***Mycobacterium avium* complex (MAC) genomics and transmission in a London**

hospital

Andries J van Tonder[1], Huw C Ellis[2,3], Colin P Churchward[3], Kartik Kumar[2,3], Newara

Ramadan[4], Susan Benson[4], Julian Parkhill[1*], Miriam F Moffatt¶[3], Michael R

Loebinger¶[2,3], William OC Cookson¶[2,3*]

[1]Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge

[2] Host Defence Unit, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Royal Brompton Hospital,

Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London

[3]National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London

[4]Department of Microbiology, Royal Brompton Hospital, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS

Foundation Trust, London

* Corresponding authors: jp369@cam.ac.uk, w.cookson@imperial.ac.uk

¶ Contributed equally

Abstract

Background

Non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) are ubiquitous environmental microorganisms

and opportunistic pathogens in individuals with pre-existing lung conditions such as

cystic fibrosis (CF) and non-CF bronchiectasis (BX). Whilst recent studies of

Mycobacterium abscessus have identified transmission within single CF centres as

well as nationally and globally, transmission of other NTM species is less well studied.

Methods

We sequenced 1000 Mycobacterium avium complex (MAC) isolates from CF and

non-CF patients at the Royal Brompton Hospital (RBH), London. Epidemiological

links were identified from patient records. Previously published genomes were used

to characterise global population structures.

Findings

Analysis of the three most predominant MAC species identified putative transmission

clusters that contained patients with CF, BX and other lung conditions, although few

epidemiological links could be identified. For *M. avium*, lineages were largely limited

to single countries, whilst for *M. chimaera*, global transmission clusters previously

associated with heater cooler units (HCUs) were found. However, the origin of the

major HCU-associated outbreak was a lineage already circulating in patients with

pre-existing lung conditions.

Interpretation

CF and non-CF patients share transmission chains even in the presence of CF

patient-focussed hospital control measures, although the lack of epidemiological

links suggests that most transmission is indirect and may be due to environmental

foci or else asymptomatic carriage in the wider population. The major HCU-

associated *M. chimaera* lineage being derived from an already circulating lineage,

suggests that HCUs are not the sole vector nor the ultimate source of this lineage.

Future studies should include sampling of environmental reservoirs and potential

asymptomatic carriers.

Funding

This project was supported by the Asmarley Trust, the Wellcome Trust and the NIHR

Respiratory Disease Biomedical Research Unit at the Royal Brompton and Harefield

NHS Foundation Trust, Imperial College London. Huw Ellis' CRF was funded by a

grant from the Welton Foundation.

Research in context

Evidence before this study

Whilst recent studies in *Mycobacterium abscessus* have identified transmission within

single CF centres as well as nationally and globally, the transmission dynamics

between CF and non-CF patients has not yet been comprehensively examined in the

Mycobacterium avium complex (MAC). We searched PubMed and bioRxiv with the

following search terms: "*Mycobacterium avium*" or "*Mycobacterium intracellulare*" or

"*Mycobacterium chimaera*" and "whole genome" or "genomics" or "WGS" or

"transmission", for articles published in English between January 1st 2015 and

December 31st 2020. The searches returned 492 articles of which 20 were relevant.

The relevant publications described the collection and sequencing of MAC isolates

and provided genomes for global contextual analysis. Two papers explicitly

examined transmission of the three main MAC species considered in this study: one

investigated transmission of MAC species in CF centres in the United States of

America whilst the other looked at the similarity of MAC isolates from community and

household water in suburban Philadelphia. Three studies investigated the diversity

of *M. chimaera* isolates associated with Heater Cooler Units (HCUs) although none of

these expressly considered transmission using SNP thresholds.

Added value of this study

Here we present the first study to use a well-sampled longitudinal isolate dataset,

that includes both CF and non-CF patients from a single hospital setting, to

investigate transmission of MAC species. We identified transmission clusters in the

three predominant MAC species circulating in the hospital and showed that these

included both CF and non-CF patients. We then incorporated isolates from previous

studies to examine the global population structure of MAC species and showed that

for *M. avium* there were UK-specific lineages circulating amongst patients, whilst for

M. chimaera we could identify global lineages associated with HCUs. For the first

time, we also show that the predominant HCU-associate lineage is likely derived from

already circulating lineages associated with patients with respiratory diseases.

Implications of all the available evidence

Our study shows the value of integrating whole genome sequencing with

epidemiological data to perform high-resolution molecular analyses to characterise

MAC populations and identify transmission clusters. Knowledge of putative

transmission networks can improve responses to outbreaks and inform targeted

infection control and clinical practice.

Introduction

Non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) are ubiquitous environmental microorganisms

found in soil and water and are considered opportunistic pathogens in humans.

Individuals with pre-existing genetic or acquired lung diseases such as cystic fibrosis

(CF), non-CF bronchiectasis (BX) and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)

are more prone to NTM disease although individuals with no known immune

dysfunction can also present with NTM infections (1–3). Symptoms of NTM

pulmonary disease are variable but most patients will develop a chronic cough and

other symptoms may include fatigue, sputum production, chest pain, breathlessness,

fever and weight loss (1). Globally, disease due to NTM infections is increasing in

prevalence. For example, the estimated prevalence of NTM disease in the United

States of America (USA) rose from 2.4 cases/100,000 in the early 1980s to 15.2

cases/100,000 in 2013 (4), whilst in the United Kingdom (UK) the prevalence rose

from 0.9 cases/100,000 to 2.9 cases/100,000 between 1995 and 2006 (5). NTM

infections may be progressive and treatment requires prolonged multi-drug therapy

(6) and is often unsuccessful due to an absence of antimicrobial agents with low

toxicity and effective *in vivo* activity against NTM species (1).

A number of NTM species including *Mycobacterium abscessus* and members of the

M. avium Complex (MAC), notably *M. avium* and *M. intracellulare*, have emerged as

major respiratory pathogens in the past three decades (7–9). Another member of the

MAC, *M. chimaera*, has also been implicated in numerous global infections

associated with cardiothoracic surgery with the source of infections linked to heater-

cooler units (HCUs) contaminated during their manufacture (10–12).

Until recently the prevailing hypothesis was that infections caused by NTM were due

to independent acquisitions from environmental sources such as soil, contaminated

drinking water distribution systems and household plumbing. Recent studies of *M.*

abscessus in CF patients have however identified indirect patient-patient

transmission within a single CF centre as well as the presence of globally circulating

clones of *M. abscessus* amongst CF patients worldwide (13–16). An observational

study of *M. abscessus,* across England, revealed that these dominant clones are also

found in patients with other chronic respiratory diseases. The study however was

unable to identify epidemiological links for most closely-related isolates, suggesting

environmental acquisition may be involved (17). A recent study of *M. abscessus* has

demonstrated that transmission networks may involve both people with CF and those

without, and that these transmission networks are global. It is therefore likely that

transmission is complex, involving multiple patient cadres as well as environmental

intermediates (18). In the special case of *M. chimaera*, the high level of genetic

similarity between sequenced *M. chimaera* isolates collected from patients, HCUs

and the factory of origin suggested a point source contamination during manufacture

causing global distribution followed by localised transmission (12).

To date, little work has been done to examine whether similar patterns of transmission

in the MAC are occurring between patients with CF, BX or other chronic respiratory

diseases. Using a large collection of longitudinal isolates collected from patients

attending the Royal Brompton Hospital (RBH) in London, the aims of this study were

to characterise the population structure of MAC; identify potential transmission

chains involving patients with CF and other non-CF lung conditions; and place the

RBH isolates in a global context using previously published genomes.

Methods

Consent/ethics

The study (access to patients' clinical data) was approved by the NHS Health

Research Authority (HRA) and Health and Care Research Wales (HCRW) (REC

reference 21/HRA/2554).

Data collection

Clinical data pertaining to patients from whom NTM cultures were isolated were

collected from electronic health records at the RBH. Data included patients' sex, age

at the time of first positive NTM culture, height, weight, lung function test results,

comorbidities, medication history and date of death (where applicable).

Anonymization was undertaken by removing personal data, including patients'

hospital numbers, prior to analysis.

Sample collection

Isolates were collected from patients attending the respiratory inpatient and

outpatient clinics of the RBH between January 2013 and April 2016. The RBH

routinely archive all mycobacterial isolates cultured from their patients and this

archive was used without selection as the basis for the study.

Culturing, DNA extraction and sequencing

NTM cultures were grown from bead stock cultures in BBL MGIT media (BD) in a

Bactec MGIT 960 (BD) until the system indicated growth. In the absence of growth,

DNA was extracted from the bead stock. DNA extractions were performed as

previously described (https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bf28jqhw). A total of

1189 DNA extracts were sequenced by the core pipeline teams at the Wellcome

Sanger Institute. Illumina libraries were created using the Nextera protocol and the

Illumina Hiseq X10 platform was used to generate 2 x 150 bp paired-end reads. Raw

sequencing reads were deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive under project

PRJEB21813. All accessions used in this project are listed in Supplementary File 1.

Sequence QC, mapping and phylogenetics

Basic quality control metrics for the raw sequence data were generated using FastQC

v0.11.9 (19). Sequence reads with similarity to *Mycobacterium* species were

identified using Kraken v0.10.6 (20) and Bracken v1.0 (21). Samples with < 70%

reads mapping to a *Mycobacterium* species were excluded from further analyses (n

= 116). Three isolates identified as *Mycobacterium abscessus* were removed from

the dataset. Sequence reads for each species were trimmed using Trimmomatic

v0.33 (22) and mapped to appropriate references (Supplementary Table 1) using BWA

mem v0.7.17 (minimum and maximum insert sizes of 50 and 1000 respectively) (23).

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were called using SAMtools v1.2 mpileup

and BCFtools v1.2 (minimum base call quality of 50 and minimum root squared

mapping quality of 30) as previously described (24). Samples with reads that mapped

to < 80% of the reference were excluded (n = 70). Variant sites were extracted from

the resulting alignments using snp-sites v2.5.1 (25). Whole species maximum

likelihood phylogenetic trees were built using IQ-tree v1.6.5 accounting for constant

sites (-fconst; determined using snp-sites -C) with the built-in model testing (-m MFP)

to determine the best phylogenetic model and 1000 ultrafast bootstraps (-bb 1000)

(26).

Recombinant regions were identified and removed from alignments using GUBBINS

(27) and new phylogenetic trees were constructed as described above. Pairwise SNP

distances were calculated for all pairs of isolates using pairsnp (28).

Global collections

To provide context for each the isolates sequenced for each species in this study,

datasets consisting of published sequenced isolates were assembled

(Supplementary File 2) (12,29–48). Sequence data were downloaded from the

European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) and trimmed; Sample QC, mapping and

phylogenetic tree construction were performed as detailed above.

Genome assemblies

A previously published pipeline was used to produce annotated assemblies (49).

Briefly, sequence reads were assembled with spades v 3.10.10 (50) and assemblies

were improved by first scaffolding the assembled contigs using SSPACE v2.0 (51)

and filling the sequence gaps with GapFiller v1.11 (52).

Transmission and epidemiological linkage

Genomic clusters were identified using fastBAPS (53) and new alignments were

created for clusters ≥ ten isolates by aligning sequence reads for included isolates

against the assembly that had the smallest number of contigs (using the method

described above). In order to calculate a pairwise SNP threshold to determine

putative transmission clusters within each genomic cluster, pairwise SNP distances

for all isolates for each species in the RBH datasets were calculated. Using a

previously described method (54), the transmission threshold for each species,

regardless of cluster, was calculated by taking the 95th percentile of the maximum

within-patient isolate pairwise SNP distances for all patients and adding twice the

number of mutations expected to occur in a six month period. To account for excess

within-patient diversity observed in the *M. chimaera* FB1 and *M. a. avium* FB14

clusters (Supplementary Figure 1), pairwise SNP distances greater than 25 and 50

(assumed to result from infection with multiple lineages) were removed respectively

before the above calculations were performed. Based on these results, the R library

iGRAPH (55,56) and pairwise SNP thresholds of 16 (*M. intracellulare* and *M. a.*

hominissuis), 30 (*M. chimaera*) and 58 SNPs (*M. a. avium*) were used to calculate

putative transmission clusters in each genomic cluster. Finally, in order to identify

possible epidemiological links between patients infected with the same transmission

clusters, hospital stay records were examined for epidemiological contacts. The latter

were defined as patients attending the same ward on the same day up to one year

prior to the collection of the first sequenced isolate.

Results

Patient demographics

The median age and BMI of the 354 patients included in the study was 56 years

(range 5 - 93) and 22.5 (range 13.4 – 43.4) years respectively. One hundred and

seventy-four patients (49.1%) were male and 38/354 (10.7%) were smokers. There

were 147/354 (41.5%) patients with BX, 87/354 (24.6%) with CF, 53/354 (15.0%) with

COPD, 32/354 (9.0%) with asthma, 19/354 (5.4%) with allergic bronchopulmonary

aspergillosis (ABPA), 17/354 (4.8%) with interstitial lung disease (ILD) and 7/354

(2.0%) with other underlying respiratory conditions such as pleural thickening or

sarcoidosis. Patients with no other respiratory disease or predisposition to NTM

infections accounted for 3.4% (12/354) of the cohort. During the study period, 55/354

(15.5%) patients were on antibiotic treatment regimes. For 20 patients (5.6%) clinical

data were unavailable.

Species distribution

A total of 1,000 isolates from 354 patients were successfully sequenced and the 11

MAC species identified are detailed in Table 1. The three predominant species

amongst the sequenced isolates were *M. avium (M. a. avium* and *M. a. hominissuis)*,

M. chimaera and *M. intracellulare.* Together these accounted for 926/1000 (92.6%)

of the MAC isolates sequenced. Most patients were infected with only a single

species during the collection period. However, 46 of the 354 patients (13.0%) were

infected with two or more species (Supplementary Figure 2). In this group of patients,

the majority of isolates collected were typically from a single species, with other

species observed more infrequently (Figure 1). Subsequent analyses in this study will

focus on the three predominant species in the dataset: *M. intracellulare, M. avium (M.*

a. avium and *M. a. hominissuis)*, and *M. chimaera*.

M. intracellulare

A total of 162 genomes from 37 patients were characterised as *M. intracellulare*

(Figure 2A). Eleven of the patients had CF, seventeen had BX, with the remaining

seven having other lung conditions (COPD n = 3; ILD n = 3; asthma n = 1; congenital

pulmonary airway malformation [CPAM] n = 1) and disease metadata were missing

for two patients. Genomic clustering with fastBAPs identified nine clusters with three

of these having more than ten genomes. Following remapping to local references for

the three largest fastBAPS clusters, three putative transmission clusters were

identified with the largest, Mi_FB3_1, composed of 16 patients (Figure 3A;

Supplementary Table 2). Of these 16 patients, eight had BX, seven CF and one ILD.

The range of the number of isolates collected per patient was between one and 32.

Four of the sixteen patients were also infected with other species or lineages during

the sampling period with Mi_FB3_1 only being detected in 1/22 isolates collected for

patient 218 (Figure 3A). During the time period that the sequenced isolates were

collected, four of the patients (85, 95, 97 and 99), were being treated with antibiotics

and three of the four patients were treated successfully. No epidemiological links

were identified between patients in the year prior to isolate collection.

Comparison with 77 previously published *M. intracellulare* isolates showed that the

most closely related contextual isolate was collected in the UK in 2015/2016 [32] and

formed part of a clade containing isolates from the Mi_FB3_1 cluster (Figure 4A). The

remainder of the RBH isolates were evenly distributed through the global

phylogenetic tree.

M. avium

A total of 405 sequenced isolates collected from 176 patients were identified as *M.*

avium. Of the patients infected with *M. avium*, 44 had CF and 81 BX. Sequence data

for all *M. avium* isolates was mapped to a single reference (*Mycobacterium avium*

104; NC008595.1) and a phylogenetic tree constructed with a previously published

M. avium paratuberculosis isolate (DRR263663) chosen as an outgroup

(Supplementary Figure 3). The structure of this phylogeny showed that there were

two major clades which corresponded to the two subspecies *M. avium avium* (n =

207; MAA) and *M. avium hominissuis* (n = 198; MAH). Isolates for each of the two

subspecies were next analysed separately. A small number of patients were infected

with both subspecies (n = 6). No examples of the other two *M. avium* subspecies,

paratuberculosis and *silvaticum*, were identified in this study.

M. avium avium (MAA)

Of the 76 patients infected with MAA, 15 had CF, 39 had BX and 16 had other lung

conditions (COPD n = 10; ILD n = 3; ABPA n = 3; asthma n = 6) whilst three patients,

including one smoker, had no pre-existing respiratory disease (metadata were

unavailable for three patients; Figure 2B). Clustering of the 207 MAA genomes

identified 17 fastBAPS clusters (Figure 2B). Remapping of the four largest fastBAPS

clusters allowed the identification of seven putative transmission clusters comprising

between two and ten patients (Supplementary Table 3) with the largest cluster of ten

isolates comprised of patients with CF (n = 2), BX (n = 6) and asthma or COPD (n =2;

Figure 3B).

A total of 21 fastBAPS clusters were defined in the global phylogeny. Examination of

the distribution of pairwise SNP distances for each fastBAPS cluster containing at

least ten genomes revealed that there were two clusters containing RBH isolates with

lower median pairwise SNP distances (FB10 and FB15; Figure 4B and Supplementary

Figure 5B). The 23 genomes, including two RBH genomes, in FB10 were collected

in the UK between 2013 and 2016, with most coming from the National Mycobacterial
Reference Service. The latter characterises mycobacterial cultures from across the
Midlands and North of England (Figure 5A) [32].   If the SNP threshold used to
calculate putative transmission clusters circulating in the RBH was applied, then one
isolate from the RBH (40-1) formed part of a cluster comprised of isolates from Quan
et al. [32]. The majority (35/46) of the isolates forming FB15 were collected in the USA
with most from a study investigating *M. avium* in the community and household water
in Philadelphia (Figure 5B) [28].   The pairwise distances between two of the RBH
isolates and other UK isolates and isolates from the USA were within the threshold
used to define transmission, with the above suggesting potential cross-Atlantic
transmission (Supplementary Figure 6A).

M. avium hominissuis (MAH)

A total of 198 genomes from 106 patients were characterised as MAH (Figure 2C).
Thirty-three patients had CF, 43 BX and 21 had other lung conditions (COPD n = 14;
ILD n = 1; ABPA n = 5; sarcoidosis n = 1; asthma n = 11). Four patients had no pre-
existing respiratory disease. Disease metadata were unavailable for the remaining five
patients. Genomic clustering identified 17 clusters and seven putative transmission
clusters containing between two and 16 patients (Supplementary Table 4).   The
largest cluster of 16 isolates is shown in Figure 3C and comprised patients with CF
(n = 4), BX (n = 7), COPD (n = 3) and a single patient with no pre-existing lung condition
(metadata were unavailable for one patient).   Two pairs of patients in each of the
clusters, MAH_FB8_1 and MAH_FB14_9, were found to have epidemiological links.

Of the 20 defined fastBAPS clusters in the global collection, FB6 and FB11 had lower

median pairwise SNP distances and contained RBH isolates (Figure 4C and

Supplementary Figure 5C). Both clusters were composed completely or near-

completely of isolates collected in the UK (Figure 5C and Figure 5D). The star-like

structure of the FB6 phylogeny is suggestive of a point source outbreak and applying

a transmission SNP threshold of 16 SNPs showed that 41/45 of the isolates in FB6

would form a transmission cluster that included both isolates from this study and

isolates from other UK studies (Supplementary Figure 6B). Two small transmission

clusters, containing RBH and other UK isolates, were also identified in FB11.

M. chimaera

There were 359 sequenced isolates collected from 155 patients identified as *M.*

chimaera; 37 of the patients had CF, 60 had BX whilst 50 patients had other lung

conditions (COPD n = 24; ILD n =10; ABPA n = 11; pleural thickening with enfolded

lung n = 1; lung non-mucinous adenocarcinoma n = 1; Wegener's granulomatosis n

= 1; *M. tuberculosis* in lymph nodes during same endoscopy procedure n = 1; primary

ciliary dyskinesia n = 1; asthma n = 17). There were five patients with no pre-existing

respiratory disease and metadata were unavailable for a further nine patients. A single

predominant lineage, Mc_FB1, corresponding to the previously characterised Group

1 accounted for 332/359 (92.5%) of the sequenced isolates with the majority of the

remaining isolates (25/359; 7.0%) forming a second main lineage Mc_FB2

corresponding to Group 2 (Figure 2D). Thirteen putative transmission clusters

containing two to 106 patients were identified (Supplementary Table 5). Twelve

potential epidemiological links (Supplementary Table 6) were found in transmission

cluster Mc_RB_FB3_1, the largest transmission cluster of 106 patients. Of the

patients in this cluster 43 had BX, 24 CF, 13 COPD, 14 asthma, seven ILD, two ABPA,

one pleural thickening with enfolded lung, one lung non-mucinous adenocarcinoma

and one primary ciliary dyskinesia. Three patients had no pre-existing lung condition

and disease status was missing for five patients. Fifteen patients underwent antibiotic

treatment during the study period (Supplementary Figure 4).

The global phylogenetic tree containing 155 isolates from RBH and 671 previously

published isolates was topologically similar to the tree built using only the isolates

from RBH with a single major clade with low genetic diversity present (FB6; Figure

6A). Isolates from the two clusters with more than ten representatives (FB5 and FB6)

were remapped to local references. Recombination was removed and new

phylogenetic trees constructed (Figure 6B [FB6] and Figure 6C [FB5]). Most isolates

in FB5 were collected from patients with respiratory conditions or else patients with

infections following cardiac surgeries. There was, however, a distinct sub-clade

rooting within this diversity containing only isolates from HCUs or hospital water

supplies (Figure 6C). Within FB6 a more deeply rooting diverse clade contained

mainly human isolates and the larger shallower clade rooting within this contained

most of the HCU isolates interspersed with human isolates. Due to the large number

of isolates assigned to FB6 (n = 765), further genomic clustering was performed using

fastBAPS to identify six sub-clusters within FB6. New mapping was performed for

the four clusters with more than ten isolates (FB6_FB3 to FB6_FB6; Figure 7A-D;).

Three of these clusters, FB6_FB3, FB6_FB4 and FB6_FB5, were completely or nearly

completely composed of patient isolates (Figure 7B-D). Most of the isolates collected

from HCUs or water supplies made up the bulk of FB6_FB6, though human isolates

were distributed throughout the tree (Figure 7A). Putative transmission clusters were

calculated for all five fastBAPS lineages described above used a pairwise SNP

threshold of 30 SNPs (Supplementary Table 7). Transmission clusters containing

RBH isolates were found in each of the lineages with the largest, found in FB6_FB6,

comprising 255 HCU isolates, 228 patient isolates and a single isolate from a water

supply.

Discussion

The aims of this study were to characterise the population structure of MAC and

identify potential transmission between CF and non-CF patients. We used a dataset

of 1,000 sequenced MAC isolates collected in a London hospital. This is, to date, the

largest sequenced MAC isolate collection analysed from a single location. We

showed that polymicrobial infection with MAC is not uncommon. The large,

longitudinal dataset enabled us to identify putative transmission clusters for all four

species/sub-species we considered. Most of these transmission clusters contained

both patients with CF and non-CF patients, showing that transmission of MAC is

occurring despite the presence of hospital-based control measures focussing on

patients with CF. The lack of clear epidemiological links suggests that the majority

of transmission is not due to direct contact but rather through an alternative route

such as an environmental vector or asymptomatic carriage in the wider population.

Comparison of the isolates collected as part of this study with published isolates

identified a small number of lineages likely circulating in the UK. With the exception

of the major HCU-associated lineage in *M. chimaera*, the comparison did not identify

globally circulating lineages like those observed in *M. abscessus*. Surprisingly, given

the previous description of the *M. chimaera* HCU clade as representing a point source

outbreak, we provide strong evidence that HCU-associated *M. chimaera* isolates are

derived from existing lineages circulating amongst patients with pre-existing lung

conditions, and that this has happened on at least two separate occasions. This

indicates that, while infection and distribution of HCUs has contributed to the

transmission of these lineages, they were not the primary source of these lineages,

which were already circulating in the human population.

During the isolate sampling period we identified 46 patients infected with more than

one species or lineage. This suggests that many of the patients had polymicrobial

infections and that certain lineages were preferentially sampled and cultured at

different times. This has been observed previously in other longitudinal samples of

patients with MAC lung disease (37). These observations suggest that single colony

picks during culturing potentially may be underrepresenting the underlying diversity

of MAC species in patients with MAC lung disease. Therefore, to better understand

the ecology and transmission of MAC species in the lung, a deep sequencing

approach in which colony sweeps rather than colony picks are utilised should be

considered for future studies.

Previous studies have used SNP thresholds of 15-25 SNPs to identify putative

transmission clusters in NTM species (13,17,32). Rather than using a previously

applied or arbitrary threshold, we used a method that had previously been used to

define SNP thresholds in *Staphylococcus aureus* (54). This allowed us to calculate a

SNP threshold for each species using the longitudinal isolates collected by the RBH.

The thresholds calculated for *M. intracellulare* and MAH of 16 SNPs were similar to

those previously applied. Whilst we obtained higher thresholds of 30 SNPs and 58

SNPs for *M. chimaera* and MAA respectively, this did not result in an inflated number

of transmission clusters, as applying a threshold of 16 SNPs to the MAA dataset

would have resulted in only two fewer clusters. These larger values were

predominantly due to high levels of within-host diversity observed amongst patient

isolates in single clusters in both MAA and *M. chimaera*. For instance, in MAA_FB14

isolates from a single patient collected a day apart were as much as 101 SNPs

different. This highlighted the likelihood of polymicrobial infections being common

amongst the patients we included in the study.

Using the SNP thresholds calculated for each species, we were able to identify

putative transmission clusters amongst all four of the MAC species/subspecies we

considered. Broadly, two different patterns of infection were observed: amongst *M.*

intracellulare and the two *M. avium* subspecies, our results suggested that there have

been multiple independent acquisitions followed by limited transmission. Our analysis

of *M. chimaera*, however, identified a single large transmission cluster was

responsible for infecting 106/155 (68.4%) of our patients infected with this species.

Most of these transmission clusters contained both CF and non-CF patients and a

small number also contained patients with no pre-existing lung conditions.

Using our criteria, we were unable to identify potential epidemiological links for most

patients included in the transmission clusters. The exception to this was the largest

M. chimaera transmission cluster where we found 12 potential epidemiological links

up to a year before sampling began. Interestingly, four patients were part of more

than one link, with patient 218 overlapping with other patients in this transmission

cluster on the same wards six times over a nine-month period. The tight infection

control surrounding patients with CF means that they are unlikely to have interacted

with patients with other lung conditions whilst in hospital. This implies that

transmission is likely occurring *via* other pathways, such as through environmental

intermediates or through a reservoir of healthy, asymptomatic carriers in the wider

population.

This observation is not unique and for some time, there has been considerable debate

whether NTM transmission between patients is occurring, even when transmission is

strongly supported by genome-based analysis (13,17,57). The near complete

absence of epidemiological links between patients in transmission clusters as well as

the ubiquitous presence of NTM species in the environment, especially water

supplies, has led some researchers to suggest that the dissemination of NTM

lineages at a national level is associated with exposure to contaminated water

supplies (17). Whilst there is certainly strong evidence for the same lineages being

isolated from water supplies and patients in the same location [28], the absence of a

single national water supply in a comparatively small country like the UK would

suggest that closely related isolates collected from different geographical locations

are unlikely to be due to a single contaminated water supply. It is however possible

that transmission networks may include local water supplies as intermediates. The

alternative hypothesis that NTM populations are being maintained in apparently

healthy individuals with no symptoms of NTM lung disease is a compelling one. This

would explain the presence of local transmission clusters in the absence of direct

epidemiological links as well as the presence of phylogeographical structure in NTM

trees. To better address how NTM species are being transmitted, future studies

should focus on attempting to collect isolates from the general population, perhaps

focussing on smokers where there is some evidence that they may provide a better

reservoir for NTM species and other opportunistic pathogens (18).

The inclusion of published genomes alongside the RBH isolates allowed us to use

our defined pairwise SNP thresholds to identify putative MAC lineages circulating in

the UK and globally. Apart from the *M. chimaera* clusters that we found associated

with HCUs, we were unable to identify large globally circulating clusters like those

observed in *M. abscessus*. This potentially suggests different evolutionary dynamics

amongst the MAC species or, in the case of *M. intracellulare*, may simply reflect a

lack of comprehensive sampling. We were only able to identify a single cluster

containing RBH isolates amongst the two *M. avium* subspecies that included isolates

from outside the UK. This cluster comprised the majority of isolates in FB15 (Figure

5B). Given that most available *M. avium* genomes have been collected in the UK or

USA, a more comprehensive global sampling strategy could potentially reveal the

existence of globally circulating clones.

A different picture emerged when we calculated global transmission clusters for *M.*

chimaera using a pairwise SNP threshold of 30 SNPs (Supplementary Table 7). Here

we found most clusters consisted of isolates from across Europe or else included

isolates from across the globe. Notably, we identified a single large transmission

cluster containing 484 isolates from 12 countries that included most of the HCU-

associated isolates.

Previous work investigating the global population structure of *M. chimaera* has

suggested that the majority of *M. chimaera* transmission globally was associated with

point source contamination of HCUs during manufacturing which then led to onward

transmission to patients undergoing cardiac surgery (12). In our study we have

assembled the largest *M. chimaera* dataset analysed to date, including isolates

collected from HCUs and cardiac patients as well as from patients with lung

conditions such as CF and BX. This has enabled us to investigate the global

population structure of *M. chimaera* in more detail than has previously been possible.

Strikingly, we discovered clear evidence for distinct lineages within the global

phylogeny that were almost exclusively associated with patient isolates. In fact most

of the HCU-associated isolates were clustered together in a single lineage that was

derived from the lineages containing only human isolates (Figure 6B). This was also

true of a second, smaller, lineage that contained only HCU and environmental

isolates. The results suggest that the predominant HCU-associated lineage is

descended from a *M. chimaera* population already circulating amongst patients with

respiratory diseases such as CF, and that a similar derivation has happened at least

once more. Unfortunately, due to the absence of even a moderate temporal signal

(data not shown), we were unable to place any estimates of when these lineages first

emerged and whether these dates occurred before the infected HCUs were first

manufactured.

In conclusion, using a large longitudinal sample of MAC isolates collected within a

single hospital as well as previously published global contextual isolates, we were

able to identify polymicrobial infections by different MAC species and lineages in

multiple patients as well as identify transmission clusters circulating within the

hospital, at a national level and, less commonly, globally. We show that the origin of

the major HCU-associated *M. chimaera* lineage is likely a previously circulating

lineage associated with patients with respiratory diseases. To better understand the

transmission dynamics and to prevent continuing circulation of MAC and other NTM

species, future studies should include sampling of environmental reservoirs and

potential asymptomatic carriers.

Author contributions

Conceptualisation

MM, WC, JP

Data curation

HE, KK, AvT, CC

Formal analysis

AvT, HE, KK

Funding acquisition

WC, MM, ML, JP

Investigation

AvT, HE, KK, CC

Methodology

AvT, CC

Project administration

AvT, CC, MM, WC

Resources

CC, NR, SB

Software

NA

Supervision

JP, WC, MM, ML

Validation

AvT

Visualisation

AvT

Writing – original draft

AvT, JP

Writing – review & editing

AvT, HE, CC, KK, MM, ML, JP, WC

Conflicts of interest

JP is a paid consultant to NextGen Diagnostics LLc.

Data sharing

Raw sequencing reads were deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive under

project PRJEB21813; all accessions used in this project are listed in Supplementary

File 1.

References

1. Griffith DE, Aksamit T, Brown-Elliott BA, Catanzaro A, Daley C, Gordin F, et al.
An official ATS/IDSA statement: diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of
nontuberculous mycobacterial diseases. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007 Feb
15;175(4):367–416.

2. Chan ED, Bai X, Kartalija M, Orme IM, Ordway DJ. Host immune response to
rapidly growing mycobacteria, an emerging cause of chronic lung disease. Am J
Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2010 Oct;43(4):387–93.

3. Iseman MD, Marras TK. The importance of nontuberculous mycobacterial lung
disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2008 Nov 15;178(10):999–1000.

4. Donohue MJ, Wymer L. Increasing Prevalence Rate of Nontuberculous
Mycobacteria Infections in Five States, 2008-2013. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2016
Dec;13(12):2143–50.

5. Moore JE, Kruijshaar ME, Ormerod LP, Drobniewski F, Abubakar I. Increasing
reports of non-tuberculous mycobacteria in England, Wales and Northern
Ireland, 1995-2006. BMC Public Health. 2010 Oct 15;10:612.

6. Philley JV, Griffith DE. Treatment of slowly growing mycobacteria. Clin Chest
Med. 2015 Mar;36(1):79–90.

7. Adjemian J, Olivier KN, Prevots DR. Epidemiology of Pulmonary Nontuberculous
Mycobacterial Sputum Positivity in Patients with Cystic Fibrosis in the United
States, 2010-2014. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2018 Jul;15(7):817–26.

8. Hoefsloot W, van Ingen J, Andrejak C, Angeby K, Bauriaud R, Bemer P, et al.
The geographic diversity of nontuberculous mycobacteria isolated from
pulmonary samples: an NTM-NET collaborative study. Eur Respir J. 2013
Dec;42(6):1604–13.

9. Olivier KN, Weber DJ, Wallace RJ, Faiz AR, Lee J-H, Zhang Y, et al.
Nontuberculous mycobacteria. I: multicenter prevalence study in cystic fibrosis.
Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2003 Mar 15;167(6):828–34.

10.   Achermann Y, Rössle M, Hoffmann M, Deggim V, Kuster S, Zimmermann DR,
et al. Prosthetic valve endocarditis and bloodstream infection due to
Mycobacterium chimaera. J Clin Microbiol. 2013 Jun;51(6):1769–73.

11.   Sax H, Bloemberg G, Hasse B, Sommerstein R, Kohler P, Achermann Y, et
610   al. Prolonged Outbreak of *Mycobacterium chimaera* Infection After Open-Chest
611   Heart Surgery. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 2015 Jul 1;61(1):67–
612   75.

613   12.   van Ingen J, Kohl TA, Kranzer K, Hasse B, Keller PM, Katarzyna Szafrańska
614   A, et al. Global outbreak of severe *Mycobacterium chimaera* disease after
615   cardiac surgery: a molecular epidemiological study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2017
616   Oct;17(10):1033–41.

617   13.   Bryant JM, Grogono DM, Greaves D, Foweraker J, Roddick I, Inns T, et al.
618   Whole-genome sequencing to identify transmission of *Mycobacterium*
619   *abscessus* between patients with cystic fibrosis: a retrospective cohort study.
620   Lancet Lond Engl. 2013 May 4;381(9877):1551–60.

621   14.   Bryant JM, Grogono DM, Rodriguez-Rincon D, Everall I, Brown KP, Moreno
622   P, et al. Emergence and spread of a human-transmissible multidrug-resistant
623   nontuberculous mycobacterium. Science. 2016 Nov 11;354(6313):751–7.

624   15.   Everall I, Nogueira CL, Bryant JM, Sánchez-Busó L, Chimara E, Duarte R da
625   S, et al. Genomic epidemiology of a national outbreak of post-surgical
626   *Mycobacterium abscessus* wound infections in Brazil. Microb Genomics. 2017
627   May;3(5):e000111.

628   16.   Aitken ML, Limaye A, Pottinger P, Whimbey E, Goss CH, Tonelli MR, et al.
629   Respiratory outbreak of *Mycobacterium abscessus* subspecies massiliense in a
630   lung transplant and cystic fibrosis center. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2012 Jan
631   15;185(2):231–2.

632   17.   Lipworth S, Hough N, Weston N, Muller-Pebody B, Phin N, Myers R, et al.
633   Epidemiology of *Mycobacterium abscessus* in England: an observational study.
634   Lancet Microbe. 2021 Oct;2(10):e498–507.

635   18.   Ruis C, Bryant JM, Bell SC, Thomson R, Davidson RM, Hasan NA, et al.
636   Dissemination of *Mycobacterium abscessus* via global transmission networks.
637   Nat Microbiol. 2021 Oct;6(10):1279–88.

638   19.   Babraham Bioinformatics - FastQC A Quality Control tool for High
639   Throughput Sequence Data [Internet]. [cited 2021 Dec 14]. Available from:
640   https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/

641   20.   Wood DE, Salzberg SL. Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence
642   classification using exact alignments. Genome Biol. 2014 Mar 3;15(3):R46.

643   21.   Lu J, Breitwieser FP, Thielen P, Salzberg SL. Bracken: estimating species
644   abundance in metagenomics data. PeerJ Comput Sci. 2017 Jan 2;3:e104.

645   22.   Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
646   sequence data. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2014 Aug 1;30(15):2114–20.

23. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2009 Jul 15;25(14):1754–60.

24. Harris SR, Feil EJ, Holden MTG, Quail MA, Nickerson EK, Chantratita N, et al.
Evolution of MRSA during hospital transmission and intercontinental spread.
Science. 2010 Jan 22;327(5964):469–74.

25. Page AJ, Taylor B, Delaney AJ, Soares J, Seemann T, Keane JA, et al. SNP-
sites: rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from multi-FASTA alignments. Microb
Genomics. 2016 Apr;2(4):e000056.

26. Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: a fast and
effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies.
Mol Biol Evol. 2015 Jan;32(1):268–74.

27. Croucher NJ, Page AJ, Connor TR, Delaney AJ, Keane JA, Bentley SD, et al.
Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant bacterial whole
genome sequences using Gubbins. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015 Feb 18;43(3):e15.

28. Tonkin-Hill G. pairsnp [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Dec 14]. Available from:
https://github.com/gtonkinhill/pairsnp

29. Caverly LJ, Spilker T, LiPuma JJ. Complete Genome Sequence of
Mycobacterium abscessus subsp. bolletii. Genome Announc. 2016 Jun
9;4(3):e00543-16.

30. Hasan NA, Davidson RM, Epperson LE, Kammlade SM, Rodger RR, Levin
AR, et al. Population Genomics of Nontuberculous Mycobacteria Recovered
from United States Cystic Fibrosis Patients [Internet]. 2019 Jun [cited 2021 Dec
14] p. 663559. Available from:
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/663559v1

31. Bryant JM, Thibault VC, Smith DGE, McLuckie J, Heron I, Sevilla IA, et al.
Phylogenomic exploration of the relationships between strains of
Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis. BMC Genomics. 2016 Jan
26;17:79.

32. Lande L, Alexander DC, Wallace RJ, Kwait R, Iakhiaeva E, Williams M, et al.
Mycobacterium avium in Community and Household Water, Suburban
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA, 2010-2012. Emerg Infect Dis. 2019
Mar;25(3):473–81.

33. Yoon J-K, Kim TS, Kim J-I, Yim J-J. Whole genome sequencing of
Nontuberculous Mycobacterium (NTM) isolates from sputum specimens of co-
habiting patients with NTM pulmonary disease and NTM isolates from their
environment. BMC Genomics. 2020 Apr 23;21(1):322.

34. Votintseva AA, Pankhurst LJ, Anson LW, Morgan MR, Gascoyne-Binzi D,
Walker TM, et al. Mycobacterial DNA extraction for whole-genome sequencing

from early positive liquid (MGIT) cultures. J Clin Microbiol. 2015 Apr;53(4):1137–
43.

35.   Pfeiffer W, Braun J, Burchell J, Witte CL, Rideout BA. Whole-genome
analysis of mycobacteria from birds at the San Diego Zoo. PloS One.
2017;12(3):e0173464.

36.   Quan TP, Bawa Z, Foster D, Walker T, Del Ojo Elias C, Rathod P, et al.
Evaluation of Whole-Genome Sequencing for Mycobacterial Species
Identification and Drug Susceptibility Testing in a Clinical Setting: a Large-Scale
Prospective Assessment of Performance against Line Probe Assays and
Phenotyping. J Clin Microbiol. 2018 Feb;56(2):e01480-17.

37.   Operario DJ, Pholwat S, Koeppel AF, Prorock A, Bao Y, Sol-Church K, et al.
Mycobacterium avium Complex Diversity within Lung Disease, as Revealed by
Whole-Genome Sequencing. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2019 Aug
1;200(3):393–6.

38.   Rivas AE, Hollinger C, Oehler DA, Robbe-Austerman S, Paré JA. DIAGNOSIS
AND MANAGEMENT OF MYCOBACTERIOSIS IN A COLONY OF LITTLE
PENGUINS (EUDYPTULA MINOR). J Zoo Wildl Med Off Publ Am Assoc Zoo Vet.
2019 Jun 13;50(2):427–36.

39.   Chand M, Lamagni T, Kranzer K, Hedge J, Moore G, Parks S, et al. Insidious
Risk of Severe *Mycobacterium chimaera* Infection in Cardiac Surgery Patients.
Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 2017 Feb 1;64(3):335–42.

40.   Pankhurst LJ, Del Ojo Elias C, Votintseva AA, Walker TM, Cole K, Davies J, et
al. Rapid, comprehensive, and affordable mycobacterial diagnosis with whole-
genome sequencing: a prospective study. Lancet Respir Med. 2016 Jan;4(1):49–
58.

41.   Epperson LE, Timke M, Hasan NA, Godo P, Durbin D, Helstrom NK, et al.
Evaluation of a Novel MALDI Biotyper Algorithm to Distinguish *Mycobacterium*
intracellulare From *Mycobacterium chimaera*. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:3140.

42.   Acosta F, Pérez-Lago L, Ruiz Serrano MJ, Marín M, Kohl TA, Lozano N, et al.
Fast update of undetected *Mycobacterium chimaera* infections to reveal
unsuspected cases. J Hosp Infect. 2018 Dec;100(4):451–5.

43.   Ghodousi A, Borroni E, Peracchi M, Palù G, Fallico L, Rassu M, et al.
Genomic analysis of cardiac surgery-associated *Mycobacterium chimaera*
infections in Italy. PloS One. 2020;15(9):e0239273.

44.   Mac Aogáin M, Roycroft E, Raftery P, Mok S, Fitzgibbon M, Rogers TR. Draft
Genome Sequences of Three *Mycobacterium chimaera* Respiratory Isolates.
Genome Announc. 2015 Dec 3;3(6):e01409-15.

45.   Perkins KM, Lawsin A, Hasan NA, Strong M, Halpin AL, Rodger RR, et al.
Notes from the Field: *Mycobacterium chimaera* Contamination of Heater-Cooler

Devices Used in Cardiac Surgery - United States. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly
Rep. 2016 Oct 14;65(40):1117–8.

46.   Svensson E, Jensen ET, Rasmussen EM, Folkvardsen DB, Norman A,
Lillebaek T. *Mycobacterium chimaera* in Heater-Cooler Units in Denmark Related
to Isolates from the United States and United Kingdom. Emerg Infect Dis. 2017
Mar;23(3):507–9.

47.   Williamson D, Howden B, Stinear T. *Mycobacterium chimaera* Spread from
Heating and Cooling Units in Heart Surgery. N Engl J Med. 2017 Feb
9;376(6):600–2.

48.   Zhang X, Lin J, Feng Y, Wang X, McNally A, Zong Z. Identification of
Mycobacterium chimaera in heater-cooler units in China. Sci Rep. 2018 May
18;8(1):7843.

49.   Page AJ, De Silva N, Hunt M, Quail MA, Parkhill J, Harris SR, et al. Robust
high-throughput prokaryote de novo assembly and improvement pipeline for
Illumina data. Microb Genomics. 2016 Aug;2(8):e000083.

50.   Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al.
SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell
sequencing. J Comput Biol J Comput Mol Cell Biol. 2012 May;19(5):455–77.

51.   Boetzer M, Henkel CV, Jansen HJ, Butler D, Pirovano W. Scaffolding pre-
assembled contigs using SSPACE. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2011 Feb 15;27(4):578–
9.

52.   Boetzer M, Pirovano W. Toward almost closed genomes with GapFiller.
Genome Biol. 2012 Jun 25;13(6):R56.

53.   Tonkin-Hill G, Lees JA, Bentley SD, Frost SDW, Corander J. Fast hierarchical
Bayesian analysis of population structure. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019 Jun
20;47(11):5539–49.

54.   Coll F, Raven KE, Knight GM, Blane B, Harrison EM, Leek D, et al. Definition
of a genetic relatedness cutoff to exclude recent transmission of meticillin-
resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: a genomic epidemiology analysis. Lancet
Microbe. 2020 Dec;1(8):e328–35.

55.   R Core Team (2020). — European Environment Agency [Internet]. [cited 2021
Dec 14]. Available from: https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-
maps/indicators/oxygen-consuming-substances-in-rivers/r-development-core-
team-2006

56.   Csardi G, Nepusz T. The Igraph Software Package for Complex Network
Research. InterJournal. 2005 Nov 30;Complex Systems:1695.

57.   Bronson RA, Gupta C, Manson AL, Nguyen JA, Bahadirli-Talbott A, Parrish
NM, et al. Global phylogenomic analyses of *Mycobacterium abscessus* provide

context for non cystic fibrosis infections and the evolution of antibiotic
resistance. Nat Commun. 2021 Aug 26;12(1):5145.

Tables and Figures

Table 1. *Mycobacterium avium* Complex species identified in Royal Brompton

Hospital Collection.

Species	Number of genomes
M. avium	405
M. chimaera	359
M. intracellulare	162
Mycobacterium sp. MOTT36Y	39
M. paraintracellulare	15
M. yongonense	10
M. marseillense	4
M. simiae	3
M. colombiense	1
M. chelonae	1
Mycobacterium sp. QIA-37	1

Figure 1. Timeline of patients infected by more than one *Mycobacterium avium* Complex (MAC) species. Each point represents an isolate and is coloured according to the species identified. Isolates from the species in a patient are linked

776   together. Patients with one isolate or multiple isolates from a single species were

777   excluded.

Figure 2: Population structure of *Mycobacterium avium* Complex species collected at the Royal Brompton Hospital. Midpoint-rooted Maximum likelihood

phylogenetic trees for A) *Mycobacterium intracellulare* (n = 162); B) *Mycobacterium avium avium* (n = 207); C) *Mycobacterium avium hominosuis* (n = 198) and D) *Mycobacterium chimaera* (n = 359). fastBAPS clusters are shown as a coloured datastrip in each plot. The taxa are clustered according to their sequence similarities. The lengths of the branches are scaled in nucleotide substitutions per site. The disease status of the patients (that isolates were collected from) for cystic fibrosis and non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis are represented by red bars for Yes and white bars for No with missing patient data shown by gray bars. Scale bars are shown in SNPs per site.

Figure 3. Largest transmission clusters amongst isolates collected at the Royal Brompton Hospital. A) *Mycobacterium intracellulare* (Mi_FB3_1); B) *Mycobacterium avium avium* (MAA_FB5_1) and C) *Mycobacterium avium hominosuis* (MAH_FB8_1). Each node represents an isolate or isolates identical (0 SNPs) to each other and the size of the node is proportional to the number of identical isolates. Nodes are coloured and labelled by patient number. The outer ring of each node is coloured

801 according to the disease status of the patient (cystic fibrosis = black, non-cystic

802 fibrosis bronchiectasis, grey = other lung condition). The edges represent the

803 pairwise SNP distance between the isolate(s).

Figure 4. Global population structure of *Mycobacterium avium* Complex

species. Midpoint-rooted maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees for A)

Mycobacterium intracellulare (n = 114); B) *Mycobacterium avium avium* (n = 344) and

C) *Mycobacterium avium hominosuis* (n = 236). The taxa are clustered according to

their sequence similarities. The lengths of the branches are scaled in nucleotide

substitutions per site. fastBAPS cluster, source of isolate, country of collection and

study are shown as datastrips to the right of the phylogenies. Isolates from the Royal

Brompton Hospital are highlighted in red. Scale bars are shown in SNPs per site.

Figure 5: *Mycobacterium avium* global fastBAPS phylogenies. Midpoint-rooted

maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees for A) *Mycobacterium avium avium* FB10; B)

Mycobacterium avium avium FB15; C) *Mycobacterium avium hominosuis* FB6 and D)

Mycobacterium avium hominosuis FB11. The taxa are clustered according to their

sequence similarities. The lengths of the branches are scaled in nucleotide

substitutions per site. The source of isolate, country of collection and study are shown

823   as datastrips to the right of the phylogenies. Isolates from the Royal Brompton

824   Hospital are highlighted in red. Branch lengths are shown in SNPs per site.

Figure 6. Global population structure of *Mycobacterium chimaera.* Midpoint-

rooted maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees for A) all *Mycobacterium chimaera*

isolates B) *Mycobacterium chimaera* FB6 C) *Mycobacterium chimaera* FB5. The taxa

are clustered according to their sequence similarities. The lengths of the branches

are scaled in nucleotide substitutions per site. The source of isolate, country of

collection and study are shown as datastrips to the right of the phylogenies. Isolates

from the Royal Brompton Hospital are highlighted in red. Branch lengths are shown

in SNPs per site for *Mycobacterium chimaera* FB5.

Figure 7: *Mycobacterium chimaera* fastBAPS cluster FB6 phylogenies. Maximum

likelihood phylogenetic trees for A) FB6_FB6; B) FB6_FB4; C) FB6_FB3 and D)

FB6_FB5. The taxa are clustered according to their sequence similarities. The lengths

of the branches are scaled in nucleotide substitutions per site. The source of isolate,

country of collection and study are shown as datastrips to the right of the

phylogenies. Isolates from the Royal Brompton Hospital are highlighted in red.

Branch lengths are shown in SNPs per site.

847 **Supplementary Material**

848 Supplementary Table 1. Reference genomes used in this study

Species/ subspecies	Reference	Length (Mb)	Country of isolation	Year of isolation
M. intracellulare	ATCC 13950	5.4	South Korea	2012
M. avium avium	104	5.5	USA	1983
M. avium hominosuis	TH135	5.0	Japan	2004-2008
M. chimaera	DSM 44623	5.9	Italy	1999-2003

850 Supplementary Table 2. *Mycobacterium intracellulare* transmission clusters

fastBAPS cluster	Transmission cluster	Patients (n)	Bronchieoctosis (n)	Cystic Fibrosis (n)	Other lung conditions (n)	No pre-existing lung condition (n)	Patients undergoing treatment (n)
Mi_FB2	Mi_FB2_1	2	0	2	0	0	1
Mi_FB3	Mi_FB3_1	16	8	7	1	0	4
Mi_FB5	Mi_FB5_1	3	2	0	1	0	2

853   Supplementary Table 3. *Mycobacterium avium avium* transmission clusters

fastBAPS cluster	Transmission cluster	Patients (n)	Bronchieoctosis (n)	Cystic Fibrosis (n)	Other lung conditions (n)	No pre-existing lung condition (n)	Patients undergoing treatment (n)
MAA_FB5	MAA_FB5_1	10	6	2	2	0	4
MAA_FB5	MAA_FB5_4	2	1	1	0	0	0
MAA_FB5	MAA_FB5_5	2	1	0	1	0	1
MAA_FB5	MAA_FB5_12	2	1	1	0	0	1
MAA_FB7	MAA_FB7_1	2	2	0	0	0	1
MAA_FB10	MAA_FB10_1	2	0	2	0	0	0
MAA_FB14	MAA_FB14_1	2	2	0	0	0	1

856 Supplementary Table 4. *Mycobacterium avium hominosuis* transmission clusters

fastBAPS cluster	Transmission cluster	Patients (n)	Bronchieoctosis (n)	Cystic Fibrosis (n)	Other lung conditions (n)	No pre-existing lung condition (n)	Patients undergoing treatment (n)
MAH_FB8	MAH_FB8_1	16	7	4	3	1	0
MAH_FB10	MAH_FB10_1	4	2	2	0	0	1
MAH_FB11	MAH_FB11_1	6	3	1	2	0	0
MAH_FB12	MAH_FB12_2	4	1	2	1	0	2
MAH_FB14	MAH_FB14_3	4	2	2	0	0	0
MAH_FB14	MAH_FB14_7	2	0	2	0	0	0
MAH_FB14	MAH_FB14_9*	7	3	2	1	0	1

857
858 Supplementary Table 5. *Mycobacterium chimaera* transmission clusters
859

fastBAPS cluster	Transmission cluster	Patients (n)	Bronchieoctosis (n)	Cystic Fibrosis (n)	Other lung conditions (n)	No pre-existing lung condition (n)	Patients undergoing treatment (n)
Mc_FB3	Mc_FB3_1	106	43	24	25	3	15
Mc_FB3	Mc_FB3_3	2	1	1	0	0	0
Mc_FB3	Mc_FB3_4	2	2	0	0	0	0
Mc_FB3	Mc_FB3_6	2	1	0	1	0	0
Mc_FB3	Mc_FB3_13	4	1	1	1	1	1
Mc_FB3	Mc_FB3_16	2	0	0	1	1	1
Mc_FB3	Mc_FB3_17	2	1	1	0	0	0
Mc_FB3	Mc_FB3_19	2	0	1	0	1	0
Mc_FB3	Mc_FB3_25	2	1	1	0	0	1

Mc_FB3	Mc_FB3_30*	4	0	1	0	0	0
Mc_FB4	Mc_FB4_1	2	1	1	0	0	1
Mc_FB4	Mc_FB4_2	9	3	3	3	0	2
Mc_FB4	Mc_FB4_3*	6	1	2	2	0	1

860
861
862 Supplementary Table 6. *Mycobacterium chimaera* epidemiological links
863

Patients with epidemiological link	Hospital ward
24/218	FOUL
163/218	FOUL
175/218	FOUL
130/218	LIND
76/218	FOUL
32/163	LIND
79/241	LIND
241/272	LIND
177/186	LIND
122/175/306	FOUL
30/122	FOUL

30/218	FOUL	

865 Supplementary Table 7. *Mycobacterium chimaera* global transmission clusters

866 containing RBH isolates

fastBAPS cluster	Transmission cluster	Total isolates (n)	Patients (n)	HCU (n)	Other (n)
FB5	FB5_1	21	4	15	2
FB5	FB5_2	7	7	0	0
FB5	FB5_3	5	5	0	0
FB5	FB5_7	4	4	0	0
FB6_FB3	FB6_FB3_7	4	4	0	0
FB6_FB3	FB6_FB3_9	3	3	0	0
FB6_FB3	FB6_FB3_12	3	3	0	0
FB6_FB3	FB6_FB3_21	2	2	0	0
FB6_FB4	FB6_FB4_1	21	21	0	0
FB6_FB4	FB6_FB4_2	30	30	0	0
FB6_FB4	FB6_FB4_13	10	10	0	0
FB6_FB5	FB6_FB5_1	6	6	0	0
FB6_FB5	FB6_FB5_1	484	228	255	1
FB6_FB5	FB6_FB5_3	3	3	0	0
FB6_FB5	FB6_FB5_5	3	3	0	0
FB6_FB5	FB6_FB5_7	4	4	0	0

Supplementary Figure 1. Within-host isolate pairwise SNP diversity in fastBAPS

clusters for A) *Mycobacterium intracellulare*; **B)** *Mycobacterium avium avium;*

C) *Mycobacterium avium hominosuis* **and D)** *Mycobacterium chimaera*.

Supplementary Figure 2. Histogram showing the number of *Mycobacterium*

avium Complex species identified in each patient.

Supplementary Figure 3. Population structure *Mycobacterium avium* at the Royal Brompton Hospital. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of 406 *Mycobacterium avium* isolates rooted with a *M. avium paratuberculosis* isolate (DRR263663). The subspecies of each isolate is shown in the datastrip to the right of the phylogeny. The scale bar is shown in SNPs per site.

Supplementary Figure 4. Largest transmission cluster of *Mycobacterium*

***chimaera* isolates collected at the Royal Brompton Hospital.** Each node

represents an isolate or isolates identical (0 SNPs) to each other and the size of the

node is proportional to the number of identical isolates. Nodes are coloured

according to patient disease status and labelled by patient number. The edges

represent the pairwise SNP distance between the isolate(s).

Supplementary Figure 5. Global fastBAPS cluster pairwise SNP distance distributions. Boxplots showing isolate pairwise SNP distances for A) *Mycobacterium intracellulare*; B) *Mycobacterium avium avium* and C) *Mycobacterium avium hominosuis*

899 **Supplementary Figure 6: Distribution of pairwise SNP distances between**

900 **isolates from the Royal Brompton Hospital and other studies**. Histograms

901 showing distribution of pairwise SNP distances for fastBAPS clusters for A)

902 *Mycobacterium avium avium*; B) *Mycobacterium avium hominosuis* and C)

903 *Mycobacterium chimaera*.

906