medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.18.22269217; this version posted January 23, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Shorter serial intervals in SARS-CoV-2 cases with Omicron variant compared to Delta variant in the Netherlands, 13 – 19 December 2021

Jantien A. Backer[1], Dirk Eggink[1], Stijn P. Andeweg[1], Irene K. Veldhuijzen[1], Noortje van Maarseveen[2,3], Klaas Vermaas[2], Boris Vlaemynck[4], Raf Schepers[4], Susan van den Hof[1], Chantal B.E.M. Reusken[1], Jacco Wallinga[1,5]

[1] Center for Infectious Disease Control, WHO COVID-19 reference laboratory, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands

[2] Saltro Diagnostic Center for Primary Care, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

[3] Department of Medical Microbiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands

[4] SYNLAB, Heppignies, Belgium

[5] Department of Biomedical Data Sciences, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

Abstract

The SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant has a growth advantage over the Delta variant, due to higher transmissibility, immune evasion, or a shorter serial interval. Using S-gene target failure (SGTF) as indication for Omicron, we identify 220 SGTF and 869 non-SGTF serial intervals in the same week. Within households, we find a mean serial interval of 3.4 days for SGTF and 3.9 days for non-SGTF cases. This suggests that the growth advantage of Omicron is partly due to a shorter serial interval.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.18.22269217; this version posted January 23, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

The SARS-CoV-2 Pango lineage B.1.1.529, also known as the Omicron variant, was first reported by South Africa on 24 November 2021, and designated by the WHO as a variant of concern on 26 November 2021 (1). It is characterized by a fast epidemic growth relative to the B.1.617.2 (Delta) variant (2). Several epidemiological factors may contribute to the fast relative growth rate of this new variant. Firstly, immune evasion (3-5). Secondly, higher intrinsic transmission potential (6) (an increase in the basic reproduction number, defined as the average number of secondary cases generated by an infectious individual in a susceptible population). Thirdly, a shorter serial interval (i.e., the duration of time between symptom onset of a case and its infector). A variant with a shorter serial interval as compared to another variant with the same reproduction number, would have an increased epidemic growth rate. Whereas early reports provide evidence for substantial immune evasion and suggest an increased transmission potential (3-6), little is known about the serial interval of the Omicron variant. We assess whether the serial intervals of the Omicron and Delta variant differ by comparing transmission pairs of both variants during the same time period.

The Omicron variant was first identified in the Netherlands in a case whose sample was obtained on November 19, 2021. Symptom onset dates and postal codes of diagnosed SARS-CoV-2 cases are reported to a national surveillance database. If an infector of the case has been identified through source and contact tracing, a unique identifier of this infector is reported as well. We identified pairs of a primary case and a secondary case from this national surveillance database and measured the serial interval as difference between symptom onset day of a case and its infector.

A fraction of the cases reported in the national surveillance database were tested in two laboratories that analyze specimens with the TaqPath COVID-19 RT-PCR Kit (ThermoFisher

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.18.22269217; this version posted January 23, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Scientific). This PCR kit targets three genes. Failure of the probe targeting the S-gene, while the Orf1ab and N probe result in a proper signal (S-gene target failure (SGTF), also referred to as S-dropout), identifies the presence of a deletion in the S-gene (spike amino acid residues Δ69–70) which has been associated with the Omicron variant but not with Delta. Non-SGTF is highly predictive of the Delta variant and SGTF is highly predictive for the Omicron variant (4). With lower viral loads SGTF allocation is less accurate as the S-gene target is the least sensitive target of the three genes. Therefore, a stringent threshold of ≤ 30 cycle threshold (Ct) values were used on the Orf1ab and N targets for inclusion in further analyses.

We included transmission pairs with a minimum serial interval of -5 days and a maximum serial interval of 15 days. We included transmission pairs with a symptom onset date for the infector between December 13 and December 19, 2021, as reported by January 6, 2022. The overall share of Omicron variant detected in test positive cases was 9.0% during this period (7). A cohort approach was followed to minimize the impact of data truncation and differences in epidemic growth by variant on the outcome. Analysis showed that most cases were tested and reported within five days after symptom onset. Combined with a maximum serial interval of 15 days, this would mean that a secondary case of the cohort would be tested and reported at the time the data were retrieved from the notification system. We excluded transmission pairs where infector or case had a missing postal code, where both infector and case lacked SGTF results, or where infector and case had differing SGTF results.

We will refer to transmission pairs with an SGTF case or an SGTF infector as SGTF transmission pairs, and to transmission pairs with a non-SGTF case or a non-SGTF infector as non-SGTF transmission pairs. We will refer to transmission pairs with a case and infector with the same postal code as within-household transmission pairs, and to transmissions with a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.18.22269217; this version posted January 23, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

case and infector with a different postal case as between-household transmission pairs, because 97% of transmission pairs with identical postal code live within the same household (8).

We identified 220 SGTF transmission pairs, and 869 non-SGTF transmission pairs (i.e., 20% of all transmission pairs identified in the study week), excluding 11 pairs with opposing SGTF results, and 6 pairs without postal code. The mean serial interval of 3.4 days for the 150 SGTF within-household pairs was significantly shorter than the mean serial interval of 3.9 days for the 728 non-SGTF within-household pairs (Figure 1, one-sided Welch's test, df = 247, $p = 0.0044$). A similar but not significant difference was found between the mean serial interval of 3.1 days for the 70 SGTF between-household pairs and the mean serial interval of 3.5 days for the 141 non-SGTF between-household pairs (one-sided Welch's test, df = 165, $p = 0.15$).

In addition to the transmission pairs, we studied cases with known exposure information that allows to infer the incubation period (9). We identified 117 SGTF cases and 163 non-SGTF cases with reported symptom onset date between 1 and 26 December 2021 (i.e., 13% of all cases with known exposure information in that period). The median incubation period is estimated to be 3.4 days for SGTF cases and 4.0 days for non-SGTF cases, but the 95% posterior distribution of the difference includes 0 (see Appendix).

This early investigation of recent SGTF cases as a proxy for Omicron, offers evidence to support a shorter serial interval among the identified cases studied. This lends support to the hypothesis that the recent rapid growth of the Omicron variant is in part driven by a shortened

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.18.22269217; this version posted January 23, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

serial interval as compared to infections with the Delta variant. The observed difference of half a day is similar to the difference in the incubation period between the two variants.

During the study period the contact tracing guidelines differed for the two variants regarding contacts outside the household. Until 23 December 2021 guidelines for the Omicron variant were stricter than for Delta infections, with longer isolation and quarantine periods and requiring quarantine also for immune contacts. These differences might offer a possible explanation for the observed shorter serial interval of the SGTF transmission pairs between households. However, these differences do not explain for the observed shorter serial interval of the SGTF transmission pairs within households and the shorter incubation period of SGTF cases. Therefore, the observed difference in between-household pairs is expected to be due to the variant. To generalize the observed differences between serial interval for SGTF and non-SGTF transmission pairs, proper control for the control measures in place and other confounding factors such as age and vaccination status of the case and its infector are required.

The reported values of mean serial interval and median incubation period for the Omicron variant are in line with earlier reported tentative estimates. A mean serial interval of 2.8 days (range 1-7 days) for Omicron was reported for outbreaks in South Korea (10). A median incubation period of 3 days for Omicron was reported for a superspreading event in Norway (11) and for a cluster in Nebraska (12). Although none of these earlier reports allowed for a direct comparison between the reported values for the mean serial interval and the median incubation period between the Omicron and Delta variant in the same period, the reported low values suggest that also in these different settings the serial interval and the incubation time of the Omicron variant are shorter than those for the Delta variant.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.18.22269217; this version posted January 23, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

There are indications for a different place of replication and a different route of entry for the Omicron variant, which suggests a mechanism to account for a shorter serial interval and a shorter incubation period (6, 13). Further studies that include the viral load and shedding dynamics relative to the symptom onset date of the primary case are crucial.

A short serial interval offers, next to immune evasion and higher transmissibility, an explanation for the growth advantage of the Omicron variant over the Delta variant. A short serial interval and a short incubation period will make timely contact tracing more challenging, which will have a negative impact on reducing onward transmission (14). Mitigating the observed rapid spread of the new virus variant will therefore continue to require multi-layered interventions such as case finding and contact tracing, as well as booster vaccination and non-pharmaceutical interventions.

Funding

The study was financed by the Netherlands Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme - project EpiPose (grant agreement number 101003688).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.18.22269217; this version posted January 23, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

References

1. World Health Organization. Tracking SARS-CoV-2 variants 2021 [Available from: https://www.who.int/en/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants/.
2. Ito K, Piantham C, Nishiura H. Relative Instantaneous Reproduction Number of Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant with respect to the Delta variant in Denmark. J Med Virol. 2021.
3. Cele S, Jackson L, Khan K, Khoury DS, Moyo-Gwete T, Tegally H, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron has extensive but incomplete escape of Pfizer BNT162b2 elicited neutralization and requires ACE2 for infection. medRxiv. 2021.
4. Eggink D, Andeweg SP, Vennema H, van Maarseveen N, Vermaas K, Vlaemynck B, et al. Increased risk of infection with SARS-CoV-2 Omicron compared to Delta in vaccinated and previously infected individuals, the Netherlands, 22 November to 19 December 2021. medRxiv. 2021.
5. Wilhelm A, Widera M, Grikscheit K, Toptan T, Schenk B, Pallas C, et al. Reduced Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant by Vaccine Sera and Monoclonal Antibodies. medRxiv. 2021.
6. Peacock TP, Brown JC, Zhou J, Thakur N, Newman J, Kugathasan R, et al. The SARS-CoV-2 variant, Omicron, shows rapid replication in human primary nasal epithelial cultures and efficiently uses the endosomal route of entry. bioRxiv. 2022:2021.12.31.474653.
7. RIVM. Varianten van het coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 2022 [Available from: https://www.rivm.nl/coronavirus-covid-19/virus/varianten.
8. Netherlands S. Transmissieparen adres, werkgever en school, jan-sep 2021 2021 [Available from: https://www.cbs.nl/nl-nl/maatwerk/2021/49/transmissieparen-adres-werkgever-en-school-jan-sep-2021
9. Backer JA, Klinkenberg D, Wallinga J. Incubation period of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infections among travellers from Wuhan, China, 20-28 January 2020. Euro Surveill. 2020;25(5).
10. Lee JJ, Choe YJ, Jeong H, Kim M, Kim S, Yoo H, et al. Importation and Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 (Omicron) Variant of Concern in Korea, November 2021. J Korean Med Sci. 2021;36(50):e346.
11. Brandal LT, MacDonald E, Veneti L, Ravlo T, Lange H, Naseer U, et al. Outbreak caused by the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in Norway, November to December 2021. Euro Surveill. 2021;26(50).
12. Jansen L, Tegomoh B, Lange K, Showalter K, Figliomeni J, Abdalhamid B, et al. Investigation of a SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 (Omicron) Variant Cluster - Nebraska, November-December 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2021;70(5152):1782-4.
13. Kozlov M. Omicron's feeble attack on the lungs could make it less dangerous. Nature. 2022.
14. Kucharski AJ, Klepac P, Conlan AJK, Kissler SM, Tang ML, Fry H, et al. Effectiveness of isolation, testing, contact tracing, and physical distancing on reducing transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in different settings: a mathematical modelling study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20(10):1151-60.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.18.22269217; this version posted January 23, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .



Figure 1. Observed distribution of serial interval of SARS-CoV-2 transmission pairs with infectors having their symptom onset date during the period 13 - 19 December 2021 in The Netherlands, (A) for non-SGTF within-household transmission pairs, (B) non-SGTF between-household transmission pairs, (C) SGTF within-household transmission pairs, (D) SGTF between-household transmission pairs, and the difference between the empirical cumulative density functions for SGTF and non-SGTF transmission pairs (E) within households and (F) between households (see Appendix for data).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.18.22269217; this version posted January 23, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Appendix

Data

Table S1 Number of observed transmission pairs by serial interval, type (within or between households) and SGTF result; data shown in Figure 1 in main text.

Transmission pairs	Within-household		Between households	
Serial interval (days)	non-SGTF	SGTF	non-SGTF	SGTF
-5	0	0	0	0
-4	1	1	0	0
-3	1	0	2	1
-2	4	0	1	0
-1	11	0	4	2
0	38	6	7	2
1	73	21	14	11
2	97	28	28	14
3	116	29	25	13
4	116	28	22	14
5	95	14	10	3
6	64	9	7	1
7	46	8	8	4
8	25	4	2	3
9	14	0	2	2
10	9	1	4	0
11	6	0	4	0
12	6	0	1	0
13	4	0	0	0
14	1	1	0	0
15	1	0	0	0

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.18.22269217; this version posted January 23, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Incubation period

For 117 SGTF cases and 163 non-SGTF cases, with a symptom onset date between 1 and 26 December 2021, the exposure window and symptom onset are reported. Assuming a Weibull distribution for the incubation period, the parameters are estimated using a previously published method (9). The incubation period for SGTF cases seems slightly shorter than for non-SGTF cases (Fig. S1 and Tab. S2) but the credible intervals are largely overlapping.



Figure S1 Estimated cumulative density function of the incubation period for 163 non-SGTF cases and 117 SGTF cases, with a symptom onset date between 1 and 26 December 2021.

Table S2 Posterior parameter estimates for Weibull distributed incubation period, based on 163 non-SGTF cases and 117 SGTF cases.

	non-SGTF		SGTF	
	median	95% cred. int.	median	95% cred. int.
Scale parameter	4.68	4.27 – 5.10	4.14	3.62 – 4.68
Shape parameter	2.23	1.87 – 2.64	1.77	1.45 – 2.13
Mean (days)	4.1	3.8 – 4.5	3.7	3.2 – 4.2
Median (days)	4.0	3.6 – 4.4	3.4	2.9 – 3.9