

1 **A survey assessing antimicrobial prescribing at UNRWA primary health care centers in Jordan.**

2 **Orubu ESF^{1,2*}, Albeik S³, Ching C², Hussein R², Mousa A³, Horino M³, Naqa R³, Elayyan M³,**

3 **Saadeh R³, Zaman MH²**

4 ^{1.} Social Innovation on Drug Resistance, Boston University, Boston, United States of America

5 ^{2.} Department of Biomedical Engineering, College of Engineering, Boston University, Boston,

6 United States of America

7 ^{3.} United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestinian Refugees in the Near East, UNRWA,

8 Jordan

9 * Corresponding author. Email: sforubu@bu.edu

10 Key words: antimicrobial resistance, stewardship, humanitarian, primary health care, UNRWA

11 Word count: Abstract 247 words; Text 3031

12 Number of tables: 5

13 Number of figures: 3

14 Supplemental materials: Appendix A – Questionnaire; Appendix B – Results, additional

15 **Running Head: Antimicrobial resistance, use and stewardship in Jordan**

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 **Abstract**

24 Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a public health emergency. There is insufficient information
25 on AMR in the context of humanitarian settings. An understanding of behavioural and
26 institutional level factors can strengthen antimicrobial stewardship. This study used a semi-
27 structured questionnaire to assess both knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) on
28 antimicrobial use, resistance and stewardship, and options to improving prescribing, among
29 prescribers at the Primary Healthcare facilities of the UNRWA Jordan field office. Responses to
30 the KAP questions were evaluated using the Capability, Opportunity, Motivation, Behaviour
31 (COM-B) framework and Bloom’s cutoffs. For each framework component, Blooms cutoffs and
32 interpretations were: >80%, “good”; 60-79%, “moderate”; and <60%’ “poor”. Fourteen options
33 to improve prescribing were each assessed using 5-point Likert scales from very unhelpful to
34 very helpful, aggregated by helpful and very helpful and ranked as: >90%, best/most
35 acceptable; >80-90%, as acceptable; and, 70-80% as maybe acceptable/good. The questionnaire
36 response rate was 59% (37/63) with a completion rate of 92% (34/37). Aggregate scores for
37 real knowledge on AMR was 97%; opportunity to improve prescribing 88%; and motivation 16%
38 - participants did not believe that there was a connection between their prescribing and AMR
39 or that they had a key role in helping control AMR. Good options (74% aggregate score) to
40 improving prescribing were the availability of guidelines and resistance data. There was good
41 knowledge of AMR and good opportunities, but poor motivation for rational prescribing or
42 behavioral change. There is a clinical need for antimicrobial resistance data to promote rational
43 antibiotic prescribing.

44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67

68

69 Introduction

70

71 Antimicrobial resistance (AMR), an inherent or acquired ability of disease-causing
72 microorganisms to survive therapeutic doses of antimicrobials is a pressing global health
73 challenge ^{1,2}. Anthropogenic factors including the non-evidence-based prescribing, dispensing
74 and use of antimicrobials including for non-therapeutic indications in livestock, the use of
75 substandard or falsified antimicrobials, poor adherence in patients and environmental (metal
76 and) antibiotic run-offs accelerate AMR ³. Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs) are
77 predicted to bear the greater burden of AMR ⁴. Generally, communities living in cramped
78 environments with limited resources are at a high risk of developing drug resistant infections ³.
79 This may be particularly true for refugees and the forcibly displaced, where geo-socio-economic
80 determinants predisposing to disease combine with sub-optimal access and the excessive use
81 of antibiotics ⁵⁻⁷.

82

83 Jordan is one of the world's largest hosts for displaced populations. In 2020, it was the ranked
84 the 10th largest host country for refugees and the internally displaced ⁸. Jordan, historically, also
85 has the highest number – over 2.3 million – of registered Palestine refugees, (PRs) under the
86 United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East (UNRWA)
87 program among the five fields of its operations (Gaza, the West bank, Syria, Lebanon, and
88 Jordan). In Jordan, UNRWA provides free health care services (including outpatient services) to
89 Palestine refugees through a network of 25 primary health care centers. There are scant studies
90 on antibiotic utilization among PRs settlements in Jordan. One previous study from 2018 on the
91 knowledge, attitude and behavior of PRs regarding antibiotics surveyed 250 adults at 4
92 different UNRWA health centers (HCs) in Jordan (Irbid, South Baqaa, Taybeh and Marka) ⁹. The
93 study revealed a poor understanding of antibiotics. Self-medication, over-the-counter (OTC)
94 purchase as well as using left-over antibiotics were commons form of inappropriate antibiotics
95 use. Further, there was a knowledge gap in the correct use, and of side effects from irrational
96 use, of antibiotics among participants. Long waiting times drive the OTC purchase of antibiotics,
97 even though there is high trust in doctors among patients in refugee camps ⁹. This data suggests
98 that the risk for AMR among refugees, arising from misuse of antibiotics, is high. The study,
99 however, did not identify which specific antibiotics were most commonly used and did not
100 consider the prescribing and dispensing practices of healthcare workers and pharmacists. Thus,
101 there are gaps in our understanding.

102

103 This study, as part of a series assessing refugees' access to quality healthcare services and AMR,
104 performs an evaluation within the context of a primary health care system. The aim was to
105 assess and analyze antimicrobial prescribing in the Jordanian UNRWA sites. The objectives of
106 the study were two-fold: (i) describe antibiotic prescribing patterns, as proxies of use (ii) assess
107 knowledge, attitude, and practices on antimicrobial resistance and use, with a view to
108 understanding barriers to antimicrobial stewardship.

109

110

111 **Methods**

112 Ethics: Ethics application was reviewed by the Institutional Review Board of Boston University
113 and granted exemption (5681X). Ethical approval was also obtained from UNRWA's research
114 review board before questionnaire administration.

115
116 Study design: Cross-sectional electronic survey design. This study adopted the Checklist for
117 Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHEERIES) protocol for questionnaire development and
118 reporting¹⁰.

119
120 Study setting: Primary Health Care (PHC) facilities run by the UNRWA in Jordan. A convenience
121 sample of 16 PHCs were selected based on size and accessibility¹¹.

122
123 Participants' inclusion and exclusion criteria: Only medical officers, gynecologists, and dental
124 surgeons working at the selected UNRWA PHCs who prescribe antimicrobials were included in
125 the survey. All other healthcare workers at the selected PHCs and all healthcare workers
126 outside these facilities were excluded.

127
128 Study instrument: The study instrument was a semi-structured questionnaire based primarily
129 on a previously validated knowledge, attitude, and practice survey used in Europe¹². This was
130 complemented by other previously used survey instruments^{13,14} further adapted to a
131 humanitarian setting by including questions assessing prescribers' perceptions of AMR in the
132 forcibly displaced¹⁵.

133
134 The questionnaire comprised 4 sections containing 43 questions assessing demographics,
135 current prescribing behavior, and potential for behavioral change (Table 1 and Supplement 1).
136 Section I had 8 questions on demographics. Section II included 16 questions to describe current
137 prescribing practices and antibiotic prescribing patterns. Section III contained 16 questions
138 assessing knowledge and attitudes. These questions were structured using the Capability,
139 Opportunity and Motivation for behavioral change (COM-B) framework. Capability assessed
140 prescribers' knowledge, awareness and perceptions or attitudes. In this study, we described
141 capacity as consisting of decision making, knowledge, awareness/perceptions, attitudes, and
142 infection prevention and control measures (hand hygiene). Knowledge was both real and
143 assumed. Opportunity included perceptions about both individual- and facility-level factors that
144 make for rational prescribing. Motivation was self-held beliefs about influence on rational
145 prescribing and association between prescribing behavior and AMR. Section IV contained 1
146 question with 14 options to improve antimicrobial prescribing. There were also 1 introductory
147 question on consent and 1 final question on additional comments.

148
149 Items in the questionnaires were randomized. Using adaptive questioning, certain questions
150 were only required to be answered based on whether a preceding question was answered. To
151 ensure completeness when filling the questionnaires, some questions were pre-specified and
152 made mandatory, where these questions had to be filled before the questionnaire could be
153 submitted. The questionnaires were made available in English and Arabic. The Arabic version

154 was provided by SH and verified by SA. Both versions incorporated an introductory information
155 and consent section.

156
157 Piloting: The questionnaire was piloted in a PHC not among those selected to be sampled.
158 Based on the results from this pilot, the instrument was modified to ensure validity in the study
159 locations. Modifications included changes to the Arabic translation, a consent section with
160 termination where consent was not granted, and the review step to ensure completeness.

161
162 Sample size: The sample size was all consenting physicians out of a list of 63 prescribers
163 provided by Jordan field office at the 16 selected PHCs.

164
165 Questionnaire administration: Questionnaires were self-administered. Participants were
166 contacted through a list of emails and/or WhatsApp numbers for all medical officers currently
167 working in the selected 16 PHCs in Jordan. The questionnaires were shared (as links) with the
168 participants. Consent was obtained from all participants by ensuring that only those who
169 consented continued to fill the questionnaire. Questionnaires were administered from August 1
170 to September 30, 2021.

171
172 Data analysis: Data analysis was descriptive with responses expressed as proportions (%).
173 Current prescribing practices were described. Aggregate scores across participants in the
174 capability (real knowledge), opportunity and motivation components of behavior were
175 computed and evaluated against Bloom's cutoffs. For real knowledge, opportunity and
176 motivation a mean aggregate score >80% was assessed as "good", 60-79% as "moderate", and
177 <60% as "poor"¹⁶. The perceived options to improve antibiotic prescribing were aggregated
178 and ranked. On the 5-point Likert Scale, "very helpful" and "helpful" were aggregated into the
179 new dummy variable "helpful" and neutral, unhelpful and very unhelpful aggregated into a new
180 "unhelpful" dummy variable. The aggregated scores under the new "helpful" category for each
181 of the 14 options to improve prescribing were then ranked with aggregate scores >90%
182 assessed as the best, or most acceptable, option(s); >80-90%, as acceptable; and, 70-80% as
183 maybe acceptable, or good.

184
185
186 **Results**

187
188 *Characteristics: response rate and participants demographics*
189 The questionnaire response, or participation, rate was 59% (37/63). However, the completion
190 rate, or participants consenting to, and completing, the survey was 92% (34/37).

191
192 Participants were mostly general medicine specialists (75%, 25/34). The majority had more than
193 6 years' experience (35% with 6-10 years' experience; 38% with 11-15; and 9% with >15 years).

194
195 The complete demographic characteristics and detailed results are included in the supplement.

196

197

198 *Antibiotic prescribing practices*

199 All respondents had prescribed antibiotics in the 6 months preceding the study. All
200 prescriptions were by generic names (100%, 34/34). Prescribers (91%, 31/34) reported the
201 most frequently used antibiotic as amoxicillin (including amoxicillin-clavulanate), a penicillin
202 (Fig. 1A). There was specificity, or a differentiation, to antibiotic classes according to disease
203 category. The top antibiotic class for respiratory tract infections (RTIs), urinary tract infections
204 (UTIs), gastrointestinal tract infections (GIT), and dental cases, were, penicillins, sulfonamides,
205 macrolides and sulfonamides, and macrolides, respectively (Fig. 1B).

206

207 Physicians (65%, 22/34) report pressure to prescribe antibiotics. The reasons for this pressure,
208 ranked from high to low, among participants who provided a reason, were the patients'
209 condition, 78% (14/18); perceived patient demand, 60% (12/20); fear of complications, 50%
210 (8/16); and need to provide rapid relief, 47% (7/15).

211

212 The main considerations for prescribing antibiotics were the patients' condition (94%, 32/34)
213 and case clinical severity (62%, 21/34). The results from Antimicrobial Sensitivity Testing (AST)
214 were a consideration only in about a third of respondents (29%, 10/34) – this being a Primary
215 level facility without institutional AST.

216

217 Antibiotic choice was primarily determined by availability (87%, 26/30). Secondary
218 considerations were inclusion in the Standard Treatment Guidelines (STG) or formulary (96%,
219 22/23); antibiotic spectrum (86%, 6/7); and patient symptoms (69%, 11/16). About one third
220 (29%, 10/34) of respondents usually prescribe 2-3 antibiotics per antibiotic prescribing episode.

221

222 Generic prescribing, in line with existing protocols, was practiced by all prescribers (100%,
223 34/34). However, slightly over three quarters (76%, 26/34) also reported the practice of
224 prescribing by brand names (likely outside the UNRWA system). The reasons for prescribing by
225 brand was the perception that these are more efficacious (85%, 22/26) and/or of better quality
226 (42%, 11/26) than generics.

227

228 The use of STG for prescribing was followed by 94% (32/34). There was limited AST capacity
229 (Fig. 2). While about half (56%, n=34) responded that there was the capacity to perform AST,
230 this was almost always (90%, n=34) off-site.

231

232

233 *Capability, opportunity and motivation for behavior change*

234 Knowledge: There was a high real knowledge of AMR among participants. The great majority of
235 prescribers correctly assessed the 7 knowledge statements (Table 2). All (100%, 34/34) knew
236 that antibiotics were ineffective against viruses, including those causing the common cold and
237 flu. Similarly, all (100, 34/34) had knowledge that every person treated with antibiotics is at an
238 increased risk for developing an antibiotic resistant infection. The correct responses to the
239 remaining 5 questions ranged from 82-97% (Table 2). The greatest relative knowledge gap was

240 the fact that antibiotic resistance can spread from person to person (82% correct answer,
241 n=34)¹⁷.

242
243 Awareness: There was good awareness of the WHO 5 moments of hand-hygiene. All but one
244 (97%, 33/34) could list the 5 moments. In contrast, there was poor awareness of the WHO
245 AWaRe classification of antibiotics. Among participants, 41% (14/34) had heard of this
246 classification.

247
248 Attitude: There was good attitude towards Infection Prevention and Control (hand hygiene)
249 measures. All (100%, 34/34) affirmed the need to perform hand hygiene as often as
250 recommended after contact with a patient or biological material.

251
252 Perceptions: Antimicrobial resistance was perceived to be a problem at both the PHC and
253 country-level (Fig. 3). Four out of every five (79%, n=34) participants thought AMR was a
254 problem at their PHC. Almost all 91% (31/34), thought AMR to be a problem in Jordan.

255
256 Antimicrobial resistance was perceived as a high or very high public health challenge in Jordan.
257 Most (88%, 30/34) rated the AMR challenge as either very high or high. Refugees were
258 perceived by 85% of respondents (n=34) to have a higher risk of AMR.

259
260 Increasing awareness among patients was perceived by almost all (94%, 32/34) prescribers as a
261 solution to the problem of AMR in Jordan. Other top suggestions were enforcing prescription
262 laws (85%, 29/34 of prescribers), increased AST (47%, 16/34); and training (38%, 13/34).

263
264 Opportunity: The aggregate opportunity score was 88%, indicating good opportunity for
265 rational prescribing (Table 3).

266
267 Motivation: There was poor motivation among participants (Table 4). Less than 1 in 5 either
268 believed that there was a correlation between their antibiotic prescribing and AMR (18%, 6/34),
269 or that they have a key role in the control of AMR (15%, 5/34).

270
271 *Perceived solutions to improving prescribing*

272 Among 14 options for improving prescribing, only 3 were “maybe acceptable” (Table 5). These
273 were: availability of local guidelines (74%); availability of resistance data (74%); and educational
274 sessions on prescribing (71%).

275
276 **Discussion**

277 Establishing needs through assessing behavioral, organizational and social barriers (including
278 knowledge and awareness) and enablers provides evidence to design context-specific
279 interventions aimed at curtailing the continuous spread of AMR. This study, conducted together
280 with UNRWA, Jordan field of operation, provides a baseline evaluation to establish the need
281 and parameters for intervention to designing, implementing and evaluation and monitoring of
282 an effective AMS program at UNRWA.

283
284 In 2017, The Hashemite Republic of Jordan, in line with the global recommendation of the
285 World Health Organization and the United Nations, formulated its National Action Plan to
286 combat AMR for the period 2018-2022¹⁸. This plan, one of few that are fully costed,
287 demonstrates the political commitment at a national level to addressing AMR in Jordan. The
288 goals in this plan include a 30% reduction in antimicrobial use in animals, a 20% reduction in
289 use in humans, and a 40% increase in public knowledge on AMR and awareness of appropriate
290 use of antimicrobials. These goals are operationalized in two strategic objectives to improve
291 knowledge on AMR and optimize use through AMS. These goals and objectives are shared by
292 UNRWA.

293
294 Similarly, the UNRWA health department has a stated policy to maintain antibiotic prescription
295 rates to less than 25%, reflecting earlier WHO recommendations on “optimum” antimicrobial
296 medicines use/stewardship. In 2020, the Jordan field office reported a 16.6% antibiotic
297 prescription rate, down from 21.3% in 2019, largely due to the impact of COVID-19 leading to a
298 decrease in outpatient consultations and rational medicines prescription^{19,20}.

299
300 There was a high level of awareness of AMR among prescribers. By far a majority of participants
301 rated AMR to be a challenge in Jordan as well as in their PHC. In addition, participants were of
302 the opinion that refugees are at a higher risk of AMR than the general population. A few studies
303 seem to suggest high carriage of AMR among the forcibly displaced probably as a result of
304 certain socioeconomic factors^{21,22}

305
306 Overall, measures for antibiotic prescribing align with the WHO/INRUD recommendations.
307 Most prescribers prescribe only 1 antibiotic per patient encounter or consultation; there is
308 generic prescribing; and the formulary or STG guides antibiotic selection. The UNRWA field
309 office has an electronic system that uses generic names and allows prescribing based only on
310 the included generic medicines as derived or selected from the WHO Essential Medicines List.

311
312 The antibiotic prescribing pattern at UNRWA’s PHC Jordan field seem to mirror the prescribing
313 pattern in the Middle East/North Africa (MENA) geographical region, with amoxicillin (including
314 amoxicillin/clavulanate) being the most commonly prescribed antibiotic in Yemen, as well as in
315 other states¹⁵. In Jordan, this is an established trend. A 2007 study by Al-Niemat et al, found
316 amoxicillin to be the most frequently prescribed²³. These results also agree broadly with a
317 similar study conducted earlier in 2014 across five tertiary or referral outpatient public hospital
318 pharmacies in Jordan which found amoxicillin to be the most prescribed antibiotic, followed by
319 ciprofloxacin²⁴. Current national level antimicrobial consumption records also show amoxicillin
320 and amoxicillin/clavulanate to be the most frequently consumed antibiotics in Jordan,
321 accounting for 47% of total antibiotic consumption in Defined Daily Doses per 10, 000
322 population (DID) in 2019 and 32% in 2020²⁵. The fall in 2020 was attributed to both COVID-19
323 and a simulated increase in other classes of antibiotics, compared to amoxicillin and the
324 clavulanate.

325

326 The implications of this use pattern of amoxicillin on AMR, or clinical effectiveness, is not
327 known. There is no information on the Global Antimicrobial Sensitivity Surveillance System
328 (GLASS) for amoxicillin resistance. However, studies indicate very high levels of resistance
329 (>80%) to this antibiotic in cases of Urinary Tract Infections, including those caused by Extended
330 Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) producing bacteria, in hospitalized children for the period
331 2012-2017²⁶. A more recent, smaller, study found *P aeruginosa* to be 100% resistant to
332 amoxicillin²⁷.

333
334 Antimicrobial prescribing, in practice, is a multi-layer exercise whereby the prescriber may have
335 to balance certain considerations to resolve a case. These considerations are largely context
336 specific. In this study, we found that physicians prioritized the condition of the patient in
337 prescribing with the majority considering the condition of the patient in almost all cases, and or
338 the clinical severity.

339
340 In line with common global practice, though, antibiotics were prescribed empirically, with
341 limited considerations for AST. The apparent low prioritization of AST by prescribers is similar
342 to another study in Yemen, though in this Jordan study, a higher proportion of prescribers rated
343 as important the use of AST to guide rational prescribing than in Yemen, suggesting a higher
344 level of awareness²⁸. The UNRWA PHC, it is to be noted, do not provide for AST services, being
345 functionally out-patient level facilities. While there are no institutional AST services, some
346 patients may go to private sector to do AST (as the Jordan field patients can access health
347 services from both public and private sectors).

348
349 However, there may be a need to introduce AST or rapid diagnostic device services at the PHC
350 to account for resistance rates. For example, results from GLASS, 2018, show that co-
351 trimoxazole, the most commonly prescribed antibiotic for UTIs in this study would not be
352 effective in 53% of cases. One study on antimicrobial use and resistance in a tertiary hospital in
353 Jordan found an overall resistance prevalence of 26% across a panel of antibiotics including
354 amoxicillin and the others commonly prescribed in this study in 33 patients receiving an
355 antibiotic for a range of infections²⁹.

356
357 The use of a formulary, STG, or Essential Medicines List (EML) to guide antibiotic prescription is
358 a AMS recommendation. This study suggests that prescribers follow this guideline in largely
359 making decisions on choice of antibiotic to prescribe from the guidelines. Indeed, the UNRWA
360 PHCs use an electronic prescribing and dispensing system that prevents prescribing outside this
361 system. However, as the illustration with amoxicillin use and resistance shows, there is need for
362 underlying dynamic resistance data to better guide antibiotic choice.

363 **Conclusion**

364
365
366 This study assessed the knowledge, attitude and practices of prescribers at the UNRWA primary
367 healthcare facilities in Jordan. There was a high knowledge of AMR and good opportunities, but

368 poor motivation for rational prescribing or behavioral change. There is a clinical need for
369 antimicrobial resistance data to promote rational antibiotic prescribing.

370

371

372

373 **Acknowledgments**

374 This work was made possible by support from the Boston University Social Innovation on Drug
375 Resistance (SIDR) Postdoctoral Program to ESFO and the U.S. Pharmacopeial Convention (USP)
376 Quality Institute to CC.

377

378 **Financial Support**

379 We confirm no financial support was received for this project.

380

381 **Disclosures regarding real or perceived conflicts of interest.**

382 None to declare.

383

384 **Co-Author Contact Information.**

385 1. Albeik S; Department of health, headquarters, Amman, Jordan; S.albaik@unrwa.org

386 2. Ching C; Department of Biomedical Engineering, College of Engineering, Boston University,
387 Boston, United States of America; chingc@bu.edu

388 3. Hussein R; Department of Biomedical Engineering, College of Engineering, Boston University,
389 Boston, United States of America; ranahu@bu.edu

390 4. Mousa A; Department of health, headquarters, Amman, Jordan; ay.mousa@unrwa.org

391 5. Horino M; Department of health, headquarters, Amman, Jordan; m.horino@unrwa.org

392 6. Naqa R; Department of health, Jordan Field office, Amman, Jordan; r.naqa@unrwa.org

393 7. Elayyan M; Department of health, Jordan Field office, Amman, Jordan;
394 m.elayyan@unrwa.org

395 8. Saadeh R ; Department of health, headquarters, Amman, Jordan; r.saadeh@unrwa.org

396 9. Zaman MH; Department of Biomedical Engineering, College of Engineering, Boston
397 University, Boston, United States of America; zaman@bu.edu

398

399

400

401

402
403
404
405
406
407

References:

1. United Nations., 2018. Antimicrobial resistance a “global health emergency,” UN, ahead of awareness week. Available at: <https://news.un.org/en/node/1025511/antimicrobial-resistance-a-global-health-emergency-un-ahead-of-awareness-week-2>. Accessed. November 2018
2. Toner E, Adalja A, Gronvall GK, Cicero A, Inglesby TV., 2015. Antimicrobial resistance is a global health emergency. *Health Secur* 13: 153–155
3. Collignon P, Beggs JJ, Walsh TR, Gandra S, Laxminarayan R., 2018. Anthropological and socioeconomic factors contributing to global antimicrobial resistance: a univariate and multivariable analysis. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 2: e398–e405
4. World Bank., 2017. *Drug-Resistant Infections: A Threat to Our Economic Future*. Washington, DC
5. Abbara A, Rawson TM, Karah N, El-Amin W, Hatcher J, Tajaldin B, Dar O, Dewachi O, Abu Sitta G, Uhlin BE, Sparrow A., 2018. A summary and appraisal of existing evidence of antimicrobial resistance in the Syrian conflict. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases* 75: 26–33
6. Kanapathipillai R, Malou N, Hopman J, Bowman C, Yousef N, Michel J, Hussein N, Herard P, Ousley J, Mills C, Seguin C, Saim M., 2019. Antibiotic resistance in conflict settings: lessons learned in the Middle East. *JAC-Antimicrobial Resistance* 1
7. Haraoui DL-P., 2019. Armed conflicts and antimicrobial resistance: A deadly convergence. *AMR Control*: 5
8. McAuliffe M, Khadria B, Bauloz C., 2019. *World migration report 2020*. Geneva: IOM
9. Al Baz M, Law MR, Saadeh R., 2018. Antibiotics use among Palestine refugees attending UNRWA primary health care centers in Jordan – A cross-sectional study. *Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease* 22: 25–29
10. Eysenbach G., 2004. Improving the Quality of Web Surveys: The Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). *J Med Internet Res* 6: e34
11. Turki Y, Saleh S, Albaik S, Barham Y, van de Vrie D, Shahin Y, Hababeh M, Armagan M, Seita A., 2020. Assessment of the knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) among UNRWA* health staff in Jordan concerning mental health programme pre-implementation: a cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems* 14: 54
12. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control., 2019. *Survey of healthcare workers’ knowledge, attitudes and behaviours on antibiotics, antibiotic use and antibiotic resistance in the EU/EEA*. LU: Publications Office
13. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Roque F, Falcão A, Figueiras A, Herdeiro MT., 2013. Understanding physician antibiotic prescribing behaviour: a systematic review of qualitative studies. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* 41: 203–212
14. Spervovasilis N, Ierodiakonou D, Milioni A, Markaki L, Kofteridis DP, Tsioutis C., 2020. Assessing the knowledge, attitudes and perceptions of junior doctors on antimicrobial use and antimicrobial resistance in Greece. *Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance* 21: 296–302
15. Orubu ESF, Al-Dheeb N, Ching C, Bu Jawdeh S, Anderson J, Sheikh R, Hariri F, Basaleem H, Zaman MH., 2021. Assessing Antimicrobial Resistance, Utilization, and Stewardship in Yemen: An Exploratory Mixed-Methods Study. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*: tpmd210101
16. Seid MA, Hussen MS., 2018. Knowledge and attitude towards antimicrobial resistance among final year undergraduate paramedical students at University of Gondar, Ethiopia. *BMC Infect Dis* 18: 312

17. Ashiru-Oredope D, Hopkins S, Vasandani S, Umoh E, Oloyede O, Nilsson A, Kinsman J, Elsert L, Monnet DL, Group the #ECDCAntibioticSurvey PA., 2021. Healthcare workers' knowledge, attitudes and behaviours with respect to antibiotics, antibiotic use and antibiotic resistance across 30 EU/EEA countries in 2019. *Eurosurveillance* 26: 1900633
18. Ministry of Health., 2017. National Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance Containment Jordan
19. United Nations Relief and Works Agency., 2021. UNRWA Health Department Annual Report 2020. Available at:
https://www.unrwa.org/sites/default/files/content/resources/unrwa_health_department_annual_report_2020_-_final-compressed.pdf. Accessed. 2021
20. United Nations Relief and Works Agency., 2019. UNRWA Health Department Annual Report. Available at:
https://www.unrwa.org/sites/default/files/content/resources/health_department_annual_report_2019_-_final.pdf. Accessed. 2019
21. Kassem DF, Hoffmann Y, Shahar N, Ocampo S, Salomon L, Zonis Z, Glikman D., 2017. Multidrug-Resistant Pathogens in Hospitalized Syrian Children. *Emerg Infect Dis* 23: 166–168
22. Maltezou HC, Theodoridou M, Daikos GL., 2017. Antimicrobial resistance and the current refugee crisis. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist* 10: 75–79
23. Al-Niemat SI, Bloukh DT, Al-Harasis MD, Al-Fanek AF, Salah RK., 2008. Drug use evaluation of antibiotics prescribed in a Jordanian hospital outpatient and emergency clinics using WHO prescribing indicators. *Saudi Med J* 29: 743–748
24. Al-Azayzih A, Al-Azzam SI, Alzoubi KH, Shawaqfeh M, Masadeh MM., 2017. Evaluation of drug-prescribing patterns based on the WHO prescribing indicators at outpatient clinics of five hospitals in Jordan: a cross-sectional study. *CP* 55: 425–432
25. Al-Azzam S, Mhaidat NM, Banat HA, Alfaour M, Ahmad DS, Muller A, Al-Nuseirat A, Lattyak EA, Conway BR, Aldeyab MA., 2021. An Assessment of the Impact of Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Pandemic on National Antimicrobial Consumption in Jordan. *Antibiotics* 10: 690
26. Albaramki JH, Abdelghani T, Dalaeen A, Khair Ahmad F, Allassaf A, Odeh R, Akl K., 2019. Urinary tract infection caused by extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing bacteria: Risk factors and antibiotic resistance. *Pediatrics International* 61: 1127–1132
27. Tarazi YH, Abu-Basha E, Ismail ZB, Al-Jawasreh SI., 2021. Antimicrobial susceptibility of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from drinking water and hospitalized patients in Jordan. *Acta Tropica* 217: 105859
28. Orubu ESF, Najwa A, Ching C, Jawdeh SB, Anderson J, Sheikh R, Hariri F, Basaleem H, Zaman MH., 2021. Assessing antimicrobial resistance, utilization and stewardship in Yemen: An exploratory mixed-methods study. *medRxiv*: 2021.01.27.21250628
29. Abu Hammour K, AL-Heyari E, Allan A, Versporten A, Goossens H, Abu Hammour G, Manaseer Q., 2020. Antimicrobial Consumption and Resistance in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Jordan: Results of an Internet-Based Global Point Prevalence Survey. *Antibiotics* 9: 598

Table 1 Interview guide for the knowledge, attitudes, and practices survey of prescribers at UNRWA PHC, Jordan showing sections, questions, and response types. Section I collected the characteristics of clientele and prescribers. Section II describes current prescribing behavior and practices. Section III assessed knowledge and attitudes on rational prescribing mapped onto the COM-B framework.

| Section/Domain | Questions | Response |
|--|--|--|
| Section I. Demographic characteristics | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Have you prescribed an antibiotic within the past 6 months? 2) How many patients do you see on a daily basis? 3) Among the patients you see, approximately what percentage are children under 12 years old? 4) Among the patients you see, approximately what percentage are refugees (all nationalities)? 5) How many prescriptions for antibiotics have you written in the last 7 days? 6) How many patients, on average, do you see in a month? 7) How many years of practice experience do you have? 8) What is your specialty? | Multiple choice questions – Yes/No; others |
| Section II. A. Prescribing behavior/practices | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Are antibiotic susceptibility tests performed before antibiotic selection? 2) If not, why? (Please, check all that apply) [Availability, Time pressure, Other _____] 3) If yes, how often? 4) If yes, in what cases are antibiotic susceptibility tests usually used? (List up to three) 5) What is your main consideration for prescribing antibiotics? (Please, specify all that apply) 6) Do you feel pressured to prescribe antibiotics? 7) If yes, are any of these reasons that you feel pressured to prescribed antibiotics: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patient demand • Condition of patient • Fear of complications • Need to provide rapid relief 8) Please specify other reasons that you feel pressured to prescribe antibiotics _____ 9) Do you usually prescribe antibiotics by generic or brand name? 10) If you prescribe by brand, what are your reasons for doing so? (Please, specify all that apply) [Clinical efficacy [<i>high bacterial resistance to generics/better quality/others</i>]] 11) What are the top three things you considered for antibiotic CHOICE when you prescribe? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Availability of antibiotic in the facility • Antibiotic susceptibility testing information • Broad-spectrum nature of antibiotic • Symptoms of patient • Other: (Please list) _____ • Other: (Please list) _____ • Other: (Please list) _____ 12) What is your main consideration for prescribing antibiotics? (Please, specify all that apply) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Antibiotic susceptibility testing information ○ Symptoms of patient ○ Clinical severity of case ○ Other: Please, specify _____ 13) Is it possible to perform laboratory antibiotic susceptibility testing on-site or off-site? | Multiple choice questions – Yes/No; others |

| Section | Questions | Response | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|------------|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|------------|
| <p>Section II. B. Antibiotic prescribing pattern</p> | <p>1) Please select the top three antibiotics that you usually prescribe?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amoxicillin (including amoxicillin-clavulanate) • Azithromycin • Cefuroxime • Ciprofloxacin • Co-trimoxazole • Metronidazole • Other: (Please list) _____ • Other: (Please list) _____ • Other: (Please list) _____ <p>2) When you prescribe antibiotics for a patient, do you usually prescribe? [Combination products count as 1]</p> <p>3) Please indicate which GROUPs are MOST FREQUENTLY prescribed for the following infections?</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Respiratory Tract Infections</th> <th>Urinary Tract Infections</th> <th>Gastro-Intestinal Diseases</th> <th>Dental Infections</th> <th>Skin & Soft Tissue infections</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Penicillins</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cephalosporins</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fluoroquinolones</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Macrolides</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trimethoprim/sulfonamides</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other (please specify)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | Respiratory Tract Infections | Urinary Tract Infections | Gastro-Intestinal Diseases | Dental Infections | Skin & Soft Tissue infections | Penicillins | | | | | | Cephalosporins | | | | | | Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | Macrolides | | | | | | Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | | | | | | Other (please specify) | | | | | | <p>MCQ</p> |
| | Respiratory Tract Infections | Urinary Tract Infections | Gastro-Intestinal Diseases | Dental Infections | Skin & Soft Tissue infections | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Macrolides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trimethoprim/sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other (please specify) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>Section III. Capability, Opportunity, Motivation-Behaviour</p> | <p><i>Capability – Knowledge – assumed (1,3); real (2) & Infection Prevention and Control (4)</i></p> <p>1) To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ I know what antibiotic resistance is ○ I know what information to give to individuals about prudent use of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance ○ I know sufficient knowledge about how to use antibiotics appropriately for my current practice <p>2) Please answer whether you believe each of these statements to be True or False:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Antibiotics are effective against viruses • Antibiotics are effective against cold and flu • Unnecessary use of antibiotics makes them become ineffective • Taking antibiotics has associated side effects such as diarrhea, colitis, or allergy • Every person treated with antibiotics is at an increased risk of antibiotic-resistant infection • Antibiotic resistant bacteria can spread from person to person • Healthy people can carry antibiotic resistant bacteria <p>3) To what extent do you agree or disagree that the following environmental and animal health factors are important in contributing to antibiotic resistance in bacteria from humans?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Environmental factors such as waste water in the environment ○ Excessive use of antibiotics in livestock and food production <p>4) Do you need to perform hand hygiene (as often as recommended) if you have used gloves in contact with patients or biological material?</p> | <p>5-point Likert Scale (from left to right; Strongly disagree to Strongly agree)</p> <p>MCQ – True or False</p> <p>5-point Likert Scale (from left to right; Strongly disagree to Strongly agree)</p> <p>Yes/No</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Section | Questions | Response |
|---------|--|---|
| | <p><i>Capability –Attitudes or assumptions on AMR (1-5); awareness of WHO Guidelines (6-7)</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Do you think antibiotic resistance is a problem in Jordan? 2) Do you think Refugees have a higher risk of antibiotic resistance than the general population? 3) Do you think antibiotic resistance is a problem in your primary health care facility? 4) How do you suggest the problem of antibiotic resistance can be tackled in Jordan? (Choose ALL that apply) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Increase testing for antibiotic susceptibility o Increase awareness among patients o Enforce prescription laws for the dispensing of antibiotics o Improved training of doctors and pharmacists o Other _____ 5) How often do you see treatment failure within a month (no response to therapy, switch to new antibiotic, or addition of new antibiotic)? [<10%, 11-15%, 26-50%,>50%] 6) a. To optimize the use of antibiotics, the WHO has classified antibiotics as Access, Watch, & Reserve. Have you heard about these categories? b. If yes, do they affect your prescribing? 7) Can you list the WHO's five moments of hand hygiene? | <p>MCQ – Yes/No; others</p> |
| | <p><i>Motivation</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a connection between my prescribing of antibiotics and emergence and spread of antibiotic resistant bacteria • I have a key role in helping control antibiotic resistance | <p>5-point Likert Scale (from left to right; Strongly disagree to Strongly agree)</p> |
| | <p><i>Opportunity</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o I have easy access to guidelines I need on managing infections o I have easy access to the materials I need to give advice on prudent antibiotic use and antibiotic resistance o I have good opportunities to provide advice on prudent use to individuals (patients/public) o I am confident making antibiotic prescribing decisions o I have confidence in the antibiotic guidelines available to me o I consider antibiotic resistance when treating a patient o I feel supported to not prescribe antibiotics when they are not necessary 2) In your facility, do you follow Standard Treatment Guidelines (or a guide specific to prescribing antibiotics)? 3) Does your facility have a Drug/Pharmacy and Therapeutic Committee (DTC/PTC)? 4) a. Is there a Healthcare Facility (or hospital) Formulary or an Essential Medicine List? b. If yes, which (Please, check all that apply)? | <p>5-point Likert Scale (from left to right; Strongly disagree to Strongly agree)</p> <p>Yes/No</p> |

| Section | Questions | Response |
|---|---|---|
| IV. Perceived strategies to improve prescribing | <p>1) What measures do you think would be the most helpful in improving antibiotic prescribing?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Educational sessions on prescribing • Availability of local / national guidelines / policies / protocols • Availability of local/national resistance data • Computer-aided prescribing • Presence of an antimicrobial management team • Readily accessible microbiological advice • Readily accessible advice from Infectious Disease physician • Readily accessible advice from a pharmacist • Readily accessible advice from infection control team • Advice from senior colleagues • Speaking to a pharmaceutical representative • Restriction of prescription of certain antibiotics • Restriction of prescription of all antibiotics • Regular audit and feedback on antibiotic prescribing in your PHC | 5-point Likert Scale (from left to right; Very helpful to Very unhelpful) |

Notes:

1. Capability here is a combination of knowledge (actual or real and assumed or perceived), AND infection prevention and control (hand washing).

Table2 Real knowledge scores among prescribers at the UNRWA PHC, Jordan

| | | TRUE, n | FALSE, n | Correct answer | Correct (%) |
|----------|---|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| a | Antibiotics are effective against viruses | 0 | 34 | FALSE | 100% |
| b | Antibiotics are effective against cold and flu | 0 | 34 | FALSE | 100% |
| c | Taking antibiotics has associated side effects such as diarrhea, colitis, or allergy | 34 | 0 | TRUE | 100% |
| d | Unnecessary use of antibiotics makes them become ineffective | 33 | 1 | TRUE | 97% |
| e | Antibiotic resistant bacteria can spread from person to person | 30 | 4 | TRUE | 88% |
| f | Every person treated with antibiotics is at an increased risk of antibiotic resistant infection | 34 | 0 | TRUE | 100% |
| g | Healthy people can carry antibiotic resistant bacteria | 32 | 2 | TRUE | 94% |
| | Mean/aggregate real knowledge score | | | | 97% |

Table 3 Opportunity to improve prescribing behavior

| | | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neither disagree nor agree | Agree | Strongly agree | Total | Agree |
|----------|---|-------------------|----------|----------------------------|-------|----------------|-------|-------|
| a | I have easy access to guidelines I need on managing infections | 2 | | | 21 | 11 | 34 | 94% |
| b | I am confident making antibiotic prescribing decisions | | 2 | 1 | 21 | 10 | 34 | 91% |
| c | I have good opportunities to provide advice on prudent use to individuals (patients/public) | | 2 | 2 | 19 | 11 | 34 | 88% |
| d | I have confidence in the antibiotic guidelines available to me | | 3 | 1 | 20 | 10 | 34 | 88% |
| e | I consider antibiotic resistance when treating a patient | 2 | | 3 | 17 | 12 | 34 | 85% |
| f | I have easy access to the materials I need to give advice on prudent antibiotic use and antibiotic resistance | | 4 | 1 | 18 | 11 | 34 | 85% |
| g | I feel supported to not prescribe antibiotics when they are not necessary | 1 | 2 | 3 | 18 | 10 | 34 | 82% |
| | Aggregate opportunity score | | | | | | | 88% |

Note: *Agree* is the combined proportion of “agree” and “strongly agree” responses expressed as percentage of all respondents (n=34).

Table 4 Motivation for behavior change towards rational prescribing assessed by two statements and aggregate scores

| | | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neither disagree nor agree | Agree | Strongly agree | Agree |
|----------|---|-------------------|----------|----------------------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| a | There is a connection between my prescribing of antibiotics and emergence and spread of antibiotic resistant bacteria | 4 | 18 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 18% |
| b | I have a key role in helping control antibiotic resistance | 8 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 15% |
| | Aggregate motivation | | | | | | 16% |

Note: *Agree* is the combined proportion of “agree” and “strongly agree” responses expressed as percentage of all respondents (n=34).


Table 5 Options to improve antibiotic prescribing ranked by aggregate perceptions of “helpful” options among prescribers at UNRWA PHC, Jordan

| No. | Options | Responses (no. of participants) | | | | Helpful |
|-----|---|---------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | Very helpful | Helpful | Neutral | Unhelpful | |
| 1 | Availability of local / national guidelines / policies / protocols | 7 | 18 | 9 | | 74% |
| 2 | Availability of local/national resistance data | 4 | 21 | 9 | | 74% |
| 3 | Educational sessions on prescribing | 8 | 16 | 10 | | 71% |
| 4 | Regular audit and feedback on antibiotic prescribing in your facility | 7 | 16 | 10 | 1 | 68% |
| 5 | Computer-aided prescribing | 5 | 18 | 11 | | 68% |
| 6 | Readily accessible microbiological advice | 4 | 18 | 11 | 1 | 65% |
| 7 | Restriction of prescription of all antibiotics | 6 | 16 | 10 | 2 | 65% |
| 8 | Restriction of prescription of certain antibiotics | 5 | 16 | 12 | 1 | 62% |
| 9 | Presence of an antimicrobial management team | 4 | 16 | 13 | 1 | 59% |
| 10 | Readily accessible advice from a pharmacist | 6 | 14 | 13 | 1 | 59% |
| 11 | Readily accessible advice from infection control team | 5 | 13 | 16 | | 53% |
| 12 | Speaking to a pharmaceutical representative | 5 | 13 | 14 | 2 | 53% |
| 13 | Readily accessible advice from Infectious disease physician | 5 | 12 | 16 | 1 | 50% |
| 14 | Advice from senior colleagues | 5 | 12 | 16 | 1 | 50% |


Note: *Helpful* is the proportion of “very helpful” and “helpful” responses expressed as percentage of all respondents (34).

A


Prescribers Selection of Antibiotics

**B**

Selection by Disease Category




i AST Possible (on-site or off-site)




■ 56% Yes
■ 44% No

ii AST on-site or off-site



■ 9.5% On-site
■ 90.5% Off-site

A**B**