medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Title: Essential Workers' COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy, Misinformation and Informational Needs in the Republic of North Macedonia.

Fucaloro S.[1], Yacoubian V.[1], Piltch-Loeb R.[1,2], PhD, MSPH, Harriman N.[1], Burmaz T.[1] MD, Hadji-Janev M, JD[3], Savoia E.[1,2] MD, MPH

[1]Emergency Preparedness Research Evaluation & Practice Program, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 90 Smith Street, Boston, 02115 MA
[2]Department of Biostatistics, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 99 Huntington Avenue, Boston, 02115 MA
[3] University of Goce-Delcev, Stip, Krste Misirkov 10-A, Shtip 2000, North Macedonia

Funding: This research received funding from the NATO Science for Peace and Security Programme [grant number SPS.MYPG5556] and the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Science and Technology Directorate (Cooperative Agreement Number: 2015-ST-108-FRG005). The content of this manuscript as well as the views and discussions expressed are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of any of the above institutions, nor does the mention of trade names, commercial practices, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. government.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health (protocol code IRB20-2032, December 8th, 2020).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Abstract

Introduction: The COVID-19 pandemic is a global health crisis that as of December 2021 has resulted in the death of over 5.2 million people. Despite the unprecedented development and distribution of vaccines, hesitancy to take the vaccine remains a wide-spread public health challenge, especially in Eastern European countries. In this study we focus on a sample of essential workers living in the Republic of North Macedonia to: 1) Describe rates of vaccine acceptance, risk perception and sources of COVID-19 information, 2) Explore predictors of vaccine hesitancy, and 3) Describe informational needs of hesitant and non-hesitant workers.

Methods: Descriptive statistics were used to present frequencies of vaccine acceptance. Logistic regression was used to explore predictors of vaccine hesitancy based on sociodemographic characteristics, hesitancy to take other vaccines in the past, previous diagnosis of COVID-19, and individual risk perception of getting COVID-19. Chi square analysis was used to compare

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

differences in informational needs between hesitant and non-hesitant individuals across socio-demographic groups.

Results: From a sample of 1003 individuals, 439 (44%) reported that they were very likely to get the vaccine, and the rest (66%) reported some level of hesitancy. Older age, Albanian ethnicity, post-secondary school education, previous diagnosis of COVID-19, previous vaccine acceptance of other vaccines, and increased risk perception of COVID-19 infection were all found to be negatively associated with vaccine hesitancy. In particular hesitant individuals, compared to the non-hesitant, wanted to have more information and reassurance that all main international agencies (i.e. FDA, WHO, EMA) were all in accordance in recommending the vaccine and that they would be free to choose if getting the vaccine or not without consequences ($p<0.01$).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

1. Introduction

COVID-19 is truly an unprecedented global crisis that has presented unique public health and healthcare challenges to the world. As of December 5, 2021, over 5.2 million people across the globe have died from COVID-19 complications.[1] In December 2020, biotech companies Pfizer and Moderna released vaccines under emergency use authorization, which governments across the globe prioritized for the elderly, the vulnerable, and essential workers.[2,3] However, the value of vaccine protection extends only as far as the publics' willingness to get vaccinated.

Despite the plethora of research proving the effectiveness of vaccines in preventing COVID-19 spread and severe clinical outcomes, vaccine hesitancy remains a challenge in the management of this global health crisis.[4,5,6] Despite a massive and uninterrupted nationwide vaccination campaign in the Republic of North Macedonia, vaccination coverage remains low compared to western nations. [7] As of December 2021, official data from the WHO European COVID-19 vaccination monitor reveal that only 38% of the North Macedonian population is fully vaccinated against COVID-19, and this trend is reflected across other nations in the Balkan peninsula such as Bulgaria (25%), Kosovo (42%), Romania (38%), Serbia (45%), Montenegro (37%), and Croatia (48%)[8].

The causes contributing to these low vaccination numbers are complex, and studies focused on understanding COVID-19 vaccination acceptance in Eastern European and Balkan countries are limited. Slovenia is the only country for which we could find scientific publications related to COVID-19 vaccine acceptance. Slovenian nursing students showed an acceptance rate of only 33%[8] and a sample of healthcare workers, not including physicians, showed an acceptance rate lower than the general population (50% versus 57% respectively)[9]. While examining the pre-COVID vaccine literature, a survey of healthcare workers across six European

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

nations showed Bulgarian healthcare workers reporting the greatest vaccine advocacy, uptake, and overall strong positive sentiments for the flu vaccine, compared to other neighboring nations[10], in contradiction with current COVID-19 vaccination rates of approximately 27%[11].

The differences in vaccine acceptance patterns across European and Balkan nations are underscored by the sociopolitical intricacies unique to the region. It is presumed that sentiments against vaccinations can be at least partially attributed to an anti-vaccine and anti-government movement that has been growing in this region. These sentiments may have contributed to the European measles outbreak observed in 2018.[12] Data describing trends in COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in the Balkans are currently lacking and greatly needed. It is evident that the circumstances preventing vaccination coverage in the Balkan peninsula are unique to each country. Therefore, data that describe the socio-demographic predictors of vaccination sentiments and the informational needs of hesitant individuals are needed to establish effective communication strategies that address vaccine beliefs, attitudes and informational needs that may be related to the spread of misinformation or anti vaccination sentiments on a per country basis.

In this study we focus on the population of the Republic of North Macedonia. To our knowledge this is the first study aimed at understanding COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in this nation with specific reference to essential workers' informational needs. This study aims to: 1) Describe rates of vaccine hesitancy, risk perception and sources of COVID-19 information, 2) Explore predictors of vaccine acceptance, and 3) Describe what type of information essential workers would need to make them more likely to accept the vaccine.

Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Design

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

We conducted a survey of essential workers in North Macedonia to assess their informational needs in regard to the COVID-19 vaccine. A local poll company (Rating Agency) was contracted to conduct phone interviews using the Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) technique. The survey was implemented from May 4-May 16, 2021. A representative sample of the adult population was selected, and screening questions applied to include only respondents that had not taken the vaccine at the time of the survey and were working in job categories prioritized for the vaccination. All data were collected anonymously, and the study conformed to the guidelines and principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol and survey instrument were approved by the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health Institutional Review Board.

2.2 Survey Instrument

The survey instrument consisted of 35 questions including the following topics/ areas: socio-demographics, acceptance of the COVID-19 and other vaccines, risk perception about contracting COVID-19, experience of COVID-19, health conditions associated with increased risk of severe consequences from the disease, sources of information about the vaccine, and informational needs about the vaccine. Questions were translated from English into Macedonian and back translated into English. A copy of the survey instrument is provided as supplemental material to this manuscript.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

We computed descriptive statistics to describe our sample's socio-demographics and other variables of interest such as: acceptance of the COVID-19 and other vaccines, previous

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

diagnosis of COVID-19 and risk-perceptions, opinions about the government response, and sources of COVID-19 vaccine information. Predictors of vaccine hesitancy were explored by the use of 3 logistic regression models. The dependent variable was derived by the answers to the following question: *"If you were offered a COVID-19 vaccine within two months from now at no cost to you - how likely are you to take it?"*. Answers were coded as 1 (hesitant) = somewhat likely, not interested but would consider it later on, somewhat unlikely, and very unlikely and 0 (not hesitant) = very likely to take it. In model 1, the independent variables include age, sex, and ethnicity. Model 2 includes parameters from model 1 with the addition of education as a proxy for socioeconomic status. Model 3 includes the parameters from model 2 with the addition of previous diagnosis of COVID-19, previous non-COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy, and risk perception of contracting COVID-19.

To describe informational needs about the vaccine we analyzed responses to the following question: *"What would be important for you to know to make you more likely to take the COVID-19 vaccine?"*. Respondents were allowed to select 3 most important topics to them out of 8 possible choices related to vaccine safety and effectiveness, and 3 choices out of 6 related to vaccine policies. Chi Squared test was used test for differences in informational needs between hesitant and non-hesitant individuals. The statistical analysis was conducted by the use of SPSS v.28.

3. Results

3.1 Sample Characteristics

Table 1 shows the sample characteristics. We gathered responses from 1,003 subjects. Gender is equally distributed with 50% being female. The most represented age group is 35-54

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

(54%), and 93% have a secondary school education or higher. The most frequently reported income category is 25,000-40,000 denars/month (36%) which is equivalent to $476-$762/month. Most respondents (95%) reported to be employed and 5% of respondents reported being unemployed or volunteers. The most represented job categories are: public health and healthcare workers (23%) grocery store workers (20.4%), food processing workers (12%) and teachers/school staff (11%). In terms of ethnic groups representation, most respondents were Macedonian (77%), followed by Albanian (21%), consistent with the distribution of ethnicity in N. Macedonia. In addition, responses were obtained from all regions: Vardar (68%), Skopje (30%) Polog (15%), Pelagonija (11%) and the Southwest region (11%).

3.2 Acceptance of the COVID-19 and other vaccines

When asked the following question *"If you were offered a COVID-19 vaccine within two months from now at no cost to you - how likely are you to take it?"* : 439 (44%) said they were very likely to take it, 144 (14%) somewhat likely, 106 (11%) were not interested but would consider it later on, 40 (4%) were somewhat unlikely, 133 (13%) were very unlikely and 141 (14%) were not sure or refused to answer. Regarding acceptance of other vaccines, 12% of respondents reported that in the past they had refused a vaccine that was recommended to them by a healthcare worker. The most frequently reported reason for refusing a vaccine in the past was believing it was not necessary (40%). Most respondents (84%) did not get the flu vaccine during the pandemic, when asked why, the most frequently reported reason was that there was no need for it (35%).

3.3 Experience of COVID-19 and risk perception

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Twenty-five percent of respondents said to have been diagnosed with COVID-19 during the pandemic, 55% of respondents had friends or family members who had tested positive and had no or mild symptoms, 35% did not know of anyone who tested positive, and 25% had friends or family members who experienced severe symptoms. Most respondents were concerned about contracting COVID-19 both at work and outside of work (78%).

3.4 Sources of information about the COVID-19 vaccine

Table 2 reflects the sources used to obtain information of the COVID-19 Vaccine. Respondents were asked to select their top sources used, and the three most frequently selected sources were national TV news in Macedonian (72%), social media (54%), and conversations with family, friends and neighbors (47 %). Among respondents that used social media Facebook (79%), YouTube (17%) and Instagram (14%) were the most frequently selected vaccine information sources. When asked if the information received from social media had an impact on their level of confidence about the vaccine, 28% reported that their confidence increased, 10% reported that their confidence decreased, and 27% reported that their confidence did not change. Most of respondents said they did not share information about the vaccine on social media (77%). When surveyed about the trust of information they have gotten so far about the COVID-19 vaccine, 68% reported that they were “somewhat” or “a lot” trustful of the information. Respondents were also asked to select top choices for whom they would trust the most to give them information about the COVID-19 vaccine in the near future. the most frequently selected choices were public health experts (83 %), the respondent’s doctor (54%), and family and friends (25%).

3.5 Predictors of vaccine hesitancy

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 3 presents the results of the logistic models. In model 1 female sex, Albanian ethnicity, and older age were found to be inversely associated with vaccine hesitancy. Females have 27% decreased odds of being hesitant compared to male (OR=0.73, 95% CI: 0.56,0.94). Respondents of Albanian ethnicity have 41.8% decreased odds of being hesitant compared to individuals who report Macedonian ethnicity (OR=0.58, 95% CI: .42, .81). Other ethnicities are not significantly predictive of hesitancy. Compared to the youngest age group (18-24) increased age is predictive of decreased vaccine hesitancy, with the oldest age group having 77% decreased odds of being hesitant (OR=0.23, 95% CI: 0.11, 0.46). Model 2 included the parameters from model 1 with the addition of education level, which was used as a proxy for socioeconomic status. When education was included in the regression model, female sex was no longer a significant predictor of vaccine hesitancy. Respondents with more than secondary school education (university, masters programs, and doctorate programs) have 68% decreased odds of being hesitant (OR=0.32, 95% CI: 0.18, 0.58) compared to individuals that had less than a secondary school education. Having a secondary school education is not a significant predictor of hesitancy. Model 3 included parameters from both model 1 and model 2 with the addition of previous non-COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy, previous diagnosis of COVID-19 infection, and degree of concern about contracting COVID-19 (not worried about getting sick, worried about getting sick at home, and/or at work). Respondents reporting that they had avoided a vaccine recommended to them in the past have 48.9% decreased odds of being hesitant to the COVID-19 vaccination compared to individuals who did not avoid them (OR=0.51, 95% CI: 0.33, 0.8). Individuals reporting to have been diagnosed with COVID-19 in the past have 32% decreased odds of being hesitant compared to individuals who did not receive a diagnosis (OR=0.68, 95% CI: 0.5, 0.93). Respondents reporting concerns about contracting COVID-19 at either their

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

workplace or home have 59% decreased odds of being hesitant compared to respondents that are not concerned (OR=0.41, 95% CI: 0.21, 0.79). Furthermore, respondents reporting concerns about contracting COVID-19 at both their workplace and their homes have 76% decreased odds of being hesitant to vaccination compared to non-concerned individuals (OR=0.24, 95% CI: 0.14, 0.4).

3.7 Informational needs

Respondents were asked what would be important for them to know that would make them more likely to receive the vaccine. Each respondent was asked to choose the three most important topics that were unordered and mutually exclusive. The 3 most frequently selected topics – related to the vaccine safety and effectiveness- for which respondents wanted reassurance were: 1) The vaccine works in stopping the transmission of COVID-19 from one person to another (35%), 2) My risk of getting sick with COVID-19 is bigger than the risk of side effects from the vaccine (33%), and 3) The vaccine works in protecting me from COVID-19 (32%). And 7.2% selected "I don't know" or refused to answer. The three most frequently selected topics – related to the vaccine policies- for which respondents wanted reassurance were: 1) I will be free to choose if I get the vaccine or not with no consequences (50%), 2) Once vaccinated I will be able to live my life with no restrictions (47%), and 3) Everybody will have equal access to the vaccine regardless of income, race, or insurance status (38%). And 4.6% selected "I don't know" or refused to answer. Table 4 displays the 3 most frequently selected topics and Figure 1 the differences between hesitant and non-hesitant individuals. In particular hesitant individuals, compared to the non-hesitant, wanted to have more information and reassurance that all main international agencies (i.e. FDA, WHO, EMA) were in accordance in recommending the vaccine and that they would be free to choose if getting the vaccine or not

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

without consequences ($p<0.01$). The non-hesitant as well expressed the need to have more information about the vaccine. They wanted to know more about the risk benefits of the vaccine – being reassured that getting sick with COVID-19 is bigger than the risk of side effects from the vaccine, that the vaccine will protect them ($p<0.01$) and that those in charge of approving the vaccine will follow strict rules ($p<0.05$). They also wanted to be reassured that they would live a life with no restrictions once vaccinated ($p<0.01$), and that everyone will have equal access to the vaccine ($p<0.05$).

Discussion

As of December 2021, approximately 40% of adults in the Republic of North Macedonia have been fully vaccinated against COVID-19. The rate is well short of the Western European countries with vaccination rates over 60%. In November 2021, hundreds of citizens protested in Skopje against the Government's warning for compulsory vaccination for health workers, and the requirement for public sector employees to show a vaccination certificate or negative test on a weekly basis. North Macedonia has struggled with vaccine supply shortages since the early days of the vaccine distribution campaign, the vaccination program began in mid-February and similarly to other countries supplies have been prioritized to healthcare workers, the elderly and essential workers.

Our survey was conducted in May 2021 when approximately 4% of the country 2.1 million residents had been vaccinated. As such the survey was implemented at a time of high demand and low supply, yet our results indicate that vaccine hesitancy may have impacted vaccine uptake. Our survey found approximately 66% of respondents reporting some degree of hesitancy in getting the vaccine, which is consistent with a similar survey conducted in the United States at the start of the vaccine distribution campaign.[13] When we explored the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

predictors of such hesitancy previous vaccine avoidance was associated to hesitancy, as well as having been diagnosed with COVID-19 in the past and being concerned of contracting the disease. Both hesitant and non-hesitant individuals reported to need more information about the vaccine, however they had different priorities in terms of what it was important for them to know. The hesitant needed reassurance that the vaccine would stop transmission from person to person and that they would be free to choose if getting the vaccine or not, while those more prone to get vaccinated wanted to have more information about the risk benefits of the vaccine and reassurance that once vaccinated, they could live a life with no restrictions.

As Balkan governments have scrambled to secure coronavirus vaccines, this region has become a breeding ground for anti-vaccine movements. The Balkans has long been a hotbed of misinformation, fueled by low levels of trust in government and other institutions. Regarding potential exposure to misinformation, our survey indicate that about 1 out of 5 respondents believed you can get COVID-19 from the vaccine itself, and that COVID-19 could be caused by 5G. Interestingly, in this case as well these results are not that different from what reported in a similar study conducted in the United States.[13] Beliefs in unproven theories may be the result of lack of trust in institutions, due to the politicized response to the pandemic. The hampered EU integration has led to skepticism towards Western countries distributing the vaccine, fueling anti-vax movements in a context where the local government has limited capacity to counter misinformation. In this climate, the vaccines and COVID-19 restrictive measures have been spreading as a western-based conspiracy to impose control over the masses.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, our sample is not representative of all essential workers in the Republic of Macedonia. However, a representative sample of essential workers

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

would be very difficult to obtain given the multitude of job categories included in the list of essential workers and the lack of data on how many people work within each category in the nation. Second, the lack of longitudinal data does not allow us to study changes in the willingness to be vaccinated, therefore we do not know if those who were hesitant in May are the same people who are hesitant now, or if the informational needs we identified are reflective of current concerns. Finally, our results might not be comparable to other national polls or surveys because of potential differences in the survey methods, sample populations and questions related to vaccination intent.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 1- Sample characteristics and vaccine acceptance (N=1,003)

Variable		n (%)
Vaccine acceptance		
	I would not take it within 2 months, but maybe later on	106 (11)
	Very unlikely	133 (13)
	Somewhat unlikely	40 (4.0)
	I am not sure	95 (9.5)
	Somewhat likely	144 (14)
	Very Likely	439 (44)
Age		
	18-24	56 (5.6)
	25-34	202 (20)
	35-44	275 (27)
	45-54	266 (27)
	55+	204 (20)
Gender		
	Male	496 (50)
	Female	507 (50)
Ethnicity		
	Macedonian	776 (77)
	Albanian	207 (21)
	Serb	7 (0.7)
	Turkish	5 (0.5)
	Vlach	3 (0.3)
	Roma	2 (0.2)
	Bosniak	2 (0.2)
	Another	1 (0.1)
Education		
	No education	1 (0.1)
	Primary	32 (3.2)
	Three-year Secondary	32 (3.2)
	Secondary	591 (59)
	Higher Education	48 (4.8)
	University, Master or PhD	295 (29)
Past Refusal of Non-COVID-19 Vaccines	Yes	117 (12)
	No	770 (77)
	I don't remember	78 (7.8)
	I don't Know	26 (2.6)
Previous COVID-19 diagnosis		

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

	Yes	247 (25)
	No	749 (75)
	I don't Know	7 (0.7)
Level of Concern for contracting COVID-19	At work or outside work	93 (9.3)
	both at work and outside work	783 (78)
	no concern	127 (13)
Occupation		
	Unemployed	6 (0.6)
	Hospital and emergency department workers	54 (5.4)
	Nursing home, long-term care, and home health care workers	16 (1.6)
	Public health workers	79 (7.9)
	Emergency Medical Services workers	19 (1.9)
	Prisons workers	4 (0.4)
	Sanitation workers	15 (1.5)
	Vaccine manufacturing workers	1 (0.1)
	Other health care workers	17 (1.7)
	Pharmacy workers	48 (4.8)
	Teachers and school staff (including childcare and K-12)	110 (11)
	Food processing workers	125 (13)
	Grocery store workers	205 (20)
	Postal and shipping workers	76 (7.6)
	Public transportation workers	40 (4)
	Private transportation workers	70 (7)
	Police or firefighters	63 (6.3)
	Other first responders	8 (0.8)
	Volunteer (i.e. CERT, MRC, Red Cross, etc.)	47 (4.7)
Region	Vardar	67 (6.7)
	East	91 (9.1)
	Southwest	107 (11)
	Southeast	87 (8.7)
	Pelagonija	110 (11)
	Polog	152 (15)
	Northeast	85 (8.5)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

	Skopje	304 (30)
Average monthly income over last 3 months	Up to 10000 denars	12 (1.2)
	10 000 - 18 000 denars	53 (5.3)
	18 000 - 25 000 denars	151 (15)
	25 000 - 40 000 denars	362 (36)
	Over 40 000 denars.	346 (35)
	Refuse to answer	79 (7.9)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 2 - Sources of information regarding the COVID-19 vaccine

Variable		n (%)
Top sources of COVID-19 vaccine information	National TV news: Macedonian	723 (72)
	National TV news: Albanian	196 (20)
	National TV news other language	20 (2)
	Local TV news: Macedonian	122 (12)
	Local TV news: Albanian	47 (4.7)
	Foreign TV News	158 (16)
	Radio Macedonian	65 (6.5)
	Radio Albanian	26 (2.6)
	Radio on another language	1 (0.1)
	News paper	35 (3.5)
	Social media	538 (54)
	Conversation with family/neighbors/friends	475 (47)
	Employer	69 (6.9)
	I don't know	5 (0.5)
	Refuse to answer	3 (0.3)
Received COVID-19 vaccine information from social media	Did not	178 (18)
	I don't Know	17 (1.7)
	Facebook	795 (79)
	YouTube	173 (17)
	Twitter	20 (2)
	Instagram	140 (14)
	Tik Tok	4 (0.4)
	Refuse to answer	5 (0.5)
Confidence in COVID-19 Vaccine was affected by social media information	Increased confidence	286 (29)
	Decreased confidence	102 (10)
	Did not change my confidence	275 (27)
	Influenced opinions in other ways	68 (6.8)
	I am not sure	93 (9.3)
	Refused to answer	1 (0.1)
	N/A- did not use	178 (18)
Shared COVID-19 vaccine information on social media	Yes	188 (19)
	No	775 (77)
	I don't Know	2 (0.2)
	I don't remember	38 (3.8)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Trust in information received about the COVID-19 vaccine	Not at all	107 (11)
	Very little	141 (14)
	Somewhat	367 (37)
	A lot	316 (32)
	I don't know	59 (5.9)
	Refuse to answer	13 (1.3)
Top trusted sources of future COVID-19 vaccine information	Government officials	172 (17)
	Town leaders (mayor/boards/selectmen)	36 (3.6)
	Public health experts	827 (83)
	Employer	67 (6.7)
	Coworker	113 (11)
	Primary care doctor	537 (54)
	Local pharmacy	93 (9.3)
	Family and Friends	248 (25)
	Celebrity (sports figure/actor/musician)	18 (1.8)
	Non-government local leaders (religious leaders/organization)	22 (2.2)
	I don't know	21 (2.1)
	Refuse to answer	3 (0.3)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 3 - Multivariable models of Vaccine Hesitancy Predictors

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
Variables	OR	95% C.I.	OR	95% C.I.	OR	95% C.I.
18-24		*ref*				
25-34	0.36	(0.18, 0.73)	0.47	(0.23, 0.95)	0.46	(0.22, 0.94)
35-44	0.27	(0.14, 0.54)	0.34	(0.17, 0.68)	0.34	(0.17, 0.68)
45-54	0.28	(0.14, 0.56)	0.34	(0.17, 0.68)	0.34	(0.17, 0.70)
55+	0.23	(0.11, 0.46)	0.28	(0.14, 0.57)	0.28	(0.13, 0.57)
Male		*ref*				
Female	0.73	(0.561, 0.944)	0.79	(0.6, 1.03)	0.85	(0.65, 1.12)
Macedonian		*ref*				
Albanian	0.58	(0.420, 0.808)	0.54	(0.4, 0.79)	0.5	(0.35, 0.72)
Other	0.81	*(0.316, 2.078)*	0.77	(0.3, 1.99)	0.74	(0.28, 1.97)
Less than secondary school		*ref*				
Secondary school			0.62	(0.35, 1.1)	0.72	(0.40, 1.3)
More than secondary school			0.32	(0.18, 0.58)	0.4	(0.22, 0.73)
Previous non COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy		*ref*				
Previous non COVID-19 Vaccine Acceptance					0.51	(0.36, 0.8)
I don't know/ Don't remember/ refuse to answer					0.65	(0.36, 1.17)
Never diagnosed with COVID-19		*ref*				
Diagnosed with COVID-19 in the past					0.68	(0.5, 0.93)
Not concerned with contracting COVID-19		*ref*				
Concerned with contracting COVID-19 at work or outside work					0.41	(0.21, 0.79)
Concerned with contracting COVID-19 at work and outside work					0.24	(0.14, 0.4)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 4 – Informational needs related to vaccine safety, effectiveness, and policies by vaccine hesitancy status (*= p<0.05, and **= p<0.01) [n=957]

Informational needs related to vaccine safety and effectiveness	
Hesitant individuals (n=518)	1. The vaccine works in stopping the transmission of COVID-19 from one person to another (35%) * 2. The vaccine does not cause immediate or long-term harm (33%) 3. The vaccine works in protecting me from COVID-19 (29%)*
Non-Hesitant individuals (n=439)	1. My risk of getting sick with COVID-19 is bigger than the risk of side effects from the vaccine" (40%)** 2. The vaccine works in protecting me from COVID-19" (37%)* 3. Those approving the vaccines are following strict rules (32%)*
Informational needs related to vaccine policies	
Hesitant individuals (n=518)	1) I will be free to choose if I get the vaccine or not with no consequences (57%) ** 2) Once vaccinated I will be able to live my life with no restrictions (37%) 3) Everybody will have equal access to the vaccine regardless of income, race, or insurance status" (32%).
Non-hesitant individuals (n=439)	1) Once vaccinated I will be able to live my life with no restrictions" (62%) 2) Everybody will have equal access to the vaccine regardless of income, race, or insurance status" (44%), 3) I will be free to choose if I get the vaccine or not with no consequences (42%).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 1- Informational needs of the respondents ranked in descending order for hesitancy: A) Topics related to vaccine safety and efficacy. B) Topics related to vaccination policies (*= p<0.05, and **= p<0.01).



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

References

[1] Covid-19 Map. Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. Available at: https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html

[2] Polack, F. P., Thomas, S. J., Kitchin, N., Absalon, J., Gurtman, A., Lockhart, S., Perez, J. L., Pérez Marc, G., Moreira, E. D., Zerbini, C., Bailey, R., Swanson, K. A., Roychoudhury, S., Koury, K., Li, P., Kalina, W. V., Cooper, D., Frenck, R. W., Jr, Hammitt, L. L., Türeci, Ö., … C4591001 Clinical Trial Group (2020). Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, *383*(27), 2603–2615.

[3] Baden, L. R., El Sahly, H. M., Essink, B., Kotloff, K., Frey, S., Novak, R., Diemert, D., Spector, S. A., Rouphael, N., Creech, C. B., McGettigan, J., Khetan, S., Segall, N., Solis, J., Brosz, A., Fierro, C., Schwartz, H., Neuzil, K., Corey, L., Gilbert, P., … COVE Study Group (2021). Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, *384*(5), 403–416.

[4] Dror, A. A., Eisenbach, N., Taiber, S., Morozov, N. G., Mizrachi, M., Zigron, A., Srouji, S., & Sela, E. (2020). Vaccine hesitancy: the next challenge in the fight against COVID-19. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, *35*(8), 775–779. https://doi-org.ezproxy.library.tufts.edu/10.1007/s10654-020-00671-y

[5] COCONEL Group (2020). A future vaccination campaign against COVID-19 at risk of vaccine hesitancy and politicisation. *The Lancet. Infectious Diseases*. *20*(7), 769–770. https://doi-org.ezproxy.library.tufts.edu/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30426-6

[6] Neumann-Böhme, S., Varghese, N. E., Sabat, I., Barros, P. P., Brouwer, W., van Exel, J., Schreyögg, J., & Stargardt, T. (2020). Once we have it, will we use it? A European survey on willingness to be vaccinated against COVID-19. *The European journal of health economics: HEPAC: health economics in prevention and care*, *21*(7), 977–982. https://doi-org.ezproxy.library.tufts.edu/10.1007/s10198-020-01208-6

[7] Вчера се аплицирани 13.219 вакцини: коронавирус: влада.мк. (2021, September 04). Retrieved December 05, 2021. Available at: https://koronavirus.gov.mk/vesti/222964

[8] Kregar Velikonja, N., Dobrowolska, B., Stanisavljević, S., Erjavec, K., Globevnik Velikonja, V., & Verdenik, I. (2021). Attitudes of Nursing Students towards Vaccination and Other Preventive Measures for Limitation of COVID-19 Pandemic: Cross-Sectional Study in Three European Countries. *Healthcare (Basel, Switzerland)*, *9*(7), 781.

[9] Petravić, L., Arh, R., Gabrovec, T., Jazbec, L., Rupčić, N., Starešinič, N., Zorman, L., Pretnar, A., Srakar, A., Zwitter, M., & Slavec, A. (2021). Factors Affecting Attitudes towards COVID-19 Vaccination: An Online Survey in Slovenia. *Vaccines*, *9*(3), 247.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.21268045; this version posted December 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

[10] Kassianos, G., Kuchar, E., Nitsch-Osuch, A., Kyncl, J., Galev, A., Humolli, I., Falup-Pecurariu, O., Thomson, A., Klein, C., & Vallée-Tourangeau, G. (2018). Motors of influenza vaccination uptake and vaccination advocacy in healthcare workers: A comparative study in six European countries. *Vaccine*, *36*(44), 6546–6552.

[11] Why Bulgaria has the lowest vaccination rate in the EU. Available at: https://www.irishtimes.com/news/world/europe/why-bulgaria-has-the-lowest-vaccination-rate-in-the-eu-1.4744313

[12] Mugoša, Boban et al. "Measles outbreak, Montenegro January-July 2018: Lessons learned." *Journal of medical virology*, 10.1002/jmv.27377. 7 Oct. 2021, doi:10.1002/jmv.27377

[13] Savoia, E.; Su, M.; Piltch-Loeb, R.; Masterson, E.; Testa, M.A. COVID-19 Vaccine Early Skepticism, Misinformation and Informational Needs among Essential Workers in the USA. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2021, *18*, 13244. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182413244