

Title: Non-modifiable factors as moderators of the relationship between physical activity and brain volume: A cross-sectional UK Biobank study

Authors: Belinda M Brown^{1,2,3}, PhD, Jaisalmer de Frutos Lucas^{3,4}, PhD, Tienielle Porter^{3,5,6}, PhD, Natalie Frost¹, PhD, Michael Vacher⁷, PhD, Jeremiah J Peiffer¹, PhD, Simon M Laws^{3,5,6}, PhD.

1. Centre for Healthy Ageing, Health Futures Institute, Murdoch University, Murdoch, Western Australia, Australia
2. Australian Alzheimer's Research Foundation, Sarich Neuroscience Research Institute, Nedlands, Western Australia, Australia
3. Centre for Precision Health, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, 6027, Western Australia, Australia
4. Laboratory of Cognitive and Computational Neuroscience, Center for Biomedical Technology, UPM-UCM, 28223 Pozuelo de Alarcón, Spain
5. Collaborative Genomics and Translation Group, School of Medical and Health Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, 6027, Western Australia, Australia.
6. School of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, Curtin Health Innovation Research Institute, Curtin University, Bentley, Australia
7. Australian e-Health Research Centre, CSIRO, Floreat 6014, Western Australia, Australia.

Statistical analysis: The statistical analysis was undertaken by Belinda Brown and Michael Vacher.

Title character count: 136

Abstract word count: 348

Full text word count: 2935

Number of references: 42

Number of Tables: 4

Number of Figures: 1

Disclosures: All authors report no disclosures.

ABSTRACT

Background: Grey matter atrophy occurs as a function of ageing and is accelerated in dementia. Previous research suggests physical activity attenuates grey matter loss; however, there appears to be individual variability in this effect. Understanding factors that can affect the relationship between physical activity and brain volume may enable prediction of individual response, and aid in identifying those that gain the greatest neural benefits from physical activity. The current study examined the relationship between objectively-measured physical activity and brain volume; and whether this relationship is moderated by age, sex, or *a priori* candidate genetic factors.

Methods: Data from 10,083 men and women (50 years and over) of the UK Biobank were used to examine: 1) the relationship between objectively-measured physical activity and brain volume; and 2) whether the relationship between objectively-measured physical activity and brain volume is moderated by age, sex, brain-derived neurotrophic factor (*BDNF*) Val66Met, or apolipoprotein (*APOE*) ϵ 4 allele carriage. All participants underwent a magnetic resonance imaging scan to quantify grey matter volumes, physical activity monitoring via accelerometry, and genotyping.

Results: Physical activity was associated with total grey matter volume ($B = 0.14$, $p = 0.001$, $q = 0.005$) and right hippocampal volume ($B = 1.45$, $p = 0.008$, $q = 0.016$). The physical activity*sex interaction predicted cortical grey matter ($B = 0.22$, $p = 0.003$, $q = 0.004$), total grey matter ($B = 0.30$, $p < 0.001$, $q = 0.001$), and right hippocampal volume ($B = 3.60$, $p = 0.001$, $q = 0.002$). Post-hoc analyses revealed males received benefit from higher physical activity levels, in terms of greater cortical grey matter volume ($B = 0.13$, $p = 0.01$), total grey matter volume ($B=0.23$, $p < 0.001$), and right hippocampal volume ($B = 3.05$, $p = 0.008$). No moderating effects of age, *APOE* ϵ 4 allele carriage, or *BDNF* Val66Met genotype were observed.

Discussion: Our results indicate that in males, but not females, an association exists between objectively-measured physical activity and grey matter volume. Future research should evaluate longitudinal brain volumetrics to better understand the nature of sex-effects on the relationship between physical activity and brain volume.

INTRODUCTION

Atrophy of grey matter occurs as a function of ageing and is accelerated in neurodegenerative conditions, such as dementia¹. There is no effective pharmaceutical treatment for dementia or cognitive decline that alters the course of disease progression, nor that has been demonstrated to attenuate grey matter loss associated with either ageing or disease. Recent updates from the Lancet Commission on Dementia Prevention² posits nine modifiable risk factors as contributing to dementia risk, one of which is physical inactivity. Indeed, higher levels of habitual physical activity and exercise interventions have both been shown to either attenuate age-related grey matter volume loss³⁻⁵, or in the case of some brain regions (i.e. the hippocampus; brain region involved in learning and memory) contribute to increases in grey matter volume⁶⁻⁹. Nevertheless, there appears to be some distinct heterogeneity in study findings across this field¹⁰⁻¹², and individual variability in response likely influences the relationship between physical activity and brain volume¹³.

Understanding moderators of the relationship between physical activity and brain volume is vital, as it may enable the identification of individuals, or groups of individuals, that gain the greatest neural benefits from physical activity^{13, 14}. A moderating variable is one that changes the effect of an exposure on an outcome, i.e., a variable that influences the relationship between physical activity and brain volume. Moderation is confirmed when the relationship between two variables is different across various levels of the moderating variable. In the case of physical activity and brain volume, a number of potential moderators have been posited and investigated, including non-modifiable between-person factors such as age, sex, and genetics.

Age may influence the relationship between physical activity and brain volume, whereby an effect may only be discernible once reaching, or within, a particular age range. For example, age may influence the effects of physical activity on important mediating molecules, such as brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF)¹⁵. Sex has also emerged as a possible moderator of the relationship between physical activity and brain health. Females tend to be disproportionately affected by Alzheimer's disease, with females observed to have higher rates of incidence, greater accumulation of associated pathologies, and greater decreases in brain volume¹⁶. Based on sex differences in physiological response to physical activity^{17, 18}, it is possible the beneficial effects of physical activity on the brain may differ between males and females. Literature examining the relationship between physical activity and cognition indicates females typically gain more benefits from higher levels of activity¹⁹. Nevertheless, the examination of sex-effects in the relationships between physical activity and brain volume have not been as consistent, with some studies identifying only males²⁰ and others only females^{21, 22} gain benefit from higher physical activity levels.

A substantial literature exists to suggest that salient genetic factors play a role in moderating the relationship between physical activity and brain health. One such potential moderating factor is a single nucleotide polymorphism of the *BDNF* gene, termed Val66Met, which influences the secretion of BDNF: a potent neuromodulator, associated with better brain health and the levels of which are decreased in Alzheimer's disease. Given the independent link between *BDNF* Val66Met carriage and smaller brain volume^{23, 24}, and the potent effect of exercise in increasing circulating BDNF levels²⁵, there is a strong theoretical basis to suggest a moderating effect of this genetic factor. Indeed, one report suggests Val/Val homozygotes, but not Met carriers, have a relationship between higher levels of physical activity and brain volume²⁶. The apolipoprotein (*APOE*) ϵ 4 allele is the greatest known

genetic risk factor for sporadic Alzheimer's disease and is also associated with swifter cognitive decline and smaller brain volume in cognitively normal older adults. To our knowledge only one study ($n = 97$) has examined the moderating effects of *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ carriage on the relationship between physical activity and brain volume, finding that only $\epsilon 4$ carriers had reduced hippocampal atrophy in relation to higher physical activity levels⁴.

Previous studies of moderators of the physical activity and brain volume relationship have examined non-modifiable moderators in isolation, and/or in relatively small samples. The current study will build on prior work by utilising data from a large cohort study (UK Biobank) to examine the moderating effects of a number of non-modifiable factors that may influence the relationship between physical activity and brain volume. We will address two specific aims: 1) Examine the relationship between objectively-measured physical activity and brain volume in the UK Biobank; and 2) Examine whether the relationship between objectively-measured physical activity and brain volume is moderated by age, sex, *BDNF* Val66Met, or *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ allele carriage.

METHODS

Participants

The UK Biobank (<http://ukbiobank.ac.uk/>) is a large prospective cohort study that commenced in 2006 and has collected health data on over 500,000 participants²⁷. Individuals aged 40-69 years were recruited to attend one of 22 data collection sites between 2006 and 2010 for a baseline assessment. Data pertinent to the current study was collected in 2013-2015 (accelerometer data) and 2014 onward (magnetic resonance imaging; MRI, scans).

Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Consents

Ethical approval was provided by the NHS National Research Ethics Committee (11/NW/0382). The current analyses were conducted as a part of the UK Biobank application 45567. All participants provided informed consent prior to participation.

Exclusion and inclusion criteria

The dataset received from UK Biobank contained data from 502,527 participants (eFigure 1). As our research focus is understanding the relationship between physical activity and brain volume in older adults, we only included individuals aged 50 years and older at baseline ($n = 384,648$). In addition, we excluded participants with a diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease, dementia (of any kind), or mild cognitive disorder ($n = 1917$). Finally, only participants with complete accelerometer and volumetric MRI data as well as data required for covariates (outlined in further detail below) were used in the analyses presented here ($n = 10,083$).

Habitual physical activity measurement

Between February 2013 and December 2015, participants of the UK Biobank were invited at random to undergo objective habitual physical activity assessment through wearing an

accelerometer for 7 days. From June 2013, participants that agreed to participate were sent Axivity AX3 wrist-worn triaxial accelerometers. The accelerometer units were programmed to commence data collection at 10am two working days after postage and continue to collect acceleration data during wear-time on the dominant wrist over the following 7 days at 100Hz with a dynamic range of $\pm 8g$. Within the current study, we have used average daily acceleration, adjusted for wear time (in days), as our measure of habitual physical activity.

Structural magnetic resonance imaging

Volumetric MRI data were acquired using a Siemens Skyra 3T scanner (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany), with a standard 32-channel RF receive head coil as per procedures outlined by the UK Biobank²⁸. Briefly, the 3D MPRAGE T1-weighted images underwent acquired pre-processing and analysis using FSL packages (version 5, FMRIB Software Library, Oxford, England). Imaging-derived phenotypes, specifically grey matter volumes, were generated by the image-processing pipeline developed by, and run on behalf of, the UK Biobank²⁹. All brain volume variables used within the current study have been corrected for head size.

Genotyping

Genotyping for the UK Biobank was conducted by Affymetrix on purpose-designed arrays; ~50,000 samples were analysed using the BiLEVE Axiom array and ~450,000 on the Affymetric UK Biobank Axiom array. Details of quality control practices have been described previously³⁰. *BDNF* Val66Met polymorphism was used to classify participants as either Met carriers or Val/Val homozygotes. In addition, participants were identified as either *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ allele carriers or non-carriers.

Demographic and medical data

A number of variables were identified as potential covariates in the relationship between physical activity and brain volume, including education, depression, socioeconomic status, overall health rating, and vascular conditions and diabetes.

Participants reported their highest educational attainment (seven categories provided) and socioeconomic status was estimated using the Townsend deprivation index³¹. Participants were asked whether their doctor had diagnosed them with diabetes, hypertension, myocardial infarction, angina, stroke or hypertension. Overall health was self-reported and scored on a 4-point scale from ‘poor’ to ‘excellent’. For any of the above variables, participants that stated ‘prefer not to answer’ or with missing data, were excluded from the analyses.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted in R (R Core Team; 2013) and Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, IBM, Version 24). P-values from independent variables of interest and interactions were corrected for multiple comparisons using false discovery rate³².

Descriptive statistics were calculated for demographic and outcome variables, and differences across sex, *APOE* ϵ 4 allele and *BDNF* Val66Met polymorphism carriage were examined using independent samples t-tests (continuous variables) and chi-square analyses (categorical variables).

Linear models were used to examine the relationship between habitual objectively-measured physical activity (average daily accelerations; independent variable) and brain volume (dependent variable) in all participants, adjusting for potential confounders: age, sex, body mass index, *APOE* ϵ 4 allele, *BDNF* Val66Met, education, depression, Townsend deprivation index, overall health rating, and doctor diagnosed vascular conditions and diabetes (‘A’

models). The interaction terms physical activity*age, physical activity*sex, physical activity*APOE, physical activity*BDNF were then added to ‘A’ models to examine the moderating effect of non-modifiable factors on the relationship between physical activity and brain volume (‘B’ models).

RESULTS

Examination of health and demographic differences between sexes (Table 1) revealed males were older ($t = 11.0, p < 0.001$), had a higher body mass index ($t = 11.1, p < 0.001$), lower levels of objectively-measured habitual physical activity ($t = 6.6, p < 0.001$), poorer self-reported health ratings ($\chi^2 = 15.4, p < 0.01$), higher prevalence of vascular conditions ($\chi^2 = 143.5, p < 0.001$) and diabetes ($\chi^2 = 25.0, p < 0.001$), compared with female participants. In addition, cross-sectional evaluations of normalised brain volume differences revealed males had smaller volumes of total grey matter ($t = 34.5, p < 0.001$), cortical grey matter ($t = 28.6, p < 0.001$), and right hippocampal volume ($t = 2.1, p < 0.05$; analysis not corrected for age or other covariates). Health and demographic details across *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ and *BDNF* Val66Met are detailed in eTable 1. The genotypic distribution of all polymorphisms of interest did not deviate from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (rs6265, $p = 0.20$; rs429358, $p = 0.43$; rs7412, $p = 0.95$).

Physical activity was associated with larger total grey matter volume ($B = 0.14, p = 0.001, q = 0.005$) and right hippocampal volume ($B = 1.45, p = 0.008, q = 0.016$; Table 3 & 5). When examining factors that may affect the relationship between physical activity and brain volume, only sex was found to have a moderating effect. The physical activity*sex interaction predicted cortical grey matter ($B = 0.22, p = 0.003, q = 0.004$), total grey matter ($B = 0.30, p < 0.001, q = 0.001$) and right hippocampal volume ($B = 3.60, p = 0.001, q = 0.002$; Tables 2-5). Post-hoc analyses, following stratification of the cohort by sex, revealed that only males had an association between physical activity levels and greater cortical grey matter volume ($B = 0.13, p = 0.01$), total grey matter volume ($B = 0.23, p < 0.001$), and right hippocampal volume ($B = 3.05, p < 0.001$; Figures 1A-C). Neither the physical activity*age interaction nor either of physical activity**APOE* or physical activity**BDNF* interactions were significant in predicting any brain volume measure.

DISCUSSION

The aim of the current study was to examine non-modifiable factors as moderators of the relationship between habitual objectively-measured physical activity and brain volume in a large population-based cohort of older adults (aged 50 years and over). In our total dataset of 10,083 older men and women, we found objectively-measured physical activity levels were associated with larger total grey matter volume and right hippocampal volume. In addition, we observed that sex moderated the relationship between physical activity and cortical grey matter, total grey matter, and right hippocampal volume; whereby only males had an association between objectively-measured physical activity and these brain volume measurements. We did not observe any moderating effects of age, *APOE* ϵ 4 allele carriage, or the *BDNF* Val66Met polymorphism on the relationship between physical activity and brain volume.

We report higher physical activity levels are linked with larger total grey matter and right hippocampal volumes in our large sample. Our findings build on earlier work using UK Biobank data that report objectively measured physical activity to be associated with total grey matter volume and both left and right hippocampal volumes in 5272 adults³³. Our contrasting findings regarding left hippocampal volume could be attributed to differences in our samples, e.g., we implemented a minimum age cut-off of 50 years. Nevertheless, the literature regarding effects of physical activity and exercise on both right and left hippocampal volume is complex and inconsistent. Some studies report relationships between physical activity and both left and right hippocampal volumes³⁴, some studies report effects on only the left¹² and others only the right hippocampus¹¹. The mechanisms underlying these differential effects remain to be elucidated; however, it is possible that physical activity-induced BDNF may act differently on the left and right hippocampi in different samples.

Future research should also consider examining the functions of the left and right hippocampus (verbal and visual-spatial memory respectively), as this may provide insight into the functional outcomes of the physical activity-hippocampus relationship³⁵. Indeed, previous work in this field suggests that in natural environments, physical activity has been associated with increases in cognitive demands on spatial orientation and memory, which may underpin the links between physical activity and brain health, and more specifically right hippocampal volume³⁶.

Our study revealed the relationship between physical activity and total grey matter and right hippocampal volumes was confined to males only. The current literature regarding sex differences in the physical activity-brain volume relationship is relatively small. Our findings are consistent with longitudinal work from Barha and colleagues²⁰ who identified higher levels of self-reported walking at baseline was associated with larger hippocampal volume 10 years later in males only, and larger dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in females only. It is possible that different brain regions are affected differently by physical activity in males versus females: this is reflected by functional data suggesting females are more likely to gain benefits from physical activity in terms of executive function (i.e., frontal lobe mediated brain function)³⁷. In addition, BDNF expression is reduced in post-menopausal women, and although this effect can be partially attenuated with structured exercise, females may not achieve the same neurotrophic response as males³⁸. Nevertheless, in a smaller cross-sectional analysis, higher levels of objectively-measured walking were associated with larger hippocampal volume in females only²¹, with a follow-up study indicating this effect was confined to the subiculum³⁹. It is important to note that only 26 males were included in this study, limiting the statistical power required to detect an effect. Although we detected sex as a moderator of the relationship between physical activity and brain volume in our large

sample, this work requires further confirmation utilising longitudinal analyses and exercise interventions to further understand the nature of these sex differences.

Our study did not detect a moderating effect of the *BDNF* Val66Met polymorphism nor the *APOE* ϵ 4 allele on the physical activity and brain volume relationship. This is in contrast to previous work by our group: we identified a link between physical activity and hippocampal volume in *BDNF* Val/Val homozygotes, but not Met carriers²⁶. In addition, other studies have identified moderating effects of the *BDNF* Val66Met polymorphism in the relationship between physical activity and episodic memory (hippocampal-dependent function)^{40, 41}. In regards to the potential moderating effects of the *APOE* ϵ 4 allele, a previous cross-sectional evaluation of cardiorespiratory fitness and brain volume identified no effect of genotype⁴². Yet, over an 18 month follow up, Smith and colleagues identified higher rates of hippocampal atrophy in ϵ 4 carriers that were low active, yet stable hippocampal volume was observed in individuals that were high active⁴. In the current analysis, our large sample ensured we were sufficiently powered to detect moderating effects of genotype; thus, our divergent findings could be attributed to demographic differences of the studied cohorts, or other methodological differences across the studies. Furthermore, it is likely that a myriad of genetic factors influence the relationship between physical activity and the brain. Thus, investigations of combinations of genetic factors, such as in polygenic risk scores, as moderators of the physical activity-brain volume relationship warrant investigation, particularly in large samples.

Our study had some limitations. The causal direction of our findings must be interpreted with caution. More specifically, it is possible that in males only, brain volume may play a role in influencing participation in physical activity. Future longitudinal and interventional research

may aid in elucidating the nature of the moderating effect of sex on the relationship between physical activity and brain volume. Our study investigated sex as a moderator of the relationship between physical activity and brain volume; however, our research is unable to identify *gender* differences (referring to social, environmental and behavioural factors), which may also play a role in the differential effects of physical activity on brain health. Finally, accelerometer data and MRI brain imaging were not conducted at the same timepoint, and thus variation in the time difference between these measures may have influenced our findings. Nevertheless, for privacy issues, and to avoid self-identification, dates of these assessments were not available in order to calculate time between assessments.

Our findings using a large dataset provides further evidence that habitual physical activity levels are associated with larger brain volume. In addition, we found that only men received the benefit in terms of larger total grey matter and right hippocampal volumes. Future research is needed to both understand whether there is variability in response to exercise interventions between the sexes and the potential moderating effect of other genetic factors, in order to design individualised intervention programmes.

Acknowledgements

The data used to generate this work were obtained from the UK Biobank (application 45567). We are grateful to all UK Biobank study participants, who generously donated their time and the UK Biobank team for their work collecting and processing the data. The authors acknowledge Kelsey Sewell (Murdoch University) for assistance with figure preparation.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of UK Biobank participants at baseline (2006-2010) aged over 50 with accelerometer and MRI data, stratified by sex.

| | Females (<i>n</i> = 5396) | Males (<i>n</i> = 4687) | Test statistic |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Age (years) | 58.2 ± 4.9 | 59.3 ± 5.2 | <i>t</i> = 11.0*** |
| Body mass index | 26.0 ± 4.4 | 26.9 ± 3.7 | <i>t</i> = -11.1*** |
| Physical activity (accelerometer daily accelerations) | 28.0 ± 8.3 | 26.9 ± 9.0 | <i>t</i> = 6.6*** |
| Accelerometer wear time (days) | 6.4 ± 1.3 | 6.4 ± 1.4 | <i>t</i> = 0.56 |
| Education | | | |
| College or University degree, % (<i>n</i>) | 43.6 (2355) | 48.1 (2255) | $\chi^2 = 169.8^{***}$ |
| A levels/AS levels or equivalent, % (<i>n</i>) | 13.6 (736) | 11.9 (557) | |
| O levels/DCSEs or equivalent, % (<i>n</i>) | 21.4 (1156) | 16.6 (780) | |
| CSEs or equivalent, % (<i>n</i>) | 3.4 (181) | 3.0 (139) | |
| NVQ/HND/HNC or equivalent, % (<i>n</i>) | 3.3 (180) | 8.1 (381) | |
| Other professional qualification, % (<i>n</i>) | 6.9 (375) | 4.9 (229) | |
| None of the above, % (<i>n</i>) | 7.6 (413) | 7.4 (346) | |
| APOE ε4 carriage % (<i>n</i>) | 27.7 (1493) | 26.9 (1261) | $\chi^2 = 0.74$ |
| BDNF Val66Met carrier % (<i>n</i>) | 34.6 (1867) | 34.3 (1607) | $\chi^2 = 0.11$ |
| Major depression % (<i>n</i>) | 21.7 (1169) | 21.1 (991) | $\chi^2 = 0.40$ |
| Townsend Deprivation Index | -2.0 ± 2.6 | -2.1 ± 2.6 | <i>t</i> = 1.5 |
| Overall health rating | | | |
| Excellent, % (<i>n</i>) | 26.4 (1427) | 25.1 (1177) | $\chi^2 = 15.4^{**}$ |
| Good, % (<i>n</i>) | 60.9 (3286) | 59.8 (2802) | |
| Fair, % (<i>n</i>) | 11.2 (603) | 13.7 (640) | |
| Poor, % (<i>n</i>) | 1.4 (74) | 1.4 (65) | |
| Vascular problems % (<i>n</i>) | 10.9 (591) | 18.0 (843) | $\chi^2 = 143.5^{***}$ |
| Diabetes | 1.8 (96) | 3.3 (155) | $\chi^2 = 25.0^{***}$ |
| Head scaling factor | 1.4 ± 0.1 | 1.2 ± 0.1 | <i>t</i> = 78.1*** |
| Cortical grey matter (normalised; cm³) | 615.9 ± 35.6 | 595.7 ± 35.3 | <i>t</i> = 28.6*** |
| Grey matter (normalised; cm³) | 792.3 ± 40.4 | 764.1 ± 41.2 | <i>t</i> = 34.5*** |
| Left hippocampal volume (normalised; mm³) | 3748.3 ± 419.5 | 3732.1 ± 489.3 | <i>t</i> = 1.8 |
| Right hippocampal volume (normalised; mm³) | 3857.0 ± 417.6 | 3837.1 ± 514.2 | <i>t</i> = 2.1* |

p* < 0.05, *p* < 0.01; ****p* < 0.001; Chi-square analyses for categorical variables and independent sample t-tests were conducted for continuous variables. Abbreviations: APOE,

apolipoprotein E; *BDNF* Val66Met, brain-derived neurotrophic factor Valine66Methionine single nucleotide polymorphism.

Table 2: The relationship between habitual physical activity levels (average acceleration adjusted for time worn) and cortical grey matter volume (normalised for head size scaling factor) from magnetic resonance imaging

| DV: Cortical grey matter volume (cm ³) | Model 1A | | | Model 1B | | |
|--|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | Estimate (B) | LL 95% CI | UL 95% CI | Estimate (B) | LL 95% CI | UL 95% CI |
| PA | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.15 | -0.33 | -1.16 | 0.50 |
| Age | -3.08*** | -3.21 | -2.96 | -3.22*** | -3.62 | -2.81 |
| Sex | -16.37*** | -17.63 | -15.11 | -22.31*** | -26.47 | -18.15 |
| BMI | -0.22** | -0.38 | -0.06 | -0.23** | -0.39 | -0.07 |
| Assessment centre | 0.55*** | 0.43 | 0.67 | 0.55*** | 0.43 | 0.67 |
| APOE ε4 allele carriage | -0.59 | -1.98 | 0.80 | -2.01 | -6.65 | 2.62 |
| BDNF Val66Met | -0.07 | -1.37 | 1.24 | 0.45 | -3.91 | 4.80 |
| Education | -0.21 | -0.43 | 0.02 | -0.21 | -0.43 | 0.02 |
| Depression | 2.58** | 1.05 | 4.12 | 2.58** | 1.05 | 4.11 |
| Townsend Deprivation Index | -0.28* | -0.51 | -0.04 | -0.28* | -0.51 | -0.04 |
| Overall health rating | 0.13 | -0.78 | 1.05 | 0.12 | -0.80 | 1.04 |
| Diabetes | -6.34** | -10.30 | -2.38 | -6.13** | -10.09 | -2.16 |
| Vascular problems | -0.38 | -0.85 | 0.10 | -0.38 | -0.85 | 0.10 |
| PA x Age | | | | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.02 |
| PA x Sex | | | | 0.22** | 0.07 | 0.36 |
| PA x APOE | | | | 0.05 | -0.11 | 0.21 |
| PA x BDNF | | | | -0.02 | -0.17 | 0.13 |
| F-statistic for linear model | | $F_{13,10070} = 275.0^{***}$ | | | $F_{17,10066} = 211.1^{***}$ | |

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$. Abbreviations: 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; APOE ε4, apolipoprotein ε4 allele carriage; B, unstandardised beta value from linear model; BDNF Val66Met, brain-derived neurotrophic factor Valine66Methionine single nucleotide polymorphism; BMI, body mass index; LL, lower limit; UL, upper limit; PA, objectively-quantified physical activity (average accelerations adjusted for wear time).

Table 3: The relationship between habitual physical activity levels (average acceleration adjusted for time worn) and grey matter volume (normalised for head size scaling factor) from magnetic resonance imaging

| DV: Grey matter volume (cm ³) | Model 2A | | | Model 2B | | |
|---|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | Estimate (B) | LL 95% CI | UL 95% CI | Estimate (B) | LL 95% CI | UL 95% CI |
| PA | 0.14** | 0.05 | 0.22 | -0.49 | -1.42 | 0.45 |
| Age | -3.81*** | -3.95 | -3.67 | -4.03*** | -4.48 | -3.57 |
| Sex | -22.88*** | -24.29 | -21.46 | -31.04*** | -35.71 | -26.37 |
| BMI | -0.74*** | -0.92 | -0.55 | -0.75*** | -0.94 | -0.57 |
| Assessment centre | 0.56*** | 0.43 | 0.69 | 0.56*** | 0.43 | 0.69 |
| APOE ε4 allele carriage | -0.40 | -1.96 | 1.17 | -2.56 | -7.76 | 2.65 |
| BDNF Val66Met | -0.34 | -1.80 | 1.13 | -0.28 | -5.17 | 4.62 |
| Education | -0.20 | -0.45 | 0.05 | -0.20 | -0.45 | 0.05 |
| Depression | 2.02* | 0.30 | 3.75 | 2.02* | 0.30 | 3.75 |
| Townsend Deprivation Index | -0.42** | -0.69 | -0.15 | -0.42** | -0.69 | -0.15 |
| Overall health rating | 0.37 | -0.67 | 1.40 | 0.35 | -0.68 | 1.38 |
| Diabetes | -10.91*** | -15.36 | -6.46 | -10.63*** | -15.08 | -6.17 |
| Vascular problems | -0.33 | -0.86 | 0.21 | -0.33 | -0.86 | 0.21 |
| PA x Age | | | | 0.01 | -0.01 | 0.02 |
| PA x Sex | | | | 0.30*** | 0.14 | 0.46 |
| PA x APOE | | | | 0.08 | -0.10 | 0.26 |
| PA x BDNF | | | | 0.00 | -0.17 | 0.17 |
| F-statistic for linear model | | $F_{13,10070} = 365.0^{***}$ | | | $F_{17,10066} = 280.3^{***}$ | |

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$. Abbreviations: 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; APOE ε4, apolipoprotein ε4 allele carriage; B, unstandardised beta value from linear model; BDNF Val66Met, brain-derived neurotrophic factor Valine66Methionine single nucleotide polymorphism; BMI, body mass index; LL, lower limit; UL, upper limit; PA, objectively-quantified physical activity (adjusted average accelerations).

Table 4: The relationship between habitual physical activity levels (average acceleration adjusted for time worn) and left hippocampal volume (normalised for head size scaling factor) from magnetic resonance imaging

| DV: Left hippocampal volume (mm ³) | Model 3A | | | Model 3B | | | |
|--|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--|
| | Estimate (B) | LL 95% CI | UL 95% CI | Estimate (B) | LL 95% CI | UL 95% CI | |
| PA | 0.96 | -0.08 | 1.99 | 0.22 | -11.28 | 11.72 | |
| Age | -22.63*** | -24.36 | -20.90 | -22.71*** | -28.34 | -17.08 | |
| Sex | 14.07 | -3.30 | 31.45 | -18.31 | -75.69 | 39.08 | |
| BMI | -1.16 | -3.40 | 1.08 | -1.24 | -3.48 | 1.00 | |
| Assessment centre | 3.59*** | 1.97 | 5.21 | 3.58*** | 1.96 | 5.19 | |
| APOE ε4 allele carriage | -33.32** | -52.50 | -14.14 | -6.65 | -70.66 | 57.36 | |
| BDNF Val66Met | 11.52 | -6.45 | 29.50 | -6.02 | -66.17 | 54.13 | |
| Education | -0.14 | -3.20 | 2.93 | -0.13 | -3.19 | 2.93 | |
| Depression | 18.79 | -2.37 | 39.96 | 18.98 | -2.20 | 40.15 | |
| Townsend Deprivation Index | -5.25** | -8.53 | -1.96 | -5.25** | -8.53 | -1.96 | |
| Overall health rating | -8.09 | -20.78 | 4.59 | -8.11 | -20.79 | 4.58 | |
| Diabetes | -59.25* | -113.88 | -4.62 | -57.97* | -112.65 | -3.30 | |
| Vascular problems | -9.29** | -15.84 | -2.74 | -9.28** | -15.83 | -2.73 | |
| PA x Age | | | | 0.00 | -0.19 | 0.20 | |
| PA x Sex | | | | 1.18 | -0.82 | 3.17 | |
| PA x APOE | | | | -0.96 | -3.18 | 1.25 | |
| PA x BDNF | | | | 0.63 | -1.45 | 2.72 | |
| F-statistic for linear model | | $F_{13,10061} = 59.2^{***}$ | | | $F_{17,10057} = 45.4^{***}$ | | |

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$. Abbreviations: 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; APOE ε4, apolipoprotein ε4 allele carriage; B, unstandardised beta value from linear model; BDNF Val66Met, brain-derived neurotrophic factor Valine66Methionine single nucleotide polymorphism; BMI, body mass index; LL, lower limit; UL, upper limit; PA, objectively-quantified physical activity (adjusted average accelerations).

Table 4: The relationship between habitual physical activity levels (average acceleration adjusted for time worn) and right hippocampal volume (normalised for head size scaling factor) from magnetic resonance imaging

| DV: Right hippocampal volume (mm ³) | Model 4A | | | Model 4B | | |
|---|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| | Estimate (B) | LL 95% CI | UL 95% CI | Estimate (B) | LL 95% CI | UL 95% CI |
| PA | 1.44** | 0.37 | 2.50 | 4.31 | -7.49 | 16.11 |
| Age | -23.38*** | -25.16 | -21.61 | -21.14*** | -26.92 | -15.37 |
| Sex | 8.53 | -9.30 | 26.36 | -90.17** | -149.03 | -31.30 |
| BMI | 0.16 | -2.13 | 2.46 | -0.05 | -2.35 | 2.25 |
| Assessment centre | 4.23*** | 2.57 | 5.89 | 4.21 | 2.55 | 5.87 |
| APOE ε4 allele carriage | -30.25** | -49.93 | -10.57 | -11.64 | -77.30 | 54.02 |
| BDNF Val66Met | -7.17 | -25.62 | 11.28 | -27.87 | -89.57 | 33.84 |
| Education | 0.51 | -2.63 | 3.66 | 0.53 | -2.62 | 3.67 |
| Depression | 4.90 | -16.83 | 26.62 | 5.23 | -16.49 | 26.95 |
| Townsend Deprivation Index | -3.11 | -6.48 | 0.27 | -3.12 | -6.49 | 0.25 |
| Overall health rating | -11.10 | -24.12 | 1.92 | -11.12 | -24.13 | 1.90 |
| Diabetes | -54.70 | -110.77 | 1.38 | -51.53 | -107.63 | 4.56 |
| Vascular problems | -0.01 | -6.73 | 6.71 | -0.09 | -6.80 | 6.63 |
| PA x Age | | | | -0.08 | -0.29 | 0.12 |
| PA x Sex | | | | 3.59** | 1.55 | 5.63 |
| PA x APOE | | | | -0.66 | -2.93 | 1.61 |
| PA x BDNF | | | | 0.74 | -1.40 | 2.88 |
| F-statistic for linear model | | | $F_{13,10061} = 58.4^{***}$ | | | $F_{17,10057} = 45.5^{***}$ |

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$. Abbreviations: 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; APOE ε4, apolipoprotein ε4 allele carriage; B, unstandardised beta value from linear model; BDNF Val66Met, brain-derived neurotrophic factor Valine66Methionine single nucleotide polymorphism; BMI, body mass index; LL, lower limit; UL, upper limit; PA, objectively-quantified physical activity (adjusted average accelerations).







Figure 1: Interaction between physical activity (adjusted average daily accelerations) and sex on A) Cortical grey matter volume (normalised for head size scaling factor); B) Grey matter volume (normalised for head size scaling factor); C) Right hippocampal volume (normalised for head size scaling factor). Dotted orange line represents males and solid blue line represents females.

References

1. Pichet Binette A, Gonneaud J, Vogel JW, et al. Morphometric network differences in ageing versus Alzheimer's disease dementia. *Brain* 2020;143:635-649.
2. Livingston G, Huntley J, Sommerlad A, et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the Lancet Commission. *Lancet* 2020;396:413-446.
3. Okonkwo OC, Schultz SA, Oh JM, et al. Physical activity attenuates age-related biomarker alterations in preclinical AD. *Neurology* 2014;83:1753-1760.
4. Smith JC, Nielson KA, Woodard JL, et al. Physical activity reduces hippocampal atrophy in elders at genetic risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2014;6:61.
5. Rovio S, Spulber G, Nieminen LJ, et al. The effect of midlife physical activity on structural brain changes in the elderly. *Neurobiol Aging* 2010;31:1927-1936.
6. Erickson KI, Voss MW, Prakash RS, et al. Exercise training increases size of hippocampus and improves memory. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2011;108:3017-3022.
7. Colcombe SJ, Erickson KI, Scalf PE, et al. Aerobic exercise training increases brain volume in aging humans. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2006;61:1166-1170.
8. Niemann C, Godde B, Voelcker-Rehage C. Not only cardiovascular, but also coordinative exercise increases hippocampal volume in older adults. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2014;6:170.
9. Rosano C, Guralnik J, Pahor M, et al. Hippocampal Response to a 24-Month Physical Activity Intervention in Sedentary Older Adults. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2017;25:209-217.
10. Frederiksen KS, Gjerum L, Waldemar G, Hasselbalch SG. Effects of Physical Exercise on Alzheimer's Disease Biomarkers: A Systematic Review of Intervention Studies. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2018;61:359-372.
11. Li MY, Huang MM, Li SZ, Tao J, Zheng GH, Chen LD. The effects of aerobic exercise on the structure and function of DMN-related brain regions: a systematic review. *Int J Neurosci* 2017;127:634-649.
12. Firth J, Stubbs B, Vancampfort D, et al. Effect of aerobic exercise on hippocampal volume in humans: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neuroimage* 2018;166:230-238.
13. Leckie RL, Weinstein AM, Hodzic JC, Erickson KI. Potential moderators of physical activity on brain health. *J Aging Res* 2012;2012:948981.
14. Erickson KI, Hillman C, Stillman CM, et al. Physical Activity, Cognition, and Brain Outcomes: A Review of the 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise* 2019;51:1242-1251.
15. Walsh EI, Smith L, Northey J, Rattray B, Cherbuin N. Towards an understanding of the physical activity-BDNF-cognition triumvirate: A review of associations and dosage. *Ageing Res Rev* 2020;60:101044.
16. Mielke MM, Vemuri P, Rocca WA. Clinical epidemiology of Alzheimer's disease: assessing sex and gender differences. *Clin Epidemiol* 2014;6:37-48.
17. Oydanich M, Babici D, Zhang J, Rynecki N, Vatner DE, Vatner SF. Mechanisms of sex differences in exercise capacity. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2019;316:R832-R838.
18. Hunter SK. Sex differences in human fatigability: mechanisms and insight to physiological responses. *Acta Physiol (Oxf)* 2014;210:768-789.
19. Barha CK, Davis JC, Falck RS, Nagamatsu LS, Liu-Ambrose T. Sex differences in exercise efficacy to improve cognition: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials in older humans. *Front Neuroendocrinol* 2017;46:71-85.

20. Barha CK, Best JR, Rosano C, Yaffe K, Catov JM, Liu-Ambrose T. Sex-Specific Relationship Between Long-Term Maintenance of Physical Activity and Cognition in the Health ABC Study: Potential Role of Hippocampal and Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex Volume. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2020;75:764-770.
21. Varma VR, Chuang YF, Harris GC, Tan EJ, Carlson MC. Low-intensity daily walking activity is associated with hippocampal volume in older adults. *Hippocampus* 2015;25:605-615.
22. Dougherty RJ, Schultz SA, Boots EA, et al. Relationships between cardiorespiratory fitness, hippocampal volume, and episodic memory in a population at risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Behav* 2017;7:e00625.
23. Bueller JA, Aftab M, Sen S, Gomez-Hassan D, Burmeister M, Zubieta JK. BDNF Val66Met allele is associated with reduced hippocampal volume in healthy subjects. *Biol Psychiatry* 2006;59:812-815.
24. Lim YY, Villemagne VL, Laws SM, et al. Effect of BDNF Val66Met on Memory Decline and Hippocampal Atrophy in Prodromal Alzheimer's Disease: A Preliminary Study. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e86498.
25. Liu PZ, Nusslock R. Exercise-Mediated Neurogenesis in the Hippocampus via BDNF. *Frontiers in neuroscience* 2018;12:52.
26. Brown BM, Bourgeat P, Peiffer JJ, et al. Influence of BDNF Val66Met on the relationship between physical activity and brain volume. *Neurology* 2014;83:1345-1352.
27. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, et al. UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS Med* 2015;12:e1001779.
28. Miller KL, Alfaro-Almagro F, Bangerter NK, et al. Multimodal population brain imaging in the UK Biobank prospective epidemiological study. *Nat Neurosci* 2016;19:1523-1536.
29. Alfaro-Almagro F, Jenkinson M, Bangerter NK, et al. Image processing and Quality Control for the first 10,000 brain imaging datasets from UK Biobank. *Neuroimage* 2018;166:400-424.
30. Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, et al. The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. *Nature* 2018;562:203-209.
31. Townsend P, Phillimore P, Beattie A. Health and deprivation. Inequality and the North. *Revista cubana de higiene y epidemiología* 1997;35:48-50.
32. Korthauer K, Kimes PK, Duvallet C, et al. A practical guide to methods controlling false discoveries in computational biology. *Genome Biology* 2019;20:118.
33. Hamer M, Sharma N, Batty GD. Association of objectively measured physical activity with brain structure: UK Biobank study. *J Intern Med* 2018;284:439-443.
34. Erickson KI, Prakash RS, Voss MW, et al. Aerobic fitness is associated with hippocampal volume in elderly humans. *Hippocampus* 2009;19:1030-1039.
35. Burgess N, Maguire EA, O'Keefe J. The human hippocampus and spatial and episodic memory. *Neuron* 2002;35:625-641.
36. Raichlen DA, Alexander GE. Adaptive Capacity: An Evolutionary Neuroscience Model Linking Exercise, Cognition, and Brain Health. *Trends Neurosci* 2017;40:408-421.
37. Thibeau S, McFall GP, Camicioli R, Dixon RA. Physical Activity and Mobility Differentially Predict Nondemented Executive Function Trajectories: Do Sex and APOE Moderate These Associations? *Gerontology* 2019;65:640-648.

38. Bagit A, Hayward GC, MacPherson REK. Exercise and estrogen: common pathways in Alzheimer's disease pathology. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2021;321:E164-E168.
39. Varma VR, Tang X, Carlson MC. Hippocampal sub-regional shape and physical activity in older adults. *Hippocampus* 2016;26:1051-1060.
40. Canivet A, Albinet CT, Andre N, et al. Effects of BDNF polymorphism and physical activity on episodic memory in the elderly: a cross sectional study. *Eur Rev Aging Phys Act* 2015;12:15.
41. Erickson KI, Banducci SE, Weinstein AM, et al. The brain-derived neurotrophic factor Val66Met polymorphism moderates an effect of physical activity on working memory performance. *Psychol Sci* 2013;24:1770-1779.
42. Boots EA, Schultz SA, Oh JM, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness is associated with brain structure, cognition, and mood in a middle-aged cohort at risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Imaging Behav* 2015;9:639-649.

A.**B.****C.****Sex**

— Females

- - Males