Running Title: Shared brain and genetic architectures between mental health and physical activity

Wei Zhang[1], Sarah E. Paul[2], Anderson Winkler[3], Ryan Bogdan[2], Janine D. Bijsterbosch[1]

[1]Radiology Department, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis
[2]Department of Psychological & Brain Sciences, Washington University in St. Louis
[3]National Institute of Mental Health / National Institutes of Health

Abstract

Physical activity is correlated with, and effectively treats various forms of psychopathology. However, whether biological correlates of physical activity and psychopathology are shared remains unclear. Here, we examined the extent to which the neural and genetic architecture of physical activity and mental health are shared. Using data from the UK Biobank (N=6,389), canonical correlation analysis was applied to estimate associations between the amplitude and connectivity strength of sub-networks of three major neurocognitive networks (default mode, DMN; salience, SN; central executive networks, CEN) with accelerometer-derived measures of physical activity and self-reported mental health. We estimated the genetic correlation between mental health and physical activity measures, as well as putative causal relationships by applying linkage disequilibrium score regression, genomic structural equational modeling, and latent causal variable analysis to genome-wide association summary statistics (GWAS N=91,105-500,199). Physical activity and mental health were associated with connectivity strength and amplitude of the DMN, SN, and CEN ($r's \geq 0.12$, $p's < 0.048$). These neural correlates exhibited highly similar loading patterns across mental health and physical activity models even when accounting for their shared variance. This suggests a largely shared brain network architecture between mental health and physical activity. Mental health and physical activity were also genetically correlated ($|rg|=0.085-0.121$), but we found no evidence for causal relationships between them. Collectively, our findings provide empirical evidence that mental health and physical activity have shared brain and genetic architectures and suggest potential candidate sub-networks for future studies on brain mechanisms underlying beneficial effects of physical activity on mental health.

Keywords: mental health, physical exercise, sleep, canonical correlation, multivariate analysis, genetic correlation

1. Introduction

Mental health and physical activity have both been linked to emotion, cognition, and brain correlates[1–4]. Furthermore, physical activity is known to improve various psychiatric conditions[5–7]. Yet, it remains elusive whether mental health and physical activity overlap in neural and genetic architectures.

1.1 Neural correlates of mental health

In addition to structural alterations such as cortical thickness and volumetric sizes[8–10], functional alterations in large-scale brain networks have been consistently implicated in a wide range of psychiatric disorders[11]. Dysfunctional configuration of neurocognitive networks such as the default mode, salience, and central executive networks has been hypothesized to characterize major psychiatric disorders including depression and anxiety (DMN, SN, CEN)[12]. In line with this hypothesis, findings from meta-analyses have shown that core cognitive and affective abnormalities in major depression can be accounted for by hypo-connectivity within the CEN and hyper-connectivity within the DMN[13], together with hypo-connectivity between the control systems (i.e., CEN) and salience, emotion processing systems (i.e., SN)[14]. Similarly, a recent meta-analysis suggests that anxiety disorders are characterized by hypo-connectivity between subcortical limbic circuits that partially overlap with the SN, CEN, and DMN, as well as decoupling between the CEN and DMN[15]. Furthermore, the personality trait neuroticism, which is considered a risk marker for psychopathology, has also been linked to alterations in functional brain networks[16].

1.2 Genetic associations with mental health

Evidence from genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and family-based studies have suggested overlapping genetic architectures across various psychiatric disorders, including anxiety and depression[17–19], which is in line with the highly polygenic nature of psychiatric phenotypes [20]. As such, latent genetic factors appear to capture shared variance across clusters of psychiatric symptoms and improve identification of independent genomic loci[21]. For instance, genomic contributions to disorders such as depression and anxiety are captured by an internalizing genetic factor that is negatively genetically correlated with other relevant health phenotypes, including adverse health outcomes and physical movement patterns[22].

1.3 Neural correlates of physical activity

Physical activity is known to promote resilience to various psychiatric conditions, alleviating symptoms of depression, anxiety, and negative mood[23,24]. At the neural level, physical activity has been shown to promote brain plasticity, leading to structural changes in cerebellum, motor cortex, and hippocampus among other structures [25–27]. Furthermore, fMRI studies of physical activity have reported changes in activity of and functional connectivity between brain areas including hippocampus, parahippocampus, dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, and ventromedial prefrontal cortex that primarily subserve executive functions such as memory, attention, and inhibition[28–32]. At the network level, a 12-month aerobic walking intervention was found to increase resting-state functional connectivity between DMN nodes[33], whereas connectivity in the CEN was found to increase after multiple sessions of high intensity interval training[34]. Interestingly, the intensity of physical exercise appeared to modulate functional connectivity changes in the hub regions of the CEN[35], as well as in the DMN subsystems acutely and after 3 months of training[36]. Additionally, although sleep might not be considered a type of physical activity for its inactive nature, it is closely connected to mental health such that sleep problems have been considered as a risk factor for subsequent development of depressive symptoms[37,38], and disrupted sleep is often seen in patients with various types of mood and anxiety disorders[39–41]. Sleep duration can be accurately measured with ecological sampling approaches such as wrist-worn accelerometers, which have been adopted in medical and mental health research[42,43]. At the neural network level, sleep deprivation has been linked to reduction in functional connectivity within the DMN [44–46], whereas increased sleep duration has been linked to strengthened connectivity within the DMN but reduced connectivity between DMN and SN[47]. Fluctuations in arousal (i.e., indication of drowsiness) during resting-state scan acquisitions have also been linked to the fMRI signal amplitude in sensorimotor networks[48].

1.4 Genetic associations of physical activity

Physical activity has been found to be heritable in twin and family studies, with genetic factors explaining roughly 20-30% variance in physical activity or inactivity[49–52]. This heritability appears to be even higher (i.e., up to 60%) when physical activity is measured by an objective device such as acceleromete[53]. Genetic factors may explain different degrees of variance in

physical activity depending on sex[54] and activity duration[55]. GWAS and candidate gene studies have also identified specific genomic loci implicated in physical activity, with a recent GWAS conducted in the UK Biobank identifying 14 independent loci and gene pathways enriched in neurological disease, brain structure, and cognitive function[52,56–58].

1.5 Shared brain and genetic architectures?

The studies reviewed above showed that mental health and physical activity both involve large-scale brain networks such as the DMN, SN and CEN. Additionally, mental health and physical activity may have partially overlapping genetic architectures, with preliminary evidence showing a significant genetic correlation between internalizing problems and physical movement[22]. As mental health and physical activity are also tightly related at the behavioral level (e.g., emotion, cognition), it is reasonable to speculate that these two constructs may partially overlap in the underlying neurobiological mechanisms. In this study, we aim to determine whether mental health and physical activity have shared variance in brain and genetic architectures.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1 Participants

The UK Biobank is an openly accessible population dataset with neuroimaging data collection, in addition to extensive demographic, behavioral, lifestyle, and cognitive measures[59,60]. An initial sample of N=8,378 participants from the UK Biobank (UKB) was considered for this study. These participants had participated in accelerometer-based physical activity evaluations and visited the assessment center, where the resting-state fMRI and mental health data were acquired. Data quality assurance resulted in exclusion of N=64 participants for insufficient accelerometer data (see details below in section 2.2.2), and N=1,925 participants with considerable missing data in the mental health questionnaire (see details below in section 2.2.1). The final sample had N=6,389 participants with 2,994 (46.9%) females (sample mean age=63.74 ± SD 7.53). All participants provided informed consent. UK Biobank has ethical approval from the North West Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee (MREC). Data access was obtained under UK Biobank application ID 47267.

2.2 Data Acquisition and Preprocessing

2.2.1 General mental health measures

The UKB general mental health questionnaire consisting of 41 items was conducted on the same day as fMRI data acquisition (https://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/showcase/label.cgi?id=100060). This self-reported questionnaire primarily measured depression, anxiety, and neuroticism, as well as subjective well-being. These variables had varying degrees of missing data points partly due to responses such as “do not know”, “prefer not to answer”, or “none of above,” and partly due to question dependencies. To ensure robust model estimation while maximizing statistical power, individual variables or questions that had more than 30% missing values were excluded (N=10; see full descriptions for each included individual question in *Table S1*). A multivariate imputation procedure was then leveraged to handle the missingness in the remaining data. By default, this procedure implements multiple imputations with separate imputation models for each incomplete variable[61]. Predictive mean matching (PMM) approach was employed for imputing continuous variables, which first estimates a linear regression model for the target variable (e.g., Y) from all other variables in the data (e.g., non-Y variables) with complete observations. New coefficients are then drawn from the posterior predictive distribution of the

estimated regression coefficients and used to calculate the predicted values for the missing entries in Y. The predictive values for the observed Y are also calculated using the estimated regression coefficients. Finally, a small set of candidate donors is formed from the observed Y (i.e., usually 3 or 5 donors) that have the closest predicted values to the missing Y, and the observed value from one donor will be randomly selected to replace the missing value[61]. Using PMM, 20 iterations was performed for each incomplete variable of mental health, and the final imputed value for any given missing entry was averaged across all iterations.

As the current mental health questionnaire covers a broad range of multiple constructs, including depression and anxiety symptoms, neuroticism, and subjective well-being, we performed data decomposition using principal component analysis (PCA) on the imputed data to extract the most relevant information about general psychopathology, using a R package (see details below in section 2.4). We retained the top principal components that collectively explained more than 50% variance of the data in the subsequent statistical analyses. PCA loadings of each individual question per component can be found in *Table S1*.

2.2.2 Physical activity measures

Accelerometer data were acquired for a subset of UKB participants during a seven-day monitoring period (https://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/ukb/label.cgi?id=1008). This enabled real-time measuring of physical activity for the participants throughout the entire week. Following a recommendation for quality control [58], data from participants who had less than 72h device wearing time or had no data in each one-hour period of the 24h cycle were excluded (N=64). Using a publicly available machine learning algorithm, we extracted measures of five types of physical activity including sleep, sedentary, walking, light task, and moderate activities[58]. This algorithm applied random forest and hidden Markov models to a 126-dimental vector that represented a range of time and frequency domain features for every non-overlapping 30-sec epoch. The resulting probability of each physical activity was then defined as the count of predicted activity type per 30-sec epoch divvied by the number of epochs[58]. In addition to these probability measures, the average acceleration magnitude, and metabolic equivalents of task (MET) to were included to indicate overall activity intensity. The mean values of these features were calculated across weekdays and weekends, respectively, as well as across the entire monitoring period (i.e., average over weekdays and weekends). To account for variation in each

individual physical activity measure at different time points, all mean values were standardized by standard deviations for each participant. In total, 21 standardized physical activity measures were included in our analyses.

2.2.3 Resting-state fMRI data and brain network measure

Resting-state fMRI was acquired using a multiband sequence with an acceleration factor of 8 (TR=0.735; voxel size=2.4x2.4x2.4mm3). Preprocessing steps included motion correction, grand-mean intensity normalization, high-pass temporal filtering, unwarping and ICA-FIX denoising (Alfaro-Almagro et al., 2018). Full details can be found in UK Biobank Brain Imaging Documentation (https://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/crystal/crystal/docs/brain_mri.pdf).

For this study, we used IDPs (imaging-derived phenotypes) that were generated and released by the UKB (Miller et al., 2016). Specifically, partial connectivity matrices from ICA with dimensionalities of 100 and 25 were considered (i.e., ICA100 and ICA25). Based on the literature, seven nodes or functional parcels in total were selected from the Stanford FIND atlas [63] to construct canonical spatial maps for the three brain networks of interest: the DMN, SN and CEN. Fifty-five and twenty-one signal components derived from ICA100 and ICA25 respectively were examined to identify the best matching components corresponding to each of seven selected network nodes in terms of spatial correlations. In contrast to ICA25, components from ICA100 exhibited higher spatial correlations with the atlas on average (mean r = 0.37) with less spatial overlap between the components. Thus, seven ICA components from ICA100 were identified as the network nodes in our study sample. Pairwise partial correlations between (i.e., node edges), and amplitudes (i.e., temporal fluctuations) of these 7 nodes were used as the brain network variables, resulting in 21 node edges and 7 amplitudes (*see the selected nodes in Figure S1*).

2.3 Statistical Analysis

Separate statistical analyses were performed to examine the shared brain network architecture and genetic architecture between mental health and physical activity (see *Figure 1* for an overview for the relevant variables and analyses).



Figure 1. Overview of variables and analyses. Different sets of variables were involved in independent models for testing brain and genetic associations, respectively. CCA: canonical correlation analysis; LDSR: linkage disequilibrium score regression; gSEM: genomic structural equation modeling; LCV: latent causal variable analysis.

2.3.1 Shared variance between mental health and physical activity

Pearson's correlation was used to identify the shared variance between phenotypes of mental health and physical activity. As we decomposed the data of mental health into principal components, all correlations were performed using the individual-specific component scores. We further calculated the false discovery rate (FDR) to account for multiple testing on all pairwise correlations between mental health and physical activity phenotypes.

2.3.2 Brain Associations with mental health and physical activity

Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) has been recognized as a key tool for population neuroimaging that allows for investigating associations between imaging and non-imaging variables[64]. Here in this study, CCA was performed to investigate the associations of brain measures with physical activity and with mental health separately (i.e., simple CCA models). Specifically, CCA finds a linear combination of brain measures that is maximally correlated with a linear combination of mental health or physical activity variables respectively, as defined in $Y * A = U \sim V = X * B$[65] where Y is the set of brain measures, X the set of mental health or physical

activity measures, *A* and *B* are the linear weights, and *U* and *V* the canonical variables or canonical variate pair. The canonical correlation for each pair of canonical variates is defined as the correlation between *U* and *V*. Canonical loadings that indicate the shared variance between the original observations and canonical variables are calculated as the correlations between *Y* and *U*, or between *X* and *V*.

To further identify unique brain associations with physical activity and with mental health respectively, variance in brain network data explained by one set of variables was partialled out in the CCA model for the other set of variables (i.e., the unique CCA model included physical activity measures as covariates in the model for assessing brain-mental health associations and vice versa). Confounding variables were included in all four CCA models and statistical inference for CCA results was made via 1,000 permutations (i.e., breaking correspondence of participant identity with brain measures and mental health/physical activity measures), as implemented in the permCCA package[64]. Notably, the CCA model with the largest number of non-confounding variables included 28 brain measures and 21 physical activity measures, resulting in a ratio of approximately 130 observations (i.e., individuals) per feature. This is expected to ensure sufficient stability for our study[66].

To further investigate whether the patterns of brain measures in relation to mental health and physical activity overlap, post-hoc analyses were carried out to test the significance of canonical loadings for each individual brain variable. Specifically, we aimed to determine whether the same brain measures contributed significantly to the canonical associations both with mental health and physical activity, and thus could indicate a shared brain basis. These analyses were conducted only for significant canonical variates within each individual CCA model using permutation testing, where correspondence between brain measures and mental health/physical activity measures for each individual participant is shuffled. Canonical loading for each brain variable was recorded per permutation, which resulted in separate null distributions of loadings for each brain variable. The loadings from the true (unpermuted) CCA were then compared against the matching null distributions for each individual brain variable. Statistical significance was determined as the proportion of permuted loadings equal or higher than the observed loadings from the unpermuted analysis, divided by the total number of 1,000 permutations. These permutation-derived p values were further corrected for the number of significant canonical variates within each model (i.e., record the permuted loadings across canonical

variates). To compare brain variable patterns across different CCA models, we matched the first significant canonical variates from each model based on the correlations between the canonical variate for the brain measures (i.e., correlating the vector obtained from the mental health CCA models with the vector obtained from the physical activity CCA models).

To characterize the individual mental health questions and physical activity types in relation to the tested brain associations, we further examined the loading patterns of each individual question and physical activity type for the first canonical variate from all models, without testing for statistical significance.

2.3.3 Shared genetic architecture between mental health and physical activity

Genetic correlations between mental health and physical activity were examined by leveraging GWAS summary statistics for the relevant phenotypes.

2.3.3.1 Summary statistics

The mental health questionnaire used in this study includes items that measure neuroticism, anxiety, subjective well-being, depression, and risk taking. We therefore sought to obtain summary statistics for these psychopathological phenotypes. First, we extracted summary statistics for *Neuroticism* from a GWAS meta-analysis of self-reported neuroticism in the UKB (using the same questions as in our study) and Psychiatric Genetics Consortium (using the NEO-FFI personality inventory)[67]. For *Generalized Anxiety Disorder*, we leveraged summary statistics from a GWAS of self-reported Generalized Anxiety Disorder 2-item scale scores in the Million Veteran Program[68]. We further obtained summary statistics for *Subjective Well-Being* from a GWAS meta-analysis of life satisfaction, positive affect, or both life satisfaction and positive affect across 59 cohorts[69]. For *Major Depressive Disorder*, we meta-analyzed summary statistics from case-control GWAS in the UK Biobank and Psychiatric Genomics Consortium[70] and the Million Veteran Program[71] (see *Supplementary Results* for further details). Lastly, we obtained summary statistics for *Risk Taking* from a GWAS study using the UKB data, which included the same question of risk taking as in our study[72].

Summary statistics for accelerometer data-derived physical activity phenotypes, including moderate activity, overall activity, sedentary activity, walking, and sleep duration were derived

from a GWAS of N=91,105 participants of European ancestry in the UK Biobank[58]. These phenotypes were also included in our brain association analyses.

Please refer to *Table 1* for an overview for all the summary statistics used in this study.

Table 1. Summary statistics from GWAS studies.

Reference	Phenotype	Dataset	Sample Size
Nagel et al 2018[67]	Neuroticism	UK Biobank & Psychiatric Genetics Consortium	390,279
Levey et al 2020[68]	GAD	Million Veteran Program	199,611
Okbay et al 2016[69]	SWB	Meta-analysis across 59 cohorts	298,420
Howard et al 2019[70]	MDD	UK Biobank & Psychiatric Genomics Consortium	500,199
Levey et al 2021[71]		Million Veteran Program	250,215
Linnér et al 2019[72]	Risk-taking	UK Biobank	431,126
Doherty et al 2018[58]	Physical activities	UK Biobank	91,105

GAD = general anxiety disorder; SWB = subjective well-being; MDD = major depressive disorder

2.3.3.2 Genetic correlations

We used linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSR) and genomic structural equation modeling (gSEM) to test whether the genomic architecture associated with general mental health is shared with physical activity. LDSR leverages GWAS summary statistics to estimate genetic correlations by regressing the SNP statistics on the SNP linkage disequilibrium (LD) scores, or correlations between nearby genomic loci due to population stratification (i.e., systematic differences in allele frequencies due to differences in ancestry). gSEM characterizes the latent genetic architecture across phenotypes based on the LDSR-derived genetic correlation matrices [21]. To this end, we first applied LDSR to existing GWAS summary statistics of psychopathological phenotypes (i.e., neuroticism, generalized anxiety disorder, subjective well-being, major depressive disorder, and risk taking) and physical activity phenotypes (i.e., overall activity, moderate activity, sedentary activity, sleep duration, and walking), respectively, to estimate pairwise genetic correlations within each construct (i.e., within mental health and within physical activity respectively). We also examined genetic correlations between mental health

phenotypes and physical activity phenotypes adjusted for sex and BMI. We then applied gSEM to the covariance matrix of psychopathology and that of physical activity separately, allowing one single latent factor to load freely within each model. Metrics indicating model fit (i.e., CFI, comparative fit index; SRMR, standardized root mean squared residual) and factor loadings from each of these models were used to determine whether one common genetic factor fit the physical activity and mental health data well, respectively.

Because our results indicated poor model fit for some gSEM analyses (see details below in Results section 3.3), we focused on the model of mental health, where "risk-taking" was excluded to generate a latent factor of "negative affect" across other phenotypes. Specifically, we explored genetic correlations between the latent factor of "negative affect" (i.e., without risk-taking) and each of the five physical activity phenotypes. In addition, we examined genetic correlations between "risk-taking" alone and each individual physical activity phenotype, using LDSR. False discovery rate correction was used to correct for multiple testing (N=10 tests). We also repeated analyses that returned significant results, with adjustment for sex and BMI. Adjusted summary statistics from Doherty and colleagues (2018) were used in these analyses.

2.3.3.3 Causal relationships

To examine plausible causal associations between physical activity phenotypes and negative affect, we conducted Latent Causal Variable Analysis (LCV). This approach finds a latent variable that mediates the genetic correlation between two traits, such as negative affect and sleep duration. Generally, if the latent variable has a stronger genetic correlation with trait 1 (e.g., sleep duration) than with trait 2 (e.g., negative affect), part of the genetic component of trait 1 is thought to be causal for trait 2. This partial causality can be quantified using the genetic causality proportion (GCP) of trait 1 (sleep duration) on trait 2 (negative affect), which can range between 0 (no partial genetic causality) and 1 (full genetic causality; O'Connor and Price, 2018).

2.4 Confounding variables

Based on the literature, BMI, smoking and drinking status were included as confounding factors in all statistical analyses for brain associations[74,75]. Age, sex, head motion during rs-fMRI acquisition (i.e., mean frame-wise displacement), time difference in days between accelerometer recording (i.e., start date) and assessment center visit date (i.e., acquisition date for both mental

health questionnaire and rs-fMRI), as well as the scanning site were further included. Due to varying degrees of missingness in the confound variables (i.e., up to 22%), the same imputation procedure, as described in *Section 2.2.2*, was performed except that additional usage of multinomial logistic regression was employed to impute categorical data with more than two levels (i.e., smoking and drinking status). Complete observations from all variables including the confounding variables were used in the imputation procedure. When calculating the final predicted values to replace missing data, the predicted values for continuous variables were averaged across 20 iterations and the level with the highest count across iterations was selected. All categorical variables after imputation were dummy coded for subsequent statistical analyses. In the genetic correlation analyses, to ensure robust test effects, we repeated models with significant results including sex and BMI as covariates.

2.5 Data and Code Availability

The UK Biobank data used in this study can be accessed by researchers upon application (https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/register-apply). A machine learning algorithm shared on github was used to extract physical activity measures (https://github.com/activityMonitoring/biobankAccelerometerAnalysis). The Matlab code for running permutation inference for CCA are also available on github, including the new extension for testing canonical variable loadings (https://github.com/andersonwinkler/PermCCA). We performed Pearson's correlations and imputations in R (version 4.1.0; R Core Team, 2021), using basic *stat* function and *MICE* package (van Buuren and Groothuis-Oudshoorn, 2011), respectively. Genetic analyses including LDSR and gSEM were performed using the GenomicSEM package also in R [21], with code available on github (https://github.com/GenomicSEM/GenomicSEM). LCV was performed using the open-source LCV software (https://github.com/lukejoconnor/LCV).

3. Results

3.1 Correlations between mental health and physical activity measures

In this sample, phenotypic measures of mental health and physical activity demonstrated overall small but significant correlations, with coefficients ranging between –0.08 and 0.11 (FDR-corrected p-values ≤ 0.045). The overall coefficient patterns were largely consistent for correlations between physical activity and mental health measures despite of minor differences across different time windows (i.e., overall, weekdays, weekend; see full correlations in Table S2).

3.2 Shared neural correlates of mental health and physical activity

3.2.1 Brain associations with mental health and physical activity

In simple CCA models (i.e., without accounting for the potentially shared variance in brain measures between physical activity and mental health), 2 and 3 significant canonical variate pairs were observed respectively for brain-mental health (r_1=0.16, p_1=0.001; r_2=0.12, p_2=0.001) and brain-physical activity associations (r_1=0.23, p_1=0.001; r_2=0.15, p_2=0.001; r_3=0.13, p_3=0.047). IWhen controlling for the shared variance in brain measures between mental health and physical activity (i.e., unique models), we found 2 significant canonical variates for both brain-mental health (r_1=0.14, p_1=0.001; r_2=0.12, p_2=0.002) and brain-physical activity associations (r_1=0.21, p_1=0.001; r_2=0.15, p_2=0.001), with slightly decreased canonical correlation coefficients comparing to those from the simple models. The canonical variates for brain measures after accounting for the shared variance (i.e., from the unique models) mapped well with those from the simple models, as indicated by correlation coefficients between brain canonical variables (i.e., □; all r's > 0.96; see full results in *Table S3*).

3.2.2 Canonical loadings of brain measures

Findings from the post-hoc analyses on the significant canonical variates showed considerable overlap between the brain measures with significant loadings associated with mental health and with physical activity. Overall, the amplitude of nodes that indicates the averaged signal fluctuations in each node over time loaded higher than the edges, with the highest loadings on the amplitude of dorsal DMN or left CEN for the first canonical variates in both the mental health and physical activity models (*permuted p-values* ≤ 0.001; *Figure 2*). The similar loading

pattern of all brain measures were largely retained even when the shared variance was partialled out for mental health and for physical activity, respectively, in the unique models (*permuted* p-values ≤ 0.035). In addition to amplitude, connectivity between the dorsal DMN and left CEN (i.e., node edge) also exhibited statistically significant loadings in the first canonical variates of both the mental health and the physical activity models (simple and unique models; *permuted* p-values ≤ 0.001; *Figure 2*). The brain variable loadings for the second canonical variate were highly similar between simple and unique models for either the mental health or physical activity models, with the amplitude and node edges showing evenly important involvement. Yet, the patterns of these loadings differed between the mental health and physical activity model (*Figure S2*).



Figure 2. Canonical loadings of brain measures on the first canonical variates. These loadings represent the linear correlation between the original brain measures (Y) and the first canonical variate (U) per model. Color coding was made for brain variable names along the Y axis (i.e., node edges in gray with "-" between node names and amplitude in orange), and for the bars representing canonical loadings (i.e., significance in cyan, insignificance in yellow). Simple and unique models differ in whether the model accounted for the shared variance in brain

measures between mental health and physical activity. vDMN = ventral default mode network; R/L CEN = right/ left central executive network; PCu = precuneus; a/p SN = anterior/posterior salience network.

3.2.3 Canonical loadings of mental health questions and physical activities

Canonical loadings of both individual mental health questions and physical activity types for the first canonical variate also exhibited similar patterns between the simple and unique models (*Figure S3*). In the models for brain-mental health associations, “risk taking” and “ever irritable/ argumentative for 2 days” had the highest loadings in both simple and unique models, whereas in the models for brain-physical activity associations, “walking” in all time windows (i.e., overall, weekdays, weekend) showed the greatest importance across models. Interestingly, among all physical activity types, only “sleep” showed the opposite direction in canonical loadings (*Figure S3*).

3.3 Genetic correlations

3.3.1 Genetic correlations for individual phenotypes

Pairwise LDSR was performed separately for psychopathological phenotypes and physical activity phenotypes. All results were significant after controlling for multiple comparisons, except for the genetic correlations between neuroticism and risk-taking (rg = 0.010, p = 0.633), and between generalized anxiety disorder and risk-taking (rg = 0.0677, p = 0.0472, *FDR-corrected p-value* = 0.0524; *Figure 3*). Specifically, among psychopathological phenotypes, the smallest significant genetic correlation was observed for subjective well-being and risk-taking (rg = -0.117, p = 1.13e-03, *FDR-corrected p-value* = 1.41e-03), and the largest effect was observed for MDD and generalized anxiety disorder (rg = 0.768, p = 2.62e-90, *FDR-corrected p-value* = 1.31e-89). For physical activity, genetic correlations ranged from -0.217 (p = 1.03e-04, *FDR-corrected p-value* = 1.03e-04) for sleep duration and walking to 0.796 (p = 1.77e-31, *FDR-corrected p-value* = 8.85e-31) for moderate and overall activity (*Figure 3*). Physical activity phenotypes adjusted for sex and BMI largely recapitulated these results, with genetic correlations ranging from -0.209 (p = 2.49e-04, *FDR-corrected p-value* = 2.49e-04) for sleep duration and walking, to 0.780 (p = 2.12e-23, *FDR-corrected p-value* = 1.06e-22) for moderate and overall activities.



Figure 3. Heatmap of bivariate genetic correlations. Pairwise genetic correlations were calculated separately for phenotypes of mental health (A) and those of physical activity (B). rg = genetic correlation coefficient, GAD = Generalized Anxiety Disorder, MDD = Major Depressive Disorder, Risk = Risk Tolerance, SWB = Subjective Well-Being.

3.3.2 Genetic correlations for latent factors

Analyses using gSEM across all mental health phenotypes returned results indicating suboptimal estimations with a low factor loading on risk taking from the model for a general psychopathology latent factor (standardized loading = 0.12), which likely reflected its conceptually distinct construct from all other phenotypes (i.e., depression, anxiety, neuroticism, and subjective well-being). Additionally, initial gSEM results for physical activity phenotypes indicated overall poor model fit (CFI = 0.569, SRMR = 0.160; *Figure S4*). Thus, we performed gSEM for psychopathological phenotypes grouped as one "negative affect" latent factor after excluding "risk-taking", with an effective sample size of 571,170 and good model fit (CFI = 0.987, SRMR = 0.0535; *Figure S5*). After correction for multiple testing, genetic variance in negative affect was significantly and positively correlated with sleep duration (*rg* = 0.121, *p* = 1.25e-05, *FDR-corrected p* = 1.25e-04), and negatively correlated with moderate (*rg* = -0.117, *p* = 1.33e-03, *FDR-corrected p* = 4.43e-03) and overall activity (*rg* = -0.085, *p* = 7.70e-04, *FDR-corrected p* = 3.85e-03; *Figure 4;* also see the results for a latent negative affect factor including "risk-taking" in *the Supplementary Results*). No significant genetic correlation was observed between negative affect and sedentary activity or walking after multiple comparison corrections

(|rgs| ≤ 0.062, p-values ≥ 0.036, FDR-corrected p-values ≥ 0.076; *Table 2*). Post-hoc analyses revealed that after adjustment for sex and BMI, only the genetic correlation between negative affect and sleep duration remained significant (*rg* = 0.122, *p* = 9.38e-06). Using LDSR, we found no significant correlations between risk-taking and any physical activity phenotype (|rgs| ≤ 0.074, *p*s ≥ 0.0511).



Figure 4. Genetic correlations between negative affect and physical activity phenotypes. Significant genetic correlations with negative affect were observed for moderate (A), overall activities (B), and sleep duration (C). The latent negative affect factor loaded positively on neuroticism, major depression disorder (MDD) and general anxiety disorder (GAD) phenotypes, but negatively on the subjective well-being (SWB) phenotype.

Table 2. Genetic correlations between mental health and physical activity phenotypes.

Mental Health Phenotype	**Physical Activity Phenotype**	***rg***	***p***	***pFDR***
Risk Tolerance	Moderate	0.072	0.088	0.126
	Overall	0.031	0.311	0.346
	Sedentary	0.*074*	0.038	0.076
	Sleep Duration	-0.067	0.051	0.085
	Walking	0.041	0.300	0.346
Negative Affect	Moderate	**-0.117**	1.33e-3	4.43e-3
	Overall	**-0.085**	7.70e-4	3.85e-3
	Sedentary	*-0.062*	0.036	0.076
	Sleep Duration	**0.121**	1.25e-5	1.25e-4
	Walking	-0.029	0.383	0.383

Note, Significant results after FDR corrections were highlighted in bold. *rg* = genetic correlation, *pFDR* = FDR-corrected p-value.

3.3.3 Latent Causal Variable Analysis.

Results from the LCV analyses did not indicate causal relationships between any physical activity phenotypes and negative affect, in either direction (|GCPs| < 0.425; ps > 0.163).

4. Discussion

In this study, we investigated whether mental health and physical activity have shared brain and genetic architectures using the UK Biobank cohort. Our findings showed significant associations of mental health and physical activity separately with a set of brain measures that represent the connectivity strength and amplitude of nodes from the DMN, SN and CEN. Critically, these significant associations exhibited highly similar patterns of brain variable loadings across mental health and physical activity models even when the shared variance between these two constructs was accounted for, suggesting a potential overlap in brain network architecture between these two constructs. Further analyses examining genetic correlations for mental health and physical activity showed that negative affect exhibited significant genetic correlations with several physical activity types, of which sleep duration demonstrated the strongest genetic correlation that remained significant after controlling for BMI and sex effects. Together, these results support the presence of shared multivariate brain and genetic architectures between mental health and physical activity.

The three intrinsic brain networks, namely the DMN, SN and CEN, have been consistently implicated in a wide range of psychiatric disorders including major depression and anxiety[12]. Interestingly, connections between or the configurations of these networks have also been associated with physical exercises[76,77]. The current study therefore focused specifically on the subnetworks or nodes from these large-scale networks and used the amplitude and connectivity strength (i.e., node edge) to examine the associations of these network nodes with mental health and with physical activity, respectively. In line with the literature, we observed significant multivariate associations for all three networks with either mental health or physical activity, and significant loadings on most of the network nodes. In particular, the dorsal DMN and left CEN showed the greatest involvement in the obsecrated brain associations with both mental health and physical activity (*Figure 2*). In this study, the dorsal DMN subnetwork primarily consisted of the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) and the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), the two brain areas that are commonly considered as the core subsystem of the DMN[78]. Similarly, the CEN node here included two most typical hub regions: the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC) and posterior parietal cortex (PPC) for each individual hemisphere (*Figure S1*). These major DMN and CEN nodes have been implicated in various mental illnesses including depression and anxiety. For example, the PCC and mPFC have been suggested to collectively support multiple

cognitive functions including decision making and memory[79], the impairment of which has often been reported in patients with major depression and anxiety disorders[80–82]. Additionally, the dlPFC is known to be involved in emotion regulation [83–85] and dysfunction of this region is often seen in abnormal processing of emotional experiences in patients with depressive and anxiety symptoms[86,87]. As for physical activity, increased dlPFC activity has been observed after acute physical exercises in participants with higher scores in the Stroop test[88], whereas the involvement of the DMN subsystems in the medial temporal lobe (MTL) including the hippocampus and its connection with the medial PFC are often observed in relation to enhanced memory after physical exercises[33,89,90]. In our findings, the amplitude of dorsal DMN and left CEN that indicated the temporal fluctuations of intra-node interactions (i.e., variance in the connections between PCC and vmPFC or between dlPFC and PCC), as well as the connectivity strength between these two nodes, showed significantly high loadings for the most critical association between brain measures and mental health measures (i.e., the first canonical variate). These observations are in line with separate literature on mental health and physical activity, and provide empirical evidence that mental health and physical activity may share brain architecture involved in major cognitive functions.

Interestingly, mental health and physical activity also appear to have partially overlapping genetic architectures. In line with previous reports that internalizing problems are negatively genetically correlated with physical movement[22], we showed that a latent negative affect factor capturing genetic covariance between subjective well-being, neuroticism, major depressive disorder, and generalized anxiety disorder was negatively genetically correlated with overall physical activity as well as a more fine-grained phenotype of moderate activity, and positively genetically correlated with sleep duration. Protective effects of physical activity on mental health have long been documented, as have negative health consequences of psychiatric disorders[5–7,91]. Here, we demonstrate that these relationships can be partially explained by shared genetic predisposition, although results from our latent causal variable analysis indicate that these associations do not reflect causal influences. Interestingly, with the adjustment of sex and BMI, only the correlation with sleep duration remained significant. Sleep duration also showed the lowest loading onto a latent physical activity genetic factor (*Figure S4*). These results suggest that the sleep phenotype is somewhat distinct from the remaining physical activity phenotypes and that the shared genetic architecture between negative affect and sleep duration is more

pronounced than that between negative affect and the degree of daily physical or sedentary activity. This is in line with the frequent documentation of symptomatic sleep disturbances across forms of psychopathology, including depression and anxiety[92,93], even in children[94].

Despite being the first to jointly investigate the shared brain network architecture and genetic basis of mental health and physical activity in a large population cohort, our study has some limitations. First, the brain measures in our study are derived from resting-state fMRI measures. Although our choice reflected a rich literature that has implicated these measures in both mental health and physical activity, inclusion of multimodal brain measures such as structural gray matter volume, cortical thickness, and white matter integrity can provide multiple aspects of brain architecture in relation to mental health and physical activity, and thus may be of interest for future investigations. Second, although the accelerometer recording took place prior to the acquisition of resting-state fMRI and mental health assessment for most of the participants in our study (i.e., 96%), the degrees of time difference between these measurements varied greatly at the individual level (i.e., ranging between -473 to 2281 days). This time discrepancy was accounted for in all CCA models as a covariate and cautions should be taken when interpreting the observed brain associations with reference to time effects.

In conclusion, our study jointly analyzed resting-state network measures and genetic correlations in a large cohort to test the hypothesis of a shared neurobiological basis of mental health and physical activity. Our findings revealed that multivariate patterns of brain correlates were highly similar between mental health and physical activity and highlighted genetic correlations between mental health (negative affect) and overall physical activity, moderate activity levels, and sleep duration. Taken together, these findings point towards neural and genetic mechanisms that may subserve the protective influence of physical exercise and sleep on mental health.

Acknowledgements
JDB is supported by the NIH (1 R34 NS118618-01) and the McDonnell Center for Systems Neuroscience. SEP is supported by the NIH (1 F31 AA029934-01).

Reference

1 Zhang Z, Chen W. A Systematic Review of the Relationship Between Physical Activity and Happiness. J. Happiness Stud. 2019. doi:10.1007/s10902-018-9976-0.

2 Fernandes J, Arida RM, Gomez-Pinilla F. Physical exercise as an epigenetic modulator of brain plasticity and cognition. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 2017. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.06.012.

3 Kays JL, Hurley RA, Taber KH. The dynamic brain: Neuroplasticity and mental health. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2012. doi:10.1176/appi.neuropsych.12050109.

4 Millan MJ, Agid Y, Brüne M, Bullmore ET, Carter CS, Clayton NS *et al.* Cognitive dysfunction in psychiatric disorders: Characteristics, causes and the quest for improved therapy. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2012. doi:10.1038/nrd3628.

5 Biddle S. Physical activity and mental health: Evidence is growing. World Psychiatry. 2016. doi:10.1002/wps.20331.

6 Deslandes A, Moraes H, Ferreira C, Veiga H, Silveira H, Mouta R *et al.* Exercise and mental health: Many reasons to move. Neuropsychobiology. 2009. doi:10.1159/000223730.

7 Heinze K, Cumming J, Dosanjh A, Palin S, Poulton S, Bagshaw AP *et al.* Neurobiological evidence of longer-term physical activity interventions on mental health outcomes and cognition in young people: A systematic review of randomised controlled trials. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 2021. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.10.014.

8 Besteher B, Gaser C, Nenadić I. Brain Structure and Subclinical Symptoms: A Dimensional Perspective of Psychopathology in the Depression and Anxiety Spectrum. Neuropsychobiology. 2020. doi:10.1159/000501024.

9 Patel Y, Parker N, Shin J, Howard D, French L, Thomopoulos SI *et al.* Virtual Histology of Cortical Thickness and Shared Neurobiology in 6 Psychiatric Disorders. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2021. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.2694.

10 Van Tol MJ, Van Der Wee NJA, Van Den Heuvel OA, Nielen MMA, Demenescu LR, Aleman A *et al.* Regional brain volume in depression and anxiety disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2010. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.121.

11 Woodward ND, Cascio CJ. Resting-state functional connectivity in psychiatric disorders. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2015; **72**: 743–744.

12 Menon V. Large-scale brain networks and psychopathology: A unifying triple network model. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2011; **15**: 483–506.

13 Tozzi L, Zhang X, Chesnut M, Holt-Gosselin B, Ramirez CA, Williams LM. Reduced functional connectivity of default mode network subsystems in depression: Meta-analytic evidence and relationship with trait rumination. *NeuroImage Clin* 2021. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2021.102570.

14 Kaiser RH, Andrews-Hanna JR, Wager TD, Pizzagalli DA. Large-Scale Network Dysfunction in Major Depressive Disorder. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2015. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.0071.

15 Xu J, Van Dam NT, Feng C, Luo Y, Ai H, Gu R *et al.* Anxious brain networks: A coordinate-based activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis of resting-state functional connectivity studies in anxiety. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 2019. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.11.005.

16 Servaas MN, Geerligs L, Renken RJ, Marsman JBC, Ormel J, Riese H *et al.* Connectomics and neuroticism: An altered functional network organization. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2015. doi:10.1038/npp.2014.169.

17 Anttila V, Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Walters RK, Bras J, Duncan L *et al.* Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. *Science (80-)* 2018. doi:10.1126/science.aap8757.

18 Lee SH, Ripke S, Neale BM, Faraone S V., Purcell SM, Perlis RH *et al.* Genetic relationship between five psychiatric disorders estimated from genome-wide SNPs. *Nat Genet* 2013. doi:10.1038/ng.2711.

19 Taylor MJ, Martin J, Lu Y, Brikell I, Lundström S, Larsson H *et al.* Association of Genetic Risk Factors for Psychiatric Disorders and Traits of These Disorders in a Swedish Population Twin Sample. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2019. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.3652.

20 Smoller JW, Andreassen OA, Edenberg HJ, Faraone S V., Glatt SJ, Kendler KS. Psychiatric genetics and the structure of psychopathology. Mol. Psychiatry. 2019. doi:10.1038/s41380-017-0010-4.

21 Grotzinger AD, Rhemtulla M, de Vlaming R, Ritchie SJ, Mallard TT, Hill WD *et al.* Genomic structural equation modelling provides insights into the multivariate genetic architecture of complex traits. *Nat Hum Behav* 2019. doi:10.1038/s41562-019-0566-x.

22 Grotzinger AD, Mallard TT, Akingbuwa WA, Ip HF, Adams MJ, Lewis CM *et al.* Genetic architecture of 11 major psychiatric disorders at biobehavioral, functional genomic, and molecular genetic levels of analysis. medRxiv. 2020. doi:10.1101/2020.09.22.20196089.

23 Anderson E, Shivakumar G. Effects of exercise and physical activity on anxiety. *Front Psychiatry* 2013. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2013.00027.

24 Blumenthal JA, Babyak MA, Doraiswamy PM, Watkins L, Hoffman BM, Barbour KA *et al.* Exercise and pharmacotherapy in the treatment of major depressive disorder. *Psychosom Med* 2007. doi:10.1097/PSY.0b013e318148c19a.

25 Thomas AG, Dennis A, Rawlings NB, Stagg CJ, Matthews L, Morris M *et al.* Multi-modal characterization of rapid anterior hippocampal volume increase associated with aerobic exercise. *Neuroimage* 2016. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.090.

26 Thomas AG, Dennis A, Bandettini PA, Johansen-Berg H. The effects of aerobic activity on brain structure. Front. Psychol. 2012. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00086.

27 Sexton CE, Betts JF, Dennis A, Doherty A, Leeson P, Holloway C *et al.* The effects of an aerobic training intervention on cognition, grey matter volumes and white matter microstructure. *Physiol Behav* 2020. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2020.112923.

28 Colcombe SJ, Kramer AF, Erickson KI, Scalf P, McAuley E, Cohen NJ *et al.* Cardiovascular fitness, cortical plasticity, and aging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004. doi:10.1073/pnas.0400266101.

29 Herold F, Aye N, Lehmann N, Taubert M, Müller NG. The contribution of functional magnetic resonance imaging to the understanding of the effects of acute physical exercise on cognition. *Brain Sci* 2020. doi:10.3390/brainsci10030175.

30 Ikuta T, Loprinzi PD. Association of cardiorespiratory fitness on interhemispheric hippocampal and parahippocampal functional connectivity. *Eur J Neurosci* 2019. doi:10.1111/ejn.14366.

31 Talukdar T, Nikolaidis A, Zwilling CE, Paul EJ, Hillman CH, Cohen NJ *et al.* Aerobic fitness explains individual differences in the functional brain connectome of healthy young adults. *Cereb Cortex* 2018. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhx232.

32 Weng TB, Pierce GL, Darling WG, Falk D, Magnotta VA, Voss MW. The Acute Effects of Aerobic Exercise on the Functional Connectivity of Human Brain Networks. *Brain Plast* 2016. doi:10.3233/bpl-160039.

33 Voss MW, Prakash RS, Erickson KI, Basak C, Chaddock L, Kim JS *et al.* Plasticity of brain networks in a randomized intervention trial of exercise training in older adults. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2010. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2010.00032.

34 Greeley B, Chau B, Jones CB, Neva JL, Kraeutner SN, Campbell KL *et al.* Multiple bouts of high-intensity interval exercise reverse age-related functional connectivity disruptions without affecting motor learning in older adults. *Sci Rep* 2021. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-96333-4.

35 Schmitt A, Upadhyay N, Martin JA, Rojas S, Strüder HK, Boecker H. Modulation of Distinct Intrinsic Resting State Brain Networks by Acute Exercise Bouts of Differing Intensity. *Brain Plast* 2019. doi:10.3233/bpl-190081.

36 Voss MW, Weng TB, Narayana-Kumanan K, Cole RC, Wharff C, Reist L *et al.* Acute exercise effects predict training change in cognition and connectivity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2020. doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000002115.

37 Nutt DJ, Wilson S, Paterson L. Sleep disorders as core symptoms of depression. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 2008. doi:10.31887/dcns.2008.10.3/dnutt.

38 Riemann D, Krone LB, Wulff K, Nissen C. Sleep, insomnia, and depression.

Neuropsychopharmacology. 2020. doi:10.1038/s41386-019-0411-y.

39 Cox RC, Olatunji BO. Sleep in the anxiety-related disorders: A meta-analysis of subjective and objective research. Sleep Med. Rev. 2020. doi:10.1016/j.smrv.2020.101282.

40 Mellman TA. Sleep and Anxiety Disorders. Psychiatr. Clin. North Am. 2006. doi:10.1016/j.psc.2006.08.005.

41 Rumble ME, White KH, Benca RM. Sleep Disturbances in Mood Disorders. Psychiatr. Clin. North Am. 2015. doi:10.1016/j.psc.2015.07.006.

42 Wainberg M, Jones SE, Beaupre LM, Hill SL, Felsky D, Rivas MA *et al.* Association of accelerometer-derived sleep measures with lifetime psychiatric diagnoses: A cross-sectional study of 89,205 participants from the UK Biobank. *PLOS Med* 2021; **18**: e1003782.

43 Full KM, Malhotra A, Gallo LC, Kerr J, Arredondo EM, Natarajan L *et al.* Accelerometer-Measured Sleep Duration and Clinical Cardiovascular Risk Factor Scores in Older Women. *Journals Gerontol - Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2020. doi:10.1093/gerona/glz201.

44 De Havas JA, Parimal S, Soon CS, Chee MWL. Sleep deprivation reduces default mode network connectivity and anti-correlation during rest and task performance. *Neuroimage* 2012. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.08.026.

45 Sämann PG, Tully C, Spoormaker VI, Wetter TC, Holsboer F, Wehrle R *et al.* Increased sleep pressure reduces resting state functional connectivity. *Magn Reson Mater Physics, Biol Med* 2010. doi:10.1007/s10334-010-0213-z.

46 Yeo BTT, Tandi J, Chee MWL. Functional connectivity during rested wakefulness predicts vulnerability to sleep deprivation. *Neuroimage* 2015. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.018.

47 Khalsa S, Mayhew SD, Przezdzik I, Wilson R, Hale J, Goldstone A *et al.* Variability in cumulative habitual sleep duration predicts waking functional connectivity. *Sleep* 2016. doi:10.5665/sleep.5324.

48 Bijsterbosch J, Harrison S, Duff E, Alfaro-Almagro F, Woolrich M, Smith S. Investigations into within- and between-subject resting-state amplitude variations. *Neuroimage* 2017. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.07.014.

49 Choh AC, Demerath EW, Lee M, Williams KD, Towne B, Siervogel RM *et al.* Genetic analysis of self-reported physical activity and adiposity: The Southwest Ohio Family Study. *Public Health Nutr* 2009. doi:10.1017/S1368980008003583.

50 Moore-Harrison T, Lightfoot JT. Driven to Be Inactive?—The Genetics of Physical Activity. In: *Progress in Molecular Biology and Translational Science*. 2010 doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-375003-7.00010-8.

51 Sallis JF, Patterson TL, Buono MJ, Atkins CJ, Nader PR. Aggregation of physical activity habits in Mexican-American and Anglo families. *J Behav Med* 1988. doi:10.1007/BF00846167.

52 Simonen RL, Pérusse L, Rankinen T, Rice T, Rao DC, Bouchard C. Familial aggregation of physical activity levels in the Québec family study. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2002. doi:10.1097/00005768-200207000-00014.

53 Butte NF, Cai G, Cole SA, Comuzzie AG. Viva la Familia Study: Genetic and environmental contributions to childhood obesity and its comorbidities in the Hispanic population. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2006. doi:10.1093/ajcn/84.3.646.

54 Stubbe JH, Boomsma DI, Vink JM, Cornes BK, Martin NG, Skytthe A *et al.* Genetic influences on exercise participation in 37.051 twin pairs from seven countries. *PLoS One* 2006. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000022.

55 Duncan GE, Goldberg J, Noonan C, Moudon AV, Hurvitz P, Buchwald D. Unique environmental effects on physical activity participation: A twin study. *PLoS One* 2008. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002019.

56 Aasdahl L, Nilsen TIL, Meisingset I, Nordstoga AL, Evensen KAI, Paulsen J *et al.* Genetic variants related to physical activity or sedentary behaviour: a systematic review. Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act. 2021. doi:10.1186/s12966-020-01077-5.

57 De Moor MHM, Liu YJ, Boomsma DI, Li J, Hamilton JJ, Hottenga JJ *et al.* Genome-wide

association study of exercise behavior in dutch and american adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2009. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181a2f646.

58 Doherty A, Smith-Byrne K, Ferreira T, Holmes M V., Holmes C, Pulit SL *et al.* GWAS identifies 14 loci for device-measured physical activity and sleep duration. *Nat Commun* 2018; **9**. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07743-4.

59 Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, Beral V, Burton P, Danesh J *et al.* UK Biobank: An Open Access Resource for Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. *PLoS Med* 2015. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001779.

60 Miller KL, Alfaro-Almagro F, Bangerter NK, Thomas DL, Yacoub E, Xu J *et al.* Multimodal population brain imaging in the UK Biobank prospective epidemiological study. *Nat Neurosci* 2016. doi:10.1038/nn.4393.

61 van Buuren S, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. mice: Multivariate imputation by chained equations in R. *J Stat Softw* 2011. doi:10.18637/jss.v045.i03.

62 Miller KL, Alfaro-Almagro F, Bangerter NK, Thomas DL, Yacoub E, Xu J *et al.* Multimodal population brain imaging in the UK Biobank prospective epidemiological study. *Nat Neurosci* 2016. doi:10.1038/nn.4393.

63 Shirer WR, Ryali S, Rykhlevskaia E, Menon V, Greicius MD. Decoding Subject-Driven Cognitive States with Whole-Brain Connectivity Patterns. *Cereb Cortex* 2012; **22**: 158–165.

64 Winkler AM, Renaud O, Smith SM, Nichols TE. Permutation inference for canonical correlation analysis. *Neuroimage* 2020. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117065.

65 HOTELLING H. RELATIONS BETWEEN TWO SETS OF VARIATES. *Biometrika* 1936. doi:10.1093/biomet/28.3-4.321.

66 Helmer M, Warrington S, Mohammadi-Nejad A-R, Ji LJ, Howell A, Rosand B *et al.* On stability of Canonical Correlation Analysis and Partial Least Squares with application to brain-behavior associations. *bioRxiv* 2020.

67 Nagel M, Jansen PR, Stringer S, Watanabe K, De Leeuw CA, Bryois J *et al.* Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for neuroticism in 449,484 individuals identifies novel genetic loci and pathways. *Nat Genet* 2018. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0151-7.

68 Levey DF, Gelernter J, Polimanti R, Zhou H, Cheng Z, Aslan M *et al.* Reproducible Genetic Risk Loci for Anxiety: Results From ∼200,000 Participants in the Million Veteran Program. *Am J Psychiatry* 2020. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2019.19030256.

69 Okbay A, Baselmans BML, De Neve JE, Turley P, Nivard MG, Fontana MA *et al.* Genetic variants associated with subjective well-being, depressive symptoms, and neuroticism identified through genome-wide analyses. *Nat Genet* 2016. doi:10.1038/ng.3552.

70 Howard DM, Adams MJ, Clarke TK, Hafferty JD, Gibson J, Shirali M *et al.* Genome-wide meta-analysis of depression identifies 102 independent variants and highlights the importance of the prefrontal brain regions. *Nat Neurosci* 2019. doi:10.1038/s41593-018-0326-7.

71 Levey DF, Stein MB, Wendt FR, Pathak GA, Zhou H, Aslan M *et al.* Bi-ancestral depression GWAS in the Million Veteran Program and meta-analysis in >1.2 million individuals highlight new therapeutic directions. *Nat Neurosci* 2021. doi:10.1038/s41593-021-00860-2.

72 Karlsson Linnér R, Biroli P, Kong E, Meddens SFW, Wedow R, Fontana MA *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses of risk tolerance and risky behaviors in over 1 million individuals identify hundreds of loci and shared genetic influences. *Nat Genet* 2019. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0309-3.

73 O'Connor LJ, Price AL. Distinguishing genetic correlation from causation across 52 diseases and complex traits. *Nat Genet* 2018. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0255-0.

74 Jia H, Zack MM, Gottesman II, Thompson WW. Associations of Smoking, Physical Inactivity, Heavy Drinking, and Obesity with Quality-Adjusted Life Expectancy among US Adults with Depression. *Value Heal* 2018. doi:10.1016/j.jval.2017.08.002.

75 Metse AP, Clinton-Mcharg T, Skinner E, Yogaraj Y, Colyvas K, Bowman J. Associations between suboptimal sleep and smoking, poor nutrition, harmful alcohol consumption and inadequate physical activity ('snap risks'): A comparison of people with and without a mental health

condition in an australian community survey. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021. doi:10.3390/ijerph18115946.

76 Baniqued PL, Gallen CL, Voss MW, Burzynska AZ, Wong CN, Cooke GE *et al.* Brain network modularity predicts exercise-related executive function gains in older adults. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2018. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2017.00426.

77 Chaddock-Heyman L, Weng TB, Kienzler C, Weisshappel R, Drollette ES, Raine LB *et al.* Brain Network Modularity Predicts Improvements in Cognitive and Scholastic Performance in Children Involved in a Physical Activity Intervention. *Front Hum Neurosci* 2020. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2020.00346.

78 Smallwood J, Bernhardt BC, Leech R, Bzdok D, Jefferies E, Margulies DS. The default mode network in cognition: a topographical perspective. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 2021. doi:10.1038/s41583-021-00474-4.

79 Wang S, Tepfer LJ, Taren AA, Smith D V. Functional parcellation of the default mode network: a large-scale meta-analysis. *Sci Rep* 2020. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-72317-8.

80 Mirza SS, Ikram MA, Bos D, Mihaescu R, Hofman A, Tiemeier H. Mild cognitive impairment and risk of depression and anxiety: A population-based study. *Alzheimer's Dement* 2017. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2016.06.2361.

81 Perini G, Ramusino MC, Sinforiani E, Bernini S, Petrachi R, Costa A. Cognitive impairment in depression: Recent advances and novel treatments. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 2019. doi:10.2147/NDT.S199746.

82 Rock PL, Roiser JP, Riedel WJ, Blackwell AD. Cognitive impairment in depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychol. Med. 2014. doi:10.1017/S0033291713002535.

83 Delgado MR, Nearing KI, LeDoux JE, Phelps EA. Neural Circuitry Underlying the Regulation of Conditioned Fear and Its Relation to Extinction. *Neuron* 2008. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2008.06.029.

84 Morawetz C, Bode S, Baudewig J, Kirilina E, Heekeren HR. Changes in Effective Connectivity Between Dorsal and Ventral Prefrontal Regions Moderate Emotion Regulation. *Cereb Cortex* 2016. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhv005.

85 Ochsner KN, Gross JJ. The cognitive control of emotion. Trends Cogn. Sci. 2005. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2005.03.010.

86 Koenigs M, Grafman J. The functional neuroanatomy of depression: Distinct roles for ventromedial and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. Behav. Brain Res. 2009. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2009.03.004.

87 Nejati V, Majdi R, Salehinejad MA, Nitsche MA. The role of dorsolateral and ventromedial prefrontal cortex in the processing of emotional dimensions. *Sci Rep* 2021. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-81454-7.

88 Yanagisawa H, Dan I, Tsuzuki D, Kato M, Okamoto M, Kyutoku Y *et al.* Acute moderate exercise elicits increased dorsolateral prefrontal activation and improves cognitive performance with Stroop test. *Neuroimage* 2010. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.12.023.

89 Li MY, Huang MM, Li SZ, Tao J, Zheng GH, Chen LD. The effects of aerobic exercise on the structure and function of DMN-related brain regions: a systematic review. Int. J. Neurosci. 2017. doi:10.1080/00207454.2016.1212855.

90 Tao J, Liu J, Egorova N, Chen X, Sun S, Xue X *et al.* Increased hippocampus-medial prefrontal cortex resting-state functional connectivity and memory function after Tai Chi Chuan practice in elder adults. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2016. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2016.00025.

91 De Hert M, Correll CU, Bobes J, Cetkovich-Bakmas M, Cohen DAN, Asai I *et al.* Physical illness in patients with severe mental disorders. I. Prevalence, impact of medications and disparities in health care. World Psychiatry. 2011. doi:10.1002/j.2051-5545.2011.tb00014.x.

92 Fang H, Tu S, Sheng J, Shao A. Depression in sleep disturbance: A review on a bidirectional relationship, mechanisms and treatment. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 2019. doi:10.1111/jcmm.14170.

93 Cox RC, Olatunji BO. A systematic review of sleep disturbance in anxiety and related disorders. J. Anxiety Disord. 2016. doi:10.1016/j.janxdis.2015.12.001.

94 Cheng W, Rolls E, Gong W, Du J, Zhang J, Zhang XY *et al.* Sleep duration, brain structure, and psychiatric and cognitive problems in children. *Mol Psychiatry* 2021. doi:10.1038/s41380-020-0663-2.