Population immunity to SARS-CoV-2 in US states and counties due to infection and vaccination, January 2020-November 2021

Authors: Fayette Klaassen [1], Melanie H. Chitwood [2], Ted Cohen [2], Virginia E. Pitzer [2], Marcus Russi [2], Nicole A. Swartwood [1], Joshua A. Salomon [3], and Nicolas A. Menzies [1]

Affiliations: [1] Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston MA. [2] Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases and Public Health Modeling Unit, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven CT. [3] Department of Health Policy, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford CA.

Word count: 2921

Author contact information
Fayette Klaassen (fklaassen@hsph.harvard.edu) Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 665 Huntington Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Key points

Question: How have SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 vaccination contributed to population-level immunity against SARS-CoV-2 in US states and counties over 2020-2021?

Findings: The estimated percentage of the US population with effective protection against infections by the prevalent distribution of SARS-CoV-2 variants as of October 31, 2021 was 49.9% (95%CrI: 45.4%-56.6%), and ranged between 37.2% (95%CrI: 33.4%-44.7%, Vermont) and 60.9% (95%CrI: 56.4%-66.0%, Florida) across US states.

Meaning: Population immunity to SARS-CoV-2 varies across the United States, but a substantial fraction of the population in every state remains susceptible to infection.

Abstract

Importance: Prior infection and vaccination both contribute to population-level SARS-CoV-2 immunity. Population-level immunity will influence future transmission and disease burden.

Objective: For each US county and state, we estimated the fraction of the population with prior immunological exposure to SARS-CoV-2 (ever infected with SARS-CoV-2 and/or received one or more doses of a COVID-19 vaccine) as well as the fraction with effective protection against infection and severe disease from prevalent SARS-CoV-2 variants, from January 1st, 2020, to October 31st, 2021.

Design, settings, participants: We used daily SARS-CoV-2 infection estimates for each US state and county, derived based on reported data on COVID-19 cases and deaths. We collated county-level vaccination coverage data and estimated the fraction of individuals both vaccinated and previously infected using the Census Bureau's Household Pulse Survey. We used published evidence on natural and vaccine-induced immunity, and how protection wanes over time. We used a Bayesian model to synthesize evidence and estimate population immunity outcomes.

Main Outcomes and Measures: Primary outcomes were the fraction of the population with (i) a history of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 infection or COVID-19 vaccination or both, (ii) effective protection against infection, and (iii) effective protection against severe disease. We estimated outcomes for each US state and county from January 1st, 2020, to October 31st, 2021.

Results: The estimated percentage of the US population with a history of SARS-CoV-2 infection or vaccination, as of October 31, 2021, was 86.2% (95%CrI: 82.2%-93.0%), compared to 24.9% (95%CrI: 18.5%-34.1%) on January 1, 2021. State-level estimates for October 31, 2021, ranged

between 72.2% (95%CrI: 62.5%-83.3%, West Virginia) and 92.3% (95%CrI: 88.6%-96.1%, Florida). Accounting for waning, the effective protection against infection with prevalent strains as of October 31 was 49.9% (95%CrI: 45.4%-56.6%) nationally and ranged between 37.2% (95%CrI: 33.4%-44.7%, Vermont) and 59.5% (95%CrI: 56.4%-66.0%, Florida). Effective protection against severe disease was 77.4% (95%CrI: 73.7%-83.4%) nationally and ranged between 62.9% (95%CrI: 55.2%-73.3%, West Virginia) and 83.8% (95%CrI: 80.7%-88.0%, Florida).

Conclusions and Relevance: The fraction of the population with effective protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection and severe COVID-19 varies across the United States, but a substantial proportion of the population remains susceptible.

Introduction

As of December 17st, 2021, more than 50 million COVID-19 cases and over 800,000 COVID-19-associated deaths had been reported in the United States[1,2]. The epidemic has overloaded healthcare systems, reduced economic activity, and decreased mental health[3-6]. At this point in the epidemic, minimizing morbidity and mortality from COVID-19 will depend on reaching high levels of population immunity. The emergence of the Omicron variant[7,8] illustrates the importance of estimates of population immunity for identifying areas of highest vulnerability, and also underscores how levels of effective protection may be reduced by continued evolution of viral variants that may evade prior immunity, as well as by waning immunity over time.

The true number of SARS-CoV-2 infections that have occurred since the start of the pandemic is unknown. Recent estimates[9-12] of the percentage of the US population ever infected vary between 37% and 62%. Seroprevalence estimates from a nationwide convenience sample in commercial laboratories suggested that as of December 17, 2021, 24.5% of the US population over 16 has infection-induced SARS-CoV-2 antibodies[13]. The level of protection that infection confers, and the rate at which this protection wanes, are incompletely understood[14-16].

By December 17, 2021, over 240 million US residents (72.9%) had received at least one dose of a COVID-19 vaccine[1]. Reported efficacy against symptomatic infection for the three main vaccines available in the US ranged from 66% (Johnson&Johnson) to 94% (Pfizer and Moderna) in clinical trials[17-19]. Vaccine efficacy against infection was estimated to be lower during the Delta surge compared to earlier studies, and initial data indicate further reduced efficacy against the Omicron variant[7,8]. Declines in vaccine efficacy reflect a possible combination of waning immunity and increased potential for immune escape in viral variants. Vaccination appears to provide durable protection against severe disease, despite this evidence of waning efficacy[20-23].

To evaluate the potential for continued SARS-CoV-2 transmission, it is important to estimate overall population immunity to COVID-19 achieved through both infection and vaccination. A recent study reported state-level estimates of infection- and vaccine-induced SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence based on blood donation data[24], with estimates for May 2021 ranging from 63.7% in Mississippi to 91.7% in Connecticut. While these estimates provide a direct measure of seroprevalence, they may be affected by systematic differences between the blood donor population and the general population. Moreover, these data do not provide county-level estimates, nor account for waning of effective protection over time.

In this study, we used state- and county-level estimates of cumulative SARS-CoV-2 infections from a statistical model of viral transmission, natural history and diagnosis, and incorporated county-level vaccination coverage estimates corrected for reporting errors[9]. We used survey data to estimate the joint distribution of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection and vaccination. Using this analytic framework, we estimated the population with historic SARS-CoV-2 immunological exposure (ever infected or vaccinated) for each US state and county between January 1st 2020 and October 31st 2021. Incorporating evidence on the time-course of natural and vaccine-induced immunity, we estimated effective population immunity against infection and against severe disease over time.

Methods

Data

Infections

We extracted time-series estimates of SARS-CoV-2 infections from a statistical model[9] that synthesizes reported data on COVID-19 cases and deaths[25,26], accounting for under-

ascertainment and time lags associated with these reported outcomes. We imputed missing cases and deaths data for Nebraska counties after June 30th, 2021 (see eMethods).

We used these estimates to calculate cumulative infections for each U.S. state and county from January 1, 2020, to November 30, 2021. As the most recent estimates of infection rates are highly uncertain, we excluded the last month of the time-series, with the resulting estimates spanning the period January 1, 2020, to October 31, 2021. We calculated national infection estimates by summing state-level results.

Vaccinations

We extracted estimates from a repository reporting county-level vaccination coverage based on CDC vaccination data, adjusted for known biases and incompleteness in several states[27,28]. These estimates include weekly values for the number of county residents having received at least one COVID-19 vaccine dose (*First dose*), and the number of residents having completed an FDA-approved vaccine sequence (*Fully vaccinated*). We imputed missing *First dose* estimates using the observed *Fully vaccinated* data, and smoothed weekly data to create a daily time-series[29] (see eMethods). We summed the time-series counts for all counties within each state to produce state-level estimates.

Co-occurrence of infection and vaccination

The Census Bureau's Household Pulse Survey collects data on COVID-19-relevant beliefs and behaviors at two-weekly intervals, for individuals 18 years and older[30]. We extracted data from February 2 to August 30, 2021, to estimate the joint distribution of infection and vaccination among survey respondents. We extracted the variables *had covid* (Yes/No; whether a respondent has received a positive COVID-19 diagnosis), *received vaccine* (Yes/No; whether a respondent

has received as least one dose of a COVID-19 vaccine), *state* and *week*. Responses other than Yes or No (e.g., Unknown) were excluded (2.3% of respondents).

Estimation

History of immunity

For each US county and state, we computed the percentage *immunologically exposed*, defined as the percentage of the population with a prior SARS-CoV-2 infection, at least one dose of a COVID-19 vaccine, or both. We calculated values separately for individuals aged less than 12 years and those aged 12 years or older, due to differences in vaccine eligibility for these groups over the study period.

Estimates of immunity for the population aged 12 and over

Using the Household Pulse data we fit a logistic regression model to estimate the association between self-reported vaccination status and prior COVID-19 diagnosis. We operationalized this relationship as the odds ratio for reported vaccination, comparing individuals reporting a prior COVID-19 diagnosis to those reporting no prior diagnosis (see eMethods). Using these regression results we created state-specific prior distributions for the odds ratio of vaccination given prior infection status, for individuals aged 12 and older (eTable 1). This approach assumes that the odds ratio for vaccination among those with a prior undiagnosed infection is the same as for those with a prior diagnosed infection. We validated this relationship using data from the Axios-Ipsos Coronavirus Tracker[31].

For each day of the time-series, we calculated the joint probability of being vaccinated and having ever been infected (full derivation in eMethods). Following the rules of probability, we computed the probability of being *immunologically exposed*:

$$P(\textit{immunologically exposed}) = P(\textit{ever infected} \cup \textit{vaccinated}) = P(\textit{ever infected}) + P(\textit{vaccinated}) - P(\textit{ever infected} \cap \textit{vaccinated})$$

Estimates of immunity for the population under 12 years old

For the population under 12 years old, the percentage *immunologically exposed* was assumed equal to the estimated percentage ever infected, as this age group was not eligible for vaccination during the study period. We assumed infection prevalence in this age group was equal to prevalence in the overall population.

We combined the under-12 and over-12 immunity estimates in a weighted sum to obtain the percentage *immunologically exposed* in the full population.

Waning of protection

There is accumulating evidence that the protection afforded by natural infection and vaccination declines over time[32-35]. We translated the latest available evidence into approximate waning curves under three scenarios: a base-case scenario (used in the main analysis), as well as pessimistic and optimistic scenarios (used in sensitivity analyses). eFigure 1 shows assumed levels of protection for each scenario. For the base-case scenario we assumed that infection or vaccination each initially confer 80% protection against infection (range for pessimistic and optimistic scenarios: 75—90%) declining to 25% (20—50%) by 12 months after exposure, and protection against severe disease starts at 95% (90—100%) and declines to 85% (65—95%) by 12 months after exposure. For individuals both infected and vaccinated we assumed protection of

90% (range 80—95%) against infection with no waning for the assumed and optimistic scenario (declining to 70% after 12 months of exposure for pessimistic scenario), and protection against severe disease starts at 95% (range 90—100%) with no waning for the base-case and optimistic scenario (declining to 80% by 12 months for the pessimistic scenario; see eMethods). Based on these assumptions we calculated the percentage *effectively protected* against infection, and against severe disease. In sensitivity analyses we estimated results for the pessimistic and optimistic scenarios.

We calculated national results by summing state-level estimates. We validated our results by comparing them to published population immunity estimates based on laboratory data from a blood-donor sample[24].

Model implementation

We programmed the analysis using R[36] and the rstan package[37] (https://github.com/covidestim/covidestim/tree/immunity-waning). For state-level results, we report uncertainty using equal-tailed 95% credible intervals (95%CrI). To report uncertainty in national-level estimates we report conservative intervals that sum the upper and lower bounds of state-level intervals. County-level estimates were produced using an optimization routine[9] that produces point estimates without uncertainty intervals.

Results

For each US state and for 3138 counties, we synthesized estimates of cumulative SARS-CoV-2 infections and estimates of cumulative COVID-19 vaccination between January 1, 2020, and October 31, 2021. We excluded 5 counties due to missing/insufficient data. In summarizing county-level results, we excluded counties with a population under 1,000 (0.9% of all counties).

By October 31, 2021, 56.2% (95%CrI: 44.8%-71.5%) of the US population was estimated to have been infected with SARS-CoV-2, with state-level estimates ranging from 23.0% (95%CrI: 15.7%-36.7%, Hawaii) to 72.7% (95%CrI: 61.9%-83.5%, Oklahoma)**.** County-level estimates ranged from 7.0% (San Juan County, Washington) to 87.5% (Chattahoochee County, Georgia). By the same date, 62.4% of the US population were estimated to have received at least one COVID-19 vaccine dose, with state-level coverage varying between 25.9% (West Virginia) and 78.8% (Hawaii) and county-level coverage varying between 7.6% (Taylor County, West Virginia) and 90.0% (Pitkin County, Colorado)

Based on the results of the Household Pulse Survey, individuals reporting a prior COVID-19 diagnosis were substantially less likely to report being vaccinated. The odds ratio of vaccination among individuals with a prior COVID-19 diagnosis (compared to no prior diagnosis) varied from 0.40 (95%CrI: 0.36–0.44) in Florida to 0.58 (95%CrI: 0.53–0.63) in Texas, with a national mean of 0.52 (95%CrI: 0.50–0.55).

Estimated immunity

On October 31, 2021, the national estimate for the population *immunologically exposed* was 86.2% (95%CrI: 82.2%-93.0%). Table 1 reports state-level estimates, which ranged from 72.2% (95%CrI: 62.5%-83.3%, West Virginia) to 92.3% (95%CrI: 88.6%-96.1%, Florida). Across counties, the percentage *immunologically exposed* on October 31, 2021, ranged from 33.5% (Sioux County, Nebraska) to 97.0% (Apache County, Arizona) (Figure 1), with an interquartile range of 77.5-85.5% (eFigure 2).

[Table 1]

[Figure 1]

Effective protection

Accounting for waning of immunity, the percentage of the U.S. population with *effective protection* against infection increased from 14.7% (95%CrI: 11.1%-19.8%) on January 1, 2021, to 49.9% (95%CrI: 45.4%-56.6%) by October 31, 2021. By this date the percentage of the population with *effective protection* against severe disease was estimated to be 77.4% (95%CrI: 73.7%-83.3%). At the state level, *effective protection* against infection varied between 37.2% (95%CrI: 33.4%-44.7%, Vermont) and 60.9% (95%CrI: 56.4%-66.0%, Florida) and *effective protection* against severe disease ranged between 62.9% (95%CrI: 55.2%-73.3%, West Virginia) and 83.8% (95%CrI: 80.7%-88.0%, Florida) (Table 1). Figure 2 shows how the state-level percentage *immunologically exposed*, *effectively protected* against infection, and *effectively protected* against severe disease has evolved over the course of the epidemic. For counties, the percentage of the population with *effective protection* against infection and severe disease, respectively, by October 31, 2021, varied between 13.3% and 31.1% (Sioux County, Nebraska) and 81.4% and 93.3% (Apache County, Arizona) (Figure 3).

[Figure 2]

[Figure 3]

Relative contributions of prior infection and vaccination

Nationally, 23.8% (95%CrI: 19.7%-30.6%) of the population was estimated to have been infected but not vaccinated, 29.9% (95%CrI: 21.5%-37.4%) was estimated to have been vaccinated but not infected, and 32.4% (95%CrI: 25.1%-40.9%) was estimated to have been both vaccinated and infected. The relative contributions of vaccination and prior infection varied widely across states and counties, and over time (eFigures 3 and 4). Figure 4 reports county-level

estimates of the relative contributions of vaccination and prior infection. These estimates reveal regional patterns in these different pathways to immunity. The state-population weighted regional averages of the population *immunologically exposed* were 87.3% in the West (21.5% only infected, 32.1% only vaccinated), 82.2% in the Midwest (24.4% only infected, 31.7% only vaccinated), 86.6% in the South (28.4% only infected, 24.5% only vaccinated), and 88.7% in the Northeast (16.3% only infected, 36.6% only vaccinated).

[Figure 4]

Validation

We re-estimated the odds ratio of vaccination given prior infection using independent survey data, allowing bi-weekly national estimates between January and June 2021[38] (eMethods). For the 13 survey waves included in this period, the odds ratio of vaccination given prior infection varied between 0.35 and 0.98, and the mean odds ratio across time was 0.51 (95%CrI: 0.44–0.59), very similar to the estimated national value in the main analysis (0.52; 95%CrI: 0.50–0.55). We compared our estimates of the percentage of the population *immunologically exposed* with blood donor seroprevalence estimates (eFigure 5). Our estimates of the percentage *immunologically exposed* are generally lower than the estimates of seroprevalence by Jones et al.[24]

Sensitivity analyses

We conducted two additional analyses to evaluate the sensitivity of our *effectively protected* estimates to the specification of the waning curves, with an optimistic scenario and a pessimistic scenario (eMethods and eFigure 1). In the optimistic waning scenario, the population with *effective protection* against infection by October 31, 2021, was 64.2% nationally, (state rang:

42.6%-72.1%). *Effective protection* against severe disease was 85.3% (state range: 66.1%-92.3%, eTable2). In the pessimistic waning scenario, the population with *effective protection* against infection was 36.6% nationally, (state range: 21.4%-42.8%). *Effective protection* against severe disease was 59.3% (state range: 45.1%-65.4%, eTable2).

Discussion

We analyzed the joint distribution of COVID-19 vaccination and prior SARS-CoV-2 infection in each US state and county since the beginning of the COVID-19 epidemic, and estimated how population immunity changed over this period. By October 31st, 2021, over three-quarters of the U.S. population had prior immunological exposure to SARS-CoV-2 via vaccination or infection, and half of the population retained *effective protection* against infection. Between states and counties there were differences in the overall level of immunity achieved, and the relative contribution of vaccination and prior infection to reaching estimated immunity levels.

To report estimates of *effective protection* we made assumptions about how natural and vaccine-induced immunity wanes over time. While these assumptions were based on published evidence, there is still substantial uncertainty about how immunity changes over time. Recent studies suggest that antibody titers decay rapidly in the three months following infection and more gradually thereafter[16,39]. However, neutralizing antibody activity has been observed up to eight months post symptom onset[14], and simulation studies suggest that titers wane below 1:20 (often used to infer 50% protection) for the majority of previously infected individuals by 341 days post symptom onset[16]. In vaccinated individuals, antibody titers are believed to wane at similar rates[15], although vaccine efficacy has been shown to remain robust in the first six months following inoculation[22,23]. The longer-term effects of waning antibody titers on vaccine efficacy,

and the amount of protection conferred by partial vaccination (e.g. one dose of a two-dose regimen) are still incompletely understood.

In sensitivity analyses we examined optimistic and pessimistic scenarios for how protection against infection and severe disease wanes over time, providing a range of possible values of the level for *effective protection*. We currently only account for waning of immunity, but escape, especially with Omicron as the potential new dominant variant, could be a more significant factor in determining population immunity beyond 2021.

Our modeled estimates rely on multiple publicly available data sources, each of which has its own limitations. For vaccination coverage, we used data from Merritt *et al*[27,28], which endeavors to address known biases in the CDC vaccination data. We further adjusted these vaccination data to assure that no greater than 100% of the over 12 population could have been vaccinated by the end of our study period. While most indicators suggest lower cumulative infections among children, there is evidence that contradicts this and suggests a higher seroprevalence for children compared to adults[40-43]. For our infection rate estimates, we used a model which leverages case notification and COVID-19 mortality data from Johns Hopkins University and have incorporated modeled uncertainty in these estimates into our model of immunity. The model for infections assumes individuals can only be infected once, so possible reinfections and breakthrough infections amongst vaccinated individuals are not accounted for in our estimates of immunity. We estimated the relationship between prior infection and vaccination status using survey data that have been criticized for non-representativeness[31]. While this relationship was confirmed in independent survey data that have been validated against external benchmarks[31], it is still possible that reporting biases could have distorted this relationship. If there is greater overlap

between vaccinated and previously infected populations, then overall population immunity will be lower than estimated in our analyses.

The COVID-19 epidemic, measures, and knowledge are changing fast. On November 1st, 2021, children 5-11 years old became eligible for vaccination, which is outside the time period of our analysis. Boosters have become widely available to the US population over 18 years of age since November 17, 2021, after a more restricted campaign starting late September 2021. The data on received booster shots is still scarce, as is evidence on the effect on waning. We also did not account for differences in waning depending on the virus variant distribution.

Conclusions

The fraction of the US population that has ever been infected with SARS-CoV-2 and/or received at least one dose of a COVID-19 vaccine varies between counties and states. Accounting for waning of population immunity, *effective protection* against infection in US states is between 31.4 and 43.5 percentage points lower than the percentage *immunologically exposed.*

Funding

VEP reports grants from National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases R01 AI137093

TC reports grants from National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases R01 AI112438

NAM reports grants from National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases R01 AI146555-01A1

JAS reports funding from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention though the Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (NU38OT000297-02) and the National Institute on Drug Abuse (3R37DA01561217S1).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Author contributions

TC, NAM and JAS conceived and supervised the project. TC, NAM and JAS acquired funding. FK wrote the model code, drafted the original manuscript and visualized the results. FK and MR curated the data and executed the analysis. All authors contributed to the development of the methodology, and reviewed and edited the original manuscript.

Conflicts of interest:

VEP has received reimbursement from Merck and Pfizer for travel expenses to Scientific Input Engagements unrelated to the topic of this manuscript. All other authors have declared that no competing interest exist.

References

1. The New York Times. Coronavirus in the U.S.: Latest Map and Case Count. https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2021/us/covid-cases.html. Published 2021. Accessed December 17, 2021.
2. Washington Post. U.S. coronavirus cases and state maps: tracking cases, deaths. https://www.washingtonpost.com/graphics/2020/national/coronavirus-us-cases-deaths/?itid=hp_pandemic%20test. Published 2021. Accessed December 17, 2021.
3. Kawohl W, Nordt C. COVID-19, unemployment, and suicide. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020;7(5):389–390-389–390. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366(20)30141-3.doi:10.1016/s2215-0366(20)30141-3
4. Baig AS, Butt HA, Haroon O, Rizvi SAR. Deaths, panic, lockdowns and US equity markets: The case of COVID-19 pandemic. *Finance Research Letters*. 2021;38:101701-101701. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2020.101701.doi:10.1016/j.frl.2020.101701
5. Findling MG, Blendon RJ, Benson JM. Delayed Care with Harmful Health Consequences—Reported Experiences from National Surveys During Coronavirus Disease 2019. *JAMA Health Forum*. 2020;1(12):e201463-e201463. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamahealthforum.2020.1463.doi:10.1001/jamahealthforum.2020.1463

6. Miller IF, Becker AD, Grenfell BT, Metcalf CJE. Disease and healthcare burden of COVID-19 in the United States. *Nat Med.* 2020;26(8):1212–1217-1212–1217. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0952-y.doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0952-y
7. Pulliam JRC, van Schalkwyk C, Govender N, et al. Increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection associated with emergence of the Omicron variant in South Africa. *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.2011.2011.21266068. doi:10.1101/2021.11.11.21266068
8. Torjesen I. Covid-19: Omicron may be more transmissible than other variants and partly resistant to existing vaccines, scientists fear. *BMJ.* 2021;375:n2943. doi:10.1136/bmj.n2943
9. Chitwood MH, Russi M, Gunasekera K, et al. Reconstructing the course of the COVID-19 epidemic over 2020 for US states and counties: results of a Bayesian evidence synthesis model [Pre-print]. 2021. doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.17.20133983. Accessed October 22, 2021.
10. Sen P, Yamana TK, Kandula S, Galanti M, Shaman J. Burden and characteristics of COVID-19 in the United States during 2020. *Nature.* 2021;598(7880):338–341-338–341. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03914-4.
11. Irons NJ, Raftery AE. Estimating SARS-CoV-2 infections from deaths, confirmed cases, tests, and random surveys. *PNAS.* 2021;118(31):e2103272118-e2103272118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2103272118.
12. covidestim: COVID-19 nowcasting. https://covidestim.org/. Published 2021. Accessed December 17, 2021.
13. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. COVID data tracker. https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker. Published 2020. Accessed October 22, 2021.
14. Anand SP, Prévost J, Nayrac M, et al. Longitudinal analysis of humoral immunity against SARS-CoV-2 Spike in convalescent individuals up to 8 months post-symptom onset. *Cell Reports Medicine.* 2021:100290-100290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100290.
15. Khoury DS, Cromer D, Reynaldi A, et al. Neutralizing antibody levels are highly predictive of immune protection from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat Med.* 2021;27(7):1205–1211-1205–1211. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01377-8.
16. Wheatley AK, Juno JA, Wang JJ, et al. Evolution of immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 in mild-moderate COVID-19. *Nature Communications.* 2021;12(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21444-5.
17. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;384(5):403-416. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2035389
18. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(27):2603-2615. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2034577
19. Sadoff J, Gray G, Vandebosch A, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Single-Dose Ad26.COV2.S Vaccine against Covid-19. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;384(23):2187-2201. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2101544
20. Harder T, Koch J, Vygen-Bonnet S, et al. Efficacy and effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 infection: interim results of a living systematic review, 1 January to 14 May 2021. *Euro Surveill.* 2021;26(28). https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.es.2021.26.28.2100563.
21. Shapiro J, Dean NE, Madewell ZJ, Yang Y, Halloran ME, Longini I. Efficacy Estimates for Various COVID-19 Vaccines: What we Know from the Literature and Reports [Preprint]. *[Cited 2021 Oct 22]*. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.20.21257461.
22. Thomas SJ, Moreira ED, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months. *N Engl J Med*. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2110345.
23. Widge AT, Rouphael NG, Jackson LA, et al. Durability of Responses after SARS-CoV-2 mRNA-1273 Vaccination. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;384(1):80–82-80–82. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmc2032195.
24. Jones JM, Stone M, Sulaeman H, et al. Estimated US Infection- and Vaccine-Induced SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence Based on Blood Donations, July 2020-May 2021. *JAMA.* 2021. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.15161.

25. COVID-19 Portal CftEoID, University of Georgia. https://www.covid19.uga.edu/nowcast.html. Accessed October 29, 2021.
26. The COVID Tracking Project. https://covidtracking.com/. Accessed December 17, 2021.
27. Tiu A, Susswein Z, Merritt A, Bansal S. Characterizing the spatiotemporal heterogeneity of the COVID-19 vaccination landscape. *MedRxiv.* 2021.
28. Merritt A, Tiu A, Bansal S. 2021. "Integrated US COVID-19 Vaccination Data." Harvard Dataverse, V1. https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/BFRIKI.
29. Sax C, Steiner P. Temporal Disaggregation of Time Series. *The R Journal.* 2013;5(2):80-80. https://doi.org/10.32614/rj-2013-028.
30. Household Pulse Survey. US Census Bureau. https://www.census.gov/data/experimental-data-products/household-pulse-survey.html. Accessed October 29, 2021.
31. Bradley VC, Kuriwaki S, Isakov M, Sejdinovic D, Meng X-L, Flaxman S. Unrepresentative big surveys significantly overestimated US vaccine uptake. *Nature.* 2021. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04198-4
32. Gazit S, Shlezinger R, Perez G, et al. Comparing SARS-CoV-2 natural immunity to vaccine-induced immunity: reinfections versus breakthrough infections. *medRxiv.* 2021:2021.2008.2024.21262415. doi:10.1101/2021.08.24.21262415
33. Goldberg Y, Mandel M, Bar-On YM, et al. Protection and waning of natural and hybrid COVID-19 immunity. *medRxiv.* 2021:2021.2012.2004.21267114. doi:10.1101/2021.12.04.21267114
34. Goldberg Y, Mandel M, Bar-On YM, et al. Waning Immunity after the BNT162b2 Vaccine in Israel. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;385(24):e85. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2114228
35. Hall V, Foulkes S, Insalata F, et al. Effectiveness and durability of protection against future SARS-CoV-2 infection conferred by COVID-19 vaccination and previous infection; findings from the UK SIREN prospective cohort study of healthcare workers March 2020 to September 2021. *medRxiv.* 2021:2021.2011.2029.21267006. doi:10.1101/2021.11.29.21267006
36. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing* [computer program]. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2021.
37. *RStan: the R interface to Stan* [computer program]. Version R package version 2.21.22020.
38. Ipsos. Axios-Ipsos Coronavirus Index, waves 34-48. Ann, Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributor], doi:10.3886/E144903V1
39. Crawford KHD, Dingens AS, Eguia R, et al. Dynamics of Neutralizing Antibody Titers in the Months After Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases.* 2020;223(2):197–205-197–205. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiaa618.
40. Bajema KL, Wiegand RE, Cuffe K, et al. Estimated SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence in the US as of September 2020. *JAMA Internal Medicine.* 2021;181(4):450-460. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.7976
41. Smith BK, Janowski AB, Danis JE, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies in Children and Adults in St. Louis, Missouri, USA. *mSphere.* 2021;6(1):e01207-01220. doi:10.1128/mSphere.01207-20
42. Smith BK, Janowski AB, Fremont AC, et al. Progression of SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence in St. Louis, Missouri, through January 2021. *mSphere.* 2021;6(4):e0045021-e0045021. doi:10.1128/mSphere.00450-21
43. Brotons P, Launes C, Buetas E, et al. Susceptibility to Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection Among Children and Adults: A Seroprevalence Study of Family Households in the Barcelona Metropolitan Region, Spain. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America.* 2021;72(12):e970-e977. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa1721

Table 1: Key population immunity outcomes for each US state on October 31, 2021.

State	Percentage ever vaccinated*	Percentage ever infected (95%CrI)	Ratio percentage vaccinated / percentage infected	Percentage *immunologically exposed* (95%CrI)	Percentage *effectively protected* against infection (95%CrI)	Percentage *effectively protected* against severe disease (95%CrI)
Alabama	50.5%	70.6% (60%-82.4%)	0.72	88.1% (83.3%-94.1%)	53.1% (49.7%-56.8%)	78.8% (74%-84.7%)
Alaska	55.4%	62.1% (50.6%-76.1%)	0.89	86.4% (80.6%-92.7%)	54.1% (48.9%-60.4%)	77.4% (72.8%-84%)
Arizona	59.8%	71.8% (61.4%-83.2%)	0.83	90.9% (87.8%-95.8%)	55.2% (51.1%-59.8%)	81.6% (77.6%-86.6%)
Arkansas	50.7%	62.7% (51.2%-76.6%)	0.81	84.5% (79.3%-92%)	48.5% (44.3%-53.7%)	75.1% (70%-82.2%)
California	68.3%	55.2% (43.5%-70.6%)	1.24	88% (84.6%-94.1%)	51% (46.3%-57.8%)	79.6% (76.4%-84.8%)
Colorado	75.8%	57.2% (45.5%-72.2%)	1.33	91% (88.9%-95.9%)	56.9% (51.7%-64%)	83.3% (80.8%-87.4%)
Connecticut	77.5%	46.2% (34.9%-62.6%)	1.68	89.4% (87%-94.3%)	51.1% (46.4%-58.5%)	81.4% (79.3%-85.1%)
Delaware	66.3%	56.8% (45.1%-71.9%)	1.17	87.9% (84.4%-93.4%)	51.7% (46.6%-58.6%)	79% (75.2%-84.6%)
District of Columbia	71.8%	47.9% (36.5%-64.1%)	1.5	87.2% (85.2%-93.8%)	48.7% (44.2%-56%)	78.5% (75.7%-83.6%)
Florida	68.2%	68.1% (57.2%-80.6%)	1	92.3% (88.6%-96.1%)	60.9% (56.4%-66%)	83.8% (80.7%-88%)
Georgia	49.4%	69.6% (58.9%-81.7%)	0.71	87.6% (82.1%-93.6%)	51.8% (47.9%-56%)	77.9% (73.1%-83.9%)
Hawaii	78.8%	23% (15.7%-36.7%)	3.43	84.5% (83.3%-89.9%)	41.6% (38.4%-48.1%)	78% (76.8%-80.9%)
Idaho	47.9%	65.4% (54.2%-78.6%)	0.73	85.4% (78.9%-92.3%)	52.5% (48%-57.5%)	76% (70.9%-82.8%)
Illinois	67.3%	50.1% (38.6%-66.2%)	1.34	86.5% (82.8%-93%)	47.1% (42.6%-54.3%)	77% (73.8%-82.6%)
Indiana	53%	51.7% (40.1%-67.6%)	1.03	80.7% (74.8%-89.1%)	42.7% (38.5%-49.2%)	70.9% (66.3%-78.2%)
Iowa	58%	52.3% (40.6%-68.1%)	1.11	82.5% (78%-91%)	44.4% (40%-51.1%)	73.5% (69.4%-80.2%)
Kansas	58.1%	56.2% (44.5%-71.4%)	1.03	84.4% (79.9%-91.8%)	47.8% (43.2%-54.2%)	75.4% (70.9%-82.2%)
Kentucky	53.6%	61% (49.4%-75.3%)	0.88	84.2% (79.3%-92.5%)	49.9% (45.6%-55.5%)	76% (71.6%-82.7%)
Louisiana	52.2%	66.4% (55.2%-79.3%)	0.79	86.7% (82.3%-93.6%)	50.5% (46.4%-55.4%)	76.7% (71.9%-83.1%)
Maine	73.3%	32.5% (23.1%-48.4%)	2.26	83.8% (81.1%-90.2%)	44.3% (40.3%-51.7%)	76.5% (74.5%-80.8%)
Maryland	71.9%	44.4% (33.3%-60.9%)	1.62	86.3% (84%-93.2%)	47.7% (43.2%-55.2%)	78.1% (75.5%-83.2%)
Massachusetts	72.7%	48.9% (37.4%-65%)	1.49	88% (85%-93.9%)	49.1% (44.6%-56.4%)	79.3% (76.8%-83.7%)
Michigan	55.6%	56% (44.3%-71.3%)	0.99	83.1% (78.4%-91.2%)	45.7% (41.3%-52%)	73.8% (69.4%-80.7%)

Minnesota	61.4%	45.2% (34%-61.6%)	1.36	81.5% (77%-89.4%)	43.2% (38.8%-50.4%)	72.6% (69.1%-78.9%)
Mississippi	51.1%	67.2% (56.1%-80%)	0.76	86.6% (81.2%-93%)	50.8% (47.1%-55.2%)	76.9% (72.2%-82.9%)
Missouri	51.6%	49.1% (37.6%-65.2%)	1.05	78.2% (72.5%-87.9%)	41.4% (37.4%-47.7%)	69.3% (64.8%-76.9%)
Montana	54%	58.3% (46.7%-73.2%)	0.93	83.8% (78.1%-91.7%)	48.8% (44.4%-54.9%)	74.8% (70.4%-81.7%)
Nebraska	52.4%	54.5% (39%-71.8%)	0.96	80.6% (73.4%-90.6%)	45.2% (38.6%-53.7%)	72.1% (65.7%-80.4%)
Nevada	59.6%	65.3% (47.8%-83.2%)	0.91	88.8% (82.2%-95.6%)	53.7% (45.3%-63.4%)	79.6% (72.4%-88.1%)
New Hampshire	72%	33.1% (24.3%-47%)	2.17	83.4% (80.9%-89%)	46% (42.7%-51.8%)	76.1% (74.1%-79.9%)
New Jersey	71.4%	55.9% (44.2%-71.2%)	1.28	90.4% (86.5%-94.8%)	52.4% (47.6%-59.2%)	80.3% (77.5%-84.9%)
New Mexico	64.1%	71% (60.5%-82.7%)	0.9	91.6% (89%-96.3%)	57.4% (53.1%-62.4%)	83% (79.3%-87.7%)
New York	72.2%	57.3% (45.6%-72.3%)	1.26	89.5% (87.1%-95.2%)	53.8% (49.3%-60.4%)	81% (78.4%-85.2%)
North Carolina	56%	49.7% (36.4%-69.3%)	1.13	81.8% (75.3%-90.5%)	43.9% (37.6%-54.3%)	71.8% (66.2%-81.4%)
North Dakota	50.4%	64.2% (52.9%-77.8%)	0.79	84.8% (79.7%-92.2%)	47% (42.7%-52.2%)	75% (70%-81.8%)
Ohio	53.6%	46.9% (35%-66%)	1.14	79.6% (72.2%-89%)	40.6% (36%-49.6%)	69.2% (64.9%-77.9%)
Oklahoma	55.6%	72.2% (61.9%-83.5%)	0.77	90.1% (86.3%-95.3%)	57.5% (53.5%-61.5%)	81.6% (77.1%-87%)
Oregon	66.7%	38.4% (26.9%-56.9%)	1.74	82.4% (77.8%-89.6%)	45.5% (39.9%-55.5%)	74.2% (70.7%-80.9%)
Pennsylvania	72.8%	49.8% (38.3%-65.9%)	1.46	88.2% (85.5%-94%)	52.1% (47.5%-59.1%)	80.2% (77.5%-84.9%)
Rhode Island	71.7%	59.9% (48.2%-74.4%)	1.2	90.5% (87.6%-95.3%)	55.3% (50.9%-61.4%)	81.8% (79%-86%)
South Carolina	54%	60.8% (49.3%-75.2%)	0.89	84.8% (79.5%-91.9%)	49.7% (45.3%-55.3%)	75.5% (70.9%-82.1%)
South Dakota	58.7%	60.1% (48.5%-74.6%)	0.98	86% (81.8%-93.2%)	49.8% (46.1%-55.1%)	76.8% (72.7%-83%)
Tennessee	51.7%	62.8% (49.2%-82.2%)	0.82	85.3% (78.6%-94.4%)	48.3% (42.4%-58.5%)	75.4% (69.4%-85.9%)
Texas	59.9%	65.5% (54.3%-78.7%)	0.91	86.7% (84.6%-94.8%)	53% (49%-58.2%)	79.5% (75.7%-84.9%)
Utah	48.1%	56.6% (44.9%-71.8%)	0.85	80.6% (74.3%-88.5%)	45.3% (40.2%-51.7%)	71.7% (66%-79.6%)
Vermont	61.2%	30.2% (22.1%-44.8%)	2.02	77% (71.5%-83.1%)	37.2% (33.4%-44.7%)	67.8% (65.3%-73.1%)
Virginia	61.4%	42.4% (31.5%-58.9%)	1.45	81% (76.2%-88.9%)	42.9% (38.6%-50%)	72% (68.5%-78.4%)
Washington	67.3%	39.7% (29.2%-56.2%)	1.69	83% (78.9%-89.9%)	45.5% (40.9%-53.1%)	74.8% (71.9%-80.2%)
West Virginia	25.9%	57.4% (45.7%-72.4%)	0.45	72.2% (62.5%-83.3%)	37.2% (32.8%-42.3%)	62.9% (55.2%-73.3%)
Wisconsin	60.6%	45.9% (35%-63.4%)	1.32	81.2% (78.9%-90.9%)	42.3% (37.5%-51.3%)	72.5% (68.3%-80.7%)

Wyoming	49.1%	68.6% (52.3%-86.3%)	0.72	87% (78.5%-95.4%)	53.4% (45.3%-63.5%)	77.8% (70%-88%)

*Received at least one COVID-19 vaccine dose

Figure 1: Estimated percentage *immunologically exposed* on October 31, 2021, for each US county and state.

Footnote: The background coloring indicates the state-specific distribution of immunity as a function of infections and vaccinations. Black dots represent counties in a state, red dots the state average. The orange diamond represents the state-population-weighted national averages of the percentage ever infected and vaccinated (this does not represent the national average of immunity because the calculation for immunity is state-specific).

Figure 2: State-level estimates and uncertainty intervals of the percentage *immunologically exposed, effectively protected* against infection, and *effectively protected* against severe disease over time.

Figure 3: County-level estimates of the fraction of the population *effectively protected* against infection at four time-points between January 31, 2021 and October 31, 2021.

Figure 4: Relative contribution of prior infection and vaccination to population immunity for each county at four time-points between January 31, 2021 and October 31, 2021.

Footnote: The percentage ever infected and the percentage vaccinated are categorized with cut-off scores of 40%, 50% and 60%. These values which roughly corresponds to the quantile breakpoints of the estimates of ever infected and vaccinated on October 31, 2021.


Wisconsin
Vermont
New Hampshire
Maine
Washington
Idaho
Montana
North Dakota
Minnesota
Illinois
Michigan
New York
Massachusetts
Oregon
Nevada
Wyoming
South Dakota
Iowa
Indiana
Ohio
Pennsylvania
New Jersey
Connecticut
Rhode Island
California
Utah
Colorado
Nebraska
Missouri
Kentucky
West Virginia
Virginia
Maryland
Delaware
Arizona
New Mexico
Kansas
Arkansas
Tennessee
North Carolina
South Carolina
District of Columbia
Oklahoma
Louisiana
Mississippi
Alabama
Georgia
Hawaii
Alaska
Texas
Florida
20%
40%
60%
80%
Percent ever vaccinated
Percent ever infected
Percent ever immunoactive
90%
80%
70%
60%
50%
40%
30%
20%
10%


Wisconsin
Vermont
New Hampshire
Maine
Washington
Idaho
Montana
North Dakota
Minnesota
Illinois
Michigan
New York
Massachusetts
Oregon
Nevada
Wyoming
South Dakota
Iowa
Indiana
Ohio
Pennsylvania
New Jersey
Connecticut
Rhode Island
California
Utah
Colorado
Nebraska
Missouri
Kentucky
West Virginia
Virginia
Maryland
Delaware
Arizona
New Mexico
Kansas
Arkansas
Tennessee
North Carolina
South Carolina
District of Columbia
Oklahoma
Louisiana
Mississippi
Alabama
Georgia
Hawaii
Alaska
Texas
Florida
80%
60%
40%
20%
Apr'20
Oct'20
Apr'21
Type of immunity
Immunologically exposed
Effective protection severe disease
Effective protection infection


2021−01−31
2021−04−30
2021−07−31
2021−10−31
Percent effectively protected
80%
70%
60%
50%
40%
30%
20%

2021−01−31



2021−04−30



2021−07−31



2021−10−31