Transmission Dynamics of COVID-19

Viral Cultures for Assessing Fomites Transmission of SARS-CoV-2: a Systematic Review Protocol
(version 1: 26/01/2022)

Igho J. Onakpoya1, Carl J. Heneghan1, Elizabeth A. Spencer1, Jon Brassey2, Elena C. Rosca3, Susanna Maltoni4, Annette Plüddemann1, David H. Evans5, John M. Conly6, Tom Jefferson1.

1University of Oxford, Centre for Evidence Based Medicine, UK
2Trip Database Ltd, Newport, UK
3Victor Babes University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timisoara, Romania
4Research and Innovation Unit, IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna, Bologna, Italy.
5Li Ka Shing Institute of Virology and Dept. of Medical Microbiology & Immunology, University of Alberta, Canada
6Departments of Medicine, Microbiology, Immunology & Infectious Diseases, and Pathology & Laboratory Medicine, Synder Institute for Chronic Diseases and O’Brien Institute for Public Health, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary and Alberta Health Services, Calgary, Canada

Co-corresponding authors: Igho J. Onakpoya and Carl J. Heneghan

Keywords
COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; Transmission; Fomite; Systematic review.

ABSTRACT

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
This is a protocol for a systematic review to assess fomite transmission in SARS-CoV-2. Our research questions are as follows:

1. Are fomite samples infectious?

2. If so, what proportion are infectious, and what is the distance and duration of infectiousness in the air?

3. What is the relationship between fomites, infectiousness and PCR cycle threshold (Ct)?

4. Is there evidence of a chain of transmission that establishes an actual instance of fomite transmission of SARS-CoV-2?

We will include studies of any design (and in any setting) that investigate fomite transmission (defined as any inanimate object that, when contaminated with or exposed to infectious agents, can transfer the agent to a new host). We will only include studies that performed viral culture which assessed cytopathic effect and verification techniques to ensure the cultured virus is SARS-CoV-2. We will assess the risk of bias using a checklist modified from the QUADAS-2 criteria.

INTRODUCTION

The SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) pandemic continues to be a major public health concern. Based on WHO statistics, there have been over 265 million confirmed cases and over five million deaths globally as of 8th December 2021 [1]. Although several vaccines have been developed and programmes have been implemented globally, mutations in the virus have resulted in the occurrence of variants that reduce their effectiveness [2]. In addition, the duration of protection appears to be limited [3] and booster doses are being promoted [4].

Aside from the use of vaccines, a better understanding of the transmission dynamics of the virus will help with developing interventions that can interrupt the chain of transmission and reduce the spread of infection. We previously found that the evidence from published studies assessing the risk of transmission of SARS-CoV-2 via fomites was limited [5].

Furthermore, the quality of the included primary studies was low to very low, probably due to limited understanding of the dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 shedding at the onset of the pandemic, the types of studies done, the timing of collection, and sampling issues. In addition, less than a fifth of included studies at that time examined the cytopathic effect of SARS-CoV-2 and relied only on detecting RNA from SARS-CoV-2. Since we published that review, several studies examining the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 via fomites have been published. Therefore, it is necessary to update the evidence on fomite transmission using the highest quality evidence from such published studies.
To assess the transmission potential of fomites of SARS-CoV-2, we aim to address the following questions:

1. Are fomite samples infectious?
2. If so, what proportion are infectious, and what is the distance and duration of infectiousness in the air?
3. What is the relationship between fomites, infectiousness and PCR cycle threshold (Ct)?
4. Is there evidence of a chain of transmission that establishes an actual instance of fomite transmission of SARS-CoV-2?

**METHODS**

We aim to identify, appraise, and summarise the evidence relating to the role of fomite transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and its relationship with infectiousness (viral culture and/or serial qRT-PCRs with or without gene sequencing) and the factors influencing transmissibility.

**Search Strategy**

We will conduct searches in the WHO Covid-19 Database, LitCovid, medRxiv, and Google Scholar for SARS-CoV-2 using keywords and associated synonyms. The searches for this update will be conducted up to 31st December 2021. No language restrictions will be imposed. An information specialist (JB) will conduct the searches, and for relevant papers, will undertake forward citation to identify relevant studies (see Appendix A). Two reviewers (IJO, EAS) will independently screen study abstracts to determine eligibility. Any disagreements will be resolved through discussion. Where a consensus cannot be reached, a third reviewer (TJ) will arbitrate.

**Data Extraction**

We will include studies of any design (and in any setting) that investigate fomite transmission (defined as any inanimate object that, when contaminated with or exposed to infectious agents, can transfer the agent to a new host).

We will only include studies that performed viral culture which assessed cytopathic effect and verification techniques to ensure the cultured virus is SARS-CoV-2. Studies that performed serial qRT-PCR (with or without genomic sequencing) in addition to viral culture will also be included. Predictive or modelling studies will be excluded. Results will be reviewed for relevance and for articles that look relevant, forward citation matching will be undertaken to ensure relevant studies are identified.

We have previously defined viral culture as encompassing several methods that can uniquely identify the replicating agent as SARS-CoV-2. [6] Most commonly, this would be a plaque assay combined with a PCR diagnosis or immunological staining or gene sequencing of viral
RNA. Viral genome sequencing is a process that helps to determine the order, or sequence, of the nucleotides in each of the genes present in a virus’s genome [see https://www.cdc.gov/flu/about/professionals/genetic-characterization.htm].

To assess the chain of transmission (question 4), we will only include studies with (a) documentation of the likelihood of transmission; (b) presence of infectious virus from viral culture (defined as encompassing any of several methods whereby one can detect exponential virus growth in cell culture in combination with a method that can uniquely identify the replicating agent as being SARS-CoV-2) and/or documentation of phylogenetics (i.e., genetic sequence lineage); and/or (c) adequate follow-up and reporting of symptoms and signs [6,7] See supplementary material, on figshare. Dataset Available at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.18334898

Appendix A: Search Strategy
Appendix B: Transmission Assessment Explainer
Appendix C: Standardised transmission of SARS-CoV-2 case causality assessment

We will extract the following information from included studies: study characteristics, setting, population (if any), main methods, and associated outcomes including the number of swab samples taken, the frequency and timing of samples, methods used for sample collection, hygiene practices, cycle thresholds (Cts), use of internal controls for Cts, determination of which platform was used and which genes were targeted, and sample concentrations using one or more techniques where reported. We will also extract the information on viral cultures including the methods (timing, media), verification techniques, quantification, and the final reported results. One reviewer (IJO) will extract data from the included studies, and these will be independently verified by a second reviewer (EAS). Any disagreements will be resolved through discussion.

Quality assessment
We will assess the risk of bias modified from the QUADAS-2 criteria and previous published methods [6,8].

We will assess the following domains:
(i) Source population – was the population recruited into the study clearly described?
(ii) Methods – did the study authors sufficiently describe the methods used to enable replication of the study
(iii) Sample sources – were sources for the fomites samples clear?
(iv) Outcome reporting – was the analysis of the results appropriate, and
(v) Follow-up – was the pattern and number of fomites samples sufficient to demonstrate fomite transmission.

Where necessary, one reviewer will contact the corresponding authors of the included papers for additional information. We will also include the authors’ responses to requests for additional information in our assessment of bias. One reviewer (IJO) will categorise the potential for bias as high, moderate, or low which was independently checked by a second
reviewer (EAS). Reasons for the bias assessment for each study will also be recorded. Disagreements will be resolved through discussion with the help of a third reviewer (CJH).

Data Analysis
We will follow PRISMA reporting guidelines as indicated for systematic or scoping reviews where applicable [9]. We will present the frequency of positive tests, and summary tables to present cycle thresholds, the results of cytopathic effects, information on viral cultures including the methods (timing, media), verification techniques, and quantification when reported. Where possible, we will report subgroup analyses by study setting, type of fomite, frequency of contacts with the fomite surface, and methods used for performing viral culture and timing for assessing cytopathic effect.

Ethics committee approval.
No ethics approval is necessary.

Data Availability
All data included in the review and the Appendices, tables and text will be made available at Figshare: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.18334898 files available to download.
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