improved	402	95
Unimproved	21	5
Waste disposal		
Hygienic	176	41.6
Unhygienic	247	58.4
No of rooms		
1 - 2	109	25.8
3 - 4	213	50.4
> 4	101	23.9
No of persons		
1 - 2	96	22.7
3 - 4	142	33.6
4 - 6	156	36.9
> 6	29	6.9
Health condition		
Single	375	88.7
Multiple	48	11.3

213 n – number of subjects per group

214 Table 3. COVID-19 related characteristics of the participants

Characteristics	n	%
COVID-19 knowledge		
Poor	64	15.1
Good	359	84.9
Adherence level		
Poor	251	59.3
Better	172	40.7
Full child vaccination		
Incomplete	84	19.9
Complete	339	80.1
Conf. in govt		
Low	143	33.8
undecided	60	14.2
High	220	52
Conf. in health prof		
Low	42	9.9
undecided	44	10.4
High	337	79.7

215 n – number of subjects per group

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237 Table 4. Distribution of participants and proportion of positive attitude towards COVID-
238 19 vaccine according to selected characteristics by attitude subscales

Characteristics	Attitude subscale									
	All		Mistrust		Worries		Concern		preference	
	% +ve	p [^]	% +ve	p [^]	% +ve	p [^]	% +ve	p [^]	% +ve	p [^]
Age (years)		0.315		0.197		0.146		0.675		0.037
<30	36.1		13.9		38.9		5.6		63.9	
30 – 49	42.9		31.3		45.5		11.6		37.5	
50 - 64	47.7		30.5		42.4		12.6		45	
≥65	51.6		31.5		54.8		10.5		49.2	
Sex		0.498		0.310		0.777		0.464		0.106
Male	44.6		32.2		45.8		12.4		41.2	
Female	48		27.6		47.2		10.2		49.2	
Ethnicity		0.032		0.268		0.441		0.784		0.305
Yoruba	48.2		30.3		47.2		11.4		46.6	
Other	29.7		21.6		40.5		8.1		37.8	
Religion		0.092		0.147		0.400		0.882		0.820
Christianity	43.8		27.4		45.2		11		46.2	
Islam	52.7		34.4		49.6		11.5		45	
Marital status		0.181		0.784		0.677		0.225		0.220
Not married	37.5		31.3		43.8		6.3		54.2	
Married	47.7		29.3		46.9		11.7		44.8	
Employment status		0.785		0.543		0.538		0.938		0.730
Skilled	47		30.4		45.7		11.2		45.4	
Unskilled	45.5		27.3		49.1		10.9		47.3	
Highest education		0.006		0.382		0.003		0.939		0.031
No formal	72.2		19.4		75		13.9		69.4	
Primary	48.3		29.9		41.4		10.3		44.8	
Secondary	46.9		33.9		47.7		11.5		43.1	
Higher	40		28.2		42.4		10.6		43.5	
Income (monthly)		0.015		0.155		0.276		0.052		0.000
<₦30,000	61.8		14.7		58.8		8.8		79.4	
₦30,000 – ₦49,999	59.7		38.9		52.8		16.7		40.3	
₦50,000 – ₦99,999	44.6		29.4		43.5		7.6		48.9	
≥₦100,000	34.6		29.1		38.2		20		10.9	
Unknown	42.9		28.8		45.9		8.2		51.2	
Asset own		0.049		0.010		0.823		0.034		0.000
Single	49.5		26.1		46.9		9.1		54.4	
Multiple	38.8		38.8		45.7		16.4		23.3	
Media exposure		0.011		0.854		0.285		0.795		0.536
Not exposed	25		28.1		37.5		12.5		40.6	
Exposed	48.3		29.7		47.3		11		46.3	
Cooking fuel		0.301		0.579		0.649		0.237		0.037
Clean	58.8		23.5		41.2		0.0		70.6	
Unclean	46.1		29.8		46.8		11.6		44.8	
Drinking water source		0.011		0.223		0.329		0.099		0.000
Improved	52.5		26.9		48.9		8.7		54.8	
Unimproved	40.2		32.4		44.1		13.7		36.3	
Toilet facility		0.148		0.279		0.319		0.493		0.050
Improved	45.8		30.1		46		11.4		44.8	
Unimproved	61.9		19.1		57.1		4.8		66.7	
Waste disposal		0.000		0.031		0.326		0.087		0.000
Hygienic	36.4		35.2		43.8		14.2		27.8	
Unhygienic	53.9		25.5		48.6		8.9		58.7	
No of rooms		0.009		0.023		0.204		0.000		0.000
1 - 2	33.9		32.1		41.3		10.1		43.1	
3 - 4	51.6		23.9		46		6.1		58.7	
> 4	49.5		38.6		53.5		22.8		21.8	
No of persons		0.716		0.824		0.492		0.881		0.004
1 - 2	47.9		29.2		52.1		12.5		49	
3 - 4	45.1		32.4		47.2		12		38.7	
4 - 6	48.7		27.6		44.2		9.6		54.5	
> 6	37.9		27.6		37.9		10.3		24.1	
Health condition		0.677		0.542		0.181		0.515		0.997

Single	46.9		29.1		47.7		11.5		45.9
Multiple	43.8		33.3		37.5		8.3		45.8
COVID-19 knowledge		0.745		0.019		0.623		0.004	0.000
Poor	48.4		17.2		43.8		1.6		70.3
Good	46.2		31.8		47.1		12.8		41.5
Adherence level		0.000		0.076		0.226		0.002	0.000
Poor	53.8		26.3		49		7.2		59.8
Better	36.1		34.3		43		16.9		25.6
Full child vaccination		0.082		0.198		0.784		0.039	0.020
Incomplete	38.1		23.8		45.2		4.8		57.1
Complete	48.7		31.0		46.9		12.7		43.1
Conf. in govt		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000
Low	16.8		16.1		26.6		2.1		39.2
undecided	23.3		13.3		30		5		28.3
High	72.3		42.7		64.1		18.6		55
Conf. in health prof		0.000		0.009		0.001		0.270	0.398
Low	26.2		19.1		21.4		4.8		45.2
undecided	29.6		13.6		40.9		6.8		36.4
High	51.3		32.9		50.5		12.5		47.2
<i>Total</i>	<i>46.6</i>		<i>29.6</i>		<i>46.6</i>		<i>11.1</i>		<i>45.9</i>

239 n – number of subjects per group; +ve – positive attitude; ^ p-value at 5% chi-square or Fisher exact test of association

240

241 Factors influencing positive attitude towards COVID-19 vaccination among people

242 living with chronic health conditions

243 The adjusted associations of positive attitude towards COVID-19 uptake with significant
 244 characteristics ($p < 0.25$) at the bivariate level, including the attitude subscales, set out Model 1-
 245 4, are presented in Table 5. In the overall model, the likelihood of having a positive attitude
 246 towards COVID-19 vaccine uptake was higher among patients living in a house with more than
 247 two rooms (3-4 rooms - aOR=3.16, CI: 1.52,6.57; >4 rooms - aOR=4.29, CI: 1.87,9.87) and
 248 those who rated government high (aOR=15.78, CI:6.52,38.16) at handling the pandemic. The
 249 positive attitude was lowered among patients who had primary education (aOR=0.28; CI:
 250 0.10,0.84) and those who had a high level of adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures
 251 (aOR=0.50; CI: 0.29,0.86). In all the models, patients who rated the government’s handling of
 252 pandemic high were more likely to have a positive attitude towards COVID-10 vaccination.
 253 Additionally, patients who owned multiple assets were more prone to having a positive attitude
 254 against mistrust of vaccine benefit (see, model 1). While patients who had formal education
 255 were less likely, those who abode in a house with many rooms were more likely to exhibit a
 256 positive attitude towards COVID-19 vaccination against worries about unforeseen future

257 effects (see, model 2). Living in a house with many rooms significantly influenced a positive
 258 attitude against concerns about commercial profiteering (see, model 3). Patients who practised
 259 unhygienic waste disposal (aOR=2.17; CI:1.25,3.77) had higher odds of a positive attitude
 260 towards COVID-19 vaccine uptake against preferences for natural immunity. It was reduced
 261 among patients who earned more than #30,000 and among those who had better adherence to
 262 COVID-19 preventive measures (aOR=0.44; CI: 0.26,0.73) (see, model 4, Table 5).

263 **Table 5.** Adjusted odds ratios positive attitude towards COVID 19 vaccine uptake

Background characteristics	Overall attitude OR (95% CI)	Attitude subscales: aOR (95% CI)			
		Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Age (years)					
<30		1	1		1
30 – 49		2.98(0.91,9.72)	1.28(0.55,2.97)		0.45(0.13,1.61)
50 - 64		2.40(0.75,7.69)	0.83(0.36,1.92)		0.65(0.18,2.38)
≥65		2.34(0.70,7.77)	1.53(0.62,3.72)		0.72(0.19,2.75)
Sex					
Male					1
Female					0.99(0.58,1.67)
Ethnicity					
Yoruba	1.45(0.50,4.16)				
Other	1				
Religion					
Christianity	0.92(0.50,1.66)	0.83(0.48,1.43)			
Islam	1	1			
Marital status					
Not married	1			1	1
Married	0.86(0.33,2.23)			0.79(0.21,2.98)	1.43(0.48,4.30)
Highest education					
No formal	1		1		1
Primary	0.28(0.10,0.84)*		0.19(0.08,0.47)*		0.53(0.18,1.54)
Secondary	0.43(0.16,1.13)		0.34(0.14,0.84)*		0.61(0.21,1.77)
Higher	0.58(0.19,1.77)		0.30(0.12,0.74)*		1.57(0.49,4.99)
Income (monthly)					
<#30,000	1	1		1	1
#30,000 – #49,999	1.76(0.51,6.05)	3.23(0.94,11.09)		1.69(0.23,5.03)	0.29(0.09,0.89)*
#50,000 – #99,999	0.53(0.14,1.98)	1.68(0.49,5.76)		0.33(0.06,1.41)	0.29(0.09,0.94)*
≥#100,000	0.57(0.12,2.70)	1.10(0.28,4.36)		0.91 (0.14,4.81)	0.06(0.01,0.29*)
Unknown	0.86(0.27,2.72)	2.10(0.63,6.98)		0.52(0.10,2.16)	0.43(0.14,1.27)
Asset own					
Single	1	1		1	1
Multiple	1.04(0.54,2.00)	1.87(1.06,3.30)*		1.43(0.60,3.36)	0.58(0.30,1.10)
Media exposure					
Not exposed	1				
Exposed	1.31(0.39,4.34)				
Cooking fuel					
Clean					1.11(0.27,4.52)
Unclean					1
Drinking water source					
Improved	1	1		1	1
Unimproved	0.74(0.42,1.29)	1.23(0.73,2.09)		1.43(0.63,2.84)	0.84(0.49,1.43)
Toilet facility					

Improved	1				1
Unimproved	2(0.46,8.71)				1.08(0.23,5.01)
Waste disposal					
Hygienic	1	1		1	1
Unhygienic	1.42(0.80,2.52)	0.63(0.36,1.12)		0.82(0.38,1.80)	2.17(1.25,3.77)*
No of rooms					
1 - 2	1	1	1	1	1
3 - 4	3.16(1.52,6.57)*	0.75(0.40,1.41)	1.52(0.87,2.60)	0.64(0.24,1.69)	1.79(0.89,3.61)
> 4	4.29(1.87,9.87)*	1.55(0.77,3.14)	2.38(1.29,4.40)*	2.96(1.10,8.68)*	0.55(0.24,1.29)
No of persons					
1 - 2					1
3 - 4					0.65(0.32,1.32)
4 - 6					1.35(0.63,2.91)
> 6					0.73(0.22,2.41)
Health condition					
Single			1		
Multiple			0.59(0.29,1.17)		
COVID-19 knowledge					
Poor		1		1	1
Good		1.18(0.51,2.70)		3.85(0.34,35.85)	0.49(0.23,1.06)
Adherence level					
Less	1	1	1	1	1
Much	0.50(0.29,0.86)*	1.1(0.65,1.86)	0.84(0.54,1.31)	1.90(0.89,3.96)	0.44(0.26,0.73)*
Full child vaccination					
Incomplete	1	1			1
Complete	1.01(0.43,2.33)	0.8(0.35,1.79)			0.63(0.29,1.39)
Conf. in govt					
Low	0.80(0.33,1.95)	1.32(0.48,3.59)	1.21(0.57,2.60)	0.41(0.07,2.47)	1.29(0.55,3.04)
undecided	1	1	1	1	1
High	15.78(6.52,38.16)*	6.18(2.44,15.66)*	5.84(2.77,12.32)*	7.09(1.82,30.24)*	3.6(1.58,8.19)*
Conf. in health prof					
Low	0.92(0.27,3.11)	1.79(0.44,7.28)	0.35(0.12,1.06)		
undecided	1	1	1		
High	0.73(0.30,1.78)	1.53(0.51,4.58)	0.73(0.35,1.52)		
-LL	200.0	218.8	247.4	111.5	209.1
N	423	423	423	423	423

264 *Significant at 5%; + LL - log-likelihood; N - number of observations

265

266 Discussion

267 We determined COVID-19 vaccination attitude and the predictors among people living with
 268 chronic medical conditions in Ibadan, Nigeria. Less than half of the participants (46.6%) had a
 269 positive attitude towards vaccine uptake. Interestingly, the percentage reported in this study
 270 which focused solely on people with chronic conditions is very close to that reported among
 271 health workers and healthy Nigerians, [21,22]. It was however higher than the percentage
 272 reported among staff and students of a tertiary institution in south-east Nigeria, [23]. Further,
 273 the proportion reported in this study is similar to that reported among other African countries

274 like Egypt [24] and Ghana [25]; however, it was higher than the percentage reported among
275 Arabs [26]. In contrast, studies have shown that participants in high income countries had a
276 higher percentage of positive attitude towards the vaccine uptake [10,27]. This could be
277 associated with a greater trust in the vaccine because of the local production of vaccines in
278 those countries. Another plausible reason of low percentage of positive attitudes towards the
279 vaccine among the studied participants could be linked to the fear of side effects.

280 The most influential factor to having a positive attitude towards the COVID vaccine among the
281 study participants was trust in the government's ability to handle the pandemic. Those who
282 trusted the government were sixteen times more likely to take the vaccine. This element of trust
283 in the government was much stronger than the influence of confidence in health professionals.
284 Generally, many people think, justifiably so, that the government has a lot to do in helping to
285 manage the pandemic. Not surprising, in many other countries including Nigeria, the
286 government was responsible for imposing curfews, ensuring COVID testing, establishing
287 isolation centres and procuring vaccines for the people. The influence of the government had
288 been documented before the COVID-19 pandemic as a significant predictor of flu vaccination
289 [28]. It has also been reported to impact the COVID-19 vaccination attitude among Ireland and
290 UK citizens [29]. This finding corroborates recent study conducted among US, Australian and
291 UK citizens, [30]

292 Trust in the government and its influence on vaccination attitude does appear to be common
293 phenomenon among people irrespective of their race. This was the case in a large
294 intercontinental study involving twenty-six adults, [24]. Likewise, another study [31] reported
295 the influence of mistrust of the government on vaccine attitude. There is currently no health
296 condition that has generated so much media attention, controversy/strongly polarised opinion
297 and political involvement as issues surrounding the COVID -19 pandemic. Hence, the citizens
298 look up to authorities such as the government to resolve doubts and provide guidelines for the

299 people. This view was supported by Soares et al, [32] in a study among the general Portuguese
300 population. Park and colleagues [33] also reported the negative influence of mistrust in
301 government on vaccination attitude among South Korean adults.

302 Socioeconomic status based on having more than two rooms in the house was associated with
303 an overall positive attitude towards the vaccine. Similarly, those who owned multiple assets
304 had a positive attitude and were more likely to trust vaccine benefits. These results are in
305 consonance with the findings of other studies [2,10,34]. Socioeconomic status was however
306 not associated with COVID-19 vaccination attitude among older adults in the UK, [35] . On
307 the other hand, people of lower socioeconomic status as shown by having fewer assets, lower-
308 income and unhygienic waste disposal, which is common among those who live in urban slums,
309 preferred the vaccine to their natural immunity. It is possible that this group of people could
310 not afford a good diet and felt that their immune system was not strong enough to withstand
311 COVID infection.

312 Those who adhered more to the COVID preventive guidelines had a less positive attitude
313 towards the COVID vaccine. They also preferred natural immunity to the vaccine. This could
314 be associated with their perceived belief that they would not contract the infection by
315 meticulously following preventive measures. Perception of COVID 19 risk has been reported
316 to be associated with willingness to take the vaccine among health workers in Nigeria, [21].
317 Our results are in contrast with that of Paul and colleagues [10] who reported a high level of
318 trust in the COVID vaccine among those with high adherence to COVID 19 measures.

319 The study further showed that participants who did not complete their children's immunization
320 showed a preponderance for natural immunity. This, however, poses a question of how far a
321 person's natural immunity can protect against the COVID virus. This is an aspect that requires
322 exploration to get to the root of vaccine hesitancy. Recently, The British Society for

323 Immunology in collaboration with the UK Coronavirus Immunology Consortium (UK-CIC)
324 has stated that vaccination against COVID-19 is likely to lead to a more effective and longer-
325 lasting immunity than that prompted by natural infection with the virus. The vaccine is also
326 said to be five times more protective against the virus compared to natural immunity following
327 the infection, [36]

328 Participants who rated their COVID-19 knowledge high were not likely to be concerned about
329 commercial profiteering through the vaccine. This is very likely because many might have
330 sought information about the infection including the vaccine and were convinced of the
331 genuineness of the manufacturers. Hong and colleagues [37] reported the influence of COVID
332 vaccine knowledge on vaccine acceptance.

333 Overall, some characteristics did not influence the vaccination attitude of participants in this
334 study. These include gender, religion, marital status, employment status (whether skilled or
335 unskilled). In contrast, other studies [34,38] found gender differences in vaccination attitude
336 among French and Israelis, respectively. However, their study took place among the general
337 population. Religious influence was seen as a strong predictor of vaccine hesitancy in a study
338 among US adults which opined that Christian-evangelicals and black protestant were less likely
339 to be vaccinated, [39]. Also, Jews and Arabs in Israel differed in their vaccination attitude in a
340 study that took place in Israel, [38]. In this study, however, participants in the three main
341 religions in Nigeria, i.e., Christianity, Islam and Traditional religions did not differ in their
342 vaccination attitude. Again, since this study took place among people who were largely from
343 the same Yoruba ethnic group, ethnicity rather than religion had a greater influence on vaccine
344 attitude. In addition, as opposed to the present study, married people were more likely to receive
345 the vaccine compared to those not married, in a study among Ugandans, [40]. Also, unlike
346 some Indian populations where skilled and unskilled workers differed in their vaccine attitude
347 towards commercial profiteering, [41], the reverse was the case in this study

348 Conclusion

349 Just about half of people with chronic conditions in Ibadan Southwest Nigeria had a positive
350 attitude towards the COVID vaccine. Their attitude was largely influenced by confidence in
351 the government's ability to handle the pandemic. Other mediating factors towards positive
352 vaccine attitude were high socioeconomic status, being highly knowledgeable about COVID-
353 19, having fully immunized children (previous vaccination attitude), poor adherence to
354 COVID- 19 preventive measures and having had no formal education.

355 Recommendations/implication

356 The percentage of those with a positive attitude needs to be upped to achieve herd immunity.
357 Since people with chronic conditions are more susceptible to morbidity and mortality from
358 COVID-19 infection, a lot of attention needs to be directed at educating them on the importance
359 of the vaccine. Different means, as well as different groups of people, must be involved in this
360 awareness/education programme. First, the government should use communication media as
361 well as hold physical meetings with religious and ethnic rulers to create awareness among the
362 people. This could also increase confidence in the government.

363 Secondly, health care professionals need to take a more active part in both health and political
364 issues surrounding the COVID 19 vaccines so that they do not become redundant. Thirdly,
365 effective education aimed at improving attitude towards COVID vaccine must take cognizance
366 of the various factors that mediate vaccination attitude. Lastly, an association of lay vaccine
367 promoters can be formed – comprising people of different socioeconomic and cultural
368 backgrounds. This group may help promote vaccine awareness at the grassroots level including
369 homes, schools, marketplaces, bus terminals, among others.

370 Suggestions for further study

371 A qualitative study on the reason for poor vaccine attitude or vaccine hesitancy.

372 Acknowledgments

373 Authors are grateful to the Nursing and medical staff of the medical outpatient clinic of the
374 University College Hospital, Ibadan for their assistance in participant recruitment.

375 References

- 376 1. Kwok KO, Li KK, WEI WI, Tang A, Wong SYS, Lee SS. Influenza vaccine uptake,
377 COVID-19 vaccination intention and vaccine hesitancy among nurses: A survey.
378 International Journal of Nursing Studies. 2021;114.
- 379 2. Thunström L, Newbold SC, Finnoff D, Ashworth M, Shogren JF. The Benefits and
380 Costs of Using Social Distancing to Flatten the Curve for COVID-19. Journal of Benefit-
381 Cost Analysis. 2020;11(2):179–95.
- 382 3. Hussein NR, Naqid IA, Saleem ZSM, Almizori LA, Musa DH, Ibrahim N. A sharp
383 increase in the number of COVID-19 cases and case fatality rates after lifting the
384 lockdown in Kurdistan region of Iraq. Annals of Medicine and Surgery [Internet].
385 2020;57(June):140–2. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2020.07.030>
- 386 4. Ohia C, Bakarey AS, Ahmad T. COVID-19 and Nigeria: putting the realities in context.
387 International Journal of Infectious Diseases [Internet]. 2020; 95:279–81. Available
388 from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.04.062>
- 389 5. Latkin CA, Dayton L, Yi G, Konstantopoulos A, Boodram B. Trust in a COVID-19
390 vaccine in the U.S.: A social-ecological perspective. Social Science and Medicine.
391 2021;270(January).
- 392 6. Ritchie H, Mathieu E, Rodés-Guirao L, Appel C, Giattino C, Ortiz-Ospina E, et al.
393 Coronavirus (COVID-19) Vaccinations [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Feb 15]. Available
394 from: <https://ourworldindata.org/covid-vaccinations#citation>
- 395 7. de Figueiredo A, Simas C, Karafillakis E, Paterson P, Larson HJ, Dariya B, et al.
396 Mapping global trends in vaccine confidence and investigating barriers to vaccine
397 uptake: a large-scale retrospective temporal modelling study. The Lancet [Internet].
398 2020;396(10255):898–908. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31558-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31558-0)
- 400 8. Dubé E, Gagnon D, Ouakki M, Bettinger JA, Guay M, Halperin S, et al. Understanding
401 vaccine hesitancy in Canada: Results of a consultation study by the Canadian
402 Immunization Research Network. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(6):1–16.
- 403 9. Fisher KA, Bloomstone SJ, Walder J, Crawford S, Fouayzi H, Mazor KM. Attitudes
404 Toward a Potential SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine: A Survey of U.S. Adults. Vol. 173, Annals
405 of internal medicine. 2020. p. 964–73.

- 406 10. Paul E, Steptoe A, Fancourt D. Attitudes towards vaccines and intention to vaccinate
407 against COVID-19: Implications for public health communications. *The Lancet*
408 *Regional Health - Europe*. 2021; 1:100012.
- 409 11. Reiter PL, Pennell ML, Katz ML. Acceptability of a COVID-19 vaccine among adults
410 in the United States: How many people would get vaccinated? Vol. 38, *Vaccine*. 2020.
411 p. 6500–7.
- 412 12. Su Z, Wen J, Abbas J, McDonnell D, Cheshmehzangi A, Li X, et al. A race for a better
413 understanding of COVID-19 vaccine non-adopters. *Brain, Behavior, & Immunity -*
414 *Health*. 2020;9(September):100159.
- 415 13. OMS OM de la S. WHO SAGE values framework for the allocation and prioritization
416 of COVID-19 vaccination. *WhoCom* [Internet]. 2020;(September):1–13. Available
417 from: [https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/334299/WHO-2019-nCoV-](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/334299/WHO-2019-nCoV-SAGE_Framework-Allocation_and_prioritization-2020.1-eng.pdf)
418 [SAGE_Framework-Allocation_and_prioritization-2020.1-eng.pdf](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/334299/WHO-2019-nCoV-SAGE_Framework-Allocation_and_prioritization-2020.1-eng.pdf)
- 419 14. Ko JY, Danielson ML, Town M, Derado G, Greenlund KJ, Kirley PD, et al. Risk Factors
420 for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)–Associated Hospitalization: COVID-19–
421 Associated Hospitalization Surveillance Network and Behavioral Risk Factor
422 Surveillance System. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2020;2019(Xx Xxxx):1–9.
- 423 15. Caballero AE, Ceriello A, Misra A, Aschner P, McDonnell ME, Hassanein M, et al.
424 COVID-19 in people living with diabetes: An international consensus. Vol. 34, *Journal*
425 *of Diabetes and its Complications*. 2020.
- 426 16. Anjorin AA. More Preparedness on Coronavirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19) in Nigeria.
427 *Pan African Journal of Life Sciences*. 2020;4(1):13–6.
- 428 17. Taye GM, Bose L, Beressa TB, Tefera GM, Mosisa B, Dinsa H, et al. COVID-19
429 knowledge, attitudes, and prevention practices among people with hypertension and
430 diabetes mellitus attending public health facilities in Ambo, Ethiopia. *Infection and*
431 *Drug Resistance*. 2020; 13:4203–14.
- 432 18. Sataloff RT, Johns MM, Kost KM. *DHS Comparative Reports*. 2004.
- 433 19. Martin LR, Petrie KJ. Understanding the Dimensions of Anti-Vaccination Attitudes:
434 The Vaccination Attitudes Examination (VAX) Scale. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*.
435 2017;51(5):652–60.
- 436 20. Riccò M, Vezzosi L, Gualerzi G, Bragazzi NL, Balzarini F. Pertussis immunization in
437 healthcare workers working in pediatric settings: Knowledge, attitudes and practices
438 (KAP) of occupational physicians. Preliminary results from a web-based survey (2017).
439 Vol. 61, *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Hygiene*. Pacini Editore S.p.A.; 2020. p.
440 E66–75.
- 441 21. Adejumo OA, Ogundele OA, Madubuko CR, Oluwafemi RO, Okoye OC, Okonkwo
442 KC, et al. Perceptions of the COVID-19 vaccine and willingness to receive vaccination
443 among health workers in Nigeria. *Osong Public Health and Research Perspectives*.
444 2021;12(4):236–43.

- 445 22. Josiah B, Kantaris M. Perception of Covid-19 and Acceptance of Vaccination in Delta
446 State Nigeria [Internet]. Vol. 21, The Nigerian Health Journal. 2021 [cited 2021 Dec
447 20]. p. 60–86. Available from: <http://www.tnhjph.com/index.php/tnhj/article/view/510>
- 448 23. Uzochukwu IC, Eleje GU, Nwankwo CH, Chukwuma GO, Uzuke CA, Uzochukwu CE,
449 et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among staff and students in a Nigerian tertiary
450 educational institution. *Therapeutic Advances in Infectious Disease*. 2021;8.
- 451 24. Mannan KA, Farhana KM. Knowledge, Attitude and Acceptance of a COVID-19
452 Vaccine: A Global Cross-Sectional Study. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. 2021;6(4).
- 453 25. Acheampong T, Akorsikumah EA, Osaekwapon J, Khalid M, Appiah A, Amuasi JH.
454 Examining Vaccine Hesitancy in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Survey of the Knowledge and
455 Attitudes among Adults to Receive COVID-19 Vaccines in Ghana. *Vaccines* [Internet].
456 2021 Jul 22 [cited 2021 Dec 8];9(8):814. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-393X/9/8/814>
457
- 458 26. Sallam M. COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy Worldwide: A Concise Systematic Review of
459 Vaccine Acceptance Rates. *Vaccines* [Internet]. 2021;9(2):160. Available from:
460 <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-393X/9/2/160>
- 461 27. Pogue K, Jensen JL, Stancil CK, Ferguson DG, Hughes SJ, Mello EJ, et al. Influences
462 on attitudes regarding potential covid-19 vaccination in the United States. *Vaccines*.
463 2020;8(4):1–14.
- 464 28. Jamison A and VSFreimuthJAM, QSC, & FVS (2019). “You don’t trust a government
465 vaccine”: N of institutional trust and influenza vaccination among AA and white adults.,
466 221, 87-94., Quinn S, Freimuth V. Narratives of institutional trust and influenza
467 vaccination among African American and white adults. *Social Science & Medicine*.
468 2019; 221:87–94.
- 469 29. Murphy H, Wadham C, Hassler-Hurst J. Randomized trial of a diabetes self-
470 management education and family teamwork intervention in adolescents with Type 1
471 diabetes. *Diabetic* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2016 Jun 2]; Available from:
472 <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2012.03683.x/full>
- 473 30. Trent M, Seale H, Chughtai AA, Salmon D, MacIntyre CR. Trust in government,
474 intention to vaccinate and COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy: A comparative survey of five
475 large cities in the United States, United Kingdom, and Australia. *Vaccine*. 2021.
- 476 31. Schernhammer E, Weitzer J, Laubichler MD, Birmann BM, Bertau M, Zenk L, et al.
477 Correlates of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in Austria: trust and the government. *Journal*
478 *of Public Health*. 2021;1–11.
- 479 32. Soares P, Rocha JV, Moniz M, Gama A, Laires PA, Pedro AR, et al. Factors associated
480 with COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy. *Vaccines*. 2021;9(3):1–14.
- 481 33. Park HK, Ham JH, Jang DH, Lee JY, Jang WM. Political ideologies, government trust,
482 and covid-19 vaccine hesitancy in south korea: A cross-sectional survey. *International*
483 *Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021;18(20).

- 484 34. Ward JK, Alleaume C, Peretti-Watel P, Seror V, Cortaredona S, Launay O, et al. The
485 French public's attitudes to a future COVID-19 vaccine: The politicization of a public
486 health issue. *Social Science and Medicine*. 2020; 265:2016–21.
- 487 35. Williams L, Gallant AJ, Rasmussen S, Brown Nicholls LA, Cogan N, Deakin K, et al.
488 Towards intervention development to increase the uptake of COVID-19 vaccination
489 among those at high risk: Outlining evidence-based and theoretically informed future
490 intervention content. *British Journal of Health Psychology*. 2020;25(4):1039–54.
- 491 36. Crist C. COVID Vax 5 Times More Protective Than Natural Immunity [Internet]. [cited
492 2021 Nov 30]. Available from: [https://www.webmd.com/vaccines/covid-19-](https://www.webmd.com/vaccines/covid-19-vaccine/news/20211031/covid-vax-5-times-more-protective-than-natural-immunity)
493 [vaccine/news/20211031/covid-vax-5-times-more-protective-than-natural-immunity](https://www.webmd.com/vaccines/covid-19-vaccine/news/20211031/covid-vax-5-times-more-protective-than-natural-immunity)
- 494 37. Hong J, Xu X wan, Yang J, Zheng J, Dai S mei, Zhou J, et al. Knowledge about, attitude
495 and acceptance towards, and predictors of intention to receive the COVID-19 vaccine
496 among cancer patients in Eastern China: A cross-sectional survey. *Journal of Integrative*
497 *Medicine* [Internet]. 2021;(xxxx). Available from:
498 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joim.2021.10.004>
- 499 38. Green MS, Abdullah R, Vered S, Nitzan D. A study of ethnic, gender and educational
500 differences in attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccines in Israel – implications for
501 vaccination implementation policies. *Israel Journal of Health Policy Research*.
502 2021;10(1):1–12.
- 503 39. Whitehead AL, Perry SL. How Culture Wars Delay Herd Immunity: Christian
504 Nationalism and Anti-vaccine Attitudes. *Socius*. 2020;6.
- 505 40. Echoru I, Ajambo PD, Keirania E, Bukenya EEM. Sociodemographic factors associated
506 with acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine and clinical trials in Uganda: a cross-sectional
507 study in western Uganda. *BMC Public Health*. 2021;21(1):1–8.
- 508 41. Godasi GR, Donthu RK, Mohammed AS, Pasam RS, Tiruveedhula SL. Attitude towards
509 COVID-19 vaccine among the general public in south India: A cross sectional study.
510 *Archives of Mental Health*. 2021 Jan 1;22(1):28–35.
- 511