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#### Abstract

The Omicron SARS-CoV-2 virus contains extensive sequence changes relative to the earlier arising B.1, B.1.1 and Delta SARS-CoV-2 variants that have unknown effects on viral infectivity and response to existing vaccines. Using SARS-CoV-2 virus-like particles (SC2VLPs), we examined mutations in all four structural proteins and found that Omicron showed increased infectivity relative to B.1, B.1.1 and similar to Delta, a property conferred by S and N protein mutations. Thirty-eight antisera samples from individuals vaccinated with tozinameran (Pfizer/BioNTech), elasomeran (Moderna), Johnson \& Johnson vaccines and convalescent sera from unvaccinated COVID-19 survivors had moderately to dramatically reduced efficacy to prevent cell transduction by VLPs containing the Omicron mutations. The Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna vaccine antisera showed strong neutralizing activity against VLPs possessing the ancestral spike protein (B.1, B.1.1), with 3-fold reduced efficacy against Delta and 15-fold lower neutralization against Omicron VLPs. Johnson \& Johnson antisera showed minimal neutralization of any of the VLPs tested. Furthermore, the monoclonal antibody therapeutics Casirivimab and Imdevimab had robust neutralization activity against B.1, B.1.1 or Delta VLPs but no detectable neutralization of Omicron VLPs. Our results suggest that Omicron is at least as efficient at assembly and cell entry as Delta, and the antibody response triggered by existing vaccines or previous infection, at least prior to boost, will have limited ability to neutralize Omicron. In addition, some currently available monoclonal antibodies will not be useful in treating Omicron-infected patients.


One-Sentence Summary: Omicron SARS-CoV-2 virus-like particles have enhanced infectivity that is only weakly neutralized by vaccination or prior infection, or antibody therapeutics.

Main Text: Understanding the molecular determinants of SARS-CoV-2 viral fitness is central to effective vaccine and therapeutic development. The emergence of viral variants including Delta and Omicron underscores the need to assess both infectivity and antibody neutralization, but biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) handling requirements slow the pace of research on the intact SARS-CoV-2 virus. Although vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) and lentiviruses pseudotyped with the SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein enable evaluation of S-mediated cell binding and entry via the ACE2 and TMPRSS2 receptors, they cannot determine effects of mutations outside the $S$ gene $(1,2)$. To address these challenges, we developed SARS-CoV-2 virus-like particles (SC2-VLPs) comprising the $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M}$ and E structural proteins and a packaging signal-containing messenger RNA that together form RNA-loaded capsids capable of spike-dependent cell transduction (3). This system faithfully reflects the impact of mutations in structural proteins that are observed in live-virus infections, enabling rapid testing of SARS-CoV-2 structural gene variants for their impact on both infection efficiency and antibody or anti serum neutralization.

Using the SC2-VLP system, we first generated a set of plasmid constructs encoding the S, N, M and E structural proteins derived from the B.1, B.1.1, Delta and Omicron SARS-CoV-2 viral variants (Fig. 1A). We generated SC2-VLPs by co-transfecting packaging cells (HEK293T cells) with three plasmids encoding these structural proteins and a fourth plasmid encoding luciferase mRNA linked to a SARS-CoV-2 packaging signal (3). Particles secreted from these packaging cells were filtered and incubated with receiver 293T cells stably co-expressing ACE2 and TMPRSS2 (Fig. 1B). To compare the effects of the different structural gene variants on infectivity, we used the structural genes from SARS-CoV-2 B. 1 as the point of reference from which to vary each structural gene individually since it is ancestral to all currently circulating variants. We first tested the effects on infectivity of variation of the $S$ gene in VLPs that otherwise contained B. 1 gene versions. We found that relative to S-B. 1 (identical to S-B.1.1), the

Delta $S$ variant produced VLPs that were less than half as infectious (Fig. 1C). In contrast, the Omicron S gene in the context of the B. 1 background generated VLPs that were more than twice as infectious as the original virus (Fig. 1C). We also generated S variants containing Omicron mutations outside the receptor binding domain (RBD) but containing only mutations within the receptor binding domain (RBD) previously shown to inhibit binding by Class 1 (417N, 496S, $498 \mathrm{R}, 501 \mathrm{Y}$ ) or Class 3 (440K, 446S, 496S, 498R) antibodies (4). These Omicron variants also displayed enhanced infectivity similar to the complete Omicron S sequence ( $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{OmC} 1, \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{OmC} 3$, Fig. 1C, Supplementary Table S1). These results are in contrast to observations of pseudotyped lentiviral particles which show increased entry for S-Delta and reduced entry for S-Omicron (Supplementary Fig. S1). These results suggest that viral genetic context influences S gene effects on the ability of viral particles to transduce cells, and also that some S gene mutations such as those in Omicron may dominate cell infectivity outcomes.

We next compared the effects of N, M or E viral variants on infectivity of VLPs generated using B. 1 genes. The N gene was found previously to have a pronounced influence on infectivity and RNA packaging efficiency (3). Required for replication, RNA binding, packaging, stabilization and release, the N protein includes a seven amino acid mutational hotspot ( $\mathrm{N}: 199-205$ ) in a region linking the N - and C-terminal domains. Notably, B.1.1, Delta and Omicron, but not B.1, include mutations at R203 that were found previously to enhance VLP infectivity and RNA packaging (Fig. 1A, Supplementary Table S2) (3). The N-Delta and NOmicron variants generated VLPs with robust infectivity that was enhanced relative to both B. 1 and B.1.1 variants, consistent with the N protein playing a central role in viral packaging and cell transduction efficiency (Fig. 1D).

Omicron contains three mutations in the M protein and one mutation in the E protein relative to B.1/Delta. VLPs generated using the Omicron M or E proteins, but with B. 1 versions
of the other structural components, showed levels of infectivity that were reduced relative to those measured for the B. 1 VLPs (Fig. 1E, Supplementary Table S3,4). This finding suggests that some Omicron mutations reduce viral fitness, at least on their own. Their effects may be mitigated in the presence of other mutations across the viral genome, including those that enhance infectivity and RNA packaging as described above.

We next tested the VLP neutralization capability of antisera collected from 38 individuals 4-6 weeks post-vaccination with Pfizer/BioNTech, Moderna or Johnson \& Johnson vaccines, or convalescent sera from unvaccinated COVID-19 survivors. The antisera were collected from participants aged 18-50 years enrolled in a clinical trial led by Curative, and SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies were quantified with an ELISA (Supplementary Table S5). The serum was heatinactivated at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 mins and then incubated with VLPs at dilutions $1 / 20,1 / 80,1 / 320$, $1 / 1280,1 / 5120$ and $1 / 20480$ for a total of six dilutions. VLPs were generated with B. 1 structural genes except for N-R203M which we previously found to enhance assembly and increase the dynamic range of our neutralization assay. In initial experiments using B. 1 spike we found that sera from both Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna vaccinated individuals yielded high neutralization titers with medians of 549 and 490 respectively. Sera from Johnson and Johnson vaccinated and convalescent patients had lower titers with median of 25 and 35 respectively (Table 1) matching the low levels of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies detected in this cohort (Supplementary Table S5).

We then substituted the S-gene with S-variants previously tested as they have varying mutations in the RBD known to affect neutralization. We tested the neutralization capacity of each patient's sera against VLPs representing S from B.1, Delta or Omicron viral variants (Fig. 2A-D). There was a pronounced decrease of 15 - to 18 -fold in potency against Omicron, with intermediate effects on Delta, for the antisera from mRNA vaccine recipients (Fig. 2A-D; Table 2). Limited efficacy was detected for any of the sera from those vaccinated with the adenovirus
based Johnson and Johnson vaccine and variable neutralization was observed for COVID-19 survivors (Table 2). We next examined whether Class 1 or Class 3 mutations were responsible for reduced neutralization in patient anti sera. For both $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{OmC1}$ and OmC 3 cases we found intermediate neutralization suggesting that neutralization escape from patient sera is a function of several mutations acting in concert (Fig. 2E-H).

To test whether monoclonal antibodies generated against the ancestral SARS-CoV-2 S protein would be effective at Omicron neutralization, VLPs were then generated using the Omicron, OmC1 or OmC3 S genes, and transduction assays were conducted in the presence or absence of a Class 1 (Casirivimab) or Class 3 (Imdevimab) monoclonal antibodies (Fig. 3A-E). Strikingly, although both antibodies had robust neutralization activity against B.1.1 or Delta VLPs, no activity was detected for either one against Omicron VLPs. When the OmC1 or OmC3 versions of the $S$ gene were tested in this VLP assay, we found that Casirivimab was able to neutralize OmC 3 but not $\mathrm{OmC1}$ and Imdevimab was able to neutralize OmC 1 but not OmC 3 . This suggests that the six mutations within the Omicron RBD: K417N, N440K, G446S, G496S, Q498R, N501Y are largely responsible for the failure of these monoclonal antibodies to neutralize Omicron S .

In summary, SARS-CoV-2 virus-like particles that transduce reporter mRNA into ACE2and TMPRSS2-expressing cells enabled a rapid and comprehensive comparison of structural protein (S, E, M, N) variant effects on both particle infectivity and antibody neutralization. Using this system, we found that the Omicron versions of both $S$ and $N$ enhance VLP infectivity relative to ancestral viral variants including Delta. Omicron maintains mutations in the N mutational hotspot that were shown previously to confer markedly enhanced VLP infectivity(3). Surprisingly, Omicron M and E gene variants appear to compromise infectivity, at least in the context of ancestral versions of the other structural genes, implying that genes including S and N
override less fit versions of $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{E}$ and perhaps other genes in the intact virus. Monitoring S and N gene evolution and determining why the N gene has such a pronounced effect on viral particle infectivity may enable development of better diagnostics, more broadly neutralizing vaccine development and potentially new therapeutics.

Notably, all antisera from vaccinated individuals or convalescent sera from COVID-19 survivors showed reduced neutralization of Omicron VLPs relative to ancestral variants including Delta, with mRNA vaccines far surpassing a viral vector vaccine or natural infection in initial potency. Furthermore, Omicron contains $S$ mutations that interfere with Class 1 and Class 3 monoclonal antibody binding, rendering some commercially available therapeutic antibodies completely ineffective. These findings suggest that at least prior to vaccine boost, antibodies produced by mRNA vaccines have 15 - to 18 -fold reduced efficacy against Omicron, and that the Johnson and Johnson vaccine produces limited neutralizing antibodies against any SARS-CoV-2 variant. Although these data do not account for T cell-based immunity induced by vaccination or prior infection, it would appear prudent to use mRNA vaccine boosters to enhance the likelihood of inducing protection against Omicron infection, in lieu of vaccines tailored to Omicron itself. Data from recent pseudovirus neutralization studies supports this conclusion(5, 6).
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Fig. 1. Omicron structural gene variants alter infectivity of SC2-VLPs. (A) Sequence differences in genes encoding the structural proteins S, E, M and N between B.1, B.1.1, Delta and Omicron viral variants (vertical lines); Omicron-Class1 and Omicron-Class 3 mutations were created for this study. (B) Workflow for generating SC2-VLPs and testing their ability to transduce ACE2- and TMPRSS2-expressing HEK293T cells; SC2-VLPs assembled in packaging cells transformed with plasmids encoding S, E, M and N genes as well as a luciferase mRNA fused to the SARS-CoV-2 packaging signal are tested for receptor-mediated cell transduction using a luciferase detection assay. (C-E) Luminescence measured as a function of VLPs generated with the component protein shown, in a background of B. 1 genes (see text for details).
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Fig. 2. Antiserum neutralization of VLPs generated with different $\mathbf{S}$ genes. 50\% neutralization titers of sera isolated from individuals vaccinated using Pfizer/BioNTech, Moderna, Johnson and Johnson vaccines or from convalescent COVID-19 patients.
Neutralization curves were determined using VLPs with either S-B.1, S-Delta, S-Omicron, SOmC1 or S-OmC3. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05$, **p $<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$, ${ }^{* * * *} \mathrm{p}<0.0001$ evaluated using Friedman's exact test for repeated measures.


Fig. 3. Antibody neutralization of VLPs generated with different $S$ genes. Neutralization curves and IC50 values of Casirivimab and Imdevimab against the S-variants: S-B.1, S-Delta, SOmicron, S-OmC1 or S-OmC3. Inset shows IC50 values for each monoclonal antibody.

Table 1. Neutralization titers of vaccinated or convalescent individuals against S-variants. Numbers indicate dilution factors that yields $50 \%$ neutralization. Higher numbers indicate better neutralization. Red fill indicates undetectable neutralization at the lowest ( $1 / 20$ ) dilution.

|  | B.1 | Delta | Omicron | OmC1 | OmC3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PF0002 | 5900 | 880 | 768 | 4006 | 2435 |
| PF0004 | 4396 | 1248 | 204 | 1206 | 1244 |
| PF0005 | 549 | 185 | 20 | 172 | 130 |
| PF0006 | 194 | 52 | 17 | 34 | 68 |
| PF0007 | 752 | 319 | 30 | 190 | 357 |
| PF0009 | 1159 | 204 | 178 | 483 | 475 |
| PF0011 | 824 | 241 | 43 | 166 | 289 |
| PF0012 | 282 | 108 | 19 | 57 | 140 |
| PF0013 | 152 | 110 | 18 | 45 | 85 |
| PF0016 | 37 | 1 | 17 | 9 | 31 |
| PF0017 | 295 | 118 | 37 | 110 | 151 |
| M0002 | 3830 | 727 | 692 | 3185 | 1771 |
| M0003 | 375 | 75 | 26 | 102 | 173 |
| M0004 | 25608 | 6105 | 3524 | 15008 | 10995 |
| M0005 | 376 | 130 | 54 | 133 | 174 |
| M0006 | 450 | 80 | 24 | 229 | 178 |
| M0007 | 531 | 131 | 41 | 205 | 215 |
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| M0008 | 186 | 76 | 17 | 94 | 111 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M0009 | 608 | 168 | 41 | 205 | 245 |
| M0010 | 171 | 35 | 2 | 47 | 60 |
| M0011 | 823 | 158 | 53 | 238 | 232 |
| JJ0002 | 60 | 2 | 16 | 16 | 11 |
| JJ0003 | 58 | 10 | 15 | 6 | 13 |
| JJ0005 | 26 | 7 | 19 | 35 | 15 |
| JJ0006 | 26 | 9 | 16 | 10 | 13 |
| JJ0007 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 7 | 18 |
| JJ0008 | 25 | 16 | 55 | 14 | 19 |
| JJ0009 | 10 | 8 | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| JJ0010 | 15 | 7 | 20 | 6 | 7 |
| JJ0011 | 20 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 12 |
| PC0002 | 51 | 44 | 43 | 19 | 12 |
| PC0003 | 7 | 22 | 20 | 9 | 25 |
| PC0006 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 5 |
| PC0007 | 31 | 0 | 24 | 12 | 24 |
| PC0008 | 39 | 323 | 27 | 14 | 26 |
| PC0009 | 268 | 113 | 24 | 104 | 14 |
| PC0011 | 432 | 19044 | 77 | 44 | 291 |
| PC0013 | 0 | 112 | 8 | 0 | 30 |
| Naïve | 5 | 11 | 19 | 9 | 2 |

Table 2: IC50 of Casirivimab and Imdevimab against $\mathbf{S}$ variants ( $\mathbf{n M}$ ). Smaller numbers indicate better neutralization. Red fill indicates undetectable neutralization in our assay of $>1000 \mathrm{nM}$.

|  | Casirivimab | Imdevimab |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| B.1 | 36 | 34 |
| Delta | 21 | 125 |
| Omicron | $>1000$ | $>1000$ |
| OmC1 | $>1000$ | 39 |
| OmC3 | 56 | $>1000$ |
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