Individuals with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) or related neuropsychological disorders (NDDs) often carry disruptive mutations in genes that are depleted of functional variation in the broader population. We build upon this observation and exome sequencing from 154,842 individuals to explore the allelic diversity of rare protein-coding variation contributing risk for ASD and related NDDs. Using an integrative statistical model, we jointly analyzed rare protein-truncating variants (PTVs), damaging missense variants, and copy number variants (CNVs) derived from exome sequencing of 63,237 individuals from ASD cohorts. We discovered 71 genes associated with ASD at a false discovery rate (FDR) ≤ 0.001, a threshold approximately equivalent to exome-wide significance, and 183 genes at FDR ≤ 0.05. Associations were predominantly driven by de novo PTVs, damaging missense variants, and CNVs: 57.4%, 21.2%, and 8.32% of evidence, respectively. Though fewer in number, CNVs conferred greater relative risk than PTVs, and repeat-mediated de novo CNVs exhibited strong maternal bias in parent-of-origin (e.g., 92.3% of 16p11.2 CNVs), whereas all other CNVs showed a paternal bias. To explore how genes associated with ASD and NDD overlap or differ, we analyzed our ASD cohort alongside a developmental delay (DD) cohort from the deciphering developmental disorders study (DDD; n=91,605 samples). We first reanalyzed the DDD dataset using the same models as the ASD cohorts, then performed joint analyses of both cohorts and identified 373 genes contributing to NDD risk at FDR ≤ 0.001 and 662 NDD risk genes at FDR ≥ 0.05. Of these NDD risk genes, 54 genes (125 genes at FDR ≤ 0.05) were unique to the joint analyses and not significant in either cohort alone. Our results confirm overlap of most ASD and DD risk genes, although many differ significantly in frequency of mutation. Analyses of single-cell transcriptome datasets showed that genes associated predominantly with DD were strongly enriched for earlier neurodevelopmental cell types, whereas genes displaying stronger evidence for association in ASD cohorts were more enriched for maturing neurons. The ASD risk genes were also enriched for genes associated with schizophrenia from a separate rare coding variant analysis of 121,570 individuals, emphasizing that these neuropsychiatric disorders share common pathways to risk.

Background
Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) affects over 1.7% of children in the United States. Epidemiological studies have repeatedly demonstrated that ASD is highly heritable, with the majority of risk stemming from common genetic variants, each of small effect, acting additively across the genome. However, in at least 10% of cases, rare and de novo genetic variants confer substantial risk. Exome sequencing provides an efficient method to detect these rare and de novo variants, which has led to the association of numerous genes with ASD through repeated observations of such variants across independent studies.

Beyond ASD, exome sequencing has enabled the discovery of genes associated with overlapping and distinct genetic architectures across a spectrum of developmental and neuropsychiatric disorders. Exome studies have largely focused on analyses of single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and insertion/deletion variants (indels), in particular de novo protein-truncating variants (PTVs) and damaging missense variants, with several studies noting modest enrichment of rare inherited variants as well. The relative enrichment of de novo PTVs in cases varies by ascertainment strategy: burden is greatest in individuals with developmental delay (DD), intellectual disability, or multi-system congenital anomalies; moderate in individuals with ASD or isolated developmental anomalies; and lowest in schizophrenia and other neuropsychiatric disorders. Indeed, hundreds of genes have now been discovered across this spectrum of developmental disorders, with associations driven by phenotype severity and cohort size.

Furthermore, it has been well established that ASD and DD cases harbor an excess of very large copy number variants (CNVs) compared to unaffected siblings. While these CNVs of large genomic segments represent an approximately 3.5-fold increase in ASD risk, their incorporation into genomic studies has long represented a significant technical challenge. Early studies using microarrays were limited to CNVs of hundreds of kilobases to megabases. Among the most significant findings from these studies were recurrent genomic disorder (GD) loci associated with syndromic phenotypes that arose due to mispairing of long homologous segments, a mechanism known as non-allelic homologous recombination (NAHR). Due to their high mutation rate, these reciprocal GD regions collectively represent a significant source of genetic risk for neurodevelopmental disorders (NDDs).
RESULTS
Patterns of coding variants in a large ASD exome dataset
We aggregated whole exome sequencing (WES) data across 33 ASD research cohorts, totaling 63,237 individuals: 15,036 affected offspring, 28,522 parents, as well as 5,591 affected and 8,597 unaffected individuals from case-control studies (one sample exists in our dataset as both mother and affected offspring, Fig. 1a, Supplementary Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 1). Data from 35% of these individuals had not previously been analyzed or published. All accessible DNA sequence reads were aligned to the GRCh38 human reference genome and coding SNVs, indels, and CNVs were identified using GATK haplotype caller22 while a new method, GATK-gCNV43, was used for CNV delineation. Variant counts were consistent across cohorts, with an average of 1.64 (1.66/affected, 1.57/unaffected) were consistent across cohorts, with an average of 1.64 (1.66/affected, 1.57/unaffected) de novo SNVs, 0.18 (0.18/affected, 0.16/unaffected) de novo indels, and 0.035 (0.042/affected, 0.014/unaffected) de novo CNVs per offspring.

Consistent with prior studies, we observed more PTVs and damaging missense variants in individuals with ASD compared to unaffected individuals (Fig. 1b-c). Enrichment of de novo and inherited PTVs in ASD cases was greatest in genes with the strongest evidence of selective constraint, represented by low LOEUF scores49 (Supplementary Tables 4.2-4.7). Both de novo and inherited PTVs were enriched in the top three deciles of LOEUF (binomial test; 5,446 total genes; Fig. 1b). We grouped missense variants into three bins based on MPC score, which we termed MisB (MPC ≥ 2) and MisA (2 > MPC ≥ 1), with MPC < 1 for all remaining missense variants. MissB variants and, to a lesser degree, MisA variants were significantly enriched in ASD individuals (Fig. 1c). Our aggregated cohort demonstrated the greatest risk from de novo variation, with modest but significant ASD risk observed in rare case/control (for which de novo status cannot be determined) and inherited PTVs in the top three deciles of constrained genes, as well as in MisB and MisA variants.

Detection and analysis of rare and de novo CNVs in ASD
Previous studies using chromosomal microarrays have established a clear etiological role for large, rare and de novo CNVs in ASD cases compared to their unaffected siblings and the general population21,22,25–27,44–46. Despite their considerable impact on ASD risk, CNV discovery at the resolution of individual exons from exome sequencing has represented a significant technical challenge. To overcome these challenges, we applied and benchmarked a pipeline built around GATK-gCNV, a novel Bayesian
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**Fig. 1** | Overview of SNV/indel and CNV rates in ASD by mode of inheritance.
---

**a** Cohort: 63,237 samples (20,627 cases)

**b** Protein truncating variants (PTVs)

**c** Missense and synonymous variants

**d** GATK-gCNV performance

**e** Copy number variants - deletions

**f** Copy number variants - duplications

---

**Abbreviations:** PTV: protein truncating variant; CNV: copy number variant; WGS: whole genome sequencing; WES: whole exome sequencing; misB: missense variants with MPC score =>2; misA: missense variants with MPC score =>1 and <2; Del: Deletion CNV; Dup: Duplication CNV.

Statistical tests: b,c,e,f: binomial test.
read depth-based CNV discovery tool for short-read sequencing data\(^2\). Our pipeline includes a PCA-based method for inferring large systematic differences, such as different exome capture kits (Supplementary Fig. 1), and we performed extensive benchmarking of this method from 7,165 samples from ASD quartet families for which matching gold-standard CNV calls from microarray and WGS were available\(^{17,48}\). We measured sensitivities and positive predictive value (PPV) as a function of the number of captured exons from canonical protein-coding transcripts. Our application of GATK-gCNV achieved a sensitivity of 82% and a PPV of 89% for rare CNVs (site frequency < 1%) that spanned 3 or more exons (Fig. 1d, Supplementary Fig. 2). When we considered de novo CNVs detected by WGS, GATK-gCNV achieved 82% sensitivity and 97.5% PPV for de novo CNV discovery at three-exon or greater resolution (Supplementary Fig. 3).

We applied GATK-gCNV to the 57,294 samples from the ASD cohort for which raw sequencing data were available (Supplementary Methods, Supplementary Table 3), including 13,694 affected and 5,007 unaffected offspring with data from both parents and 608 cases and 11,312 controls from non-family based cohorts. We focused our analysis on high quality CNVs spanning at least three captured protein-coding exons (Supplementary Methods), resulting in 16,889 rare (frequency < 1%) and 650 de novo autosomal CNVs, corresponding to an average of 0.90 (0.91/affected, 0.89/unaffected) rare and 0.035 (0.042/affected, 0.014/unaffected) de novo CNVs per offspring.

Consistent with de novo coding CNVs mediating substantial risk for ASD, we observed that 3.93% of ASD cases and 1.40% of unaffected siblings harbored at least one de novo coding CNV, respectively (odds ratio [OR]: 2.88, p=9.6 x 10\(^{-11}\), Fisher’s exact). Splitting the CNVs by dosage (deletions versus duplications) and associating each event to a LOEUF decile based on the most constrained gene within its boundaries, we observed that de novo deletions with at least one PTV intolerant gene showed the greatest degree of enrichment in ASD cases across all variant classes evaluated (OR: 9.10, p=2.81x10\(^{-10}\), binomial test), with a relative difference approximately three-fold higher than that observed for de novo PTVs in the same constraint decile (p=2.9x10\(^{-4}\), simulation test).

Enrichment of deletions was also observed in case/control but not in rare inherited analyses. A similar but less significant pattern was observed for duplications across constraint deciles and modes of inheritance (Fig. 1e-f). Additionally, with breakpoints restricted within a gene have been suggested to harbor risk differentially than duplications of an entire gene, likely through a loss of function mechanism\(^{29,39}\). Leveraging our de novo duplication data, we found that partially duplicated genes in cases harbored more de novo PTV evidence compared to partially duplicated genes in controls (1.3 fold, p=1.7x10\(^{-3}\), binomial test).

To characterize the impact of exon and gene-level resolution in CNV discovery, we first considered 75 large genomic segments (Supplementary Table 4.8) associated with NDDs from curated literature\(^{28,49-54}\). Of the 650 de novo CNVs discovered from WES her, 223 (34.3%) matched one of these loci with at least 50% reciprocal overlap, including 109 de novo GD deletions in cases compared to 6 in controls (OR: 6.7, p=3.9x10\(^{-4}\), Fisher’s exact) and 100 de novo GD duplications in cases compared to 8 in controls (OR: 4.6, p=5.4x10\(^{-7}\), Fisher’s exact, Fig. 2b). Considering rare inherited CNVs, we observed a non-significant trend towards enrichment of GD CNVs in cases (OR:1.3, p=0.07, Fisher’s exact). Excluding the GD loci, the remaining 427 de novo CNVs were also enriched in cases but with more modest effect sizes (de novo GD deletion: OR = 2.8, p=1.5x10\(^{-4}\), Fisher’s exact; de novo non-GD duplications: OR = 1.8, p=5.1x10\(^{-3}\), Fisher’s exact). A greater degree of enrichment was observed for non-GD deletions that overlapped a constrained gene (OR: 5.10, p=9.7x10\(^{-11}\), Fisher’s exact, Fig. 2c) than for those that did not (OR: 1.32, p=0.32, Fisher’s exact, Fig. 2c) or for de novo PTVs in constrained genes (OR: 2.74, p=8.5x10\(^{-34}\), Fisher’s exact, Fig. 2c). Effect sizes for de novo duplications were consistently smaller, although similar patterns were observed in relation to GD loci and constrained genes (Fig. 2c).

Fig. 2 | Contribution of CNVs to ASD by mechanism and genomic location
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Consistent with a female protective effect for ASD, which proposes that a higher burden of risk factors is required for an ASD diagnosis in females\textsuperscript{11,25}, the burden of de novo CNVs overall was higher in female cases than male cases (5.94\% vs. 3.47\%, OR: 1.76, p = 3.4\times 10^{-8}, Fisher's exact). This effect was more pronounced for CNVs than PTVs (p = 2.6\times 10^{-6}, logistic regression) or missense variants (p = 2.0\times 10^{-4}, logistic regression, Fig. 2d). While de novo SNVs and indels frequently arise on the paternal allele\textsuperscript{26,27}, a maternal allele bias has been observed from de novo CNVs in ASD\textsuperscript{17}. Using parental SNP data, we estimated the allelic parent-of-origin for 330 de novo CNVs (Supplementary Methods) and observed no overall bias (Fig. 2e, 130 maternal vs. 144 paternal, p = 0.43, binomial test). However, restricting analyses to de novo CNVs at NAHR-mediated GD loci, we recapitulated prior findings with 70\% arising on the paternal allele (70 maternal vs. 30 paternal, p = 7.9\times 10^{-5}, binomial test). Including 20 additional samples from the Simons Searchlight project\textsuperscript{28}, we find that 94.3\% of 16p11.2 CNVs occur on the maternal allele (Fig. 2f, 33 maternal vs. 2 paternal, p = 3.7\times 10^{-6}, binomial test). In contrast, CNVs at non-NAHR-mediated GD loci showed a 65.5\% paternal bias (Fig. 2e, 60 maternal vs. 114 paternal, p = 5.2\times 10^{-4}, binomial test), consistent with a mechanistic bias in CNV formation and previous findings of a paternal origin across all non-recurrent/non-pathogenic CNVs\textsuperscript{29}.

### Integrated discovery of ASD-associated genes across variant types and inheritance classes

Our analyses identified enrichment of rare protein-coding variants in ASD cases with effect sizes varying by mode of inheritance, variant class (PTV, De novo, MisB, MisA, deletion, duplication), and evolutionary constraint. We sought to utilise these insights and the size of our cohort (63,237 samples) to refine gene discovery in ASD by extending the TADA analytic framework\textsuperscript{10,22,41} to include: (1) rare and de novo CNVs, (2) variants present in unaffected offspring, and (3) a continuous measure of evolutionary constraint from gnomAD (LOEUF\textsuperscript{39}, Supplementary Methods, Supplementary Fig. 4). A Bayes Factor (BF) was calculated to represent evidence of association for each autosomal protein-coding gene across variant types and modes of inheritance, taking into account null mutation rates and prior relative risks, when appropriate (Fig. 3).

Applying this model to the aggregated ASD data (TADA-ASD) we identify 71 genes associated with ASD at a FDR ≤ 0.001 and 183 genes associated at FDR ≤ 0.05 (Supplementary Table 4.9). Notably, the FDR ≤ 0.001 threshold is approximately equivalent to Bonferroni significance when back-calculating a p-value and correcting for 18,128 autosomal genes (p < 2.8\times 10^{-6}), making it comparable to other recent studies of schizophrenia\textsuperscript{13} and DD\textsuperscript{11}. We focus on these 71 genes here, and illustrate in Fig. 3 that 93\% of these genes have contributions from multiple variant classes (Fig. 3b). They also represent a meaningful increase in ASD discovery - a prior study from Satterstrom et al. included a subset of 11,986 of the cases aggregated here, producing 32 ASD risk genes at this same FDR ≤ 0.001 threshold using the TADA parameters applied in that study, which increases to 51 genes when incorporating the updated model parameters and the CNVs discovered here (Fig. 3c). The BFs within TADA allow us to assess genomic architecture through the relative contributions across variant types
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**Fig. 3** | Integrating variant types and inheritance classes significantly boosts association power and reveals mutational biases

a. Our implementation of the TADA model includes de novo, case/control, and rare inherited modules for each variant type: PTV, MisB, MisA, deletion, and duplication. We leverage information from ASD probands as well as unaffected siblings in evaluating the effect of de novo variants. b. The evidence of ASD association contributed by each variant type for each of the 71 ASD genes with FDR ≤ 0.001. Some genes are predominantly associated through missense variants and duplications (e.g., PTEN, SLC6A1), suggesting mechanisms such as gain-of-function in contrast to haploinsufficient loss-of-function for genes with large contributions from PTVs and deletions. c. Applying TADA to our aggregated ASD dataset yields 71 genes at FDR ≤ 0.001, compared to 32 and 19 genes at the same threshold in previous studies on a subset of the samples (Satterstrom et al. 2020 and Sanders et al. 2015, respectively). Our expanded TADA model makes more efficient use of the available evidence of association by integrating more information into our statistical modeling. d. Across 71 ASD genes, the majority of evidence for ASD association is derived from PTVs and MisB variants and, e, de novo variants.

Abbreviations: BF: Bayes factor; PTV: protein truncating variant; misB: missense variants with MPC score >=2; misA: missense variants with MPC score >=1 and <2; Del: deletion CNV; Dup: duplication CNV; Inh: inherited; CC: case/control; DN: de novo. Statistical tests: b Extended TADA model.
and modes of inheritance (Fig. 3d-e, Fig. 4a-b). In keeping with haploinsufficiency as the primary pathogenic mechanism, PTVs and deletions account for over 90% of the evidence in 20 of the 71 ASD-associated genes (28.2%). However, for 10 genes (14.1%), over 90% of the evidence comes from missense variants and duplications (e.g., DEAF1, SLCA6A1, Fig. 4a). Included within these 10 genes is PLXNA1, for which the evidence comes primarily from inherited missense variation localized within the Plexin domain of the encoded protein (Fig. 4b-c).

We next sought to estimate the magnitude of the ASD risk imparted by the genes discovered here and described in Fig. 3. Within the 71 genes, de novo PTVs or damaging missense variants were detected in 4.0% of cases and 0.5% of controls, giving a combined OR of 8.19. Using cross validation (Supplementary methods), we refined this estimate by variant type and mode of inheritance with OR estimates ranging from 16.0 for de novo PTVs to 1.02 for inherited MisA (Supplementary Table 4.10). We also applied this cross validation approach to estimate the yield of future gene discovery efforts, predicting a greater than linear increase in gene discovery at this same statistical threshold from a two-fold increase in the current sample size (150 genes; 95% CI: 108-278) or a three-fold increase (344 genes; 95% CI: 218-500).

Comparing the genetic architectures of ASD and general NDDs

ASD is frequently comorbid with other syndromic and non-syndromic NDDs, including intellectual disability (ID), and neurological disorders, including seizures. Prior analyses have clearly demonstrated both overlapping and distinct genetic architectures across ASD and DD, with significant heterogeneity across these broadly defined NDDs. To explore commonalities in genes that impact such NDDs, we integrated our ASD data with an independent cohort of 93,605 family-based samples encompassing 31,058 offspring with DD, the vast majority of which (>95%) were diagnosed with at least one NDD. Exome sequencing from this DD cohort was recently analyzed by the Deciphering Developmental Disorders (DDD) project using DeNovoWest, a permutation-based frequentist method, and reported exome-wide significant associations between rare SNVs and indels in 252 autosomal genes. However, these analyses did not include case-control samples, rare inherited SNVs and indels, or CNVs. We sought to re-analyze these DDD data to enable direct comparisons between the ASD and DD cohorts using uniform statistical models and significance thresholds between studies.

We applied the extended TADA framework to de novo variants in the DD cohort (TADA-DD) and found 309 autosomal genes associated at an FDR threshold of ≤ 0.001, including 94% of the initial 252 autosomal genes in Kaplanis et al. 2020 (Supplementary Table 4.9, TADA-DD found 477 at FDR ≤ 0.05). We observed the FDR values generated by our implementation of TADA (TADA-DD) to be highly correlated to those derived from the DeNovoWest significance values in Kaplanis et al. 2020 (r = 0.95, p = 10x10-22, Supplementary Fig. 5). Given the enrichment of cases with severe and syndromic disorders in this DD cohort compared to the ASD cohort, the

Fig. 4 | Relative contribution of evidence types in ASD risk genes

a, For 71 ASD-associated genes (FDR ≤ 0.001) the relative evidence of ASD association in the extended TADA model (log10BF) is shown for variants acting via a likely loss-of-function (LOF) mechanism (PTVs and deletions) on the x-axis versus variants that may act via alternative mechanisms (missense variants and duplications) on the y-axis. b, Equivalent plot of the relative evidence from de novo (x-axis) versus inherited (y-axis) variation for the same 71 ASD-associated genes. c, Evidence for ASD-association for the gene PLXNA1 is derived from de novo and transmitted missense variants, especially in the Plexin domain at the C-terminus of the Plexin-A1 protein. Abbreviations: BF: Bayes factor. Statistical tests: c: Transmission disequilibrium test.

de novo PTV, MisB, and MisA counts in this DD cohort showed the expected stronger but overall similar trends in variant enrichment in probands across the top three deciles of LOEUF (Fig. 5a-b). Since the first unbiased whole-genome analyses in ASD, a notable overlap has been observed between genes affecting ASD and those affecting development more broadly, including intellectual development. Thus, it is reasonable to conjecture that integrating evidence for association from ASD and DD cohorts could yield additional genetic effects influencing risk for ASD and broader development. Still, a cardinal rule of such meta-analysis is that the data should not be too heterogeneous. To determine whether the genes identified in the ASD cohort were also associated in the DD cohort, and vice versa, we converted the distribution of TADA q-values to p-values for each study. If the genes identified as associated in the DD cohort were also associated with ASD, the distribution of their ASD association p-values would be skewed toward zero; if they were not associated, the p-values would follow a uniform distribution; and, if some were associated and others were not, the p-values would follow a mixture distribution. Selecting the genes associated in the DD cohort at FDR ≤ 0.05, we evaluated the distribution of their p-values in the ASD cohort. The estimated proportion of associated genes (i.e., mixing parameter) was 0.701 (limma::propTrueNull, Fig. 5c), indicating that 70.1% of these genes affect risk for ASD. The converse analysis conditioning on the ASD-associated genes suggests that 86.3% of ASD risk genes have some broad effects on development (limma::propTrueNull, Fig. 5d).
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**Fig. 5** | Integration of ASD and DD dataset identifies discovery of general neurodevelopmental disorder genes and heterogeneity

We analyzed 31,058 DD trios from Kaplanis et al. 2020 alongside our assembled ASD cohort to compile a combined dataset of 46,094 NDD cases. a, De novo PTVs are enriched in DD cases, with the effect diminishing as constraint relaxes. The enrichment in DD cases is higher than in the ASD cases, consistent with expectation. b, De novo missense variants are also enriched in DD cases above and beyond the enrichment observed in our ASD cases. c, 477 TADA-DD genes with FDR ≤ 0.05 have non-uniform p-value distributions from TADA-ASD that suggest 70.1% of these genes are associated with DD. d, Using PTV and MsB variant data, we devised a chi-squared statistic, denoted the C statistic, to measure if a gene has more observed variants in one cohort relative to the other. A mixture model was used to deconvolve the commingled distributions. e, We transformed the fitted mixture distribution into posterior probability for ASD enrichment. Using a cutoff of <0.01, we found 82 DD-predominant genes, while using a cutoff of >0.99 we found 36 ASD-predominant genes.

**Gene membership by cohort**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of genes (464 total)</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.6</th>
<th>0.8</th>
<th>1.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DD-predominant genes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD-predominant genes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 6** | Mutational enrichment identifies differential neuronal layers impacted by ASD and DD genes

To gain insight into differences in expression of genes identified from the ASD versus DD cohorts, we examined single-cell gene expression patterns from human fetal brains. Two studies provided more than 37,000 cortical cells ranging from 6-27 weeks post-conception. To integrate these data, we first removed strong batch effects due to different protocols using cFIT and then obtained integrated factor loadings and gene expression for all measured cells. UMAP plots visualizing all cells from two sources, after removal of batch effects, showed that similar cell types from the different batches group together, while cells unique to either batch were also preserved. Using a posterior probability cutoff of greater than 0.99, we find 36 genes to be a part of the ASD mixture component and 82 genes to be a part of the DD component.

**Heterogeneity of mutation patterns between ASD and DD associated genes**

Due to the frequent comorbidity of ASD and DD phenotypes, isolating genes that exert a greater effect on ASD than those on other DDs has remained challenging. Still, as documented above, 13.7% of the TADA-ASD FDR ≤ 0.05 genes show little evidence for association in the DD cohort at the same threshold (Fig. 5d). Moreover, while the remaining 86.3% of the genes are likely pleiotropic, some could have a far greater impact on risk for ASD while others have greater impact on risk for other features of development. To evaluate the overall gene-set heterogeneity between the ASD and DD cohorts, we retained only de novo SNVs/indels for independent gene-level BF calculations. For the 373 genes at TADA-ASD FDR ≤ 0.01, we observed a Pearson’s correlation of 0.78 (p=3.1x10^{-13}) between the two major subcomponents of the ASD cohort (ASC+SSC versus SPARK), compared to only 0.42 (p=2.4x10^{-11}) between the BFs of the ASD and DD cohorts. These observations signal much more consistent gene-level evidence between the ASD subcohorts than between the ASD and DD cohorts (Supplementary Fig. 6) and reflect both differential and shared genetic architecture underlying these often-comorbid phenotypes.
We subsequently assessed any differentials in strength of enrichment of the DD- and ASD-predominant risk genes within cell clusters for genes meeting the posterior probability 0.99 threshold (Fig. 5f). Of the 36 genes classified as ASD-predominant, 18 were expressed in these cell types, and, of the 82 classified as DD-predominant, genes, 51 were expressed. Using odds ratio to reflect the strength of signal, we observed that both DD- and ASD-predominant genes were enriched in both interneurons and excitatory neurons compared to glial and progenitor cells (Fig. 6b, Supplementary Fig. 9, Supplementary Tables 4.12-4.13). While the enrichment was broadly distributed, the signal for DD-predominant enrichment tended to be found for cell types appearing early in the lineages of neurons. DD-predominant genes highlight four cell types, namely intermediate progenitors (IP), precursors of interneurons from the caudal ganglionic eminence (InCGE), migrating excitatory neurons (ExN3), and maturing excitatory neurons (ExM2). By contrast, ASD-predominant genes are strongly enriched in only one cell type, maturing excitatory neurons (ExMU1) and its clade. In keeping with a more mature neuron type, the ASD-predominant genes play roles in cytoskeleton organization, synaptic signaling, and activity-dependent programs. Our results regarding ASD agree with the conclusion of Polioudakis et al., which was based on a subset of these data. If we judge enrichment solely by significance after Bonferroni correction for 21 cell types, these conclusions still hold: ExMU1 remained significant for enrichment of ASD-predominant genes; likewise ExN3 remained significant for enrichment of DD-predominant genes. Our results are consistent with DD-predominant genes being expressed earlier in development and in less mature cells than ASD-predominant genes.

Emergence of shared ASD schizophrenia risk genes

The genetic risk for ASD has also been suggested to overlap with that of other neuropsychiatric disorders, especially schizophrenia, such that the joint study of ASD and schizophrenia might reveal additional insights into both disorders. The Schizophrenia Exome Meta-Analysis (SCHEMA) Consortium recently analyzed exome sequencing from 24,248 schizophrenia cases and 97,322 controls, identifying 10 genes where ultra-rare coding variants were associated with schizophrenia after Bonferroni correction, and 244 genes at p < 0.01. We compared our ASD- and DD-predominant genes to the SCHEMA findings to determine if there was any overlap between ASD and schizophrenia at the level of individual risk genes and, if so, whether it was related to ASD-DD overlap. Among the 71 ASD genes we discovered at an FDR ≤ 0.001, we found that 63 show an association with DD (using FDR ≤ 0.05, based on TADA-DD), and 8 show an association with schizophrenia (using p < 0.01, based on values reported by Singh et al.). If the two associations were independent, we would expect ~7 of the 8 ASD-schizophrenia genes to also show an association with DD (based on 63/71 = ~88% of the ASD genes overlapping DD). However, we find 4 of the ASD-schizophrenia genes (NRXN1, ANK2, BRSK2, and DSCAM) lack an association with DD, which is a significant overrepresentation compared to random chance alone (p = 0.006, binomial test). This suggests that one subset of ASD risk genes may overlap DD, while a different subset overlaps schizophrenia.

Discussion

We also analyzed these findings with an alternative approach: the distribution of genes in Fig. 5e (the 464 genes with FDR ≤ 0.05 for ASD and/or DD in our heterogeneity analysis) gives 36 ASD-enriched genes and 82 DD-enriched genes. Of the 244 genes identified by SCHEMA as schizophrenia-associated at p 0.1, 6 genes (ANK2, ASH1L, BRSK2, CGREF1, DSCAM, and NRXN1) overlap the 36 ASD genes, while only 3 (ATP2B1, GRIN2A, and HIST1H1E) overlap the 82 DD genes. If we compare to the null hypothesis that each of the genes in our TADA model has an equal chance of being schizophrenia-associated, then the ASD-schizophrenia overlap is significantly enriched (p = 8.5x10^-6, binomial test), while the DD-schizophrenia overlap is not (p = 0.10, binomial test). The two outcomes (6/36 vs. 3/82) are also significantly different when compared to each other (p = 0.023, Fisher’s exact test), reinforcing the idea that the shared genetic risk between ASD and schizophrenia may be distinct to that observed between ASD and DD.
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by non-homologous or microhomology mediated repair, were preferentially 95% of 16p11.2 CNVs), whereas the majority of all CNVs, which are driven mediated CNVs across all GD regions characterized from microarray studies, and quantified the relative effect size of CNVs outside of GD regions in comparison to PTVs. Prior studies have reported an enrichment of CNVs of maternal origin in ASD probands25. Here, we found a strong bias in the gamete-of-origin giving rise to de novo CNVs based on the mechanism of formation. Most NAHR-mediated CNVs across all GDs arose on the maternal chromosome (e.g., 95% of 16p11.2 CNVs), whereas the majority of all CNVs, which are driven by non-homologous or microhomology mediated repair, were preferentially maternal in origin and consistent with WGS analysis in controls35. We further highlighted the relative value of gene-level CNV analyses, as disruption of one of the constrained genes or the shared risk regions, may be an alteration of dosage-sensitive GD loci. Overall, approximately 8% of the BF association evidence in ASD risk genes was derived from CNVs, while 0.95% of all cases and 0.02% of unaffected siblings harbored a de novo CNV that altered one of the 373 broadly defined NDD risk genes. These results emphasize the value of routine joint analysis of all classes of genomic variation in gene discovery analyses, and the relative impact of gene level CNV analyses in diagnostic testing.

By analyzing both the DD and ASD datasets under the same framework, we were also able to directly quantify the overlapping and distinct genetic architectures across these datasets. We showed the increased rate of de novo PTVs and damaging missense variants in the DD cohort in both raw variant enrichments as well as in association statistics. Applying the same statistical framework to DD and ASD data, we identified independent genetic signals in ASD that are not equally for many of the genes. Broadly, genes expressed at earlier stages of cortical development, such as progenitor genes, display greater enrichment in ASD, while those expressed later, such as maturing excitatory neurons, lean towards ASD. This is consistent with the expectation that earlier and more generalized impairment leads to severe global developmental delay, and later, neuron-specific impairments affect more isolated developmental domains such as social communication. This is supported by our analyses incorporating single-cell genomic data, where we identify ExMux1 among maturing excitatory neurons as preferentially perturbed among ASD-predominant risk genes, while highlighting ExN3, a migrating excitatory neuron, in DD-predominant risk genes.

In conclusion, we have greatly expanded the rare variant information that is simultaneously accounted for in our statistical framework, including the use of accurate WES-based CNVs, to significantly increase the number of genes we can implicate for ASD and NDD risk. We have also used our framework to test the shared and variant genetic risks between ASD and related NDDs, highlighting differential enrichment of associated genes at different neuronal timepoints. Importantly, much of the work presented in this study was powered by the large-scale collection of ASD datasets from the SPARK, ASC, SSC, and NHGRI Centers for Common Disease Genetics, and the commitment of these programs to make these data rapidly accessible to all qualified individuals. These studies have catalyzed a rapid evolution in genetic architecture studies in ASD, including a number of recent preprints that have leveraged these data for analyses of de novo, ultra-rare, and rare inherited variants in ASD, the combined impact of rare and common variant polygenic risk across males and females, and combined gene discovery from ASD and DD datasets16–17. As sample sizes continue to grow rapidly, we expect that the framework presented here will continue to yield returns in both gene discovery and improved understanding of the differential risks to the disorders on the neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric spectrum posed by variants within the same genes.
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