### **Detection of fecal coliforms and SARS-CoV-2 RNA in** 2 sewage and recreational waters in the Ecuadorian Coast: a 3 call for improving water quality regulation 4

Maritza Cárdenas-Calle<sup>a,b,c\*</sup>, Leandro Patiño<sup>a,b,d\*</sup>, Beatríz Pernia<sup>a,e</sup>, Roberto Erazo<sup>a,b</sup>, Carlos Muñoz<sup>c</sup>, Magaly Valencia-Avellan<sup>a,d</sup>, Mariana Lozada<sup>f</sup>, Mary Regato-Arrata<sup>g</sup>, Miguel Barrera<sup>b,c</sup>, 5 6 Segundo Aquino<sup>c</sup>, Stalyn Moyano<sup>c</sup>, Stefania Fuentes<sup>b,c</sup>, Javier Duque<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Luis Velazquez-7 Araque<sup>b,c,</sup>, Bertha Carpio<sup>h</sup>, Carlos Méndez-Roman<sup>i</sup>, Carlos Calle<sup>b</sup>, Guillermo Cárdenas<sup>b</sup>, 8 David Guizado-Herrera<sup>c</sup>, Clara Lucía Tello<sup>d</sup>, Veronica Bravo-Basantes<sup>j</sup>, Josué Zambrano<sup>d</sup>, 9 10 Jhannelle Francis<sup>k</sup>, Miguel Uyaguari<sup>k#</sup>

- 11
- <sup>a</sup> Red Interinstitucional para el Estudio de Ecosistemas Acuáticos de Ecuador, Guayaquil, Guayas, Ecuador. 12
- 13 <sup>b</sup> Ambiente Sociedad & Empresa Research Group. University of Guayaquil, Guayaquil, Guayas, Ecuador.
- 14 <sup>c</sup> Faculty of Chemical Engineering, University of Guayaquil, Cdla. Universitaria "Universidad de Guayaquil",
- 15 Guayaquil 090510, Guayas, Ecuador.
- 16 <sup>d</sup> Dirección Técnica de Investigación, Desarrollo e Innovación, Instituto Nacional de Investigación en Salud Pública 17 Dr. Leopoldo Izquieta Pérez, Guayaquil, Guayas, Ecuador.
- 18 <sup>e</sup> Instituto de Investigaciones de Recursos Naturales, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad de Guayaquil, Av.
- 19 Raúl Gómez Lince s/n y Av. Juan Tanca Marengo, Guayaquil, Guayas, Ecuador.
- 20 <sup>f</sup> Laboratorio de Microbiología Ambiental, Instituto de Biología de Organismos Marinos, CONICET, Puerto 21 22 Madryn, Chubut, Argentina
- <sup>g</sup> Centro de Referencia Nacional de Virus Exantemáticos, Gastroentericos y transmitidos por vectores. Instituto 23 Nacional de Investigación en Salud Pública Dr. Leopoldo Izquieta Pérez, Guayaquil, Guayas, Ecuador.
- 24 <sup>h</sup> Dirección de Medio Ambiente-Gobierno Autónomo Descentralizado Provincial de Santa Elena, Santa Elena, 25 Ecuador.
- 26 <sup>i</sup> Área Nacional de Recreación Playas Villamil, Ministerio del Ambiente y Agua, Playas, Ecuador..
- 27 <sup>j</sup>LAB CESTTA, Vía a Daule km 1,5. Parque California 2, bodega C 36, Guayaquil, Ecuador.
- 28 29 <sup>k</sup> Department of Microbiology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, R3T 2N2, Canada.
- 30 31 \*Equal contribution
- 32
- 33 #Corresponding Author:
- 34 Miguel Uyaguari
- 35 45 Chancellors Circle, Room 414D/Laboratory 118, Buller building
- 36 Winnipeg, Manitoba, R3T 2N2 Canada
- Email address: Miguel.Uvaguari@umanitoba.ca 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42

#### 43 HIGHLIGHTS

- 44 SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected in estuaries, bays and the wastewater treatment systems 45 in Playas and Santa Elena.
- High levels of fecal coliforms were detected along shorelines. 46 •

- Water quality parameters revealed a negative impact on the beaches studied associated
  with human activities.
- 49

50 ABSTRACT

51

52 Wastewater surveillance represents an alternative approach for the diagnosis and early detection 53 of infectious agents of public health importance. This study aimed to evaluate SARS-CoV-2 and 54 other quality markers in oxidation lagoons, estuarine areas and seawater at Guayas and Santa Elena in Ecuador. Sample collections were conducted twice at 42 coastal sites and 2 oxidation 55 lagoons during dry and rainy seasons (2020-2021). Physico-chemical and microbiological pa-56 rameters were evaluated to determine organic pollution. Quantitative reverse transcription PCR 57 was conducted to detect SARS-CoV-2. Results showed high levels of Escherichia coli and low 58 59 dissolved oxygen concentrations. SARS-CoV-2 was detected in sea-waters and estuaries with 60 salinity levels between 34.2-36.4 PSU and 28.8 °C-31.3 °C. High amounts of fecal coliforms were detected and correlated with the SARS-CoV-2 shedding. We recommend to decentralized 61 62 autonomous governments in developing countries such as Ecuador to implement corrective ac-63 tions and establish medium-term mechanisms to minimize a potential contamination route. 64

65 Keywords

66	SARS-CoV-2,	fecal	coliforms,	seawater,	estuaries,	water	quality
----	-------------	-------	------------	-----------	------------	-------	---------

### 67 Introduction

68 Recreational water includes rivers, lakes and coastal waters used generally for swimming, 69 surfing, whitewater sports, diving, boating and fishing. These waters are usually located near 70 urban areas, where microbial and chemical contamination derived from anthropogenic activities can cause detrimental effects to human health and ecosystems (Edokpayi et al., 2017; Rodrigues 71 72 and Cunha, 2017; Yuan et al., 2019; WHO, 2003). Fecal contamination and introduction of 73 associated human pathogens (bacteria and viruses) are one of the main issues associated with 74 recreational water use. This may occur through point source discharges (e.g. discharges of 75 treated sewage/wastewater), runoff from urban or agricultural areas, bather and animal excreta 76 (Bosch et al., 2005). Targeted epidemiological studies in recreational water have found a cause-77 effect relationship between fecal pollution and enteric or acute febrile respiratory illnesses, each 78 of which accounts for more than 120 million and 50 million cases yearly, respectively (Shuval, 79 2003). Surveillance data from the United States of America (USA) during 2009 and 2010, 80 showed 24 recreational water disease outbreaks associated with the use of natural waters, 13 of 81 which were attributed to human pathogens. According to the reports, most of the microbial 82 agents responsible for the outbreak included Campylobacter jejuni, E. coli O Giardia intestinalis 157:H7, Shigella sonnei, Cryptosporidium spp., Giardia intestinalis, Avian schistosomes, and 83 84 Norovirus.

85 Monitoring of recreational water for fecal contamination is a common policy in developed 86 countries. It is conducted using certain bacterial species as indicators (Paruch and Mæhlum 2012; Ramos-Ortega et al., 2008), which include total and thermotolerant fecal coliforms. These 87 bacteria are not postulated as the causative agents of illnesses in bathers, but appear to behave 88 89 similarly to the actual fecal-derived pathogens (Prüss, 1998). E. coli, in particular, has been 90 proposed as one of the best indicators for gastroenteritis and dermal symptoms caused by seawater bathing (Wu et al., 2011), given its high frequency in domestic drains and longer 91 92 survival time in seawater with respect to other coliforms. Other non-pathogenic fecal 93 microorganisms such as intestinal enterococci are now being recommended to assess health risk in recreational waters (WHO, 2021). Fecal coliforms are normally monitored in beaches to detect 94 impacts from sewage (Abdelzaher et al., 2013). Sewage sources in beaches include cesspools, 95 96 septic tanks, and leaking sanitary sewer systems (Brandão et al., 2020).

97 The pandemic "Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)" causing 98 COVID-19 disease is also excreted in feces (Chen et al., 2020). Its main route of transmission 99 occurs via respiratory droplets and human contact, and while environmental transmission has been suggested, this is still unclear (Al Huraimel et al., 2020). The virus nucleic acid has been 100 detected in untreated and treated sewage in several countries including Australia, China, the 101 102 USA, the Netherlands, France, Spain, and Italy but also in rivers impacted by urban wastewater 103 in Japan and Ecuador (Haramoto et al., 2020; Guerrero-Latorre et al., 2020). Within this context, 104 there are concerns that transmission from wastewater might occur or that viable viruses from its 105 effluents reach water habitats posing a risk for the user or for the aquatic fauna. However, and based on indirect evidence, the risk of infection with SARS-CoV-2 from wastewater and 106 107 recreational water bodies is considered low according to the Centers of Disease Control and 108 Prevention (CDC, 2020a; 2020b), as virus exposure to environmental conditions would reduce 109 its viability. A laboratory assay using the coronavirus murine hepatitis virus spiked-in raw 110 wastewater has shown a 90% reduction of infectivity at 25 °C after 13 h but longer infectivity 111 rate at 10 °C after 36 h. Using the same assays, the SARS-CoV-1, genetically closer to SARS-

112 CoV-2, has been found to be viable up to 14 days at 4°C and 2-3 days at ~20°C in raw sewage 113 (Wang et al., 2005; Ye et al. 2016). In fact, SARS-CoV-2 was found to be infectious after 2.3 114 days at 20 °C and 3.8 days at 4 °C in river water, and 1.1 days at 20 °C and 2.2 days at 4 °C in 115 seawater (Sala-Comorrera et al. 2021). While the risk of transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from 116 water bodies needs further evidence, there are growing recommendations for its surveillance as 117 an early warning tool for predicting outbreaks, occurrence, prevalence, and potential public 118 health risks in the communities (Kitajima et al., 2020; Mordecai and Hewson 2020).

119

120 Monitoring of fecal contamination in recreational waters is not usually enforced in developing 121 countries. Despite this, recreational waters are widely used and leisure activities represent one of 122 the main economic incomes for the local population. In tropical countries such as Ecuador, 123 beaches are visited by tourists from all over the country and abroad throughout the year. The 124 beaches are crowded especially on holidays, four of them (Christmas, New Year's Eve, Carnival 125 and Easter) occurring during the rainy season of the Ecuadorian Coast (December-April) making 126 these coastal areas susceptible to runoff events. Ecuador has a total of 421 wastewater treatment 127 plants; of these, 129 are located in the coastal region (INEC, 2016), however, the effectiveness of 128 their treatments and capacity for the growing population is poorly known.

129

130 Ecuador has a total of 421 wastewater treatment plants, 129 of which are located in the coastal 131 region (INEC, 2016). The majority of municipalities (62%) conduct wastewater treatment for their communities, while the remaining municipalities (38%) do not carry out any type of 132 133 treatment (INEC, 2016). Playas canton has 41,935 inhabitants and Salinas 68,675 (INEC, 2010). The main economic activities in both cantons are fishing, and sun and beach tourism that take 134 135 place throughout the year. These places receive national tourists in the months of December to 136 April (coastal region holiday season); and the month from August to October (highland region 137 holiday season). Santa Elena has a sanitary system that covers 60% of the urban area. This 138 sanitary network collects wastewater to a pumping station and then towards oxidation lagoons, 139 where they also receive influents from Salinas, Santa Rosa, Muey and La Libertad. Wastewater 140 receives a secondary treatment with oxidation and subsequent disinfection with chlorine to be 141 discharged into the sea while Playas has a facultative lagoon (Córdova, 2013).

142

The use of recreational waters promotes one of the main economic activities in Ecuador, therefore, the survey of its quality to guarantee users health should become a priority. The purpose of this study was to assess water quality and the presence of SARS-CoV-2 at the most visited beaches in Ecuador and at two oxidation lagoons at Guayas and Santa Elena during restrictions (during the dry season in 2020) and opening of beaches (during the rainy season in 2021).

- 149
- 150 Materials and Methods
- 151
- 152 Study sites
- 153

The study area comprised marine waters located between latitude 1° 56' 5,81" S and 2° 43' 24,368" S. and longitude 80° 43' 24,6" W and 80° 18' 18,493" W along the Ecuadorian coastal

156 area. Fifteen beaches and two oxidation lagoons were selected along the Guayas and Santa Elena

157 provinces. They included artisanal fishing ports, estuaries, recreational beaches and bays, and a

158 diving area located 10 km from the coast. Description of the sampling sites is shown in Table 1.

All areas were sampled during the Ecuadorian confinement established for reducing the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic during the dry season in July 2020 (A1-O2) and after the confinement in the rainy season in January 2021 (A12-O22).

162

- 163
- 164

### 165 Water sampling and *in situ* analyses

166

167 A total of 84 surface seawater samples were collected at 15 beaches (A1-O22) and two oxidation lagoons, in Playas (OL-A) and Punta Carnero (OL-B) (Figure 1, Table 1) between July 18th, 168 2020 and January 30<sup>th</sup>, 2021. Water samples (1L) were collected during the low-tide. using a 169 170 telescopic rod sampler at a depth of 30 cm using one liter sterilized plastic bottles. All samples 171 were collected in triplicates, except for the Valdivia location due to logistical limitations. 172 Samples were immediately put at 4 °C in the dark, and transported to the laboratory within 6-12 173 h of collection. For SARS-CoV-2 detection, the samples were stored at -80 C until further analysis at the Multidisciplinary Center for Research of the Ecuadorian National Health Institute 174 175 (Ecuadorian NHI).

- 176
- 177

## 178 Physico-chemical analyses

179

180 Throughout the whole sampling period, fourteen variables were measured. Of these, four were 181 obtained *in situ* at each sampling site: Temperature (Temp), pH in water, salinity (Sal), and 182 dissolved oxygen (DO), using YSI HQ30d multiparameter probes.

In the laboratory, seven parameters were measured: chemical oxygen demand (COD), biological oxygen demand (BOD), oils & fats (OF), surfactants or tensoactives (TENS), total residual chlorine (Cl), ammonium (NH4), total suspended solids (TSS), according to standard methods (Rice et al., 2017).

187

188 COD analysis was performed by VIS spectrophotometry at a wavelength of 620 nm using a 189 HACH DR / 6000 spectrophotometer. The sample was previously digested for 2 hours at 150 ° C

- with sulfuric acid and a strong oxidizing agent (potassium dichromate). The BOD analysis was carried out by calculating the difference between the initial oxygen and the final oxygen determined in the sample after 5 days of incubation with a standard microbial community (Rice et al., 2017). The pH and Salinity were determined by electrometry using a glass electrode with temperature compensation, and a calibrated potentiometer, respectively. Surfactant analysis was
- 195 performed by extraction in benzene from an acidic aqueous medium containing excess 196 methylene blue, followed by countercurrent washing with water, and the determination of the
- 197 blue color by spectrophotometry at 652 nm using the HACH DR / spectrophotometer 6000. The
- analysis of oils and fats was carried out by gravimetry by extraction with hexane (Rice et al.,
- 199 2017).
- 200
- 201 TSS determination were performed by gravimetry after filtering the sample using a 0.45 um pore
- diameter filter, drying the residue on the filter and determining the weight gain. The analysis of
- 203 residual free Chlorine was carried out by spectrophotometry using the HACH DR/6000

spectrophotometer, after the addition of the indicator N, N-diethyl-p-phenylenediamine (DPD).

The ammonia analysis was carried out following Rice et al. (2017), a mineral stabilizer and the dispersing agent are applied to the sample that helps the formation of the reaction of the Nessler reagent with N-ammoniacal ions, forming the yellow color which is measured by spectrophotometry.

208 209

### 210 Microbiological analysis

211

The microbiological parameters included *Fecal coliforms* (FC), *Escherichia coli* bacterial numbers (EC). The analysis of FC was performed using the multiple tube fermentation technique, estimating the bacterial density using the most probable number method (Rice et al., 2017). EC analysis was performed using the membrane filtration technique using the selective growth medium m-ColiBlue24 and incubating the samples at 44.5 ° C. Densities in both cases were determined by using Most Probable Number (MPN) method (Halkman, 2005).

218

### 219 SARS-CoV-2 Analysis

220 Viral particle concentration and RNA isolation. The analysis of SAR-CoV-2 was conducted 221 at two laboratories of the National Institute for Public Health Research of Ecuador (INSPI): 1) 222 the Multidisciplinary Center for Research and 2) the Reference National Center for Exanthematic 223 and Arthropod Borne Viruses. Water samples were processed into a biosafety cabinet Class II, 224 Type A 2. One of the key factors for virus detection in water is the use of methods that allow the 225 concentration of viral particles in enough amounts to be detected by the diagnostic test of 226 interest. Therefore, two different concentration methods were evaluated: 1) skimmed milk 227 organic flocculation (SMF), which is based on the capacity of the proteins to form flocs where 228 the viruses present in the samples usually adhere (Calgua et al., 2008) and 2) concentration of 229 organic particles with an aluminum chloride solution (AlClD), based on viral adsorption-230 precipitation (Randazzo et al., 2019). A brief modification was introduced for the SMF method: 231 1000 mL was used as initial sample volume instead of 10 L, and ~ 100 mL of water containing 232 flocks were processed for the centrifugation steps. To test whether the concentration methods 233 were effective in concentrating enveloped viruses, a sterile water sample was spiked with 234 Dengue Virus serotype 2-(DENV 2) isolated from C36/ cells. A 1:10 serial dilution set of this 235 spiking control was evaluated for SMF (1:10 up to 1:1000), and a sole 1:100 dilution was used 236 for AlCl $\square$ . All supernatants from the different stages of the viral concentration procedure were 237 treated with chlorine overnight prior to its elimination through the laboratory's piping. Water 238 samples were also evaluated without pretreatment for concentration of viral particles, in this case 239 1000 mL of sample was used for this purpose. RNA was extracted using commercial QIAamp 240 Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen Sciences, Germantown, MD, USA) following the manufacturer's 241 instructions.

Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis. Analysis of water samples spiked with DENV 2 was carried out using a one-step PCR procedure. For DENV, the commercial Simplexa<sup>™</sup> Dengue Kit was used (FOCUS Diagnostics, Cypress, CA, USA). A monoplex reaction for detecting DENV 2 was conducted, reactions were run in a 3M Integrated Cycler real-time RT-PCR instrument (3M-FOCUS Diagnostics). Survey of SARS-CoV-2 RNA was performed using the CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. (Coralville, IA, USA). The RT-PCR assay was set up as described by

the manufacturer using a SuperScript III Platinum One-Step qRT-PCR kit (Invitrogen, Waltham, 249 250 MA, USA). The CDC protocol (CDC, 2019) targets two genes of the viral nucleocapsid (N1 and N2), the kit includes a positive control for SARS-CoV-2 and for human ribonuclease P (RNase 251 252 P). Reactions were run on a BIO-RAD CFX96 Real-Time PCR System, with the following thermocycling conditions: one cycle of 50 °C for 30 seconds, one cycle if 95 °C for 2 minutes, 253 254 and 45 cycles of 95 °C for 15 seconds and 60 °C for 1 minute. As for the CDC protocol, a RT-255 PCR amplification with CT <40 for both genes N1 and N2 was considered positive, 256 amplification of only one gene was considered inconclusive and no amplification was considered 257 negative. Amplification of RNase P gene is not expected from environmental samples. RNA 258 samples leading to positive results were sent for confirmation to the National Reference Center 259 for Influenza and other Respiratory Viruses of the INSPI, a laboratory fully accredited and 260 certified by the World Health Organization for diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2. This laboratory uses 261 the protocol of the University of Charité (Corman et al., 2020). Analysis of samples was carried 262 out targeting a gene of the viral envelope (E).

### 263 Statistical analysis

The data exploration was done using draftsman plot. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was conducted for the analysis of normality of data distribution, and the Levene test for homoscedasticity (Zar,

1996). Subsequently, given the lack of normality for the whole data set, a non-parametric test asKruskal-Wallis was performed (Sokal and Rohlf, 1995) to identify statistical differences between

268 sampling sites regarding water physicochemical and microbiological parameters.

269

270 The entire sampling seasons were considered to calculate maximum, minimum and mean values 271 of physical and chemical parameters. Multivariate analyses were performed using the statistical 272 package Primer-E (Clarke and Gorley, 2006), version 7.0.20. Multivariate analysis of all 273 sampled sites was carried out based on physicochemical and microbiological parameters (Clarke 274 and Gorley, 2006) only for the data collected in beaches. The Euclidean distance was used to 275 construct a similarity matrix from the fourth-root transformed data for each replicate sample at 276 each site in each site and season. The matrix was then subjected to Non-Metric Multidimensional Scaling (n-MDS) ordination previous individual transformation Log (V+0.1) of the variables FC, 277 278 EC, TENS and TSS. Two-way crossed ANOSIM tests were used to identify significant 279 differences between season and sites. In each test, the null hypothesis that no significant 280 differences are found between season and sites was rejected if the significance level was 281  $p \le 0.001$ . The R statistic value was used to ascertain the extent of any significant differences 282 (Clarke and Warwick, 2001). Similarity percentages test (SIMPER) was used to identify which 283 variables made the greatest contributions to those differences. Finally, the distribution of FC in 284 the coastal areas and OL and pollutant critical points were plotted on the Ecuadorian map using 285 QGIS program version 3.4.3.

286

Results of water quality were compared with the current Ecuadorian environmental regulations by use of the Book VI from the Unified Text of Secondary Legislation of the Ministry of the

289 Environment (TULSMA): Environmental Quality Standard and effluent discharge to the Water

290 Resource (Acuerdo Ministerial 097A) for Admissible quality criteria for the preservation of

291 aquatic and wildlife in fresh, marine and estuarine waters (Table 2); Water quality criteria for

292 recreational purposes through primary contact (Table 6) and Limits of discharges to a body of

293 *seawater* (Table 10).

### 295 **Results**

### 296

298

### 297 Water physicochemical parameters

299 Maximum, minimum and mean values obtained from physical and chemical parameters at each 300 sampling site for dry season (2020) and rainy season (2021) are shown in Table S1. The 301 temperature ranged from 23.6 °C to 34.4 °C with higher values in the rainy season (January) and 302 lowest in dry season (July), due to differences between the season period and time of survey 303 (early in the morning or past midday). A higher temperature was observed in Estero Valdivia and 304 Esterillo. The higher TSS were observed at Ayangue in the Estero Valdivia (698 mg/L) in an 305 area close to a pig farm, Fisherman area (114 mg/L) and Esterillo (86 mg/L) located near 306 restaurants in Playas, mainly in the rainy season (Table S1). Dissolved oxygen concentration 307 fluctuated between 2 to 9 mg/L, with higher concentration in the rainy season (July) and lowest 308 concentration associated with the estuarine area called Estero Valdivia and Area de Pescadores. 309 Concentrations lower than 5 mg/L (below the recommended concentration for the preservation of 310 the flora and fauna) were found at fishing facilities (Anconcito), estuaries (Esterillo and 311 Valdivia) and fishing ports (Area de Pescadores). COD ranged from 27mg/L to 820 mg/L. The highest values were registered at the outer and middle branches of Estero Valdivia during the 312 313 rainy season; these values exceeded the permissible COD limits for the preservation of flora and fauna according to environmental laws in Ecuador (400 mg/L). Other sites with high levels 314 although within limits were found at the estuarine zones such as: Estero Data 1 (384 mg/L), 315 316 Estero Data 3 (302 mg/L), Palmar 3 (260 mg/L) and Punta Carnero (210 mg/L) (Figure 2). BOD 317 ranged from 2 to 200 mg/L, higher levels were observed only at Estero Valdivia in the middle 318 (150 mg/L) and outer estuary (200 mg/L) during the rainy season (Figure 2).

319

320 The pH ranged from 6.88 to 8.75, the lowest values were in the July of 2020 at Estero Data 321 (6.88) and in the rain season (7.72) at Esterillo. Salinity ranged from 0.5 to 60.2 PSU; an 322 alteration in salinity was observed in intertidal zones due the influence of wastewater from 323 Playas county, and in landing and commercialization of fishing in Area de Pescadores; estuarine 324 area (Esterillo). The highest salinity values were found in the external area from Estero Valdivia where high temperatures increase evaporation rate, increasing salinity in the area near the mouth 325 326 of the sea during the rainy season. Tensoactives showed values between 0.004-0.085 mg/L, the 327 highest concentrations were observed in Punta Carnero 1 during February 2021 (Table S1). In 328 addition, ammonium ranged from 0.12 to 8 mg/L with highest levels at intertidal zones where 329 domestic wastewater is discharged (Area de Pescadores), and estuarine zones such as Esterillo and Estero Valdivia (Table S1). The concentrations of residual free chlorine and surfactants were 330 331 less than the detection limit <10 mg/L and <0.05 mg/L, respectively. There were only significant 332 (p < 0.05) differences between sampling sites for Temp, Sal and OF. In this context, OF ranged from 0.07 to 2.6 mg/L, exhibiting the highest values in rainy season (January 2021). OF values 333 334 tended to be higher ( $\geq 2 \text{ mg/L}$ ) in fishing boats and landing ports where the artisanal fishing fleet 335 is sheltered (Anconcito, Portete Grande, Area de Pescadores and Estero). This increase coincided with the beaches that were reopened with higher tourist activity. Similar trends were observed in 336 337 water channels with wastewater and industrial runoff coming from Playas' downtown discharged 338 in the intertidal zone at Esterillo.

A two-way crossed ANOSIM demonstrated that water quality was influenced by both site and season (R = -0.76; p = 0.001).

#### 342 **Microbiological assessment**

343

344 FC counts were very variable, ranging from 1.8 to 63,000 MPN/100 mL. The highest levels were 345 found in samples collected at artisanal fishing ports, estuaries, and urbanizations. Although the 346 highest value was found during the dry season at Anconcito Artisanal Fishing Port, in general the 347 higher levels and number of sites were observed in the rainy season (Figure 3).

348

349 Both FC and EC were consistently higher in seawater and wastewater discharged in estuarine 350 zones compared to shoreline sand. Values were high at a fish landing and management facility 351 located at Anconcito Artesanal Fishing Port, at a channel of domestic wastewater (Esterillo 1 and 352 2), in areas next to restaurants and public toilets, on the coastline near to urbanizations (Portete 353 Grande Casa del Sol), and an estuarine area influenced by urban settlement (Palmar 1) (Figure 354 3). The highest FC values were detected in Anconcito (63,000 MPN/100 mL), Esterillo (9,200 355 MPN/100 mL), Portete (7,000 MPN/100 mL) and Palmar (4,000 MPN/100 mL). The same 356 trends were registered for EC (Figure 3).

357

358 There was a statistically significant difference between sites for FC (p=0.03) and EC (p=0.03).

359 Also, both FC and EC were higher (p<0.05 and p=0.01, respectively) in the rainy season when

360 beaches opened for tourism compared to the dry season and closed beaches. FC were highest in Santa Elena in relation with Playas (p<0.05 and p=0.01) in both survey times. However, a higher 361

362 number of sites showed water polluted with FC and E coli in the area from Ayangue, which is a

363 preferred area by tourists due to its calm waters especially in Ayangue Bay and surroundings. In 364 fact, only ten of the surveyed sites could be suitable for recreational purposes according to 365 environmental laws in Ecuador through ministerial agreement 097A (Acuerdo Ministerial 097A, 366 2015). Here, it is recommended that for recreational purposes, waters should not exceed 200 MPN/100 mL. These sites were Rompeolas, Parque Infantil 2 and Estero Data 1 in Playas; Punta 367

Carnero 1 and 2, Chipipe 1 and 2; San Lorenzo 1,2,3 and Estero Valdivia in Santa Elena. 368

369

### 370 **Relation between seawater water quality and environmental factors**

371

372 The non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination showed that there was differentiation of the 373 water quality generated by seasonality between the dry and rainy seasons (Figure 4). The 374 similarity of studied sites was influenced by the levels of TENS, Temp, pH, BOD, Sal, Cl, EC, 375 an DO. The SIMPER routine showed the variables with most contribution were TENS (10.54%); 376 Temp (9.94%); pH (8.87%), BOD (7.46%) and salinity (7.15%).

377

### 378 Microbiological pollution in Wastewater in Oxidation Lagoons

379

380 The main microbiological, physical and chemical parameters in OLs are shown in Table 2. The

381 concentration of FC in the oxidation lagoons fluctuated between 1.8 to 9'200,000 MPN/100ml.

382 The highest levels were showed in Santa Elena during 2020, with maximum levels registered in

383 the entrance of Punta Carnero (OL-B, 9'200,000 MPN/100 mL) while lower concentrations were

recorded in the Playas's lagoons (120,000 MPN/100 mL). The levels observed in the output of 384

both oxidation lagoons were high, with values above the allowed limit (2,000 MPN/100 mL) for discharge from wastewaters to seawater in Ecuador. The high levels of FC recorded at the output of the oxidation ponds analyzed show low performance and low efficiency in the removal of organic matter and microorganisms in these systems.

389

### 390 SARS-CoV-2 Analysis

391

392 The two viral concentration methods evaluated, SMF and AlCl<sub>3</sub> led to the detection of DENV-2 393 in all dilutions tested, suggesting both procedures are effective to adhere enveloped viruses such 394 as coronaviruses. Due to the shorter processing time, the AlCl<sub>3</sub> procedure was selected for the 395 analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in the water samples. SARS-CoV-2 RNA was surveyed on samples 396 from two oxidation lagoons in Playas (OL-A) and Punta Carnero (OL-B) and five coastal waters 397 exceeding permissible limits of FC. The results of the RT-PCR are shown on Table 3. In samples 398 collected during confinement, genes N1 and N2 of SARS-CoV-2 were detected in influent and 399 effluent samples from both oxidation lagoons. Findings were confirmed by the National 400 Reference Centre for Influenza and other Respiratory Viruses through amplification of the viral gene E, a laboratory certified by the World Health Organization for SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis. 401 Gene N1 was amplified from RNA extracts collected at two artisanal fishing ports (Area de 402 403 Pescadores, Facilidad Pesquera Anconcito) and one estuarine area (Palmar). After confinement, N1 and N2 genes were detected at one recreational beach (Bahía de Ayangue), only one gene 404 405 was amplified from samples of one recreational bay (Portete Casa Grande del Sol), and both genes in both of the oxidation lagoons sampled. In accordance with the CDC guidelines, the 406 407 results for the samples where only one gene was amplified was considered inconclusive. Of the samples with inconclusive results, two samples were also processed using the SMF method. RT-408 409 PCR confirmed the results as inconclusive. As expected, the RNase P gene control did not yield 410 any amplification.

411

### 412 **Discussion**

413

414 Water quality parameters revealed a negative impact on the beaches studied associated with human activities. Fecal coliforms and E. coli values exceeded the maximum permissible levels of 415 416 Environmental Quality Standard and effluent discharge for water sources (Ministerial Agreement 417 097A). Water quality criteria for recreational purposes through primary contact, and preservation 418 of aquatic and wildlife in fresh, marine and estuarine waters in areas influenced by fishing ports, 419 wastewater, urbanizations were also exceeded [Annex 1 of Book VI from Unified Text of 420 Secondary Legislation of the Ministry of the Environment (TULSMA) (Acuerdo Ministerial 097A, 2015)]. Moreover, SARS-CoV-2 RNA was found in oxidation lagoons included in this 421 422 study and two natural water bodies.

423

Notably, the majority (9 out of the 15) of the beaches analyzed were not suitable for primary contact and/or recreational activities, due to biological contamination with fecal coliforms and *E. coli*. This pollution may have been generated by discharges of domestic wastewater, runoff, contaminated water from rain or subsoil by seepage, river mouths or direct bather shedding into seawater (Badilla-Aguilar et al., 2019). It is important to mention that this research was conducted during COVID-19 pandemic, before a vaccine was available, and while the use of

430 beaches was prohibited. Therefore, it is possible to infer that microbiological contamination

431 could be even be greater during holidays when there is a greater influx of tourists. The fact that 432 so many beaches studied were contaminated with E. coli, fecal bacteria associated with 433 gastrointestinal disease, represents a risk to human health due to the possible presence of other 434 microorganisms including ESKAPE pathogens, protozoa and enteric viruses in these aquatic ecosystems (Li, et al., 2021; Nishiyama et al., 2021; Omarova et al., 2018; Rodrigues et al., 435 436 2016). Anconcito and Esterillo were the beaches with the highest pollution in terms of fecal 437 coliforms. A possible explanation for these highly contaminated beaches may be associated with 438 higher significant fecal coliform counts and high chemical oxygen demand observed in the raw 439 sewage and oxidation ponds of these locations.

440

441 This study revealed that the amounts of coliforms were higher at the inlet and outlet of oxidation 442 ponds during the beach closure season compared to the beach opening season when confinement 443 measures were lifted. The oxidation ponds are highly efficient when operating under efficient 444 conditions of residence time and organic load. However, the coliform data in the studied 445 oxidation ponds suggest that an evaluation and improvement of the methods used to eliminate 446 bacteria and viruses in these systems is required. Even though the levels of coliforms were very high in the oxidation ponds, they were lower on the beaches where these waters were eventually 447 448 discharged, and the presence of the SARS-Cov-2 virus was not detectable, probably due to the 449 dilution effect in the sea and to salinity conditions, incidence of ultraviolet radiation and the temperature of seawater (Liu et al., 2020). In this sense, it has been described that the survival of 450 microorganisms in the environment depends on many factors such as temperature, incidence of 451 452 sunlight and the presence of native microorganisms (Pinon and Vialette, 2018).

453

454 Another impact of the discharge of inefficiently treated wastewater to the beaches was the low 455 levels of oxygen found (less than 5 mg/L). Due to the organic load of wastewater, effluent 456 discharged from wastewater treatment facilities often contribute to the level of oxygen demand 457 of the receiving water, due to the greater depletion of dissolved oxygen in the surface waters that receive water with poorly treated residuals (Huang et al., 2020). For example, DO levels in 458 459 effluents from various wastewater treatment facilities in South Africa were typically lower than the required standard of 8 to 10 mg/L, and this condition was shown to negatively affect the 460 aquatic ecosystem (Edokpayi et al., 2017). High levels of COD were observed in the beaches 461 462 near urbanizations, fishing facilities, estuaries and bays, whilst highest levels of BOD were found in the Estero Valdivia showing there is organic contamination in water, which can also 463 464 contribute to lowering DO levels due to bacterial respiration (Devi and Dahiya, 2008). The effect 465 of poorly treated wastewater on surface waters is largely determined by the oxygen balance of the aquatic ecosystem, which is essential to maintain biological life within the system (Edokpayi 466 et al., 2017). Therefore, there could be negative impacts of the lagoon systems in Playas and 467 468 Santa Elena, impacting the local flora and fauna of these sites, which coincides with previous studies carried out in Playas (Espinoza, 2016) and Santa Elena (Córdova, 2013). 469

470

Finding of SARS-COV-2 RNA at the inlet of the oxidation lagoons sampled was expected as the virus is excreted in feces, but positive qPCR results in the effluent suggest that its treatment is not effective to eliminate the virus. Viral RNA was also found in two natural sampling sites, confirming fecal contamination is reaching the natural ecosystem. Nevertheless, RNA detection does not imply virus viability, for SARS-CoV-2 persistence of infectious particles in water matrices is temperature dependent (Sala-Comorera et al., 2021). In tropical countries it could 477 also be affected by other environmental variables including seasonal seawater temperature, 478 exposure to UV radiation, salinity, among others. The rapid decay of SARS-CoV-2 in environmental water supports the suggestion of the CDC that viral transmission through contact 479 480 with contaminated waters is low. This result, however, highlights the possibility that other fecal derived pathogens with longer persistence rates could be introduced to recreational water. These 481 482 might include gastrointestinal viruses known to be transmitted by the orofecal route such as 483 noroviruses, and antibiotic resistant microorganisms which could pose a risk of transmission to 484 users of these waters and to public health (Seitz et al., 2010, WHO 2021).

485

High levels of salinity registered in the Estero Valdivia can be attributed to the fact that there is not enough exchange in the Estero, and evaporation causes the salinity to increase, especially during low tide. The low levels of salinity generated by the wastewater that is discharged into the intertidal zone in the Playas area are probably related to clandestine connections that throughout the year are affecting biological communities, especially benthic assemblages that inhabit the estuarine area of the Esterillo and sandy beaches in the area where fishermen land (Scott et al., 2019).

493

494 Developing countries such as Ecuador have weak regulations for water quality, and surveillance 495 of recreational water is not in place. The use of recreational waters promotes one of the main economic activities in Ecuador, survey of its quality to guarantee users and fauna health should 496 497 become a priority. However, Ecuador as well as other countries in South America discharge 498 untreated sewage polluting recreational use beaches, agricultural and fishing products that are 499 grown in nearby areas, and negatively impacting economic activities as well as increasing risks 500 to consumers' health. It has been estimated that diarrhea accounts for one in eight deaths among 501 children younger than 5 years per annum in South America, Africa and Asia (Kotloff, 2017). 502 Diarrhea are due to viral, bacterial and protozoan species (Platts-Mills et al., 2015; Ugboko et al., 503 2020). Rotaviruses and E. coli are the most reported enteropathogens in the world, mainly in 504 low-income countries (Onanuga et al., 2014). These organisms are associated with contaminated 505 water and food. Our results emphasize the need for secondary or biological treatment such as activated sludge or moving bed bioreactors, rather than primary/physical treatment as conducted 506 in these areas with oxidation ponds. Moreover, there is a need to develop an education program 507 508 for owners of hotels and restaurants at tourist beaches, regarding good practices in food 509 manufacturing and prevention of food-borne diseases (ETA).

510

### 511 Conclusions

512 In this work, water quality of seawater and wastewater discharges in two regions of Ecuador 513 were analyzed during two seasons during the COVID pandemic. It was found that 81% of 514 beaches were not suitable for recreation, as they exceeding the maximum limit allowed in water for recreational activities, according to Ecuadorian environmental legislation. The virus SARS-515 516 CoV-2 was present in seawater and outfalls of estuaries, highlighting the need to reinforce prevention actions that guarantee the health of the users of these ecosystems. Fecal 517 518 contamination could lead to the introduction of other human enteric viruses into aquatic 519 ecosystems. Therefore, it is recommended to implement a water quality monitoring program 520 including physical, chemical, and microbiological parameters (including the presence of SARS-CoV-2), especially in wastewater to avoid possible bacterial or viral outbreaks to residents and 521 522 tourists.

### 524 Acknowledgements

525 The authors thank authorities of Prefectura de Santa Elena for the support with the fundraising 526 for the sampling development in Santa Elena in 2020. Supplemental support was provided by the Secretary of Higher Education Science, Technology and Innovation from Ecuador (SENESCYT) 527 528 and La Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) DC program Sustainable 529 Intermediate Cities in Ecuador. Component 3 "Strengthening of the Academy and Applied 530 Research Institutes" grant No. 14.2160.1-001.00. We thank Ely Soares and Philipo Franco from 531 the Municipality of Playas for provision of logistics and transportation of researchers to Playas in 532 time of a COVID-19 pandemic. Special thanks to authorities from Ministerio del Ambiente, Agua y Transición Ecológica for the authorization to carry out research in marine protected 533 534 areas. To Fanny Condo and María Belén Delgado from the Ministry of Tourism to cover costs of 535 the maritime transportation at the Reserva Marina El Pelado. We also thank Doménica De Mora 536 and Maritza Olmedo from the National Reference Centre for Influenza and other Respiratory 537 Viruses confirming SARS-CoV-2 results. Finally, a posthumous recognition to Carlos Muñoz 538 (+), Dean of the Faculty of Chemical Engineering of the University of Guayaquil, who unconditionally supported all the activities to conduct this study. A Visual and Automated 539 Disease Analytics (VADA) Graduate Fellowship was awarded to Jhannelle Francis (University 540 541 of Manitoba).

542 543

### 544 **References**

545	Abdelzaher et al., 2013. A.M. Abdelzaher H.M. Solo-Gabriele, M.C. Phillips, S.M. Elmir,								
546	L.E. Fleming.								
547	An alternative approach to water regulations for public health protection at								
548	bathing beaches. Journal of environmental and public health.								
549	Journal of Environmental and Public Health (2013).								
550	https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/138521								
551									
552	Acuerdo Ministerial 097 A, 2015. Acuerdo Ministerial 097 A, Ministerio del Medio								
553	Ambiente. AM 097-A(2015). AM 097-A Refórmese el Texto Unificado de								
554	Legislación Secundaria. Quito: Registro Oficial.								
555	https://www.gob.ec/sites/default/files/regulations/2018-09/Documento_Registro-								
556	Oficial-No-387-04-noviembre-2015_0.pdf								
557									
558	AGUAPEN, 2018. AGUAPEN								
559	Tercera Auditoria Ambiental de Cumplimiento del proyecto								
560	Mejoramiento y Rehabilitación de la Laguna de Oxidación de Punta								
561	Carnero" período 2016 – 2018.								
562									
563	Al Huraimel et al., 2020. K. Al Huraimel, M. Alhosani, S. Kunhabdulla, M.H. Stietiya,								
564	SARS-CoV-2 in the environment: Modes of transmission, early detection and								
565	potential role of pollutions.								
566	Science of The Total Environment., 744(2020), 140946								
567									
568	Aquino et al., 2020. S. Aquino, S. Moyano.								

569		Evaluación de Sars-CoV-2 en dos plantas de tratamientos de aguas
570		residuales en las provincias de Guayas y Santa Elena.
571		Honors thesis. Universidad de Guayaquil. Facultad de Ingeniería Química
572		http://repositorio.ug.edu.ec/handle/redug/51092
573		
574	Badilla-Aguila	ar et al., 2019. A. Badilla-Aguilar, D.A. Mora-Alvarado.
575		Análisis de la calidad bacteriológica de dos playas tropicales: relación de
576		indicadores de contaminación fecal entre el agua de mar y las arenas.
577		Revista Tecnología en Marcha, g-37. (2019).
578		
579	Blomqvist et a	I., 2012. S. Blomqvist, L.E. Bassioni, E. M. E. M. Nasr, A. Paananen, S.
580		Kaijalainen, H. Asghar, E. de Gourville, M. Roivainen,
581		<b>Detection of Imported Wild Polioviruses and of Vaccine-Derived Polioviruses</b>
582		by Environmental Surveillance in Egypt.
583		Applied and Environmental Microbiology., 78(2012), pp.5406–5409
584		doi: 10.1128/AEM.00491-12
585		
586	Bosch, et al., 2	2005. A. Bosch, F. Abad, R. Pintó.
587		Human pathogenic viruses in the marine environment.
588		In: Belkin S., Colwell R.R. (eds) Oceans and Health: Pathogens in the Marine
589		Environment. Springer, Boston, MA.
590		https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-23709-7_5
591		
592	Brandão, et al.	., 2020. J. Brandão, I. Albergaria, J. Albuquerque, S. José, J. Grossinho, F.C.
593		Ferreira, A. Raposo, R. Rodrigues, C. Silvia, L. Jordao, M. Sousa, M.H. Rebelo,
594		C. Veríssimo, R. Sabino, T. Amaro, F. Cardoso, M. Patrão-Costa, H. Solo-
595		Gabriele.
596		Untreated sewage contamination of beach sand from a leaking underground
597		sewage system.
598		Science of the total environment., 240(2020).
599		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140237</u> .
600		
601	Calgua, et al.,	2008. B. Calgua, A. Mengewein, A. Grunert, S. Bofill-Mas, P. Clemente-
602		Casares, A. Hundesa, A.P. Wyn-Jones, J.M. López-Pila, R. Girones.
603		Development and application of a one-step low cost procedure to concentrate
604		viruses from seawater samples.
605		Journal of virological methods., 153(2), (2008). pp.79–83.
606		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2008.08.003
607		
608	CDC, 2019.	Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).
609		2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel.
610		Latest update: July 21 2021;
011 612		nups.//www.tua.gov/meuta/154922/download
612	$CDC_{2020c}$	Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
614	CDC, 2020a.	COVID-10 Frequently Asked Questions
014		UV v 11-17 Frequency Askeu Quesuons.

615	18	8 Oct 2020.
616	ht	ttps://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/fag.html#Spread
617		
618	CDC 2020b Ce	entre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
610	ере, 20200. ес	low COVID-10 Spreads
620	5	Oct 2020
621	J ht	Oct 2020
621	<u>III</u>	<u>ups.//www.cuc.gov/colollavilus/2019-hcov/pievent-getting-sick/how-covid-</u>
622	<u>sp</u>	<u>preads.ntml</u> .
623		
624	Chen, et al., 2020	U. Y. Chen, L. Chen, Q. Deng, G. Zhang, K. Wu, L. Ni, Y. Yang, B. Liu, W.
625	W	ang, C. Wei, J. Yang, G. Ye, Z. Cheng.
626	T	he presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the feces of COVID-19 patients.
627	Jo	burnal of Medical Virolology. 2020 Jul;92(7):833-840.
628	ht	<u>.tps://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25825</u>
629		
630	Clarke et al., 200	)1. K.R. Clarke, R.M. Warwick
631	С	hange in marine communities. An approach to statistical analysis and
632	in	iterpretation, 2nd ed.,
633	Pl	RIMER-E, Ltd., Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Plymouth. (2001).
634		
635	Clarke et al., 200	06. K.R. Clarke, R.N. Gorley.
636	P	RIMER v6: Manual de usuario / Tutorial (Plymouth Routines in
637	Μ	Iultivariate Ecological Research).
638	Pl	RIMER-E, Plymouth. (2006).
639		
640	Córdova et al., 2	013. S. Córdova, D. Xavier
641	E	valuación técnica y ambiental de las lagunas de oxidación del cantón
642	S	alinas ubicadas en la vía Punta Carnero.
643	Te	esis para la obtención del título de Ingeniero Civil de la Universidad Católica
644	Sa	antiago de Guayaquil. Guayaquil. (2013). pp.152.
645		
646	Corman et al., 20	20. V.M. Corman, O. Landt, M. Kaiser, R. Molenkamp, A. Meijer, D.K. Chu,
647	T.	Bleicker, S. Brunink, J. Schneider, M.L. Schmidt, D.G. Mulders, B.L.
648	H	aagmans, B. van der Veer, S. van den Brink, L. Wiisman, G. Goderski, J.L.
649	R	omette J Ellis M Zambon M Peiris H Goossens C Reusken M P
650	K	oonmans C Drosten
651	D	etection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR
652	E E	urosurveillance 25(3)
653	de	oi:10.2807/1560-7917 FS 2020 25 3 2000045
654	u	JI.10.2007/1500-7717.L5.2020.25.5.20000+5
655	Daughton 2020	C.G. Daughton
656	Dauginon, 2020	. C.O. Daugmon
657	V\ £	rasicwater survemance for population-while COVID-19: The present and
0J/ 650	IU C	iuic.
000	ר סנ	OI: 10 1016/i  soitotony 2020  120621
039	D	"01.10.1010/J.SCILOLEIIV.2020.159051
000		

661		
662	Devi et al., 20	008. R. Devi, R.P. Dahiya
663		COD and BOD removal from domestic wastewater generated in
664		decentralised sectors
665		Bioresource Technology., (2008). pp.344-349.
666		doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.12.017
667		
668	Edokpayi et a	1., 2017. J.N. Edokpayi, J.O. Odiyo, O.S. Durowoju
669	1.0	Impact of wastewater on surface water quality in developing countries: A
670		case of study in South Africa.
671		In book: Water Quality Chapter: 18 Publisher: In Tech Editors: Hlanganani Tutu:
672		401-416.
673		http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/66561
674		1 0
675	Guerrero-Lato	orre et al., 2020. L. Guerrero-Latorre, I. Ballesteros, I. Villacrés-Granda, M.G.
676		Granda, B. Freire-Paspuel, B. Ríos-Touma.
677		SARS-CoV-2 in river water: Implications in low sanitation countries.
678		Sci. Total Environ., 743(2020), 140832.
679		https://doi.org/10.1016/i.scitotenv.2020.140832
680		
681	Halkman., 20	05. K. Halkman.
682	·····, -	Merck food microbiology applications.
683		Basak Printhouse Ltd. Sti., Ankara, (2005), pp.358
684		
685	Haramoto et a	al., 2020. E. Haramoto, B. Malla, O. Thakali, M. Kitajima
686		First environmental surveillance for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in
687		wastewater and river water in Japan.
688		Sci. Total Environ., 737(2020), 140405.
689		https://doi.org/10.1016/i.scitoteny.2020.140405
690		
691	Huang et al.,	2020. Y.H. Huang, L. Dsikowitzky, F. Yang, J. Schwarzbauer.
692		Emerging contaminants in municipal wastewaters and their relevance for the
693		surface water contamination in the tropical coastal city Haikou. China.
694		Estuar Coast. Shelf Sci., 235(2020).
695		doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/i.ecss.2020.106611
696		
697	INEC. 2010	Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Censos (INEC)
698	II (LC., 2010.	Población demográfica
699		2010
700		https://www.ecuadorencifras.gob.ec/censo-de-poblacion-v-vivienda/
701		in position of the production
702	INEC 2016	Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Censos (INEC)
703	1,120,,2010.	Estadística de información ambiental económica en gobiernos autónomos
704		descentralizados municipales 2015 (agua y alcantarillado)
705		Octubre 2016.

706	https://www.ecuadorencifras.gob.ec/documentos/web-
707	inec/Encuestas_Ambientales/Municipios_2015/Documento_Tecnico-
708	Gestion_de_Agua_y_Alcantarillado_2015.pdf
709	
710	Al Huraimel et al., 2020. K. Al Huraimel, M. Alhosani, S. Kunhabdulla, M. H. Stietiya.
711	SARS-CoV-2 in the environment: Modes of transmission, early detection and
712	potential role of pollutions.
713	Sci. Total Environ., 744(2020), 140946
714	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140946.
715	
716	Kotloff., 2017. K.L. Kotloff.
717	The burden and etiology of diarrheal illness in developing countries.
718	Pediatr. Clin. North Am., 64(2017), pp.799-814
719	
720	Li et al., 2021. D. Li, L.C. Van De Werfhorst, B. Steets, J. Ervin, J.L.S. Murray, N.
721	Devarajan, P.A. Holden.
722	Bather Shedding as a Source of Human Fecal Markers to a Recreational
723	Beach.
724	Front. Microbiol., 12(2021), 673190
725	https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.673190.
726	
727	Liu et al., 2020. D. Liu, J.R. Thompson, A. Carducci, X. Bi.
728	Potential secondary transmission of SARS-CoV-2 via wastewater.
729	Sci. Total Environ., 749(2020), 142358
730	doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142358</u>
731	
732	Kitajima et al., 2020. M. Kitajima, W. Ahmed, K. Bibby, A. Carducci, C.P. Gerba, K.A.
733	Hamilton, E. Haramoto, J.B. Rose.
734	SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater: State of the knowledge and research needs.
735	Sci. Total Environ., 739(2020), 139076.
736	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139076
737	
738	Mordecai et al., 2020. G.J. Mordecai, I. Hewson.
739	Coronaviruses in the Sea.
740	Front. Microbiol., 11(2020), 1795
741	https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01795
742	
743	Nishiyama et al., 2021. M. Nishiyama, S. Praise, K. Tsurumaki, H. Baba, H. Kanamori, T.
744	Watanabe.
745	Prevalence of Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria ESKAPE among Healthy People
746	Estimated by Monitoring of Municipal Wastewater.
747	Antibiotics (Basel, Switzerland)., 10(5) (2021), 495
748	https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10050495
749	
750	Omarova et al., 2018. A. Omarova, K. Tussupova, R. Berndtsson, M. Kalishev, K. Sharapatova

751		Protozoan Parasites in Drinking Water: A System Approach for Improved
752		Water, Sanitation and Hygiene in Developing Countries
753		Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health., 15(2018), 495.
754		https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15030495
755		
756	Onanuga et al.	, 2014. A. Onanuga, O. Igbeneghu, A. Lamikanra
757		A study of prevalence of diarrhoeagenic <i>Escherichia coli</i> in children from
758		Gwagwalada, Federal Capital Territory, Nigeria.
759		Pan. Afr. Med. J., 17(2014), 146
760		doi: 10.11604/pamj.2014.17.146.3369
761		
762	Paruch et al., 2	2012. A.M. Paruch, T. Mæhlum
763	,	Specific features of <i>Escherichia coli</i> that distinguish it from coliform and
764		thermotolerant coliform bacteria and define it as the most accurate indicator
765		of fecal contamination in the environment
766		Ecol Indic 23(2012) pp 140-142
767		https://agris_fao_org/agris_search/search_do?recordID-US201500163026
768		$\frac{100}{100} \frac{100}{100} 10$
769	Pinon et al 20	18 A Pinon and M Vialette
707	1 mon et al., 20	Survival of Virusas in Watar
771		Intervirology 61(2018) pp 214 222
771		https://doi.org/10.1150/000484800
112 772		<u>nups.//doi.org/10.1159/000484899</u> .
777	Diotto Millo ot	al 2015 I A Diatta Milla & Dahii I Dadhidatta I Cratz D Hagua A Hayt
775	Platts-Millis et	D. I. McCarmiels, M. McCrath, M. D. Olartagui, A. Samia, S. Shakaon, D.
115		D. J. McConnick, M. McOnaul, M. P. Olonegui, A. Sainie, S. Shakoor, D.
110		Mondai, I.F. Linia, D. Hariraju, B.B. Rayanajin, S. Quresni, F. Kabir, P.P. 1011, D. Mufamadi, C. Amaun, I.D. Carraan, S.A. Biahard, D. Lang, D. Bassang, F.
111		B. Mutamadi, C. Amour, J.D. Carreon, S.A. Richard, D. Lang, P. Bessong, E.
//8		Mouma, I. Anmed. A. A. Lima, C. J. Mason, A. Km. Zaidi, Z. A. Bhutta, M.
79		Kosek, R. L. Guerrant, M. Gottlieb, M. Miller, G. Kang, E. R. Houpt and MAL-
/80		ED Network Investigators
/81		Pathogen-specific burdens of community diarrhoea in developing countries:
782		a multisite birth cohort study (MAL-ED).
783		Lancet Glob. Health., 3(2015), pp.564–e575
784		doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00151-5
785	_	
786	$Pru \square ss et al., \square$	1998. A. Pru $\Box$ ss, W.K. Townend, and World Health Organization (WHO)
787		Division of Operational Support in Environmental Health.
788		Teacher's guide: management of wastes from health-care activities / A.
789		Pru □ss, W. K. Townend.
790		World Health Organization., (1998). WHO/EOS/98.6227 p.
791		https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/64002
792		
793	Ramos-Ortega	et al., 2008. L.M. Ramos-Ortega, L.A. Vidal, S. Vilardy, and L. Saavedra-Díaz
794		Análisis de la contaminación microbiológica (coliformes totales y fecales) en
795		la bahía de Santa Marta, caribe colombiano.
796		Acta Biol. Colomb., 13(2008) pp.85-96

797	
798	Randazzo et al., 2020. W. Randazzo, P. Truchado, E. Cuevas-Ferrando, P. Simón, A. Allende,
799	G. Sánchez.
800	SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater anticipated COVID-19 occurrence in a low
801	prevalence area.
802	Water Res., 181(2020), 115942
803	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115942.
804	
805	Rice et al., 2017. E.W. Rice, A.D. Eaton, R.B. Baird
806	Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 23rd
807	edition.
808	Washington, DC: American Public Health Association
809	
810	Rodrigues et al., 2017. C. Rodrigues, M. Â. Cunha.
811	Assessment of the microbiological quality of recreational waters: indicators and
812	methods.
813	Euro-Mediterr. J. Environ. Integr., 2(2017), pp.1-18
814	doi : <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s41207-017-0035-8</u>
815	
816	Rodrigues et al., 2016. V.F. Rodrigues, I,N. Rivera, KY. Lim, and S.C. Jiang
817	Detection and risk assessment of diarrheagenic E. coli in recreational beaches of
818	Brazil.
819	Mar. Pollut. Bull., 109(2016), pp.163-170
820	doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.06.007</u>
821	
822	Sala-Comorera et al., 2021. L. Sala-Comorera, L.J. Reynolds, N.A. Martin, J.J. O'Sullivan,
823	W.G. Meijer, and N.F. Fletcher.
824	Decay of infectious SARS-CoV-2 and surrogates in aquatic environments.
825	Water Res., 201(2021), 117090
826	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117090
827	
828	Scott et al., 2019. W. Scott, C.S. Breed, S.P. Haddad, S.R. Burket, G.N. Saari, P.J. Pearce,
829	C.K. Chambliss, B.W. Brooks.
830	Spatial and temporal influence of onsite wastewater treatment systems,
831	centralized effluent discharge, and tides on aquatic hazards of nutrients,
832	indicator bacteria, and pharmaceuticals in a coastal bayou.
833	Sci. Total Environ., 650 (2019), pp.354-364.
834	doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.029
835	
836	Seitz et al., 2011. S.R. Seitz, J.S. Leon, K.J. Schwab, G.M. Lyon, M. Dowd, M. McDaniels, G.
837	Abdulhafid, M.L. Fernandez, L.C. Lindesmith, R. S. Baric, and C.L. Mo
838	Norovirus infectivity in humans and persistence in water.
839	Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 77(2011), pp.6884-6888
840	DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.05806-11
841	
842	

843 844	Shuval, 2003.	H. Shuval Estimating the global burden of thalassogenic diseases: human infectious
845		diseases caused by wastewater pollution of the marine environment
846		I Water Health 1 (2003) np 53–64
847		5. Water Health, 1 (2005), pp 55-04
848	Sokal et al., 19	995. R.R. Sokal and F.J. Rohlf,
849		Biometry: The Principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research,
850		third edition
851		W.H. Freeman. New York.
852		
853	Ugboko et al.,	2020. H.U. Ugboko, O.C. Nwinyi, O.C. Nwinyi, S.U. Oranusi, S.
854	C ,	U. Oranusi and J.O. Oyewale
855		Childhood diarrheal diseases in developing countries.
856		Heliyon., 6(2020), e03690.
857		doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03690
858		
859	Ye et al., 2016	5. Y. Ye, R.M. Ellenberg, K.E. Graham, and K.R. Wigginton,
860	,	Survivability, partitioning, and recovery of enveloped viruses in untreated
861		municipal wastewater.
862		Environ. Sci. Technol., 50(2016), pp. 5077–5085 doi: 10.1021/acs.est.6b00876
863		https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00876
864		- <u>+</u>
865	Yuan et al., 20	19 T. Yuan, K.K. Vadde, J.D. Tonkin, J. Wang, J. Lu, Z. Zhang, Y. Zhang, A.J.
866		McCarthy, R.Sekar
867		Urbanization impacts the physicochemical characteristics and abundance of
868		fecal markers and bacterial pathogens in surface water.
869		Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health., 16(2019), 1739
870		https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16101739
871		
872	WHO, 2021.	World Health Organization (WHO).
873		Guidelines on recreational water quality.
874		Coastal and Fresh Waters. Volume 1.
875		World Health Organization.
876		https://apps.who.int/iris/rest/bitstreams/1356051/retrieve
877		
878	Wu et al., 201	1. J. Wu, S.C. Long, D. Das, S.M. Dorner.
879		Are microbial indicators and pathogens correlated? A statistical analysis of
880		40 years of research.
881		J. Virol Methods., 9(2011), pp.265–278. doi: 10.2166 / wh.2011.117
882		https://doi.org/10.2166/wh.2011.117
883		· · ·
884	Wang et al., 20	005. X.W. Wang, J. Li, T. Guo, B. Zhen, Q. Kong, B. Yi, Z. Li, N. Song, M. Jin,
885		W. Xiao, X. Zhu, C. Gu, J. Yin, W. Wei, W. Yao, C. Liu, J. Li, G. Ou, M. Wang,
886		T. Fang, G. Wang, Y. Qiu, H. Wu, F. Chao, J. Li.

887		Concentration and detection of SARS coronavirus in sewage from Xiao Tang
888		Shan Hospital and the 309th Hospital of the Chinese People's Liberation
889		Army.
890		J. Virol. Methods., 52(2005), pp. 213–221
891		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2005.03.022
892	Zar., 1996.	J.H. Zar.
893		Biostatistical Analysis. 3rd Edition
894		Prentice Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River. pp. 662

# **Tables**

**Table 1.** Sampling sites. Provinces, locations, site code, site description and coordinates from study sites.

Drovinco	Site	Replic	Station	Site description	Coordinates		
FIOVINCE	Code	ate	Station	Site description	Latitude	Longitude	
	А	1-2-3	Rompeolas	Beach (next to hotel)	2° 38' 37,983" S	80° 23' 49,495" W	
	В	1-2-3	Área de Pescadores	Artisanal Fishing Processing area	2° 38' 29,188" S	80° 23' 44,835" W	
	С	1-2-3	Parque Infantil	Beach	2° 38' 34,715" S	80° 23' 26,147" W	
Guayas	D	1-2-3	Esterillo	Water discharge channel from the city and oxidation lagoon	2° 38' 45,584" S	80° 23' 8,071" W	
	Е	1-2-3	Estero Data	Estuarine area influenced by shrimp farms and human settlements	2° 43' 24,368" S	80° 18' 18,493" W	
	OL-A	1-2-3	OL-A: HidroPlayas	Oxidation lagoon	2° 36' 38,536" S	80° 24' 13,063" W	
	F	1-2-3	Facilidad Pesquera Anconcito	Artisanal Fishing Processing area	2° 19' 52,367" S	80° 53' 17,239" W	
	G	1-2-3	Punta Carnero 3	Estuarine area used for recreational activities	2° 13' 29,231" S	80° 58' 15,877" W	
	Н 1-2-3 С		Chipipe 1	Beach (next to hotel and human settlements	2° 11' 50,382" S	80° 59' 2,597" W	
	Ι	1-2-3	San Lorenzo 1	Beach (next to hotel and human settlements	2° 12' 19,433" S	80° 57' 54,284" W	
	J	1-2-3	Santa Rosa 1	Artesanal Fishing Processing area	2° 12' 19,627" S	80° 56' 52,866" W	
Santa Elena	Κ	1-2-3	Palmar	Estuarine area, fishing boats landing port influenced by human settlements	2° 1' 19,98" S	80° 43' 55,92" W	
	L	1-2-3	Bahia de Ayangue 1 Derecho	Bay, recreational area and boat landing area	1° 58' 52,44" S	80° 45' 19,5" W	
	Μ	1-2-3	Portete Grande Casa del Sol	Beach influenced by shrimp's laboratory and pipes human settlement	1° 58' 9,9" S	80° 45' 16,8" W	
	Ν	1-2-3	El Acuario	Open water located near El Pelado Islote used for recreational scuba diving	51° 56' 10,92" S	80° 47' 21" W	
	0	1-2	Estero Valdivia 1	Estuarine area influenced by pig farm and human settlements	1° 56' 5,81" S	80° 43' 24,6" W	
	OL-B	1-2-3	OL-B: Punta Carnero	Oxidation lagoon	2° 15' 29,504" S	80° 55' 5,581" W	

Stations	Date	FC (MPN/100 mL)	COD (mg/L)	BOD5 (mg/L)	рН	Temp. (°C)	TENSs (mg/L)	OL (mg/L)	Turb. (NTU)	Color (Pt/Co)
Input OL-A HidroPlayas	2020-09-01	120,000	684	320	7.48	17.1	0.19	5.8	142	110
Output OL-A HidroPlayas	2020-09-01	110,000	337	160	7.66	17.3	0.06	3.2	90.5	50.26
Input OL-A HidroPlayas	2021-02-25	53,000	566	260	7.54	23.2	2.92	9.2	76.1	32.84
Output OL-A HidroPlayas	2021-02-25	1.8	258	120	7.7	21.4	0.05	5.3	76.1	25.18
Input OL-B Punta Carnero	2020-09-04	9'200,000	533	270	7.6	24.8	1.2	3.1	159	60.46
Output OL-B Punta Carnero	2020-09-04	700,000	222	110	7.74	24.7	3.36	2.2	57.4	36.17
Input OL-B Punta Carnero	2021-02-26	24,000	634	310	7.56	24.1	2.5	28.3	62.7	35.52
Output OL-B Punta Carnero	2021-02-26	6,300	249	110	7.8	23.7	0.052	2.3	60	26.12
Discharge limits for Marine	_									
waters. Annex 1, Book VI	-	2,000	400	200	6-9	<35	0.5	30	N/A	N/A

**Table 2.** Microbiological and physicochemical parameters of oxidation lagoons at Guayas and Santa Elena.

TULSMA





907 location within Guayas and Santa Elena Provinces in Ecuador (B) oxidation lagoons of Playas
908 (OL-A) situated in Guayas province (C) oxidation lagoons of Punta Carnero (OL-B) situated in
909 Santa Elena province (D) the sites studied and its population density.



Figure 2. Physico-chemical and biological quality parameters assessed in seawater of beaches
during dry (blue) and rainy (red) seasons. FC: fecal coliforms; DO (mg/L): dissolved oxygen;
COD (mg/L): chemical oxygen demand; BOD (mg/L): biological oxygen demand; temp
(Celsius): temperature; Sal (Practical salinity units): salinity; tens mg/L): tensoactives; O & F
(mg/L): Oils and fats; TDS (mg/L): total dissolved solids; Cl: Chlorine (mg/L); NH<sub>4</sub> (mg/L):
ammonium.





925 wastewater treatment systems during 2020-2021. The concentration of fecal coliforms in OL-A

and OL-B are light green in Dry season and dark green in the rainy season. Fecal coliforms on

927 beaches are yellow in the dry season and orange in the rainy season.



**Figure 4.** Non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination, derived from Euclidean distance constructed using square root transformed total chemical, physical and microbiological parameters at 42 sampling sites during dry season (2020) and rainy season (2021). The upper

965plot has used for all variables and seasons and is coded for season, while the lower plot were966restrictedtoFecalColiformsforallseasons.

### 968 Supplementary material

969 **Table S1.** Physicochemical parameters in seawater of the beaches under study.

970 \* Indicates that there are significant differences between season in the same station according to U Mann-Whitney (P>0.05), MPL:

971 maximum permissible level according to AM 097A. OD based on the criterion of minimum percentage of oxygen saturation 80%

972 where the optimal values would be 7.3-8.3 OD mg / L at 25-30  $^{\circ}$  C and 80% saturation = 5.84-6.64 mg / L.

Stations	Station	Season	Temperature (°C)	TDS (mg/L)	рН	Salinity (UPS)	DO (mg/L)	BOD (mg/L)	COD (mg/L)	O&F (mg/L)	NH4 (mg/L)	TENS (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)	
Rompeolas		Dry	24.20	24.00	7.98	22.93	8.12	5.00	80.00	0.00	0.45		0.02	
			(24.10-24.30)	(23.00- 32.00)	(7.47- 8.04)	(21.90- 23.53)	(8.04- 8.14)	(2.00- 7.00)	(60.00- 170.00)	(0.00- 0.10)	(0.25- 0.60)	< 0.05	(0.01- 0.05)	
	А	A Rainy	Rainy (	30.00*	6.00	8.53*	34.30*	7.35	17.00*	52.00	0.00	0.30	0.05	0.01
				(28.80-30.50)	(4.00- 8.00)	(8.22- 8.57)	(34.20- 34.30)	(7.33- 7.86)	(10.00- 18.00)	(30.00- 56.00)	(0.00- 0.00)	(0.21- 0.37)	<0.05	(0.01- 0.01)
Área de pescadores	В	Dry <sub>(24</sub>	24 70	12.00	7.54	1.17	5.94	10.00	30.00	0.20	0.37		0.01	
			(24.50-25.30)	(2.00- 26.00)	(7.20- 7.56)	(0.72- 23.36)	(4.23- 8.13)	(5.00- 15.00)	(27.00- 50.00)	(0.00- 0.30)	(0.29- 0.40)	< 0.05	(0.01- 0.03)	

Stations	Station	Season	Temperature (°C)	TDS (mg/L)	pН	Salinity (UPS)	DO (mg/L)	BOD (mg/L)	COD (mg/L)	O&F (mg/L)	NH <sub>4</sub> (mg/L)	TENS (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)
			27.30*	84.00	8.37*	0.90	5.83	44.00*	95.00*	1.80	1.99		0.01
		Rainy	(27.10-29.80)	(2.00- 114.00)	(8.06- 8.56)	(0.50- 34.50)	(2.00- 7.47)	(21.00- 46.00)	(64.00- 96.00)	(0.30- 2.00)	(0.34- 8.00)	<0.05	(0.01- 0.01)
Parque Infantil			25.70	26.00	7.92	23.57	7.79	15.00	130.00	0.00	0.92		0.07
	C	Dry	(25.60-26.00)	(22.00- 28.00)	(7.82- 8.09)	(23.33- 23.60)	(7.72- 7.92)	(12.00- 20.00)	(120.00- 180.00)	(0.00- 0.00)	(0.89- 0.98)	< 0.05	(0.06- 0.08)
			30.00*	4.00	8.66*	34.30*	7.45*	32.00	100.00	0.00	0.38		0.01
		Rainy	(29.60-30.20)	(4.00- 6.00)	(8.30- 8.69)	(34.30- 34.80)	(7.42- 7.55)	(12.00- 35.00)	(40.00- 104.00)	(0.00- 0.00)	(0.24- 0.39)	<0.05	(0.01- 0.01)
			25.70	32.00	8.29	5.80	9.00	7.00	80.00	0.30	1.06		0.03
Esterillo		Dry	(25.10-26.20)	(22.00- 36.00)	(7.68- 8.45)	(5.47- 23.37)	(7.84- 9.00)	(5.00- 10.00)	(60.00- 100.00)	(0.10- 1.80)	(0.25- 4.70)	< 0.05	(0.02- 0.04)
	D		31.60*	50.00	8.07	0.90	3.47	11.00	50.00	1.10	1.93	0.07	0.01
		Rainy	(31.40-33.40)	(42.00- 86.00)	(7.72- 8.42)	(0.80- 16.50)	(3.10- 5.20)	(8.4- 42.00)	(34.00- 89.00)	(0.50- 1.70)	(0.16- 2.14)	<0.05	(0.01- 0.01)
Estero Data	Е	Dry	26.60	24.00	7.10	22.43	7.67	8.00	90.00	1.00	0.15	< 0.05	0.04

Stations	Station	Season	Temperature (°C)	TDS (mg/L)	рН	Salinity (UPS)	DO (mg/L)	BOD (mg/L)	COD (mg/L)	O&F (mg/L)	NH <sub>4</sub> (mg/L)	TENS (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)
			(25.40-27.20)	(14.00- 34.00)	(6.88- 8.36)	(21.28- 27.16)	(7.07- 9.00)	(6.00- 8.00)	(70.00- 90.00)	(0.10- 1.90)	(0.12- 0.19)		(0.03- 0.04)
			31.30*	38.00	7.92	34.30*	5.70*	76.00	302.00	1.00	0.78		0.10*
		Rainy	(31.00-31.30)	(4.00- 52.00)	(7.73- 8.29)	(21.28- 27.16)	(4.59- 7.05)	(32.00- 80.00)	(96.00- 384.00)	(0.07- 2.00)	(0.36- 0.98)	<0.05	(0.01- 0.10)
			24.00	10.00	7.28	25.85	5.21	8.00	90.00	0.50	0.14		0.03
Facilidad Pesquera Anconcito	F	Dry	(23.90-24.10)	(8.00- 14.00)	(7.20- 7.30)	(25.40- 25.94)	(2.98- 6.17)	(6.00- 18.00)	(70.00- 160.00)	(0.50- 0.60)	(0.13- 0.16)	< 0.05	(0.03- 0.070)
		Rainy	27.00*	42.00	8.53*	36.00*	6.92*	30.00	92.00	2.00	0.35		0.10
			(26.70-27.60)	(6.00- 52.00)	(7.93- 8.64)	(35.90- 36.20)	(3.21- 7.05)	(25.00- 38.00)	(76.00- 116.00)	(0.40- 2.60)	(0.31- 0.41)	<0.05	(0.01- 0.10)
			24.50	26.00	7.30	24.99	8.16	5.00	60.00	0.00	0.17		0.02
Punta Carnero	G	Dry	(24.40-24.70)	(22.00- 28.00)	(7.17- 7.62)	(20.14- 25.36)	(7.58- 8.16)	(4.00- 30.00)	(84.00- 96.00)	(0.00- 0.70)	(0.15- 5.35)	<0.05	(0.01- 0.08)
	U		26.90*	2.00	8.48*	36.50*	7.80	29.00	88.00	0.40	0.34	<0.05	0.01
		Rainy	(26.60-27.30)	(2.00-	(8.47-	(35.90-	(7.62-	(28.00-	(84.00-	(0.00-	(0.32-		(0.01-

Stations	Station	Season	Temperature (°C)	TDS (mg/L)	рН	Salinity (UPS)	DO (mg/L)	BOD (mg/L)	COD (mg/L)	O&F (mg/L)	NH4 (mg/L)	TENS (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)
				6.00)	8.67)	36.70)	7.85)	32.00)	96.00)	0.40)	0.38)		0.01)
Chipipe			24.70	24.00	7.26	25.25	8.03	8.00	90.00	0.10	0.13		0.03
	Н	Dry	(24.50-24.70)	(22.00- 26.00)	(7.00- 7.86)	(24.96- 25.26)	(7.84- 8.04)	(7.00- 10.00)	(80.00- 100)	(0.10- 0.10)	(0.13- 0.19)	<0.05	(0.02- 0.03)
		Rainy	28.40*	0.00	8.59*	36.00	7.55*	32.00*	96.00*	0.10	0.36	<0.05	0.01
			(27.90-28.50)	(0.00- 0.00)	(8.34- 8.75)	(36.00- 36.10)	(7.55- 7.64)	(30.00- 34.00)	(88.00- 100.00)	(0.00- 0.10)	(0.33- 0.41)		(0.01- 0.01)
			24.40	28.00	7.40	25.55	7.83	4.00	50.00	0.10	0.14		0.02
		Dry	(24.20-27.70)	(26.00- 30.00)	(7.15- 7.46)	(25.22- 25.76)	(7.70- 8.45)	(3.00- 4.00)	(40.00- 50.00)	(0.00- 0.20)	(0.12- 0.15)	< 0.05	(0.01- 0.02)
San Lorenzo	Ι		27.60	0.00	8.69*	36.00*	7.61*	25.00*	76.00*	0.10	0.31		0.01
		Rainy	(27.30-28.00)	(0.00- 0.00)	(8.68- 8.73)	(36.00- 36.10)	(7.44- 7.64)	(22.00- 28.00)	(68.00- 88.00)	(0.10- 0.30)	(0.28- 0.34)	<0.05	(0.01- 0.01)
			24.50	26.00	7.32	25.60	8.91	8.00	90.00	0.30	0.18		0.03
Santa Rosa	J	Dry	(24.40-24.60)	(22.00- 28.00)	(7.25- 8.29)	(25.33- 25.90)	(8.11- 9.00)	(3.00- .00)	(40.00- 90.00)	(0.20- 0.50)	(0.16- 0.20)	< 0.05	(0.01- 0.03)

Stations	Station	Season	Temperature (°C)	TDS (mg/L)	рН	Salinity (UPS)	DO (mg/L)	BOD (mg/L)	COD (mg/L)	O&F (mg/L)	NH <sub>4</sub> (mg/L)	TENS (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)
		Rainy	28.80*	0.00	8.66*	36.10*	7.75	18.00*	56.00	0.00	0.18		0.01
			(27.40-29.00)	(0.00- 24.00)	(8.57- 8.74)	(36.10- 36.20)	(4.70- 7.90)	(16.00- 21.00)	(48.00- 64.00)	(0.00- 1.20)	(0.15- 0.25)	<0.05	(0.01- 0.01)
Palmar			23.90	44.00	7.84	27.76	7.59	12.00	120.00	0.70	0.33		0.03
	K	Dry	(23.60-24.00)	(6.00- 90.00)	(7.67- 7.92)	(27.41- 33.29)	(6.68- 9.00)	(4.00- 15.00)	(50.00- 130.00)	(0.60- 0.90)	(0.28- 0.61)	< 0.05	(0.02- 0.04)
			28.20*	52.00	8.54*	39.50*	7.30	50.00	200.00	0.60	0.62	0.05	0.01
		Rainy	(27.80-28.40)	(42.00- 52.00)	(8.32- 8.56)	(36.70- 46.00)	(6.70- 8.50)	(32.00- 65.00)	(128.00- 260.00)	(0.50- 0.90)	(0.40- 0.81)	<0.05	(0.01- 0.01)
			24.30	30.00	8.10	25.12	8.29	4.00	50.00	0.00	0.22		0.02
		Dry	(24.16-24.60)	(26.00- 32.00)	(7.99- 8.12)	(24.74- 25.15)	(8.19- 8.58)	(3.00- 4.00)	(40.00- 50.00)	(0.00- 0.00)	(0.17- 0.23)	< 0.05	(0.01- 0.02)
Bahia de Ayangue	L	L	28.70*	52.00	8.58	36.40*	7.70	30.00*	116.00*	0.00	0.36		0.01
		Rainy	(28.28-29.40)	(25.00- 52.00)	(8.50- 8.59)	(36.30- 36.40)	(7.60- 7.80)	(28.00- 34.00)	(108.00- 136.00)	(0.00- 0.00)	(0.34- 0.42)	<0.05	(0.01- 0.01)
Portete	М	Dry	24.30	34.00	8.16	24.69	8.74	5.00	60.00	0.10	0.26	< 0.05	0.02

Stations	Station	Season	Temperature (°C)	TDS (mg/L)	рН	Salinity (UPS)	DO (mg/L)	BOD (mg/L)	COD (mg/L)	O&F (mg/L)	NH4 (mg/L)	TENS (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)
			(28.10-29.20)	(30.00- 38.00)	(8.11- 8.17)	(24.60- 24.85)	(8.10- 9.00)	(4.00- 6.00)	(50.00- 70.00)	(0.00- 0.10)	(0.25- 0.38)		(0.02- 0.02)
			28.20*	52.00	8.49	36.20*	7.90	26.00*	104.00	0.10	0.33		0.01
		Rainy	(28.10-29.20)	(52.00- 52.00)	(8.06- 8.53)	(32.70- 36.30)	(7.60- 8.50)	(24.00- 50.00)	(100.00- 332.00)	(0.10- 2.00)	(0.32- 0.86)	<0.05	(0.01- 0.01)
			24.20	0.00	8.16	23.74	8.99	6.00	70.00	0.00	0.21		0.03
	N	Dry	(24.20-24.20)	(0.00- 0.00)	(8.16- 8.16)	(23.74- 23.74)	(8.99- 8.99)	(6.00- 6.00)	(70.00- 70.00)	(0.00- 0.00)	(0.021- 0.021)	<0.05	(0.03- 0.03)
El Acuario		Rainy	28.60	52.00	8.44	36.20	8.50	22.00	92.00	0.00	0.30		0.01
			(28.60-28.60)	(52.00- 52.00)	(8.44- 8.44)	(36.20- 36.20)	(8.50- 8.50)	(22.00- 22.00)	(92.00- 92.00)	(0.00- 0.00)	(0.030- 0.030)	<0.05	(0.01- 0.01)
			26.29	420.00	8.17	34.05	4.83	21.00	232.50	0.65	0.60		0.06
Estero Valdivia	0	Dry	(26.20-26.39)	(142.00- 698.00)	(8.13- 8.22)	(34.02- 34.08)	(2.00- 7.65)	(18.00- 24.00)	(185.00- 280.00)	(0.50- 0.80)	(0.55- 0.65)	<0.05	(0.05- 0.07)
	0		33.20*	310.00	8.24	58.70*	6.60	175.00*	802.50*	0.75	2.03	<0.05	0.00
		Rainy	(32.00-34.40)	(160.00-	(8.14-	(57.20-	(6.60-	(150.00-	(785.00-	(0.60-	(2.03-	10.00	(0.00-

Stations	Station	Season	Temperature (°C)	TDS (mg/L)	рН	Salinity (UPS)	DO (mg/L)	BOD (mg/L)	COD (mg/L)	O&F (mg/L)	NH <sub>4</sub> (mg/L)	TENS (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)
				460.00)	8.35)	60.20)	6.60)	200.00)	820.00)	0.90)	2.03)		0.00)
MPL (Recreational Water Quality Criteria)					6.5- 8.3		5.84- 6.64			Absence		0.5	
MPL (Protection for aquatic life)					6.5- 9.5		5.84- 6.64	20	40	0.3	0.25	0.5	0.01
Kruskall- Wallis P			78.73 <0.001	63.68 <0.001	66.55 <0.001	75.12 <0.001	58.75 0.001	69.60 <0.001	59.14 0.001	55.88 0.002	58.49 0.001		53.48 0.004













