Original Research:

SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant: healthcare workers' perceptions and beliefs of vaccine effectiveness and advocacy: a national survey during the first week of the World Health Organization variant alert

Mohamad-Hani Temsah [a,b,c*], Fadi Aljamaan[a,d*], Shuliweeh Alenezi [a,e], Khalid Alhasan[a,b], Abdulkarim Alrabiaah [a,b], Rasha Assiri [f], Rolan Bassrawi[b], Ali Alhaboob [a,b], Fatimah Alshahrani [a,e], Mohamad Al-Arabi[a,d], Ali Alaraj[h,i], Naser S Alharbi, Rabih Halwani[j,k], Amr Jamal[l], Ayman Al-Eyadhy[a,b], Naif AbdulMajeed[b,m], Lina Alfarra [n], Wafa Almashdali [o], Fahad Al-Zamil[a,b], Mazin Barry[a,e], Ziad A. Memish [p,q], Jaffar A. Al-Tawfiq[r,s,t] , Sarah Al-Subaie[a,b]

a. College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh11362, Saudi Arabia
b. Pediatric Department, King Saud University Medical City, King Saud University, Riyadh11362, Saudi Arabia
c. Prince Abdullah Ben Khaled Celiac Disease Research Chair, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh11362, Saudi Arabia
d. Critical Care Department, King Saud University Medical City, King Saud University, Riyadh11362, Saudi Arabia
e. Department of Psychiatry, College of Medicine, King Saud University Medical City, King Saud University, Riyadh11362, Saudi Arabia
f. Department of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Medicine, Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh 11564, Saudi Arabia
g. Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, King Saud University Medical City, King Saud University, Riyadh11362, Saudi Arabia
h. Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, Qassim University, Qassim51452, Saudi Arabia
i. Dr. Sulaiman Al Habib Medical Group, Riyadh11643, Saudi Arabia
j. Sharjah Institute of Medical Research, University of Sharjah, Sharjah27272, United Arab Emirates
k. Department of Clinical Sciences, College of Medicine, University of Sharjah, Sharjah 27272, United Arab Emirates
l. Department of Family and Community Medicine, King Saud University Medical City, Riyadh 11362, Saudi Arabia
m. Pediatric nephrology department, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh11159, Saudi Arabia
n. Department of Ob-Gyn, Dr. Abdul Rahman Al Mishari Hospital, Riyadh12241, Saudi Arabia
o. Department of Ob-Gyn, Dr. Fatina Imran Medical Complex, Doha233, Qatar
p. King Saud Medical City, Ministry of Health & Alfaisal University, Riyadh11533, Saudi Arabia
q. Hubert Department of Global Health, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia30322, USA

r. Specialty Internal Medicine and Quality Department, Johns Hopkins Aramco Healthcare, Dhahra34465, Saudi Arabia
s. Infectious Disease Division, Department of Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN46202, USA
t. Infectious Disease Division, Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD21218, USA

*These authors contributed equally to this research.
Correspondence to:
Mohamad-Hani Temsah; mtemsah@ksu.edu.sa
P.O. Box 2925 (Internal Code 34)
Riyadh, 11461
Saudi Arabia

Author emails and ORCID IDs:

Mohamad-Hani Temsah (MHT): PO BOX 2925, Riyadh 11461, Saudi Arabia mtemsah@ksu.edu.sa (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4389-9322)

Fadi Aljamaan: PO BOX 2925, Riyadh 11461, Saudi Arabia faljamaan@ksu.edu.sa (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8404-6652)

Shuliweeh Alenezi: PO BOX 7747, Riyadh, 13321 salenizi@ksu.edu.sa (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7049-0960)

Khalid Alhasan: PO BOX 2925, Riyadh 11461, Saudi Arabia kalhasan@ksu.edu.sa (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4291-8536)

Abdulkarim Alrabiaah alrabiaah@KSU.EDU.SA

Rasha Assiri raassiri@pnu.edu.sa https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8946-4618

Rolan Bassrawi rkbassrawi@ksu.edu.sa , https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7373-1531

Ali Alhaboob (AAH): PO BOX 2925, Riyadh 11461, Saudi Arabia drhbooob@gmail.com (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2126-7874)

Fatimah Alshahrani : Falshahrani1@ksu.edu.sa https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4646-7488

Mohamad Alarabi : PO BOX 2925, Riyadh 11461, Saudi Arabia malarabi@ksu.edu.sa (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5439-6109)

Ali Alaraj (AAA): PO BOX 12471, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia al_araj@hotmail.com (http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7706-2328)

Naser S Alharbi nsalharbi@ksu.edu.sa

Rabih Halwani: rhalwani@sharjah.ac.ae

Amr Jamal amrjamal@ksu.edu.sa

Ayman AL-Eyadhy aleyadhy@ksu.edu.sa

Naif Abdulmajeed Dr.naifq@gmail.com

Lina Alfarra Lalfarra19811@gmail.com

Wafa almashdali Almashdali@gmail.com

Fahad Al-Zamil fzamil@KSU.EDU.SA

Mazin Barry mbarry@ksu.edu.sa (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2274-007X)

Ziad Memish zmemish@yahoo.com http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5099-0714

Jaffar A. Al-Tawfiq jaltawfi@yahoo.com (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5752-2235)

Sarah Al-Subaie salsubaie@ksu.edu.sa (ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3128-5921

Keywords: COVID-19 vaccine, SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant, healthcare workers' perceptions, COVID-19 variants

Abbreviations:
CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019
SARS-CoV-2: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2
WHO: World Health Organization

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: The study was approved by the institutional review board of King Saud University (approval 21/01039/IRB).

Acknowledgments:
This research has been financially supported by Prince Abdullah Ben Khalid Celiac Disease Research Chair, under the Vice Deanship of Research Chairs, King Saud University, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The research team is thankful for the statistical data analysis consultation offered by www.hodhodata.com.

Author contributions:
MHT, SAlenezi, MA, FA, KA, FA, SAl-Subaie, MB, ZM, and JA conceptualized the study, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript.
RA, RB, FA, AAlrabiaah, AAlhaboob, AAlaraj, NSA, RH, LA, NA, AJ, AAleyadhy, and WA contributed to the study design; collected, analyzed, interpreted data; and edited the manuscript.
All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Abstract

Background: As COVID-19 Omicron variant spread in several countries, healthcare workers' (HCWs) perceptions of vaccine effectiveness, booster and worries warrant reassessment.

Methods: Online questionnaire among HCWs in Saudi Arabia (KSA) was collected between Dec 1-6, 2021, aiming to assess their Omicron variant's perceptions, worries, and booster-vaccine advocacy.

Results: Among the 1285 HCWs participants in the study, two-thirds were females, 41% were nurses,46.4% were physicians, and 50% worked in tertiary care hospitals. Vaccination was perceived to be the most effective way to prevent the spread of Omicron variant and future variants by 66.9%. The respondents perceived social distancing (78%), universal masking (77.8%), and avoiding unnecessary travel (71.4%), slightly superior to vaccination to prevent COVID-19 variants spread. Of the respondents, 99.5% received two doses of COVID-19 vaccine. Regarding the booster dose, 96% either received it or planned to receive it once they are eligible. 57.7% of the respondents agreed that Omicron could cause a new COVID-19 wave worldwide, 45.9% agreed it may cause another COVID-19 wave in Saudi Arabia and 46.1% indicated the possibility of another lockdown. Overall, the HCWs worry level of the Omicron variant correlated significantly and strongly with their perception of the effectiveness of vaccination and preventive measures. Male HCWs had a significant agreement with mandatory vaccination of all eligible adult populations while HCWs who are unwilling to receive the vaccine had a strong disagreement with mandatory vaccination.

Conclusions: The current study was conducted in the first week of Omicron variant discovery in KSA and only two-thirds of HCWs felt that vaccination is the best option to prevent the variant spread, indicating the need to further motivation campaigns for vaccination and booster doses education among HCWs. HCWs had a strong belief in non-pharmacologic interventions that should be encouraged and augmented. It is important to further study and enhance coping strategies for HCWs as we move through the third year of the pandemic with more potential variants, to protect HCWs from fatigue and burnout.

1 Introduction:

The B.1.1.529 variant of the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was first reported to the World Health Organization (WHO) from South Africa on November 26, 2021[1]. This emerging variant was named Omicron and designated as a Variant of Concern (VOC). This variant has many mutations, some of which are concerning. Preliminary evidence suggests an increased risk of reinfection with this variant. The Omicron variant had been reported in 110 countries across all six WHO Regions as of December 22, 2021[2]. The scientists were concerned about this variant because it has more than 30 mutations on its spike protein, with an S-gene target failure on a specific PCR assay due to the presence of a 69–70del deletion as was previously described with the alpha variant[1,3].

In addition, the B.1.1.529 variant was thought to have a higher transmissibility rate than circulating variants[4]. In addition, there is a concern about the coverage of current vaccines against this variant[5]. A recent study showed a 41-fold decline of the geometric mean titer (GMT) inverse of the plasma dilution required for 50% reduction in infection FRNT50) with omicron compared to previous infection with wild-type virus, with a 3-fold reduction in FRNT50 with the mRNA vaccine BNT162b2. However, it is likely that previous infection followed by vaccination, or a booster dose for those completing their primary series, will likely increase neutralization levels that would confer the needed protection against severe disease from the emerging omicron variant[6].

As new variants emerge, HCWs must continue to serve non-COVID cases while still fulfilling personal commitments for their families and themselves. Burnout, emotional exhaustion, mental distress, depression and psychological stress have been linked to HCWs during this pandemic, and research continues to reveal high rates[7]. In addition to patient care, HCWs must keep up with any development in relation to emerging variants and their effects on clinical presentation, management, and measures of prevention, such as the role of additional booster doses. Thus, we undertook this study to assess the HCWs awareness about the COVID-19 Omicron variant, their worries levels of the different available variants, their perception of the effectiveness of the current vaccinations and other preventive measure to prevent the spread of the Omicron variant or other future variants and asses their perception for the mandatory vaccination of adult population.

2 Method

2.1 Data Collection

This is a cross-sectional survey (appendix 1) among HCWs in Saudi Arabia (KSA) conducted between Dec 1-6, 2021. At the time of this data collection, KSA Ministry of Health

(MoH) and several countries had reported infection with the Omicron variant. Participants were invited by a convenience sampling technique through various healthcare providers' social media platforms, such as WhatsApp and Twitter, and emails.

HCWs were surveyed regarding their Omicron variant awareness, perceptions of the effectiveness of vaccinations and other preventive measures with the emergence of the SARS-CoV-2 variant to prevent its spread or other future variants. The last part of the survey was focused on the HCW's resilience, anxiety and coping strategies, and was published as preprint[8]. The questionnaire was pilot-validated and sent through SurveyMonkey© electronic platform. The current survey was adapted from our previously published studies, with modifications related to the new Omicron variant [9-12].

Participants were notified in the first part of the questionnaire about the purpose of the study and that their participation was voluntary. The Institutional Review Board at the College of Medicine and King Saud University approved the study (approval 21/01039/IRB).

2.2 Statistical analyses

The mean and standard deviation were used to describe continuous variables, frequency and percentage to describe the categorically measured variables. The histogram and the K-S statistical test of Normality were used to assess the statistical Normality assumption of the continuous variables and Levene's test was used to assess the homogeneity of variance statistical assumption. The multiple response dichotomies analysis was used to analyze the multiple response variables. The 5 point Likert score was analyzed in categorical method, as the responses were grouped into two main groups in analysis;1. Agree: includes those who choose agree or strongly agree options, 2. Disagree: including those who choose disagree or strongly disagree or neither agree nor disagree options, as our aim was to assess the HCWs undoubtful, unshakable agreement with the vaccines or other preventive methods to prevent the spread of the Omicron or other future emerging variants and to assess their perception of mandatory vaccination. Pearson's correlations test (r) was used to assess the correlations between metric variables. The Multivariate Binary Logistic Regression Analysis was used to assess what may explain the healthcare workers perceived ineffectiveness of covid19 vaccines. The associations between predictors and people's odds of believing the vaccines are ineffective was expressed as Odds Ratio with associated 95% confidence interval. The SPSS IBM statistical analysis program Version#21 was used for the statistical data analysis. The statistical significance level was considered at 0.050 Level.

3 Results:

A total of 1285 HCWs completed the online survey. Table 1 highlights their baseline characteristics. The majority were females (64%). 70.9% of them aged between 25 and 44 years. The majority were expatriates (62.3%). Regarding their clinical role, most of them were nurses (41%), and (46.4%) were physicians of whom (24%) were consultants. (50%) of them work in tertiary institutes while two thirds of them worked in the OPD or general wards.

Table.1: Baseline characteristics of the participating HCW's. N=1285

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Sex		
Female	822	64
Male	463	36
Age group		
25-34 years	434	33.8
35 to 44 years	477	37.1
45-54 years	273	21.2
≥ 55 years	101	7.9
Nationality		
Saudi	484	37.7
Expatriate	801	62.3
Clinical Role		
Consultant	319	24.8
Assistant consultant / Fellow	74	5.8
Resident / Registrar	203	15.8
Nurse	640	49.8
Allied health practitioner	49	3.8
Hospital type		
Primary healthcare center	338	26.3
Secondary hospital	302	23.5
Tertiary hospital	645	50.2
Hospital working area		
Intensive Care Unit (ICU)	141	11
Emergency Room (ER)	91	7.1
Operating Room (OR)	41	3.2
COVID-19 Isolation ward	53	4.1
General ward	492	38.3
Outpatient Department (OPD)	368	28.6
Non-clinical area	99	7.7
Geographical Region		

Riyadh City and Central region	740	57.6
Eastern Province	71	5.5
Western Province	120	9.3
Northern Province	34	2.6
Southern Province	320	24.9

Almost all our participants didn't travel to countries where Omicron variant has been recorded (97.9%). Most HCWs haven't been in contact with COVID-19 patients (71%) during the last 3 months while 22.3% of the HCWs developed COVID-19 previously.

Regarding COVID-19 vaccination, 99.5%received two doses of the vaccine, and the percentage of the first dose was split equally between AstraZeneca and Pfizer vaccines, while three quarters received the second dose as Pfizer vaccine. Regarding the booster dose based on the local regulations, 94.1% either received or planning to receive it once being eligible according to timing criteria (Table 2).

Table.2: Descriptive analysis of the HCW's experiences of COVID-19 disease, screening and immunization.

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Have you been in contact with COVID-19 patients during the past 3 months		
No	912	71
Yes	373	29
Were you previously diagnosed with PCR-positive COVID-19 yourself		
No	999	77.7
Yes	286	22.3
Did you travel to any country where the Omicron variant has been recorded during the last month		
Yes	27	2.1
No	1258	97.9
What was the type of your first COVID-19 vaccine shot		
AstraZeneca	600	46.7
Moderna	5	0.4
Pfizer	676	52.6
Not received	4	0.3
What was the type of your second COVID-19 vaccine shot		
AstraZeneca	296	23
Moderna	20	1.6
Pfizer	963	74.9
Not received	6	0.5
Did you receive the third booster of COVID-19 vaccine		

Yes	250	19.5
No: not yet eligible for it	566	44
No: I do not want to receive it	76	5.9
No: but I am planning to register for it	393	30.6
How familiar are you with the new Omicron COVID-19 mutation? Mean (SD)		3.24 (0.95)
How familiar are you with the Delta COVID-19 mutation?, mean (SD)		3.50 (0.99)

Table 3 explores the participating HCWs awareness concerning certain facts and queries related to the Omicron variant. Almost all the participating HCWs (93%) knew that the Omicron variant was first described in South Africa, on the other hand (81.7%) expected that the Omicron variant will be reported in Saudi Arabia. Regarding the Omicron scientific and medical concerns, 66% of HCWs knew that it is more transmissible than the Delta variant while 26.7% weren't sure. The majority (71.8%) didn't know that the Omicron spike protein has 22 mutations. Still, 56.2% knew that it causes similar signs and symptoms to the original strain. Most (85.1%) of our cohort were aware that SARS-CoV-2 mutations are expected while they almost split equally regarding their opinion if the Omicron variant may/may not/unsure cause more severe disease compared to the previous strains. About half of the participants were unsure if mRNA or vector-based vaccines are effective against the Omicron variant. At least two thirds of them were unsure if therapeutics like monoclonal antibodies that were used against the previous strains might be effective against it.

The mean of participants' self-rated familiarity with the Omicron and Delta variants of the COVID-19 based on 5-point Likert scale were 3.24, 3.5, respectively. When we explored the participants sources of information about the Omicron variant, we found that the MOH and WHO websites were the main sources, but the social networks were also of the same weight compared to them (about 50%), followed by Official statements 41%, hospital announcements 38%, then CDC and Saudi CDC websites almost equally between 30-33%, while scientific journals were down the list 16%.

Table 3: Descriptive analysis of the HCW's awareness of the Omicron variant.

variable	True Percentage	False Percentage	Neither True/False Percentage
The Omicron variant is more transmissible than the Delta	848 (66%)	94 (7.3)	343 (26.7)
The name "Omicron" is one of the Greek alphabets	674 (52.5)	81 (6.3)	530 (41.2)
The Omicron virus spike protein has 22 mutations	362 (28.2)	211 (16.4)	712 (55.4)
Omicron COVID signs and symptoms are the same as the original COVID-19 strain	722 (56.2)	292 (22.7)	271 (21.1)
The SARS-CoV-2 virus mutations are	1094 (85.1)	23 (1.8)	168 (13.1)

expected			
The Omicron variant causes more severe disease than the original strain	493 (38.4)	374 (29.1)	418 (32.6)
People who have previously had COVID-19 could become reinfected more easily with Omicron	480 (37.4)	269 (20.9)	536 (41.7)
COVID-19 mRNA vaccines (Pfizer, Moderna) might be less effective against Omicron	376 (29.3)	258 (20.1)	651 (50.7)
COVID-19 vector-based vaccines (like AstraZeneca) might be less effective against Omicron	290 (22.6)	233 (18.1)	762 (59.3)
Therapeutics like monoclonal antibodies might be less effective against Omicron	251 (19.5)	154 (12)	880 (68.5)

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
To the best of your knowledge, where was the COVID-19 Omicron variant first described		
UK	15	1.2
USA	16	1.2
South Africa	1197	93.2
China	47	3.7
India	10	0.8
How familiar are you with the new Omicron COVID-19 mutation? Mean (SD)		3.24 (0.95)
How familiar are you with the Delta COVID-19 mutation? Mean (SD)		3.50 (0.99)
What are your sources of information about Omicron variant		
Hospital announcements (e.g., roll-ups or newsletters)	488	38
Official statements or press release from MOH (e.g., through SMS or newspapers	527	41
MOH website	647	50.4
WHO website	662	51.5
CDC website	395	30.7
Saudi CDC	430	33.5
Social Networks (like YouTube, Facebook, Twitter, WhatsApp)	633	49.3
Scientific journals	369	28.7
Other sources	205	16

Our primary objective is to assess the HCWs perception about vaccines effectiveness to prevent the spread of Omicron variant or other future variants, we found that (66.9%) of them agreed, while when considering other preventive measures like universal masking, social distancing, avoiding unnecessary international travel, their agreement was (77.8%) , (78%),

(71.4%) respectively. (82.2%) of the participating HCWs felt that the COVID-19 vaccination should be mandatory for all eligible adult population, while only 5.1% disagreed (Table 4).

Almost have of the HCWs (42.7%) perceived that any of the current mRNA vaccines (Pfizer or Moderna) will be the best booster COVID-19 vaccine, while (26.9%) felt that a new mRNA vaccine that is developed to better cover the Omicron variant is the best, and 26.2% felt ok receiving any available vaccine as a third booster dose (Table 4)

Regarding the participants perceived risk from the Omicron variant, (16.9 %) of them felt that patients with immune deficiency are at the highest risk, followed by elderly (14.9%), HCWs (14.1%) and then patients with chronic medical illnesses (Table 4).

When assessing the participants perceptions about the future perspectives of the Omicron variant locally and internationally, (57.7%) agreed that it has the potential to cause a new COVID-19 pandemic wave worldwide, while only (45.9%) agreed it may cause another COVID-19 wave in Saudi Arabia and (46.1%) to cause a lockdown (Table 4). Our cohort of HCWs had the highest worry level from international travel followed by the new Omicron variant and then their worry level from the original COVID-19 strain and Delta variant were comparable while their worry level from the alpha strain was the lowest.

Table 4: Descriptive analysis of the HCW's believes, attitudes, and practices concerning the COVID-19 Omicron variant.

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Vaccines are the most effective way to prevent spread of Omicron variant or other future variants		
Agree	860	66.9
Disagree	425	33.1
Universal masking is still effective in preventing spread of Omicron variant or other future variants, Mean (SD)		3.11 (1.10)
Agree	999	77.8
Disagree	286	22.2
Social distancing is still effective in preventing spread of Omicron variant or other future variants, Mean (SD)		
Agree	1002	78
Disagree	283	22
Avoiding unnecessary international travel is still effective in preventing spread of Omicron variant or other future variants, Mean (SD)		
Agree	917	71.4
Disagree	368	28.6
The COVID-19 vaccine should be mandatory for all adult population		
Agree	1056	82.2
Neither agree nor disagree	163	12.7
Disagree	66	5.1
In view of the Omicron outbreak: What do you think the best booster COVID-19 vaccine		

Any of the current mRNA vaccines (Pfizer or Moderna)	549	42.7
A new mRNA vaccine that is developed to better cover the Omicron variant	346	26.9
Another vaccine not mRNA type	53	4.1
It does NOT matter; I will be OK with receiving any vaccine as a third booster	337	26.2
In your opinion, who is at the highest risk from the Omicron variant		
The elderly	894	14.9
Patients with diabetes	753	12.6
Patients with cardiovascular disease like hypertension	703	11.7
Patients with chronic renal disease	792	13.2
Patents with immune deficiency	1014	16.9
Healthcare workers	846	14.1
Obese population	607	10.1
Young population	335	5.6
Unvaccinated people previously (added from others)	18	0.3
Others	36	0.6
This Omicron variant has the potential to cause a new COVID-19 pandemic wave worldwide.		
Agree	741	57.7
Neither agree nor disagree	439	34.2
Disagree	105	8.2
The Omicron variant may cause another COVID-19 wave in Saudi Arabia.		
Agree	590	45.9
Neither agree nor disagree	490	38.1
Disagree	205	16
A second national lockdown may be implemented if an Omicron variant outbreak occurs.		
Agree	593	46.1
Neither agree nor disagree	430	33.5
Disagree	262	20.4
Using a Likert rating from 1-5, How worried are you from		
International travel, mean (SD)		3.19 (1.12)
The original strain that started the first pandemic, mean (SD)		1.96 (1.14)
The Alpha variant (that was first described in the UK), mean (SD)		1.67 (1.1)
The Delta variant (that was first described in India), mean (SD)		1.97 (1.13)
The new Omicron variant, mean (SD)		2.18 (1.14)

HCWs perceptions of vaccination and other preventive measure to prevent COVID-19 variants spread

The HCWs belief in universal masking, social distancing, and avoiding unnecessary international flights to prevent COVID-19 variant spread correlated strongly, significantly and bidirectionally with their belief that vaccines are still the most effective way to prevent omicron variant spread, the same was observed with their mean worry level of international travel and their agreement that COVID vaccine should be mandatory for all adults. Their mean worry level of the Omicron variant correlated significantly and strongly with their perception of the effectiveness of the above preventive measures including vaccination to prevent its spread. While their self-rated familiarity with it or Delta variant, correlated strongly and significantly only with vaccination to prevent Omicron spread. Still their self-rated familiarity with the Delta variant correlated significantly and strongly with their belief in the effectiveness of the vaccines to prevent the Omicron spread, but weakly with universal masking, social distancing, and avoiding unnecessary international flights to prevent the potential spread of the newly emergent Omicron variant Table 5.

Table 5: Correlation between participants' perceptions about vaccines, universal masking and social distancing to prevent Omicron variant spread.

Variable	Vaccines are still the most effective way to prevent omicron variant spread	Universal masking is still the most effective way to prevent omicron variant spread	Social distancing is still the most effective way to prevent omicron variant spread
Participants' agreement level if universal masking is still effective in preventing the COVID-19 variant spread	.707**		
Participants' agreement level if social distancing is still effective in preventing the COVID-19 variant spread	.675**	.889**	
Participants' agreement level if avoiding unnecessary international travel is still effective in preventing the COVID-19 variant spread	.603**	.755**	.794**
Participants' agreement level that Omicron variant may have the potential to cause a new COVID pandemic wave worldwide.	-.002	.011	.017
Participants' agreement level that Omicron variant may cause another COVID-19 wave in Saudi Arabia.	.005	-.015	.000
Participants' agreement level that Omicron variant may cause second lockdown.	.010	.027	.051
Participants' agreement level that COVID vaccine should be mandatory for all adults	.179**	.117**	.113**
Participants' mean worry level of international travel	.102**	.134**	.157**
Participants' mean worry level from original strain	.069*	.091**	.111**
Participants' mean worry level from Alpha variant	.065*	.075**	.098**
Participants' mean worry level from Delta variant	.069*	.109**	.124**
Participants' mean worry level from the Omicron variant	.082**	.103**	.132**
Participants' self-rated familiarity with Omicron variant information	.090**	.055*	.069*
Participants' self-rated familiarity level with the Delta variant	.094**	.062*	.066*

***. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). *. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).*

Note: Correlation (r)coefficients <0.10 are considered Non-significant or are very weak even if their p-values <0.050

To study our main objective, the HCWs' belief in the effectiveness of the COVID-19 vaccine in preventing the spread of the Omicron newly emergent variant and other future mutants, we ran multivariate logistic regression analysis of multi-variables related to the HCWs' and their perception of the ineffectiveness of the vaccines to prevent the spread of COVID-19 mutant strains, as those who agreed that vaccines are still effective in preventing the spread of the disease were the majority, therefore we ran the analysis for those who believed that the vaccines are ineffective in preventing the spread. HCWs age correlated linearly with their perceived ineffectiveness of the COVID-19 vaccines to prevent the spread of mutant strains

especially Omicron, that relation was more pronounced and significant for those aged 55 and above (P 0.034) (Figure 1). HCWs who strongly believed that universal masking and avoiding unnecessary international flights are still effective ways to prevent the spread of mutant strains they significantly didn't agree with the ineffectiveness of the vaccines to prevent the spread of current and future mutant strains.

Also, those who believed that COVID-19 vaccine should be mandatory for all adults or believed that mutant strains is expected phenomenon also strongly didn't believe in the ineffectiveness of the vaccines. Interestingly, HCWs who work in critical care areas significantly believed that COVID-19 vaccines are ineffective to prevent the spread of mutant strains. Regarding the HCWs source of information, those who relied on WHO significantly didn't believe in the efficacy of the vaccines to prevent the spread of current or future mutant strains (Table 6).

Table 6: Multivariate Logistic Regression analysis of the HCW's perceived ineffectiveness of the COVID-19 vaccines to prevent the spread of mutant strains especially Omicron.

Variable	Multivariate adjusted Odds Ratio	95% C.I. for OR		p-value
		Lower	Upper	
Sex=Male	.857	.609	1.208	.379
Age=25-34 years				.113
Age=35 to 44 years	1.255	.863	1.827	.235
Age=45-54 years	1.502	.967	2.332	.070
Age>=55 years	1.965	1.054	3.663	.034
Work area =ICU	1.925	1.194	3.103	.007
Work area= OR	2.148	.878	5.255	.094
Previously diagnosed with COVID-19	1.292	.897	1.863	.169
Does Not want to take the COVID19 vaccine	1.564	.833	2.937	.164
Believes The COVID virus mutations are expected	.799	.638	1.000	.050
Believes Universal masking is still effective in preventing the variant spread	.318	.258	.393	<0.001
Believes Avoiding unnecessary international travel is still effective in preventing the variant spread	.617	.499	.763	<0.001
Agreement level with that Omicron variant has the potential to cause a new COVID pandemic wave worldwide.	1.208	.934	1.562	.149

Agreement level that COVID vaccine should be mandatory for all adults?	.355	.263	.478	<0.001
Source of info= MOH website	.760	.544	1.062	.108
Source of info= WHO website	1.474	1.046	2.077	.027
Source of info= CDC website	.768	.521	1.133	.183
Source of info=Scientific journals	.774	.526	1.137	.191
Worry Level from the Delta variant	1.127	.971	1.307	.117

The surveyed HCWs characteristics analysis has shown that males were significantly against the vision of disagreement of mandatory vaccination of all eligible adults compared to females, the same was found more significantly for the Expatriates, while age didn't correlate with mandatory vaccination perception at all. The HCWs who relied on social media as source of information were significantly against the disagreement of mandatory vaccination for all adults, the same was observed for their Self-Rated familiarity level with Omicron mutant.

Those who were absolutely unwilling to receive the vaccine were 5 times more in disagreement of mandatory vaccination. Agreement level with effectiveness of vaccines to prevent mutant spread was significantly associated with the agreement of mandatory vaccination, the same was observed with agreement level of possible second national lockdown due Omicron mutant. While those who agreed with the effectiveness of unnecessary travel avoidance to prevent mutant spread were 1.5 times in disagreement of the mandatory vaccination for all eligible adults. (Table 7)

Table 7: Multivariate Logistic Regression analysis of the HCW's odds of disagreement with Mandatory COVID-19 Vaccination.

Variable	Multivariate adjusted Odds Ratio	95% C.I. for OR		p-value
		Lower	Upper	
Sex= Male	.692	.487	.983	.040
Age group	.865	.723	1.035	.113
Nationality= Expatriate	.295	.209	.416	<0.001
Absolute unwillingness to receive vaccines	5.661	3.303	9.704	<0.001
Reliance on social media as source of information	.614	.438	.860	.005
Reliance on MOH official statements as source of information	1.278	.914	1.788	.152
Self-Rated familiarity level with Omicron	.754	.637	.893	.001
Agreement level with the effectiveness of vaccines to prevent mutant spread	.525	.428	.644	<0.001
Agreement level with effectiveness of unnecessary travel avoidance to prevent mutant spread	1.438	1.188	1.740	<0.001
Agreement level of possible second national lockdown due Omicron mutant	.580	.472	.714	<0.001



Figure 1: Relation between participants' age and their perception of the COVID-19 ineffectiveness against mutant strains.

4. Discussion

This study explored HCWs' perceptions and beliefs of vaccine effectiveness and advocacy in relation to the emerging SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in KSA. Most respondents were females (62%), similar to a previous study about the Delta variants concern in KSA, and in agreement with other studies showing females to be the mainstream among HCWs[11,13,14].

An interesting observation in the current study is the relatively low percentage of contact with COVID-19 patients as only one-third of participants reported any contact over the past three months, previous reports from KSA showed that contact was as high as 78% and 76% in the beginning of vaccine rollout and during the delta variant emergence, respectively. This may be due to the overall drop in the incidence rate and increasing vaccine uptake within KSA over the past few months[15]. In contrast, almost 22% reported being previously diagnosed with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19, compared to only 9% in the beginning of vaccine rollout, but similar to that reported during the delta variant emergence. This difference could be due to the longer exposure with the lapse of time between the initial introduction of vaccines in late December 2020 and the slow but steady vaccine uptake by HCWs[13,16].

One-fifth of study participants received a booster dose while the majority reported planning on receiving it as they become eligible, i.e., three to six months from reception of their second dose, with a small percentage (6%) not planning to receive the booster dose. While the choice was 69.6% for any of the current or a new mRNA vaccine, mRNA vaccines were preferred in another Gulf country study among HCWs, which could be partly due to the hematological side effects that have been recorded with the viral vector vaccines[17]. Also, this could signal vaccine selectivity (VS) that is currently an emerging public health challenge due to the multiplicity of vaccine options in many countries. VS defined as an individual's or public's preference for specific vaccines based on their mode of action or brand rather than a general preference for immunization[18].

Although no further exploration of the reasoning behind such decision, misinformation and reliance on social media have been found to be the main drivers of vaccine hesitancy. Such reliance on social media as reported by almost half of the study participants as opposed to less than one-third who reported utilizing scientific journals for their source of information is alarming within the healthcare community. Increased access to peer-reviewed journals should be strongly encouraged among HCWs. Exploring different hindering factors and the true role of open access journals in distributing science within healthcare communities, especially during times of global health crisis, is urgently needed[19]. In an attempt to combat vaccine hesitancy and to confront the new surge in cases, KSA MoH mandated COVID-19 vaccination and made it a requirement to enter public or private institutions, including's shops, mall and governmental offices. Despite extensive evidence of vaccine efficacy and safety, the persistent inadequate immunization coverage in some countries with the surge in COVID-19 hospitalizations, may warrant mandatory vaccination policy.

The majority of HCWs were aware to some degree of the emergence of the Omicron variant and almost 80% were aware of its emergence in KSA. This is not surprising, as the Saudi MoH announced the first reported case of Omicron on the day of launching our survey[20]. The primary concerns regarding Omicron are whether it is more infectious, more severe, or both, in comparison to other strains, and how likely it would evade natural and vaccine-induced immunity[21]. But with more than 30 mutations and some deletions that are similar to other strains, increased transmissibility and higher antibody escape were expected[22]. However, with very few clinical and epidemiological data to help define the true threat of Omicron, participants mixed responses are expected[23].

Among our study participants the perceived effectiveness of the various COVID-19 preventive measures varied, universal masking policy was rated as the highest preventive measure against Omicron variant. It was implemented in KSA in April 2020 in hospitals followed by community mask mandate. The World Health Organization (WHO) broadened mask guidance on Dec 22, 2021 by" recommending the use of masks as part of a comprehensive package of prevention and control measures to limit the spread of SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19. Even when used correctly, a mask alone is insufficient to "provide adequate protection or source control. Other public health and social measures include testing, isolation, contact tracing, quarantine, adequate ventilation in indoor settings, a physical distancing of at least 1 meter, hand hygiene, and respiratory etiquette.[24]"

Of concern, the perceived effectiveness of the current COVID-19 vaccines against Omicron variant among the surveyed HCWs was 67%, while one third expressed possible lack of efficacy. This represent a decline in HCWs perception of vaccine efficacy compared to our earlier study done on December 2020 during the initial rollout of COVID-19 vaccine which was 80.2%[19]. Such finding reflects declining confidence in vaccine efficacy against emerging variants which is noteworthy since concerns about efficacy have been stated as one of the main reasons for vaccine hesitancy[25]. COVID-19 vaccine-related uncertainty and challenges persist in the face of developing COVID-19 variants. Immune escape is a real concern with omicron variant. According to findings from South Africa, the efficacy of the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine against omicron was significantly reduced, with a 41-fold lower level of neutralizing antibodies when compared to the wild strain of SARSCo-V2[6].

The fact that 82.2 percent of HCWs advocate obligatory COVID-19 vaccination for all adults is an interesting finding in our study. Mandating immunizations for HCWs or other business employees is not only ethical, but it is also legal. Employers have the right to require vaccination as a condition of employment, as the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and courts have stated[26]. This right applies to both vaccinations approved for emergency use and those that have been fully approved by the FDA. Mandates, on the other hand, can erode public support, causing a backlash and even lowering vaccine uptake. There are no established processes to enforce population-wide vaccination obligations in most countries, despite the fact that employers, health care providers, and educational institutions can monitor compliance with mandates. Requiring COVID-19 immunizations for HCWs for example is not a new practice; rather, it is a continuation of a long-standing policy. Vaccination against influenza, hepatitis B,

and other infectious diseases has long been mandatory in many health-care settings[27]. Whether to mandate COVID-19 vaccination for HCWs and /or all employees and how to implement such a policy is ultimately a local authority decision. For instance, local authority at both New York and California announced mandating the vaccine for state employees [28].

The highest worry level reported by HCWs was toward international travel. This could be explained by the fact that many of the respondents are expatriate. The need for travel for upcoming holiday season as well as worry from travel bans presumed to prevent the spread of omicron variant are potential contributing factors. Currently the WHO has urged persons over the age of 60 not to travel[29].

On further exploring HCW's sources of worry, the most cited concern was contracting COVID-19 and then transmitting it to a family member. This observation is consistent with our earlier research conducted during the first wave of the pandemic[10] as well as other report where such worry was reported in up to 87.4 % of HCWs[30,31]. Following prevention guideline issued by health care authorities, social distancing and seeking family support were the three most common techniques employed by HCWs to deal with this emerging variant. Likewise, during SARS outbreak and COVID-19 pandemic, effective protective measures were the most popular coping mechanism among Taiwanese nurses and Chinese health care professionals[32]. While it may be difficult to spend time with family when social separation is recommended, HCWs can be encouraged to maintain social interactions using alternate digital means such as video call and social networks. These measures are also in line with the World Health Organization's recommendations on mental health and psychological issues among HCWs during COVID-19 outbreak[33,34].

We observed that the studied HCWs had significant correlating belief in the infection control measures that have been mandated and optimized during the COVID-19 pandemic: universal masking and social distancing with their belief in the vaccination as preventive method for the spread of the Omicron variant or other future variants[35,36]. The same correlation was also observed with their belief that vaccines should be mandatory for all eligible adults. Interestingly they still believed that unnecessary international travelling is an effective measure in preventing the COVID-19 variant spread after the wide spread of vaccination and emergence of at least 3 strains one of them with high transmissibility and serious clinical morbidity and high mortality (Delta variant).

HCWs who believed that COVID-19 mutations are expected and will reoccur were significantly less in agreement of the vaccine ineffectiveness against the spread of the Omicron variant or other potential future ones, that's an expected healthy behavior by them as the best current preventive measure to contain the pandemic is the widespread vaccination, this has been also observed in their stringent healthy behavior of abiding with the infection prevention measures as universal masking and social distancing for the same purpose[35,36]. Therefore,

intuitively the HCWs who strongly believed that COVID-19 vaccination should be mandatory for all adults were strongly against the idea of vaccine ineffectiveness against mutant strains.

HCWs in critical care areas believed significantly in the ineffectiveness of the vaccines to prevent the spread of mutant strains including Omicron. This could be explained by the fact that frontline HCWs might be affected by PTSD, or as being skilled HCWs and their skeptical approach to vaccination and its long-term side effects, that makes it challenging for them to accept the booster doses easily[37].

Male gender was significantly associated with agreement of mandatory vaccination of all eligible adults compared to females. This attitude has been observed in multiple studies as males were more inclined for vaccine uptake and had much less vaccine hesitancy, while age didn't correlate with mandatory vaccination tendency at all[17,38]. The HCWs who relied on social media as source of information were significantly in agreement with mandatory vaccination for all adults. HCWs self-rated familiarity level with Omicron mutant also played a significant role in directing them toward preference of mandatory vaccination, mostly due to their perception of the potential consequences of the emergence of a new mutant strain and their belief in the role of widespread vaccination to prevent the emergence of further new ones and to contain the current ones.

Agreement level with effectiveness of vaccines to prevent mutant spread was significantly associated with the agreement of mandatory vaccination, the same was observed with agreement level of possible second national lockdown due Omicron mutant, again that behavior from HCWs of stringent abidance with vaccination correlate with their expectation of its effectiveness to prevent the spread of any mutant strain, and their fear of national lockdown isn't unexpected to drive them to have undoubtful belief in mandatory vaccination as the best modality for that. While they didn't perceive the effectiveness of unnecessary travel avoidance to be effective to prevent the spread of mutant strains or being superior to mandatory vaccination.

4.2 Study limitations and strengths (Hani)

While this research is subject to the limitation of cross-sectional studies, such as sampling, response, and recall biases, still, this study is among the first to explore perceptions and worries among HCWs considering the new SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. As with the evolving variants situations, HCWs' experiences and perceptions are likely to change. Moreover, HCWs' experiences may differ from one setting to another.

5 Conclusion

In this first national survey in Saudi Arabia addressing both the Omicron variant perception and vaccine booster dose acceptance among HCWs, only about two-thirds of HCWs perceived that vaccination is the best option to prevent the spread of the Omicron variant, indicating the need to boost awareness campaigns for the vaccination and booster doses education among HCWs. It is also important to further study and enhance coping strategies for HCWs as we move through the third year of the pandemic with more potential variants, in order to protect HCWs from fatigue and burnout.

References

1. Organization, W.H. Classification of Omicron (B. 1.1. 529): SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern. *November* **2021**, *26*, 2021.
2. WHO. Enhancing Readiness for Omicron (B.1.1.529): Technical Brief and Priority Actions for Member States. Available online: https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/enhancing-readiness-for-omicron-(b.1.1.529)-technical-brief-and-priority-actions-for-member-states (accessed on 25 Dec 2021).
3. Volz, E.; Mishra, S.; Chand, M.; Barrett, J.C.; Johnson, R.; Geidelberg, L.; Hinsley, W.R.; Laydon, D.J.; Dabrera, G.; O'Toole, Á.; et al. Assessing transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in England. *Nature* **2021**, *593*, 266-269, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03470-x.
4. Chen, J.; Wang, R.; Gilby, N.B.; Wei, G.W. Omicron (B.1.1.529): Infectivity, vaccine breakthrough, and antibody resistance. In *ArXiv*; 2021.
5. TheGuardians. Omicron: everything you need to know about new Covid variant. Available online: https://www.theguardian.com/world/2021/nov/26/vaccine-resistant-what-scientists-know-new-covid-variant (accessed on 18 Dec 2021).
6. Cele, S.; Jackson, L.; Khan, K.; Khoury, D.S.; Moyo-Gwete, T.; Tegally, H.; Scheepers, C.; Amoako, D.; Karim, F.; Bernstein, M.; et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron has extensive but incomplete escape of Pfizer BNT162b2 elicited neutralization and requires ACE2 for infection. *medRxiv* **2021**, doi:10.1101/2021.12.08.21267417.
7. Lasalvia, A.; Bodini, L.; Amaddeo, F.; Porru, S.; Carta, A.; Poli, R.; Bonetto, C. The Sustained Psychological Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Health Care Workers One Year after the Outbreak-A Repeated Cross-Sectional Survey in a Tertiary Hospital of North-East Italy. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* **2021**, *18*, doi:10.3390/ijerph182413374.
8. Temsah, M.-H.; Alenezi, S.; Al-Arabi, M.; Aljamaan, F.; Alhasan, K.; Assiri, R.; Bassrawi, R.; Alshahrani, F.; Alhaboob, A.; Alaraj, A.; et al. Healthcare workers' COVID-19 Omicron variant uncertainty-related stress, resilience, and coping strategies during the first week of World Health Organization alert. *medRxiv* **2021**, 2021.2012.2024.21268377, doi:10.1101/2021.12.24.21268377.
9. Temsah, M.H.; Alhuzaimi, A.N.; Alamro, N.; Alrabiaah, A.; Al-Sohime, F.; Alhasan, K.; Kari, J.A.; Almaghlouth, I.; Aljamaan, F.; Al Amri, M.; et al. Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices of Healthcare Workers During the Early COVID-19 Pandemic in a Main, Academic Tertiary Care Centre in Saudi Arabia. *Epidemiol Infect* **2020**, 1-29, doi:10.1017/S0950268820001958.
10. Temsah, M.H.; Al-Sohime, F.; Alamro, N.; Al-Eyadhy, A.; Al-Hasan, K.; Jamal, A.; Al-Maglouth, I.; Aljamaan, F.; Al Amri, M.; Barry, M.; et al. The psychological impact of COVID-19 pandemic on health care workers in a MERS-CoV endemic country. *J Infect Public Health* **2020**, *13*, 877-882, doi:10.1016/j.jiph.2020.05.021.
11. Temsah, M.H.; Barry, M.; Aljamaan, F.; Alhuzaimi, A.N.; Al-Eyadhy, A.; Saddik, B.; Alsohime, F.; Alhaboob, A.; Alhasan, K.; Alaraj, A.; et al. SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 UK Variant of Concern Lineage-Related Perceptions, COVID-19 Vaccine Acceptance and Travel Worry Among Healthcare Workers. *Front Public Health* **2021**, *9*, 686958, doi:10.3389/fpubh.2021.686958.
12. Barry, M.; Temsah, M.-H.; Aljamaan, F.; Saddik, B.; Al-Eyadhy, A.; Alanazi, S.; Alamro, N.; Alhuzaimi, A.; Alhaboob, A.; Alsohime, F. COVID-19 vaccine uptake among healthcare workers in the fourth country to authorize BNT162b2 during the first month of rollout. *medRxiv* **2021**.
13. Alhasan, K.; Aljamaan, F.; Temsah, M.H.; Alshahrani, F.; Bassrawi, R.; Alhaboob, A.; Assiri, R.; Alenezi, S.; Alaraj, A.; Alhomoudi, R.I.; et al. COVID-19 Delta Variant: Perceptions, Worries, and Vaccine-Booster Acceptability among Healthcare Workers. *Healthcare (Basel)* **2021**, *9*, doi:10.3390/healthcare9111566.

14. Rabinowitz, L.G.; Rabinowitz, D.G. Women on the Frontline: A Changed Workforce and the Fight Against COVID-19. *Acad Med* **2021**, *96*, 808-812, doi:10.1097/acm.0000000000004011.
15. Hopkins J, M.; U. *Coronavirus resource center. COVID-19 Map*. Available online: https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html (accessed on 25 July 2021).
16. Barry, M.; Temsah, M.H.; Aljamaan, F.; Saddik, B.; Al-Eyadhy, A.; Alenezi, S.; Alamro, N.; Alhuzaimi, A.N.; Alhaboob, A.; Alhasan, K.; et al. COVID-19 vaccine uptake among healthcare workers in the fourth country to authorize BNT162b2 during the first month of rollout. *Vaccine* **2021**, doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.08.083.
17. Al-Sanafi, M.; Sallam, M. Psychological Determinants of COVID-19 Vaccine Acceptance among Healthcare Workers in Kuwait: A Cross-Sectional Study Using the 5C and Vaccine Conspiracy Beliefs Scales. *Vaccines (Basel)* **2021**, *9*, doi:10.3390/vaccines9070701.
18. Klugar, M.; Riad, A.; Mekhemar, M.; Conrad, J.; Buchbender, M.; Howaldt, H.P.; Attia, S. Side Effects of mRNA-Based and Viral Vector-Based COVID-19 Vaccines among German Healthcare Workers. *Biology (Basel)* **2021**, *10*, doi:10.3390/biology10080752.
19. Barry, M.; Temsah, M.H.; Alhuzaimi, A.; Alamro, N.; Al-Eyadhy, A.; Aljamaan, F.; Saddik, B.; Alhaboob, A.; Alsohime, F.; Alhasan, K.; et al. COVID-19 vaccine confidence and hesitancy among health care workers: A cross-sectional survey from a MERS-CoV experienced nation. *PLoS One* **2021**, *16*, e0244415, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0244415.
20. SPA. Health Ministry: Detecting Omicron Variant Case of Coronavirus in Saudi Arabia for Citizen Coming Back from North African Country. Available online: www.spa.gov.sa/2308747 (accessed on
21. Karim, S.S.A.; Karim, Q.A. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: a new chapter in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* **2021**, *398*, 2126-2128, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(21)02758-6.
22. Greaney, A.J.; Starr, T.N.; Gilchuk, P.; Zost, S.J.; Binshtein, E.; Loes, A.N.; Hilton, S.K.; Huddleston, J.; Eguia, R.; Crawford, K.H.D.; et al. Complete Mapping of Mutations to the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Receptor-Binding Domain that Escape Antibody Recognition. *Cell Host Microbe* **2021**, *29*, 44-57.e49, doi:10.1016/j.chom.2020.11.007.
23. Ferré, V.M.; Peiffer-Smadja, N.; Visseaux, B.; Descamps, D.; Ghosn, J.; Charpentier, C. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: What we know and what we don't. *Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med* **2021**, *41*, 100998, doi:10.1016/j.accpm.2021.100998.
24. WHO. COVID-19 infection prevention and control living guideline: mask use in community settings, 22 December 2021. Available online: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-IPC_masks-2021.1 (accessed on 27 Dec 2021).
25. Li, M.; Luo, Y.; Watson, R.; Zheng, Y.; Ren, J.; Tang, J.; Chen, Y. Healthcare workers' (HCWs) attitudes and related factors towards COVID-19 vaccination: a rapid systematic review. *Postgrad Med J* **2021**, doi:10.1136/postgradmedj-2021-140195.
26. EEOC. EEOC Issues Updated COVID-19 Technical Assistance. Available online: https://www.eeoc.gov/newsroom/eeoc-issues-updated-covid-19-technical-assistance (accessed on 27 Dec 2021).
27. Klompas, M.; Pearson, M.; Morris, C. The Case for Mandating COVID-19 Vaccines for Health Care Workers. *Ann Intern Med* **2021**, *174*, 1305-1307, doi:10.7326/m21-2366.
28. Olick, R.S.; Shaw, J.; Yang, Y.T. Ethical Issues in Mandating COVID-19 Vaccination for Health Care Personnel. *Mayo Clin Proc* **2021**, *96*, 2958-2962, doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2021.10.020.
29. Organization, W.H. WHO Advice for International Traffic in Relation to the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant (B. 1.1. 529). *November* **2021**, *30*, 2021.
30. Alreshidi, N.M.; Haridi, H.K.; Alaseeri, R.; Garcia, M.; Gaspar, F.; Alrashidi, L. Assessing healthcare workers' knowledge, emotions and perceived institutional preparedness about COVID-19

pandemic at Saudi hospitals in the early phase of the pandemic. *J Public Health Res* **2020**, *9*, 1936, doi:10.4081/jphr.2020.1936.

31. Cai, H.; Tu, B.; Ma, J.; Chen, L.; Fu, L.; Jiang, Y.; Zhuang, Q. Psychological Impact and Coping Strategies of Frontline Medical Staff in Hunan Between January and March 2020 During the Outbreak of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Hubei, China. *Med Sci Monit* **2020**, *26*, e924171, doi:10.12659/msm.924171.
32. Hummel, S.; Oetjen, N.; Du, J.; Posenato, E.; Resende de Almeida, R.M.; Losada, R.; Ribeiro, O.; Frisardi, V.; Hopper, L.; Rashid, A.; et al. Mental Health Among Medical Professionals During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Eight European Countries: Cross-sectional Survey Study. *J Med Internet Res* **2021**, *23*, e24983, doi:10.2196/24983.
33. Kisely, S.; Warren, N.; McMahon, L.; Dalais, C.; Henry, I.; Siskind, D. Occurrence, prevention, and management of the psychological effects of emerging virus outbreaks on healthcare workers: rapid review and meta-analysis. *Bmj* **2020**, *369*, m1642, doi:10.1136/bmj.m1642.
34. Organization, W.H. *Mental health and psychosocial considerations during the COVID-19 outbreak, 18 March 2020*; World Health Organization: 2020.
35. Al-Metwali, B.Z.; Al-Jumaili, A.A.; Al-Alag, Z.A.; Sorofman, B. Exploring the acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine among healthcare workers and general population using health belief model. *J Eval Clin Pract* **2021**, *27*, 1112-1122, doi:10.1111/jep.13581.
36. O'Donohue, L.S.; Fletcher-Gutowski, S.; Sidhu, A.; Verma, A.; Phillips, T.C.; Misra, P.G. Mask use among health care workers and feelings of safety at work pre- and post- COVID-19 vaccine. *Am J Infect Control* **2021**, doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2021.11.009.
37. Berry, S.D.; Johnson, K.S.; Myles, L.; Herndon, L.; Montoya, A.; Fashaw, S.; Gifford, D. Lessons learned from frontline skilled nursing facility staff regarding COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy. *J Am Geriatr Soc* **2021**, *69*, 1140-1146, doi:10.1111/jgs.17136.
38. Maraqa, B.; Nazzal, Z.; Rabi, R.; Sarhan, N.; Al-Shakhra, K.; Al-Kaila, M. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among health care workers in Palestine: A call for action. *Prev Med* **2021**, *149*, 106618, doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2021.106618.