

1 **Lower hypothalamus subunit volumes link with long-term**
2 **growth failure after preterm birth: a longitudinal case-**
3 **control study**

4
5 **Running title:** Hypothalamus, growth failure, and prematurity

6
7 **Authors:**

8 Tobias Ruzok^{1,2}, Benita Schmitz-Koep^{1,2}, Aurore Menegaux^{1,2}, Robert Eves^{3,4}, Marcel
9 Daamen^{5,6}, Henning Boecker⁵, Esther Rieger-Fackeldey⁷, Josef Priller^{8,9,10}, Claus Zimmer^{1,2},
10 Peter Bartmann⁶, Dieter Wolke^{3,11}, Christian Sorg^{1,2,8,†} and Dennis M. Hedderich^{1,2,†}

11
12 **Author affiliations:**

13 1 Department of Neuroradiology, Klinikum rechts der Isar, School of Medicine, Technical
14 University of Munich, Munich, Germany

15 2 TUM-NIC, Technical University of Munich Neuroimaging Center, Munich, Germany

16 3 Department of Psychology, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

17 4 Department of Psychology, Bielefeld University, Bielefeld, Germany

18 5 Clinical Functional Imaging Group, Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiol-
19 ogy, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany

20 6 Department of Neonatology, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany

21 7 Department of Neonatology, Klinikum rechts der Isar, School of Medicine, Technical
22 University of Munich, Munich, Germany

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

23 8 Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Klinikum rechts der Isar, School of Medi-
24 cine, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany
25 9 Neuropsychiatry, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin and German Center for
26 Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Berlin, Germany
27 10 University of Edinburgh and UK Dementia Research Institute (UK DRI), Edinburgh, UK
28 11 Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

29

30 †These authors contributed equally to this work.

31

32 **Correspondence to:** Tobias Ruzok, Department of Neuroradiology, Klinikum rechts der Isar,
33 School of Medicine, Technical University of Munich, Ismaninger Straße 22, 81675 Munich,
34 Germany. Email: tobias.ruzok@tum.de

35 **Abstract**

36 **Background:** Preterm birth is associated with an increased risk for impaired growth. While it
37 is known that in prematurity several somatic to environmental factors such as endocrine factors
38 or nutrition modulate short- and long-term growth failure, the contribution of potentially im-
39 paired growth control in the brain remains elusive. We hypothesized that the structure of hy-
40 pothalamic nuclei involved in growth control is altered after preterm birth, with these altera-
41 tions being associated with aberrant weight development into adulthood.

42 **Methods:** We assessed 101 very preterm (i.e., <32 weeks of gestational age) and/or very low
43 birth weight (i.e., <1500g; VP/VLBW) and 110 full-term born (FT) adults of the population-
44 based Bavarian Longitudinal Study with T1-weighted MRI, deep learning-based hypothalamus
45 subunit segmentation, and multiple body weight assessments from birth into adulthood.

46 **Results:** Volumes of the whole hypothalamus and hypothalamus subunits relevant for growth
47 control were reduced in VP/VLBW adults and associated with birth variables (i.e., gestational
48 age and intensity of neonatal treatment), body weight (i.e., weight at birth and adulthood), and
49 growth trajectories (i.e., trajectory slopes and trajectories of those small for gestational age and
50 with long-term catch-up growth). Concerning VP/VLBW weight trajectories, relatively larger
51 hypothalamic volumes comparable to those of FT adults, especially in subunits including the
52 lateral hypothalamus, were associated with favorable long-term growth trajectories.

53 **Conclusions:** Results demonstrate lower volumes of growth control-related hypothalamus sub-
54 units after preterm birth that link with long-term growth failure. Data suggest postnatal devel-
55 opment of growth-related hypothalamic nuclei in VP/VLBW individuals that corresponds with
56 distinct body growth trajectories into adulthood.

57 **Funding:** This study was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (BA 6370/2-1
58 to C.S.), the German Federal Ministry of Education and Science (BMBF 01ER0801 to P.B.
59 and D.W., BMBF 01ER0803 to C.S.) and the Kommission für Klinische Forschung, Techni-

60 sche Universität München (KKF 8700000474 to D.M.H.).

61 **Clinical trial number:** -

62

63 **Keywords:** Growth failure; hypothalamus subunits; preterm birth; small for gestational age;
64 catch-up growth; magnetic resonance imaging.

65

66 **Abbreviations:** AGA/LGA = appropriate/large for gestational age; BW = birth weight; FT =
67 full-term; GA = gestational age; HYP = hypothalamus; INTI = intensity of neonatal treatment
68 index; SGA = small for gestational age; TIV = total intracranial volume; VP/VLBW = very
69 preterm/very low birth weight.

70 **Introduction**

71 Preterm birth is defined as birth before 37 weeks of gestational age (GA) and is frequent with
72 a worldwide prevalence of about 11%.¹ Preterm birth is associated with increased risks for
73 somatic, behavioral, and neuro-cognitive impairments, such as metabolic or cardio-vascular
74 disorders^{2,3} or lower IQ.^{4,5} Concerning metabolic impairments, preterm birth elevates the risk
75 for growth failure, with increasing risks for those born very preterm (i.e., <32 GA) and/or with
76 very low birth weight (i.e., <1500g; VP/VLBW); postnatal to long-term growth failure is
77 broadly defined as the failure to achieve the growth potential expected for an individual at a
78 certain age.⁶⁻⁸ While progress in modern neonatal management overcomes postnatal differ-
79 ences in body height, body weight differences of VP/VLBW compared to full-term born (FT)
80 infants are not yet compensated.⁹ Particularly, VP/VLBW infants born below the 10th percen-
81 tile of weight for their gestational age (i.e., born small for gestational age, SGA) have larger
82 hazards for postnatal growth failure and/or failed long-term catch-up growth, which, in turn, is
83 associated with increased risks for long-term morbidity.^{8,10,11} Indeed, growth failure links with
84 increased risks for neurodevelopmental impairment, dyslipidemia, impaired glucose tolerance,
85 and diabetes mellitus-type-II.¹²⁻¹⁴ Moreover, growth failure represents a complex and multifac-
86 torial condition modified by maternal, genetic, fetal, environmental, nutritional, stress-related,
87 and endocrine factors.^{10,11,15} While our knowledge about environmental to somatic factors is
88 remarkable, we still do not know, however, whether altered brain mechanisms of growth con-
89 trol are also associated with aberrant body weight development after preterm birth.

90
91 The hypothalamus is critically involved in growth control.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ It is a highly conserved brain
92 structure across vertebrates, surrounding the infundibular recess of the forebrain's third ventri-
93 cle and consisting of at least 13 interconnected nuclei.¹⁹ Although its neurogenesis starts al-
94 ready during gestational week 9 in humans, its development is not terminated until the third

95 trimester of pregnancy,²⁰ with subsequent development ranging from neuronal migration, axon
96 extension, dendritic arborization and synaptogenesis to myelination and epigenetic modifica-
97 tions.²¹ It is known that the hypothalamus controls a variety of basic physiological-behavioral
98 processes, from circadian rhythms to drinking, feeding, sexual, and threat behavior.¹⁸ In par-
99 ticular, several hypothalamic nuclei, including the paraventricular, infundibular, dorso-/ven-
100 tromedial nucleus, and the lateral hypothalamus, are specifically involved in the control of
101 appetite, food intake, and growth, including body weight.^{16,17} While most evidence about hy-
102 pothalamic functions stems from animal studies (e.g.,²²), neuroimaging studies in humans have
103 linked altered hypothalamic structure with impaired body weight control e.g., in obesity and
104 anorexia nervosa.^{23,24}

105

106 In-vivo imaging of the hypothalamus at a nuclear level in humans is challenging due to sur-
107 rounding grey matter and its small size of about 1cm³.^{25,26} It therefore requires both, high spatial
108 resolution imaging and optimal delineation methodology. While previous approaches on de-
109 lineation relied on manual, semi-automated or automated Bayesian or multi-atlas segmentation
110 techniques (see overview in²⁷), recent improvements in deep learning-based segmentation (i.e.,
111 automated deep convolutional neural networks)²⁸ enable highly reliable hypothalamus deline-
112 ation, sensitive to inter-individual differences and, most importantly, sensitive to the identifi-
113 cation of hypothalamus subsegments that can be mapped on nuclei that are relevant for body
114 weight control (Figure 1).

115

116 We assessed the role of the hypothalamus in body growth control in VP/VLBW individuals by
117 addressing the following hypotheses: After preterm birth, (i) the structure of whole hypothala-
118 mus and hypothalamic nuclei involved in growth control is altered, and (ii) these alterations
119 are associated (a) with aberrant weight development into adulthood, particularly in individuals

120 (b) born SGA and (c) with long-term catch-up growth. To test these hypotheses, we assessed
121 101 VP/VLBW and 110 FT adults of the population-based Bavarian Longitudinal Study (BLS)
122 with T1-weighted MRI, deep learning-based hypothalamus segmentation, hypothalamic vol-
123 ume as proxy for hypothalamic structure, and multiple body weight assessments, including
124 both slope and cluster analysis of long-term body weight development trajectories, from birth
125 to adulthood.

126 **Methods**

127 **Participants**

128 Data for this study were derived from the Bavarian Longitudinal Study, a geographically de-
129 fined whole population study of neonatal at-risk VP/VLBW and FT individuals, described in
130 detail in²⁹, lastly in³⁰, and in the supplement. Briefly, we used data from 101 VP/VLBW indi-
131 viduals and 111 FT controls who underwent MRI scan at age of 26y and life-long longitudinal
132 body weight assessment. MRI took place at two sites, namely Department of Neuroradiology,
133 Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technical University of Munich (n=146), and Department of Radiol-
134 ogy, University Hospital of Bonn (n=66). For a detailed flowchart of participants through the
135 whole study see Supplementary Fig. S1 and³⁰.

136 **Gestation, medical impairments at birth, and body growth**

137 We performed canonical measurements of gestational age (GA), medical treatment at birth,
138 and body weight development, described in detail previously³⁰ and in the supplement. Briefly,
139 GA was estimated from maternal reports on the first day of the last menstrual period and from
140 serial ultrasounds during pregnancy. To estimate medical impairments at birth, Intensity of
141 Neonatal Treatment Index (INTI) was calculated via daily assessments of care level, respira-
142 tory support, feeding dependency, and neurological status (including mobility, muscle tone,
143 and neurological excitability; Supplementary Table S1 for variable description). Longitudinal
144 body weight measurements were undertaken at birth, at five and 20 months corrected for prem-
145 aturity, at 56 months, 6, 8 and 26 years of chronological age, using predefined protocols.³¹ For
146 growth analysis, we transformed body weight measurements from [g]/[kg] into z-scores rela-
147 tive to the exact age of each participant, typically used for the description of longitudinal
148 changes of growth. “Small for gestational age” (SGA) versus “appropriate/large for gestational

149 age” (AGA/LGA) was determined by birth weight (BW) z-scores being below (equivalent to
150 z-scores < -1.282) versus above the 10th percentile, respectively.³² Within the SGA cohort,
151 successful/failed endpoint catch-up growth was defined as an adult body weight z-score at age
152 26 above/below the 10th percentile i.e., we focused on long-term growth failure.⁶
153 For body growth trajectory analysis, we performed both trajectory slope and trajectory type
154 analysis using Python version 3.7.10 and especially the “scikit-learn” package, a machine
155 learning focused Python library. For trajectory slope analysis, linear regression of longitudinal
156 body weight z-scores (non-interpolated) was performed to receive regressed body weight tra-
157 jectories; change of body weight z-scores from birth until adulthood of regressed body weight
158 trajectories defined delta slopes. For trajectory type analysis, we clustered VP/VLBW weight
159 development trajectories via k-means algorithm in Python (`sklearn.cluster.KMeans`). The num-
160 ber of times the k-means algorithm was run with different centroid seeds as default initializa-
161 tion was $N=100$.

162 **MRI data acquisition and hypothalamus segmentation**

163 MRI data acquisition (for details see³⁰) was performed on Philips Achieva 3 T systems or
164 Philips Ingenia 3T systems using an 8-channel SENSE head coil. Subject distribution among
165 scanners was: Bonn Achieva 3T: 5 VP/VLBW, 11 FT; Bonn Ingenia 3T: 33 VP/VLBW, 17
166 FT; Munich Achieva 3T: 60 VP/VLBW, 65 FT; Munich Ingenia 3T: 3 VP/VLBW, 17 FT.
167 Across all scanners sequence parameters were kept identical, namely high-resolution T1-
168 weighted 3D magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo sequence with $TI=1.3ms$,
169 $TR=7.7ms$, $TE=3.9ms$, flip angle= 15° , 180 sagittal slices, $FOV=256\times 256\times 180mm$, reconstruc-
170 tion matrix= 256×256 , and reconstructed isotropic voxel size= $1mm^3$. To account for possible
171 confounds by scanner differences, MRI data analyses included scanner dummy variables as
172 covariates of no interest.

173 T1-weighted MRI scans in Nifti-format were processed by using FreeSurfer version 7.1.1
174 (<http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/>), which includes a deep convolutional neural network tool
175 of Billot et al.²⁸ that enables for automated segmentation of the hypothalamus, including sub-
176 segment parcellation (Fig. 1A). To focus on hypothalamic nuclei involved in growth control,
177 we mapped subsegments from the segmentation algorithm that contained nuclei relevant for
178 growth control onto three so-called subunits of growth control, namely PVN.DM.LH⁺,
179 INF.VM⁺ and LH⁺ (see Figure 1B and legend description).

180 **Statistical analysis**

181 Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 27 (IBM SPSS Statistics). Regarding
182 demographical characteristics, group differences between VP/VLBW and FT cohorts were as-
183 sessed using chi-square tests (sex) and two-sample t-tests (age, GA). To test whether both hy-
184 pothalamic volumes or body weights are altered in prematurity, general linear models were
185 used (dependent variable: hypothalamic volumes; fixed factor: status of prematurity; covari-
186 ates: sex and additionally only for hypothalamic volume changes: scanner, total intracranial
187 volume (TIV)). Partial correlation analysis, restricted to the VP/VLBW group and corrected
188 for sex, scanner and TIV, was used to investigate the associations between hypothalamic vol-
189 umes and variables of preterm birth or growth trajectories, respectively. To assess potential
190 mediation effects of hypothalamic volumes regarding the association of variables of prema-
191 turity (i.e., INTI and GA, respectively) with adult body weight, a mediation analysis restricted
192 to the VP/VLBW cohort was performed using the PROCESS toolbox (version 3.5) of SPSS.
193 Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$; all tests were two-sided. Tests were corrected for
194 multiple comparisons for false discovery rate (FDR) according to the Benjamini-Hochberg
195 procedure.³³

196 **Data availability**

197 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author,
198 upon reasonable request.

199 **Results**

200 **Sample characteristics**

201 Table 1 and Figure 2A show group demographic and growth-related variables, including lon-
202 gitudinal body weight measurements and their z-scores leading to growth trajectories of
203 VP/VLBW individuals. Particularly, all longitudinal body weight measurements, including
204 adult body weight, were significantly lower in VP/VLBW individuals compared to FT controls.

205 **Adult hypothalamic volumes, VP/VLBW effect, and slopes of body** 206 **weight trajectories**

207 To investigate whether hypothalamic volumes, including subunits involved in body weight
208 control, are altered after preterm birth, we applied a general linear model approach controlling
209 for sex, scanner, and TIV. We found significantly lower volumes in whole hypothalamus,
210 PVN.DM.LH⁺, INF.VM⁺ and LH⁺ (all p-values <0.002) in VP/VLBW versus FT adults (Figure
211 2B, Table 2A).

212 To ensure the reliability of the applied hypothalamic segmentation, we compared measured
213 hypothalamic volumes with those of previous hypothalamus parcellation studies (see Supple-
214 mentary Fig. S2 and Table S2). We found similar hypothalamic volumes for our FT cohort,
215 supporting the reliability of the hypothalamic segmentation.

216 To test whether hypothalamic volume reductions in VP/VLBW adults are indeed related to
217 preterm birth, we performed partial correlation analyses. We found significant positive associ-
218 ations between GA and volumes of whole hypothalamus ($r=0.265$, $p=0.009$), INF.VM⁺
219 ($r=0.247$, $p=0.016$), and LH⁺ ($r=0.236$, $p=0.021$) as well as significant negative associations
220 between INTI and volumes of whole hypothalamus ($r=-0.296$, $p=0.004$), PVN.DM.LH⁺ ($r=-$
221 0.328 , $p=0.001$), and LH⁺ ($r=-0.251$, $p=0.014$) (Figure 2C, Supplementary Table S3), suggest-
222 ing that lower volumes are related with preterm birth.

223 To test whether hypothalamic volume reductions were related with body weight, we applied
224 both partial correlation and mediation analyses. Concerning correlation analysis, we found at-
225 trend-to-significance correlation (after correction for multiple comparisons) between
226 PVN.DM.LH⁺ volume and adult body weight ($r=0.214$, $p=0.041$; Supplementary Table S4).
227 For mediation analysis (Supplementary Fig. S3) the three growth-related hypothalamus subu-
228 nits, PVN.DM.LH⁺, INF.VM⁺ and LH⁺, were taken as parallel potential mediators of the rela-
229 tion between INTI or GA, respectively, and adult body weight. We found that the
230 PVN.DM.LH⁺ subunit served as a selective mediator of the association between INTI and adult
231 body weight for VP/VLBW adults. These results indicate the relevance of the PVN.DM.LH⁺
232 subunit for adult body weight after preterm birth.

233 To test whether VP/VLBW growth trajectories were linked with adult hypothalamic volumes,
234 we performed a trajectory slope analysis in the VP/VLBW group. We identified growth slopes
235 of individuals by adapting a linear regression model to the measured longitudinal body weight
236 z-scores (Figure 2D). Subsequently, change of body weight z-scores from birth to adulthood
237 of regressed body weight trajectories (delta slope) was used for partial correlation analysis with
238 hypothalamic volumes (Figure 2E; Supplementary Table S5). Whole hypothalamus volume
239 ($r=0.238$, $p=0.022$), PVN.DM.LH⁺ ($r=0.223$, $p=0.033$), and LH⁺ ($r=0.216$, $p=0.039$) were at-
240 trend-to-significant positively correlated with growth trajectory slopes, suggesting that growth
241 trajectories link with adult hypothalamic volumes in prematurity.

242 **Adult hypothalamus, birth weight, and SGA**

243 Next, we studied the association of lower hypothalamic volumes with body weight trajectories
244 in the VP/VLBW group for specific subgroups.

245 First, we focused on groups of lower birth weight, particularly individuals born SGA. To start
246 with, we tested whether adult hypothalamic volumes were related to birth weight in the

247 VP/VLBW group using a partial correlation approach corrected for sex, scanner, and TIV. We
248 found, unexpectedly, significant *negative* correlations, namely for the whole hypothalamus ($r=-$
249 0.262 , $p=0.012$), INF.VM⁺ ($r=-0.231$, $p=0.027$), and LH⁺ ($r=-0.273$; $p=0.009$) (Supplementary
250 Table S6).

251 Correspondingly, when comparing VP/VLBW individuals born SGA ($n=30$) and those born
252 AGA/LGA ($n=67$), we found larger volumes for the SGA group, significant for whole hypo-
253 thalamus and LH⁺ and at trend-to-significance for the INF.VM⁺ (Table 2B). To test whether
254 SGA hypothalamic volumes were comparable with those of FT controls, we added FT controls
255 into the general linear model (Figure 3A; Supplementary Table S7) and found indeed that both
256 PVN.DM.LH⁺ and INF.VM⁺ volumes of the SGA group did not differ significantly from those
257 of the FT group.

258 Then, we studied body weight development trajectories of VP/VLBW adults born SGA. We
259 asked whether - within this SGA subgroup - hypothalamic volumes differed with respect to
260 individuals' body weight trajectory after birth, namely for the subgroups of SGA with 'suc-
261 cessful' ($n=20$) or 'failed' ($n=10$) endpoint catch-up growth, defined as *adult* body weight z-
262 score being above or below the 10th percentile. Differences between these two groups were
263 not significant for GA ($p=0.582$), INTI ($p=0.658$), and birth weight z-scores ($p=0.831$), but for
264 adult body weight z-score ($p<0.001$). Remarkably, the SGA group of failed endpoint catch-up
265 growth had relatively larger volumes than their successful catch-up counterpart for INF.VM⁺
266 ($p<0.05$), with volumes in the range of that in the FT group (Figure 3B/C; Table 2C).

267 **Adult hypothalamus, body weight trajectory into adulthood, and** 268 **catch-up growth**

269 Second, to study further special subgroups for associations between hypothalamic volumes and
270 body weight trajectories, we focused now on all VP/VLBW individuals who realized long-term

271 catch-up growth (Figure 4). To identify these individuals, we performed a growth trajectory
272 cluster analysis using a k-means algorithm approach. Optimal cluster size (N=4) was deter-
273 mined as a trade-off between maximizing explained variance score via Python (compare Sup-
274plementary Fig. S4) and generating functionally explainable body growth trajectory cluster.
275 We found four distinct cluster of body growth development (Figure 4A) which we called “low”
276 (n=32 individuals; 7 were included in the failed endpoint catch-up growth SGA group above),
277 “increasing” (n=18; 13 were included in the successful endpoint catch-up growth SGA group),
278 “decreasing” (n=21) and “average” (n=26) weight trajectory. The “increasing” trajectory group
279 (red color) represented individuals who started with lowest birth weight z-scores (-2.026) in
280 comparison to all other VP/VLBW clustered groups but increased their body weight z-scores
281 during development into adulthood to almost normal; we used this group as a proxy for the
282 long-term catch-up growth group. Using a general linear model with these four clustered
283 groups as fixed factor, we found that the “increasing” group had relatively largest hypothalamic
284 volumes within the VP/VLBW cohort (see Figure 4B), with at-trend-to-significant difference
285 for the LH⁺ subunit (p=0.024), when compared with the “decreasing” group (yellow color). By
286 adding FT cohort as fixed factor into a further general linear model, we found that the “increas-
287ing” group’s hypothalamic volumes were not different to those of the FT cohort, particularly
288 not for whole hypothalamus, PVN.DM.LH⁺ and LH⁺ subunits, while for the other groups (i.e.,
289 low, decreasing, and average group) whole hypothalamus and LH⁺ volumes were smaller com-
290pared to FT (see Supplementary Fig. S5).

291 **Discussion**

292 Using T1-weighted MRI, deep-learning-based hypothalamus subunit segmentation, and longi-
293 tudinal body weight assessment into adulthood, we observed reduced volumes of whole hypo-
294 thalamus and hypothalamus subunits relevant for growth control in VP/VLBW adults, with
295 volume reductions being distinctively associated with long-term growth trajectories after pre-
296 term birth.

297 **Volumes of growth-related hypothalamus subunits are reduced in** 298 **VP/VLBW adults and link with growth trajectories into adulthood**

299 Volumes of whole hypothalamus and those of growth-related subunits PVN.DM.LH⁺,
300 INF.VM⁺ and LH⁺ were reduced in VP/VLBW adults compared to FT controls (Fig. 2B). This
301 result was not confounded by sex, scanner, and differences in TIV, as we controlled for these
302 factors. Control of TIV suggests relatively stronger hypothalamic, as compared to general brain
303 volume reduction after preterm birth. Volume reductions of VP/VLBW adults were related to
304 GA and INTI, suggesting that hypothalamic volume reductions were indeed linked with pre-
305 term birth (Figure 2C). Hypothalamic volume reductions are in line with long-term volume
306 reductions in other subcortical grey matter structures after preterm birth, such as cholinergic
307 basal forebrain, thalamus or basal ganglia nuclei.³⁴⁻³⁷ Furthermore, hypothalamic volume re-
308 ductions were associated with both altered adult body weight (Supplementary Fig. S3, Table
309 S4) and growth trajectories in general, namely trajectory slopes (Figure 2E, Supplementary
310 Table S5), indicating that hypothalamic volume reductions are relevant for long-term growth
311 failure of prematurity.

312 Regarding potential microscopic causes of hypothalamic volume reductions, we speculate that
313 canonical hypoxic-ischemic events-induced pathways of both primary impairment of transient
314 cells, namely pre-oligodendrocytes (Pre-OLs) and subplate neurons (SPNs), and secondary

315 activation of reactive neuroinflammatory cells, such as microglia or astrocytes, are critical.³⁸⁻
316 ⁴¹ Pre-OLs are responsible for myelination and axonal maturation of connections, SPNs for the
317 development of the cortical plate including cortical layering and connectivity; these cells are
318 of increased vulnerability to hypoxic-ischemic events, which are typical for preterm birth, their
319 impairment leads amongst others to primary damage of white matter connections, which then
320 results in secondary aberrant grey matter cortical and subcortical development, likely including
321 highly connected hypothalamic nuclei. We also cannot exclude direct hypoxic-ischemic dam-
322 age on hypothalamic cells. Beyond perinatal brain injuries, it has been further suggested that
323 additional factors, such as malnutrition and maternal, fetal or postnatal stress, do not only alter
324 feeding behavior and growth,⁴² but also hypothalamic development of preterm-born in-
325 fants.^{21,39,43-45}

326

327 **Distinct long-term growth trajectories and hypothalamus subunits** 328 **in VP/VLBW adults**

329 **VP/VLBW adults born SGA have relatively larger hypothalamic volumes** 330 **that interact with altered growth trajectories into adulthood**

331 We unexpectedly found negative correlations between adult hypothalamic volumes and birth
332 weight in VP/VLBW adults (Supplementary Table S6). Correspondingly, VP/VLBW adults
333 born SGA have relatively larger adult hypothalamic volumes than their AGA/LGA counter-
334 parts (Fig. 3A). Similar results have been reported for pituitary structure, with an increased
335 pituitary size in preterm-born babies being SGA.⁴⁶ Contrary to that, several studies have linked
336 preterm-born infants being SGA or those with intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) with re-
337 duced cortical^{47,48} and non-hypothalamic subcortical grey matter volumes such as hippocam-
338 pus, basal ganglia or thalamus nuclei.^{49,50}

339 To explain relatively increased hypothalamic volumes in VP/VLBW adults born SGA, two
340 non-exclusive scenarios are conceivable: First, hypothalamic volume increases might already
341 be present in infancy or even at birth (as in the case of the pituitary gland) and/or second, they
342 might develop postnatally over time. The first hypothesis is supported by observed hyperactiv-
343 ity of the human hypothalamic-pituitary axis in preterm-born SGA neonates due to hormonal
344 changes (e.g., growth-hormone (GH), IGF-1), suggesting compensatory enlargement of the
345 hypothalamus.^{11,46,51} It is also supported by animal studies showing higher cell counts or rela-
346 tive volumes of certain growth-related nuclei in a (non-prematurity) SGA model, potentially
347 due to intrauterine neuroendocrine changes and epigenetic modifications.⁵²

348 The second hypothesis is supported by studies that demonstrated higher vulnerability of grey
349 matter for adverse effects of prematurity on SGA or IUGR infants in comparison to AGA,
350 suggesting a certain degree of perinatal hypothalamic volume reduction.^{39,53} In this case, the
351 later increased hypothalamic volumes of VP/VLBW born SGA in adulthood could be ex-
352 plained by an altered volumetric hypothalamus development from birth into adulthood.²¹ No-
353 tably, long-term hypothalamic development can be affected by prolonged neurogenesis e.g.,
354 based on special neural stem cells called tanycytes, which are also supposed to regulate food
355 intake and energy expenditure.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ These cells are located both along the lateral walls near to
356 growth-related nuclei and at the floor of the third ventricle, namely nearby the INF.VM⁺ sub-
357 unit. Their neurogenesis is controlled e.g., by neurotrophic factors like brain-derived neu-
358 rotrophic factor (BDNF),⁵⁷ or nutrition and the endocrine system, including metabolic hor-
359 mones such as leptin, insulin, ghrelin, and IGF-1.^{58,59} Concentrations of these hormones and
360 molecules are altered in humans after preterm birth,^{15,51,60} specifically for SGA-born individu-
361 als.⁶¹⁻⁶³ Besides prematurity and weight status at birth, growth changes during development are
362 linked with altered ghrelin and leptin levels in humans.⁶⁴ Both hormones affect infundibular
363 and ventromedial nuclei (as parts of INF.VM⁺), main sites of tanycyte neurogenesis.⁵⁵ This

364 might contribute to our findings of VP/VLBW adults born SGA *without* endpoint catch-up
365 growth having relatively larger hypothalamic volumes, particularly for the INF.VM⁺ subunit,
366 than those with catch-up growth (Fig. 3B/C). This result suggests that for the SGA subgroup
367 different hypothalamic nuclei might develop distinctively with respect to body growth devel-
368 opment.

369 While these microscopic mechanisms are speculative with respect to our macroscopic MRI-
370 based findings, they make at least plausible the possibility of distinctively interacting trajecto-
371 ries for body and hypothalamic nuclei growth. These observations demand for further longitu-
372 dinal investigations of hypothalamic and body weight trajectories. A feasible next step of anal-
373 ysis might be tracking hypothalamic nuclei volumes at birth together with birth weight to de-
374 cide whether hypothalamic volume reductions in prematurity are already present perinatally or
375 (further) develop postnatally.

376

377 **VP/VLBW adults with body weight catch-up into adulthood show “volumet-**
378 **ric catch-up” of hypothalamic volumes to FT adults**

379 By the use of k-means clustering of body weight development trajectories (trajectory type anal-
380 ysis), we identified a special group of VP/VLBW individuals with catch-up growth (Figure 4).
381 Remarkably, hypothalamic nuclei volumes of this VP/VLBW catch-up group were not differ-
382 ent to those of the FT group, while the other three VP/VLBW groups had lower hypothalamic
383 volumes for whole hypothalamus and LH⁺ (Supplementary Fig. S5); additionally, LH⁺ volumes
384 of the catch-up group were relatively larger than those of the other VP/VLBW trajectory groups
385 (Fig. 4B). Therefore, relatively larger hypothalamic volumes, particularly for subunits includ-
386 ing the lateral hypothalamus, seem to be associated with more favorable long-term growth tra-
387 jectories in VP/VLBW adults. This observation is in line with findings that volumetric in-
388 creases of hypothalamic nuclei are linked with altered hypothalamic regulation of body

389 weight.⁶⁵ Furthermore, it matches results in humans that revealed a positive association of gen-
390 eral brain growth with optimized early nutrition⁶⁶ and body growth.⁶⁷ Given the link between
391 favorable long-term growth trajectory and growth-related hypothalamic nuclei volumes, we
392 suggest that hypothalamus mapping after birth might have some potential to predict risks for
393 long-term growth failure. Future studies of the infant hypothalamus are necessary to test this
394 suggestion, with implications for both optimized nutrition⁶⁸ and neuroprotective/neurorestora-
395 tive interventions³⁹ to prevent potential aberrant hypothalamic development.

396

397 **Strengths and limitations**

398 Strengths of our study are the large sample size, enhancing power and generalizability of our
399 findings. Homogenous mean across VP/VLBW and FT groups excludes confounding age ef-
400 fects. Additionally, hypothalamus segmentation quality was optimized by using high-resolu-
401 tion T1-weighted images.

402 Nevertheless, our results should be viewed as conservative estimates of the true group differ-
403 ences including hypothalamic volumes, as our sample is biased towards VP/VLBW adults with
404 less severe neonatal complications and thus adult impairments. VP/VLBW adults with more
405 neonatal complications or functional impairments had an increased probability not to partici-
406 pate in the study due to MRI exclusion criteria or to reject MRI screening. Nevertheless, the
407 sample was still representative of the full Bavarian Longitudinal Study cohort in terms of GA
408 and BW.³⁰

409 One of the major limitations of our study is the subunit-focused parcellation methodology of
410 the hypothalamus segmentation algorithm by Billot et al..²⁸ As their subunit definition is bound
411 to anatomical landmarks, therefore aggregating several hypothalamic nuclei per subunit, it is
412 challenging to allocate differences in subunit volumes to distinct growth-related hypothalamic
413 nucleus volumes and hence their functional implications. Additionally, MRI brain scans were

414 only available at age 26, whereas a longitudinal measurement of hypothalamic volumes during
415 development would be required to address several relevant issues, such as separating cause
416 from consequence regarding altered hypothalamic structure or revealing hypothalamic struc-
417 ture interdependency with body growth.

418

419 In conclusion, our results demonstrate an association of preterm birth with growth control-
420 related hypothalamus subunits and long-term growth failure after preterm birth.

421 **Acknowledgements**

422 We thank all current and former members of the Bavarian Longitudinal Study Group who con-
423 tributed to general study organization, recruitment, data collection and management as well as
424 subsequent analyses, including (in alphabetical order): Barbara Busch, Stephan Czeschka,
425 Claudia Grünzinger, Christian Koch, Diana Kurze, Sonja Perk, Andrea Schreier, Antje
426 Strasser, Julia Trummer, and Eva van Rossum. We are grateful to the staff of the Department
427 of Neuroradiology in Munich and the Department of Radiology in Bonn for their help in data
428 collection. Most importantly, we thank all our study participants and their families for their
429 efforts to take part in this study.

430 **Funding**

431 This study was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (BA 6370/2-1 to C.S.), the
432 German Federal Ministry of Education and Science (BMBF 01ER0801 to P.B. and D.W.,
433 BMBF 01ER0803 to C.S.) and the Kommission für Klinische Forschung, Technische Univer-
434 sität München (KKF 8700000474 to D.M.H.).

435 **Competing interests**

436 The authors report no competing interests.

437 **References**

- 438
- 439 1. Chawanpaiboon S, Vogel JP, Moller A-B, et al. Global, regional, and national estimates of levels
440 of preterm birth in 2014: a systematic review and modelling analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*.
441 2019;7(1):e37-e46. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30451-0
- 442 2. Markopoulou P, Papanikolaou E, Analytis A, Zoumakis E, Siahianidou T. Preterm Birth as a Risk
443 Factor for Metabolic Syndrome and Cardiovascular Disease in Adult Life: A Systematic Review
444 and Meta-Analysis. *J Pediatr*. 2019;210:69-80.e5. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2019.02.041
- 445 3. Abitbol CL, Rodriguez MM. The long-term renal and cardiovascular consequences of prema-
446 turity. *Nat Rev Nephrol*. 2012;8(5):265-274. doi:10.1038/nrneph.2012.38
- 447 4. Wolke D, Johnson S, Mendonça M. The Life Course Consequences of Very Preterm Birth. *Annu*
448 *Rev Dev Psychol*. 2019;1(1):69-92. doi:10.1146/annurev-devpsych-121318-084804
- 449 5. Eves R, Mendonça M, Baumann N, et al. Association of Very Preterm Birth or Very Low Birth
450 Weight With Intelligence in Adulthood: An Individual Participant Data Meta-analysis. *JAMA Pe-*
451 *diatr*. 2021. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2021.1058
- 452 6. Euser AM, Wit CC de, Finken MJJ, Rijken M, Wit JM. Growth of preterm born children. *Horm*
453 *Res*. 2008;70(6):319-328. doi:10.1159/000161862
- 454 7. Ford GW, Doyle LW, Davis NM, Callanan C. Very low birth weight and growth into adoles-
455 cence. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2000;154(8):778-784. doi:10.1001/archpedi.154.8.778
- 456 8. Lee SM, Kim N, Namgung R, Park M, Park K, Jeon J. Prediction of Postnatal Growth Failure
457 among Very Low Birth Weight Infants. *Sci Rep*. 2018;8(1):3729. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-21647-
458 9
- 459 9. Geisler I, Rausch TK, Göpel W, Spiegler J. Extremely and very preterm-born children <1500 g
460 show different weight development in childhood compared to their peers. *Acta Paediatr*. 2021.
461 doi:10.1111/apa.15785
- 462 10. Boguszewski MCS, Cardoso-Demartini AdA. Management of endocrine disease: Growth and
463 growth hormone therapy in short children born preterm. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2017;176(3):R111-
464 R122. doi:10.1530/EJE-16-0482
- 465 11. Saenger P, Czernichow P, Hughes I, Reiter EO. Small for gestational age: short stature and be-
466 yond. *Endocr Rev*. 2007;28(2):219-251. doi:10.1210/er.2006-0039
- 467 12. Lapillonne A, Griffin IJ. Feeding preterm infants today for later metabolic and cardiovascular out-
468 comes. *J Pediatr*. 2013;162(3 Suppl):S7-16. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.11.048
- 469 13. Ordóñez-Díaz MD, Pérez-Navero JL, Flores-Rojas K, et al. Prematurity With Extrauterine
470 Growth Restriction Increases the Risk of Higher Levels of Glucose, Low-Grade of Inflammation
471 and Hypertension in Prepubertal Children. *Front Pediatr*. 2020;8:180.
472 doi:10.3389/fped.2020.00180
- 473 14. Ruys CA, Hollanders JJ, Bröring T, et al. Early-life growth of preterm infants and its impact on
474 neurodevelopment. *Pediatr Res*. 2019;85(3):283-292. doi:10.1038/s41390-018-0139-0
- 475 15. Möllers LS, Yousuf EI, Hamatschek C, et al. Metabolic-endocrine disruption due to preterm birth
476 impacts growth, body composition, and neonatal outcome. *Pediatr Res*. 2021.
477 doi:10.1038/s41390-021-01566-8
- 478 16. Yeo GSH, Heisler LK. Unraveling the brain regulation of appetite: lessons from genetics. *Nat*
479 *Neurosci*. 2012;15(10):1343-1349. doi:10.1038/nn.3211
- 480 17. Morton GJ, Meek TH, Schwartz MW. Neurobiology of food intake in health and disease. *Nat Rev*
481 *Neurosci*. 2014;15(6):367-378. doi:10.1038/nrn3745
- 482 18. Swaab DF. *The Human Hypothalamus : Basic and Clinical Aspects, Part I: Basic and Clinical*
483 *Aspects - Part I: Nuclei of the Human Hypothalamus*. Elsevier; 2003-2004.

- 484 19. Makris N, Swaab DF, van der Kouwe A, et al. Volumetric parcellation methodology of the human
485 hypothalamus in neuroimaging: normative data and sex differences. *Neuroimage*. 2013;69:1-10.
486 doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.12.008
- 487 20. Koutcherov Y, Mai JK, Ashwell KWS, Paxinos G. Organization of human hypothalamus in fetal
488 development. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*. 2002;446(4):301-324.
489 doi:10.1002/cne.10175
- 490 21. Sominsky L, Jasoni CL, Twigg HR, Spencer SJ. Hormonal and nutritional regulation of postnatal
491 hypothalamic development. *J Endocrinol*. 2018;237(2):R47-R64. doi:10.1530/JOE-17-0722
- 492 22. Andermann ML, Lowell BB. Toward a Wiring Diagram Understanding of Appetite Control. *Neu-*
493 *ron*. 2017;95(4):757-778. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.06.014
- 494 23. Thomas K, Beyer F, Lewe G, et al. Higher body mass index is linked to altered hypothalamic mi-
495 crostructure. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9(1):17373. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-53578-4
- 496 24. Florent V, Baroncini M, Jissendi-Tchofo P, et al. Hypothalamic Structural and Functional Imbal-
497 ances in Anorexia Nervosa. *Neuroendocrinology*. 2020;110(6):552-562. doi:10.1159/000503147
- 498 25. Saper CB. 15 - Hypothalamus. In: Paxinos G, ed. *The Human Nervous System*. Academic Press;
499 1990:389-413.
- 500 26. Neudorfer C, Germann J, Elias GJB, Gramer R, Boutet A, Lozano AM. A high-resolution in vivo
501 magnetic resonance imaging atlas of the human hypothalamic region. *Sci Data*. 2020;7(1):305.
502 doi:10.1038/s41597-020-00644-6
- 503 27. Spindler M, Özyurt J, Thiel CM. Automated diffusion-based parcellation of the hypothalamus re-
504 veals subunit-specific associations with obesity. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):22238. doi:10.1038/s41598-
505 020-79289-9
- 506 28. Billot B, Bocchetta M, Todd E, Dalca AV, Rohrer JD, Iglesias JE. Automated segmentation of the
507 hypothalamus and associated subunits in brain MRI. *Neuroimage*. 2020;223:117287.
508 doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117287
- 509 29. Wolke D, Meyer R. Cognitive status, language attainment, and prereading skills of 6-year-old
510 very preterm children and their peers: the Bavarian Longitudinal Study. *Dev Med Child Neurol*.
511 1999;41(2):94-109. doi:10.1017/s0012162299000201
- 512 30. Schmitz-Koep B, Zimmermann J, Menegaux A, et al. Decreased amygdala volume in adults after
513 premature birth. *Sci Rep*. 2021;11(1):5403. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-84906-2
- 514 31. Gutbrod T, Wolke D, Soehne B, Ohrt B, Riegel K. Effects of gestation and birth weight on the
515 growth and development of very low birthweight small for gestational age infants: a matched
516 group comparison. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2000;82(3):F208-14.
517 doi:10.1136/fn.82.3.f208
- 518 32. Onis M de, Habicht JP. Anthropometric reference data for international use: recommendations
519 from a World Health Organization Expert Committee. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1996;64(4):650-658.
520 doi:10.1093/ajcn/64.4.650
- 521 33. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Ap-
522 proach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*.
523 1995;57(1):289-300. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2346101>
- 524 34. Ball G, Boardman JP, Rueckert D, et al. The effect of preterm birth on thalamic and cortical de-
525 velopment. *Cereb Cortex*. 2012;22(5):1016-1024. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhr176
- 526 35. Nosarti C, Nam KW, Walshe M, et al. Preterm birth and structural brain alterations in early adult-
527 hood. *Neuroimage Clin*. 2014;6:180-191. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2014.08.005
- 528 36. Loh WY, Anderson PJ, Cheong JLY, et al. Longitudinal growth of the basal ganglia and thalamus
529 in very preterm children. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2020;14(4):998-1011. doi:10.1007/s11682-019-
530 00057-z
- 531 37. Grothe MJ, Scheef L, Bäuml J, et al. Reduced Cholinergic Basal Forebrain Integrity Links Neona-
532 tal Complications and Adult Cognitive Deficits After Premature Birth. *Biol Psychiatry*.
533 2017;82(2):119-126. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.12.008


- 534 38. Salmaso N, Jablonska B, Scafidi J, Vaccarino FM, Gallo V. Neurobiology of premature brain in-
535 jury. *Nat Neurosci*. 2014;17(3):341-346. doi:10.1038/nn.3604
- 536 39. Volpe JJ. Dysmaturation of Premature Brain: Importance, Cellular Mechanisms, and Potential In-
537 terventions. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2019;95:42-66. doi:10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2019.02.016
- 538 40. Reemst K, Noctor SC, Lucassen PJ, Hol EM. The Indispensable Roles of Microglia and Astro-
539 cytes during Brain Development. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2016;10:566.
540 doi:10.3389/fnhum.2016.00566
- 541 41. Hoerder-Suabedissen A, Molnár Z. Development, evolution and pathology of neocortical subplate
542 neurons. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2015;16(3):133-146. doi:10.1038/nrn3915
- 543 42. Maniam J, Morris MJ. The link between stress and feeding behaviour. *Neuropharmacology*.
544 2012;63(1):97-110. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2012.04.017
- 545 43. Lammertink F, Vinkers CH, Tataranno ML, Benders, Manon J N L. Premature Birth and Devel-
546 opmental Programming: Mechanisms of Resilience and Vulnerability. *Front Psychiatry*.
547 2020;11:531571. doi:10.3389/fpsy.2020.531571
- 548 44. Howland MA, Sandman CA, Glynn LM. Developmental origins of the human hypothalamic-pitu-
549 itary-adrenal axis. *Expert Rev Endocrinol Metab*. 2017;12(5):321-339.
550 doi:10.1080/17446651.2017.1356222
- 551 45. Finken MJJ, van der Voorn B, Hollanders JJ, et al. Programming of the Hypothalamus-Pituitary-
552 Adrenal Axis by Very Preterm Birth. *Ann Nutr Metab*. 2017;70(3):170-174.
553 doi:10.1159/000456040
- 554 46. Kiortsis D, Xydis V, Drougia AG, et al. The height of the pituitary in preterm infants during the
555 first 2 years of life: an MRI study. *Neuroradiology*. 2004;46(3):224-226. doi:10.1007/s00234-
556 003-1126-6
- 557 47. Tolsa CB, Zimine S, Warfield SK, et al. Early alteration of structural and functional brain devel-
558 opment in premature infants born with intrauterine growth restriction. *Pediatr Res*.
559 2004;56(1):132-138. doi:10.1203/01.PDR.0000128983.54614.7E
- 560 48. Miller SL, Huppi PS, Mallard C. The consequences of fetal growth restriction on brain structure
561 and neurodevelopmental outcome. *J Physiol*. 2016;594(4):807-823. doi:10.1113/JP271402
- 562 49. Lodygensky GA, Seghier ML, Warfield SK, et al. Intrauterine growth restriction affects the pre-
563 term infant's hippocampus. *Pediatr Res*. 2008;63(4):438-443.
564 doi:10.1203/PDR.0b013e318165c005
- 565 50. Padilla N, Junqué C, Figueras F, et al. Differential vulnerability of gray matter and white matter to
566 intrauterine growth restriction in preterm infants at 12 months corrected age. *Brain Res*.
567 2014;1545:1-11. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2013.12.007
- 568 51. Kajantie E. Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I, IGF binding protein (IGFBP)-3, phosphoisoforms
569 of IGFBP-1 and postnatal growth in very-low-birth-weight infants. *Horm Res*. 2003;60 Suppl
570 3:124-130. doi:10.1159/000074513
- 571 52. Plagemann A, Harder T, Rake A, Melchior K, Rohde W, Dörner G. Hypothalamic nuclei are mal-
572 formed in weanling offspring of low protein malnourished rat dams. *J Nutr*. 2000;130(10):2582-
573 2589. doi:10.1093/jn/130.10.2582
- 574 53. Vinall J, Grunau RE, Brant R, et al. Slower postnatal growth is associated with delayed cerebral
575 cortical maturation in preterm newborns. *Sci Transl Med*. 2013;5(168):168ra8. doi:10.1126/sci-
576 translmed.3004666
- 577 54. Pellegrino G, Trubert C, Terrien J, et al. A comparative study of the neural stem cell niche in the
578 adult hypothalamus of human, mouse, rat and gray mouse lemur (*Microcebus murinus*). *The Jour-
579 nal of Comparative Neurology*. 2018;526(9):1419-1443. doi:10.1002/cne.24376
- 580 55. Bolborea M, Dale N. Hypothalamic tanycytes: potential roles in the control of feeding and energy
581 balance. *Trends Neurosci*. 2013;36(2):91-100. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2012.12.008
- 582 56. Bolborea M, Langlet F. What is the physiological role of hypothalamic tanycytes in metabolism?
583 *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2021;320(6):R994-R1003. doi:10.1152/ajp-
584 regu.00296.2020

- 585 57. Pencea V, Bingaman KD, Wiegand SJ, Luskin MB. Infusion of brain-derived neurotrophic factor
586 into the lateral ventricle of the adult rat leads to new neurons in the parenchyma of the striatum,
587 septum, thalamus, and hypothalamus. *J Neurosci*. 2001;21(17):6706-6717. doi:10.1523/JNEURO-
588 SCI.21-17-06706.2001
- 589 58. Chaker Z, George C, Petrovska M, et al. Hypothalamic neurogenesis persists in the aging brain
590 and is controlled by energy-sensing IGF-I pathway. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2016;41:64-72.
591 doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2016.02.008
- 592 59. Desai M, Li T, Ross MG. Fetal hypothalamic neuroprogenitor cell culture: preferential differentia-
593 tion paths induced by leptin and insulin. *Endocrinology*. 2011;152(8):3192-3201.
594 doi:10.1210/en.2010-1217
- 595 60. Krey FC, Stocchero BA, Creutzberg KC, et al. Neurotrophic Factor Levels in Preterm Infants: A
596 Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front Neurol*. 2021;12:643576.
597 doi:10.3389/fneur.2021.643576
- 598 61. Martos-Moreno GA, Barrios V, Sáenz de Pipaón M, et al. Influence of prematurity and growth
599 restriction on the adipokine profile, IGF1, and ghrelin levels in cord blood: relationship with glu-
600 cose metabolism. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2009;161(3):381-389. doi:10.1530/EJE-09-0193
- 601 62. Jaquet D, Leger J, Levy-Marchal C, Oury JF, Czernichow P. Ontogeny of leptin in human fetuses
602 and newborns: effect of intrauterine growth retardation on serum leptin concentrations. *J Clin En-
603 docrinol Metab*. 1998;83(4):1243-1246. doi:10.1210/jcem.83.4.4731
- 604 63. Finken MJJ, van der Steen M, Smeets CCJ, et al. Children Born Small for Gestational Age: Dif-
605 ferential Diagnosis, Molecular Genetic Evaluation, and Implications. *Endocr Rev*.
606 2018;39(6):851-894. doi:10.1210/er.2018-00083
- 607 64. Monti V, Carlson JJ, Hunt SC, Adams TD. Relationship of ghrelin and leptin hormones with body
608 mass index and waist circumference in a random sample of adults. *J Am Diet Assoc*.
609 2006;106(6):822-8; quiz 829-30. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2006.03.015
- 610 65. Le TM, Liao D-L, Ide J, et al. The interrelationship of body mass index with gray matter volume
611 and resting-state functional connectivity of the hypothalamus. *Int J Obes (Lond)*.
612 2020;44(5):1097-1107. doi:10.1038/s41366-019-0496-8
- 613 66. Schneider J, Fischer Fumeaux CJ, Duerden EG, et al. Nutrient Intake in the First Two Weeks of
614 Life and Brain Growth in Preterm Neonates. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(3). doi:10.1542/peds.2017-
615 2169
- 616 67. Coviello C, Keunen K, Kersbergen KJ, et al. Effects of early nutrition and growth on brain vol-
617 umes, white matter microstructure, and neurodevelopmental outcome in preterm newborns. *Pedi-
618 atr Res*. 2018;83(1-1):102-110. doi:10.1038/pr.2017.227
- 619 68. Ottolini KM, Andescavage N, Keller S, Limperopoulos C. Nutrition and the developing brain: the
620 road to optimizing early neurodevelopment: a systematic review. *Pediatr Res*. 2020;87(2):194-
621 201. doi:10.1038/s41390-019-0508-3
622

623 **Figures:**

624 **Figure 1.**

A. Automated segmentation of the hypothalamus and its subsegments in T1-weighted MRI



B. Hypothalamus subunits: Mapping of nuclei relevant for body weight regulation

Hypothalamus subsegments (Billot et al.) ²⁸	Hypothalamus nuclei relevant for body weight regulation	Mapping on HYP subunits
1 Anterior-superior	Paraventricular ncl. (part 1)	① → ⊕ ③ → ⊕ ④ → ⑤ →
2 Anterior-inferior		
3 Superior tubular	Paraventricular ncl. (part 2), Dorsomedial ncl., Lateral HYP (part 1)	PVN.DM.LH ⁺
4 Inferior tubular	Infundibular (or arcuate) ncl., Ventromedial ncl.	INF.VM ⁺
5 Posterior	Lateral HYP (part 2)	LH ⁺

625

626 **Figure 1. Hypothalamus segmentation and mapping on growth control relevant subunits:**

627 (A) Representative segmentation of the hypothalamus of a VP/VLBW adult via deep convolu-

628 tional neural network algorithm in T1-weighted MRI. From left to right: sagittal, axial, coronal

629 view, and 3D rendering of the whole hypothalamus and its subsegments. (B) To focus on hy-

630 pothalamic nuclei involved in growth control, we mapped subsegments from the segmentation

631 algorithm that contained nuclei relevant for growth control onto *three so-called subunits of*

632 *growth control, namely PVN.DM.LH⁺, INF.VM⁺ and LH⁺.* The PVN.DM.LH⁺ subunit is the

633 aggregate of two subsegments of the segmentation of Billot et al. (28), namely the anterior-

634 superior and superior tubular subsegment, and these two subsegments cover the preoptic area,

635 the paraventricular nucleus (PVN), the dorsomedial nucleus (DM), and parts of the lateral hy-


636 pothalamus (LH); the latter three nuclei are involved in growth control, therefore defining the

637 name of the subunit. INF.VM⁺ is identical to the inferior tubular subsegment, which comprises
638 - amongst other nuclei - the growth control-related infundibular (INF) and ventromedial (VM)
639 nuclei. LH⁺ matches the posterior subsegment in Billot et al. (2020), including mammillary
640 bodies, and parts of the tuberomammillary nucleus, and, critically, of the growth control-related
641 lateral hypothalamus (LH). The final anterior-inferior subsegment (suprachiasmatic nucleus
642 and parts of the supraoptic nucleus) was excluded from further analysis because it does not
643 contain any growth-related nuclei. All subunits stated in the analysis already consider volumes
644 of bilateral hypothalamus.


645 *Abbreviations:* HYP, hypothalamus; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; VP/VLBW, very pre-
646 term and/or very low birth weight.

647 **Figure 2.**


A. Body weight trajectories of VP/VLBW individuals from birth to 26 years of age (z-scores)




B. Reduced hypothalamic volumes in VP/VLBW compared to FT adults




C. Association between hypothalamic volumes in VP/VLBW adults and INTI



D. Linear regressed body weight trajectories of VP/VLBW individuals from birth to 26 years of age (trajectory slope analysis)



E. Association between hypothalamic volumes in VP/VLBW adults and delta slope from trajectory slope analysis




649 **Figure 2. Hypothalamic volumes, prematurity, and body weight trajectories:** (A) Individ-
650 ual body weight development trajectories in the VP/VLBW cohort representing z-scores of
651 body weight at birth and age 5, 20, 56 months, 6, 8 and 26 years. (B) Reduced whole hypo-
652 thalamus and subunit volumes in the VP/VLBW compared to the FT cohort. Marginal means
653 of whole hypothalamus and its subunits are given in mm³ and are shown as bar plots; error bars
654 indicate SE. Group differences were assessed using a general linear model (fixed factor: prem-
655 aturity at birth, covariates of no interest: sex, scanner, TIV). Group difference significance is
656 marked by asterisks (+: $p < 0.05$; *: $p\text{-FDR} < 0.05$; **: $p\text{-FDR} < 0.01$; *** $p\text{-FDR} < 0.001$).
657 (C) Associations between hypothalamic volumes and variables of preterm birth in the
658 VP/VLBW cohort verified by partial correlation analysis. Scatterplots show exemplary rela-
659 tionships between hypothalamus subunit volumes and INTI (for further results see Supplemen-
660 tary Table S3). (D) Linear regression model of individual body weight development trajectories
661 in the VP/VLBW cohort representing body weight *slopes* via linear regression of individual z-
662 scores of body weight at birth, age 5, 20, 56 months and 6, 8 and 26 years (trajectory slope
663 analysis). (E) Association between hypothalamic volumes and delta slope in the VP/VLBW
664 sample. Scatterplots show relationships of hypothalamus subunits with delta slope representing
665 change of body weight z-score from birth until adulthood of linear regressed body weight tra-
666 jectories. Linear regression lines and regression coefficients of partial regression analysis are
667 added.


668 *Abbreviations:* FDR, false discovery rate correction for multiple comparisons using the Benja-
669 mini–Hochberg method; FT, full-term; INTI, intensity of neonatal treatment; SE, standard er-
670 ror; VP/VLBW, very preterm and/or very low birth weight.

671 **Figure 3.**


A. Comparison of hypothalamic volumes between VP/VLBW adults born SGA, VP/VLBW adults born AGA/LGA and FT adults



B. Clustering of body weight development trajectories in VP/VLBW adults born SGA with and without catch-up growth (z-scores)



C. Comparison of HYP volumes between VP/VLBW adults born SGA with and without catch-up growth (FT cohort added for visualization of volumetric relations)



672

673 **Figure 3. Hypothalamic volumes and birth weight:** (A) Relatively increased HYP volumes

674 of VP/VLBW adults born SGA compared to those VP/VLBW adults born AGA/LGA. Mar-

675 ginal means of HYP volumes are given in mm³ and are shown as bar plots; error bars indicate

676 SE. Group differences were assessed using a general linear model (fixed factor: SGA,

677 AGA/LGA and FT group differentiation status, covariates of no interest: sex, scanner, TIV).

678 Group difference significance is marked by asterisks (+: p < 0.05; *: p-FDR < 0.05; **: p-FDR


679 < 0.01; *** p-FDR < 0.001). (B) Individual body weight development trajectories in the

680 VP/VLBW SGA cohort. Endpoint catch-up growth was assessed successful if SGA individuals

681 exceeded 10th percentile of adult body weight z-score (yellow trajectories) and failed if not
682 (blue trajectories). Dashed bold lines represent mean body weights of both cohorts at each point
683 of time. (C) Hypothalamic volumes in catch-up and no catch-up SGA group. Marginal means
684 of HYP subunits are given in mm³ for the SGA cohort comparing catch-up and no catch-up
685 growth and are shown as bar plots; subunit volumes of FT group are added for evaluating
686 volume differences in subgroups relative to FT subjects; error bars indicate SE. Group differ-
687 ences were assessed using a general linear model (fixed factor: endpoint catch-up growth sta-
688 tus, covariates of no interest: sex, scanner, TIV).

689 Abbreviations: AGA/LGA, appropriate for gestational age/ large for gestational age; FDR,
690 false discovery rate correction for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini–Hochberg
691 method; FT, full-term; SE, standard error; SGA, small for gestational age; VP/VLBW, very
692 preterm and/or very low birth weight.

693 **Figure 4.**



694

695 **Figure 4. Hypothalamic volumes and body weight trajectories into adulthood: (A)** Clus-

696 tering of body weight development trajectories in the VP/VLBW cohort via k-means algorithm

697 (four cluster predefined); k-means grouping: “low” (dark blue), “increasing” (red), “decreas-

698 ing” (yellow) and “average” (green) (trajectory type analysis). **(B)** Relatively largest HYP vol-

699 umes of “increasing” group within VP/VLBW cohort. Marginal means of HYP volumes are

700 given in mm³ for k-means clustered groups of VP/VLBW cohort and are shown as bar plots;

701 subunit volumes of FT group (light blue) are added for evaluating volume changes in subgroups

702 relative to FT subjects; error bars indicate SE. Group differences were assessed using a general

703 linear model (fixed factor: four-part variable considering k-means clustered VP/VLBW group

704 differentiation, covariates of no interest: sex, scanner, TIV). Group difference significance is

705 marked by asterisks (+: $p < 0.05$; *: $p\text{-FDR} < 0.05$; **: $p\text{-FDR} < 0.01$; ***: $p\text{-FDR} < 0.001$).

706 Abbreviations: FDR, false discovery rate correction for multiple comparisons using the Benja-

707 mini–Hochberg method; FT, full-term; SE, standard error; VP/VLBW, very preterm and/or

708 very low birth weight.

709 **Tables:**

710 **Table 1: Comparison of demographical data, TIV and body weight development varia-**

711 **bles between VP/VLBW and FT individuals**

712

	Sam- ples	VP/VLBW			FT			p-Value
		M	SD	Range	M	SD	Range	
Sex (male/female)	101/110	58/43			65/45			0.806
Age (years)	101/110	26.7	±0.61	25.7 - 28.3	26.8	±0.74	25.5 - 28.9	0.165
GA (weeks)	101/110	30.5	±2.1	25 - 36	39.7	±1.1	37 - 42	<0.001
INTI	100/ -	11.6	±3.8	3 - 18	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
		M	SE	Range	M	SE	Range	p-Value
TIV (cm ³)	101/110	1,46	±11.4	1,44 - 1,48	1,53	±10.9	1,51 - 1,55	<0.001
Birth weight (g)	97 /107	1,33	±39.3	1,25 - 1,40	3,41	±37.4	3,33 - 3,48	<0.001
Body weight, 5m (kg)	90 /107	6.56	±0.09	6.40 - 6.73	7.38	±0.08	7.23 - 7.53	<0.001
Body weight, 20m (kg)	97 /107	9.77	±0.26	9.25 - 10.3	11.4	±0.25	10.9 - 11.9	<0.001
Body weight, 56m (kg)	92 /104	15.7	±0.38	14.9 - 16.4	18.2	±0.36	17.5 - 18.9	<0.001
Body weight, 6y (kg)	84 /105	20.1	±0.32	19.5 - 20.8	21.7	±0.29	21.2 - 22.3	<0.001
Body weight, 8y (kg)	86 /105	25.1	±0.49	24.1 - 26.1	28.0	±0.45	27.2 - 28.9	<0.001
Body weight, 26y (kg)	97 /107	67.4	±1.4	64.6 - 70.2	77.2	±1.3	74.5 - 79.8	<0.001

713

714 Statistical comparisons: Sex with χ^2 statistics; Age and GA with two-sample t-tests; TIV at age 26 (covariates:

715 sex, scanner) and all body weight related variables (covariate: sex) with general linear model.

716 *Abbreviations:* FT, full-term; GA, gestational age; INTI, intensity of neonatal treatment index; Samples, cohort

717 sample size (VP/VLBW and FT); SD, standard deviation; SE, standard error; TIV, total intracranial volume;

718 VP/VLBW, very preterm and/or very low birth weight.

719 **Table 2: Comparison of hypothalamic volumes for VP/VLBW vs. FT adults, SGA-born**
 720 **vs. AGA/LGA-born VP/VLBW adults and catch-up status yes vs. no in SGA-born**
 721 **VP/VLBW adults**

A. Hypothalamic volumes (VP/VLBW vs. FT)

	VP/VLBW (n=101)				FT (n= 110)				p-value
	M	SE	95% CI		M	SE	95% CI		
HYP whole	765.7	6.3	753.4	778.0	822.9	6.0	811.1	834.6	<0.001***
PVN.DM.LH ⁺	273.7	2.9	268.0	279.4	289.1	2.7	283.7	294.5	<0.001***
INF.VM ⁺	242.8	2.2	238.4	247.1	252.6	2.1	248.5	256.8	0.002**
LH ⁺	216.3	3.0	210.5	222.1	244.1	2.8	238.6	249.7	<0.001***

B. Hypothalamic volumes (SGA VP/VLBW vs. AGA/LGA VP/VLBW)

	SGA VP/VLBW (n= 30)				AGA/LGA VP/VLBW (n= 67)				p-value
	M	SE	95% CI		M	SE	95% CI		
HYP whole	784.4	12.3	759.9	808.9	743.7	8.2	727.4	759.9	0.008**
PVN.DM.LH ⁺	277.1	5.0	267.3	287.0	268.5	3.3	262.0	275.0	0.154
INF.VM ⁺	248.0	4.2	239.6	256.4	237.7	2.8	232.2	243.3	0.047 ⁺
LH ⁺	225.5	5.8	213.9	237.1	205.4	3.9	197.7	213.1	0.006**

C. Hypothalamic volumes (SGA VP/VLBW no catch-up vs. SGA VP/VLBW catch-up)

	SGA VP/VLBW no catch-up (n=10)				SGA VP/VLBW catch-up (n=20)				p-value
	M	SE	95% CI		M	SE	95% CI		
HYP whole	785.1	17.0	749.8	820.3	767.1	11.9	742.5	791.6	0.403
PVN.DM.LH ⁺	271.2	7.4	256.0	286.5	275.3	5.1	264.7	285.9	0.659
INF.VM ⁺	255.3	6.1	242.7	267.9	238.9	4.3	230.1	247.8	0.042 ⁺
LH ⁺	228.2	8.9	209.8	246.5	218.3	6.2	205.5	231.1	0.379

722

723 Marginal mean values of whole hypothalamus and its subunits are given in mm³. General linear models (A) with
 724 prematurity status at birth as fixed factor, (B) with z-scores of birth weight below (=SGA)/above (=AGA/LGA)
 725 10th percentile in VP/VLBW cohort as fixed factor, (C) with endpoint catch-up growth status in SGA subgroup
 726 as fixed factor and scanner, sex, and TIV as covariates of no interest. Group difference significance is marked by
 727 asterisks (+: p < 0.05; *: p-FDR < 0.05; **: p-FDR < 0.01; *** p-FDR < 0.001).

728 *Abbreviations:* AGA/LGA, appropriate for gestational age/ large for gestational age; CI, confidence interval;
 729 FDR, false discovery rate correction for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini–Hochberg method; FT, full-

730 term; M, mean; SE, standard error; SGA, small for gestational age; VP/VLBW, very preterm and/or very low
731 birth weight.

732 **Appendix**

733 **Supplement of**

734 **Lower hypothalamus subunit volumes link with long-term**
735 **growth failure after preterm birth: a longitudinal case-**
736 **control study**

737 (by Tobias Ruzok et al.)

738 **Content Table:**

739
740

741	Supplementary Materials and methods	39
742	Participants	39
743	Gestation and medical treatment.....	40
744	Body growth.....	40
745	MRI data acquisition	42
746	Hypothalamus segmentation.....	43
747	Statistical analysis	44
748	Supplementary Figures	46
749	Figure S1: Participants of the Bavarian Longitudinal Study.....	46
750	Figure S2: Reliability control analysis of segmented whole HYP and representative	
751	functional subunit (INF.VM ⁺) volumes via comparison to HYP studies in literature.....	47
752	Figure S3: VP/VLBW adults: adult body weight and PVN.DM.LH ⁺	49
753	Figure S4: K-means cluster analysis of body weight trajectories: differences in explained	
754	variance regression score with varying number of predefined cluster	51

755	Figure S5: Comparison of hypothalamic volumes between k-means clustered groups of	
756	VP/VLBW adults and adult FT cohort	52
757		
758	Supplementary Tables	53
759	Table S1: Description of the variables comprising the INTI.....	53
760	Table S3: Relationship between hypothalamic volumes in VP/VLBW adults and variables	
761	of preterm birth	56
762	Table S4: Relationship between hypothalamic volumes in VP/VLBW adults and adult body	
763	weight	57
764	Table S5: Relationship between hypothalamic volumes in VP/VLBW adults and delta slope	
765	of body weight development trajectories	58
766	Table S6: Relationship between hypothalamic volumes in VP/VLBW adults and birth	
767	weight z-scores	59
768	Table S7: Group comparison of hypothalamic volumes with regards to birth weight and	
769	status of preterm birth (VP/VLBW adults born SGA vs. VP/VLBW adults born AGA/LGA vs.	
770	adult FT)	60
771	Supplementary References.....	61
772		
773		

774 **Supplementary Materials and methods**

775 **Participants**

776 This study assessed a geographically defined whole population sample of neonatal at-risk very
777 preterm and/or very low birth weight (<32 weeks of gestation and/or <1500g) individuals
778 (VP/VLBW) and healthy full-term controls (FT). Sample data were collected as part of the
779 Bavarian Longitudinal Study, of which a more detailed description can be found elsewhere.^{1,2}
780 Briefly, all individuals were born between Jan 1st, 1985, and March 31st, 1986, in a defined
781 region of Southern Bavaria and were followed from birth into adulthood. The VP/VLBW co-
782 hort initially consisted of 682 individuals of whom 260 participated in the 26-year follow-up
783 assessment, including measurement of body growth parameters. Of the initial 916 FT controls
784 from the same obstetric hospitals alive at 6 years, 350 were randomly selected within the strat-
785 ification variables of sex and family socioeconomic status as being comparable to the
786 VP/VLBW cohort. 229 of them participated in the 26-year follow-up assessment. For subse-
787 quent brain imaging at age 26, all individuals were screened for MR-related exclusion criteria
788 including (self-reported) claustrophobia, inability to lie still for > 30min, unstable medical con-
789 ditions (e.g., severe asthma), epilepsy, tinnitus, pregnancy, non-removable MRI-incompatible
790 metal implants, and a history of severe central nervous system (CNS) trauma or disease that
791 would impair further analysis of the data. The most frequent reason not to perform the MRI
792 exam, however, was lack of motivation. The remaining 101 VP/VLBW individuals and 111
793 FT controls underwent MRI scan. The MRI examinations took place at two sites: The Depart-
794 ment of Neuroradiology, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technical University of Munich (n=146),
795 and the Department of Radiology, University Hospital of Bonn (n=66). For a detailed flowchart
796 of participants through the study see Supplement Fig. S1 and³.

797 The study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by
798 the local ethics committee of the Klinikum rechts der Isar and the University Hospital Bonn.
799 All study participants gave written informed consent. They received travel expenses and pay-
800 ment for participation.

801 **Gestation and medical treatment**

802 Gestational age (GA) was estimated from maternal reports on the first day of the last menstrual
803 period and from serial ultrasounds during pregnancy. In cases, in which the two measures dif-
804 fered by more than 2 weeks, clinical assessment at birth with the Dubowitz method was ap-
805 plied.⁴ To estimate medical impairments at birth, Intensity of Neonatal Treatment Index (INTI)
806 was calculated via daily assessments of care level, respiratory support, feeding dependency,
807 and neurological status (mobility, muscle tone, and neurological excitability). Each of these six
808 variables was scored on a 4-point rating scale (0–3) using the method of Casaer and Eggermont⁵
809 (see Supplement Table S1 for a description of the variables). INTI was computed as the mean
810 score of daily ratings during the first 10 days of life or until a stable clinical state was reached
811 (total daily scores <3 for 3 consecutive days), depending on which occurred first, ranging from
812 0 (best state) to 18 (worst state).

813 **Body growth**

814 Body weight measurements were undertaken at birth and during follow-up visits at five and 20
815 months corrected for prematurity, and at 56 months, 6, 8 and 26 years of chronological age by
816 specially trained research nurses. They used predefined protocols with weighing on standard
817 scales in underwear only.⁶ For growth analysis, we transformed body weight measurements
818 from [g]/[kg] into z-scores relative to the exact age of each participant, typically used for the
819 description of longitudinal changes of growth status.^{7,8} In detail, a neonatal reference was ap-
820 plied for calculation of "birth weight for gestational age z-scores" (referred to as "birth weight

821 z-scores" in our study). We used Voigt's neonatal population database comprising 2.3 million
822 live and still singleton births in Germany from 1995 to 2000 with a GA from 20 to 43 weeks,^{9,10}
823 allowing for sex-specific weight percentiles to be calculated. For calculation of body weight z-
824 scores at all other ages a reference was applied comprising percentiles of body weight in chil-
825 dren and adolescents including 17.147 males and 17.275 females, aged 0-18 years, evaluated
826 from different regional German studies.¹¹ For ages greater 18 years, the authors additionally
827 integrated percentile data from the German "Mikrozensus"¹² into their reference.
828 For all analyses focusing on variables regarding body growth restricted to the VP/VLBW co-
829 hort, four individuals were excluded as adult body weight at age 26 was missing (remaining
830 number of individuals of VP/VLBW cohort for body growth analyses: N=97).

831
832 *SGA status and catch-up growth.* "Small for gestational age" (SGA) versus "appropriate/large
833 for gestational age" (AGA/LGA) was determined depending on birth weight z-scores being
834 below (equivalent to z-scores < -1.282) versus above the 10th percentile, respectively.^{9,13}
835 Within the SGA subcohort, we defined successful endpoint catch-up growth as an adult body
836 weight z-score at age 26 above the 10th percentile. In doing so, we concentrated on long-term
837 body growth development as opposed to short-term evaluations of catch-up growth within the
838 first two years of life as mostly performed in the literature.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ This allowed us to better account
839 for the additional role of long-term growth in metabolic and cardiovascular outcome after pre-
840 term birth.^{18,19}

841
842 *Body growth trajectory analysis.* To analyze the relationship between hypothalamic volumes
843 and body growth trajectories, we performed both trajectory slope and trajectory type analysis
844 using Python version 3.7.10 and especially the "scikit-learn" package, a machine learning fo-
845 cused Python library.

846 Firstly, for trajectory slope analysis we assessed body growth development restricted to the
847 VP/VLBW group. Linear regression of body weight z-scores (non-interpolated) at birth, ages
848 5, 20, 56 months and 6, 8 and 26 years was performed to receive regressed body weight trajec-
849 tories. Partial correlations were used to investigate the associations between change of body
850 weight z-score from birth until adulthood of regressed body weight trajectories (delta slope)
851 and hypothalamic volumes corrected for sex, scanner, and total intracranial volume (TIV; sum
852 of segmented grey and white matter brain volumes and cerebrospinal fluid partitions).

853 Secondly, for trajectory type analysis, we revealed growth trajectory types in the VP/VLBW
854 group by a clustering approach. In particular, we clustered body growth development trajec-
855 tories via k-means algorithm in Python (`sklearn.cluster.KMeans`) after approximating missing
856 data points via linear interpolation (number of missing data points can be deduced from Table
857 1 with regards to missing samples from maximum N=97 VP/VLBW, N=110 FT at every stage
858 of longitudinal weight analysis). The k-means algorithm is based on minimizing within-cluster
859 sum of squared distances between each data point and the centroids.²⁰⁻²² The number of times
860 the k-means algorithm was run with different centroid seeds as default initialization was
861 N=100. After trajectory clustering, hypothalamic volumes were compared across cluster using
862 general linear models as described above.

863 **MRI data acquisition**

864 MRI data acquisition (see²³) was performed at Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technical University
865 of Munich, and Bonn University Hospital on Philips Achieva 3 T systems or Philips Ingenia
866 3T systems using an 8-channel SENSE head coil. Subject distribution among scanners was:
867 Bonn Achieva 3T: 5 VP/VLBW, 11 FT; Bonn Ingenia 3T: 33 VP/VLBW, 17 FT; Munich
868 Achieva 3T: 60 VP/VLBW, 65 FT; Munich Ingenia 3T: 3 VP/VLBW, 17 FT. Across all scan-
869 ners sequence parameters were kept identical. Scanners were checked regularly to provide

870 optimal scanning conditions and MRI physicists at the University Hospital Bonn and Klinikum
871 rechts der Isar regularly scanned imaging phantoms to ensure within-scanner signal stability
872 over time. Signal-to-noise ratio was not significantly different between scanners (one-way
873 analysis of variance with factor “scanner- ID” [Bonn 1, Bonn 2, Munich 1, Munich 2];
874 $F(3,182)=1.84$, $p=0.11$). A high-resolution T1-weighted 3D magnetization prepared rapid ac-
875 quisition gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence (TI=1,300ms, TR=7.7ms, TE=3.9ms, flip an-
876 gle=15°; 180 sagittal slices, FOV=256×256×180mm, reconstruction matrix=256×256; recon-
877 structed isotropic voxel size=1mm³) was acquired. All images were visually inspected for ar-
878 tefacts. In our study, to account for possible confounds by scanner differences, MRI data anal-
879 yses included scanner dummy variables as covariates of no interest.

880 **Hypothalamus segmentation**

881 T1-weighted MRI scans in Nifti-format were processed by using the freely available FreeSurfer
882 image analysis suite (<http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/>). Particularly, the version of Free-
883 Surfer 7.1.1 includes a deep convolutional neural network tool of Billot et al.²⁴ that enables for
884 automated segmentation of the hypothalamus, including subsegment parcellation. Billot and
885 colleagues trained this neural network on thirty-seven T1-weighted, manually labelled, and
886 augmented MRI scans. A state-of-the-art 3D U-net model²⁵ served as a basis for the architec-
887 ture of the network. As a result, this hypothalamus delineation approach exceeded former ap-
888 proaches based on multi-atlas segmentation or deep learning-based algorithms,²⁶ and it was
889 comparable to expert intra-rater precision.²⁴ We applied the algorithm to our dataset of T1-
890 weighted MRI scans.

891 To focus on hypothalamic nuclei involved in growth control, we mapped subsegments from
892 the segmentation algorithm that contained nuclei relevant for growth control onto *three so-*
893 *called subunits of growth control, namely PVN.DM.LH⁺, INF.VM⁺ and LH⁺.* The

894 PVN.DM.LH⁺ subunit is the aggregate of two subsegments of the segmentation of Billot et
895 al.,²⁴ namely the anterior-superior and superior tubular subsegment (see Fig. 1), and these two
896 subsegments cover the preoptic area, the paraventricular nucleus (PVN), the dorsomedial nu-
897 cleus (DM), and parts of the lateral hypothalamus (LH), with the latter three being involved in
898 growth control and therefore defining the name of the subunit. INF.VM⁺ is identical to the
899 inferior tubular subsegment, which comprises - amongst other nuclei - the growth control-re-
900 lated infundibular (INF) and ventromedial (VM) nuclei. LH⁺ matches the posterior subsegment
901 in Billot et al.,²⁴ including the mammillary bodies, parts of the tuberomammillary nucleus and,
902 critically, of the growth control-related lateral hypothalamus (LH). The final anterior-inferior
903 subsegment (suprachiasmatic nucleus and parts of the supraoptic nucleus) was excluded from
904 further analysis because it does not contain any growth-related nuclei.
905 All subunits stated in the analysis already consider volumes of bilateral hypothalamus.

906 **Statistical analysis**

907 Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 27 (IBM SPSS Statistics).
908 *Analyses of group differences and correlation analyses.* Regarding demographical characteris-
909 tics, group differences between VP/VLBW and FT cohorts were assessed using chi-square tests
910 (sex) and two-sample t-tests (age, GA). To test whether both hypothalamic volumes or body
911 weights are altered in prematurity, general linear models were used (dependent variable: hypo-
912 thalamic volumes and body weight, respectively; fixed factor: status of prematurity at birth;
913 covariates: sex and additionally only for hypothalamic volume changes: scanner, TIV). Age
914 was not included as a covariate in our analyses, as VP/VLBW subjects and FT controls were
915 not significantly different in mean age at scanning of 26 years. Partial correlation analysis,
916 restricted to the VP/VLBW group and corrected for sex, scanner and TIV, was used to investi-
917 gate the associations between hypothalamic volumes and variables of preterm birth i.e., GA

918 and INTI, respectively. Regarding variables related to body growth (birth weight z-scores and
919 adult weight) similar correlation analyses were applied.

920

921 *Mediation analysis.* To assess potential mediation effects of hypothalamic volumes regarding
922 the association of variables of prematurity (i.e., INTI and GA, respectively) with adult body
923 weight, a mediation analysis restricted to the VP/VLBW cohort was performed using the PRO-
924 CESS toolbox (version 3.5) of SPSS.²⁷ In the mediation model, INTI and GA were entered as
925 causal variables, respectively, adult body weight as the outcome variable, and volumes of
926 PVN.DM.LH⁺, INF.VM⁺ and LH⁺ subunits were introduced simultaneously as potential paral-
927 lel mediator variables (covariates of no interest: sex, scanner, and TIV). Path coefficients for
928 total effect, direct effect and indirect effect were estimated using (unstandardized) regression
929 coefficients from multiple regression analyses, and statistical significance of the indirect effect
930 was tested using a nonparametric bootstrap approach (with 5000 repetitions) to obtain 95%
931 confidence intervals. We calculated p-values for indirect effects based on 95% confidence in-
932 tervals, SE and estimated effect as described by Altman and Bland.²⁸

933


934 *Statistical thresholds.* Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$; all tests were two-sided. Tests
935 were corrected for multiple comparisons for false discovery rate (FDR) according to the Ben-
936 jamini-Hochberg procedure.²⁹

937 **Supplementary Figures**

938

939 **Figure S1: Participants of the Bavarian Longitudinal Study**

940



941


942

943 **Figure S1.** Flowchart of the participants of the Bavarian Longitudinal Study.

944 Abbreviations: MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SES, socioeconomic status; VP/VLBW,

945 very preterm and/or very low birth weight.

946 **Figure S2: Reliability control analysis of segmented whole HYP and representative**
947 **functional subunit (INF.VM⁺) volumes via comparison to HYP studies in literature**
948



949
950
951 **Figure S2.** Comparison of manually or (semi-)automated segmented hypothalamic volumes
952 (in cm³) in research literature for whole hypothalamus (upper line) and hypothalamus subunits
953 with representative visualization of INV.VM⁺ subunit (lower line). With regards to subunit
954 comparisons, studies were selected which provided similar hypothalamus subunit parcellation
955 methodology. If available, segmentation results of healthy controls were taken for comparison

956 (also compare Supplement Table S2).

957 Additional remarks: The INF.VM⁺ (inferior tubular) subunit comprises, according to the Billot
958 et al.²⁴ segmentation algorithm, the infundibular nucleus, the ventromedial nucleus, the lateral
959 tubular nucleus, and parts of the tuberomammillary and the supraoptic nuclei; the lateral tubular
960 nucleus was not assigned to the INF.VM⁺ subunit in Bocchetta et al.³⁰ and Neudorfer, Germann
961 et al.³¹ and the tuberomammillary nucleus not assigned to the INF.VM⁺ subunit in Makris et
962 al.³² and Bocchetta et al.³⁰

963 *HYP volumes = (male + female volumes)/2 (mean of provided values).


964 **Summation of single nuclear volumes (as parcellation on nuclear level is available in Neu-
965 dorfer, Germann et al.).³¹

966 Abbreviations: FT, full-term; HYP, hypothalamus.


967 **Figure S3: VP/VLBW adults: adult body weight and PVN.DM.LH⁺**

968

A. Association between hypothalamus subunit volumes in VP/VLBW adults and adult body weight



B. Mediation analysis in the VP/VLBW cohort for growth-related hypothalamus subunits as potential mediators of the association between INTI and adult body weight



969


970

971 **Figure S3. (A)** Association between hypothalamic volumes and adult body weight in the
 972 VP/VLBW cohort (compare also Supplement Table S4). Scatterplot shows relationship be-
 973 tween adult body weight and PVN.DM.LH⁺ subunit volumes. Linear regression line and re-
 974 gression coefficient of partial regression analysis are added. **(B)** The PVN.DM.LH⁺ subunit
 975 volume mediates the association between medical complications at birth (represented by INTI
 976 as causal variable) and adult body weight (in kg). A path diagram is shown in order to illustrate
 977 the results of the mediation analyses restricted to the VP/VLBW cohort. The regression model
 978 was corrected for sex, scanner and TIV. Body weight related hypothalamus subunits were in-
 979 troduced as potential mediators and the PVN.DM.LH⁺ subunit volume yielded a significant
 980 indirect effect (ab= -0.346 ± 0.174; bootstrapped 95% CI: -0.738 to -0.075; p= 0.040).²⁷ All
 981 other subunits did not show significant mediation effects. The figure includes the following
 982 standardized regression coefficients: c, the total effect of INTI on adult body weight; c', the
 983 direct effect of INTI on adult body weight when adjusting for the potential mediating variables.
 984 Significant regression coefficients (p < 0.05) are marked with an asterisk. For GA as causal
 985 variable, we did not find a similar mediation effect.

986 Abbreviations: INTI, intensity of neonatal treatment; VP/VLBW, very preterm and/or very low
987 birth weight.

988 **Figure S4: K-means cluster analysis of body weight trajectories: differences in ex-**
989 **plained variance regression score with varying number of predefined cluster**

990



991

992

993 **Figure S4. Left.** Graph of explained variance regression score with varying number of pre-
994 defined cluster for clustering of body weight development trajectories in the VP/VLBW cohort.

995 The explained variance regression score (`sklearn.metrics.explained_variance_score`) is calcu-

996 lated as $explained_variance(y, y^*) = 1 - \frac{Var(y - y^*)}{Var(y)}$, where $y - y^*$ describes the

997 pointwise calculation of the gap between the individual trajectory under observation (y) and

998 the correspondent clustered trajectory (y^*). Var as variance represents the square of the stand-


999 ard deviation. The explained variance regression score is ≤ 1 , with 1 being the optimum. **Right.**

1000 Absolute values of explained variance regression scores depending on number of predefined

1001 cluster.

1002 Abbreviations: VP/VLBW, very preterm and/or very low birth weight.

1003 **Figure S5: Comparison of hypothalamic volumes between k-means clustered groups of**
 1004 **VP/VLBW adults and adult FT cohort**
 1005



1006
 1007
 1008 **Figure S5.** Marginal means of hypothalamic volumes are given in mm³ for FT cohort and k-
 1009 means clustered groups of VP/VLBW cohort and are shown as bar plots; error bars indicate
 1010 SE. Group differences were assessed using a general linear model (fixed factor: five-part vari-
 1011 able considering k-means clustered VP/VLBW and FT group differentiation; covariates of no
 1012 interest: sex, scanners, TIV). Group difference significance is marked by asterisks (+: p < 0.05;
 1013 *: p-FDR < 0.05; **: p-FDR < 0.01; *** p-FDR < 0.001).
 1014 Abbreviations: FDR, false discovery rate correction for multiple comparisons using the Benja-
 1015 mini–Hochberg method; FT, full-term; n.s., not significant; SE, standard error; VP/VLBW,
 1016 very preterm and/or very low birth weight.

1017 **Supplementary Tables**

1018 **Table S1: Description of the variables comprising the INTI**

Scale value	Scale label
i) Care level	
0	Normal care (i.e., that required by term-born infant)
1	Special care
2	Intensive observation
3	Intensive treatment
ii) Respiratory support	
0	Air
1	Extra oxygen
2	CPAP
3	Ventilator
iii) Feeding dependency	
0	Oral
1	Gavage/ probe
2	Intravenous plus gavage and/or oral
3	Intravenous
iv) Mobility	
0	Normal
1	<i>No label specified</i>
2	Slightly diminished or elevated
3	Extremely diminished or elevated (hyper- or hypokinetic)
v) Muscle tone	
0	Normal
1	<i>No label specified</i>
2	Slightly degraded or elevated
3	Hypertonic or hypotonic
vi) Neurological excitability (of the Central Nervous System)	
0	Normal
1	<i>No label specified</i>
2	Slightly delayed / weak or increased reactions possibly with trembling
3	Pronounced, decreased (apathy, coma) or severe hyperexcitability with abnormal signs

1019

1020 **Table S1.** Description of the six variables that comprise the INTI.

1021 Abbreviations: CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure; INTI, intensity of neonatal treatment index.

1022 **Table S2: Hypothalamus parcellation methodologies and segmentation results in literature**

Study of interest	Sample description	Age of controls (mean ± SD)	Segmentation technique	HYP subsgm.	Name of HYP subsegment	Volume (cm ³)	SD (cm ³)	VAR coeff.	Note
Makris et al., 2013 (32)	18 women, 26 men [healthy controls (n = 44)]	42 ± 11.5 (men) & 38 ± 9.6 (women)	1.5T MRI (in vivo; manual/semi-automated)	5	Anterior superior	0.11			approx.
					Anterior inferior	0.10			approx.
					Superior tuberal	0.18			approx.
					Inferior tuberal	0.24			approx.
					Posterior	0.22			approx.
					HYP whole	0.79	0.14	18%	female
HYP whole	0.91	0.11	12%	male					
Bocchetta et al., 2015 (30)	Fronto-temporal dementia (n = 18), healthy controls (n = 18; male: 9)	56.4 ± 14.3	3T T1w, T2w MRI (manual)	5	Anterior superior	0.05			
					Anterior inferior	0.03			
					Superior tuberal	0.29			
					Inferior tuberal	0.32			
					Posterior	0.26			
					HYP whole	0.94	0.07	7.7%	
Neudorfer, Germann et al., 2020 (31)	HCP dataset (n = 900) for atlas generation	22–35	3T T1w, T2w MRI (manual, automated - atlas)	4	Preoptic region	0.02			
					Anterior region	0.23			
					Tuberal region	0.49			
					Mammillary region	0.10			
					HYP whole	0.83	0.11	13%	MB excl.
Billot et al., 2020 (24)	Healthy controls and fronto-temporal dementia (n = 37); ADNI dataset (n = 675)	56.4 ± 14.3	3T T1w MRI (manual & automated via deep convolutional neural network)	5	Anterior superior	0.05			
					Anterior inferior	0.03			
					Superior tubular	0.19			
					Inferior tubular	0.21			
					Posterior	0.17			
					HYP whole	0.65	n.a.	n.a.	
Current Study (FT volumes)	101 VP/VLBW individuals, 110 controls	26.8 ± 0.74	3T T1w MRI (automated via deep convolutional neural network)	5	Anterior superior	0.05			
					Anterior inferior	0.04			
					Superior tubular	0.23			
					Inferior tubular	0.25			
					Posterior	0.24			
					HYP whole	0.82	0.09	11%	

1023

1024

1025 **Table S2.** Comparison of manually or (semi-)automated segmented hypothalamic volumes (in cm³) in research
 1026 literature, their parcellation methodology and segmentation approach for whole hypothalamus and hypothalamus
 1027 subunits. For visualization of hypothalamic volume comparisons see Supplement Fig. S2. More examples of
 1028 whole hypothalamus segmentation, parcellation methodologies and volumetric data in literature can be found in³³⁻
 1029 ³⁵, from where some of the data in the table is also extracted from.

1030 Abbreviations: approx., approximated; ADNI, Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative; HCP, Human Con-
 1031 nectome Project; MB excl., mammillary bodies excluded; SD, standard deviation; subsgm., subsegment; VAR
 1032 coeff., variation coefficient; VP/VLBW, very preterm and/or very low birth weight.

1033 **Table S3: Relationship between hypothalamic volumes in VP/VLBW adults and variables of preterm**

1034 **birth**

1035

	GA		INTI	
	r	p-Value	r	p-Value
HYP whole	0.265	0.009**	-0.296	0.004**
PVN.DM.LH ⁺	0.143	0.166	-0.328	0.001**
INF.VM ⁺	0.247	0.016*	-0.141	0.174
LH ⁺	0.236	0.021*	-0.251	0.014*

1036

1037 **Table S3.** Correlation coefficients from partial correlation analyses in the VP/VLBW sample are given. TIV,

1038 scanner, and sex served as covariates. Group difference significance is marked by asterisks (+: $p < 0.05$; *: p-FDR

1039 < 0.05 ; **: p-FDR < 0.01 ; *** p-FDR < 0.001).

1040 Abbreviations: FDR, false discovery rate correction for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini–Hochberg

1041 method; GA, gestational age; INTI, intensity of neonatal treatment; VP/VLBW, very preterm and/or very low

1042 birth weight.

1043 **Table S4: Relationship between hypothalamic volumes in VP/VLBW adults and adult body weight**

1044

	Adult body weight	
	r	p-Value
HYP whole	0.164	0.118
PVN.DM.LH ⁺	0.214	0.041 ⁺
INF.VM ⁺	-0.035	0.740
LH ⁺	0.098	0.352

1045

1046 **Table S4.** Correlation coefficients from partial correlation analyses in the VP/VLBW sample are given. TIV,
1047 scanner, and sex served as covariates. Group difference significance is marked by asterisks (+: $p < 0.05$; *: p-FDR
1048 < 0.05 ; **: p-FDR < 0.01 ; *** p-FDR < 0.001).

1049 Abbreviations: FDR, false discovery rate correction for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini–Hochberg
1050 method; VP/VLBW, very preterm and/or very low birth weight.

1051 **Table S5: Relationship between hypothalamic volumes in VP/VLBW adults and delta slope of body**
1052 **weight development trajectories**

1053

	Delta slope	
	r	p-Value
HYP whole	0.238	0.022 ⁺
PVN.DM.LH ⁺	0.223	0.033 ⁺
INF.VM ⁺	0.003	0.976
LH ⁺	0.216	0.039 ⁺

1054

1055 **Table S5.** Correlation coefficients from partial correlation analyses in the VP/VLBW sample are given. Delta
1056 slope of individual body weight development trajectories is calculated via change of body weight z-score from
1057 birth until adulthood of regressed body weight trajectories. TIV, scanner, and sex served as covariates. Group
1058 difference significance is marked by asterisks (+: $p < 0.05$; *: $p\text{-FDR} < 0.05$; **: $p\text{-FDR} < 0.01$; ***: $p\text{-FDR} <$
1059 0.001).

1060 Abbreviations: FDR, false discovery rate correction for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini–Hochberg
1061 method; VP/VLBW, very preterm and/or very low birth weight.

1062 **Table S6: Relationship between hypothalamic volumes in VP/VLBW adults and birth weight z-scores**

1063

	Birth weight z-score	
	r	p-Value
HYP whole	-0.262	0.012*
PVN.DM.LH ⁺	-0.082	0.438
INF.VM ⁺	-0.231	0.027*
LH ⁺	-0.273	0.009**

1064

1065 **Table S6.** Correlation coefficients from partial correlation analyses in the VP/VLBW sample are given. TIV,
1066 scanner, and sex served as covariates. Group difference significance is marked by asterisks (+: $p < 0.05$; *: p-FDR
1067 < 0.05 ; **: p-FDR < 0.01 ; *** p-FDR < 0.001).

1068 Abbreviations: FDR, false discovery rate correction for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini–Hochberg
1069 method; VP/VLBW, very preterm and/or very low birth weight.

1070 **Table S7: Group comparison of hypothalamic volumes with regards to birth weight and status of preterm**
1071 **birth (VP/VLBW adults born SGA vs. VP/VLBW adults born AGA/LGA vs. adult FT)**

1072

	VP/VLBW SGA (n=30)				VP/VLBW AGA/LGA (n= 67)				p-value
	M	SE	95% CI		M	SE	95% CI		
HYP whole (mm ³)	790.8	10.9	769.3	812.2	753.9	7.5	739.1	768.8	0.016*
PVN.DM.LH ⁺ (mm ³)	279.3	5.1	269.3	289.3	271.6	3.5	264.7	278.6	0.627
INF.VM ⁺ (mm ³)	249.6	3.9	242.0	257.3	240.5	2.7	235.2	245.8	0.150
LH ⁺ (mm ³)	228.0	5.1	218.0	238.0	209.4	3.5	202.4	216.3	0.008**

	VP/VLBW SGA (n=30)				FT (n=110)				p-value
	M	SE	95% CI		M	SE	95% CI		
HYP whole (mm ³)	790.8	10.9	769.3	812.2	823.8	5.9	812.3	835.4	0.027*
PVN.DM.LH ⁺ (mm ³)	279.3	5.1	269.3	289.3	289.4	2.7	284.0	294.8	0.262
INF.VM ⁺ (mm ³)	249.6	3.9	242.0	257.3	252.8	2.1	248.7	257.0	1.000
LH ⁺ (mm ³)	228.0	5.1	218.0	238.0	244.6	2.7	239.2	250.0	0.015*

	VP/VLBW AGA/LGA (n= 67)				FT (n=110)				p-value
	M	SE	95% CI		M	SE	95% CI		
HYP whole (mm ³)	753.9	7.5	739.1	768.8	823.8	5.9	812.3	835.4	<0.001***
PVN.DM.LH ⁺ (mm ³)	271.6	3.5	264.7	278.6	289.4	2.7	284.0	294.8	0.001**
INF.VM ⁺ (mm ³)	240.5	2.7	235.2	245.8	252.8	2.1	248.7	257.0	0.002**
LH ⁺ (mm ³)	209.4	3.5	202.4	216.3	244.6	2.7	239.2	250.0	<0.001***

1073

1074 **Table S7.** Marginal mean values of whole hypothalamus and its subunits are given in mm³. General linear model
1075 with SGA, AGA/LGA and FT group differentiation status at birth as fixed factor. Scanner, sex, and TIV served
1076 as covariates of no interest. Group difference significance is marked by asterisks (+: p < 0.05; *: p-FDR < 0.05;
1077 **: p-FDR < 0.01; *** p-FDR < 0.001).

1078 Abbreviations: AGA/LGA, appropriate for gestational age/ large for gestational age; CI, confidence interval;
1079 FDR, false discovery rate correction for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini–Hochberg method; FT, full-
1080 term; M, mean; SE, standard error; SGA, small for gestational age; VP/VLBW, very preterm and/or very low
1081 birth weight.

1082 **Supplementary References**

1083

- 1084 1. Wolke D, Ratschinski G, Ohrt B, Riegel K. The cognitive outcome of very preterm infants may be
1085 poorer than often reported: an empirical investigation of how methodological issues make a big
1086 difference. *Eur J Pediatr*. 1994;153(12):906-915. doi:10.1007/BF01954744
- 1087 2. Wolke D, Meyer R. Cognitive status, language attainment, and prereading skills of 6-year-old
1088 very preterm children and their peers: the Bavarian Longitudinal Study. *Dev Med Child Neurol*.
1089 1999;41(2):94-109. doi:10.1017/s0012162299000201
- 1090 3. Schmitz-Koep B, Zimmermann J, Menegaux A, et al. Decreased amygdala volume in adults after
1091 premature birth. *Sci Rep*. 2021;11(1):5403. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-84906-2
- 1092 4. Dubowitz LM, Dubowitz V, Goldberg C. Clinical assessment of gestational age in the newborn
1093 infant. *J Pediatr*. 1970;77(1):1-10. doi:10.1016/s0022-3476(70)80038-5
- 1094 5. Casaer, P., Eggermont, E. Neonatal clinical neurological assessment. In: Harel S, Anastasiow N,
1095 eds. *The at-risk infant: Psycho/- socio/medical aspects*. Baltimore, MD: Brookes; 1985:pp. 197–
1096 220.
- 1097 6. Gutbrod T, Wolke D, Soehne B, Ohrt B, Riegel K. Effects of gestation and birth weight on the
1098 growth and development of very low birthweight small for gestational age infants: a matched
1099 group comparison. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2000;82(3):F208-14.
1100 doi:10.1136/fn.82.3.f208
- 1101 7. Wang Y, Chen H-J. Use of Percentiles and Z-Scores in Anthropometry. In: Preedy VR, ed. *Hand-*
1102 *book of Anthropometry: Physical Measures of Human Form in Health and Disease*. 2012nd ed.
1103 New York: Springer; 2012.
- 1104 8. Wit J-M, Boersma B. Catch-up growth: definition, mechanisms, and models. *J Pediatr Endocrinol*
1105 *Metab*. 2002;15 Suppl 5:1229-1241.
- 1106 9. Eves R, Mendonça M, Bartmann P, Wolke D. Small for gestational age-cognitive performance
1107 from infancy to adulthood: an observational study. *BJOG*. 2020;127(13):1598-1606.
1108 doi:10.1111/1471-0528.16341
- 1109 10. Voigt M, Fusch C, Olbertz D, et al. Analyse des Neugeborenenkollektivs der Bundesrepublik
1110 Deutschland. *Geburtsh Frauenheilk*. 2006;66(10):956-970. doi:10.1055/s-2006-924458
- 1111 11. Kromeyer-Hauschild K, Wabitsch M, Kunze D, et al. Perzentile für den Body-mass-Index für das
1112 Kindes- und Jugendalter unter Heranziehung verschiedener deutscher Stichproben. *Monatsschr*
1113 *Kinderheilkd*. 2001;149(8):807-818. doi:10.1007/s001120170107
- 1114 12. Statistisches Bundesamt. Fragen zur Gesundheit - Körpermaße der Bevölkerung - Mikrozensus
1115 2017. Accessed June 22, 2021. [https://www.destatis.de/DE/Themen/Gesellschaft-Umwelt/Gesundheit/Gesundheitszustand-Relevantes-Verhalten/Publikationen/Downloads-Gesund-](https://www.destatis.de/DE/Themen/Gesellschaft-Umwelt/Gesundheit/Gesundheitszustand-Relevantes-Verhalten/Publikationen/Downloads-Gesundheitszustand/koerpermasse-5239003179004.html)
1116 [heitszustand/koerpermasse-5239003179004.html](https://www.destatis.de/DE/Themen/Gesellschaft-Umwelt/Gesundheit/Gesundheitszustand-Relevantes-Verhalten/Publikationen/Downloads-Gesundheitszustand/koerpermasse-5239003179004.html)
- 1117
- 1118 13. Onis M de, Habicht JP. Anthropometric reference data for international use: recommendations
1119 from a World Health Organization Expert Committee. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1996;64(4):650-658.
1120 doi:10.1093/ajcn/64.4.650
- 1121 14. Louise T, Nauf Bendar AS, Durighel G, Frost G, Bell J. The effect of preterm birth on adiposity
1122 and metabolic pathways and the implications for later life. *Clinical Lipidology*. 2012;7(3):275-
1123 288. doi:10.2217/clp.12.32
- 1124 15. Euser AM, Wit CC de, Finken MJJ, Rijken M, Wit JM. Growth of preterm born children. *Horm*
1125 *Res*. 2008;70(6):319-328. doi:10.1159/000161862
- 1126 16. Olbertz DM, Mumm R, Wittwer-Backofen U, et al. Identification of growth patterns of preterm
1127 and small-for-gestational age children from birth to 4 years - do they catch up? *J Perinat Med*.
1128 2019;47(4):448-454. doi:10.1515/jpm-2018-0239

- 1129 17. Toftlund LH, Halken S, Agertoft L, Zachariassen G. Catch-Up Growth, Rapid Weight Growth,
1130 and Continuous Growth from Birth to 6 Years of Age in Very-Preterm-Born Children. *Neonatology*. 2018;114(4):285-293. doi:10.1159/000489675
1131
1132 18. Fewtrell MS, Doherty C, Cole TJ, Stafford M, Hales CN, Lucas A. Effects of size at birth, gesta-
1133 tional age and early growth in preterm infants on glucose and insulin concentrations at 9-12 years.
1134 *Diabetologia*. 2000;43(6):714-717. doi:10.1007/s001250051368
1135 19. Lapillonne A, Griffin IJ. Feeding preterm infants today for later metabolic and cardiovascular out-
1136 comes. *J Pediatr*. 2013;162(3 Suppl):S7-16. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.11.048
1137 20. Jain AK. Data clustering: 50 years beyond K-means. *Pattern Recognition Letters*.
1138 2010;31(8):651-666. doi:10.1016/j.patrec.2009.09.011
1139 21. Likas A, Vlassis N, J. Verbeek J. The global k-means clustering algorithm. *Pattern Recognition*.
1140 2003;36(2):451-461. doi:10.1016/S0031-3203(02)00060-2
1141 22. Lloyd S. Least squares quantization in PCM. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*.
1142 1982;28(2):129-137. doi:10.1109/TIT.1982.1056489
1143 23. Hedderich DM, Avram M, Menegaux A, et al. Hippocampal subfield volumes are nonspecifically
1144 reduced in premature-born adults. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2020;41(18):5215-5227.
1145 doi:10.1002/hbm.25187
1146 24. Billot B, Bocchetta M, Todd E, Dalca AV, Rohrer JD, Iglesias JE. Automated segmentation of the
1147 hypothalamus and associated subunits in brain MRI. *Neuroimage*. 2020;223:117287.
1148 doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117287
1149 25. Ronneberger O, Fischer P, Brox T. U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Seg-
1150 mentation. In: Navab N, Hornegger J, Wells WM, Frangi AF, eds. Medical image computing and
1151 computer-assisted intervention - MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Ger-
1152 many, October 5-9, 2015, proceedings / Nassir Navab, Joachim Hornegger, William M. Wells,
1153 Alejandro F. Frangi (eds.). Springer; 2015:234-241.
1154 26. Rodrigues L, Rezende T, Zanesco A, Hernandez AL, Franca M, Rittner L. Hypothalamus fully
1155 automatic segmentation from MR images using a U-Net based architecture. In: Romero E, Lepore
1156 N, Brieve J, eds. 15th International Symposium on Medical Information Processing and Analysis:
1157 6-8 November 2019, Medellín, Colombia. SPIE; 2020:40.
1158 27. Hayes AF. *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: A Regres-*
1159 *sion-Based Approach*. Second edition. New York, NY: The Guilford Press; 2018.
1160 28. Altman DG, Bland JM. How to obtain the P value from a confidence interval. *BMJ*.
1161 2011;343:d2304. doi:10.1136/bmj.d2304
1162 29. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Ap-
1163 proach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*.
1164 1995;57(1):289-300. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2346101>
1165 30. Bocchetta M, Gordon E, Manning E, et al. Detailed volumetric analysis of the hypothalamus in
1166 behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia. *J Neurol*. 2015;262(12):2635-2642.
1167 doi:10.1007/s00415-015-7885-2
1168 31. Neudorfer C, Germann J, Elias GJB, Gramer R, Boutet A, Lozano AM. A high-resolution in vivo
1169 magnetic resonance imaging atlas of the human hypothalamic region. *Sci Data*. 2020;7(1):305.
1170 doi:10.1038/s41597-020-00644-6
1171 32. Makris N, Swaab DF, van der Kouwe A, et al. Volumetric parcellation methodology of the human
1172 hypothalamus in neuroimaging: normative data and sex differences. *Neuroimage*. 2013;69:1-10.
1173 doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.12.008
1174 33. Spindler M, Özyurt J, Thiel CM. Automated diffusion-based parcellation of the hypothalamus re-
1175 veals subunit-specific associations with obesity. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):22238. doi:10.1038/s41598-
1176 020-79289-9
1177 34. Schindler S, Schönknecht P, Schmidt L, et al. Development and evaluation of an algorithm for the
1178 computer-assisted segmentation of the human hypothalamus on 7-Tesla magnetic resonance im-
1179 ages. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(7):e66394. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066394

- 1180 35. Gabery S, Georgiou-Karistianis N, Lundh SH, et al. Volumetric analysis of the hypothalamus in
1181 Huntington Disease using 3T MRI: the IMAGE-HD Study. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(2):e0117593.
1182 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117593