COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ANTIBODY RESPONSES FROM COVID-19 CONVALESCENTS RECEIVING VARIOUS VACCINES REVEALS CONSISTENT HIGH NEUTRALIZING ACTIVITY FOR SARS-CoV-2 VARIANT OF CONCERN OMICRON.

Daniele Focosi[1,#], Massimo Franchini[2] , Michael J. Joyner[3], Arturo Casadevall[4]

[1]North-Western Tuscany Blood Bank, Pisa University Hospital, 56124 Pisa, Italy.

[2]Division of Transfusion Medicine, Carlo Poma Hospital, 46100 Mantua, Italy; massimo.franchini@asst-mantova.it

[3] Department of Anesthesiology & Perioperative Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55902, USA joyner.michael@mayo.edu ;

[3]Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins School of Public Health and School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA; acasade1@jhu.edu .

[#]corresponding author: via Paradisa 2, 56124 Pisa, Italy. E-mail: daniele.focosi@gmail.com

Keywords: COVID19; Omicron; convalescent plasma; vaccine; neutralizing antibodies; outpatients.

Word count: abstract 101; body 1478.

Acknowledgements: none.

Funding Information: none.

Author contributions: D.F. and M.J.J. conceived the manuscript; D.F. and M.F. analyzed the literature; M.F. provided Figure 1; D.F. provided Figure 2; A.C. and M.J.J. revised the manuscript.

Abstract

The novel SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant of concern (VOCs), with its escape from unboosted vaccines and monoclonal antibodies, demonstrates the continued relevance of COVID19 convalescent plasma therapies. Lessons learnt from previous usage of CCP suggests focusing on outpatients and using high nAb-titer units in early disease stages. In this systematic analysis, we show that CCP from unvaccinated donors is not effective against Omicron, while CCP from vaccinees convalescents from previous VOCs or third-dose uninfected vaccinees is likely to remain effective against Omicron. CCP remains the only antibody-based therapy that keeps up with the variants and provides an effective tool to combat the emergence of variants that defeat monoclonal antibodies. Consequently, there is a need for continue study of the variables that determine CCP efficacy.

Introduction

The SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant of concern (VOC), named VUI-21NOV-01 by Public Health England and belonging to GISAID clade GR/484A, was first reported on November 8, 2021 in South Africa (particularly in Gauteng, North West and Limpopo regions, where it is likely to have been circulating for weeks [1]), and shortly thereafter spread all around the world. Omicron mutations impact 27% of T cell epitopes [2] and 31% of B cell epitopes of Spike, while percentages for other VOC were significantly lower [3]. The omicron variant already includes several sublineages (with more expected soon during such a massive spread), which are named by PANGO phylogeny using the BA alias: BA.1 (which represents the majority of cases) and BA.3 (a.k.a. 21K in NextStrain, both harboring the HV69-70 deletion which leads to S-gene target failure in Thermo Fisher TaqPath® RT-PCR), and BA.2 (a.k.a. 21L in NextStrain).

The novel VOC Omicron is reducing the efficacy of all vaccines approved to date (unless 3 doses are delivered) [4-19], represents an unexpected boost over CCP usage, with Omicron being treated as an entirely novel virus instead of a SARS-CoV-2 variants. Two years into the pandemics, we are back to the starting line for some therapeutic agents. Importantly, Omicron evades most monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) approved to date [5,12-14,20,21] with the lone exception of sotrovimab: nevertheless, as expected for non-cocktail mAb therapies, sotrovimab treatment-emergent immune escape from S:E340K has been shown to be as high as 10% [22,23]. Despite the development of promising oral small-chemical antivirals (molnupiravir and nirmatrelvir), the logistical and economical hurdles for deploying these drugs worldwide will prevent their immediate availability. COVID19 convalescent plasma (CCP) was used as a frontline treatment from the very beginning of the pandemic. Efficacy outcomes have been mixed to date, with most failures explained by low dose and late usage [24], but efficacy of high-titer CCP has been definitively proven in outpatients with mild disease stages [25,26]. Neutralizing antibody (nAb) efficacy against variants of concerns (VOC) remains a prerequisite to support CCP usage, which could now be collected also from vaccinated convalescents including breakthrough infections [27]: pre-Omicron evidences suggest that those nAbs have higher titers and are more effective against VOCs than those from unvaccinated convalescents [28,29],

Several countries have up to 48 different possible vaccine schedules according to EMA and FDA approvals including a number of homologous or heterologous boosts, but the most commonly delivered in the westernized countries were 1) BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 for 2 doses eventually followed by a homologous boost; 2) ChAdOx1 for 2 doses eventually followed by a BNT162b2 boost; 3) Ad26.COV2.S for 1 dose eventually followed by a BNT162b2 boost. Many more inactivated vaccines have been in use in low-and-middle income countries, the ideal target regions for CCP therapy, widen that this therapy is relatively inexpensive. All these patients can have received the vaccine schedule before, after or without having been infected, and, further complicating the picture, the nAb titer generally declines with time. Hence identifying the settings where the nAb titer is highest will definitively increase the appropriateness of CCP collections. Variations in nAb titers against a given SARS-CoV-2 strain are usually reported as fold-changes in geometric mean titer (GMT) compared to wild-type strains: nevertheless, fold-changes for groups that include non-responders can lead to highly artificial results and possibly over-interpretation. Rigorous studies have hence reported the percentage of responders as primary outcome and provided fold-changes of GMT where calculation is reasonable (100% responders in both arms) [19].

To date the most rigorous data repository for SARS-CoV-2 sensitivity to antivirals is the Stanford University Coronavirus Antiviral & Resistance Database, but as of December 22, 2021 the tables there summarizing "Virus Variants and Spike Mutations vs Convalescent Plasma"

(https://covdb.stanford.edu/page/susceptibility-data/#:~:text=Table%202.-,Virus%20Variants%20and%20Spike%20Mutations%20vs%20Convalescent%20Plasma,-Table%203.%20Virus) and "Virus Variants and Spike Mutations vs Plasma from Vaccinated Persons" (https://covdb.stanford.edu/page/susceptibility-data/#table.2.virus.variants.and.spike.mutations.vs.convalescent.plasma) report aggregate data from only 6 studies, and do not dissect the infecting sublineages, nor the different heterologous or homologous vaccination schemes, nor the time from infection/vaccine to neutralization assay. Consequently, a more in-depth analysis is needed to better stratify the populations.

Methods

On December 22, 2021, we searched PubMed, medRxiv and bioRxiv for research investigating the efficacy of COVID19 convalescent plasma (either from vaccinated or unvaccinated donors) against SARS-CoV-2 VOC Omicron. In unvaccinated patients, convalescence was annotated according to infecting sublineage (unspecified, D614G wild-type, VOC Alpha, VOC Beta or VOC Delta). Given the heterologous immunity that develops after vaccination in convalescents, the infecting lineage was not annotated in vaccine recipients. In vaccinees, strata were created for uninfected, 1 dose, 2 homologous doses, 3 homologous doses, or heterologous combinations.

Results

Figure 1 shows the PRISMA flowchart for this study. Our literature search identified 22 studies, that were then manually mined for relevant details.

Given the urgency to assess efficacy against the upcoming VOC Omicron, most studies (with a few exceptions [21,30]) relied over Omicron pseudovirus development and neutralization assays, which, as opposed to live authentic virus, are scalable, do not require BSL-3 facilities, and provide results in less than 1 week. Fold-reduction (FR) was the most commonly way of reporting changes in geometric mean nAb titer (GMT), while changes after the third (boost) dose were commonly reported as fold increases compared to after second dose.

Table 1 shows that CCP collected from unvaccinated convalescents (regardless of the infecting lineage) harbors very low or no neutralizing activity against Omicron, and are hence clinically useless.

Table 2 shows that CCP from vaccinees that have not been previously or later infected has very low nAb content against Omicron. On the other hand, CCP collected from vaccinated convalescents is generally high in nAb content against Omicron, regardless of the order of events (infection/vaccination vs. vaccination/breakthrough infection [31]), of the infecting sublineage, and of the number of vaccine doses.

Discussion

Although nAb titers correlate with vaccine efficacy [32,33], it is important to keep in mind that SARS-CoV-2 binding non-neutralizing antibodies can similarly provide protection via Fc-mediated functions [34,35]. However, these are harder to measure in the laboratory and no automated assay exist for use in clinical laboratories. Hence, whereas the presence of a high nAb titer in CCP is evidence for

antibody effectiveness *in vitro*, the absence of nAb titer does not imply lack of protection *in vivo* where Fc effects mediate protection by other mechanisms such as ADCC, complement activation and phagocytosis. That said, it is always preferable to use CCP with a high nAb titer since that is positive correlate for antiviral activity and there is now strong clinical evidence that such titers correlate with efficacy in clinical trials [25,26].

This systematic review provides strong evidence that CCP from unvaccinated donors is unlikely to be effective against Omicron. On the contrary, despite the huge heterogeneity of vaccine schedules, CCP from vaccinated COVID-19 convalescent individuals consistently harbors high nAb titers against Omicron if collected up to 6 months since last event (either vaccine dosage or infection). Consequently, prescreening of CCP donors for nAb titers is not necessary, and qualification of CCP units remains advisable only within clinical trials. A more objective way to assess previous infection would be measuring anti-nucleocapsid (N) antibodies, but unfortunately these vanish quickly [36,37]. Previous symptomatic infection and vaccination can be established by collecting past medical history (PMH) during the donor selection visit, which is cheaper, faster, and more reliable than measuring rapidly declining anti-N antibodies. Although there is no formal evidence for this, it is likely that asymptomatic infection (leading to lower nAb levels) also leads to lower nAb levels after vaccination compared to symptomatic infection, given that disease severity correlates with antibody titer [38,39]: hence those asymptomatically infected donors missed by investigating PMH are less likely to be useful.

The same reasoning applies to uninfected vaccinees receiving third dose boosts, but several authorities, including FDA, do not allow collection from such donors for CCP therapy on the basis that the convalescent polyclonal and poly-target response is a prerequisite for efficacy and superior to the polyclonal anti-Spike only response of vaccinees. This may be a false premise for recipients of inactivated whole-virus vaccines (e.g., BBIBP-CorV or VLA2001): for BBIBP-CorV, the efficacy against Omicron is largely reduced [40,41], but the impact of boost doses is still unreported at the time of writing. For CoronaVac® (SinoVac), three doses led to 5.1 fold-reduction in nAb titer [41], while for Sputnik V nAb titer moved from a 12-fold reduction at 6-12 months up to a 7-fold reduction at 2-3 months after a boost with Sputnik Light [30].

Another point to consider is that information on levels after third dose do not currently exceed more than one month of follow-up, while studies on convalescents extend to more than 6 months: to date it seems hence advisable to start from convalescent vaccinees rather than uninfected 3-dose vaccinees. Vaccine schedules with a delayed boost seem to elicit higher and broader nAb levels than the approved, short schedules [42-45], but this remain to be confirmed in larger series.

With the increase of Omicron seroprevalence in time, polyclonal intravenous immunoglobulins collected from regular donors could become a more standardized alternative to CCP (see Figure 2), but their efficacy to date (at the peak of the vaccinations campaign) is still 16-fold reduced against Omicron compared to wild-type SARS-CoV-2 [14], and such preparations include only IgG and not IgM and IgA, which have powerful SARS-CoV-2 activity.

CCP collection from vaccinated convalescents (regardless of infecting sublineage, vaccine type and number of doses) is likely to achieve high nAb titer against VOC Omicron, and, on the basis of lessons learnt with CCP usage during the first 2 years of the pandemic. Although in ideal situations one would prefer RCT evidence of efficacy against omicron before deployment there is concern that variants are generated so rapidly that by the time such trials commenced this variant could be replaced for another. Given the success of CCP in 2 outpatient RCTs reducing hospitalization [25,26] and the loss of major mAb therapies due to Omicron antigenic changes, the high titers in CCP

165 collected from vaccinated convalescents provides an immediate option for COVID-19, especially in
166 resource poor areas. Given the reduced hospitalization rate with Omicron compared to Delta
167 [46,47], it is even more relevant to identify patient subsets at risk of progression in order to minimize
168 the number needed to treat to prevent a single hospitalization: moving from the same criteria used
169 for mAb therapies while using the same (now unused) in-hospital facilities seems a logical approach.

170 We declare we have no conflict of interest related to this manuscript.

Table 1

Efficacy of CCP collected from unvaccinated COVID19 survivors, infected from different SARS-CoV-2 VOC, against VOC Omicron. Efficacy is reported as ED_{50}/IC_{50} or fold-reductions (FR) compared to wild-type D614G lineages (e.g. USA-WA1/2020) at different time points since onset of symptoms or positivity, expressed in days (d) or months (m). BAU: binding arbitrary unit. LOD: limit of dilution.

convalescents from VOC					ref
unspecified	**D614G**	**Alpha**	**Beta**	**Delta**	
	no activity @ 1.5 and 12m				Gruell [48]
	ID_{50} < 135 @ 1m				Liu [13]
	21 FR, all < LOD (1:20)				Aggarwal [14]
		47 FR		17 FR	Ikemura [8]
	80 FR @ 2m				Hoffman [49]
	BAU/ml reduced from $3x10^3$ to $8x10^2$ at 7-25 days (n = 27)				Schubert [11]
none at 6m and 12m (36 pts)					Planas [12]
58 FR @ 1.3m 32 FR @ 6.2m					Schmidt [50]
	ED_{50} 68 (10.6 FR @ 1 mo), 65 (5.1 FR @ 3 mo)				Zhang [51]
		IC_{50} < 1:16 in 0/10 pts	IC_{50} < 1:16 in 7/8 pts	IC_{50} < 1:16 in 6/7 pts	Rossler [15]
17 FR					Zhao [41]
	none (2/9 of ICU and 1/9 of hospitalized above cutoff)			none (0/12)	Zeng [17]
	40 FR (IC_{50} 23.4 IU/ml) @ 1m or 4m				Sheward [18]
				28.9 FR	Lechmere [31]
none in 73.3%					Carreno [21]
	15 FR @ 1 m 4.4 FR @ 6 m				Zou [52]
	2/20 pts, 2.3-70.1 FR @	2/5 pts, 2.3-70.1 FR	2/2 pts, 2.3-70.1 FR @ 1m	15/17 pts, 22.1-74.4 FR @ 1m	Lusvarghi [53]

	1m	@ 1m			
IC_{50} 15-18 at ? mo					Syed [54]

175

Table 2

Efficacy against VOC Omicron of convalescent plasma collected from vaccinated donors, either infected (either before, between or after vaccination with 1 or more homologous or heterologous doses) or uninfected (with 3 homologous or heterologous doses), reported as $NT_{50}/ED_{50}/IC_{50}$ (dilution factors that yields 50% neutralization of SARS-CoV-2) or fold-reduction (FR) in nAb titers compared to wild-type D614G lineage. Time after last event (infection or vaccination) is reported when available from the original source. The single reference for CoronaVax and Sputnik V are both discussed within the text for space constraints.

BNT162b2/tozinameran (Comirnaty®) (Pfizer/BioNtech)					**mRNA-1273/elasomeran (Spikevax®) (Moderna)**					**AZD1222 / ChAdOx1 (Vaxzevria®, Covishield®) (AstraZeneca)**				**JNJ-78436735/ Ad26.COV2.S® (J&J/Janssen)**		**ref**
2 doses	**3 doses**	**convalescent + 1 dose**	**convalescent + 2 doses**	**convalescent + 3 doses**	**2 doses**	**3 doses**	**2 doses + BNT162b2**	**2 doses + infection**	**3 doses + infection**	**2 doses**	**2 dose + infection**	**1 dose + BNT162b2**	**1 dose + 2 BNT162bd doses**	**1 dose**	**1 dose + BNT162b2**	
11.4 FR @ 6 m	10 at 0.5 m, (8 FR); 0 @ 3m (20 FR)		32.8-FR @ 1-7m		0 (20 FR compared to Delta) @ 6m		22.7 FR @ 0.5m 20 FR @ 6m					10 FR compared to Delta @ 6m	27.1 FR compared to Delta @ 0.5m			Wilhelhm [4]
>6.0FR @ 1m	>4.1 FR @ 1m	ID_{50} 1,549 @ 1m			>6.0FR @ 1m	>4.1FR @ 1m										Gruell [48]
														ID_{50} < 153 @ 1m		Liu [13]
			17.9-26.6 FR					17.9-26.6 FR								Aggarwal [14]
					27 FR @ 3m											Ikemura [8]
34 FR @ 3m						8 FR @ 1m						14 FR @ 1m				Hoffman [49]
			4.5 FR @ 12-								4.5 FR @ 12-					Lechmere [31]

			22d after Delta BTI								22d Delta BTI					
BAU/ml reduced from $3x10^3$ to $8x10^2$ @ 7-25d (n = 27)	BAU/ml minimally reduced @ 5-49d														BAU/ml minimally reduced @ 5-49d	Schubert [11]
none @ 5m	ED_{50} of 1050 @ 1m	ED_{50} of 1598 @ 1m								none @ 5m						Planas [12]
127 FR @ 1.2m 27 FR @ 5m	NT_{50} 3871 @ 1m		NT_{50} 8106 (154 folds increase compared to prevaccination)		127 FR @ 1.2m 27 FR @ 5m		NT_{50} 3871 @ 1m							NT_{50} < 25 @ ~1m and 43 @ 5m		Schmidt [50]
	22 FR @ 10-60 d	22 FR @ 10-60 d														Cele [6]
					49-84 FR @ 1m	4.2-6.5 FR @ 2w after 50 µg boost		? in 2 pts infected between dose 2 and 3								Doria-Rose [55]
IC_{50} < 1:16 in 11/20 pts		IC_{50} < 1:16 in 1/5 pts vaccinated first			IC_{50} < 1:16 in 9/10 pts					IC_{50} < 1:16 in 20/20 pts		IC_{50} > 1:16 in 7/20 pts				Rossler [15]

		and 0/5 infected first														
22.9 FR @ 1m (20 pts)	3.3 FR @ 1-11w (23 pts)				22.9 FR @ 1m (28 pts)	3.3 FR @ 1-11w (23 pts)										Zeng [17]
23 FR	7.5 FR		14 FR	13 FR	42 FR	16.7 FR		11 FR								Carreno [21]
15-18 FR					15-18 FR											Syed [54]
none @ 6m			22 FR @ 6m	14 FR @ < 1 m	none @ 6m			22 FR @ 6m	14- FR @ < 1m							Edara [56]
16w apart: @ 3w @ 4m (10 pts) 4w apart: @ 3w @ 4m (12 pts)			@ 3w @ 4m (10 pts)													Chatterjee [42]
only in 5/29 pts: 25.5 FR at 1m	In 29/29 pts, 31.8-fold increase @ 43d compared to dose 2															Lusvarghi [53]
22 FR @ 21d	23-fold increase @ 1m compared to															Muik [57]

	dose 2															
45 FR					45 FR									7 FR		Sheward [18]

184 **Figure 1**

185 PRISMA flowchart for the current study.



186

187 **Figure 2**

188 Simplified representation of the evolution of nAb-based therapeutics along the course of a pandemic.

189



190

References

1. Yeh, T.-Y.; Contreras, G.P. Tajima D test accurately forecasts Omicron / COVID-19 outbreak. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.02.21267185 %J medRxiv, 2021.2012.2002.21267185, doi:10.1101/2021.12.02.21267185 %J medRxiv.
2. Ahmed, S.F.; Quadeer, A.A.; McKay, M. SARS-CoV-2 T cell responses are expected to remain robust against Omicron. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.12.472315 %J bioRxiv, 2021.2012.2012.472315, doi:10.1101/2021.12.12.472315 %J bioRxiv.
3. Bernasconi, A.; Pinoli, P.; Al Khalaf, R.; Alfonsi, T.; Canakoglu, A.; Cilibrasi, L.; Ceri, S. Report on Omicron Spike mutations on epitopes and immunological/epidemiological/kinetics effects from literature. Availabe online: https://virological.org/t/report-on-omicron-spike-mutations-on-epitopes-and-immunological-epidemiological-kinetics-effects-from-literature/770 (accessed on
4. Wilhelm, A.; Widera, M.; Grikscheit, K.; Toptan, T.; Schenk, B.; Pallas, C.; Metzler, M.; Kohmer, N.; Hoehl, S.; Helfritz, F.A., et al. Reduced Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant by Vaccine Sera and monoclonal antibodies. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.07.21267432 %J medRxiv, 2021.2012.2007.21267432, doi:10.1101/2021.12.07.21267432 %J medRxiv.
5. Cao, Y.R.; Wang, J.; Jian, F.; Xiao, T.; Song, W.; Yisimayi, A.; Huang, W.; Li, Q.; Wang, P.; An, R., et al. B.1.1.529 escapes the majority of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies of diverse epitopes. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.07.470392 %J bioRxiv, 2021.2012.2007.470392, doi:10.1101/2021.12.07.470392 %J bioRxiv.
6. Cele, S.; Jackson, L.; Khan, K.; Khoury, D.S.; Moyo-Gwete, T.; Tegally, H.; Scheepers, C.; Amoako, D.; Karim, F.; Bernstein, M., et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron has extensive but incomplete escape of Pfizer BNT162b2 elicited neutralization and requires ACE2 for infection. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.08.21267417 %J medRxiv, 2021.2012.2008.21267417, doi:10.1101/2021.12.08.21267417 %J medRxiv.
7. Lu, L.; Mok, B.; Chen, L.; Chan, J.; Tsang, O.; Lam, B.; Chuang, V.; Chu, A.; Chan, A.; Ip, J., et al. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant by sera from BNT162b2 or Coronavac vaccine recipients. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.13.21267668 %J medRxiv, 2021.2012.2013.21267668, doi:10.1101/2021.12.13.21267668 %J medRxiv.
8. Ikemura, N.; Hoshino, A.; Higuchi, Y.; Taminishi, S.; Inaba, T.; Matoba, S. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant escapes neutralization by vaccinated and convalescent sera and therapeutic monoclonal antibodies. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.13.21267761 %J medRxiv, 2021.2012.2013.21267761, doi:10.1101/2021.12.13.21267761 %J medRxiv.
9. Basile, K.; Rockett, R.J.; McPhie, K.; Fennell, M.; Johnson-Mackinnon, J.; Agius, J.; Fong, W.; Rahman, H.; Ko, D.; Donavan, L., et al. Improved neutralization of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant after Pfizer-BioNTech BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine boosting. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.12.472252 %J bioRxiv, 2021.2012.2012.472252, doi:10.1101/2021.12.12.472252 %J bioRxiv.
10. Garcia-Beltran, W.F.; St Denis, K.J.; Hoelzemer, A.; Lam, E.C.; Nitido, A.D.; Sheehan, M.L.; Berrios, C.; Ofoman, O.; Chang, C.C.; Hauser, B.M., et al. mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccine boosters induce neutralizing immunity against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.14.21267755 %J medRxiv, 2021.2012.2014.21267755, doi:10.1101/2021.12.14.21267755 %J medRxiv.
11. Schubert, M.; Bertoglio, F.; Steinke, S.; Heine, P.A.; Ynga-Durand, M.A.; Zuo, F.; Du, L.; Korn, J.; Milosevic, M.; Wenzel, E.V., et al. Human serum from SARS-CoV-2 vaccinated and COVID-19 patients shows reduced binding to the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in comparison to the original Wuhan strain and the Beta and Delta variants. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.10.21267523 %J medRxiv, 2021.2012.2010.21267523, doi:10.1101/2021.12.10.21267523 %J medRxiv.
12. Planas, D.; Saunders, N.; Maes, P.; Benhassine, F.G.; Planchais, C.; Porrot, F.; Staropoli, I.; Lemoine, F.; Pere, H.; Veyer, D., et al. Considerable escape of SARS-CoV-2 variant Omicron to

242 antibody neutralization. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.14.472630 %J bioRxiv,
243 2021.2012.2014.472630, doi:10.1101/2021.12.14.472630 %J bioRxiv.
244 13. Liu, L.; Iketani, S.; Guo, Y.; Chan, J.F.-W.; Wang, M.; Liu, L.; Luo, Y.; Chu, H.; Huang, Y.; Nair,
245 M.S., et al. Striking Antibody Evasion Manifested by the Omicron Variant of SARS-CoV-2.
246 **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.14.472719 %J bioRxiv, 2021.2012.2014.472719,
247 doi:10.1101/2021.12.14.472719 %J bioRxiv.
248 14. Aggarwal, A.; Ospina Stella, A.; Walker, G.; Akerman, A.; Milogiannakis, V.; Hoppe, A.C.;
249 Mathivanan, V.; Fichter, C.; McAllery, S.; Amatayakul-Chantler, S., et al. SARS-CoV-2
250 Omicron: reduction of potent humoral responses and resistance to clinical
251 immunotherapeutics relative to viral variants of concern. **2021**,
252 10.1101/2021.12.14.21267772 %J medRxiv, 2021.2012.2014.21267772,
253 doi:10.1101/2021.12.14.21267772 %J medRxiv.
254 15. Rössler, A.; Riepler, L.; Bante, D.; Laer, D.v.; Kimpel, J. SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 variant
255 (Omicron) evades neutralization by sera from vaccinated and convalescent individuals. **2021**,
256 10.1101/2021.12.08.21267491 %J medRxiv, 2021.2012.2008.21267491,
257 doi:10.1101/2021.12.08.21267491 %J medRxiv.
258 16. Dejnirattisai, W.; Shaw, R.H.; Supasa, P.; Liu, C.; Stuart, A.S.; Pollard, A.J.; Liu, X.; Lambe, T.;
259 Crook, D.; Stuart, D.I., et al. Reduced neutralisation of SARS-COV-2 Omicron-B.1.1.529
260 variant by post-immunisation serum. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.10.21267534 %J medRxiv,
261 2021.2012.2010.21267534, doi:10.1101/2021.12.10.21267534 %J medRxiv.
262 17. Zeng, C.; Evans, J.P.; Qu, P.; Faraone, J.; Zheng, Y.-M.; Carlin, C.; Bednash, J.S.; Zhou, T.;
263 Lozanski, G.; Mallampalli, R., et al. Neutralization and Stability of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron
264 Variant. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.16.472934 %J bioRxiv, 2021.2012.2016.472934,
265 doi:10.1101/2021.12.16.472934 %J bioRxiv.
266 18. Sheward, D.J.; Kim, C.; Ehling, R.A.; Pankow, A.; Castro Dopico, X.; Martin, D.P.; Reddy, S.T.;
267 Dillner, J.; Karlsson Hedestam, G.B.; Albert, J., et al. Variable loss of antibody potency against
268 SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 (Omicron). **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.19.473354 %J bioRxiv,
269 2021.2012.2019.473354, doi:10.1101/2021.12.19.473354 %J bioRxiv.
270 19. Jacobsen, H.; Strengert, M.; Maass, H.; Ynga Durand, M.A.; Kessel, B.; Harries, M.; Rand, U.;
271 Abassi, L.; Kim, Y.; Lueddecke, T., et al. Diminished neutralization responses towards SARS-
272 CoV-2 Omicron VoC after mRNA or vector-based COVID-19 vaccinations. **2021**,
273 10.1101/2021.12.21.21267898 %J medRxiv, 2021.2012.2021.21267898,
274 doi:10.1101/2021.12.21.21267898 %J medRxiv.
275 20. VanBlargan, L.A.; Errico, J.M.; Halfmann, P.; Zost, S.J.; Crowe, J.E.; Purcell, L.A.; Kawaoka, Y.;
276 Corti, D.; Fremont, D.H.; Diamond, M. An infectious SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 Omicron virus
277 escapes neutralization by several therapeutic monoclonal antibodies. **2021**,
278 10.1101/2021.12.15.472828 %J bioRxiv, 2021.2012.2015.472828,
279 doi:10.1101/2021.12.15.472828 %J bioRxiv.
280 21. Carreno, J.M.; Alshammary, H.; Tcheou, J.; Singh, G.; Raskin, A.; Kawabata, H.; Sominsky, L.;
281 Clark, J.; Adelsberg, D.C.; Bielak, D., et al. Activity of convalescent and vaccine serum against
282 a B.1.1.529 variant SARS-CoV-2 isolate. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.20.21268134 %J medRxiv,
283 2021.2012.2020.21268134, doi:10.1101/2021.12.20.21268134 %J medRxiv.
284 22. Therapeutic Goods Administration. Australian Product Information – XEVUDY (Sotrovimab)
285 Concentrated Injection solution for infusion. (2021). Accessed on December 22, 2021 at
286 https://www.tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/xevudy-pi.pdf.
287 23. Rockett, R.J.; Basile, K.; Maddocks, S.; Fong, W.; Agius, J.E.; Johnson-Mackinnon, J.; Arnott,
288 A.; Chandra, S.; Gall, M.; Draper, J.L., et al. RESISTANCE CONFERRING MUTATIONS IN SARS-
289 CoV-2 DELTA FOLLOWING SOTROVIMAB INFUSION. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.18.21267628 %J
290 medRxiv, 2021.2012.2018.21267628, doi:10.1101/2021.12.18.21267628 %J medRxiv.
291 24. Focosi, D.; Franchini, M.; Pirofski, L.-a.; Burnouf, T.; Paneth, N.; Joyner, M.J.; Casadevall, A.
292 COVID-19 convalescent plasma and randomized clinical trials: rebuilding confidence by

293 explaining failures and finding signals of efficacy. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.09.07.21263194 %J
294 medRxiv, 2021.2009.2007.21263194, doi:10.1101/2021.09.07.21263194 %J medRxiv.
295 25. Libster, R.; Pérez Marc, G.; Wappner, D.; Coviello, S.; Bianchi, A.; Braem, V.; Esteban, I.;
296 Caballero, M.T.; Wood, C.; Berrueta, M., et al. Early High-Titer Plasma Therapy to Prevent
297 Severe Covid-19 in Older Adults. *N Engl J Med* **2021**, *384*, 610-618,
298 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2033700.
299 26. Sullivan, D.; Gebo, K.; Shoham, S.; Bloch, E.; Lau, B.; Shenoy, A.; Mosnaim, G.; Gniadek, T.;
300 Fukuta, Y.; Patel, B., et al. Randomized Controlled Trial of Early Outpatient COVID-19
301 Treatment with High-Titer Convalescent Plasma. *medRxiv* **2021**.
302 27. Vickers, M.A.; Sariol, A.; Leon, J.; Ehlers, A.; Locher, A.V.; Dubay, K.A.; Collins, L.; Voss, D.;
303 Odle, A.E.; Holida, M., et al. Exponential increase in neutralizing and spike specific antibodies
304 following vaccination of COVID-19 convalescent plasma donors. *Transfusion* **2021**, *61*, 2099-
305 2106, doi:10.1111/trf.16401.
306 28. Schmidt, F.; Weisblum, Y.; Rutkowska, M.; Poston, D.; Da Silva, J.; Zhang, F.; Bednarski, E.;
307 Cho, A.; Schaefer-Babajew, D.J.; Gaebler, C., et al. High genetic barrier to SARS-CoV-2
308 polyclonal neutralizing antibody escape. *Nature* **2021**, 10.1038/s41586-021-04005-0,
309 doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04005-0.
310 29. Germanio, C.D.; Simmons, G.; Thorbrogger, C.; Martinelli, R.; Stone, M.; Gniadek, T.; Busch,
311 M.P. Vaccination of COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma Donors Increases Binding and
312 Neutralizing Antibodies Against SARS-CoV-2 Variants. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.10.28.21265622
313 %J medRxiv, 2021.2010.2028.21265622, doi:10.1101/2021.10.28.21265622 %J medRxiv.
314 30. Dolzhikova, I.V.; Iliukhina, A.A.; Kovyrshina, A.V.; Kuzina, A.V.; Gushchin, V.A.; Siniavin, A.E.;
315 Pochtovyi, A.A.; Shidlovskaya, E.V.; Kuznetsova, N.A.; Megeryan, M.M., et al. Sputnik Light
316 booster after Sputnik V vaccination induces robust neutralizing antibody response to
317 B.1.1.529 (Omicron) SARS-CoV-2 variant. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.17.21267976 %J medRxiv,
318 2021.2012.2017.21267976, doi:10.1101/2021.12.17.21267976 %J medRxiv.
319 31. Lechmere, T.; Snell, L.B.; Graham, C.; Seow, J.; Shalim, Z.A.; Charalampous, T.; Alcolea-
320 Medina, A.; Batra, R.; Nebbia, G.; Edgeworth, J.D., et al. Broad neutralization of SARS–CoV–2
321 variants, including omicron, following breakthrough infection with delta in COVID–19
322 vaccinated individuals. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.01.21266982 %J medRxiv,
323 2021.2012.2001.21266982, doi:10.1101/2021.12.01.21266982 %J medRxiv.
324 32. Khoury, D.S.; Cromer, D.; Reynaldi, A.; Schlub, T.E.; Wheatley, A.K.; Juno, J.A.; Subbarao, K.;
325 Kent, S.J.; Triccas, J.A.; Davenport, M.P. Neutralizing antibody levels are highly predictive of
326 immune protection from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat Med* **2021**, *27*, 1205-1211,
327 doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01377-8.
328 33. Feng, S.; Phillips, D.J.; White, T.; Sayal, H.; Aley, P.K.; Bibi, S.; Dold, C.; Fuskova, M.; Gilbert,
329 S.C.; Hirsch, I., et al. Correlates of protection against symptomatic and asymptomatic SARS-
330 CoV-2 infection. *Nat Med* **2021**, *27*, 2032-2040, doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01540-1.
331 34. Gilbert, P.B.; Montefiori, D.C.; McDermott, A.B.; Fong, Y.; Benkeser, D.; Deng, W.; Zhou, H.;
332 Houchens, C.R.; Martins, K.; Jayashankar, L., et al. Immune correlates analysis of the mRNA-
333 1273 COVID-19 vaccine efficacy clinical trial. *Science* **2021**, eab3435.
334 35. Earle, K.A.; Ambrosino, D.M.; Fiore-Gartland, A.; Goldblatt, D.; Gilbert, P.B.; Siber, G.R.; Dull,
335 P.; Plotkin, S.A. Evidence for antibody as a protective correlate for COVID-19 vaccines.
336 *Vaccine* **2021**, *39*, 4423-4428, doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.05.063.
337 36. Krutikov, M.; Palmer, T.; Tut, G.; Fuller, C.; Azmi, B.; Giddings, R.; Shrotri, M.; Kaur, N.; Sylla,
338 P.; Lancaster, T., et al. Prevalence and duration of detectable SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid
339 antibodies in staff and residents of long-term care facilities over the first year of the
340 pandemic (VIVALDI study): prospective cohort study in England. *The Lancet Healthy*
341 *Longevity* **2021**, https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-7568(21)00282-8,
342 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-7568(21)00282-8.

37. Amjadi, M.F.; Adyniec, R.R.; Gupta, S.; Bashar, S.J.; Mergaert, A.M.; Braun, K.M.; Moreno, G.K.; O'Connor, D.H.; Friedrich, T.C.; Safdar, N., et al. Anti-membrane and anti-spike antibodies are long-lasting and together discriminate between past COVID-19 infection and vaccination. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.11.02.21265750 %J medRxiv, 2021.2011.2002.21265750, doi:10.1101/2021.11.02.21265750 %J medRxiv.
38. Klein, S.; Pekosz, A.; Park, H.-S.; Ursin, R.; Shapiro, J.; Benner, S.; Littlefield, K.; Kumar, S.; Naik, H.M.; Betenbaugh, M., et al. Sex, age, and hospitalization drive antibody responses in a COVID-19 convalescent plasma donor population. Availabe online: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/medrxiv/early/2020/06/28/2020.06.26.20139063.full.pdf (accessed on Feb 5, 2021).
39. Focosi, D.; Franchini, M. Clinical predictors of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody titers in COVID-19 convalescents: Implications for convalescent plasma donor recruitment. *European journal of haematology* **2021**, *107*, 24-28, doi:10.1111/ejh.13630.
40. Yu, X.; Wei, D.; Xu, W.; Li, Y.; Li, X.; Zhang, X.-x.; Qu, J.; Yang, Z.; Chen, E. Pseudotyped SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant exhibits significant escape from neutralization induced by a third booster dose of vaccination. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.17.21267961 %J medRxiv, 2021.2012.2017.21267961, doi:10.1101/2021.12.17.21267961 %J medRxiv.
41. Zhao, X.; Li, D.; Ruan, W.; Zhang, R.; Zheng, A.; Qiao, S.; Zheng, X.; Zhao, Y.; Chen, Z.; Dai, L., et al. Reduced sera neutralization to Omicron SARS-CoV-2 by both inactivated and protein subunit vaccines and the convalescents. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.16.472391 %J bioRxiv, 2021.2012.2016.472391, doi:10.1101/2021.12.16.472391 %J bioRxiv.
42. Chatterjee, D.; Tauzin, A.; Marchitto, L.; Gong, S.Y.; Boutin, M.; Bourassa, C.; Beaudoin-Bussieres, G.; Bo, Y.; Ding, S.; Laumaea, A., et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Spike recognition by plasma from individuals receiving BNT162b2 mRNA vaccination with a 16-weeks interval between doses. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.21.473679 %J bioRxiv, 2021.2012.2021.473679, doi:10.1101/2021.12.21.473679 %J bioRxiv.
43. Grunau, B.; Goldfarb, D.M.; Asamoah-Boaheng, M.; Golding, L.; Kirkham, T.L.; Demers, P.A.; Lavoie, P.M. Immunogenicity of Extended mRNA SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine Dosing Intervals. *Jama* **2021**, 10.1001/jama.2021.21921, doi:10.1001/jama.2021.21921.
44. Tauzin, A.; Nayrac, M.; Benlarbi, M.; Gong, S.Y.; Gasser, R.; Beaudoin-Bussieres, G.; Brassard, N.; Laumaea, A.; Vezina, D.; Prevost, J., et al. A single BNT162b2 mRNA dose elicits antibodies with Fc-mediated effector functions and boost pre-existing humoral and T cell responses. *medRxiv [Preprint]* **2021**, 10.1101/2021.03.18.435972 %J bioRxiv, 2021.2003.2018.435972, doi:10.1101/2021.03.18.435972 %J bioRxiv.
45. Skowronski, D.M.; Setayeshgar, S.; Febriani, Y.; Ouakki, M.; Zou, M.; Talbot, D.; Prystajecky, N.; Tyson, J.R.; Gilca, R.; Brousseau, N., et al. Two-dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccine effectiveness with mixed schedules and extended dosing intervals: test-negative design studies from British Columbia and Quebec, Canada. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.10.26.21265397 %J medRxiv, 2021.2010.2026.21265397, doi:10.1101/2021.10.26.21265397 %J medRxiv.
46. MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis. Report 50 - Hospitalisation risk for Omicron cases in England. Accessed online at https://www.imperial.ac.uk/media/imperial-college/medicine/mrc-gida/2021-12-22-COVID19-Report-50.pdf on December 23, 2021. Availabe online: (accessed on
47. Wolter, N.; Jassat, W.; Walaza, S.; Welch, R.; Moultrie, H.; Groome, M.; Amoako, D.G.; Everatt, J.; Bhiman, J.N.; Scheepers, C., et al. Early assessment of the clinical severity of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in South Africa. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.21.21268116 %J medRxiv, 2021.2012.2021.21268116, doi:10.1101/2021.12.21.21268116 %J medRxiv.
48. Gruell, H.; Vanshylla, K.; Tober-Lau, P.; Hillus, D.; Schommers, P.; Lehmann, C.; Kurth, F.; Sander, L.E.; Klein, F. mRNA booster immunization elicits potent neutralizing serum activity against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.14.21267769 %J medRxiv, 2021.2012.2014.21267769, doi:10.1101/2021.12.14.21267769 %J medRxiv.

394 49. Hoffmann, M.; Krüger, N.; Schulz, S.; Cossmann, A.; Rocha, C.; Kempf, A.; Nehlmeier, I.;
395 Graichen, L.; Moldenhauer, A.-S.; Winkler, M.S., et al. The Omicron variant is highly resistant
396 against antibody-mediated neutralization - implications for control of the COVID-19
397 pandemic. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.12.472286 %J bioRxiv, 2021.2012.2012.472286,
398 doi:10.1101/2021.12.12.472286 %J bioRxiv.
399 50. Schmidt, F.; Muecksch, F.; Weisblum, Y.; Da Silva, J.; Bednarski, E.; Cho, A.; Wang, Z.;
400 Gaebler, C.; Caskey, M.; Nussenzweig, M., et al. Plasma neutralization properties of the
401 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.12.21267646 %J medRxiv,
402 2021.2012.2012.21267646, doi:10.1101/2021.12.12.21267646 %J medRxiv.
403 51. Zhang, L.; Li, Q.; Liang, Z.; Li, T.; Liu, S.; Cui, Q.; Nie, J.; Wu, Q.; Qu, X.; Huang, W., et al. The
404 significant immune escape of pseudotyped SARS-CoV-2 Variant Omicron. *Emerging microbes*
405 *& infections* **2021**, 10.1080/22221751.2021.2017757, 1-11,
406 doi:10.1080/22221751.2021.2017757.
407 52. Zou, j.; Xia, H.; Xie, X.; Kurhade, C.; Machado, R.R.; Weaver, S.C.; Ren, P.; Shi, P.-Y.
408 Neutralization against Omicron SARS-CoV-2 from previous non-Omicron infection. **2021**,
409 10.1101/2021.12.20.473584 %J bioRxiv, 2021.2012.2020.473584,
410 doi:10.1101/2021.12.20.473584 %J bioRxiv.
411 53. Lusvarghi, S.; Pollett, S.D.; Neerukonda, S.N.; WANG, W.; Wang, R.; Vassell, R.; Epsi, N.J.;
412 Fries, A.C.; Agan, B.K.; Lindholm, D.A., et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron neutralization by
413 therapeutic antibodies, convalescent sera, and post-mRNA vaccine booster. **2021**,
414 10.1101/2021.12.22.473880 %J bioRxiv, 2021.2012.2022.473880,
415 doi:10.1101/2021.12.22.473880 %J bioRxiv.
416 54. Syed, A.M.; Ciling, A.; Khalid, M.M.; Sreekumar, B.; Kumar, G.R.; Silva, I.; Milbes, B.; Kojima,
417 N.; Hess, V.; Shacreaw, M., et al. Omicron mutations enhance infectivity and reduce
418 antibody neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 virus-like particles. **2021**,
419 10.1101/2021.12.20.21268048 %J medRxiv, 2021.2012.2020.21268048,
420 doi:10.1101/2021.12.20.21268048 %J medRxiv.
421 55. Doria-Rose, N.; Shen, X.; Schmidt, S.D.; O'Dell, S.; McDanal, C.; Feng, W.; Tong, J.; Eaton, A.;
422 Maglinao, M.; Tang, H., et al. Booster of mRNA-1273 Vaccine Reduces SARS-CoV-2 Omicron
423 Escape from Neutralizing Antibodies. **2021**, 10.1101/2021.12.15.21267805 %J medRxiv,
424 2021.2012.2015.21267805, doi:10.1101/2021.12.15.21267805 %J medRxiv.
425 56. Edara, V.-V.; Manning, K.E.; Ellis, M.; Lai, L.; Moore, K.M.; Foster, S.L.; Floyd, K.; Davis-
426 Gardner, M.E.; Mantus, G.; Nyhoff, L.E., et al. mRNA-1273 and BNT162b2 mRNA vaccines
427 have reduced neutralizing activity against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. **2021**,
428 10.1101/2021.12.20.473557 %J bioRxiv, 2021.2012.2020.473557,
429 doi:10.1101/2021.12.20.473557 %J bioRxiv.
430 57. Muik, A.; Lui, B.G.; Wallisch, A.-K.; Bacher, M.; Muehl, J.; Reinholz, J.; Ozhelvaci, O.;
431 Beckmann, N.; de la Caridad Guimil Garcia, R.; Poran, A., et al. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2
432 Omicron pseudovirus by BNT162b2 vaccine-elicited human sera. **2021**,
433 10.1101/2021.12.22.21268103 %J medRxiv, 2021.2012.2022.21268103,
434 doi:10.1101/2021.12.22.21268103 %J medRxiv.

435