Gene-Environment Causal Pathway to Impoverished Cognitive Development Contributes to Psychotic-Like Experience in Children

Junghoon Park[1]*, Eunji Lee[2]*, Gyeongcheol Cho, Heungsun Hwang, Yoonjung Yoonie Joo[3†], Jiook Cha[2,4†]

1. Department of Economics, College of Social Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea
2. Department of Psychology, College of Social Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea
3. Institute of Data Science, Korea University, Seoul, South Korea
4. Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea

* Shared co-first authorship; † shared corresponding authorship

Correspondence to

Yoonjung Yoonie Joo, PhD

201c, International Center for Converging Technology

145 Anam-ro, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea

yoonjungjoo@korea.ac.kr

Jiook Cha, PhD

Gwanak-ro 1, Building 16, Suite M512, Gwanakgu, Seoul, 08826, South Korea

connectome@snu.ac.kr

Structured Abstract

Importance: Given the importance of early childhood in psychopathology, identification of social and biological mechanisms of cognitive and psychological development in preadolescence is essential for better diagnostics and therapeutics.

Objective: To examine causal relationships among genotypes, negative and positive environments, cognitive outcomes, and psychotic-like experiences in preadolescents.

Design: This cross-sectional study evaluated baseline samples of the ongoing Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study. Data were collected from September 2016 to February 2020.

Setting: A multicenter (21 research sites) epidemiological study in the US.

Participants: In total, 7,632 multiethnic children (9-10 years old) in the US (European 77.37%, African 14.77%, Native American 3.52%, East Asian 1.32%, not specified 3.01%).

Main Outcome(s) and Measure(s):
Genome-wide polygenic scores of cognitive performance (CP GPS) and education attainment (EA GPS), Area Deprivation Index, years of residence, neighborhood poverty level, parenting behavior, school environment, NIH Toolbox Intelligence, and PQ-BC psychotic-like experiences.

Results: Our main analyses were based on 5,902 European ancestry samples (females 46.76%, mean age 118.99 [SD 7.46]). Higher intelligence and less psychotic-like experiences were significantly associated with higher CP and EA GPS (Intelligence: all $\beta > 0.0747$, $p<0.0001$; Psychotic-like experiences: all $\beta < -0.0326$, $p=0.0169$), lower Area Deprivation Index (Intelligence: all $\beta < -0.0489$, $p=0.0187$; Psychotic-like experiences: all $\beta > 0.0496$, $p=0.0258$), higher supportive parenting behavior of the first caregiver (Psychotic-like experiences: all $\beta < -0.0588$, $p<0.0001$), and positive school environment (Intelligence: all $\beta > 0.0348$, $p=0.0111$; Psychotic-like experiences: all $\beta < -0.0540$, $p=0.0008$). In path modeling, intelligence significantly mediated the effects of cognitive capacity GPS ($\beta=-0.0493$, 95% CI=-0.0708~-0.0386), residential disadvantage ($\beta=0.0170$, 95% CI=0.0105~0.0279), and positive family and school environment ($\beta=-0.0088$, 95% CI=-0.0170~-0.0044) on the risk of psychosis.

Conclusions and Relevance: Our results support that impoverished cognition during development significantly mediates the impact of genetic and environmental variables on greater psychotic-like experiences. These findings underscore the utility of data-driven precision intervention and policies targeting family, school, and neighborhood environments for young children to promote cognitive development and lower the risk for psychopathology.

Key Points

Question: What are the causal relationships among genes, the environment, intelligence, and psychotic-like experiences in neurocognitive development?

Findings: In this study of 7,632 multiethnic children (5,905 of European ancestry) aged 9-10 years, greater psychotic-like experiences were causally linked to lower genetic loadings of cognitive capacity, higher residential disadvantage, a lack of supportive parenting behavior, and a hostile school environment through impoverished cognitive development.

Meaning: Improving family, school, and neighborhood environments can promote the cognitive and psychological development of preadolescents.

Unstructured Abstract

Identifying the social and biological mechanisms of cognitive and psychological development of children is essential for optimizing preventive and educational efforts. However, the causal pathways by which genetic and environmental factors affect cognitive and psychiatric outcomes remain unknown, especially in early childhood. We examined the causal relationships among genes, the environment, intelligence, and psychotic-like experiences in 7,632 multiethnic (5,905 with European ancestry) children aged 9-10 years old from the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study. Using up-to-date computational causal analysis and rigorous path modeling, we found a significant causal influence of residential, family, and school environments and genome-wide polygenic scores of cognitive capacities on preadolescents' psychotic-like experiences mediated by intelligence. Mitigation of good parenting behavior and positive school environments on psychotic-like experiences dominated the pernicious effects of genetic and residential adversities. Our findings support that intelligence may be a biological resilience factor for psychosis. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to identify casual trajectories of neurocognitive development in early childhood and the first to provide empirical evidence that positive parenting behavior and school environment can impose a considerable degree of causal impact on children's cognitive and psychiatric outcomes. We suggest the implementation of socioeconomic policies to improve family and school environments and promote local economic development to enhance children's cognitive ability and mental health.

Introduction

Early childhood is the critical developmental period in humans. Studies show that childhood cognitive development and mental health significantly impact various life outcomes at later ages, including academic performance, health, mortality[1,2], intelligence[3], and psychopathology[4].

The literature reports the impacts of social adversities on cognitive and psychiatric development in early childhood. High rates of crime victimization[5] and higher levels of air pollution[6] are associated with greater childhood psychotic-like experiences. Children growing up under the influence of higher levels of lead exposure have smaller cortical volumes and cortical surface areas and lower cognitive test scores, particularly in low-income families[7]. Recent studies document that neighborhood deprivation is linked to smaller prefrontal and hippocampal volumes and less resting-state functional connectivity in children, resulting in lower cognitive function and greater psychotic-like experiences[8-10]. Despite the expanding literature, there is a lack of a complete picture of how the genes and positive and negative environments may lead to abnormal cognitive development and consequent psychotic-like experiences in children. Filling this gap may permit effective intervention strategies to improve cognitive and mental health in children via precise targeting and estimation of intervention or policy effects.

Intelligence is polygenic. It is affected by a myriad of common genetic variants, each contributing to a small portion of the total variation[11,12]. Recent advances in genetics have led to the genome-wide polygenic score (GPS) approach: a computational method for estimating the genetic loading for a complex trait using statistical associations of each single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) identified by genome-wide association studies (GWAS)[13]. The literature shows that the GPSs of cognitive performance and educational attainment are significantly associated with general intelligence[14,15] and brain development[14,16]. In addition, the GPSs of schizophrenia and ADHD successfully predicted

psychiatric disorders[17-19]. However, the combined causal effects of genes and the neighborhood environment during development in children remain unclear.

Several theories exist regarding the role of cognitive capacity in the development of psychiatric disorders. According to cognitive reserve theory, intelligence can act as a resilience factor against psychosis, indicating that higher intelligence contributes to resisting social adversities[20,21]. Other studies have established that cognitive deficits are an early sign of psychotic disorders such as schizophrenia in the young and Alzheimer's disease in the elderly[22-24]. Nonetheless, it was not until recently that researchers have drawn systematic research into the relationship between cognition and psychotic disorders in early childhood. Although some studies report the mediating role of cognitive abilities between the GPS and psychopathology in children[16,25], such approaches have failed to address the potential environmental mechanisms behind this relationship[26-28]. Thus, the comprehensive causal trajectories between genes, the environment, intelligence, and psychotic disorders remain unknown. This is disappointing because considering the multicausal nature of psychiatric disorders[29], fragmented identification of individual factors may be insufficient to support people in need.

Identifying underlying causal mechanisms may provide a theoretical basis for policies to support children's cognitive abilities and mental health. Given that preadolescence is the most critical period in cognitive and psychiatric development, preventive strategies to promote cognitive and psychological development in early childhood may bring substantial differences throughout life[30-34]. Nevertheless, previous research on early intervention discovered limited effect sizes[35,36]. One possible explanation for the ineffectiveness is that policies only focused on clinical approaches, neglecting the role of family, school, and neighborhood environment in children's intelligence and mental health[37-40]. Thus, evaluating the effects of positive parenting behavior and benign school environments and neighborhood socioeconomic conditions should provide practical policy implications.

This study sought to assess the causal effect of gene-environment factors on the development of cognitive and psychiatric outcomes in childhood by testing the following: first, whether cognitive genome-wide polygenic scores and residential disadvantage have causal effects on children's intelligence and psychotic-like experiences; second, whether intelligence has a mediating effect on the causal relationship between genetic and environmental factors and psychotic-like experiences; and finally, the extent to which positive intervention in early childhood can promote cognitive development and mental health.

To this end, we used the multimodal genetic and environmental questionnaire data of 11,878 preadolescent children aged 9-10 years old collected from 21 research sites of the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study, one of the largest longitudinal studies for children's neurodevelopment in the United States. We attempted to identify the causal influence of genetic and environmental factors on intelligence and psychotic-like experiences by first conducting linear regression to examine their associations and then confirming the causal relationships observed using the *deconfounder*. This novel causal inference method "*combines unsupervised machine learning and predictive model checking*" to control for unobserved confounders[41]. Finally, we examined the comprehensive pathways of genetic and environmental influence by structural equation modeling.

Results

To avoid known confounding effects related to multiethnic samples, we conducted all analyses primarily using participants of European ancestry (n=5,905). Secondary analyses were carried out using all multiethnic participants (n=7,632, including 1,127 of African ancestry (14.77%), 269 of Native American ancestry (3.52%), 101 of East Asian ancestry (1.32%), 260 not specified (3.01%), and 5,905 of European ancestry (77.37%)) and obtained similar results, showing generalizability of the main findings to other ethnic groups. (See **Supplementary Materials**).

Genetic influence on cognitive capacity correlates positively with intelligence and negatively with psychotic-like experiences

To investigate the aggregated effect of genetic components on an individual's behavior, intelligence, and mental health, we estimated genome-wide polygenic scores (GPSs) of two representative cognitive capacity traits: education attainment (EA GPS) and cognitive performance (CP GPS)[42,43]. To assess children's neurocognitive abilities, we used the uncorrected composite scores of total, fluid, and crystallized intelligence from the NIH Toolbox Cognitive Battery, which has seven cognitive instruments for examining executive function, episodic memory, language abilities, processing speed, working memory, and attention[44]. In line with previous research[8,42,43], we computed *the Total score* and *Distress score*, each indicating the number of psychotic-like symptoms and levels of total distress. To test the face validity of our statistical analyses, we used parent-rated psychotic-like experiences from the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) according to previous studies[45,46].

As shown in **Table 2** and **Table 3**, higher GPSs of both cognitive capacity phenotypes correlated significantly with higher intelligence (CP GPS: for Total Intelligence, β= 0.1862, 95% CI=0.1648~0.2080, $p<0.0001$; for Fluid Intelligence, β= 0.1255, 95%

CI=0.1026~0.1486, p<0.0001; for Crystallized Intelligence, β= 0.2069, 95% CI=0.1853~0.2284, p<0.0001; EA GPS: for Total Intelligence, β=0.1396, 95% CI=0.1172~0.1619, p<0.0001; for Fluid Intelligence, β= 0.0747, 95% CI=0.0511~0.0982, p<0.0001; for Crystallized Intelligence, β=0.1835, 95% CI=0.1602~0.2058, p<0.0001) and lower self-reported psychotic-like experiences (CP GPS: for *Total Score* psychotic-like experiences, β=-0.0326, 95% CI=-0.0567~-0.0085, p=0.0169; for *Distress Score* psychotic-like experiences, β=-0.0375, 95% CI=-0.0618~-0.0139, p=0.0038; EA GPS: for *Total Score* psychotic-like experiences, β=-0.0625, 95% CI= -0.0869~-0.0372, p<0.0001; for *Distress Score* psychotic-like experiences, β=-0.0657, 95% CI=-0.0904~-0.0405, p<0.0001). No significant correlations were found between GPSs and parent-rated psychotic-like experiences. Notably, the effect sizes of EA GPSs on children's psychotic-like experiences were almost twice as large as those of CP GPSs (EA GPS: for *Total Score* psychotic-like experiences, β=-0.0625; for *Distress Score* psychotic-like experiences, β=-0.0375; CP GPS; for *Total Score* psychotic-like experiences, β=-0.0326; for *Distress Score* psychotic-like experiences, β=-0.0375).

Residential disadvantage correlates negatively with intelligence and positively with psychotic-like experiences

Residential disadvantage was measured by Residential History Derived Scores based on the census tracts of each respondent’s primary address. We chose the *Area Deprivation Index*, percentage of individuals below -125% of the poverty level (henceforth "*poverty*"), and *years of residence* which were associated with psychotic-like experiences in prior research[8].

The *Area Deprivation Index* correlated significantly negatively with total intelligence (adjusted for CP GPS: β=-0.0723, 95% CI= -0.1054~-0.039, p=0.0001; adjusted for EA GPS: β=-0.0657, 95% CI=-0.0996~-0.0316, p=0.0005), fluid intelligence (adjusted for CP GPS:

β=-0.0508, 95% CI=-0.0877~-0.015, p=0.0126; adjusted for EA GPS: β=-0.0489, 95% CI=-0.0855~-0.0124, p=0.0187), and crystallized intelligence (adjusted for CP GPS: β =-0.0816, 95% CI=-0.1148~-0.0471, p<0.0001; adjusted for EA GPS: β=-0.0714, 95% CI=-0.1059~-0.0354, p=0.0002). Additionally, a higher *Area Deprivation Index* correlated significantly with higher *Total Score* psychotic-like experiences (adjusted for CP GPS: β=0.0496, 95% CI=0.0083~0.0882, p=0.0258), *Distress Score* psychotic-like experiences (adjusted for CP GPS: β=-0.0627, 95% CI=0.0212~0.1027, p=0.0038; adjusted for EA GPS: β=0.0566, 95% CI=0.0174~0.0976, p=0.0095), and parent-rated psychotic-like experiences (adjusted for CP GPS: β=0.0583, 95% CI=0.0227~0.0923, p=0.0044; adjusted for EA GPS: β=0.0563, 95% CI=0.0215~0.0921, p=0.0066).

We found no significant associations of *poverty* with any of the target variables (p > 0.05). *Years of residence* only correlated significantly with crystallized intelligence (adjusted for CP GPS: β=0.0331, 95% CI= 0.0106~0.0563, p=0.0110; adjusted for EA GPS: β=0.0289, 95% CI= 0.0047~0.0517, p=0.0340) and not with others (p > 0.05). (See **Table 2** and **Table 3** for details)

Positive family and school environments positively influence intelligence and negatively influence psychotic-like experiences

Based on the existing literature[10,38,47], we measured the level of supportive parenting behavior and benign school environment (henceforth "*good schooling*") to assess the effect of the positive family and school environment of each individual.

As provided in **Table 2** and **Table 3**, good parenting behaviors of the first caregiver correlated significantly with *Total Score* (adjusted for CP GPS: β=-0.0816, 95% CI= -0.1088~-0.0546, p<0.0001; adjusted for EA GPS: β=-0.0808, 95% CI=-0.1067~-0.054, p<0.0001) and *Distress Score* psychotic-like experiences (adjusted for CP GPS: β=-0.0597,

95% CI= -0.0869~-0.0329, p<0.0001; adjusted for EA GPS: β=-0.0588, 95% CI=-0.0855~-0.0325, p<0.0001). In contrast, good parenting behavior from the second caregiver did not show significance with regard to any of the intelligence domains or with those of psychotic-like experiences. Good school environment was associated positively with total intelligence (adjusted for CP GPS: β=0.0348, 95% CI=0.0113~0.0589, p=0.0111; adjusted for EA GPS: β=0.0375, 95% CI=0.0121~0.0615, p=0.0062), fluid intelligence (adjusted for CP GPS: β=0.0520, 95% CI=0.0269~0.0778, p=0.0003; adjusted for EA GPS: β=0.0540, 95% CI=0.0289~0.0797, p=0.0002) and negatively with all three measures of psychotic-like experiences (adjusted for CP GPS: for *Total Score* psychotic-like experiences, β=-0.1144, 95% CI=-0.1415~-0.0877, p<0.0001; for *Distress Score* psychotic-like experiences, β= -0.1151, 95% CI= -0.1412~-0.0886, p<0.0001; for parent-rated psychotic-like experiences, β=-0.0544, 95% CI=-0.0822~-0.0275, p=0.0007; adjusted for EA GPS: for *Total Score* psychotic-like experiences, β= -0.1146, 95% CI= -0.1419~-0.0885, p<0.0001; for *Distress Score* psychotic-like experiences, β= -0.1153, 95% CI= -0.1425~-0.0882, p<0.0001; for parent-rated psychotic-like experiences, β=-0.054, 95% CI=-0.0816~-0.027, p=0.0008). These findings provide evidence that support through family and school environments is associated with enhanced intelligence capability and may alleviate the risk of psychosis in children.

Causal Inference

Next, we tested whether the observed associations present causal effects using the *deconfounder*, a robust unbiased causal modeling technique based on probabilistic modeling and predictive model checking to test causal relationships among multiple variables and unobserved unknown confounders. As reported in **Table 4** and **Table 5**, the GPS, *Area Deprivation Index*, good parenting from the primary caregiver, and good schooling correlated with intelligence and psychotic-like experiences in the deconfounder

analyses, consistent with the results of linear mixed models. In particular, we detected significant effects of *Area Deprivation Index* on *Total Score* psychotic-like experiences (adjusted for EA GPS: 95% CI=0.0138~0.1050, p=0.0106). In contrast, effects of *years of residence* on crystallized intelligence (adjusted for CP GPS: 95% CI=-0.0047~0.0480, p=0.1079; adjusted for EA GPS: 95% CI=-0.0124~0.0406, p=0.2952) and the *Area Deprivation Index* on parent-rated psychotic-like experiences (adjusted for CP GPS: 95% CI=-0.0038~0.0920, p=0.0712; adjusted for EA GPS: 95% CI=-0.0060~0.0902, p=0.0863) did not significantly support causality.

Structure Equation Modeling

To investigate associations among constructs of interest, we applied up-to-date structural equation modeling that can estimate both factors and components called integrated generalized structured component analysis (IGSCA)[48]. The IGSCA model showed a good model fit with a GFI of 0.986 and a standardized root mean square residual (SRMR) value of 0.020[49] (**Figure 1 and Table 6**). The model supports lower intelligence leading to greater psychotic-like experiences. More specifically, intelligence was under a significant direct influence of the cognitive capacity GPS (β=0.2840, 95% CI=0.2597~0.3156), residential disadvantage (β=-0.0978, 95% CI=-0.1351~-0.0622), and positive environment (β=0.0508, 95% CI=0.0236~0.0827). Psychotic-like experience was also found to be under a significant direct influence of residential disadvantage (β=0.0568, 95% CI=0.0182~0.0923) and positive environment (β=-0.1344, 95% CI=-0.1639~-0.1038), though the cognitive capacity GPS was not (β=0.003, 95% CI = -0.021~0.037). The effects of the GPS, residential disadvantage, and positive family and school environment on psychotic-like experiences were significantly mediated by intelligence: the GPS (β=-0.0493, 95% CI=-0.0708~-0.0386), residential disadvantage (β=0.0170, 95% CI=0.0105~0.0279), and positive environment (β=-0.0088, 95% CI=-0.0170~-0.0044).

It should be noted that positive family and school environments had a larger total effect on psychotic-like experiences (β=-0.1432, 95% CI=-0.1732~-0.1142) than the other factors and composites considered in the model. The effect was also larger than the total effects of residential disadvantage (β=0.0782, 95% CI=0.0403~0.1160) and GPS (β=-0.0465, 95% CI=-0.0715~-0.0213), respectively, as well as the combined effect. All weight and loading estimates were statistically significant.

Discussion

This study tested the relationships between genetic and environmental influences on the development of intelligence and the risk for psychopathology in youth. Our computational, probabilistic causal analysis lends strong support for the model that genes (genetic loadings for cognitive capacity) as well as negative (neighborhood adversity) and positive (styles of schooling and parenting) environments determine the development of intelligence and, consequently, the risk for psychosis in children. Extending the literature on shared genetics between cognition and schizophrenia[50-52], our path modeling shows that the genetic pathway of cognition plays a causal role in the cognition-psychosis relationship. Similarly, a negative environment—residential disadvantage—and a positive environment—good parenting and schooling—account for independent variances of the development of intelligence and psychotic-like experiences. Note that similar results were found in both European ancestry and multiethnic samples, highlighting the generalizability of our findings.

Despite decades of research demonstrating that cognitive deficits are positively associated with prodromal psychosis and schizophrenia[50-52], it has remained unclear whether such an association reflects causality. We addressed this gap in this study by showing the significant mediation effects of genetic and environmental factors on psychotic-like experiences. The environmental variables—residential disadvantage and positive parenting and schooling—displayed both significant direct and indirect effects; the GPS of cognitive capacity only had a significant indirect influence on psychotic-like experiences. Considering the directions and magnitudes of the effects, we believe these results commonly point to the role of intelligence as a mediator linking genetic and environmental factors to psychotic-like experiences. The indirect pathway of GPS-intelligence-psychotic-like experiences aligns with the recent findings of the ABCD study reporting the associations between educational attainment GPSs and psychotic-like experiences[16]. Our study is a step forward in that the magnitude of the genetic effect was compared with those of key environmental factors[53]. Additionally, genetic data from the large epidemiological samples

and a wide spectrum of the environmental and socioeconomic status allowed for unbiased testing of the relationships among the multimodal variables. Last, the additional methodological contribution of the study adds rigorous, unbiased estimates of the complex relationship, i.e., the use of the up-to-date SEM (IGSCA) capable of modeling both factors and composites at the same time, which is advantageous over conventional SEM methods.

The gene-environment pathway highlights the importance of close assessment of cognition in youths for normal or abnormal development. For example, the current COVID-19 pandemic may have a deep impact on education, the local and global economy, schooling, and parenting. Our study implies that assessment of cognition in young children may help in timely assessment of the magnitudes of positive and negative environmental influences on intelligence and mentality during development.

Another novel contribution of this study is that our causal analysis, the *deconfounder*, supports the causal effects of genetic and environmental factors on intelligence development and psychotic-like experiences. Given the complicated relationships among biological, sociodemographic, and economic-demographic variables, standard linear models assuming independent and identically distributed data may not be suitable. Instead, by using the *deconfounder*, we were able to estimate unobserved confounding effects and tested whether the impact of genetic and environmental factors on intelligence development and psychotic-like experiences are due to causal relationships or confounding. Except some of the associations (*years of residence* and crystallized intelligence, *Area Deprivation Index* and parent-rated psychotic-like experiences), genetic and environmental associations showed ample evidence of causality in our analysis. Note that the effects of environmental variables were adjusted for genetic factors; failure to do this in prior studies often resulted in overestimation of socioeconomic impact[54]. Our rigorous method thus provides accurate and unbiased estimates of each factor.

Overall, our results suggest that genetic influences on cognitive capacity phenotypes are tightly linked to the risk of psychosis. Genetic variants associated with EA are related to complex traits across the life span, including neuroticism, depressive

symptoms, smoking in adulthood, cognitive decline at a later age[55], and risk for Alzheimer's disease[15,56], as well as several psychiatric disorders[16,57]. Prior gene expression studies suggest that polygenic signals for schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and EA are significantly enriched in the same central nervous system (CNS), especially the cerebellum[58]. Our findings are in line with the idea that the cognitive capacity GPS not only implicates cognitive traits but also exhibits substantial genetic overlap with the risk for psychosis.

The different magnitudes of the GPS impact between EA and CP are worth noting. Although both GPSs revealed a significant indirect influence, the effects of the EA GPS on the *Total Score* and *Distress Score* psychotic-like experiences were 222.76% and 244.44% larger than those of CP GPS, respectively. These differences suggest different compositions of genetic loadings for EA and CP. Recent literature documents that more than half of polygenic signals for EA are related to noncognitive skills and social factors required for successful educational attainment[59]; however, the variance of CP may be more directly linked to cognitive skills. This explains the well-established relationships of the EA GPS with socioeconomic and life-course outcomes (e.g. social mobility[60], voter turnout[61], and wealth inequality[62]), which may be influenced by unobserved environmental factors[63].

Last, this study shows that a positive environment, including good parenting and schooling, contributes to greater intelligence and lowers the risk for psychotic-like experiences. Indeed, among any other genetic and environmental variables, positive parenting behaviors and schooling had the most substantial effects on psychotic-like experiences. To our surprise, the impact of positive environments within the family and school was substantial enough to overcome genetic and residential disadvantages regarding the risk of psychosis. We believe this finding will lead to consideration of policies and programs to promote cognitive development and mental health in children. Wholistic and quantitative approaches considering the comprehensive ecosystem of family, school, and residential environments may ensure maximum effectiveness and efficient use of resources[39,40,64,65]. One exemplary application is from the Health Impact in 5 Years (HI-5) Initiative of the US Centers for Disease Control (https://www.cdc.gov/policy/hst/hi5). Its 14

evidence-based interventions include both clinical and economic policies, such as providing school-based prevention programs, public transportation, home improvement loans, and earned income tax credits, to tackle the social determinants of public health. Our study strengthens the idea that, unlike fragmented interventions, an interdisciplinary science-driven, coordinated policy approach to alter environmental factors may allow for improvements in child development, particularly in those who are at a disadvantage.

Limitations

Because of limitations of the study design, our findings should be interpreted with caution. Although clinical validity[42,66] of our measure of psychotic-like experiences has been shown, it was self-reported. We also admit that the environmental variables included in our analysis were not exhaustive. Many variables not obtained in the ABCD study may index potential physical or social risk factors for children's cognitive and psychiatric health, such as air pollution[6,7]. Additionally, considering the cross-sectional design of the current study, we believe that future research may benefit from longitudinal follow-up observations of children with comprehensive consideration of environmental risk factors. We also suggest that inclusion of children’s brain data will provide meaningful insight into the precise causal mechanisms of neurocognitive development.

Conclusion

This study identifies the causal pathways of genetic factors of cognitive capacity and environmental factors of family, school, and neighborhood to psychosis via intelligence in preadolescents. Our findings further indicate that intervention policies to promote supportive parenting behavior, a benign school environment, and an affluent residential environment in early childhood can substantially boost intelligence and mental health. Given that the results

were consistent in multiethnic subjects as well as stratified subjects of European ancestry, our findings are likely to be generalized to diverse populations. The findings underscore the importance of a comprehensive approach encompassing both biological and socioeconomic features in understanding and promoting young children's cognitive development and mental health. Indeed, child development is too important to be left to a single perspective; it requires joint efforts from multiple disciplines.

Materials and Methods

Study Participants

We analyzed the baseline dataset included in ABCD Release 3.0, which was downloaded on March 13, 2021. Missing values were median imputed for covariates; otherwise, they were eliminated. The final samples included 7,632 multiethnic children, which comprised of 1,127 of African ancestry (14.77%), 269 of Native American ancestry (3.52%), 101 of East Asian ancestry (1.32%), 260 not specified (3.01%), and 5,905 of European ancestry (77.37%), the largest proportion.

Demographics

Of the initial 11,878 ABCD samples, we obtained data for the variables of interest for 7,632 multiethnic children. In European ancestry samples (main analyses, n=5,905), 46.76% were female, and the parents of 76.22% were married. For multiethnic subjects (explanatory analyses, n=7,632), 47.41% were female, and the parents of 68.53% were married. **Table 1** presents the demographic characteristics of the final samples. Children of European ancestry had significantly higher household income ($p<0.001$), parental education ($p<0.001$), and family history of psychiatric disorders ($p<0.001$) than multiethnic children. There were no significant differences in other characteristics between the two ancestry groups.

Data

Psychotic-Like Experiences

Psychotic-like experiences were measured using the children's responses to the Prodromal Questionnaire-Brief Child Version (PQ-BC). The PQ-BC has a 21-item scale

validated for use with a nonclinical population of children aged 9-10 years[42,43]. The *Total Score* is the summary score of 21 questions ranging from 0 to 21 and the *Distress Score* is the weighted sum of responses with levels of distress ranging from 0 to 126. Higher values indicate more severe psychotic-like experiences.

Additionally, given that a family history of mental disorders is related to susceptibility to mental illness and brain damage[45,46], the proportion of first-degree relatives who had psychosis, depression, mania, suicidality, previous hospitalization, or professional help for mental health issues[8] was included as a covariate.

Genome-wide Polygenic Scores

We used the summary statistics released from the largest GWAS[15] of European-descent individuals for educational attainment (EA, n=1,131,881) and cognitive performance (CP, n=257,841). EA was measured as the number of years of schooling; CP was measured as the respondent's score on cognitive ability assessments. We applied PRS-CSx, a high-dimensional Bayesian regression framework that improves cross-population polygenic modeling and prediction via continuous shrinkage prior to SNP effect sizes[67]. Hyperparameter of GPSs was optimized in the held-out validation set of 1,579 unrelated participants. The validation set was created during the quality control process of the study genotype data, when we selected unrelated study participants of the original ABCD samples with pairwise kinship coefficients of less than 0.022 among them. To select the optimal hyperparameter, we fitted generalized linear regression models for intelligence composite scores within the validation set and evaluated the model performance in terms of the highest R^2. The models were adjusted for age, sex, and the first 10 principal components of genotype data. For the GPS of multiethnic participants, genetic ancestry determined by ADMIXTURE[68] was additionally included as a covariate. Details about the quality control

process of the genotype data used for GPS construction are described elsewhere (Wang et al, Cognitive gps paper preprint reference).

Negative Residential Environment

The national percentile score of *Area Deprivation Index*[8,10] was calculated from the 2011–2015 American Community Survey 5-year summary. Higher values of the *Area Deprivation Index* and *poverty* and fewer *years of residence* indicate greater residential disadvantage.

Positive Family and School Environment

Positive parenting behavior was assessed using ABCD Children's Report of Parental Behavioral Inventory. We used the mean summary scores of five questionnaire items about first and second caregivers. Missing values were coded as zero for respondents lacking a second caregiver.

Positive school environment was assessed as the sum of children's responses to twelve items in ABCD School Risk and Protective Factors Survey. Prior work used response items about the first caregiver for good parenting and the first six items about the school environment for good schooling[10]. However, to obtain a comprehensive and accurate assessment, we also included items about the second caregiver and six additional questions for the school environment.

Statistical Modeling

Linear Mixed Models

We used linear mixed models to minimize potential population stratification from different household environments and geographical locations[13]. CP and EA GPSs were used separately in two different models. The child's sex, age, genetic ethnicity, BMI, parental education, marital status, household income, and family's financial adversity based on parent self-reporting were included as covariates in the model. For associations of psychotic-like experiences as target outcomes, family history of psychiatric disorders was included as an additional covariate. As a random factor, the variable indicating ABCD research sites was used. Using the generalized variance inflation factor (GVIF), we checked the multicollinearity of the variables within each model and found no signs of multicollinearity[69] (GVIF < 2.0 for every variable in all models). The threshold for statistical significance was set at $p<0.05$, with correction for multiple comparisons using the false discovery rate. We obtained 95% bootstrapped confidence intervals with 5,000 iterations. All continuous variables were standardized (z-scaled) beforehand to obtain standardized estimates, and the analyses were run with the *lme4* package[70] in R version 4.0.4

Deconfounder

In linear regression, unobserved confounding variables may bias estimates, especially when those unobserved confounders and genetic factors are jointly associated with environmental factors and target traits[71]. To overcome this limitation, we used a novel framework for causal inference based on probabilistic modeling, called the *deconfounder*[41,72]. It first uses probabilistic factor modeling to estimate latent variables for unobserved confounders and then includes them as covariates for the outcome model. Assuming the existence of multicause null confounders and no unobserved single-cause confounders, the amount of unobserved confounding bias can be corrected with the substitute confounders. In this study, quadratic probabilistic principal component analysis and a linear mixed model

were selected as the probabilistic factor model and the outcome model, respectively. We tested the causal effects of the GPS, residential disadvantage, and positive environmental factors on intelligence and psychotic-like experiences with the same linear models used in testing associations.

Path Modeling

We mainly considered path-analytic relationships among the five key constructs: the GPS, residential disadvantage, positive family and school environment, general intelligence, and psychotic-like experiences. As the GPS, residential disadvantage, positive family and school environment, and psychotic-like experiences may be seen as clusters of their relevant observed variables, we statistically represented each of the constructs by the weighted sum of its corresponding observed variables or a component[73]. On the other hand, we represented general intelligence by a common factor for fluid and crystallized intelligence, as based on the classical g theory of intelligence[74,75] viewing that general intelligence is an underlying cause of multiple domains of cognition. The IGSCA model included the same covariates used in the linear mixed model as well as ABCD research site as an additional covariate. We applied GSCA Pro 1.1[76] to fit the IGSCA model to the data and checked the model's goodness-of-fit index (GFI)[77] and standardized root mean square residual (SRMR) values to assess its overall goodness-of-fit. A higher GFI and a lower SRMR indicate a better fit. Finally, we conducted conditional process analyses to further investigate the indirect and total effects of the constructs in the model. We obtained 95% confidence intervals based on 5,000 bootstrap samples to test the statistical significance of an individual parameter estimate.

References

1 Deary, I. J., Hill, W. D. & Gale, C. R. Intelligence, health and death. *Nature Human Behaviour* **5**, 416–430, doi:10.1038/s41562-021-01078-9 (2021).

2 Calvin, C. M. *et al.* Childhood intelligence in relation to major causes of death in 68 year follow-up: prospective population study. *BMJ* **357**, j2708, doi:10.1136/bmj.j2708 (2017).

3 Deary, I. J., Pattie, A. & Starr, J. M. The Stability of Intelligence From Age 11 to Age 90 Years. *Psychol Sci* **24**, 2361-2368, doi:10.1177/0956797613486487 (2013).

4 Reuben, A. *et al.* Association of Childhood Lead Exposure With Adult Personality Traits and Lifelong Mental Health. *JAMA Psychiatry* **76**, 418, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.4192 (2019).

5 Newbury, J. *et al.* Cumulative Effects of Neighborhood Social Adversity and Personal Crime Victimization on Adolescent Psychotic Experiences. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **44**, 348-358, doi:10.1093/schbul/sbx060 (2018).

6 Newbury, J. B. *et al.* Association of Air Pollution Exposure With Psychotic Experiences During Adolescence. *JAMA Psychiatry* **76**, 614, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0056 (2019).

7 Marshall, A. T. *et al.* Association of lead-exposure risk and family income with childhood brain outcomes. *Nature Medicine* **26**, 91-97, doi:10.1038/s41591-019-0713-y (2020).

8 Karcher, N. R., Schiffman, J. & Barch, D. M. Environmental Risk Factors and Psychotic-like Experiences in Children Aged 9–10. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* **60**, 490-500, doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2020.07.003 (2021).

9 Taylor, R. L., Cooper, S. R., Jackson, J. J. & Barch, D. M. Assessment of Neighborhood Poverty, Cognitive Function, and Prefrontal and Hippocampal Volumes in Children. *JAMA Network Open* **3**, e2023774, doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.23774 (2020).

10 Rakesh, D., Seguin, C., Zalesky, A., Cropley, V. & Whittle, S. Associations between neighborhood disadvantage, resting-state functional connectivity, and behavior in the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study®: Moderating role of positive family and school environments. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2021.03.008 (2021).

11 Deary, I. J., Cox, S. R. & Hill, W. D. Genetic variation, brain, and intelligence differences. *Molecular Psychiatry*, doi:10.1038/s41380-021-01027-y (2021).

12 Benyamin, B. *et al.* Childhood intelligence is heritable, highly polygenic and associated with FNBP1L. *Molecular Psychiatry* **19**, 253-258, doi:10.1038/mp.2012.184 (2014).

13 Choi, S. W., Mak, T. S. & O'Reilly, P. F. Tutorial: a guide to performing polygenic risk score analyses. *Nature Protocols* **15**, 2759-2772, doi:10.1038/s41596-020-0353-1 (2020).

14 Judd, N. *et al.* Cognitive and brain development is independently influenced by socioeconomic status and polygenic scores for educational attainment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 12411-12418, doi:10.1073/pnas.2001228117 (2020).

15 Lee, J. J. *et al.* Gene discovery and polygenic prediction from a genome-wide association study of educational attainment in 1.1 million individuals. *Nature Genetics* **50**, 1112-1121, doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0147-3 (2018).

16 Karcher, N. R. *et al.* Psychotic-like Experiences and Polygenic Liability in the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2021.06.012 (2021).

17 Kwong, A. S. F. *et al.* Polygenic risk for depression, anxiety and neuroticism are associated with the severity and rate of change in depressive symptoms across adolescence. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, doi:10.1111/jcpp.13422 (2021).

18 Serdarevic, F. *et al.* Polygenic Risk Scores for Developmental Disorders, Neuromotor Functioning During Infancy, and Autistic Traits in Childhood. *Biol Psychiatry* **87**, 132-138, doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.06.006 (2020).

19 He, Q. *et al.* Influence of polygenic risk scores for schizophrenia and resilience on the cognition of individuals at-risk for psychosis. *Transl Psychiatry* **11**, doi:10.1038/s41398-021-01624-z (2021).

20 Stern, Y. What is cognitive reserve? Theory and research application of the reserve concept. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society* **8**, 448-460, doi:10.1017/S1355617702813248 (2002).

21 Stern, Y. Cognitive reserve. *Neuropsychologia* **47**, 2015-2028, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.03.004 (2009).

22 Melle, I. Cognition in schizophrenia: a marker of underlying neurodevelopmental problems? *World*

Psychiatry **18**, 164-165, doi:10.1002/wps.20646 (2019).
23 Vorstman, J. A. S. *et al.* Cognitive Decline Preceding the Onset of Psychosis in Patients With 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome. *JAMA Psychiatry* **72**, 377, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.2671 (2015).
24 Fett, A.-K. J. *et al.* Long-term Changes in Cognitive Functioning in Individuals With Psychotic Disorders. *JAMA Psychiatry* **77**, 387, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.3993 (2020).
25 Pat, N. *et al.* Motivation and Cognitive Abilities as Mediators Between Polygenic Scores and Psychopathology in Children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2021.08.019 (2021).
26 Mollon, J. & Reichenberg, A. Cognitive development prior to onset of psychosis. *Psychological Medicine* **48**, 392-403, doi:10.1017/s0033291717001970 (2018).
27 Hubbard, L. *et al.* Evidence of Common Genetic Overlap Between Schizophrenia and Cognition. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **42**, 832-842, doi:10.1093/schbul/sbv168 (2016).
28 Lemvigh, C. K. *et al.* Heritability of specific cognitive functions and associations with schizophrenia spectrum disorders using CANTAB: a nation-wide twin study. *Psychological Medicine*, 1-14, doi:10.1017/s0033291720002858 (2020).
29 Paulus, M. P. & Thompson, W. K. The Challenges and Opportunities of Small Effects. *JAMA Psychiatry* **76**, 353, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.4540 (2019).
30 Conti, G. & Heckman, J. J. Understanding the Early Origins of the Education-Health Gradient: A Framework That Can Also Be Applied to Analyze Gene-Environment Interactions. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* **5**, 585-605, doi:10.1177/1745691610383502 (2010).
31 Campbell, F. *et al.* Early Childhood Investments Substantially Boost Adult Health. *Science* **343**, 1478-1485, doi:10.1126/science.1248429 (2014).
32 García, J. L. & Heckman, J. J. Early childhood education and life-cycle health. *Health Economics*, doi:10.1002/hec.4148 (2020).
33 Walker, S. P. *et al.* Cognitive, psychosocial, and behaviour gains at age 31 years from the Jamaica early childhood stimulation trial. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, doi:10.1111/jcpp.13499 (2021).
34 Gertler, P. *et al.* Labor market returns to an early childhood stimulation intervention in Jamaica. *Science* **344**, 998-1001, doi:10.1126/science.1251178 (2014).
35 Dahl, R. E., Allen, N. B., Wilbrecht, L. & Suleiman, A. B. Importance of investing in adolescence from a developmental science perspective. *Nature* **554**, 441-450, doi:10.1038/nature25770 (2018).
36 Catalan, A. *et al.* Annual Research Review: Prevention of psychosis in adolescents – systematic review and meta-analysis of advances in detection, prognosis and intervention. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* **62**, 657-673, doi:10.1111/jcpp.13322 (2021).
37 Whittle, S. *et al.* Role of Positive Parenting in the Association Between Neighborhood Social Disadvantage and Brain Development Across Adolescence. *JAMA Psychiatry* **74**, 824, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.1558 (2017).
38 Hill, K. G. *et al.* Outcomes of Childhood Preventive Intervention Across 2 Generations. *JAMA Pediatrics* **174**, 764, doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.1310 (2020).
39 Garner, A. & Yogman, M. Preventing Childhood Toxic Stress: Partnering With Families and Communities to Promote Relational Health. *Pediatrics* **148**, e2021052582, doi:10.1542/peds.2021-052582 (2021).
40 Cree, R. A. *et al.* Health Care, Family, and Community Factors Associated with Mental, Behavioral, and Developmental Disorders and Poverty Among Children Aged 2–8 Years — United States, 2016. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* **67**, 1377-1383, doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6750a1 (2018).
41 Wang, Y. & Blei, D. M. The Blessings of Multiple Causes. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **114**, 1574-1596, doi:10.1080/01621459.2019.1686987 (2019).
42 Karcher, N. R. *et al.* Assessment of the Prodromal Questionnaire–Brief Child Version for Measurement of Self-reported Psychotic-like Experiences in Childhood. *JAMA Psychiatry* **75**, 853, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.1334 (2018).
43 Karcher, N. R., Perino, M. T. & Barch, D. M. An item response theory analysis of the Prodromal Questionnaire-Brief Child Version: Developing a screening form that informs understanding of self-reported psychotic-like experiences in childhood. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* **129**, 293-304, doi:10.1037/abn0000502 (2020).
44 Thompson, W. K. *et al.* The structure of cognition in 9 and 10 year-old children and associations with problem behaviors: Findings from the ABCD study's baseline neurocognitive battery. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience* **36**, 100606, doi:10.1016/j.dcn.2018.12.004 (2019).
45 Barch, D. M. *et al.* Demographic, physical and mental health assessments in the adolescent brain and

cognitive development study: Rationale and description. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience* **32**, 55-66, doi:10.1016/j.dcn.2017.10.010 (2018).

46 Van Dijk, M. T., Murphy, E., Posner, J. E., Talati, A. & Weissman, M. M. Association of Multigenerational Family History of Depression With Lifetime Depressive and Other Psychiatric Disorders in Children. *JAMA Psychiatry*, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.0350 (2021).

47 Brody, G. H. *et al.* The Protective Effects of Supportive Parenting on the Relationship Between Adolescent Poverty and Resting-State Functional Brain Connectivity During Adulthood. *Psychol Sci* **30**, 1040-1049, doi:10.1177/0956797619847989 (2019).

48 Hwang, H. *et al.* An approach to structural equation modeling with both factors and components: Integrated generalized structured component analysis. *Psychological Methods* **26**, 273-294, doi:10.1037/met0000336 (2021).

49 Cho, G., Hwang, H., Sarstedt, M. & Ringle, C. M. Cutoff criteria for overall model fit indexes in generalized structured component analysis. *Journal of Marketing Analytics* **8**, 189-202, doi:10.1057/s41270-020-00089-1 (2020).

50 Eastvold, A. D., Heaton, R. K. & Cadenhead, K. S. Neurocognitive deficits in the (putative) prodrome and first episode of psychosis. *Schizophrenia Research* **93**, 266-277, doi:10.1016/j.schres.2007.03.013 (2007).

51 Cornblatt, B. & Obuchowski, M. Update of high-risk research: 1987-1997. *International Review of Psychiatry* **9**, 437-447 (1997).

52 Lesh, T. A., Niendam, T. A., Minzenberg, M. J. & Carter, C. S. Cognitive Control Deficits in Schizophrenia: Mechanisms and Meaning. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **36**, 316-338, doi:10.1038/npp.2010.156 (2011).

53 Lewis, G. *et al.* Assessment of the Role of IQ in Associations Between Population Density and Deprivation and Nonaffective Psychosis. *JAMA Psychiatry* **77**, 729, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.0103 (2020).

54 Sariaslan, A. *et al.* Schizophrenia and subsequent neighborhood deprivation: revisiting the social drift hypothesis using population, twin and molecular genetic data. *Translational Psychiatry* **6**, e796-e796, doi:10.1038/tp.2016.62 (2016).

55 Joo, Y. Y., Cha, J., Freese, J. & Hayes, M. G. *Cognitive Capacity Genomewide Polygenic Scores Identify Individuals Resilient to Cognitive Decline in Aging * (Research Square Platform LLC, 2021).

56 Harden, K. P. & Koellinger, P. D. Using genetics for social science. *Nature Human Behaviour* **4**, 567-576, doi:10.1038/s41562-020-0862-5 (2020).

57 Wendt, F. R. *et al.* Multivariate genome-wide analysis of education, socioeconomic status and brain phenome. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 1-15 (2020).

58 Finucane, H. K. *et al.* Heritability enrichment of specifically expressed genes identifies disease-relevant tissues and cell types. *Nature Genetics* **50**, 621-629, doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0081-4 (2018).

59 Demange, P. A. *et al.* Investigating the genetic architecture of noncognitive skills using GWAS-by-subtraction. *Nature Genetics* **53**, 35-44, doi:10.1038/s41588-020-00754-2 (2021).

60 Belsky, D. W. *et al.* Genetic analysis of social-class mobility in five longitudinal studies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, E7275-E7284, doi:10.1073/pnas.1801238115 (2018).

61 Aarøe, L. *et al.* Genetic predictors of educational attainment and intelligence test performance predict voter turnout. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 1-11, doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-00952-2 (2020).

62 Barth, D., Papageorge, N. W. & Thom, K. Genetic endowments and wealth inequality. *Journal of Political Economy* **128**, 1474-1522, doi:https://doi.org/10.1086/705415 (2020).

63 Young, A. I., Benonisdottir, S., Przeworski, M. & Kong, A. Deconstructing the sources of genotype-phenotype associations in humans. *Science* **365**, 1396-1400, doi:doi:10.1126/science.aax3710 (2019).

64 Shonkoff, J. P. Leveraging the biology of adversity to address the roots of disparities in health and development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 17302-17307, doi:10.1073/pnas.1121259109 (2012).

65 Fichtenberg, C. M., Alley, D. E. & Mistry, K. B. Improving Social Needs Intervention Research: Key Questions for Advancing the Field. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* **57**, S47-S54, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2019.07.018 (2019).

66 Rimvall, M. K. *et al.* Evidence that self-reported psychotic experiences in children are clinically relevant. *Schizophrenia Research* **204**, 415-416, doi:10.1016/j.schres.2018.08.003 (2019).

67 Ge, T., Chen, C.-Y., Ni, Y., Feng, Y.-C. A. & Smoller, J. W. Polygenic prediction via Bayesian regression and continuous shrinkage priors. *Nature Communications* **10**, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09718-5 (2019).

68 Alexander, D. H., Novembre, J. & Lange, K. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated

individuals. *Genome research* **19**, 1655-1664 (2009).

69 Fox, J. & Monette, G. Generalized Collinearity Diagnostics. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **87**, 178-183, doi:10.1080/01621459.1992.10475190 (1992).

70 Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* **67**, 1 - 48, doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01 (2015).

71 Akimova, E. T., Breen, R., Brazel, D. M. & Mills, M. C. Gene-environment dependencies lead to collider bias in models with polygenic scores. *Scientific Reports* **11**, doi:10.1038/s41598-021-89020-x (2021).

72 Wang, Y. & Blei, D. M. in *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning* Vol. 139 (eds Meila Marina & Zhang Tong) 10697--10707 (PMLR, Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, 2021).

73 Cho, G., Sarstedt, M. & Hwang, H. A comparative evaluation of factor- and component-based structural equation modeling approaches under (in)correct construct representations. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology* (2021).

74 Spearman, C. "General Intelligence," Objectively Determined and Measured. *The American Journal of Psychology* **15**, 201-292, doi:10.2307/1412107 (1904).

75 Jensen, A. R. *The g Factor: The Science of Mental Ability*. (Praeger Publishers/Greenwood Publishing Group, 1998).

76 Hwang, H., Cho, G. & Choo, H. *GSCA Pro 1.1 User's Manual*. (2021).

77 Jöreskog, K. G. & Sörbom, D. *PRELIS: a program for multivariate data screening and data summarization*. (Scientific Software, Mooresville, 1986).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the New Faculty Startup Fund from Seoul National University and a Research grant from Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, South Korea (2021R1I1A1A01054995).

Tables

Table 1. **Demographic characteristics of the study participants.** The 7,632 multiethnic participants consisted of 1,127 African-ancestry (14.77%), 269 Native American ancestry (3.52%), 101 East Asian ancestry (1.32%), 260 not specified (3.01%), and 5,905 European ancestry (77.37%) children. Because we used the European-descent-based GWAS for GPS construction, we performed our analysis in children of European ancestry and then replicated our findings in the multiethnic children. *Age* is rounded to chronological month. *Parental Education* is measured as the highest grade or level of school completed or highest degree received. *Family History of Psychiatric Disorders* represents the proportion of first-degree relatives who experienced mental illness. Differences between genetic ancestry groups were calculated using χ^2 tests for categorical variables and t tests for continuous variables.

Demographic Characteristics		**European Ancestry (n=5,905)**			**Multiethnic (n=7,632)**			**Test Statistics**	
		N	**Ratio(%)**	**Mean (SD)**	**N**	**Ratio(%)**	**Mean (SD)**	**t/χ^2**	**p value**
Sex	Male	3,144	53.24%		4,014	52.59%		5,900	<0.001
	Female	2,761	46.76%		3,618	47.41%			
Marital Status	Married	4,501	76.22%		5,230	68.53%		30,000	<0.001
	Widowed	44	0.75%		60	0.79%			
	Divorced	565	9.57%		717	9.39%			
	Separated	189	3.20%		291	3.81%			
	Never Married	330	5.59%		874	11.45%			

	Living with Partner	276	4.67%		460	6.03%			
Age		5,905		118.99 (7.46)	7,632		118.94 (7.41)	0.37	0.7103
BMI				18.85 (39.20)			19.21 (34.57)	-0.55	0.5816
Household Income				7.83 (1.90)			7.36 (2.27)	13.04	<0.001
Parental Education				17.18 (2.33)			16.68 (2.71)	11.39	<0.001
Family's Financial Adversity				12.84 (199.59)			16.74 (224.09)	-1.07	0.2849
Family History of Psychiatric Disorders				0.08 (0.09)			0.07 (0.09)	4.19	<0.001

765

Table 2. Linear mixed model analysis of CP GPS from samples of European ancestry. The variable indicating 21 ABCD research sites was set as random effect. The following covariates were included as fixed effects: sex, age, BMI, parental education, marital status, household income, and family's financial adversity based on parent self-reporting. Family history of psychiatric disorders was included as an additional fixed effect covariate for Total Score, Distress Score, and parent-rated psychotic-like experiences. CP, cognitive performance; GPS, genome-wide polygenic score. 95% confidence intervals were obtained from 5,000 bootstrapping iterations. The p values are based on two-tailed tests and false discovery rate (FDR) corrected for multiple comparisons.

	Linear Mixed Model: European Ancestry														
	Total Intelligence					**Fluid Intelligence**					**Crystallized Intelligence**				
	β	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**
GPS															
CP	0.1862	0.0111	0.1648	0.2080	0.0000	0.1255	0.0118	0.1026	0.1486	0.0000	0.2069	0.0110	0.1853	0.2284	0.0000
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	-0.0723	0.0169	-0.1054	-0.0390	0.0001	-0.0508	0.0182	-0.0877	-0.0150	0.0126	-0.0816	0.0175	-0.1148	-0.0471	0.0000
Years of Residence	0.0172	0.0117	-0.006	0.0397	0.2008	0.0026	0.0125	-0.0217	0.0272	0.8345	0.0331	0.0117	0.0106	0.0563	0.0110
Poverty	-0.0164	0.0153	-0.0463	0.0137	0.2832	-0.0155	0.0164	-0.0479	0.0173	0.4812	-0.0115	0.0155	-0.0412	0.0187	0.5315
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	0.0137	0.0124	-0.0099	0.0371	0.2832	0.0031	0.0132	-0.0235	0.0282	0.8345	0.0238	0.0123	-0.0002	0.0477	0.0941
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	0.0193	0.0121	-0.004	0.0422	0.1915	0.0191	0.0129	-0.0059	0.0451	0.2418	0.0116	0.0120	-0.0119	0.0356	0.4677
Good Schooling	0.0348	0.0123	0.0113	0.0589	0.0111	0.0520	0.0132	0.0269	0.0778	0.0003	-0.0040	0.0123	-0.0281	0.0201	0.7447

	Linear Mixed Model: European Ancestry														
	Total Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Distress Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Parent-Rated Psychotic-like Experiences				
	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p
GPS															
CP	-0.0326	0.0121	-0.0567	-0.0085	0.0169	-0.0375	0.0122	-0.0618	-0.0139	0.0038	0.0125	0.0125	-0.0123	0.0368	0.5543
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	0.0496	0.0203	0.0083	0.0882	0.0258	0.0627	0.0203	0.0212	0.1027	0.0038	0.0583	0.0179	0.0227	0.0923	0.0044
Years of Residence	-0.0194	0.0129	-0.0456	0.0072	0.1862	-0.0164	0.0130	-0.0424	0.0089	0.2431	-0.0064	0.0132	-0.0322	0.0198	0.7348
Poverty	0.0035	0.0174	-0.0301	0.0383	0.8386	0.0018	0.0174	-0.0331	0.0362	0.9176	0.006	0.0168	-0.0275	0.0391	0.7348
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	-0.0816	0.0135	-0.1088	-0.0546	0.0000	-0.0597	0.0136	-0.0869	-0.0329	0.0000	-0.0218	0.014	-0.0489	0.0062	0.2750
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	-0.0186	0.0132	-0.0455	0.0077	0.1862	-0.0204	0.0133	-0.0466	0.0052	0.1764	-0.0046	0.0136	-0.0313	0.0223	0.7348
Good Schooling	-0.1144	0.0135	-0.1415	-0.0877	0.0000	-0.1151	0.0136	-0.1412	-0.0886	0.0000	-0.0544	0.0139	-0.0822	-0.0275	0.0007

Table 3. Linear mixed model analysis of EA GPS from samples of European ancestry. The variable indicating 21 ABCD research sites was set as random effect. The following covariates were included as fixed effects: sex, age, BMI, parental education, marital status, household income, and family's financial adversity based on parent self-reporting. Family history of psychiatric disorders was included as an additional fixed effect covariate for Total Score, Distress Score, and parent-rated psychotic-like experiences. EA, educational attainment; GPS, genome-wide polygenic score. 95% confidence intervals were obtained from 5,000 bootstrapping iterations. The p values are based on two-tailed test and false discovery rate (FDR) corrected for multiple comparisons.

	Linear Mixed Model: European Ancestry														
	Total Intelligence					**Fluid Intelligence**					**Crystallized Intelligence**				
	β	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**
GPS															
EA	0.1396	0.0116	0.1172	0.1619	0.0000	0.0747	0.0124	0.0511	0.0982	0.0000	0.1835	0.0116	0.1602	0.2058	0.0000
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	-0.0657	0.0172	-0.0996	-0.0316	0.0005	-0.0489	0.0184	-0.0855	-0.0124	0.0187	-0.0714	0.0178	-0.1059	-0.0354	0.0002
Years of Residence	0.0143	0.0119	-0.0094	0.0375	0.3218	0.0014	0.0126	-0.0239	0.0269	0.9114	0.0289	0.0118	0.0047	0.0517	0.0340
Poverty	-0.0166	0.0155	-0.0468	0.0142	0.3303	-0.0143	0.0165	-0.0475	0.0184	0.5410	-0.0139	0.0156	-0.0439	0.0157	0.4376
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	0.0121	0.0125	-0.0116	0.0367	0.3353	0.0023	0.0133	-0.0239	0.0291	0.9114	0.0215	0.0124	-0.0030	0.0454	0.1460
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	0.0198	0.0122	-0.0050	0.0436	0.1834	0.0194	0.0130	-0.0062	0.0450	0.2347	0.0122	0.0121	-0.0113	0.0362	0.4376
Good Schooling	0.0375	0.0125	0.0121	0.0615	0.0062	0.0540	0.0132	0.0289	0.0797	0.0002	-0.0013	0.0124	-0.0253	0.0234	0.9172

	Linear Mixed Model: European Ancestry														
	Total Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Distress Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Parent-Rated Psychotic-like Experiences				
	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p
GPS															
EA	-0.0625	0.0126	-0.0869	-0.0372	0.0000	-0.0657	0.0127	-0.0904	-0.0405	0.0000	-0.0080	0.0130	-0.0330	0.0171	0.7369
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	0.0437	0.0204	0.0043	0.0832	0.0556	0.0566	0.0204	0.0174	0.0976	0.0095	0.0563	0.0179	0.0215	0.0921	0.0066
Years of Residence	-0.0175	0.0129	-0.0433	0.0079	0.2048	-0.0144	0.0130	-0.0404	0.0105	0.3124	-0.0059	0.0132	-0.0325	0.0197	0.7369
Poverty	0.0063	0.0173	-0.0278	0.0394	0.7183	0.0045	0.0174	-0.0304	0.0385	0.7956	0.0072	0.0169	-0.0260	0.0399	0.7369
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	-0.0808	0.0135	-0.1067	-0.0540	0.0000	-0.0588	0.0136	-0.0855	-0.0325	0.0000	-0.0217	0.0140	-0.0485	0.0062	0.2805
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	-0.0188	0.0132	-0.0452	0.0079	0.2048	-0.0206	0.0133	-0.0464	0.0054	0.1706	-0.0046	0.0136	-0.0309	0.0214	0.7369
Good Schooling	-0.1146	0.0135	-0.1419	-0.0885	0.0000	-0.1153	0.0136	-0.1425	-0.0882	0.0000	-0.0540	0.0139	-0.0816	-0.0270	0.0008

Table 4. Deconfounder analysis of CP GPS from samples of European ancestry. The variable indicating 21 ABCD research sites was set as random effect. The following covariates were included as fixed effects: sex, age, BMI, parental education, marital status, household income, and family's financial adversity based on parents' self-report. Family history of psychiatric disorders was included as an additional fixed effect covariate for Total Score, Distress Score, and parent-rated psychotic-like experiences. CP, cognitive performance; GPS, genome-wide polygenic score. All p values are two-tailed.

	Deconfounder: European Ancestry														
	Total Intelligence					**Fluid Intelligence**					**Crystallized Intelligence**				
	β	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**
GPS															
CP	0.1919	0.0125	0.1674	0.2164	0.0000	0.1373	0.0133	0.1111	0.1635	0.0000	0.2046	0.0124	0.1802	0.2290	0.0000
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	-0.0564	0.0220	-0.0996	-0.0133	0.0103	-0.0445	0.0210	-0.0857	-0.0034	0.0340	-0.0602	0.0203	-0.1000	-0.0204	0.0030
Years of Residence	0.0089	0.0135	-0.0176	0.0354	0.5101	-0.0015	0.0144	-0.0297	0.0268	0.9173	0.0217	0.0135	-0.0047	0.0480	0.1079
Poverty	-0.0121	0.0188	-0.0490	0.0247	0.5188	-0.0130	0.0192	-0.0507	0.0247	0.4979	-0.0110	0.0181	-0.0465	0.0245	0.5444
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	0.0204	0.0139	-0.0067	0.0476	0.1406	0.0117	0.0148	-0.0174	0.0408	0.4303	0.0259	0.0138	-0.0012	0.0530	0.0615
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	0.0060	0.0137	-0.0207	0.0328	0.6592	0.0085	0.0146	-0.0201	0.0372	0.5582	0.0021	0.0136	-0.0245	0.0288	0.8746
Good Schooling	0.0391	0.0139	0.0119	0.0664	0.0049	0.0589	0.0149	0.0297	0.0880	0.0001	-0.0055	0.0139	-0.0326	0.0217	0.6938

	Deconfounder: European Ancestry														
	Total Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Distress Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Parent-Rated Psychotic-like Experiences				
	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p
GPS															
CP	-0.0290	0.0134	-0.0554	-0.0027	0.0310	-0.0288	0.0135	-0.0553	-0.0023	0.0334	0.0217	0.0139	-0.0055	0.0489	0.1180
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	0.0608	0.0232	0.0153	0.1063	0.0089	0.0740	0.0233	0.0284	0.1197	0.0015	0.0441	0.0244	-0.0038	0.0920	0.0712
Years of Residence	-0.0093	0.0145	-0.0378	0.0192	0.5217	-0.0104	0.0146	-0.0391	0.0183	0.4768	0.0073	0.0150	-0.0221	0.0367	0.6253
Poverty	0.0078	0.0200	-0.0313	0.0470	0.6951	-0.0028	0.0201	-0.0422	0.0365	0.8877	-0.0150	0.0208	-0.0557	0.0257	0.4702
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	-0.0966	0.0149	-0.1258	-0.0674	0.0000	-0.0772	0.0150	-0.1066	-0.0478	0.0000	-0.0283	0.0154	-0.0584	0.0018	0.0658
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	-0.0177	0.0146	-0.0463	0.0110	0.2276	-0.0193	0.0147	-0.0482	0.0096	0.1903	-0.0031	0.0151	-0.0327	0.0265	0.8372
Good Schooling	-0.1152	0.0150	-0.1445	-0.0858	0.0000	-0.1117	0.0151	-0.1413	-0.0822	0.0000	-0.0388	0.0154	-0.0691	-0.0086	0.0119

Table 5. Deconfounder analysis of EA GPS from samples of European ancestry. The variable indicating 21 ABCD research sites was set as random effect. The following covariates were included as fixed effects: sex, age, BMI, parental education, marital status, household income, and family's financial adversity based on parents' self-report. Family history of psychiatric disorders was included as an additional fixed effect covariate for Total Score, Distress Score, and parent-rated psychotic-like experiences. EA, educational attainment; GPS, genome-wide polygenic score. All p values are two-tailed.

	Deconfounder: European Ancestry														
	Total Intelligence					**Fluid Intelligence**					**Crystallized Intelligence**				
	β	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**
GPS															
EA	0.1470	0.0133	0.1208	0.1731	0.0000	0.0827	0.0142	0.0549	0.1105	0.0000	0.1882	0.0132	0.1623	0.2140	0.0000
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	-0.0476	0.0195	-0.0859	-0.0094	0.0147	-0.0423	0.0211	-0.0837	-0.0009	0.0454	-0.0439	0.0205	-0.0841	-0.0037	0.0324
Years of Residence	0.0027	0.0136	-0.0240	0.0295	0.8404	-0.0043	0.0145	-0.0328	0.0241	0.7646	0.0141	0.0135	-0.0124	0.0406	0.2966
Poverty	-0.0119	0.0185	-0.0481	0.0243	0.5188	-0.0112	0.0197	-0.0497	0.0274	0.5709	-0.0087	0.0185	-0.0450	0.0276	0.6389
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	0.0180	0.0140	-0.0096	0.0455	0.2008	0.0103	0.0149	-0.0189	0.0396	0.4892	0.0219	0.0139	-0.0054	0.0491	0.1155
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	0.0082	0.0138	-0.0189	0.0352	0.5554	0.0098	0.0147	-0.0190	0.0386	0.5046	0.0021	0.0137	-0.0247	0.0289	0.8799
Good Schooling	0.0417	0.0141	0.0141	0.0693	0.0030	0.0616	0.0150	0.0323	0.0909	0.0000	-0.0020	0.0139	-0.0293	0.0253	0.8867

	Deconfounder: European Ancestry														
	Total Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Distress Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Parent-Rated Psychotic-like Experiences				
	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p
GPS															
EA	-0.0646	0.0141	-0.0923	-0.0369	0.0000	-0.0704	0.0142	-0.0982	-0.0425	0.0000	0.0036	0.0146	-0.0250	0.0322	0.8057
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	0.0594	0.0233	0.0138	0.1050	0.0106	0.0777	0.0233	0.0320	0.1234	0.0009	0.0421	0.0245	-0.0060	0.0902	0.0862
Years of Residence	-0.0086	0.0144	-0.0369	0.0197	0.5508	-0.0123	0.0145	-0.0408	0.0162	0.3965	0.0080	0.0149	-0.0212	0.0373	0.5900
Poverty	0.0144	0.0200	-0.0249	0.0536	0.4733	0.0090	0.0201	-0.0304	0.0483	0.6560	-0.0189	0.0208	-0.0598	0.0219	0.3637
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	-0.0977	0.0149	-0.1269	-0.0686	0.0000	-0.0794	0.0150	-0.1088	-0.0500	0.0000	-0.0278	0.0154	-0.0580	0.0024	0.0711
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	-0.0206	0.0146	-0.0492	0.0081	0.1594	-0.0251	0.0147	-0.0539	0.0038	0.0883	-0.0028	0.0151	-0.0324	0.0268	0.8520
Good Schooling	-0.1157	0.0149	-0.1450	-0.0864	0.0000	-0.1137	0.0150	-0.1432	-0.0842	0.0000	-0.0379	0.0155	-0.0682	-0.0077	0.0141

801 **Table 6**. **IGSCA analysis of samples of European ancestry.** Sex, age, BMI, parental education, marital status, household income, and

802 family's financial adversity based on parents' self-report, family history of psychiatric disorders, and ABCD research sites were included as

803 covariates. SE and CI represent standard error and confidence intervals, respectively. Significant effects are marked with a star (*).

Analysis of Total/Direct/Indirect Effects						
Effect Type	**Paths**	**Estimate**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**Significance**
1. Effects from GPS to Psychotic-like Experiences						
Total Effect	GPS→ Psychotic-like Experiences	-0.04649	0.012993	-0.07151	-0.02128	*
Indirect Effect	GPS→ Intelligence→ Psychotic-like Experiences	-0.04929	0.008042	-0.07078	-0.03858	*
Direct Effect	GPS→ Psychotic-like Experiences	0.0028	0.014938	-0.02113	0.037497	
2. Effects from Residential Disadvantage to Intelligence						
Total Effect	Residential Disadvantage→ Intelligence	-0.09939	0.018623	-0.13691	-0.06416	*
Indirect Effect	Residential Disadvantage→ Positive Environment→ Intelligence	-0.00155	0.001078	-0.00407	0.000147	
Direct Effect	Residential Disadvantage→ Intelligence	-0.09784	0.018617	-0.13511	-0.06219	*
3. Effects from Residential Disadvantage to Psychotic-like Experiences						
Total Effect	Residential Disadvantage→ Psychotic-like Experiences	0.07819	0.019226	0.040337	0.116044	*
Indirect Effect	Residential Disadvantage→ Positive Environment→ Intelligence→ Psychotic-like Experiences	0.000269	0.000211	-0.000031	0.00079	
Indirect Effect	Residential Disadvantage→ Positive Environment→ Psychotic-like Experiences	0.004101	0.002368	-0.00038	0.008951	

Indirect Effect	Residential Disadvantage→ Intelligence→ Psychotic-like Experiences	0.016984	0.004382	0.01054	0.027928	*
Direct Effect	Residential Disadvantage→ Psychotic-like Experiences	0.056835	0.019188	0.018153	0.092284	*
4. Effects from Positive Environment to Psychotic-like Experiences						
Total Effect	Positive Environment→ Psychotic-like Experiences	-0.14316	0.01522	-0.17321	-0.11416	*
Indirect Effect	Positive Environment→ Intelligence→ Psychotic-like Experiences	-0.00881	0.003219	-0.01704	-0.0044	*
Direct Effect	Positive Environment→ Psychotic-like Experiences	-0.13435	0.015512	-0.1639	-0.10377	*

Figures



Figure 1: Structural equation model of cognitive capacity GPSs, residential disadvantage, positive intervention, intelligence, and psychotic-like experiences. CP GPS and EA GPS denote genome-wide polygenic scores of cognitive performance and education attainment, respectively. Fluid and Crystallized denote fluid and crystallized intelligence, respectively. Psychosis denotes individual status of psychotic-like experiences. Years denotes *years of residence*; Good Parenting 1 denotes good parenting behavior of the first caregiver. Good Parenting 2 denotes good parenting behavior of the second caregiver. Indirect pathways via intelligence were significant for causal relationships between polygenic scores, residential disadvantage, positive intervention, and psychotic-like experiences. This result highlights the mediating role of

intelligence. The magnitudes of the direct effects are shown in the figure.

Note: * indicates a statistically significant parameter estimate at α=.05.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Figure 1. Correlation plot of residential, family, and school environments from European ancestry samples. Histograms of each variable are shown in the diagonals.



Supplementary Figure 2. Correlation plot of residential, family, and school environments from multiethnic samples. Histograms of each variable are shown in the diagonals.



Supplementary Figure 3. Bar graph of intelligence and psychotic-like experiences for quartiles of GPSs from European ancestry samples. Higher EA and CP GPSs correlate with higher intelligence and less psychotic-like experiences.



Supplementary Figure 4. Bar graph of intelligence and psychotic-like experiences for quartiles of GPSs from multiethnic samples. Higher EA and CP GPSs correlate with higher intelligence and less psychotic-like experiences.

Supplementary Table 1. Linear mixed model analysis of CP GPS from multiethnic samples. The variable indicating 21 ABCD research sites was set as random effect. The following covariates were included as fixed effects: sex, age, genetic ethnicity, BMI, parental education, marital status, household income, and family's financial adversity based on parents' self-report. Family history of psychiatric disorders was included as an additional fixed effect covariate for Total Score, Distress Score, and parent-rated psychotic-like experiences. CP, cognitive performance; GPS, genome-wide polygenic score. 95% confidence intervals were obtained from 5,000 bootstrapping iterations. The p values are based on two-tailed tests and false discovery rate (FDR) corrected for multiple comparisons.

	Linear Mixed Model: Multiethnic														
	Total Intelligence					**Fluid Intelligence**					**Crystallized Intelligence**				
	β	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**
GPS															
CP	0.1656	0.0094	0.1476	0.1844	0.0000	0.1161	0.0103	0.0955	0.1359	0.0000	0.1851	0.0094	0.1673	0.2033	0.0000
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	-0.0554	0.0143	-0.0842	-0.0272	0.0004	-0.0371	0.0159	-0.0688	-0.0052	0.0354	-0.0615	0.0145	-0.0905	-0.0338	0.0000
Years of Residence	0.0208	0.0098	0.0015	0.0400	0.0473	0.0040	0.0107	-0.0172	0.0253	0.7057	0.0394	0.0098	0.0200	0.0577	0.0002
Poverty	-0.0428	0.0135	-0.0687	-0.0156	0.0032	-0.0348	0.0148	-0.0645	-0.0057	0.0354	-0.0414	0.0135	-0.0674	-0.0147	0.0039
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	0.0037	0.0102	-0.0162	0.0239	0.7162	-0.0059	0.0111	-0.0278	0.0158	0.6918	0.0170	0.0101	-0.0027	0.0373	0.1303
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	0.0117	0.0099	-0.0079	0.0310	0.2746	0.0157	0.0108	-0.0050	0.0375	0.2022	0.0013	0.0098	-0.0181	0.0204	0.8974
Good Schooling	0.0318	0.0102	0.0112	0.0513	0.0032	0.0479	0.0111	0.0259	0.0696	0.0000	-0.0028	0.0101	-0.0223	0.0169	0.8974

	Linear Mixed Model: Multiethnic														
	Total Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Distress Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Parent-Rated Psychotic-like Experiences				
	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p
GPS															
CP	-0.0179	0.0109	-0.0397	0.0032	0.1379	-0.0237	0.0110	-0.0452	-0.0021	0.0430	0.0000	0.0114	-0.0222	0.0231	0.9975
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	0.0790	0.0178	0.0440	0.1146	0.0000	0.0821	0.0179	0.0464	0.1181	0.0000	0.0632	0.0158	0.0318	0.0949	0.0007
Years of Residence	-0.0291	0.0113	-0.0514	-0.0067	0.0176	-0.0258	0.0115	-0.0482	-0.0036	0.0425	-0.0158	0.0118	-0.0390	0.0074	0.3157
Poverty	0.0049	0.0159	-0.0254	0.0363	0.8017	0.0055	0.0161	-0.0261	0.0372	0.7305	-0.0149	0.0158	-0.0456	0.0168	0.4844
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	-0.0679	0.0117	-0.0912	-0.0454	0.0000	-0.0438	0.0119	-0.0662	-0.0201	0.0005	-0.0298	0.0123	-0.0544	-0.0058	0.0357
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	-0.0029	0.0114	-0.0259	0.0198	0.8017	-0.0095	0.0115	-0.0321	0.0135	0.4764	-0.0093	0.0119	-0.0323	0.0134	0.5048
Good Schooling	-0.1136	0.0117	-0.1363	-0.0905	0.0000	-0.1104	0.0119	-0.1343	-0.0876	0.0000	-0.0462	0.0123	-0.0714	-0.0216	0.0007

Supplementary Table 2. Linear mixed model analysis of EA GPS from multiethnic samples. The variable indicating 21 ABCD research sites was set as random effect. The following covariates were included as fixed effects: sex, age, genetic ethnicity, BMI, parental education, marital status, household income, and family's financial adversity based on parents' self-report. Family history of psychiatric disorders was included as an additional fixed effect covariate for Total Score, Distress Score, and parent-rated psychotic-like experiences. EA, educational attainment; GPS, genome-wide polygenic score. 95% confidence intervals were obtained from 5,000 bootstrapping iterations. The p values are based on two-tailed tests and false discovery rate (FDR) corrected for multiple comparisons.

	Linear Mixed Model: Multiethnic														
	Total Intelligence					**Fluid Intelligence**					**Crystallized Intelligence**				
	β	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**
GPS															
EA	0.1184	0.0097	0.1000	0.1380	0.0000	0.0639	0.0106	0.0426	0.0847	0.0000	0.1603	0.0097	0.1414	0.1789	0.0000
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	-0.0513	0.0145	-0.0806	-0.0230	0.0012	-0.0368	0.0161	-0.0687	-0.0046	0.0418	-0.0539	0.0147	-0.0826	-0.0237	0.0006
Years of Residence	0.0188	0.0099	-0.0008	0.0381	0.0813	0.0034	0.0108	-0.0175	0.0245	0.7517	0.0361	0.0098	0.0168	0.0553	0.0006
Poverty	-0.0428	0.0136	-0.0689	-0.0163	0.0030	-0.0337	0.0149	-0.0627	-0.0046	0.0418	-0.0430	0.0136	-0.0698	-0.0169	0.0028
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	0.0019	0.0103	-0.0183	0.0226	0.8549	-0.0068	0.0112	-0.0293	0.0155	0.6312	0.0145	0.0102	-0.0049	0.0347	0.2192
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	0.0131	0.0100	-0.0061	0.0326	0.2188	0.0164	0.0108	-0.0046	0.0382	0.1820	0.0034	0.0099	-0.0159	0.0235	0.8568
Good Schooling	0.0356	0.0103	0.0151	0.0558	0.0012	0.0506	0.0112	0.0292	0.0720	0.0000	0.0014	0.0102	-0.0187	0.0216	0.8903

	Linear Mixed Model: Multiethnic														
	Total Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Distress Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Parent-Rated Psychotic-like Experiences				
	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p
GPS															
EA	-0.0524	0.0111	-0.0751	-0.0300	0.0000	-0.0544	0.0112	-0.0761	-0.0316	0.0000	-0.0184	0.0130	-0.0407	0.0052	0.1972
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	0.0740	0.0178	0.0385	0.1090	0.0001	0.0772	0.0179	0.0421	0.1126	0.0000	0.0609	0.0179	0.0297	0.0922	0.0007
Years of Residence	-0.0274	0.0113	-0.0493	-0.0052	0.0217	-0.0241	0.0114	-0.0459	-0.0006	0.0496	-0.0151	0.0132	-0.0378	0.0076	0.2813
Poverty	0.0071	0.0159	-0.0232	0.0394	0.7417	0.0076	0.0161	-0.0239	0.0398	0.6363	-0.0139	0.0169	-0.0454	0.0170	0.4174
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	-0.0669	0.0117	-0.0898	-0.0436	0.0000	-0.0428	0.0118	-0.0655	-0.0205	0.0005	-0.0294	0.0140	-0.0534	-0.0055	0.0387
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	-0.0037	0.0114	-0.0257	0.0187	0.7417	-0.0104	0.0115	-0.0334	0.0129	0.4255	-0.0096	0.0136	-0.0320	0.0141	0.4174
Good Schooling	-0.1140	0.0117	-0.1384	-0.0920	0.0000	-0.1110	0.0119	-0.1342	-0.0882	0.0000	-0.0462	0.0139	-0.0708	-0.0222	0.0007

Supplementary Table 3. Deconfounder analysis of CP GPS from multiethnic samples. The variable indicating 21 ABCD research sites was set as random effect. The following covariates were included as fixed effects: sex, age, genetic ethnicity, BMI, parental education, marital status, household income, and family's financial adversity based on parents' self-report. Family history of psychiatric disorders was included as an additional fixed effect covariate for Total Score, Distress Score, and parent-rated psychotic-like experiences. CP, cognitive performance; GPS, genome-wide polygenic score. All p values are two-tailed.

	Deconfounder: Multiethnic														
	Total Intelligence					**Fluid Intelligence**					**Crystallized Intelligence**				
	β	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**
GPS															
CP	0.1690	0.0106	0.1483	0.1897	0.0000	0.1177	0.0115	0.0951	0.1403	0.0000	0.1900	0.0105	0.1694	0.2106	0.0000
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	-0.0757	0.0164	-0.1080	-0.0435	0.0000	-0.0585	0.0183	-0.0944	-0.0225	0.0014	-0.0756	0.0163	-0.1075	-0.0437	0.0000
Years of Residence	0.0191	0.0111	-0.0027	0.0409	0.0860	0.0032	0.0121	-0.0206	0.0270	0.7922	0.0370	0.0111	0.0153	0.0587	0.0008
Poverty	-0.0557	0.0152	-0.0856	-0.0258	0.0003	-0.0548	0.0166	-0.0875	-0.0222	0.0010	-0.0386	0.0152	-0.0683	-0.0088	0.0111
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	0.0013	0.0115	-0.0213	0.0238	0.9110	-0.0072	0.0125	-0.0317	0.0174	0.5684	0.0141	0.0114	-0.0083	0.0366	0.2164
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	0.0174	0.0110	-0.0041	0.0388	0.1130	0.0218	0.0119	-0.0016	0.0452	0.0677	0.0042	0.0109	-0.0171	0.0256	0.6975
Good Schooling	0.0178	0.0114	-0.0045	0.0401	0.1184	0.0321	0.0124	0.0078	0.0564	0.0097	-0.0087	0.0113	-0.0309	0.0135	0.4412

	Deconfounder: Multiethnic														
	Total Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Distress Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Parent-Rated Psychotic-like Experiences				
	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p
GPS															
CP	-0.0167	0.0121	-0.0404	0.0071	0.1698	-0.0233	0.0123	-0.0474	0.0008	0.0585	0.0077	0.0128	-0.0174	0.0328	0.5473
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	0.0982	0.0203	0.0585	0.1379	0.0000	0.0964	0.0205	0.0563	0.1366	0.0000	0.0443	0.0216	0.0020	0.0866	0.0402
Years of Residence	-0.0250	0.0128	-0.0501	0.0001	0.0506	-0.0200	0.0130	-0.0455	0.0055	0.1240	-0.0211	0.0135	-0.0476	0.0054	0.1185
Poverty	0.0104	0.0180	-0.0248	0.0457	0.5618	0.0146	0.0182	-0.0211	0.0503	0.4234	-0.0093	0.0190	-0.0466	0.0280	0.6254
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	-0.0618	0.0132	-0.0877	-0.0359	0.0000	-0.0407	0.0134	-0.0670	-0.0145	0.0024	-0.0415	0.0139	-0.0688	-0.0142	0.0029
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	0.0036	0.0126	-0.0211	0.0284	0.7745	-0.0053	0.0128	-0.0304	0.0198	0.6789	-0.0092	0.0133	-0.0354	0.0169	0.4883
Good Schooling	-0.1207	0.0131	-0.1463	-0.0951	0.0000	-0.1129	0.0133	-0.1389	-0.0869	0.0000	-0.0507	0.0138	-0.0778	-0.0237	0.0002

Supplementary Table 4. Deconfounder analysis of EA GPS from multiethnic samples. The variable indicating 21 ABCD research sites was set as random effect. The following covariates were included as fixed effects: sex, age, genetic ethnicity, BMI, parental education, marital status, household income, and family's financial adversity based on parents' self-report. Family history of psychiatric disorders was included as an additional fixed effect covariate for Total Score, Distress Score, and parent-rated psychotic-like experiences. EA, educational attainment; GPS, genome-wide polygenic score. All p values are two-tailed.

	Deconfounder: Multiethnic														
	Total Intelligence					**Fluid Intelligence**					**Crystallized Intelligence**				
	β	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**	**β**	**SE**	**95% CI**		**p**
GPS															
EA	0.1172	0.0109	0.0958	0.1386	0.0000	0.0621	0.0119	0.0389	0.0853	0.0000	0.1603	0.0108	0.1390	0.1815	0.0000
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	-0.0708	0.0166	-0.1034	-0.0382	0.0000	-0.0566	0.0184	-0.0927	-0.0205	0.0021	-0.0680	0.0164	-0.1002	-0.0359	0.0000
Years of Residence	0.0162	0.0112	-0.0058	0.0382	0.1489	0.0011	0.0122	-0.0228	0.0250	0.9278	0.0341	0.0111	0.0122	0.0559	0.0022
Poverty	-0.0560	0.0154	-0.0862	-0.0258	0.0003	-0.0526	0.0167	-0.0854	-0.0198	0.0017	-0.0430	0.0153	-0.0730	-0.0130	0.0049
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	-0.0002	0.0116	-0.0230	0.0226	0.9873	-0.0077	0.0126	-0.0324	0.0171	0.5434	0.0118	0.0115	-0.0108	0.0344	0.3075
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	0.0185	0.0111	-0.0032	0.0403	0.0954	0.0218	0.0121	-0.0018	0.0454	0.0704	0.0069	0.0110	-0.0147	0.0285	0.5294
Good Schooling	0.0207	0.0115	-0.0018	0.0433	0.0715	0.0348	0.0125	0.0103	0.0592	0.0053	-0.0064	0.0114	-0.0287	0.0160	0.5775

	Deconfounder: Multiethnic														
	Total Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Distress Score Psychotic-like Experiences					Parent-Rated Psychotic-like Experiences				
	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p	β	SE	95% CI		p
GPS															
EA	-0.0473	0.0124	-0.0717	-0.0230	0.0001	-0.0463	0.0126	-0.0711	-0.0216	0.0002	-0.0130	0.0131	-0.0388	0.0127	0.3215
Residential Disadvantage															
Area Deprivation Index	0.0952	0.0202	0.0555	0.1348	0.0000	0.0942	0.0205	0.0541	0.1343	0.0000	0.0399	0.0216	-0.0024	0.0822	0.0644
Years of Residence	-0.0234	0.0128	-0.0484	0.0017	0.0673	-0.0188	0.0130	-0.0442	0.0067	0.1481	-0.0201	0.0135	-0.0466	0.0063	0.1361
Poverty	0.0121	0.0179	-0.0229	0.0472	0.4974	0.0166	0.0181	-0.0190	0.0521	0.3612	-0.0070	0.0190	-0.0442	0.0302	0.7132
Positive Environment															
Good Parenting: First Caregiver	-0.0609	0.0132	-0.0867	-0.0350	0.0000	-0.0400	0.0134	-0.0663	-0.0138	0.0028	-0.0417	0.0139	-0.0690	-0.0144	0.0028
Good Parenting: Second Caregiver	0.0017	0.0126	-0.0230	0.0265	0.8900	-0.0072	0.0128	-0.0323	0.0180	0.5754	-0.0074	0.0134	-0.0336	0.0188	0.5801
Good Schooling	-0.1203	0.0131	-0.1459	-0.0947	0.0000	-0.1128	0.0133	-0.1388	-0.0868	0.0000	-0.0510	0.0138	-0.0781	-0.0239	0.0002

Results of linear mixed models and deconfounder analysis with multiethnic samples

7,632 multiethnic participants in the secondary analyses were comprised of 1,127 of African ancestry (14.77%), 269 of Native American ancestry (3.52%), 101 of East Asian ancestry (1.32%), 260 not specified (3.01%), and 5,905 of European ancestry (77.37%) (females 47.41%, mean age 118.94 [SD 7.41]).

The results of linear mixed models were similar to those of main analyses. Higher intelligence and less psychotic-like experiences were significantly associated with higher CP and EA GPS (Intelligence: all $\beta > 0.0639$, $p<0.0001$; Psychotic-like experiences: all $\beta < -0.0237$, $p=0.0418$), lower Area Deprivation Index (Intelligence: all $\beta < -0.0368$, $p=0.0418$; Psychotic-like experiences: all $\beta > 0.0609$, $p=0.0007$), more years of residence (Intelligence: all $\beta > 0.208$, $p=0.0473$; Psychotic-like experiences: all $\beta < -0.0241$, $p=0.0496$), higher supportive parenting behavior of the first caregiver (Psychotic-like experiences: all $\beta < -0.0294$, $p=0.0387$), and positive school environment (Intelligence: all $\beta > 0.0318$, $p=0.0032$; Psychotic-like experiences: all $\beta < -0.0462$, $p=0.0007$).

In the same way, the causal inference analyses revealed that higher intelligence and less psychotic-like experiences were significantly associated with higher CP and EA GPS (Intelligence: all $\beta > 0.0621$, $p<0.0001$; Psychotic-like experiences: all $\beta < -0.0233$, $p=0.0008$), lower Area Deprivation Index (Intelligence: all $\beta < -0.0566$, $p=0.0021$; Psychotic-like experiences: all $\beta > 0.0443$, $p=0.0402$), more years of residence (Crystallized intelligence: all $\beta > 0.0341$, $p=0.0022$; Distress Score psychotic-like experiences: $\beta=-0.0200$, $p=0.0055$), higher supportive parenting behavior of the first caregiver (Psychotic-like experiences: all $\beta < -0.0400$, $p=0.0028$), and positive school environment (Fluid intelligence: all $\beta > 0.0321$, $p=0.0097$; Psychotic-like experiences: all $\beta < -0.0507$, $p=0.0002$).