Systematic review of social determinants of childhood immunisation in low- and middle-income countries and equity impact analysis of childhood vaccination coverage in Nigeria

Sarah V Williams[1,2*], Tanimola Akande[2], Kaja Abbas[1]

[1] Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London, WC1E 7HT United Kingdom

[2] Royal Free London, NHS Foundation Trust, NHS Foundation Trust, Pond Street, London, NW3 2QG, United Kingdom

[3] Department of Epidemiology & Community Health, University of Ilorin, Nigeria

*Corresponding author: sarah.williams122@nhs.net

Abstract

Background: Nigeria has a high proportion of underimmunised children in the world. We estimated the inequities in childhood immunisation coverage associated with socioeconomic, geographic, maternal, child, and healthcare characteristics among children aged 12-23 months in Nigeria using a social determinants of health perspective.

Methods: We conducted a systematic review focused on inequities in childhood immunisation in low- and middle-income countries to identify the social determinants of childhood immunisation. Using these determinants, we analysed basic vaccination coverage in Nigeria (1-dose BCG, 3-dose DTP-HepB-Hib (diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae type B), 3-dose polio, and 1-dose measles) of 6,059 children aged 12-23 months using the 2018 Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey.

Results: We identified social determinants of immunisation – household wealth, religion, and ethnicity (socioeconomic characteristics); region and place of residence (geographic characteristics); maternal age at birth, maternal education, and maternal household head status (maternal characteristics); sex of child, and birth order (child characteristics); antenatal care and birth setting (healthcare characteristics). While basic vaccination coverage was 31% (95% CI: 29–33), 19% (18–21) of children aged 12-23 months had received none of the basic vaccines. After controlling for background characteristics, there was a significant increase in the odds of basic vaccination by household wealth, living in an urban area, antenatal care, delivery in a health facility, maternal age and maternal education. Children of Fulani ethnicity in comparison to children of Igbo ethnicity had lower odds of receiving basic vaccinations.

Discussion: Children of Fulani ethnicity, living in rural areas, born in home settings, with young mothers living in the poorest households with no formal education and no antenatal care visits were associated with lower rates of basic vaccination in Nigeria. We recommend a proportionate

universalism approach with targeted vaccination programmes proportionate to the level of disadvantage for addressing the immunisation barriers.

Keywords: Childhood immunisation, vaccine equity, social determinants of immunisation, demographic and health survey, Nigeria

Highlights

- Social determinants of childhood immunisation were identified via a systematic review
- Vaccine equity in Nigeria were analysed from a social determinants of health perspective
- Basic vaccination coverage was 31% among children aged 12-23 months
- 19% of children had received none of the basic vaccines given in the first year of life
- Vaccination coverage among even the most advantaged groups was below target levels

Introduction

Nigeria is the most populous country in Africa with around 202 million people in 2020 and expected to double the population by 2050 [1]. It is a multi-ethnic country with 36 autonomous states and the Federal Capital Territory. Around 83 million people (40% of total population) live below the poverty line while an additional 53 million people (25% of total population) are vulnerable to fall below the poverty line [2]. Economic growth has been slow with challenges including ongoing conflict in parts of the country, poor power supply, inadequate infrastructure, and inconsistent regulatory environment.

Vaccination is a highly cost-effective public health intervention and beyond the direct benefits to improving population health, vaccines also provide additional economic and social benefits to individuals and society [3,4]. Infectious diseases remain a leading cause of death among under-5-year-old children, and an additional 1.5 million deaths could be avoided every year with improvements in global vaccination coverage [5,6]. Model-based estimates project 51 million deaths to be prevented by vaccination during 2021-2030 [7]. There have been substantial improvements in vaccine introductions and vaccination coverage in low- and middle-income countries since the inception of Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance in 2000 [8]. However, the prevalence of zero-dose children, that is children aged 12-23 months who had not received any of the routine childhood vaccines, was 7.7% in low- and middle-income countries [9]. The importance of improving vaccination coverage was recognised in the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), with immunisation contributing to 14 of the 17 SDGs and includes reduction on poverty, hunger, and inequalities [10]. Vaccination coverage and equity are a strategic goal of the global Immunisation Agenda 2030 with the aim to reach equitable coverage at national and district levels by addressing immunisation barriers posed by location, age, socioeconomic status, and gender [11].

The Expanded Programme on Immunisation (EPI) was established by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 1974 with a focus to improve vaccination services globally [12], and Nigeria began a

nationwide implementation of EPI in 1979 [13] which was later changed to National Programme on Immunization. Although the vaccines in the routine immunisation programme (Table A1) for under 5-year-old children are available with no out-of-pocket charges [14], Nigeria has the most under-immunised children in the world of 4.5 million in 2018 [15]. The immunisation system challenges in Nigeria include weak institutions, inadequate service delivery, funding, inadequate infrastructure, poor coordination between National Programme on Immunization and non-governmental organisations delivering vaccination services, and inadequate political commitment in some regions [14,16]. There were fewer adequately skilled healthcare personnel in rural areas and northern states, and poor retention and frequent transfers of healthcare personnel. There were also issues around security with attacks on healthcare workers in recent years. The attitudes of communities and caregivers are also important and lack of knowledge on vaccination and mistrust of services are also thought to be partly responsible [16,17].

The social determinants of health model considers the social, cultural, political, economic, commercial and environmental factors that shape the conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work and age, and these factors are determined by wealth, power and resources. This model framework has been explicitly linked to health equity by the WHO Commission on Social Determinants of Health [18]. In this study, we use the social determinants of health model framework encompassing the individual, parental, household, environment, and national policy levels influencing inequities in basic vaccination coverage among children in Nigeria (see Figure 1). Our aim is to analyse the 2018 Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey and estimate the inequities in basic vaccination coverage (1-dose BCG, 3-dose DTP-HepB-Hib, 3-dose polio, and 1-dose measles vaccines) associated with socioeconomic, geographic, maternal, child, and healthcare characteristics among children aged 12-23 months in Nigeria.

Methods

Characteristics selection and systematic review

The WHO had analysed inequality in immunisation using the following characteristics determined from a literature review: child (gender, birth order), maternal (age at birth, education, ethnicity or caste), household (sex of household head, household economic status), geographic (rural or urban place of residence, subnational region) [19]. Using the WHO inequality work as a starting point, we conducted a systematic review to select the pertinent Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) variables for our equity impact analysis. We conducted a systematic review to analyse qualitative and quantitative peer-reviewed literature of studies focused on inequities in childhood immunisation in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) to identify the social determinants of childhood immunisation. We conducted our search using MEDLINE and additional studies through hand-searches of reference lists for articles written in the English language, published between 01/01/2010 to 04/10/2021, for which the full text was available, and contained the following terms in English or American spellings: (Vaccination coverage or Immunisation coverage) AND (Determinant, characteristic, predictor) AND (Equity, equality, disparity, inequality, inequity). We identified 158 publications, screened the title and abstract, assessed full articles for eligibility, and included 49 publications in our systematic review (see Table 1). In addition to the characteristics analysed by the WHO inequality report on immunisation [19], we identified additional variables of antenatal care and birth setting with supporting evidence of association with vaccination coverage.

Demographic and health survey

We analysed the 2018 Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) which was conducted between August to December 2018 [20]. The DHS are nationally representative household surveys focusing on population, health, and nutrition in LMICs [21]. The DHS sample is a two-stage stratified cluster sample and sampling weights are applied to ensure that results are representative. There are four

125 questionnaires: household questionnaire, woman's questionnaire, man's questionnaire, and
126 biomarker questionnaire. The woman's questionnaire was asked to women aged 15-49 years and
127 provides the data for our study. All women aged 15-49 years in the sampled households were
128 included and the survey was conducted in 1,389 clusters of which 11 clusters were dropped
129 following deteriorating security in those areas during data collection. The state of Borno dropped
130 one cluster, which included 11 of the 27 Local Government Areas in the state [20]. The study
131 population for our analysis was women aged 15-49 years with a child aged 12 to 23 months old. Of
132 the 41,821 women interviewed, 33,924 women had a child aged 59 months or younger and
133 immunisation data was collected for 6,059 living children aged between 12-23 months. We applied
134 sampling weights to the survey dataset to adjust for disproportionate sampling and non-response,
135 thereby ensuring that the sample was representative of the population.

136 **Vaccination coverage**

137 The information of whether a child has received a vaccination is gathered from the child's
138 vaccination card. If that is not available or if a vaccine has not been recorded, then the mother is
139 asked which vaccines have been given to her child [20]. Our primary outcome and dependent
140 variable of interest was basic vaccination coverage, that is the proportion of children receiving 1-
141 dose BCG, 3-dose DTP-HepB-Hib, 3-dose polio, and 1-dose measles vaccines.

142 **Equity impact analysis**

143 We conducted simple logistic regression to estimate crude odds ratios and assess basic vaccination
144 coverage disaggregated by socioeconomic, geographic, maternal, child, and healthcare
145 characteristics. We checked for collinearity between variables and avoided multicollinearity in the
146 development of a parsimonious model [22]. However, no variables required removal from the
147 model. We followed up with multivariable logistic regression to estimate adjusted odds ratios for
148 socioeconomic, geographic, maternal, child, and healthcare characteristics associated with basic

vaccination coverage. We analysed equity impact further by estimating the Erreygers concentration indices for maternal education, antenatal care, and household wealth to assess if basic vaccination coverage had progressive, regressive, or equal distribution based on each of these characteristics.

Reproducibility of analysis

We conducted the survey analysis using the Stata statistical software [23], and visualisations were generated using the R statistical software [24]. The analysis code is publicly accessible on GitHub at https://github.com/svwilliams122/vaccine-equity-Nigeria and the 2018 Nigeria DHS data set is accessible upon registration on the DHS website at https://dhsprogram.com/methodology/survey/survey-display-528.cfm.

Results

Through the systematic review for characteristics selection (see Table 1), we streamlined the social determinants of childhood immunisation to household wealth, religion, and ethnicity for socioeconomic characteristics; region and place of residence for geographic characteristics; maternal age at birth, maternal education, and maternal household head status for maternal characteristics; sex of child and birth order for child characteristics; and antenatal care and birth setting for healthcare characteristics.

Vaccination coverage

Among the 6,059 children aged 12-23 months in the 2018 Nigeria DHS following the application of sample weights, 2,100 (35%) of them lived in urban areas. The coverage for the individual vaccines of BCG, DTP-HepB-Hib, polio, and measles was higher in urban areas compared to rural areas (Figure 2 and Table A2). At the national level, the mean basic vaccination coverage was 31% (95% CI: 29-33) with coverage of single vaccinations ranging from 48% (46-50) for the third dose of the polio vaccine to 73% (71-75) for the first dose of the polio vaccine.

Vaccination cards were available for 49% (46-51) of children, among which 57% (55-60) had received all basic vaccinations. Among the 51% (49-54) of children without vaccination cards, only 6.4% (5.3-7.7) of them had received all basic vaccinations. Almost one fifth of children (19% (18-21)) had not received any of the basic vaccinations and in rural areas almost a quarter had received none. For polio vaccinations, 26% (24-28) of children had received none of the three doses and for DTP vaccinations, 35% (33-37) of children had received none. There was a higher proportion of zero-dose children in rural areas compared to urban areas.

Figure 3 shows the basic vaccination coverage among children aged 12-23 months in Nigeria disaggregated by socioeconomic (household wealth, religion, ethnicity), geographic (region, place of residence), maternal (maternal age at birth, maternal education, maternal household head status),

child (sex of child, birth order), and healthcare (antenatal care, birth setting) characteristics. For socioeconomic characteristics, children living in wealthier households had relatively higher basic vaccination coverage ranging from 17% (15-20) to 49% (45-53) from the poorest to the richest wealth quintiles. For religion, basic vaccination coverage was higher among children of the Catholic faith at 49% (43-54) while most children (58%) were of Islam faith with relatively lower coverage of 23% (21-25). Regarding ethnicity, basic vaccination coverage ranged from 12% (9-16) to 56% (51-61) among children of Fulani and Igbo ethnicities respectively. For geographic characteristics, 65% of children lived in rural areas but basic vaccination coverage was higher for children in urban areas at 44% (41-47) in comparison to 23% (21-25) in rural areas. At the regional level, basic vaccination coverage among children ranged from 20% (17-23) in the North West region to 57% (51-62) in the South East region (Figure 4). For maternal characteristics, children of mothers aged 35-49 years had higher basic vaccination coverage at 34% (31-39) in comparison to 16% (12-21) for children of younger mothers aged 15-19 years. Basic vaccination coverage increased with higher levels of maternal education among children of mothers with no education, primary education, and secondary education or higher at 15% (13-17), 33% (28-38), and 47% (45-50) respectively. Children living in female-headed households had relatively higher basic vaccination coverage of 39% (35-44) in comparison to 30% (28-32) in male-headed households. For child characteristics, basic vaccination coverage was similar among female and male children at 31% (29-33) and 31% (29-34) respectively, while coverage decreased by birth order with 36% (32-40) and 23% (20-26) amongst first-born and sixth-born respectively. For healthcare characteristics, children of women who had a higher number of antenatal care visits during their pregnancy had higher basic vaccination coverage at 41% (39-44) for four or more visits and 11% (8.7-13) for no or unknown number of visits. More than half of all women gave birth at home, and basic vaccination coverage among these children was relatively lower at 20% (18-22) in comparison 47% (44-49) among children born in a public or private clinical facility.

Equity impact

Figure 5 shows the concentration curve for household wealth-related inequity in basic vaccination coverage, while Table 2 presents the inequities in vaccination card usage rates and vaccination coverage among children aged 12-23 months disaggregated by maternal education, antenatal care, and household wealth in Nigeria, based on Erreygers concentration indices (ECI). The concentration index value shows how much of a health measure is concentrated in an advantaged or disadvantaged group, with values ranging from +1 to -1, with a value of zero meaning there is no inequity [25] and positive values indicating that vaccination card usage rates and coverage is concentrated in the more advantaged groups. With respect to maternal education, antenatal care, and household wealth, each of these characteristics had a regressive pro-advantage distribution, with higher vaccination card usage rates and coverage among children from wealthier households, higher maternal education and more antenatal care.

Table 3 and Figure 6 presents the inequities in basic vaccination coverage in Nigeria among children aged 12-23 months associated with socioeconomic (household wealth, religion, ethnicity), geographic (region, place of residence), maternal (maternal age at birth, maternal education, maternal household head status), child (sex of child, birth order), and healthcare (antenatal care, birth setting) characteristics. After controlling for other background characteristics through multiple logistic regression, the adjusted odds ratios were significant for the associations between basic vaccination coverage and household wealth, religion, ethnicity, place of residence, maternal age at birth, maternal education, antenatal care, and birth setting.

Children living in households of richest wealth quintiles were more than twice likely (AOR: 2.50 (1.85, 3.38), $p < 0.001$) to have received basic vaccination in comparison to children of poorest wealth quintiles. Children whose religion was classified as ‘other’ were 75% less likely (AOR: 0.25 (0.11, 0.59), $p < 0.002$) than children of Catholic faith to have received basic vaccinations. In comparison to children of Igbo ethnicity, children of Fulani ethnicity were 50% less likely (AOR: 0.50 (0.27, 0.95), $p = 0.034$) to have received basic vaccinations. Children born in rural areas were 41%

less likely (AOR: 0.59 (0.48, 0.73), $p < 0.001$) to have received basic vaccinations in comparison to children born in urban areas. Children of mothers aged 35-49 years were more than twice likely (AOR: 2.31 (1.50, 3.57), $p < 0.001$) to have received basic vaccination in comparison to children of younger mothers aged 15-19 years. Basic vaccination coverage among children of mothers with secondary or higher education were 72% more likely (AOR: 1.72 (1.33, 2.21), $p < 0.001$) in comparison to children of mothers with no formal education. Children of mothers who had four or more antenatal care visits were nearly thrice more likely (AOR: 2.76 (2.13, 3.59), $p < 0.001$) than children of mothers who had no antenatal care visits to have received basic vaccination. Children born in clinical facilities were 31% more likely (AOR 1.31 (1.08, 1.60), $p = 0.007$) than children born in home settings to have received basic vaccinations.

Discussion

We conducted a systematic review to identify the social determinants of childhood immunisation in low- and middle-income countries. We selected household wealth, religion, and ethnicity for socioeconomic characteristics; region and place of residence for geographic characteristics; maternal age at birth, maternal education, and maternal household head status for maternal characteristics; sex of child and birth order for child characteristics; and antenatal care and birth setting for healthcare characteristics.

Based on the characteristics selection from the systematic review, we applied a social determinants of childhood immunisation framework to assess basic vaccination coverage (1-dose BCG, 3-dose DTP-HepB-Hib, 3-dose polio, and 1-dose measles) among children aged 12-23 months in Nigeria using the 2018 Nigeria DHS survey dataset. We found that inequities in basic vaccination were associated with lower coverage among children living in poorer households, living in rural areas, belonging to Fulani ethnicity, of mothers of younger age at birth, of mothers with no formal education, of mothers who had no antenatal care visits, and born in home settings. Maternal education, antenatal care, and household wealth had a regressive pro-advantage distribution, with higher vaccination card usage rates and basic vaccination coverage among children from wealthier households, higher maternal education and more antenatal care. Also, basic vaccination was associated with lower coverage among children belonging to religion classified as 'other' than Islam, Catholic, other Christian, and traditional faiths.

Families in the richest quintile were more likely to live in urban areas with better access to functional private and public health facilities that provide immunisation services [20,26]. Mothers in urban areas were more likely to use preventive healthcare services including childhood immunisation, due to their proximity to healthcare facilities in urban settings and higher educational status in comparison to mothers in rural areas with higher travel costs [27–29]. Mothers of higher age were experienced with more interactions with antenatal clinics and greater awareness of immunisation

280 services from earlier born children [19]. Educated mothers had better awareness and knowledge on
281 childhood immunisation and were more able to overcome cultural barriers to vaccination [30].
282 Further, they were more likely to have financial access to immunisation services and lived in urban
283 settings with improved access to immunisation services. Delivery in a health facility indicates that
284 mothers had overcome barriers to access health services, and mothers who gave birth in health
285 facilities would also receive information on childhood immunisation from healthcare workers.
286 Thereby, utilisation of health services by mothers led to improved immunisation status of their
287 children, and children of women who had more antenatal care visits were more likely to be fully
288 vaccinated [31].

289 Children of Fulani ethnicity were less likely to be vaccinated compared to Igbo children, since
290 awareness of immunisation was low amongst Fulani mothers [32,33]. Further, Fulani ethnic groups
291 resided in mostly rural settings and were nomadic, and were often at a disadvantage for receiving
292 health services including immunisation services.

293 At the regional level, basic vaccination among children ranged from the lowest coverage in the North
294 West region to nearly three times higher coverage in the South East region, although residents in
295 Northern Nigeria were more likely to have immunisation services within 5 km [34]. The large degree
296 of autonomy of different states and the impact of ongoing conflict in parts of the country in addition
297 to socio-cultural reasons can explain in part the geographical disparity in immunisation services [14].
298 However, regional differences in coverage were not significant in the multivariable logistic
299 regression model.

300 The intersectionality of inequities in basic vaccination coverage among children aged 12-23 months
301 in Nigeria were much higher than the inequities within subgroups of each characteristic. Children of
302 Igbo ethnicity living in the richest quintile households in urban areas, with mothers aged 35-49 years
303 and secondary education or higher, who had four or more antenatal care visits and gave birth in a
304 clinical facility were 121 times more likely to have received basic vaccination in comparison to

children of Fulani ethnicity living in the poorest quintile households in rural areas, with mothers aged 15-19 years and no formal education who had no antenatal care visits and gave birth at home.

Despite vaccination being provided at no cost to individuals, coverage was still below target levels for even the most advantaged groups and thereby we recommend a proportionate universalism approach with universal actions proportionate to the level of disadvantage [35,36]. There is some evidence of payment being required for vaccination, even in public health facilities, and this may threaten vaccination uptake [28]. Both the oversight of immunisation services and public awareness of vaccinations' no cost status should be strengthened.

The higher coverage with higher antenatal care indicates greater engagement with health services and thereby providing more opportunities for vaccine education. Hence, we recommend efforts to engage with families during health care visits outside of routine immunisation services and take advantage of these missed opportunities of vaccination to reach under-immunised children [37]. The integration of immunisation services to nutrition programmes and paediatric outpatient departments of primary healthcare centres has been shown to improve coverage and decrease drop-out rates in South Sudan [38].

Our estimates of vaccination coverage in Nigeria for 2018 were lower than national estimates based on health service providers though closer to WHO and UNICEF Estimates of National Immunization Coverage (Appendix A3) [39]. The coverage gaps have been shown to be systematically underestimated by administrative reporting due to unreliable and inaccurate administrative target population data in Nigeria [40]. The basic vaccination coverage was below the national target of 95% coverage or higher in 80% of the states and below the Gavi target of 90% DTP3 coverage [41]. The below target coverage for first doses indicates challenges with access to immunisation services while the decrease in coverage for subsequent doses indicates drop-out due to insufficient knowledge on dose completion [9,42,43]. The COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted vaccination globally and coverage was lower than expected in Nigeria in 2020 [44], thereby highlighting the urgent need for catch-up

vaccination to close the immunity gaps and prevent vaccine-preventable disease outbreaks [45]. Our study inferences complement the findings in related studies in Nigeria and other countries (see Table 1).

The proportion of zero-dose children was high and raises the risk of vaccine-preventable disease outbreaks with nearly 25% of children in rural areas not receiving a single dose of any of the basic vaccinations. Zero-dose children were also likely to lack access to health and welfare services and to suffer from multiple sources of deprivation. More than 50% of children do not have vaccination cards and nearly 60% of children do not have birth registrations [46]; thereby, improving uptake of vaccination cards and birth registrations would help in part to address the barriers for vaccine access for all children including zero-dose children.

Our study has limitations, and we cannot estimate causal-effect relationships nor temporal inferences due to the cross-sectional study design of 2018 Nigeria DHS. Our study has similar biases that are associated with DHS surveys, including recall bias, measurement bias, and social desirability bias which tend to overestimate vaccination coverage.

As future work, we recommend qualitative research to understand the barriers and enablers of childhood vaccination and their associations with socioeconomic, geographic, maternal, child, and healthcare characteristics which would be valuable to adapt vaccination programmes to improve coverage equitably in Nigeria. The role of conflict on these disparities is also important to understand. A literature review of conflict and vaccination inferred that conflict-affected countries had vaccination coverage below global levels and conflict affected vaccination services and human resources, including attacks on healthcare workers, which has happened in Nigeria [14,47].

In conclusion, we infer that inequities in basic vaccination were associated with lower coverage among children living in poorer households, in rural areas, belonging to Fulani ethnicity, of mothers of younger age at birth, of mothers with no formal education, of mothers who had no antenatal care

visits, and born in home settings in Nigeria. We recommend a proportionate universalism approach with targeted vaccination programmes proportionate to the level of disadvantage for addressing the immunisation barriers faced by these underserved subpopulations. Thereby, this will improve coverage and reduce inequities in childhood immunisation associated with socioeconomic, geographic, maternal, child, and healthcare characteristics in Nigeria.

Ethics approval

This study was approved by the ethics committee (Reference: 21600) of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. For DHS surveys in general, the procedures and questionnaires are approved by the ICF Institutional Review Board (IRB), and the country-specific DHS survey protocols are reviewed by the ICF IRB and an IRB in the host country.

Contributions

SVW and KA conceptualised the study. SVW undertook the analysis and wrote the initial draft. TA provided contextual expertise of the national immunisation programme in Nigeria to explain the findings. SVW, TA, and KA contributed to the analysis, interpretation of results, and the final drafting of the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank the DHS Program for access to the 2018 Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey dataset.

Funding

KA is supported by the Vaccine Impact Modelling Consortium (OPP1157270).

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Bibliography

1. UNDP. World Population Prospects - Population Division - United Nations. 2019 [cited 1 Nov 2019]. Available: https://population.un.org/wpp/

2. World Bank. Nigeria Overview: Development news, research, data | World Bank. 2020 [cited 21 Sep 2021]. Available: https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/nigeria/overview

3. Piot P, Larson HJ, O'Brien KL, N'kengasong J, Ng E, Sow S, et al. Immunization: vital progress, unfinished agenda. Nature. 2019;575: 119–129. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1656-7

4. Rodrigues CMC, Plotkin SA. Impact of vaccines; health, economic and social perspectives. Front Microbiol. 2020;11: 1526. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.01526

5. UNICEF. IGME: Levels and Trends in Child Mortality - UNICEF DATA. 2020 [cited 21 Sep 2021]. Available: https://data.unicef.org/resources/levels-and-trends-in-child-mortality/

6. WHO. Immunization. 2019 [cited 21 Sep 2021]. Available: https://www.who.int/news-room/facts-in-pictures/detail/immunization

7. Carter A, Msemburi W, Sim SY, A.M. Gaythorpe K, Lindstrand A, Hutubessy RCW. Modeling the Impact of Vaccination for the Immunization Agenda 2030: Deaths Averted Due to Vaccination Against 14 Pathogens in 194 Countries from 2021-2030. SSRN Journal. 2021. doi:10.2139/ssrn.3830781

8. Gavi. Facts and figures. 2021 [cited 21 Sep 2021]. Available: https://www.gavi.org/programmes-impact/our-impact/facts-and-figures

9. Cata-Preta BO, Santos TM, Mengistu T, Hogan DR, Barros AJD, Victora CG. Zero-dose children and the immunisation cascade: Understanding immunisation pathways in low and middle-income countries. Vaccine. 2021;39: 4564–4570. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.02.072

10. Decouttere C, De Boeck K, Vandaele N. Advancing sustainable development goals through immunization: a literature review. Global Health. 2021;17: 95. doi:10.1186/s12992-021-

407 00745-w

408 11. WHO. Immunization Agenda 2030: A Global Strategy to Leave No One Behind. 2020 [cited 11
409 Jan 2021]. Available: https://www.who.int/teams/immunization-vaccines-and-
410 biologicals/strategies/ia2030

411 12. Keja K, Chan C, Hayden G, Henderson RH. Expanded programme on immunization. World
412 Health Stat Q. 1988;41: 59–63.

413 13. Sorungbe AO. Expanded programme on immunization in Nigeria. Rev Infect Dis. 1989;11 Suppl
414 3: S509-11. doi:10.1093/clinids/11.supplement_3.s509

415 14. National Primary Health Care Development Agency. Comprehensive EPI Multi-Year Plan 2016 -
416 2020. Federal Ministry of Health, Nigeria; 2015.

417 15. Gavi. Successfully transitioning Nigeria from Gavi support. 2018 [cited 21 Sep 2021]. Available:
418 https://www.gavi.org/sites/default/files/board_meetings/2018/6_Jun/05%20-
419 %20Successfully%20transitioning%20Nigeria%20from%20Gavi%20support.pdf

420 16. WHO Africa. NERICC-Nigeria's panacea to routine immunization and primary health care
421 strengthening. | WHO | Regional Office for Africa. 2019 [cited 21 Sep 2021]. Available:
422 https://www.afro.who.int/news/nericc-nigerias-panacea-routine-immunization-and-primary-
423 health-care-strengthening

424 17. Oku A, Oyo-Ita A, Glenton C, Fretheim A, Eteng G, Ames H, et al. Factors affecting the
425 implementation of childhood vaccination communication strategies in Nigeria: a qualitative
426 study. BMC Public Health. 2017;17: 200. doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4020-6

427 18. Marmot M, Friel S, Bell R, Houweling TAJ, Taylor S, Commission on Social Determinants of
428 Health. Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social
429 determinants of health. Lancet. 2008;372: 1661–1669. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61690-6

430 19. WHO. WHO | Explorations of inequality: Childhood immunization. 2018 [cited 18 Sep 2019].

431 Available: https://www.who.int/gho/health_equity/report_2018_immunization/en/

432 20. NPC/Nigeria, ICF. Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2018. National Population
433 Commission Nigeria and ICF; 2019.

434 21. Croft TN, Marshall AMJ, Allen CK. Guide to DHS Statistics. Rockville, Maryland, USA: ICF; 2018.

435 22. Kirkwood BR, Sterne JAC. Essential Medical Statistics. 2nd ed. Wiley; 2003.

436 23. StataCorp. Stata: software for statistics and data science. College Station, Texas: StataCorp LP;
437 2019.

438 24. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R
439 Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2019.

440 25. WHO. Health Inequality Monitoring with a special focus on low- and middle-income countries.
441 World Health Organization; 2013.

442 26. Adebowale A, Obembe T, Bamgboye E. Relationship between household wealth and
443 childhood immunization in core-North Nigeria. Afr Health Sci. 2019;19: 1582–1593.
444 doi:10.4314/ahs.v19i1.33

445 27. Adenike O-B, Adejumoke J, Olufunmi O, Ridwan O. Maternal characteristics and immunization
446 status of children in North Central of Nigeria. Pan Afr Med J. 2017;26: 159.
447 doi:10.11604/pamj.2017.26.159.11530

448 28. Sibeudu FT, Uzochukwu BS, Onwujekwe OE. Rural-urban comparison of routine immunization
449 utilization and its determinants in communities in Anambra States, Nigeria. SAGE Open Med.
450 2019;7: 2050312118823893. doi:10.1177/2050312118823893

451 29. Okafor IP, Dolapo DC, Onigbogi MO, Iloabuchi IG. Rural-urban disparities in maternal
452 immunization knowledge and childhood health-seeking behavior in Nigeria: a mixed method
453 study. Afr Health Sci. 2014;14: 339–347. doi:10.4314/ahs.v14i2.8

454 30. Balogun SA, Yusuff HA, Yusuf KQ, Al-Shenqiti AM, Balogun MT, Tettey P. Maternal education

455 and child immunization: the mediating roles of maternal literacy and socioeconomic status.
456 Pan Afr Med J. 2017;26: 217. doi:10.11604/pamj.2017.26.217.11856

457 31. Adedokun ST, Uthman OA, Adekanmbi VT, Wiysonge CS. Incomplete childhood immunization
458 in Nigeria: a multilevel analysis of individual and contextual factors. BMC Public Health.
459 2017;17: 236. doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4137-7

460 32. Dao MY, Brieger WR. Immunization for the migrant fulani: identifying an under-served
461 population in southwestern Nigeria. Int Q Community Health Educ. 1994;15: 21–32.
462 doi:10.2190/VKHL-JXC5-WK91-8QGQ

463 33. Afolabi RF, Salawu MM, Gbadebo BM, Salawu AT, Fagbamigbe AF, Adebowale AS. Ethnicity as
464 a cultural factor influencing complete vaccination among children aged 12-23 months in
465 Nigeria. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2021;17: 2008–2017. doi:10.1080/21645515.2020.1870394

466 34. Eboreime E, Abimbola S, Bozzani F. Access to Routine Immunization: A Comparative Analysis
467 of Supply-Side Disparities between Northern and Southern Nigeria. PLoS ONE. 2015;10:
468 e0144876. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144876

469 35. Carey G, Crammond B, De Leeuw E. Towards health equity: a framework for the application of
470 proportionate universalism. Int J Equity Health. 2015;14: 81. doi:10.1186/s12939-015-0207-6

471 36. Marmot M, Bell R. Fair society, healthy lives. Public Health. 2012;126 Suppl 1: S4-10.
472 doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2012.05.014

473 37. Restrepo-Méndez MC, Barros AJD, Wong KLM, Johnson HL, Pariyo G, Wehrmeister FC, et al.
474 Missed opportunities in full immunization coverage: findings from low- and lower-middle-
475 income countries. Glob Health Action. 2016;9: 30963. doi:10.3402/gha.v9.30963

476 38. Idris IO, Obwoya JG, Tapkigen J, Lamidi SA, Ochagu VA, Abbas K. Impact evaluation of
477 immunisation service integration to nutrition programmes and paediatric outpatient
478 departments of primary healthcare centres in Rumbek East and Rumbek Centre counties of

479 South Sudan. Family Med Commun Hlth. 2021;9. doi:10.1136/fmch-2021-001034

480 39. WHO UNICEF. WUENIC coverage estimates - Vaccines monitoring system 2019 Global
481 Summary Reference Time Series: DTP3. 2019 [cited 19 Apr 2020]. Available:
482 https://apps.who.int/immunization_monitoring/globalsummary/timeseries/tswucoveragedtp
483 3.html

484 40. Gunnala R, Ogbuanu IU, Adegoke OJ, Scobie HM, Uba BV, Wannemuehler KA, et al. Routine
485 Vaccination Coverage in Northern Nigeria: Results from 40 District-Level Cluster Surveys,
486 2014-2015. PLoS ONE. 2016;11: e0167835. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167835

487 41. Gavi. 2019 Annual Progress Report. 2020 [cited 21 Sep 2021]. Available:
488 https://www.gavi.org/sites/default/files/programmes-impact/our-impact/apr/Gavi-Progress-
489 Report-2019_1.pdf

490 42. Adedire EB, Ajayi I, Fawole OI, Ajumobi O, Kasasa S, Wasswa P, et al. Immunisation coverage
491 and its determinants among children aged 12-23 months in Atakumosa-west district, Osun
492 State Nigeria: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2016;16: 905. doi:10.1186/s12889-
493 016-3531-x

494 43. Odusanya OO, Alufohai EF, Meurice FP, Ahonkhai VI. Determinants of vaccination coverage in
495 rural Nigeria. BMC Public Health. 2008;8: 381. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-8-381

496 44. Causey K, Fullman N, Sorensen RJD, Galles NC, Zheng P, Aravkin A, et al. Estimating global and
497 regional disruptions to routine childhood vaccine coverage during the COVID-19 pandemic in
498 2020: a modelling study. Lancet. 2021.

499 45. WHO. Leave No One Behind: Guidance for Planning and Implementing Catch-up Vaccination.
500 2020 [cited 1 Feb 2021]. Available: https://www.who.int/teams/immunization-vaccines-and-
501 biologicals/essential-programme-on-immunization/implementation/catch-up-vaccination/

502 46. United Nations Children's Fund,. Birth Registration for Every Child by 2030: Are we on track?

503 UNICEF; 2019.

504 47. Grundy J, Biggs B-A. The impact of conflict on immunisation coverage in 16 countries. Int J
505 Health Policy Manag. 2019;8: 211–221. doi:10.15171/ijhpm.2018.127

506 48. Shenton LM, Wagner AL, Carlson BF, Mubarak MY, Boulton ML. Vaccination status of children
507 aged 1-4 years in Afghanistan and associated factors, 2015. Vaccine. 2018;36: 5141–5149.
508 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.07.020

509 49. Oliveira MFS de, Martinez EZ, Rocha JSY. Factors associated with vaccination coverage in
510 children < 5 years in Angola. Rev Saude Publica. 2014;48: 906–915. doi:10.1590/S0034-
511 8910.2014048005284

512 50. Rahman A, Reza AAS, Bhuiyan BA, Alam N, Dasgupta SK, Mostari S, et al. Equity and
513 determinants of routine child immunisation programme among tribal and non-tribal
514 populations in rural Tangail subdistrict, Bangladesh: a cohort study. BMJ Open. 2018;8:
515 e022634. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022634

516 51. Vyas P, Kim D, Adams A. Understanding spatial and contextual factors influencing
517 intraregional differences in child vaccination coverage in Bangladesh. Asia Pac J Public Health.
518 2019;31: 51–60. doi:10.1177/1010539518813604

519 52. Nda'chi Deffo R, Fomba Kamga B. Do the dynamics of vaccine programs improve the full
520 immunization of children under the age of five in Cameroon? BMC Health Serv Res. 2020;20:
521 953. doi:10.1186/s12913-020-05745-x

522 53. Ashbaugh HR, Hoff NA, Doshi RH, Alfonso VH, Gadoth A, Mukadi P, et al. Predictors of measles
523 vaccination coverage among children 6-59 months of age in the Democratic Republic of the
524 Congo. Vaccine. 2018;36: 587–593. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.11.049

525 54. Lakew Y, Bekele A, Biadgilign S. Factors influencing full immunization coverage among 12-23
526 months of age children in Ethiopia: evidence from the national demographic and health

527 survey in 2011. BMC Public Health. 2015;15: 728. doi:10.1186/s12889-015-2078-6

528 55. Geweniger A, Abbas KM. Childhood vaccination coverage and equity impact in Ethiopia by
529 socioeconomic, geographic, maternal, and child characteristics. Vaccine. 2020;38: 3627–3638.
530 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.03.040

531 56. Gram L, Soremekun S, ten Asbroek A, Manu A, O'Leary M, Hill Z, et al. Socio-economic
532 determinants and inequities in coverage and timeliness of early childhood immunisation in
533 rural Ghana. Trop Med Int Health. 2014;19: 802–811. doi:10.1111/tmi.12324

534 57. Shrivastwa N, Gillespie BW, Kolenic GE, Lepkowski JM, Boulton ML. Predictors of vaccination
535 in India for children aged 12-36 months. Vaccine. 2015;33 Suppl 4: D99-105.
536 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.09.034

537 58. Devasenapathy N, Ghosh Jerath S, Sharma S, Allen E, Shankar AH, Zodpey S. Determinants of
538 childhood immunisation coverage in urban poor settlements of Delhi, India: a cross-sectional
539 study. BMJ Open. 2016;6: e013015. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013015

540 59. Khan J, Shil A, Prakash R. Exploring the spatial heterogeneity in different doses of vaccination
541 coverage in India. PLoS ONE. 2018;13: e0207209. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0207209

542 60. Francis MR, Nohynek H, Larson H, Balraj V, Mohan VR, Kang G, et al. Factors associated with
543 routine childhood vaccine uptake and reasons for non-vaccination in India: 1998-2008.
544 Vaccine. 2018;36: 6559–6566. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.08.026

545 61. Kannankeril Joseph VJ. Understanding inequalities in child immunization in India: a
546 decomposition approach. J Biosoc Sci. 2021; 1–13. doi:10.1017/S0021932021000110

547 62. Srivastava S, Kumar P, Chauhan S, Banerjee A. Household expenditure for immunization
548 among children in India: a two-part model approach. BMC Health Serv Res. 2021;21: 1001.
549 doi:10.1186/s12913-021-07011-0

550 63. Siramaneerat I, Agushybana F. Inequalities in immunization coverage in Indonesia: a

551 multilevel analysis. Rural Remote Health. 2021;21: 6348. doi:10.22605/RRH6348

552 64. Van Malderen C, Ogali I, Khasakhala A, Muchiri SN, Sparks C, Van Oyen H, et al. Decomposing
553 Kenyan socio-economic inequalities in skilled birth attendance and measles immunization. Int
554 J Equity Health. 2013;12: 3. doi:10.1186/1475-9276-12-3

555 65. Masters NB, Wagner AL, Carlson BF, Muuo SW, Mutua MK, Boulton ML. Childhood vaccination
556 in Kenya: socioeconomic determinants and disparities among the Somali ethnic community.
557 Int J Public Health. 2019;64: 313–322. doi:10.1007/s00038-018-1187-2

558 66. Allan S, Adetifa IMO, Abbas K. Inequities in childhood immunisation coverage associated with
559 socioeconomic, geographic, maternal, child, and place of birth characteristics in Kenya. BMC
560 Infect Dis. 2021;21: 553. doi:10.1186/s12879-021-06271-9

561 67. Mutua MK, Mohamed SF, Iddi S, Muyingo S, Mwangi B, Kadengye D, et al. Do inequalities exist
562 in the disadvantaged populations? Levels and trends of full and on-time vaccination coverage
563 in two Nairobi urban informal settlements. Global Epidemiology. 2020;2: 100044.
564 doi:10.1016/j.gloepi.2020.100044

565 68. Sychareun V, Rowlands L, Vilay P, Durham J, Morgan A. The determinants of vaccination in a
566 semi-rural area of Vientiane City, Lao People's Democratic Republic: a qualitative study.
567 Health Res Policy Syst. 2019;17: 2. doi:10.1186/s12961-018-0407-9

568 69. Abebe DS, Nielsen VO, Finnvold JE. Regional inequality and vaccine uptake: a multilevel
569 analysis of the 2007 Welfare Monitoring Survey in Malawi. BMC Public Health. 2012;12: 1075.
570 doi:10.1186/1471-2458-12-1075

571 70. Ntenda PAM, Chuang K-Y, Tiruneh FN, Chuang Y-C. Analysis of the effects of individual and
572 community level factors on childhood immunization in Malawi. Vaccine. 2017;35: 1907–1917.
573 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.02.036

574 71. Daca C, Sebastian MS, Arnaldo C, Schumann B. Socio-economic and demographic factors

575 associated with reproductive and child health preventive care in Mozambique: a cross-
576 sectional study. Int J Equity Health. 2020;19: 200. doi:10.1186/s12939-020-01303-3

577 72. Patel PN, Hada M, Carlson BF, Boulton ML. Immunization status of children in Nepal and
578 associated factors, 2016. Vaccine. 2021;39: 5831–5838. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.08.059

579 73. Antai D. Inequitable childhood immunization uptake in Nigeria: a multilevel analysis of
580 individual and contextual determinants. BMC Infect Dis. 2009;9: 181. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-
581 9-181

582 74. Antai D. Gender inequities, relationship power, and childhood immunization uptake in
583 Nigeria: a population-based cross-sectional study. Int J Infect Dis. 2012;16: e136-45.
584 doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2011.11.004

585 75. Ataguba JE, Ojo KO, Ichoku HE. Explaining socio-economic inequalities in immunization
586 coverage in Nigeria. Health Policy Plan. 2016;31: 1212–1224. doi:10.1093/heapol/czw053

587 76. Uzochukwu BS, Okeke CC, Envuladu E, Mbachu C, Okwuosa C, Onwujekwe OE. Inequity in
588 access to childhood immunization in Enugu urban, Southeast Nigeria. Niger J Clin Pract.
589 2017;20: 971–977. doi:10.4103/njcp.njcp_375_16

590 77. Uthman OA, Adedokun ST, Olukade T, Watson S, Adetokunboh O, Adeniran A, et al. Children
591 who have received no routine polio vaccines in Nigeria: Who are they and where do they live?
592 Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2017;13: 2111–2122. doi:10.1080/21645515.2017.1336590

593 78. Obanewa OA, Newell ML. The role of place of residency in childhood immunisation coverage
594 in Nigeria: analysis of data from three DHS rounds 2003-2013. BMC Public Health. 2020;20:
595 123. doi:10.1186/s12889-020-8170-6

596 79. Siddiqui NT, Owais A, Agha A, Karim MS, Zaidi AKM. Ethnic disparities in routine immunization
597 coverage: a reason for persistent poliovirus circulation in Karachi, Pakistan? Asia Pac J Public
598 Health. 2014;26: 67–76. doi:10.1177/1010539513475648

599 80. Canavan ME, Sipsma HL, Kassie GM, Bradley EH. Correlates of complete childhood vaccination
600 in East African countries. PLoS ONE. 2014;9: e95709. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095709

601 81. Wariri O, Edem B, Nkereuwem E, Nkereuwem OO, Umeh G, Clark E, et al. Tracking coverage,
602 dropout and multidimensional equity gaps in immunisation systems in West Africa, 2000-
603 2017. BMJ Glob Health. 2019;4: e001713. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2019-001713

604 82. Ndwandwe D, Uthman OA, Adamu AA, Sambala EZ, Wiyeh AB, Olukade T, et al. Decomposing
605 the gap in missed opportunities for vaccination between poor and non-poor in sub-Saharan
606 Africa: A Multicountry Analyses. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2018;14: 2358–2364.
607 doi:10.1080/21645515.2018.1467685

608 83. Sambala EZ, Uthman OA, Adamu AA, Ndwandwe D, Wiyeh AB, Olukade T, et al. Mind the Gap:
609 What explains the education-related inequality in missed opportunities for vaccination in sub-
610 Saharan Africa? Compositional and structural characteristics. Hum Vaccin Immunother.
611 2018;14: 2365–2372. doi:10.1080/21645515.2018.1460985

612 84. Ameyaw EK, Kareem YO, Ahinkorah BO, Seidu A-A, Yaya S. Decomposing the rural-urban gap
613 in factors associated with childhood immunisation in sub-Saharan Africa: evidence from
614 surveys in 23 countries. BMJ Glob Health. 2021;6. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2020-003773

615 85. Arsenault C, Harper S, Nandi A, Rodríguez JMM, Hansen PM, Johri M. An equity dashboard to
616 monitor vaccination coverage. Bull World Health Organ. 2017;95: 128–134.
617 doi:10.2471/BLT.16.178079

618 86. Arsenault C, Johri M, Nandi A, Mendoza Rodríguez JM, Hansen PM, Harper S. Country-level
619 predictors of vaccination coverage and inequalities in Gavi-supported countries. Vaccine.
620 2017;35: 2479–2488. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.03.029

621 87. Bosch-Capblanch X, Banerjee K, Burton A. Unvaccinated children in years of increasing
622 coverage: how many and who are they? Evidence from 96 low- and middle-income countries.
623 Trop Med Int Health. 2012;17: 697–710. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3156.2012.02989.x

624 88. Merten S, Martin Hilber A, Biaggi C, Secula F, Bosch-Capblanch X, Namgyal P, et al. Gender
625 Determinants of Vaccination Status in Children: Evidence from a Meta-Ethnographic
626 Systematic Review. PLoS ONE. 2015;10: e0135222. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135222

627 89. Hinman AR, McKinlay MA. Immunization Equity. Am J Prev Med. 2015;49: S399-405.
628 doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2015.04.018

629 90. Awoh AB, Plugge E. Immunisation coverage in rural-urban migrant children in low and middle-
630 income countries (LMICs): a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Epidemiol Community
631 Health. 2016;70: 305–311. doi:10.1136/jech-2015-205652

632 91. Hosseinpoor AR, Bergen N, Schlotheuber A, Gacic-Dobo M, Hansen PM, Senouci K, et al. State
633 of inequality in diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis immunisation coverage in low-income and
634 middle-income countries: a multicountry study of household health surveys. Lancet Glob
635 Health. 2016;4: e617-26. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(16)30141-3

636 92. Hajizadeh M. Socioeconomic inequalities in child vaccination in low/middle-income countries:
637 what accounts for the differences? J Epidemiol Community Health. 2018;72: 719–725.
638 doi:10.1136/jech-2017-210296

639 93. Hajizadeh M. Decomposing socioeconomic inequality in child vaccination in the Gambia, the
640 Kyrgyz Republic and Namibia. Vaccine. 2019;37: 6609–6616.
641 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.09.054

642

643 **Tables**

644 **Table 1. Systematic review of social determinants of childhood immunisation.** Systematic review of
645 studies focused on inequities in childhood immunisation in low- and middle-income countries.

Location	Key inferences	Source	
Afghanistan	Approximately 60% of children aged 1-4 years were under vaccinated or not vaccinated, with large disparities among provinces and higher coverage in urban areas. Increased odds of full immunisation were associated with giving birth in government institution, having a higher number of antenatal care visits, and visiting a health facility in the past 12 months. Maternal involvement in household decision making was also significantly associated with vaccination status.	Shenton et al., 2018	[48]
Angola	In Bom Jesus in Angola, 37% of under 5-year-old children had completed the vaccination schedule, but coverage was higher for under 1-year-old children. Coverage was higher in rural areas. The percentage of children completing the vaccination schedule varied according to child age, mother's education, family size, ownership of household appliances, and means of disposal of domestic waste.	Oliveira et al., 2014	[49]
Bangladesh	There was no significant disparity of vaccination coverage by ethnicity. There was significant variation of vaccination coverage by the child's gender (lower for females), household ownership of mobile phones and by household geographical location.	Rahman et al., 2018	[50]
Bangladesh	Maternal age, education and wealth have a significant effect on coverage of most vaccines. The number of microfinancing organisations in the community also increased the odds of children being vaccinated, whilst poor accessibility or being far from a health centre, specifically an Upazilla Health Complex, decreased the odds of a child being vaccinated. There are differences between regions, but even in regions with relatively higher coverage, some communities had poor coverage.	Vyas et al., 2019	[51]
Cameroon	Analysis of five rounds of DHS surveys from	Nda'chi Deffo et al., 2020	[52]

	1991 to 2001 showed that children in the latter rounds were more likely to be fully immunised. The likelihood of children being fully immunised was affected by having a vaccination card, birth order, maternal age and maternal education.		
Democratic Republic of the Congo	In urban areas, children of educated mothers had higher measles vaccination coverage in comparison to children of mothers with no formal education. In rural areas, children of wealthier households had higher measles vaccination coverage. Vaccination card usage rates were higher in urban areas than rural areas.	Ashbaugh et al., 2018	[53]
Ethiopia	Around 25% of children aged 12-23 months were fully vaccinated and coverage varied with urbanicity. Predictors of full coverage were sources of information from the vaccination card, received postnatal check-up within two months after birth, region, women's awareness of community conversation program, and wealth index.	Lakew et al., 2015	[54]
Ethiopia	Children from poorer households, rural regions of Afar and Somali, no maternal education, and female-headed households had lower full vaccination coverage.	Geweniger et al., 2020	[55]
Ghana	Vaccination coverage at the end of the first year of life was high except for polio vaccine given at birth. However, many vaccines were given late and there was substantial health inequity across socioeconomic indicators for timeliness of vaccination.	Gram et al., 2014	[56]
India	Slightly more than half of children aged 12-36 months were fully vaccinated in 2008. The analysis adjusted for state of residence, age, gender, household wealth, and maternal education, and other predictors of vaccination were religion, caste, place of delivery, number of antenatal care visits, and maternal tetanus vaccination. Children in urban areas had higher odds of being unvaccinated or under-vaccinated than those in rural areas.	Shrivastwa et al., 2015	[57]
India	Vaccine coverage in an urban poor area of south east Delhi was lower than estimates from other studies for overall regional urban coverage, indicating a discrepancy in coverage between the urban poor and urban non-poor. Coverage was determined by gender of child, religion, maternal literacy, household's socioeconomic position, being born in a	Devasenapathy et al., 2016	[58]

	facility and being born outside of Delhi and having a birth certificate.		
India	There were regional disparities in vaccination coverage with a north south divide. All doses of vaccination coverage were significantly associated with postnatal care, institutional births, neonatal tetanus protection of the last birth, women's education, and health insurance coverage	Khan et al., 2018	[59]
India	Approximately 50% of children aged 12-23 months were fully vaccinated in a pooled dataset of three time periods (1998–99, 2002–04 and 2007–08), and children recorded in the 2007-08 dataset were more likely to be vaccinated. Vaccination was inversely associated with female gender, Muslim religion, lower caste, urban residence, and maternal characteristics (lower educational attainment, non-institutional delivery, fewer antenatal care visits and non-receipt of maternal tetanus vaccination). The most common reason for non-vaccination was that mothers were unaware of the need.	Francis et al., 2018	[60]
India	Immunisation was highly associated with maternal education and household wealth. Inequality in immunisation was highest in lower socioeconomic groups among children of mothers with no formal education.	Kannankeril Joseph VJ et al., 2021	[61]
India	Full immunisation was associated with living in urban areas and richer household wealth, and was highest in Manipur and lowest in Nagaland.	Srivastava et al., 2021	[62]
Indonesia	Immunisation was significantly associated with birth order, maternal age, maternal education, paternal occupation, antenatal care, and living in certain regions. Being resident in communities with a higher proportion of public health centres were more likely to be fully immunised.	Siramaneerat et al., 2021	[63]
Kenya	Measles vaccination coverage differed according to household wealth, parents' education, skilled antenatal care visits, birth order and father's occupation. Rural residence reduced inequality in measles vaccination, which may reflect efforts to provide vaccination sites in remote and rural areas of the country.	Van Malderen et al., 2013	[64]
Kenya	Birth setting, ethnicity, and wealth index were significant predictors of vaccination coverage.	Masters et al., 2019	[65]

	Somalis had greater odds of being under or non-vaccinated than the Kikuyu ethnic group, and wealth and birth setting were associated with immunisation status for both Somalis and non-Somalis.		
Kenya	Children of mothers with no education, born in home settings, in regions with limited health infrastructure, living in poorer households, and of higher birth order are associated with lower rates of full immunisation.	Allan et al., 2021	[66]
Kenya	Immunisation coverage and timeliness of immunisation improved during 2003-2017. In two urban informal settlements, the hazard for being fully immunised varied by household wealth and ethnicity.	Mutua et al., 2020	[67]
Laos	Community demand for immunisation was lowered by health system barriers of multiple providers, inconsistent record keeping, and inadequate health information system. Lack of understanding of the value of vaccination and immunisation services was demonstrated at the individual and household levels.	Sychareun et al., 2019	[68]
Malawi	There were regional differences in vaccination coverage and regions with higher coverage had a high percentage of deliveries attended by a health professional. Coverage was higher for women who gave birth at a hospital or maternity clinic or had a midwife or nurse at birth. Coverage was significantly correlated with some socio-demographic characteristics: child's age, illiteracy, income, water, and sanitary conditions.	Abebe et al., 2012	[69]
Malawi	In children aged 12-23 months, individual factors had a stronger effect than community factors on vaccination coverage. Mother's education, frequency of antenatal care visits, use of immunisation cards, household wealth, and geographical region were the most significant factors associated with vaccination coverage.	Ntenda et al., 2017	[70]
Mozambique	Analysis of data from the 2015 Immunization, AIDS and Malaria Indicators Survey (IMASIDA) indicated that living in the Southern region was a protective factor for full immunisation whilst being in the poorest quintile increased the risk of a child not being fully immunised.	Daca et al., 2020	[71]
Nepal	A quarter of children were not fully immunised. Full immunisation was associated	Patel et al., 2021	[72]

	with having an immunisation card, delivery in a public or private institution, maternal education and maternal employment.		
Nigeria	Socio-economic characteristics explained the disparities in full vaccination and recommended community-level interventions focused on improving full vaccination coverage.	Antai, 2009	[73]
Nigeria	Women who were sole providers of household earnings were associated with higher likelihood of fully immunising their children, while women who lack decision-making autonomy were associated with lower likelihood of fully immunising their children.	Antai, 2012	[74]
Nigeria	Routine immunisation data shows lower coverage in states of northern Nigeria compared with states of southern Nigeria. When comparing determinants of supply side access in two northern and two southern states, regional supply-side disparities were not apparent. However, there was a general sub-optimal supply of services and residents in the northern states were more likely to live within 5km of an immunisation service. This supports socio-cultural explanations of disparities in immunisation coverage.	Eboreime et al., 2015	[34]
Nigeria	Fully immunised children are concentrated amongst the rich and partially immunised children are concentrated amongst the poor, no significant difference between socioeconomic status for unimmunised. Concentration of fully immunised children among the rich were determined by mother's literacy, living in the rural area, socioeconomic status and geopolitical location. Significant concentration of partially immunised children among the poor were determined by the same factors.	Ataguba et al., 2016	[75]
Nigeria	In a cross-sectional study in south-eastern Nigeria of under 5-year-old children, vaccination coverage was poor and below national targets. There were differences in coverage between wealth quartiles with the wealthiest having higher coverage than the poorest. Coverage was highest for children in the first year of life and decreased with age.	Uzochukwu et al., 2017	[76]
Nigeria	Children unvaccinated against polio was significantly associated with household wealth index, maternal educational level, maternal employment, geopolitical and neighbourhood	Uthman et al., 2017	[77]

	illiteracy level.		
Nigeria	Coverage varied by place of residence (rural, urban formal, and urban slum) and was significantly associated with place of delivery, antenatal care, maternal education, maternal age at childbirth, religion, place of residence, media exposure and distance to the health facility. Population attributable risk analysis demonstrated the biggest increase in coverage for maternal education and biggest reduction for non-attendance at antenatal care.	Obanewa et al., 2020	[78]
Pakistan	Vaccination coverage of children aged 12-23 months was strongly associated with maternal education, paternal education, extended family structure and family wealth. Coverage differed by ethnicity, with Bengali children having the lowest coverage.	Siddiqui et al., 2014	[79]
East African countries	Most children in each country had received one of the recommended vaccinations, with regional variation within countries. There were no consistent predictors across countries of complete vaccination status. However, being delivered in a public institution rather than at home was associated with increased odds, except in Burundi. Whether a child had received a check-up within two months of birth was also associated with complete vaccination status in Burundi, Kenya, and Uganda.	Canavan et al., 2014	[80]
West Africa	Vaccination coverage increased between 2000 and 2017. Inequalities in coverage were mainly related to poverty, maternal education and living in certain regions.	Wariri et al., 2019	[81]
Sub-Saharan Africa	Poor households were more likely to have missed opportunities for vaccination. In some countries, literacy, maternal education, media access and the number of under 5-year-old children contributed to missed opportunities for vaccination.	Ndwandwe et al., 2018	[82]
Sub-Saharan Africa	Education inequality in missed opportunities for vaccination was mainly explained by differential effects such as neighbourhood socioeconomic status, presence of under 5-year-old children, media access and household wealth index.	Sambala et al., 2018	[83]

Sub-Saharan Africa	Vaccination coverage was lower in rural areas and household wealth, birth order and distance to health facilities were important contributors to the rural-urban gap.	Ameyaw et al., 2021	[84]
Conflict-impacted countries	In conflict affected countries, low vaccination coverage and outbreaks of vaccine preventable diseases are a concern. Many outbreaks were in conflict-affected areas or in displaced populations. In 14 of the 16 conflict affected countries, DTP3 coverage was below the global average of 85% in 2014.	Grundy et al., 2019	[47]
Gavi-supported countries	Following a systematic analysis of inequalities in vaccination coverage in 45 Gavi supported countries, recommendations were made for Gavi equity monitoring. In addition to the wealth index, other measures of vulnerability should be monitored: maternal education, place of residence, child sex and the multidimensional poverty index. Both absolute and relative measures should be tracked.	Arsenault et al., 2017	[85]
Gavi-supported countries	DHS data from 45 Gavi supported countries demonstrated that vaccination coverage and inequalities varied between countries. There were wealth, education, and multidimensional poverty index (MPI) inequalities in vaccination coverage. Country level predictors of vaccination coverage included political stability, government expenditure on health, government effectiveness and control of corruption, greater land area, linguistic fractionalisation, and gender inequality. There were similar associations across the three indicators of inequality (wealth, maternal education and multidimensional poverty) for country level predictors of inequality.	Arsenault et al., 2017	[86]
Low- and middle-income countries	By analysing data from 241 nationally representative household surveys in 96 countries, being unvaccinated was strongly associated with education of the caregiver, education of caregiver's partner, caregiver's tetanus toxoid status, wealth index and type of family member participation in decision-making when the child is ill. Tetanus toxoid status was the strongest predictor of unvaccinated status.	Bosch-Capblanch et al., 2012	[87]
Low- and middle-income countries	Twenty-five studies were reviewed to assess the relevance of gender inequality on the structural, health system, community, and individual levels. Vaccination programmes	Merten et al., 2015	[88]

	often target mothers and can therefore reinforce a community's gender and social dynamics, with women facing barriers at every level to accessing vaccinations: access to education, income, as well as autonomous decision-making about time and resource allocation were evident barriers.		
Low- and middle-income countries	The greatest disparities were among children born to women with no formal education compared to children born to women with secondary or higher education. Coverage was lower in rural areas compared to urban areas and in the lowest wealth quintile compared to the richest quintile. No gender differences were observed.	Hinman et al., 2015	[89]
Low- and middle-income countries	Rural-urban migrant children were less likely to be immunised than urban non-migrants and the general population. Coverage estimates were lower for most vaccines for rural-urban migrants than for the general population.	Awoh et al., 2016	[90]
Low- and middle-income countries	Vaccination coverage varied between and within countries, and coverage was lowest in children from poorer households with pro-rich inequality in most countries.	Hosseinpoor et al., 2016	[91]
Low- and middle-income countries	In most countries, vaccination coverage was pro-rich, and coverage was higher in urban areas than rural areas. Antenatal care was concentrated among wealthier mothers and was significantly associated with the concentration of vaccination coverage among wealthier children.	Hajizadeh et al., 2018	[92]
Low- and middle-income countries	The WHO analysed inequality in immunisation using the following characteristics determined from a literature review: child (gender, birth order), maternal (age at birth, education, ethnicity or caste), household (sex of household head, household economic status), geographic (place of residence - rural or urban, subnational region). The analysis focused on ten countries that account for more than 70% of children globally who did not receive basic vaccination.	WHO, 2018	[19]
Low- and middle-income countries	DHS data was examined for socioeconomic inequalities in the completion rates of vaccines in the Gambia, the Kyrgyz Republic and Namibia. Higher completion of vaccination was observed in rural areas. Completion rate for BCG, DTP3, polio (3-doses), and measles vaccines had a pro-poor	Hajizadeh,. 2019	[93]

	distribution with children of lower socioeconomic status more likely to be immunised than children from higher socioeconomic status. In the Gambia and Namibia, the difference in completion rates for childhood immunisation between rural and urban areas was the primary contributor for the concentration of child vaccination among the poor. In the Kyrgyz Republic, household wealth was a key determinant for child vaccination. Birth order, maternal age, maternal education, and skilled birth attendance were also factors in some of the countries.		

646

Table 2. Inequities in vaccination card usage rates and vaccination coverage in Nigeria. Inequities in vaccination card usage rates and vaccination coverage among children aged 12-23 months disaggregated by maternal education, antenatal care, and household wealth in Nigeria, based on Erreygers concentration indices (ECI).

	Maternal education		Antenatal care		Household wealth	
	ECI	Probability	ECI	Probability	ECI	Probability
Vaccination card	0.40	<0.0001	0.36	<0.0001	0.30	<0.0001
Vaccine						
BCG	0.48	<0.0001	0.44	<0.0001	0.32	<0.0001
Measles – first dose	0.41	<0.0001	0.36	<0.0001	0.30	<0.0001
DTP-HepB-Hib – third dose	0.48	<0.0001	0.39	<0.0001	0.31	<0.0001
Polio – third dose	0.22	<0.0001	0.22	<0.0001	0.17	<0.0001
Basic vaccination	0.32	<0.0001	0.27	<0.0001	0.24	<0.0001

653 **Table 3. Inequities in basic vaccination coverage in Nigeria associated with socioeconomic,**

654 **geographic, maternal, child, and healthcare characteristics.** Inequities in basic vaccination coverage

655 (1-dose BCG, 3-dose DTP-HepB-Hib, 3-dose polio, and 1-dose measles) in Nigeria among children

656 aged 12-23 months associated with socioeconomic (household wealth, religion, ethnicity),

657 geographic (region, place of residence), maternal (maternal age at birth, maternal education,

658 maternal household head status), child (sex of child, birth order), and healthcare (antenatal care,

659 birth setting) characteristics. Crude and adjusted odds ratios were estimated using simple and

660 multiple logistic regression respectively.

Characteristics	**Population** (n = 6059)	**Mean basic vaccination coverage** (% and 95% confidence interval)	**Crude odds ratio** (OR and 95% confidence interval)	**p-value**	**Adjusted odds ratio** (AOR and 95% confidence interval)	**p-value**
Socioeconomic						
Household wealth						
Poorest	1301	17.2 (14.5, 20.3)	1	<0.0001	1	
Poorer	1278	24.6 (21.6, 27.8)	**1.57 (1.22, 2.01)**		1.42 (1.10, 1.85)	0.008
Middle	1239	29.2 (25.9, 32.7)	**1.98 (1.53, 2.56)**		**1.58 (1.20, 2.07)**	**0.001**
Richer	1156	38.2 (34.1, 42.5)	**2.97 (2.27, 3.90)**		**2.02 (1.51, 2.70)**	**<0.001**
Richest	1085	49.1 (45.1, 53.1)	**4.64 (3.59, 6.00)**		**2.50 (1.85, 3.38)**	**<0.001**
Religion						
Catholic	586	48.8 (43.3, 54.3)	1	<0.0001	1	
Other Christian	1883	44.0 (41.0, 47.1)	0.82 (0.65, 1.04)		0.95 (0.74, 1.22)	0.662
Islam	3538	22.6 (20.5, 24.8)	**0.31 (0.24, 0.39)**		0.93 (0.65, 1.33)	0.686

Traditional	15	22.2 (5.9, 56.3)	0.30 (0.07, 1.36)		0.85 (0.23, 3.15)	0.806
Other	37	14.0 (6.6, 27.3)	**0.17** **(0.07, 0.40)**		**0.25** **(0.11, 0.59)**	**0.002**
Ethnicity (n = 6057)						
Igbo	847	56.3 (51.3, 61.1)	1	<0.0001	1	
Ekoi	25	51.5 (31.6, 70.9)	0.82 (0.35, 1.94)		1.95 (0.71, 5.37)	0.198
Fulani	568	12.2 (9.0, 16.2)	**0.11** **(0.07, 0.16)**		**0.50** **(0.27, 0.95)**	**0.034**
Hausa	1796	19.9 (17.3, 22.8)	**0.19** **(0.15, 0.25)**		0.69 (0.38, 1.27)	0.233
Ibibio	105	42.1 (31.9, 53.1)	**0.57** **(0.35, 0.90)**		1.39 (0.73, 2.62)	0.314
Igala	55	27.6 (14.4, 46.5)	**0.30** **(0.13, 0.69)**		0.56 (0.23, 1.37)	0.205
Ijaw/Izon	171	33.5 (24.7, 43.7)	**0.39** **(0.24, 0.63)**		1.00 (0.53, 1.91)	0.990
Kanuri/Beriberi	148	14.4 (8.8, 22.7)	**0.13** **(0.07, 0.24)**		0.38 (0.14, 1.04)	0.060
Tiv	148	24.6 (16.8, 34.5)	**0.25** **(0.15, 0.43)**		0.56 (0.27, 1.16)	0.119
Yoruba	622	40.8 (35.6, 46.1)	**0.53** **(0.40, 0.72)**		0.68 (0.39, 1.17)	0.163
Other/Don't know	1574	35.9 (32.4, 39.5)	**0.43** **(0.34, 0.56)**		1.00 (0.62, 1.60)	0.986
Geographic						
Region						
North Central	1061	30.9 (26.3, 35.8)	1	<0.0001	1	

North East	1303	22.9 (19.6, 26.5)	**0.66** **(0.50, 0.89)**		1.12 (0.79, 1.58)	0.541
North West	1697	19.9 (17.1, 23.1)	**0.56** **(0.42, 0.74)**		1.05 (0.71, 1.56)	0.810
South East	698	56.6 (51.0, 62.1)	**2.93** **(2.13, 4.01)**		1.26 (0.73, 2.15)	0.406
South South	637	41.8 (37.2, 46.6)	**1.61** **(1.20, 2.16)**		0.84 (0.57, 1.25)	0.391
South West	663	42.6 (37.3, 48.0)	**1.66** **(1.22, 2.27)**		0.87 (0.59, 1.29)	0.498
Place of residence						
Urban	2100	44.2 (41.0, 47.5)	1	<0.0001	1	
Rural	3959	22.7 (20.7, 24.8)	**0.37** **(0.31, 0.44)**		**0.59** **(0.48, 0.73)**	**<0.001**
Maternal						
Maternal age at birth (years)						
15 – 19	366	15.8 (11.9, 20.9)	1	<0.0001	1	
20 – 34	4330	31.4 (29.3, 33.5)	**2.43** **(1.72, 3.43)**		**1.71** **(1.17, 2.51)**	**0.006**
35 – 49	1363	34.5 (30.6, 38.6)	**2.80** **(1.93, 4.05)**		**2.31** **(1.50, 3.57)**	**<0.001**
Maternal education						

None	2614	14.8 (12.9, 16.9)	1	<0.0001	1	
Primary	881	32.7 (28.1, 37.6)	**2.80** **(2.15, 3.63)**		**1.41** **(1.07, 1.85)**	**0.014**
Secondary or higher	2564	47.3 (44.5, 50.0)	**5.17** **(4.27, 6.26)**		**1.72** **(1.33, 2.21)**	**<0.001**
Sex of household head						
Male	5434	30.3 (28.4, 32.3)	1	<0.0001	1	
Female	625	39.1 (34.7, 43.8)	**1.48** **(1.21, 1.81)**		1.07 (0.85, 1.35)	0.578
Child						
Sex						
Male	3148	31.4 (28.9, 34.0)	1	0.81	1	
Female	2911	31.0 (28.7, 33.3)	0.98 (0.85, 1.14)		1.02 (0.87, 1.19)	0.821
Birth order						
1st	1157	35.8 (32.1, 39.7)	1	<0.0001	1	
$2^{nd} - 3^{rd}$	2081	33.9 (31.0, 36.9)	0.92 (0.75, 1.13)		0.90 (0.72, 1.13)	0.360
$4^{th} - 5^{th}$	1412	31.8 (28.6, 35.2)	0.84 (0.66, 1.06)		0.99 (0.77, 1.27)	0.945

6th or higher	1409	22.7 (20.0, 25.6)	**0.53** **(0.42, 0.65)**		0.85 (0.64, 1.12)	0.235
Healthcare						
Antenatal care (n = 5824)						
None/Don't know	1529	10.6 (8.7, 12.9)	1	<0.0001	1	
1 – 3 visits	976	24.3 (21.3, 27.6)	**2.70** **(2.07, 3.53)**		**2.07** **(1.57, 2.75)**	**<0.001**
4 or more visits	3319	41.5 (39.2, 43.8)	**5.96** **(4.71, 7.53)**		**2.76** **(2.13, 3.59)**	**<0.001**
Birth setting						
Home setting	3415	19.5 (17.5, 21.7)	1	<0.0001		
Public or private facility	2549	46.7 (44.0, 49.4)	**3.61** **(3.06, 4.26)**			**0.007**
Other	95	31.2 (19.8, 45.5)	1.87 (0.98, 3.56)			0.455

661

Figures

Figure 1. Social determinants of childhood immunisation. Social determinants of health model framework encompassing the individual, parental, household, environment, and national policy levels and influencing inequities in basic vaccination coverage among children in Nigeria.



Figure 2. Vaccination coverage and vaccination card usage rates in Nigeria. Vaccination coverage among children aged 12-23 months in Nigeria and disaggregated by urban and rural areas of residence. Vaccination card coverage is relatively higher in urban areas in comparison to rural areas, and is associated with higher vaccination coverage. Basic vaccination includes 1-dose BCG, 3-dose DTP-HepB-Hib (diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae type B), 3-dose polio, and 1-dose measles vaccines.



682 **Figure 3. Basic vaccination coverage in Nigeria.** Basic vaccination coverage among children aged 12-
683 23 months in Nigeria by socioeconomic (household wealth, religion, ethnicity), geographic (region,
684 place of residence), maternal (maternal age at birth, maternal education, maternal household head
685 status), child (sex of child, birth order), and healthcare (birth setting, antenatal care) characteristics.



686

687

688

Figure 4. Basic vaccination coverage in Nigeria at the regional level. Basic vaccination coverage among children aged 12-23 months in Nigeria at the regional level. Basic vaccination includes 1-dose BCG, 3-dose DTP-HepB-Hib (diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae type B), 3-dose polio, and 1-dose measles vaccines.



695 **Figure 5. Wealth-related inequity in basic vaccination coverage in Nigeria.** Concentration curve for

696 household wealth-related inequity in basic vaccination coverage among children aged 12-23 months

697 in Nigeria.



698

699

Figure 6. Inequities in basic vaccination coverage in Nigeria. Inequities in basic vaccination coverage among children aged 12-23 months in Nigeria associated with socioeconomic (household wealth, religion, ethnicity), geographic (region, place of residence), maternal (maternal age at birth, maternal education, maternal household head status), child (sex of child, birth order), and healthcare (birth setting, antenatal care) characteristics.



711 # Appendix

712 **Table A1. Routine vaccination schedule of under 1-year-old children in Nigeria.**

Vaccine	Schedule
BCG (Bacille Calmette-Guérin)	Birth
Hepatitis B (birth dose)	Birth
Oral polio	Birth 6, 10, 14 weeks
DTP-HepB-Hib (diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and Haemophilus influenzae type B)	6, 10, 14 weeks
Pneumococcal conjugate	6, 10, 14 weeks
Inactivated polio	14 weeks
Measles first dose	9 months
Meningitis A conjugate	9 months
Yellow fever	9 months

713

714

Table A2. Vaccination coverage and vaccination card usage rates in Nigeria. Vaccination card usage rates and vaccination coverage among children aged 12-23 months in Nigeria, at the national level and disaggregated by urban and rural areas of residence.

	National coverage (% and 95% CI) n = 6059	Urban coverage (% and 95% CI) n1 = 2100	Rural coverage (% and 95% CI) n2 = 3959
Vaccination card	48.6 (46.3, 50.8)	62.9 (59.1, 66.6)	39.2 (36.7, 41.7)
Vaccine			
BCG	66.7 (64.4, 68.8)	83.3 (80.3, 85.9)	55.8 (53.0, 58.7)
Polio 1st dose	72.8 (70.7, 74.8)	80.3 (77.3, 83.0)	67.9 (65.2, 70.6)
Polio 2nd dose	66.8 (64.7, 68.8)	76.1 (72.9, 79.0)	60.7 (58.0, 63.3)
Polio 3rd dose	48.0 (46.0, 50.1)	56.4 (53.2, 59.6)	42.5 (39.9, 45.2)
Polio – all three doses	47.2 (45.2, 49.3)	55.8 (52.6, 58.9)	41.7 (39.0, 44.3)
No doses of polio	26.4 (24.4, 28.4)	18.9 (16.3, 21.8)	31.7 (28.7, 34.0)
DTP 1st dose	64.8 (62.6, 66.9)	80.8 (77.8, 83.5)	54.3 (51.7, 57.0)
DTP 2nd dose	57.8 (55.6, 59.9)	74.3 (71.1, 77.3)	47.0 (44.4, 49.6)
DTP 3rd dose	50.7 (48.4, 53.0)	68.3 (64.7, 71.7)	39.2 (36.5, 41.9)
DTP – all three doses	50.1 (47.8, 52.4)	67.9 (64.3, 71.3)	38.4 (35.8, 41.1)
No doses of DTP	34.7 (32.6, 36.8)	18.8 (16.2, 21.8)	45.0 (42.4, 47.7)

Measles one dose	53.9 (51.7, 56.0)	68.8 (65.5, 71.9)	44.1 (41.6, 46.6)
Basic vaccinations (all)	31.2 (29.4, 33.1)	44.2 (41.0, 47.5)	22.7 (20.7, 24.8)
No basic vaccination doses	19.5 (17.7, 21.4)	11.2 (9.2, 13.7)	24.9 (22.4, 27.6)

720 **Table A3. Vaccine coverage.** Vaccine coverage estimates for Nigeria in 2018 based on DHS, WUENIC

721 (WHO-UNICEF), administrative, and official country sources.

Source	Vaccine coverage (%)			
	BCG	Measles	DTP 3	Polio 3
DHS	67	54	50	47
WUENIC	67	54	56	56
Administrative – provider estimates	90	87	95	95
Official country estimates	75	63	58	58

722