Omicron infection of vaccinated individuals enhances neutralizing immunity against the Delta variant

Khadija Khan[1,2#], Farina Karim[1,2#], Sandile Cele[1,2], James Emmanuel San[3], Gila Lustig[4], Houriiyah Tegally[3,5], Yuval Rosenberg[6], Mallory Bernstein[1], Yashica Ganga[1], Zesuliwe Jule[1], Kajal Reedoy[1], Nokuthula Ngcobo[1], Matilda Mazibuko[1], Ntombifuthi Mthabela[1], Zoey Mhlane[1], Nikiwe Mbatha[1], Yoliswa Miya[1], Jennifer Giandhari[3], Yajna Ramphal[3], Taryn Naidoo[1], Nithendra Manickchund[7], Nombulelo Magula[8], Salim S. Abdool Karim[4,9], Glenda Gray[10], Willem Hanekom[1,11], Anne von Gottberg[12,13], , COMMIT-KZN Team[§], Ron Milo[6], Bernadett I. Gosnell[7], Richard J. Lessells[3,4], Penny L. Moore[4,12,13,14], Tulio de Oliveira[3,4,5,15], Mahomed-Yunus S. Moosa[7], Alex Sigal[1,2,16*]

[1]Africa Health Research Institute, Durban, South Africa. [2]School of Laboratory Medicine and Medical Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa. [3]KwaZulu-Natal Research Innovation and Sequencing Platform, Durban, South Africa. [4]Centre for the AIDS Programme of Research in South Africa, Durban, South Africa. [5]Centre for Epidemic Response and Innovation, School of Data Science and Computational Thinking, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa. [6]Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel. [7]Department of Infectious Diseases, Nelson R. Mandela School of Clinical Medicine, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa. [8]Department of Internal Medicine, Nelson R. Mandela School of Medicine. University of Kwa-Zulu Natal. [9]Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, NY, United States. [10]South African Medical Research Council, Cape Town, South Africa. [11]Division of Infection and Immunity, University College London, London, UK. [12]National Institute for Communicable Diseases of the National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa. [13]SAMRC Antibody Immunity Research Unit, School of Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa. [14]Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa. [15]Department of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, USA.[16]Max Planck Institute for Infection Biology, Berlin, Germany.

[#] Equal contribution.

* Corresponding author. Email: alex.sigal@ahri.org

Omicron variant (B.1.1.529) infections are rapidly expanding worldwide, often in settings where the Delta variant (B.1.617.2) was dominant. We investigated whether neutralizing immunity elicited by Omicron infection would also neutralize the Delta variant and the role of prior vaccination. We enrolled 23 South African participants infected with Omicron a median of 5 days post-symptoms onset (study baseline) with a last follow-up sample taken a median of 23 days post-symptoms onset. Ten participants were breakthrough cases vaccinated with Pfizer BNT162b2 or Johnson and Johnson Ad26.CoV2.S. In vaccinated participants, neutralization of Omicron increased from a geometric mean titer (GMT) $FRNT_{50}$ of 28 to 378 (13.7-fold). Unvaccinated participants had similar Omicron neutralization at baseline but increased from 26 to only 113 (4.4-fold) at follow-up. Delta virus neutralization increased from 129 to 790, (6.1-fold) in vaccinated but only 18 to 46 (2.5-fold, not statistically significant) in unvaccinated participants. Therefore, in Omicron infected vaccinated individuals, Delta neutralization was 2.1-fold higher at follow-up relative to Omicron. In a separate group previously infected with Delta, neutralization of Delta was 22.5-fold higher than Omicron. Based on relative neutralization levels, Omicron re-infection would be expected to be more likely than Delta in Delta infected individuals, and in Omicron infected individuals who are vaccinated. This may give Omicron an advantage over Delta which may lead to decreasing Delta infections in regions with high infection frequencies and high vaccine coverage.

The Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2, first identified in November 2021 in South Africa and Botswana[1], has been shown by us[2] and others[3-8] to have extensive but incomplete escape from immunity elicited by vaccines and previous infection, with boosted individuals showing better neutralization. In South Africa, Omicron infections led to a lower incidence of severe disease relative to other variants[9,10], although this can be at least partly explained by pre-existing immunity[2]. While Omicron infections are rising steeply, many countries still have high levels of Delta variant infection. How Delta and Omicron will interact is still unclear. One possibility is that Omicron and Delta will coexist, and another is that Omicron will curtail the spread of Delta by eliciting a neutralizing immune response against Delta in Omicron convalescents, so that Delta could not effectively re-infect.

We investigated whether Omicron infection elicits neutralizing immunity to the Delta virus. We isolated Omicron virus from an infection in South Africa (see Table S1 for detailed genotypic information of the viral isolate used). We neutralized this isolate with plasma from participants enrolled during the Omicron infection wave in South Africa, with each participant having a confirmed diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 by qPCR. To quantify neutralization, we used a live virus neutralization assay and calculated the focus reduction neutralization test ($FRNT_{50}$) value, the inverse of the plasma dilution required for 50% neutralization, as measured by the reduction in the number of infection foci. We enrolled 25 participants late November and December 2021. Two participants had advanced HIV disease based on a low CD4 count (<50 cells/uL) and unsuppressed HIV infection. Our previous data indicated an atypical response to SARS-CoV-2 infection in advanced HIV disease[11] and we excluded the two participants from this analysis. Table S2 summarizes the characteristics of the remaining 23 participants.

Fourteen out of 23 participants were admitted to hospital because of Covid-19 symptoms, but only one required supplemental oxygen. Ten participants were vaccinated and had a breakthrough Omicron infection. Five were vaccinated with two doses of Pfizer-BNT162b2 and 5 with Johnson and Johnson Ad26.CoV2.S, with one Ad26.CoV2.S vaccinee being boosted with a second Ad26.CoV2.S dose (Table S3). Out of the 23 participants, only 3 (1 vaccinated and 2 unvaccinated) self-reported having a previous SARS-CoV-2 infection (Table S3). Participants were sampled at enrollment, which was a median of 5 days (interquartile range 3-8 days) post-symptom onset, and again at weekly follow-up visits which were attended as practicable because of the Christmas holidays in South Africa. The last follow-up visit was a median of 23 days (interquartile range 17-25 days) post-symptom onset (Table S2). Virus from the upper respiratory tract from each participant was sampled using a combined nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal swab, and all viruses successfully sequenced were confirmed to be Omicron (Table S3).

We analyzed neutralization at enrollment (baseline for the study) and the last follow-up visit. We observed that Omicron neutralization increased in vaccinated individuals from a low geometric mean titer (GMT) $FRNT_{50}$ of 28 at the enrollment visit to $FRNT_{50}$ = 378 at last follow-up, a 13.7-fold increase (95% CI 3.8-49.5, Fig 1A). The samples from unvaccinated participants neutralized at a similar starting level at study baseline ($FRNT_{50}$ = 26) but reached a lower final level ($FRNT_{50}$ = 113) at last follow-up, a 4.4-fold increase (95% CI 1.4-13.5, Fig 1B).

Neutralization of Delta virus increased during this period in the vaccinated individuals. At enrollment, neutralization capacity against Delta virus was higher than against Omicron ($FRNT_{50}$ = 129) and reached $FRNT_{50}$ = 790 at last follow-up, a 6.1-fold increase (95% CI 1.8-20.7, Fig 1C). The unvaccinated had lower Delta neutralization at baseline with Delta virus $FRNT_{50}$ = 18, and reached $FRNT_{50}$ = 46, a non-statistically significant 2.5-fold increase (95% CI 0.9-7.0, Fig 1D).

Comparing Omicron and Delta neutralization at the last available follow-up visit showed that vaccinated participants were able to mount a better neutralizing response against the Delta virus than against the Omicron virus: neutralization of Delta virus was 2.1-fold higher than Omicron (Fig 1E, 95%

95 CI 1.5-2.9). In contrast, in unvaccinated participants, neutralization of Delta was 2.5-fold lower relative
96 to Omicron (95% CI 1.1-5.8), although this was not statistically significant (Fig 1F).

97 Examining neutralization at all available timepoints per study participant showed that neutralization
98 of the Omicron variant seemed to peak approximately 2 weeks post-reported symptom onset date
99 (Fig 2). The pattern in vaccinated individuals showed a high degree of uniformity, with a rise in
100 Omicron neutralization capacity mirrored by a rise in Delta neutralization capacity in 9 out of 10
101 vaccinated participants, and with Delta neutralization level very similar to or higher than Omicron
102 neutralization level. In contrast, the pattern in unvaccinated participants was much more variable,
103 with neutralization of Omicron visibly stronger than neutralization of Delta virus in 6 out of 13
104 participants.

105 We also tested neutralization of Omicron by Delta variant elicited immunity. We collected 18 plasma
106 samples from a group of 14 participants previously infected in the Delta variant wave in South Africa,
107 some of whom were vaccinated either before or after infection (Table S4; for 4 of the vaccinated
108 participants, a sample was available post-infection, and then again post-vaccination). Confirming
109 previously reported results[7], we observed extensive escape of the Omicron viral isolate used here
110 from Delta elicited immunity across all samples tested. This was manifested as a 22.5-fold decrease
111 (95% CI 14.4-35.0, Fig 3) of Omicron virus neutralization compared to Delta virus neutralization.

112 The variability in Delta virus neutralization which we observed in the responses of unvaccinated
113 participants may be because of previous unreported infection in some individuals, which could
114 potentially confer a degree of Delta immunity. In contrast, vaccinated participants all had previous
115 SARS-CoV-2 immunity from vaccination. They showed a stronger rise in Omicron neutralization and
116 stronger enhancement of immunity to the Delta variant relative to unvaccinated participants. The
117 dependence of Delta neutralization enhancement on previous immunity may indicate that
118 enhancement may rely on boosting previous SARS-CoV-2 immunity rather than elicit antibodies that
119 can specifically recognize and neutralize both Omicron and Delta.

120 Vaccination leads to a lower hospitalization rate with Omicron infection
121 (https://www.discovery.co.za/corporate/health-insights-vaccines-real-world-effectiveness). This may
122 be because Omicron does not have extensive escape from other arms of the adaptive immune
123 response[12] or because Omicron virus shows attenuated cell-to-cell spread[13] which leads to decreased
124 lung infection and pathology[14,15]. A stronger neutralizing response after Omicron infection, as shown
125 here in vaccinated participants, should also contribute to vaccine mediated protection against more
126 severe disease with Omicron.

127 A limitation of this study is the heterogeneity in participant immune history. Among the 10 vaccinated,
128 there were two vaccination types and one participant was boosted. Among the unvaccinated, it is
129 likely that some had unreported previous infection, based on the high seroprevalence observed in
130 South Africa[16,17]. This heterogeneity is reflective of the increasing complexity of the SARS-CoV-2
131 immunity landscape as more people are infected with different variants and vaccinated with different
132 vaccines. Despite the heterogeneity, there was a clear increase in both Omicron and Delta
133 neutralization in the vaccinated group. In contrast, the trend in unvaccinated participants for Delta
134 immunity was less clear. The majority of our participants were hospitalized which may be perceived
135 as a limitation since Omicron infection is generally mild[18]. However, hospital admission should not be
136 equated with severe disease. None of the participants in this study had severe disease as defined by
137 the WHO ordinal scale, which requires at a minimum administration of high-flow oxygen[19].

138 Work by us[2] and others[3-8] shows that residual vaccine elicited neutralizing immunity Omicron remains
139 when neutralization capacity of pre-Omicron strains is high. Here we investigate a different situation,
140 where there is breakthrough Omicron infection in vaccinated participants. Omicron neutralization
141 capacity in these breakthrough cases was low and similar to the unvaccinated close to the time of
142 infection. This is consistent with the notion that the reason these breakthroughs occurred was

because of the low Omicron neutralization levels, likely the product of the antibody immune response waning, as vaccination was about four and a half months before infection. Despite low initial neutralization levels similar to the unvaccinated group, the response to Omicron was stronger in vaccinated participants and increased 13.7-fold between the enrollment and follow-up visits. As expected, Delta neutralization was higher in the vaccinated, and this also showed a stronger increase.

Based on neutralization levels, the immunity elicited in vaccinated individuals by Omicron infection is more potent against Delta relative to Omicron by a factor of approximately 2. Neutralization elicited by Delta infection is also more potent against Delta relative to Omicron, by a factor of over 20-fold. This may mean that in areas with a high frequency of infections and high vaccination coverage, Omicron is more likely than Delta to re-infect individuals who were previously infected either by Delta or Omicron. It may therefore dominate infections at the expense of Delta. In contrast, because unvaccinated individuals infected with Omicron develop a poor neutralization response against Delta, what may happen in areas of low vaccination coverage is less clear.

Materials and methods

Informed consent and ethical statement

Blood samples were obtained after written informed consent from adults with PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection who were enrolled in a prospective cohort study approved by the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee at the University of KwaZulu–Natal (reference BREC/00001275/2020). Use of residual swab sample for SARS-0CoV-2 isolation was approved by the University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC) (ref. M210752).

Data availability statement

Sequence of outgrown virus has been deposited in GISAID with accession EPI_ISL_7886688. Raw images of the data are available upon reasonable request.

Code availability

Curve fitting scripts in MATLAB v.2019b are available on GitHub (https://github.com/sigallab/NatureMarch2021).

Whole-genome sequencing, genome assembly and phylogenetic analysis

RNA was extracted on an automated Chemagic 360 instrument, using the CMG-1049 kit (Perkin Elmer, Hamburg, Germany). The RNA was stored at −80◦C prior to use. Libraries for whole genome sequencing were prepared using either the Oxford Nanopore Midnight protocol with Rapid Barcoding or the Illumina COVIDseq Assay. For the Illumina COVIDseq assay, the libraries were prepared according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, amplicons were tagmented, followed by indexing using the Nextera UD Indexes Set A. Sequencing libraries were pooled, normalized to 4 nM and denatured with 0.2 N sodium acetate. A 8 pM sample library was spiked with 1% PhiX (PhiX Control v3 adaptor-ligated library used as a control). We sequenced libraries on a 500-cycle v2 MiSeq Reagent Kit on the Illumina MiSeq instrument (Illumina). On the Illumina NextSeq 550 instrument, sequencing was performed using the Illumina COVIDSeq protocol (Illumina Inc, USA), an amplicon-based next-generation sequencing approach. The first strand synthesis was carried using random hexamers primers from Illumina and the synthesized cDNA underwent two separate multiplex PCR reactions. The pooled PCR amplified products were processed for tagmentation and adapter ligation using IDT

186 for Illumina Nextera UD Indexes. Further enrichment and cleanup was performed as per protocols
187 provided by the manufacturer (Illumina Inc). Pooled samples were quantified using Qubit 3.0 or 4.0
188 fluorometer (Invitrogen Inc.) using the Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity assay according to manufacturer's
189 instructions. The fragment sizes were analyzed using TapeStation 4200 (Invitrogen). The pooled
190 libraries were further normalized to 4nM concentration and 25 µL of each normalized pool containing
191 unique index adapter sets were combined in a new tube. The final library pool was denatured and
192 neutralized with 0.2N sodium hydroxide and 200 mM Tris-HCL (pH7), respectively. 1.5 pM sample
193 library was spiked with 2% PhiX. Libraries were loaded onto a 300-cycle NextSeq 500/550 HighOutput
194 Kit v2 and run on the Illumina NextSeq 550 instrument (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). For Oxford
195 Nanopore sequencing, the Midnight primer kit was used as described by Freed and Silander55. cDNA
196 synthesis was performed on the extracted RNA using LunaScript RT mastermix (New England BioLabs)
197 followed by gene-specific multiplex PCR using the Midnight Primer pools which produce 1200bp
198 amplicons which overlap to cover the 30-kb SARS-CoV-2 genome. Amplicons from each pool were
199 pooled and used neat for barcoding with the Oxford Nanopore Rapid Barcoding kit as per the
200 manufacturer's protocol. Barcoded samples were pooled and bead-purified. After the bead clean-up,
201 the library was loaded on a prepared R9.4.1 flow-cell. A GridION X5 or MinION sequencing run was
202 initiated using MinKNOW software with the base-call setting switched off. We assembled paired-end
203 and nanopore.fastq reads using Genome Detective 1.132 (https://www.genomedetective.com) which
204 was updated for the accurate assembly and variant calling of tiled primer amplicon Illumina or Oxford
205 Nanopore reads, and the Coronavirus Typing Tool56. For Illumina assembly, GATK HaploTypeCaller --
206 min-pruning 0 argument was added to increase mutation calling sensitivity near sequencing gaps. For
207 Nanopore, low coverage regions with poor alignment quality (<85% variant homogeneity) near
208 sequencing/amplicon ends were masked to be robust against primer drop-out experienced in the
209 Spike gene, and the sensitivity for detecting short inserts using a region-local global alignment of
210 reads, was increased. In addition, we also used the wf_artic (ARTIC SARS-CoV-2) pipeline as built using
211 the nextflow workflow framework57. In some instances, mutations were confirmed visually with .bam
212 files using Geneious software V2020.1.2 (Biomatters). The reference genome used throughout the
213 assembly process was NC_045512.2 (numbering equivalent to MN908947.3). For lineage
214 classification, we used the widespread dynamic lineage classification method from the 'Phylogenetic
215 Assignment of Named Global Outbreak Lineages' (PANGOLIN) software suite
216 (https://github.com/hCoV-2019/pangolin)19. P2 stock was sequenced and confirmed Omicron with
217 the following substitutions:
218 E:T9I,M:D3G,M:Q19E,M:A63T,N:P13L,N:R203K,N:G204R,ORF1a:K856R,ORF1a:L2084I,ORF1a:A2710T,
219 ORF1a:T3255I,ORF1a:P3395H,ORF1a:I3758V,ORF1b:P314L,ORF1b:I1566V,ORF9b:P10S,S:A67V,S:T95I
220 ,S:Y145D,S:L212I,S:G339D,S:S371L,S:S373P,S:S375F,S:K417N,S:N440K,S:G446S,S:S477N,S:T478K,S:E4
221 84A,S:Q493R,S:G496S,S:Q498R,S:N501Y,S:Y505H,S:T547K,S:D614G,S:H655Y,S:N679K,S:P681H,S:N76
222 4K,S:D796Y,S:N856K,S:Q954H,S:N969K,S:L981F. Sequence was deposited in GISAID, accession:
223 EPI_ISL_7886688.

224 Cells

225 Vero E6 cells (ATCC CRL-1586, obtained from Cellonex in South Africa) were propagated in complete
226 growth medium consisting of Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) with 10% fetal bovine
227 serum (Hyclone) containing 10mM of HEPES, 1mM sodium pyruvate, 2mM L-glutamine and 0.1mM
228 nonessential amino acids (Sigma-Aldrich). Vero E6 cells were passaged every 3–4 days. H1299 cell lines
229 were propagated in growth medium consisting of complete Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)
230 1640 medium with 10% fetal bovine serum containing 10mM of HEPES, 1mM sodium pyruvate, 2mM
231 L-glutamine and 0.1mM nonessential amino acids. H1299 cells were passaged every second day. The

232 H1299-E3 (H1299-ACE2, clone E3) cell line was derived from H1299 (CRL-5803) as described in our
233 previous work[2,20].

234 Virus expansion

235 All work with live virus was performed in Biosafety Level 3 containment using protocols for SARS-CoV-
236 2 approved by the Africa Health Research Institute Biosafety Committee. ACE2-expressing H1299-E3
237 cells were seeded at 4.5×10^5 cells in a 6 well plate well and incubated for 18–20 h. After one DPBS
238 wash, the sub-confluent cell monolayer was inoculated with 500 µL universal transport medium
239 diluted 1:1 with growth medium filtered through a 0.45-µm filter. Cells were incubated for 1 h. Wells
240 were then filled with 3 mL complete growth medium. After 4 days of infection (completion of passage
241 1 (P1)), cells were trypsinized, centrifuged at 300 rcf for 3 min and resuspended in 4 mL growth
242 medium. Then all infected cells were added to Vero E6 cells that had been seeded at 2×10^5 cells per
243 mL, 20mL total, 18–20 h earlier in a T75 flask for cell-to-cell infection. The coculture of ACE2-expressing
244 H1299-E3 and Vero E6 cells was incubated for 1 h and the flask was filled with 20 mL of complete
245 growth medium and incubated for 4 days. The viral supernatant from this culture (passage 2 (P2)
246 stock) was used for experiments.

247

248

249 Live virus neutralization assay

250 H1299-E3 cells were plated in a 96-well plate (Corning) at 30,000 cells per well 1 day pre-infection.
251 Plasma was separated from EDTA-anticoagulated blood by centrifugation at 500 rcf for 10 min and
252 stored at −80 °C. Aliquots of plasma samples were heat-inactivated at 56 °C for 30 min and clarified by
253 centrifugation at 10,000 rcf for 5 min. Virus stocks were used at approximately 50-100 focus-forming
254 units per microwell and added to diluted plasma. Antibody–virus mixtures were incubated for 1 h at
255 37 °C, 5% CO_2. Cells were infected with 100 µL of the virus–antibody mixtures for 1 h, then 100 µL of
256 a 1X RPMI 1640 (Sigma-Aldrich, R6504), 1.5% carboxymethylcellulose (Sigma-Aldrich, C4888) overlay
257 was added without removing the inoculum. Cells were fixed 18 h post-infection using 4% PFA (Sigma-
258 Aldrich) for 20 min. Foci were stained with a rabbit anti-spike monoclonal antibody (BS-R2B12,
259 GenScript A02058) at 0.5 µg/mL in a permeabilization buffer containing 0.1% saponin (Sigma-Aldrich),
260 0.1% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.05% Tween-20 (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS. Plates were incubated with
261 primary antibody overnight at 4 °C, then washed with wash buffer containing 0.05% Tween-20 in PBS.
262 Secondary goat anti-rabbit HRP conjugated antibody (Abcam ab205718) was added at 1 µg/mL and
263 incubated for 2 h at room temperature with shaking. TrueBlue peroxidase substrate (SeraCare 5510-
264 0030) was then added at 50 µL per well and incubated for 20 min at room temperature. Plates were
265 imaged in an ImmunoSpot Ultra-V S6-02-6140 Analyzer ELISPOT instrument with BioSpot Professional
266 built-in image analysis (C.T.L).

267 Statistics and fitting

268 All statistics and fitting were performed using custom code in MATLAB v.2019b. Neutralization data
269 were fit to:

270 $Tx=1/1+(D/ID_{50})$.

271 Here Tx is the number of foci normalized to the number of foci in the absence of plasma on the same
272 plate at dilution D and ID_{50} is the plasma dilution giving 50% neutralization. $FRNT_{50} = 1/ID_{50}$. Values of
273 $FRNT_{50} <1$ are set to 1 (undiluted), the lowest measurable value. We note that the most concentrated
274 plasma dilution was 1:25 and therefore $FRNT_{50} < 25$ were extrapolated.

275 Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates award INV-018944 (AS), National Institutes of Health award R01 AI138546 (AS), and South African Medical Research Council awards (AS, TdO, PLM) and the UK Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office and Wellcome Trust (Grant no 221003/Z/20/Z, PLM). PLM is also supported by the South African Research Chairs Initiative of the Department of Science and Innovation and the NRF (Grant No 98341). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

1 Viana, R. *et al.* Rapid epidemic expansion of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in southern Africa. *Nature*, doi:doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-03832-5 (2021).

2 Cele, S. *et al.* Omicron extensively but incompletely escapes Pfizer BNT162b2 neutralization. *Nature*, doi:doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-03824-5 (2021).

3 Andrews, N. *et al.* Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant of concern. *medRxiv*, 2021.2012.2014.21267615, doi:10.1101/2021.12.14.21267615 (2021).

4 Garcia-Beltran, W. F. *et al.* mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccine boosters induce neutralizing immunity against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. *medRxiv*, 2021.2012.2014.21267755, doi:10.1101/2021.12.14.21267755 (2021).

5 Cao, Y. *et al.* B.1.1.529 escapes the majority of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies of diverse epitopes. *bioRxiv*, 2021.2012.2007.470392, doi:10.1101/2021.12.07.470392 (2021).

6 Lu, L. *et al.* Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant by sera from BNT162b2 or Coronavac vaccine recipients. *medRxiv*, 2021.2012.2013.21267668, doi:10.1101/2021.12.13.21267668 (2021).

7 Rössler, A., Riepler, L., Bante, D., Laer, D. v. & Kimpel, J. SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 variant (Omicron) evades neutralization by sera from vaccinated and convalescent individuals. *medRxiv*, 2021.2012.2008.21267491, doi:10.1101/2021.12.08.21267491 (2021).

8 Planas, D. *et al.* Considerable escape of SARS-CoV-2 variant Omicron to antibody neutralization. *bioRxiv*, 2021.2012.2014.472630, doi:10.1101/2021.12.14.472630 (2021).

9 Wolter, N. *et al.* Early assessment of the clinical severity of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in South Africa. *medRxiv*, 2021.2012.2021.21268116, doi:10.1101/2021.12.21.21268116 (2021).

10 Davies, M.-A. *et al.* Outcomes of laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in the Omicron-driven fourth wave compared with previous waves in the Western Cape Province, South Africa. *medRxiv*, 2022.2001.2012.22269148, doi:10.1101/2022.01.12.22269148 (2022).

11 Cele, S. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 evolved during advanced HIV disease immunosuppression has Beta-like escape of vaccine and Delta infection elicited immunity. *medRxiv (Accepted Cell Host & Microbe)*, doi:10.1101/2021.09.14.21263564 (2021).

12 Keeton, R. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 spike T cell responses induced upon vaccination or infection remain robust against Omicron. *medRxiv*, 2021.2012.2026.21268380, doi:10.1101/2021.12.26.21268380 (2021).

13 Meng, B. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Omicron spike mediated immune escape, infectivity and cell-cell fusion. *bioRxiv*, 2021.2012.2017.473248, doi:10.1101/2021.12.17.473248 (2021).

14 McMahan, K. *et al.* Reduced Pathogenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant in Hamsters. *bioRxiv*, 2022.2001.2002.474743, doi:10.1101/2022.01.02.474743 (2022).

15 Bentley, E. G. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Omicron-B.1.1.529 Variant leads to less severe disease than Pango B and Delta variants strains in a mouse model of severe COVID-19. *bioRxiv*, 2021.2012.2026.474085, doi:10.1101/2021.12.26.474085 (2021).

16 Madhi, S. A. *et al.* South African Population Immunity and Severe Covid-19 with Omicron Variant. *medRxiv*, 2021.2012.2020.21268096, doi:10.1101/2021.12.20.21268096 (2021).

17 Khan, K. *et al.* Immunogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 infection and Ad26.CoV2.S vaccination in people living with HIV. *Clin Infect Dis*, doi:10.1093/cid/ciab1008 (2021).

18 Sigal, A. Milder disease with Omicron: is it the virus or the pre-existing immunity? *Nature Reviews Immunology*, doi:10.1038/s41577-022-00678-4 (2022).

19 A minimal common outcome measure set for COVID-19 clinical research. *Lancet Infect Dis* **20**, e192-e197, doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(20)30483-7 (2020).

20 Cele, S. *et al.* Escape of SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 from neutralization by convalescent plasma. *Nature* **593**, 142-146, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03471-w (2021).



Figure 1: Enhancement of Delta neutralization by Omicron infection. (A) Neutralization of Omicron virus by Omicron infection elicited plasma in n=10 convalescent vaccinated participants, (n=5 two doses of Pfizer BNT162b2, n=5 Johnson and Johnson Ad26.CoV2.S). Each participant was sampled at the initial enrollment visit (median 5 days post-symptom onset) and compared to the last follow-up visit (median 23 days post-symptom onset). Numbers are geometric mean titers (GMT) of the reciprocal plasma dilution ($FRNT_{50}$) resulting in 50% neutralization. Fold-change is calculated by dividing the larger GMT value by the smaller value and arrows indicate direction of change between enrollment and follow-up. Dashed line is most concentrated plasma tested. (B) as in (A) for the n=13 unvaccinated participants. (C) Neutralization of Delta virus by Omicron infection elicited plasma in the vaccinated participants. (D) as in (C) for the unvaccinated participants. (E) Neutralization of Omicron compared to Delta virus by Omicron infection elicited plasma in vaccinated participants at the last follow-up visit. Arrow indicates direction of change between Omicron and Delta virus. (F) as in (E) for the unvaccinated participants. p-values were: (A) 6.6 $\times 10^{-4}$, (B) 0.031, (C) 2.3 $\times 10^{-3}$, (D) 0.15, (E) 0.032, (F) 0.79 as determined by the Wilcoxon rank sum test.



Figure 2: Omicron and Delta neutralization capacity over time in Omicron infected participants. Neutralization of Omicron (blue) and Delta (red) at all study visits. Participant number is as in Table S3. First row are Pfizer BNT162b2 vaccinated, second row are Johnson and Johnson Ad26.CoV2.S vaccinated, and bottom three rows are unvaccinated participants. X-axis is the time post-symptom onset when sample was collected, and y-axis is neutralization as $FRNT_{50}$. Dashed line is the most concentrated plasma tested.



Figure 3: Escape of Omicron virus from Delta infection elicited immunity. Neutralization of Delta compared to Omicron virus by Delta infection elicited plasma immunity in vaccinated and unvaccinated participants. 18 samples were tested from n=14 participants infected during the Delta infection wave in South Africa (see Table S4). Dashed line is the most concentrated plasma tested. p-value is 1.6×10^{-7} as determined by the Wilcoxon rank sum test.

Table S1: Read counts of majority and minority genotypes detected in outgrown virus used in experiments

Amino Acid change	Nucleotide change	Codon change	Reads
A67V	21762C>T	21761 GCT>GTT	GCT – 45 GTT – 3134
*H69_V70del	21766_21771delACATGT	21766_21771ACATGT>del	ACATGT – 0 del – 1132
T95I	21846C>T	21845 ACT>ATT	ACT – 53 ATT – 2171
*G142D	21987_21989delGTG	21987_21989GTG >del	GTG – 0 del – 1572
*V143_Y145del	21990_21995delTTTATT	21990_21995TTTATT >del	TTTATT – 0 del – 1572
*L212I	22194_22196delATT	22194_22196ATT >del	ATT – 114 del – 2897
*R214_D215	22204_22205insGAGCCAGAA	22204_22205GAGCCAGAA >ins	WT – 808 insGAGCCAGAA – 1316
G339D	22578G>A	22577 GGT>GAT	GGT – 104 GAT – 3519
S371L	22674C>T	22674 TCC>CTC	TCC – 41 CTC – 1359
S373P	22679T>C	22679 TCA>CCA	TCA – 64 CCA – 1696
S375F	22686C>T	22685 TCC>TTC	TCC – 25 TTC – 1569
K417N	22813G>T	22811 AAG>AAT	AAG – 36 AAT – 1885
N440K	22882T>G	22880 AAT>AAG	AAT – 294 AAG – 1579
G446S	22898G>A	22898 GGT>AGT	GGT – 74 AGT – 1686
S477N	22992G>A	22991AGC>AAC	AGC – 18 AAC – 1917
T478K	22995C>A	22994ACA>AAA	ACA – 55 AAA – 1968
E484A	23013A>C	23012GAA>GCA	GAA – 32 GCA – 1903
Q493R	23040A>G	23039CAA>CGA	CAA – 4 CGA – 2060
G496S	23048G>A	23048GGT>AGT	GGT – 53 AGT – 1734
Q498R	23055A>G	23054CAA>CGA	CAA – 28 CGA – 1733
N501Y	23063A>T	23063AAT>TAT	AAT – 49 TAT – 1812
Y505H	23075T>C	23075TAC>CAC	TAC – 55 CAC – 1451
T547K	23202C>A	23201ACA>AAA	ACA – 10 AAA – 1655
D614G	23403A>G	23402GAT>GGT	GAT – 17 GGT – 1398
H655Y	23525C>T	23525CAT>TAT	CAT – 26 TAT – 1556
N679K	23599T>G	23597AAT>AAG	AAT – 21 AAG – 1245
P681H	23604C>A	23603CCT>CAT	CCT – 0 CAT – 535
N764K	23854C>A	23852AAC>AAA	AAC – 23 AAA – 290
D796Y	23948G>T	23948GAT>TAT	GAT – 8 TAT – 217
N856K	24130C>A	24128AAC>AAA	AAC – 9 AAA – 155
Q954H	24424A>T	24422CAA>CAT	CAA – 9 CAT – 298
N969K	24469T>A	24467AAT>AAA	AAT – 31 AAA – 392
L981F	24503C>T	24503CTT>TTT	CTT – 112 TTT – 347

***Only deletions or insertions where the adjacent codon was preserved were counted. WT – Wild Type i.e reads without the insertion.**

Table S2: Summary characteristics of Omicron infected participants

	All	Vaccinated	Unvaccinated
Number Participants	23	10	13
Age*	32 (26-37)	36 (33-36)	26 (26-34)
Male sex	10 (43%)	4 (40%)	6 (46%)
Days post-vaccination		140 (116-192)	
Days post-symptom onset to enrolment	5 (3-8)	4 (2-6)	7 (3-8)
Days post-symptom onset to last follow-up	23 (17-25)	23 (15-27)	22 (19-24)

*Median (IQR).

Table S3: Detailed characteristics of Omicron infected participants

Participant #	Age	Sex	Vacc. type	Vacc. date	Days post-vacc. to enroll.	Date symptom onset	Ct enroll.	Symptoms onset to last follow-up	GISAID ID of infecting virus
1	30-39	M	AD26.COV	Mar-2021	278	Dec-2021*	25	23	
2	30-39	M	AD26.COV**	Mar-2021	264	Nov-2021	14	22	
3	50-59	F	BNT162b2	May-2021	200	Dec-2021	17	27	EPI_ISL_8604915
4	30-39	F	AD26.COV	May-2021	210	Dec-2021	31	13	EPI_ISL_8604910
5	20-29	F	AD26.COV	Sep-2021	89	Dec-2021	24	27	
6	10-19	F	BNT162b2	Jul-2021	157	Dec-2021	23	12	EPI_ISL_8604906
7	20-29	F	No			Nov-2021	UND	24	
8	30-39	M	No			Dec-2021	18	23	EPI_ISL_8604919
9	40-49	F	No			Dec-2021	32	28	EPI_ISL_8604901
10	20-29	M	No			Dec-2021	30	13	EPI_ISL_8604908
11	20-29	F	No			Dec-2021	28	22	EPI_ISL_8604913
12	20-29	F	No			Dec-2021*	UND	22	
13	30-39	M	BNT162b2	Jul-2021	129	Nov-2021	32	28	EPI_ISL_8604916
14	20-29	M	No			Nov-2021	31	15	
15	60-69	F	BNT162b2	May-2021	198	Dec-2021	25	25	EPI_ISL_8604920
16	60-69	M	BNT162b2	Dec-2021	15	Dec-2021	24	10	EPI_ISL_8578311
17	30-39	M	No			Dec-2021	37	19	EPI_ISL_8604923
18	60-69	F	No			Dec-2021[#]	27	23	EPI_ISL_8578312
19	30-39	M	No			Dec-2021*	31	27	EPI_ISL_8604924
20	20-29	F	No			Dec-2021	37	24	EPI_ISL_8604911
21	20-29	M	No			Dec-2021	28	21	EPI_ISL_8604922
22	30-39	F	AD26.COV	Aug-2021	120	Dec-2021	33	23	
23	20-29	F	No			Dec-2021	30	10	EPI_ISL_8604902

Ct enroll.: qPCR cycle threshold for SARS-CoV-2 at enrollment. UND: Undetectable. AD26.COV: Johnson and Johnson AD26.CoV.2 vaccine. *Reported previous infection. **Boosted with Ad26.CoV2.S in Nov-2021.[#]Required supplemental O_2.

Table S4: Detailed characteristics of Delta infected participants

Participant #	Age	Sex	Vacc. type	Vacc. date	Days post-vaccination to collection	Date symptom onset	Ct enroll.	Symptom onset to collection	GISAID ID
1	40-49	F				Jul-2021	26	26	EPI_ISL_3722338
2	40-49	M				Jul-2021	31	23[#]	EPI_ISL_3722335
3	50-59	M				Jul-2021	30	31	
4	50-59	M				Jun-2021	27	37	
5	40-49	M				Jul-2021	35	44	
6	30-39	M				Jul-2021	37	32	
7	70-79	M	BNT162b2	Jun-2021	37	Jul-2021*	37	15	
8	60-69	F	BNT162b2	Nov-2021	14	Aug-2021	UND	116	
9	40-49	F	AD26.COV	May-2021	117	Jul-2021*	UND	31	
10	50-59	F	AD26.COV	Apr-2021	147	Jul-2021*	UND	57	
11 Pre	40-49	M				Aug-2021	35	13[#]	
11 Post	40-49	M	BNT162b2	Oct-2021	18				
12 Pre	40-49	M				Jul-2021	23	24	EPI_ISL_3939068
12 Post	40-49	M	AD26.COV	Sep-2021	32				
13 Pre	30-39	M				Jul-2021	27	24	EPI_ISL_3939088
13 Post	30-39	M	AD26.COV	Sep-2021	32				
14 Pre	50-59	F				Jul-2021	27	23[#]	EPI_ISL_3447779
14 Post	50-59	F	BNT162b2	Oct-2021	22				

[#]Asymptomatic. Date of diagnostic swab used instead of symptoms onset. *Breakthrough infection. Ct enroll.: qPCR cycle threshold for SARS-CoV-2 at enrollment. UND: Undetectable. AD26.COV: Johnson and Johnson AD26.CoV.2 vaccine. Pre: sample taken pre-vaccination. Post: sample taken post-vaccination for a participant with a pre-vaccination sample.