Cardiovascular Complications of Modern Multiple Myeloma Therapy: Analysis of FDA Adverse Event Reporting System

Zaki Al-Yafeai[1], Anil Ananthaneni[1*], Mohamed Ghoweba[2*], Hamzah Abduljabar[1], and David Aziz[1]

1Department of Internal Medicine, LSUHSC-Shreveport, Shreveport, LA. 2Department of Internal Medicine, CHRISTUS Good Shepherd /Texas A&M College of Medicine, Longview, TX.

*equal contribution

Corresponding Author:

Zaki Al-Yafeai MD PhD

Internal Medicine Department

LSUHSC-Shreveport, Shreveport, LA

Key words:

Multiple myeloma, FAERS, elotuzumab, Ixazomib, daratumumab, and panobinostat.

Abstract:

Background: Multiple myeloma accounts for over 15% of hematological malignancies. In attempt to tackle this malady, the FDA approved four drugs in 2015 which has propagated further development of new anti-multiple myeloma since. However, the health safety of these new agents is still ill-defined. The aim of this study is to delineate the cardiovascular adverse events of these drugs.

Methods: We searched the cardiac adverse events of the newly approved FDA drugs since 2015 using the U.S. Food and Drug Administration Adverse Events Reporting System database. We calculated the reporting odds ratio (ROR) with 95% confidence for four drugs that have the highest incidence of cardiovascular adverse events.

Results: Between 2015-2020, the FDA approved six novel agents for multiple myeloma which includes elotuzumab, Ixazomib, daratumumab, panobinostat, Isatuximab, and belantamab mafodotin. Among all these medications, elotuzumab, Ixazomib, daratumumab, and panobinostat showed the highest incidence of cardiovascular complications. After vetting all the cardiac adverse events, our analysis revealed that atrial fibrillation, heart failure, and coronary disease were the highest reported cardiac events with significant odds ratio.

Conclusions: The newly approved multiple myeloma therapy (elotuzumab, Ixazomib, daratumumab, panobinostat) are significantly associated with cardiotoxicity. These results highlight the importance of considering the cardiac history of patients with multiple myeloma when utilizing these novel agents.

.

Introduction:

Multiple myeloma is characterized by neoplastic proliferation of plasma cells that produce monoclonal immunoglobulins. Proliferation of cells in the bone marrow often cause osteolytic lesions, osteopenia and/or pathologic fractures, subsequent hypercalcemia stemming from bone destruction and anemia mainly due to bone marrow replacement [1]. There is also observed renal dysfunction due to accumulation and precipitation of light chains which form casts in distal renal tubules [2]. It is estimated that 35000 new cases are diagnosed annually, accounting for nearly 2% of all new cancer diagnoses[3]. Age-adjusted death rates have not changed significantly over 2009–2018 and have remained at around 3.1 per 100,000 population[3].

While the initial therapy before newer therapeutic advances has classically consisted of VRd (bortezomib + lenalinomide + dexamethasone) and/or stem cell transplant with bortezomib/lenalinomide maintenance[4], we have had newer approvals for treatment of multiple myeloma which have received at least one previous regimen. Between 2015-2016, the FDA approved 4 new drugs – elotuzumab (activates NK cells)[5], ixazomib (proteosome inhibitor)[6], daratumumab (targeting CD38)[7], panobinostat(histone deacetylase inhibitor)[8] and more recently belantamab and selinexor in 2020[9, 10]. Clinical Daratumumab is frequently used in first line regimens along with VRd as D-VRd[4].

While the usage of these drugs has increased in the recent years, there is little published evidence about their cardiovascular adverse effect profile. One of the largest trials, the CASTOR trial that included 283 and 286 patients in the VRd and D-VRd arms respectively reported negligible cardiovascular side effects – 2 patients with atrial fibrillation in both arms, 1 patient with acute coronary syndrome among others in the D-VRd arm that could not reach statistical significance given low sample size[11]. Therefore, we sought to analyze data from FAERS to study the reported cardiovascular adverse events of the newly approved multiple myeloma.

Methods:

This is a retrospective adverse event analysis of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's Adverse Event Reporting System (FEARS) database. FAERS is an online publicly available

post-marketing pharmacovigilance database that records millions of adverse events, medication error reports, and product quality complaints submitted by healthcare professionals, manufacturers, and consumers worldwide for approved drugs and biologic products. Reports are evaluated by clinical reviewers in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research and the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research. The database includes data regarding the suspected pharmaceutical agent, indication for its use, patient characteristics, as well as the reported adverse event including its date of occurrence, nature, and outcome, among others. Since the data is anonymized, approval by an ethics committee was not required for this analysis. We aimed to evaluate the cardiovascular adverse events associated with newly FDA-approved multiple-myeloma therapeutic agents. Queries for the specific adverse event terms were performed (Supplemental). Statistical testing to measure the association of these drugs with the specified cardiac events of interest was performed using disproportionality signal analysis. These were presented as reporting odds ratios (RORs) of reported cardiac adverse events concomitant with the use of a newly approved multiple myeloma agent compared to the same reported adverse events within the entire database of pharmaceuticals within FAERS. The ROR was considered significant when the lower limit of the 95% CI was >1.0.

Results:

The total number of adverse events (AEs) from all drugs in the FAERS database was over 20 million. Of those, the total number of AEs caused by the newly-approved therapeutic agents for multiple myeloma (including in combination with other medications) evaluated in this study was 30,797. Ixazomib had the highest number of total reported AEs with 13,701, followed by daratumumab with 10,235, while belantamab mafodotin had the lowest with 351 AEs. Out of the 30,797 AEs reported, 1131 were cardiac AEs. These included heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and coronary heart disease. Heart failure was reported the most with 470 AEs, while atrial fibrillation came next with 346, and coronary heart disease with 315. Ixazomib had the highest reported heart failure and coronary heart disease AEs with 189 and 145 AEs respectively. Daratumumab had the highest atrial fibrillation AEs at 164 [Table 2].

Table 1 and supplemental tables 1-6 further demonstrate the characteristics of the cardiac related AEs associated with Elotuzumab, Ixazomib, Daratumumab, Panobinostat, Selinexor, and Belantamab mafodotin. As shown in these tables, male predominance was seen amongst all the drug-related AEs reported. For all the drugs, at least 98% of the reported AEs were classified as serious, with the mortality ranging from 19%-46% amongst these serious outcomes.

According to disproportionality signal analysis and compared to other medications, atrial fibrillation and coronary heart disease were mostly associated with the use panobinostat with ROR of 5.8 (95% CI: 4.1-8.17, P<0.0001) and 3.14 (95% CI: 2.04-4.8, P<0.0001) respectively. Interestingly, elotuzumab was associated with a reduced event rate of atrial fibrillation with ROR of 0.2 (95% CI: 0.17-0.3, P<0.0001). Coronary artery disease had the least range in ROR with all agents of 0.38 only. Heart failure was reported the most with the use of elotuzumab with ROR of 8.18 (95% CI: 6.3-10.5, P<0.0001), while ixazomib exhibited the least association with ROR of 1.48 (95% CI: 1.15-1.92, P=0.0024). Of note, panobinostat's and daratumumab's ROR values were closely related compared to other agents.

Discussion:

The results of this study demonstrated an association between the use of the newest novel multiple myeloma agents and cardiotoxicity. Among all of the adverse events reported for these new agents on FAERS, cardiac complications represented little less than 10%. Of all of the newly FDA approved multiple myeloma agents, elotuzumab, Ixazomib, daratumumab, panobinostat showed significant cardiac adverse events. Interestingly, cardiac failure, atrial fibrillation and coronary artery diseases were profoundly the highest of all reported cardiotoxicity. Interestingly Isatuximab, and belantamab mafodotin showed safer cardiac profile compared to elotuzumab, Ixazomib, daratumumab, panobinostat.

The fascinating Eloquent trials showed that elotuzumab significantly reduced multiple myeloma progression. Unspecified cardiac adverse events were reported among 7 patients with one death from heart failure with no concerning effects on QT prolongation[12, 13]. The TOURMALINE trials that demonstrated the efficacy of Ixazomib on multiple myeloma reported similar adverse cardiac events (heart failure, myocardial infarction and arrhythmia) between the

Ixazomib and the placebo group[14-16]. Three cases of myocardial infarction reported with panobinostat compared to placebo group[17]. Additionally,17.6% of patient who received panobinostat and bortezomib and dexamethasone reported cardiac complications (most frequently atrial fibrillation, tachycardia, palpitation, and sinus tachycardia) on phase 3 PANORAMA-1 trial[18]. Interestingly, no major cardiac adverse events reported associated with daratumumab[11, 19].

While the findings of this study are novel, certain limitations pertains to this study. The wholistic profile of patient (comorbidities, risk factors, family history...etc) are unknown. Moreover, prior cardiovascular functioning and other cardiac disorders are not considered when performing these studies. Additionally, the fundamental mechanisms mediating the cardiotoxicity associated with the novel multiple myeloma agents remain elusive.

The significance of our data stems from the increasing use of the new agents for multiple myeloma. As addressed above, multiple clinical trials showed sporadic cardiac adversaries of the novel multiple myeloma agents, however, a more thorough investigation of the cardiovascular profile of these medications is lacking. Our study strongly agrees with the aforementioned studies and showed enhanced cardiotoxicity especially atrial fibrillation, coronary artery disease and heart failure. Based on our results, we recommend physicians to discuss the cardiovascular risk with patients upon starting these medications. Electrocardiography and echocardiogram maybe help establish baseline cardiac function prior initiating these regimens.

In conclusions, the novel multiple myeloma agents are associated with enhance atrial fibrillation, coronary artery disease and heart failure. Patient risk stratification and baseline cardiac functioning testing (electrocardiography and echocardiogram) should be considered upon initiating these agents.

Acknowledgment

None.

References:

1. Oyajobi, B.O., *Multiple myeloma/hypercalcemia.* Arthritis Res Ther, 2007. **9 Suppl 1**: p. S4.
2. Dimopoulos, M.A., et al., *Pathogenesis and treatment of renal failure in multiple myeloma.* Leukemia, 2008. **22**(8): p. 1485-93.
3. Ludwig, H., et al., *Multiple Myeloma Incidence and Mortality Around the Globe; Interrelations Between Health Access and Quality, Economic Resources, and Patient Empowerment.* Oncologist, 2020. **25**(9): p. e1406-e1413.
4. Kumar, S.K., et al., *Multiple myeloma.* Nat Rev Dis Primers, 2017. **3**: p. 17046.
5. Magen, H. and E. Muchtar, *Elotuzumab: the first approved monoclonal antibody for multiple myeloma treatment.* Ther Adv Hematol, 2016. **7**(4): p. 187-95.
6. Raedler, L.A., *Ninlaro (Ixazomib): First Oral Proteasome Inhibitor Approved for the Treatment of Patients with Relapsed or Refractory Multiple Myeloma.* Am Health Drug Benefits, 2016. **9**(Spec Feature): p. 102-5.
7. Sanchez, L., et al., *Daratumumab: a first-in-class CD38 monoclonal antibody for the treatment of multiple myeloma.* J Hematol Oncol, 2016. **9**(1): p. 51.
8. Laubach, J.P., et al., *Panobinostat for the Treatment of Multiple Myeloma.* Clin Cancer Res, 2015. **21**(21): p. 4767-73.
9. Offidani, M., et al., *Belantamab Mafodotin for the Treatment of Multiple Myeloma: An Overview of the Clinical Efficacy and Safety.* Drug Des Devel Ther, 2021. **15**: p. 2401-2415.
10. Joseph, N.S., et al., *Novel Approaches to Treating Relapsed and Refractory Multiple Myeloma with a Focus on Recent Approvals of Belantamab Mafodotin and Selinexor.* Clin Pharmacol, 2021. **13**: p. 169-180.
11. Palumbo, A., et al., *Daratumumab, Bortezomib, and Dexamethasone for Multiple Myeloma.* N Engl J Med, 2016. **375**(8): p. 754-66.
12. Dimopoulos, M.A., et al., *Elotuzumab plus Pomalidomide and Dexamethasone for Multiple Myeloma.* N Engl J Med, 2018. **379**(19): p. 1811-1822.
13. Passey, C., et al., *Effects of elotuzumab on QT interval and cardiac safety in patients with multiple myeloma.* Cancer Chemother Pharmacol, 2016. **78**(6): p. 1237-1244.
14. Facon, T., et al., *Oral ixazomib, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone for transplant-ineligible patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma.* 2021. **137**(26): p. 3616-3628.
15. Dimopoulos, M.A., et al., *Ixazomib as Postinduction Maintenance for Patients With Newly Diagnosed Multiple Myeloma Not Undergoing Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation: The Phase III TOURMALINE-MM4 Trial.* J Clin Oncol, 2020. **38**(34): p. 4030-4041.
16. Moreau, P., et al., *Oral Ixazomib, Lenalidomide, and Dexamethasone for Multiple Myeloma.* N Engl J Med, 2016. **374**(17): p. 1621-34.
17. San-Miguel, J.F., et al., *Panobinostat plus bortezomib and dexamethasone versus placebo plus bortezomib and dexamethasone in patients with relapsed or relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma: a multicentre, randomised, double-blind phase 3 trial.* Lancet Oncol, 2014. **15**(11): p. 1195-206.
18. Plummer, C., et al., *Management of cardiovascular risk in patients with multiple myeloma.* Blood Cancer J, 2019. **9**(3): p. 26.
19. Dimopoulos, M.A., et al., *Daratumumab plus pomalidomide and dexamethasone versus pomalidomide and dexamethasone alone in previously treated multiple myeloma (APOLLO): an open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial.* Lancet Oncol, 2021. **22**(6): p. 801-812.

	Atrial Fibrillation	Cardiac Failure	Coronary Artery Disease
Elotuzumab			
Total number of reported AEs	50	61	26
Age in years			
18-64	14	14	7
65-85	30	39	13
85+	0	1	0
Not Specified	6	7	6
Outcome reported per AE			
Hospitalization	39	40	14
Life-threatening	6	4	7
Death	11	13	16
Other Outcome	40	52	16
Disabled	1	4	0
Ixazomib			
Total number of reported AEs	93	212	139
Age in years			
18-64	10	21	21
65-85	61	125	77
85+	3	16	5
Not Specified	19	50	36
Outcome reported per AE			
Hospitalization	72	145	88
Life-threatening	5	14	34
Nonserious	1	2	0
Death	18	50	46
Other Outcome	48	179	112
Disabled	0	4	1
Daratumumab			
Total number of reported AEs	191	207	94
Age in years			
18-64	24	31	19
65-85	115	99	52
85+	2	10	3
Not Specified	50	67	20
Outcome reported per AE			
Hospitalization	116	134	70
Life-threatening	12	35	24
Death	36	69	29
Other Outcome	134	139	37
Disabled	1	4	4
Panobinostat			
Total number of reported AEs	33	32	19
Age in years			
7-12	0	1	0
18-64	3	5	7
65-85	16	14	3
85+	0	1	0
Not Specified	14	11	9
Outcome reported per AE			
Hospitalization	4	3	4
Life-threatening	1	1	1
Nonserious	0	0	0
Death	1	1	1
Other Outcome	3	4	5

Drug Name	Total (with others+ Alone)	Heart Failure	Atrial Fibrillation	Coronary Heart Disease	Total Cardiac Related AEs	Total Adverse Events
Elotuzumab	Total	59 (2.3%)	49 (2%)	27(1%)	135	2511
	Alone	10	7	1	18	
Ixazomib	Total	189 (7.5%)	89 (3.5%)	145 (5.8%)	423	13701
	Alone	48	12	27	87	
Daratumumab	Total	172 (6.85%)	164(6.5%)	107(4.3%)	443	10235
	Alone	52	36	31	119	
Panobinostat	Total	28 (1.1%)	33 (1.3%)	21 (0.8%)	82	1721
	Alone	6	7	5	18	
Belantamab mafodotin	Total	3 (0.1%)	5 (0.2%)	6 (0.2%)	14	351
	Alone	3	3	5	11	
Selinexor	Total	19 (0.76%)	6 (0.24%)	9 (0.36%)	34	2278
	Alone	8	3	6	17	







Drug name	Elotuzumab vs others	Ixazomib and vs others	Daratumumab vs others	Panobinostat vs others
Atrial Fibrillation	0.2 (0.17-0.3) P<0.0001	1.93 (1.57-2.38) P<0.0001	4.81 (4.12-5.61) P<0.0001	5.8 (4.1-8.17) P<0.0001
Cardiac failure	8.18 (6.3-10.5) P:<0.0001	1.48 (1.15-1.92) P=0.0024	5.8 (5-6.76) P< 0.0001	5.6 (3.86-8.16) P< 0.0001
CAD	2.76 (1.9-4.01) P<0.0001	2.72 (2.3-3.2) P<0.0001	2.5 (2.1-3.1) P<0.0001	3.14 (2.04-4.8) P<0.0001