

1 **Childhood trajectories of internalising and externalising problems associated with a polygenic risk**
2 **score for neuroticism in a UK birth cohort study**

3

4 Ilaria Costantini MSc^{1,2}, Hannah Sallis PhD^{1,2,3,4}, Kate Tilling PhD^{2,3}, Daniel Major-Smith PhD^{2,3,5},
5 Rebecca M Pearson PhD^{1,2,3,6}, Daphne-Zacharenia Kounali PhD^{1,2}

6

7 ¹ Centre for Academic Mental Health at the University of Bristol, Oakfield House, Bristol, United
8 Kingdom

9 ² Department of Population Health Sciences, University of Bristol Medical School, Oakfield House,
10 Oakfield Grove, Bristol, United Kingdom, BS82BN

11 ³ Medical Research Council (MRC) Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, Bristol,
12 United Kingdom

13 ⁴ School of Psychological Science, University of Bristol. Bristol, United Kingdom

14 ⁵ Centre for Academic Child Health, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1NU, UK

15 ⁶ Department of Psychology, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK

16

17 Corresponding author:

18 Ilaria Costantini, MSc

19 ilaria.costantini@bristol.ac.uk

20 **Abstract**

21 Neuroticism represents a personality disposition towards experiencing negative emotions more
22 frequently and intensely. Longitudinal studies suggest that neuroticism increases risk of several
23 psychological and physical problems. Improved understanding of how this trait manifests in early life
24 could help inform preventative strategies in those liable to neuroticism.

25 This study explored how a polygenic risk score (PRS) for neuroticism is expressed from infancy
26 to late childhood across various psychological outcomes and how it associates with trajectories of
27 internalising and externalising problems from ages 4-11 in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and
28 Children (N=5,279). We employed multivariable linear and ordinal regression models to estimate
29 associations between a child neuroticism PRS and psychological outcomes. A three-level mixed-effect
30 model was employed to characterise child internalising and externalising trajectories and estimate how a
31 child PRS associated with both their overall levels and rates of change.

32 We found evidence that the PRS for neuroticism was associated with a more sensitive
33 temperament in early infancy in addition to higher emotional and behavioural problems and a higher risk
34 of being diagnosed with a variety of clinical disorders, particularly anxiety disorders, in childhood. We
35 also found strong evidence that the PRS for neuroticism was associated with overall levels of internalising
36 and externalising trajectories, with a larger magnitude of effect on the internalising trajectory. The PRS
37 was also associated with slower rates of reduction of internalising problems.

38 Our findings using a large, well-characterised birth cohort study suggest that phenotypic
39 manifestations of a PRS for adult neuroticism can be detected as early as in infancy and that this PRS
40 associates with several mental health problems and differences in emotional trajectories across childhood.

41 **Introduction**

42 Neuroticism refers to a relatively stable personality trait characterised by an individual's tendency
43 to experience negative emotions more frequently and intensely¹. Longitudinal studies suggest that
44 neuroticism is a risk factor for a variety of psychological and physical problems such as anxiety,
45 depression, adverse cardiovascular events, and substance misuse²⁻⁴. Consequently, this personality trait
46 represents an important personal, economical and societal burden⁵. Because of the relatively stable and
47 dispositional nature of neuroticism, screening for this trait has been suggested as a strategy for the
48 identification of high-risk groups and the deployment of preventative interventions^{6,7}. In addition, recent
49 evidence has suggested that a general neuroticism factor overlaps substantially with both internalising
50 (e.g., anxiety and depression symptoms) and externalising (e.g., hyperactivity and conduct problems)
51 problems in childhood and that shifting the target of intervention to the development of emotional coping
52 strategies and resilience in children may be more effective in preventing later onset of mental and
53 physical health problems^{6,7}.

54 Recent twin and family-DNA informed studies^{8,9} have suggested a heritability of neuroticism of
55 approximately 30%, indicating a moderate genetic contribution to this trait. In addition, genome-wide
56 association studies (GWASs) have identified hundreds of independent loci contributing to its aetiology
57^{10,11}. Thus, it is increasingly possible to construct polygenic risk scores (PRS) as indicators of an
58 individual's genetic liability for neuroticism¹² in populations of European ancestry¹³. While some
59 longitudinal studies have explored phenotypic manifestations of PRSs for various psychiatric disorders
60 (e.g., schizophrenia^{14,15}) and childhood psychopathology (e.g., ADHD¹⁶) in childhood and adolescence,
61 no studies to date have examined the association between a PRS for neuroticism and phenotypic
62 manifestations of this score in infancy or early childhood. In addition, no studies to date have evaluated
63 the association of a PRS for neuroticism with trajectories of emotional and behavioural problems across
64 any age group (see Akingbuwa¹⁷ for a meta-analysis of these studies). Exploring phenotypic
65 manifestations of a genetic predisposition to psychological traits like neuroticism in infancy and
66 childhood could help in understanding how early life preventative interventions and screening strategies
67 for subsequent disease could be introduced, indicating optimal time-points for screening and targeting
68 high risk populations. In addition, intervening to minimise the risk associated with early life risk factors
69 for later psychiatric outcomes may be a more effective strategy for reducing the burden from these
70 outcomes than treating them directly as such an approach may be more comprehensive in its downstream
71 effects. This may be particularly important in the context of child psychiatry where psychological
72 symptoms are not as differentiated as in later ages (e.g., middle to late adolescence) and were historically
73 understudied and their prevalence underappreciated¹⁸⁻²⁰.

74 There were two primary aims of this study. First, we aimed to examine phenotypic manifestations
75 of a PRS for neuroticism across a large number of temperamental traits, psychological problems and
76 clinical disorders in infancy and childhood. Second, we aimed to examine how a PRS for neuroticism
77 associates with childhood trajectories of emotional and behavioural problems where repeated measures
78 were available.

79 As secondary aims of this study, we attempted to estimate the causal effect of the child PRS on
80 the above-mentioned traits. First, we attempted to estimate the causal effect of these association
81 independent of potential maternal genetic confounding (i.e., by adjusting for a maternal PRS for
82 neuroticism). Second, we investigated the extent to which these associations were driven via the effect of
83 a genetic liability to neuroticism *per se* as opposed to the effect being driven by independent pleiotropic
84 pathways. This was done by using a PRS restricted to SNPs associated with neuroticism at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ and
85 by adjusting for maternal PRS for neuroticism.

86 Finally, evaluating child emotional and behavioural problems often presents a challenge because
87 of the reliance on maternal reports. Some evidence, albeit conflicting, suggests that maternal ratings of
88 child behavioural and emotional problems can lead to misclassification of child psychopathology as the
89 scores may relate more to psychological problems in the mother herself than to the child²¹⁻²⁴. By contrast,
90 recent evidence has highlighted that the magnitude of differential misclassification is often negligible^{23,24}.
91 Where differential misclassification could still be a threat to the validity of our analyses, we
92 systematically employed various sensitivity analyses to explore the direction and magnitude of this
93 potential bias.

94

95 **Methods**

96 **Participants**

97 The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), also known as Children of the
98 90s, is an ongoing prospective population-based birth cohort study. Between 1990 and 1992, 14,541
99 pregnant women were recruited in Bristol and the surrounding area, previously known as Avon County.
100 The original mothers and partners (Generation 0: ALSPAC-G0)²⁵ and their living children (Generation 1:
101 ALSPAC-G1)²⁶ have been followed-up regularly since recruitment through questionnaires and clinic
102 assessments. When the oldest children were approximately 7 years of age, an attempt was made to bolster
103 the initial sample with eligible cases who had failed to join the study originally), resulting in an additional
104 913 children being enrolled. The total sample size for analyses using any data collected after the age of

105 seven is therefore 15,454 pregnancies, resulting in 15,589 fetuses. Of these 14,901 were alive at 1 year
106 of age²⁷. This study focuses on the index children (ALSPAC-G1) and on how their PRS for neuroticism
107 is expressed across childhood. Please note that the study website contains details of all the data that is
108 available through a fully searchable data dictionary and variable search tool
109 <http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/our-data/>. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from
110 the ALSPAC Law and Ethics Committee and South-West National Health Service (NHS) Research
111 Ethics Committee; informed consent for the use of data collected via questionnaires and clinics was
112 obtained from participants following the recommendations of the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee at
113 the time. Consent for biological samples has been collected in accordance with the Human Tissue Act
114 (2004).

115

116 **Genetic Data**

117 Genotyped data were available on 7,851 children and 7,826 mothers in the ALSPAC study.
118 Details of genotyping and quality control measures are available in **Supplementary Materials**. PRSs for
119 genetic liability for neuroticism were derived for mothers and children and standardised prior to analysis.
120 These scores were based on publicly available summary statistics from a recent GWAS of neuroticism
121 (N=329,821) which identified 116 independent genetic variants at a genome-wide significance threshold
122 ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$)¹⁰.

123 PRS were calculated using PRSice-2²⁸ and restricted to SNPs with a minor allele frequency of
124 $>1\%$ and an info score of >0.8 . Scores were created by summing the number of risk alleles present for
125 each SNP (0, 1, or 2) weighted by the effect estimates from the original GWAS. Thirteen PRS were
126 derived using P-value thresholds ranging from $P < 0.5$ to $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ (**Supplementary Table 1**), with a
127 greater number of SNPs included as the P-value thresholds became less conservative. Our primary
128 analysis used a PRS based on a threshold of $P < 0.05$, which is the threshold that most optimally captured
129 the trade-off between variance explained for neuroticism liability and added error term¹⁰. Using this P-
130 value threshold, the neuroticism polygenic score explained 2.79% of the variance in neuroticism
131 ($\beta = 0.19$, $P = 2.65 \times 10^{-47}$)¹⁰.

132

133 **Outcome Measures**

134 **Carey Infant Temperament Scales (CTSs) – 6 and 24 months**

135 Carey Infant Temperament Scales (CTSs)^{29,30} is a common questionnaire used to assess child's
136 temperament (examples of items: "Child cries when left playing alone", "child plays quietly with toys")
137 which comprises a number of age-appropriate questions relating to different temperament domains. In this
138 analysis, we used the prorated score which was corrected for age at completion of the questionnaire and
139 gestation at delivery. The results are coded so that higher scores indicate a more "difficult" temperament.
140 Further details are reported in **Supplementary Materials** and values for each subscale are reported in
141 **Supplementary Table 2**.

142

143 **Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) – 4 to 11 years**

144 The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)³¹ is a brief behavioural screening
145 questionnaire validated for children who are approximately 3-16 years old. It provides a score ranging
146 from 0 to 40, where higher scores indicate a larger number of emotional and behavioural difficulties
147 exhibited by the child. Cronbach's alpha values for each subscale and the derived internalising and
148 externalising subscales are reported in **Supplementary Table 2**. Further details about the subscales and
149 cross-informants' correlations are reported in **Supplementary Materials**.

150

151 **Locus of control – 8 years**

152 Children's locus of control was assessed using an adaptation of the Children's Nowicki
153 Strickland Internal External scale (CNSIE)³². This form was administered to ALSPAC children when
154 they were tested at 8 years of age. Items were read out loud by the examiners and the child was asked to
155 respond yes or no. They were reminded of the confidentiality of the test and that there were no correct
156 answers. Higher scores indicate a more external locus of control. In the current sample, the internal
157 reliability as measured with Cronbach alpha was low (0.47) (**Supplementary Table 2**).

158

159 **Self-Esteem – 8 years**

160 Children's self-worth and scholastic competence were assessed with a 12-item shortened form of
161 Harter's Self Perception Profile for Children³³ at around 8 years of age. In the current sample, internal
162 reliability as measured with Cronbach alpha was satisfactory with values of 0.75, 0.69, and 0.65 for the

163 total score, the scholastic self-esteem score and the global self-esteem score, respectively
164 (**Supplementary Table 2**).

165

166 **Intelligence Quotient (IQ) – 8 years**

167 The Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC-III UK)³⁴ was used to assess child’s IQ at 8
168 years of age (**Supplementary Table 2**).

169

170 **Axis-I Disorders – 7 and 11 years**

171 Any axis-I disorders (i.e., clinical conditions such as anxiety and depressive disorders) classified
172 using the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV)³⁵ (yes vs no) and the
173 International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision (ICD-10)
174³⁶ were assessed using maternal and a mix of maternal and teacher reports from the Development and
175 Well-being Assessment (DAWBA)^{37,38} when children were 7 and 10 years old (**Supplementary Table**
176 **3**). Up to six ordinal bands were derived with a computer algorithm which has been shown to be similar
177 in accuracy to a clinical rating method³⁸. Further details are reported in **Supplementary Materials**.

178

179 **Big Five – 13 years**

180 The emotional stability subscale (i.e., inverse of neuroticism) of the Big Five personality test³⁹
181 was used as an outcome in our positive control analysis. Negative and positive controls are usually
182 employed in epidemiological analyses to test the validity of the association examined⁴⁰. Here, we
183 conducted a positive outcome control analysis to test the validity of the assumption that a PRS for
184 neuroticism was indeed associated with measured neuroticism (i.e., the emotional stability subscale of the
185 Big Five). The Big Five questionnaire was measured as part of the TF2 clinic visit when the child was 13
186 years old. Higher scores on the emotional stability variable indicate higher emotional stability (i.e., lower
187 neuroticism) (**Supplementary Table 2**).

188

189 **Covariate Measures**

190 In all the separate linear and ordinal regression models we included age of the child, sex of the
191 child and the first five principal components of genetic ancestry as covariates. Age of the child was not

192 included as a covariate in the models where the CTSSs were the outcome as the scores were already
193 adjusted for gestational age and age of the child. These covariates were also used in the mixed effect
194 models. In addition, to support the missing at random (MAR) assumption of these models, we included
195 variables indicating maternal education, maternal social class and maternal age as they were strongly
196 associated with the probability of having missing data.

197

198 **Statistical Analyses**

199 Our exposure (child PRS for neuroticism) was standardised using z-scores to have mean=0 and
200 SD=1, with a higher PRS indicating a higher liability to neuroticism.

201 To address the first aim of this study (i.e., “to examine the phenotypic manifestation of a PRS for
202 neuroticism across childhood”) we employed separate multivariable linear regression models with robust
203 standard errors (SEs) to estimate coefficients and 95% CIs for the associations between the child PRS for
204 neuroticism and different psychological outcomes (i.e., CTSSs, SDQ, Locus of control, Self-Esteem and
205 IQ) adjusted for the above-mentioned covariates. Using robust SEs (i.e., Huber-White sandwich
206 estimators) can help in addressing potential violations of linear regression assumptions such as normality,
207 heteroscedasticity, or observations that exhibit large residuals. Primary analyses were conducted on the
208 complete case dataset. As a positive control analysis, we investigated whether the child PRS for
209 neuroticism was associated with the neuroticism (i.e., inverse of emotional stability) subscale as measured
210 by the Big Five at 13 years of age. Ordinal logistic regressions were used when the outcome variables
211 were not continuous integers (i.e., DAWBA).

212 To take into account an inflated false discovery rate due to multiple testing, a heuristic Bonferroni
213 adjusted P-value threshold was set at $P < 0.0014$ ($0.05/35$, where 35 pertains to each unique outcome
214 tested). However, as we have included subscales which are highly correlated with each other, this
215 threshold is likely to be overly conservative. We also investigated the potential for bias in our analyses
216 due to levels of attrition in the outcomes in our analysis sample (from 3,579 up to 6,271 individuals with
217 genetic and phenotypic data). To perform this, we generated 100 imputed datasets using multiple
218 imputation through chained equations (MICE)^{41–43} (see **Supplementary Methods** for further details).

219

220 **Selected linear mixed effect model**

221 In this study, a three-level mixed effect model (command *xtmixed* in Stata-16⁴⁴) with random
222 intercept and slopes was employed to characterise behavioural and emotional trajectories over time and to

223 examine the association of the child PRS with the overall score of emotional and behavioural problems
224 and how these change according to sex, type of scale and reporter of the scale. The levels represent a
225 dependence structure where children's scores at different measurement occasions (level 1) are nested
226 within the type of scale (internalising or externalising) (level 2) which are nested within an individual
227 child (level 3) (**Figure 1**)⁴⁵. This model allows a separate intercept and slope for each child in each scale
228 and it permits the investigation of the effect of covariates as fixed effects. The model included a random
229 intercept and slopes for the change over time which permitted estimation of individual child variation in
230 score levels, and how these change with time across different scales and reporters. Restricted maximum
231 likelihood (REML) was employed instead of maximum likelihood (ML) for fitting this model. Model
232 adequacy was assessed using information criteria and examining model assumptions, namely
233 homogeneity of variance (with group variance being constant between groups and predictors), linearity
234 and normality of residual variances at different levels.

235 The sample used in three level linear mixed models consisted of 5,729 children with at least 1
236 observation per internalising and externalising subscale over a maximum of 7 occasions per scale. The
237 mean number of measurements was 5.62 (SD=3.50). The majority (74.5%) of the child questionnaires on
238 the SDQ for this study were answered by the mother for the parent reported scale. The median (IQR) age
239 of the assessments where genetic information was available was 8.33 (6.75 – 10.83) years with a
240 minimum age of 3.67 years and a maximum of 14.00 years (age was centred at its median). For these
241 analyses, the natural log (plus 1) values of the outcomes were used for both analytical convenience (e.g.,
242 variance stabilisation and ensuring positivity on the outcome scale) as well as ease of interpretation
243 (expressing covariate effects on the ratio scale, i.e., percentage change). Our analyses included all
244 available data on the SDQ scale and the model implies that missing observations over time are missing at
245 random (MAR), conditional on all included covariates. To mitigate the risk of bias due to attrition we
246 included in these models additional covariates that were found to be strongly associated with attrition
247 (i.e., maternal education, maternal social class and maternal age).

248 We explored the role of the reporter (parent vs teacher) by including it both as a fixed as well as a
249 random effect at the level representing the different scales used for each child. This permitted us to
250 decompose the SDQ score variability further to that associated to different scales used and between
251 reporters. This variance component was additional to between intra-child variability (level 2).

252 To examine whether the child PRS for neuroticism was associated with the overall level of the
253 trajectories, we included the PRS as a fixed-effect term and we used interaction terms to explore its
254 effects depending on the scale used, the reporter of the scale and the sex of the child. To examine how the

255 PRS was associated with changes over time in our model, we included a main effect of the PRS and an
256 interaction of the PRS with the fixed-effect age term. Code used to perform these analyses and additional
257 information on the model are presented in the **Supplementary Materials**.

258

259 **Sensitivity analyses**

260 We used various sensitivity analyses to test the robustness of our findings. First, we employed
261 different methods to explore the potential presence of differential and dependent measurement error due
262 to the maternal PRS. We used a signed directed acyclic graph (DAG) to illustrate potential measurement
263 error or misclassification as suggested by VanderWeele et al ⁴⁶ (**Supplementary Figure 1**). We firstly
264 used maternal genotype (N=7,826) as the exposure when assessing child's behaviour as reported by the
265 child's teacher as an outcome while adjusting for child genotype to test whether maternal own PRS for
266 neuroticism may have influenced teacher's rating. Second, we developed "difference in score" variables
267 by subtracting the maternally reported score of child's problems (measured with the SDQ at 8 and 11
268 years of age) from the teacher reported score of child's problems (measured with the SDQ at 8 and 11
269 years of age). Then, we employed linear regression models adjusted for the child PRS to explore the
270 association between the maternal PRS and the difference in score. In addition, to address one of the
271 secondary aims of this study (i.e., "estimating the causal relationship between the child PRS and later
272 emotional and behavioural difficulties") we adjusted for maternal PRS in the separate multivariable linear
273 regressions in order to account for a possible confounding effect of maternal PRS for neuroticism on
274 child's outcomes. Finally, to address the last of our secondary aims (i.e., "estimating the causal
275 relationship between child genetic liability to neuroticism and later emotional and behavioural
276 difficulties") we re-ran separate linear and ordinal regression models using a genome-wide significance
277 threshold ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) for PRS construction. This could help to disentangle whether the observed effects
278 of the neuroticism PRS are indeed acting through a liability to neuroticism as opposed to alternate
279 pathways. For more details about the sensitivity analyses refer to the **Supplementary Material's**
280 sensitivity analyses section. All analyses were conducted using Stata 16 statistical software.

281

282 **Results**

283 **Descriptive results**

284 The numbers of individuals who participated in the different assessments at various visits are
285 shown in **Supplementary Table 2 and 3**. Of the 7,851 ALSPAC children with genetic data available

286 (51.25% male and 48.75% female), 6,271 to 3,579 participated in assessments from 6 months to 11 years
287 of age, respectively. Of the participants who had genetic information, missingness for the outcomes of
288 interest was modest at 6 months of age (20.42% missingness) and high when the SDQ was measured by
289 the teacher at age 8 (up to 54.50% missingness) (See **Supplementary Figure 2** for a Flow diagram of
290 ALSPAC participants). Participants who had data on the variables included in the different models (e.g.,
291 outcome, exposure and covariates) generally had mothers who were of a higher social class, obtained a
292 higher education and who were less likely to have smoked during pregnancy (**Supplementary Table 4**).
293 Correlations between subscales of SDQ and between mother and teacher reports are reported in
294 **Supplementary materials and Supplementary Table 5**.

295

296 **Association between child PRS for neuroticism and various psychological outcomes**

297 Betas (β) indicate a unit increase or decrease in the outcome score for each 1 SD increase in the
298 exposure. We found strong evidence of an inverse association between child PRS for neuroticism and
299 emotional stability ($\beta_{\text{emotional stability}}=-0.75$, 95% CI: -0.96 to -0.55, $P=7.460 \times 10^{-13}$), supporting the internal
300 validity of our exposure.

301 The earliest behavioural outcome that showed nominal evidence for association with the child
302 PRS was for the approach, adaptability and threshold subscales of the CTS at 6 months of age. Generally,
303 the association between the child PRS for neuroticism and various psychological outcomes strengthened
304 as the age of the children increased. However, evidence to support some of these associations weakened
305 when considering a heuristic P-value threshold for multiple testing (complete findings are presented in
306 **Supplementary Table 6 and Figure 2**).

307 We found strong evidence that a higher neuroticism PRS was associated with higher scores in
308 both the emotional and behavioural domains of the SDQ (i.e., total score) across all 7 occasions from 4 to
309 11 years of age. Effect sizes generally increased throughout childhood with the smallest estimates at age 4
310 ($\beta=0.27$, 95% CI: 0.15 to 0.40, $P=2.30 \times 10^{-5}$) and the largest at age 8, both when reported by the mother
311 ($\beta=0.52$, 95% CI: 0.38 to 0.67, $P=2.08 \times 10^{-12}$) and when reported by the teacher ($\beta=0.52$, 95% CI: 0.33 to
312 0.71, $P=8.13 \times 10^{-8}$). These findings were consistent independent of whether the outcome was teacher or
313 parent reported (**Supplementary Table 6 and Figure 3**).

314 In addition, we observed strong evidence of an association between the neuroticism PRS and the
315 likelihood of received clinical diagnoses at both 7 and 10 years of age as measured with the DAWBA. In
316 particular, a higher PRS for neuroticism was associated with a 13% (95% CI: 6 to 20%, $P=8.85 \times 10^{-5}$)
317 increased risk of generalised anxiety disorder (GAD) and a 14% (95% CI: 8 to 21%, $P=3.61 \times 10^{-6}$)

318 increased risk at 7 and 10 years of age, respectively. Similarly, we found strong evidence that children
319 with a higher PRS for neuroticism were at 13% (95 % CI: 7 to 20%, $P=1.90 \times 10^{-5}$) and 19% (95 % CI: 12
320 to 26%, $P=2.80 \times 10^{-8}$) increased risk of any anxiety related disorder at 7 and 10 years of age,
321 respectively. When exploring the association between the PRS for neuroticism and any behavioural
322 disorder as measured as a combined score across three traits (i.e., conduct disorder, oppositional defiant
323 disorder and ADHD), we found nominal evidence that the PRS was associated with a 9% (95% CI: 4 to
324 16%, $P=0.001$) and 9% (95% CI: 3 to 16%, $P=0.003$) increased risk of this combined score at 7 and 10
325 years of age, respectively. We found little evidence of an association between the child PRS for
326 neuroticism and depressive disorders at 7 years of age (OR=1.05, 95% CI: 0.99 to 1.12, $P=0.074$), but
327 stronger evidence of an association at 10 years of age (OR=1.11, 95% CI: 1.04 to 1.17, $P=0.001$)
328 (**Supplementary Table 7 and Figure 4**).

329 Finally, we also observed strong evidence that a higher PRS for neuroticism was positively
330 associated with broader psychological constructs such as having an external locus of control and inversely
331 associated with global and scholastic self-esteem and IQ (**Supplementary Table 6**).

332

333 **Child PRS for neuroticism and trajectories of internalising and externalising problems**

334 The overall mean for the internalising scale of the SDQ was estimated as 2.97 (95% CI: 2.67 to
335 3.35) for boys when assessed by the parents. In this model, there was evidence for an association between
336 the child PRS for neuroticism and child trajectories of internalising and externalising problems. For every
337 SD increase in child PRS for neuroticism we observed an average 6% (95% CI: 4 to 7%) increase in
338 internalising problems in boys as reported by the parent. The magnitude of this effect was halved (i.e.,
339 3%, 95% CI: 2 to 5%) when examining externalising problems. We also observed strong evidence of a
340 rate of reduction of 3% (95% CI: 2 to 3%) per year of age on the internalising scores in boys when
341 reported by the parents, which was larger than the rate of reduction smaller in girls by 1% (95% CI: 1 to
342 2%). This rate of reduction was more pronounced on the externalising scale (rising to 6% per year, 95%
343 CI: 4 to 6%). The PRS effect on the rate of change with age became more pronounced on the internalising
344 scale where the rates of change were slower. We observed similar patterns of the effect of the PRS in
345 girls. Differences between sexes mainly consisted of differences in score levels with age according to
346 scale and reporter. Further discussion of findings from this model are reported in the **Supplementary**
347 **Materials**. Complete findings from fixed and random effects models are presented in **Table 1**. A
348 graphical representation of these marginal model predictions according to age, sex and PRS levels and
349 reporter is presented in **Figures 5 and 6**.

350

351 **Sensitivity analyses**

352 In this study we hypothesised that there could be differential misclassification of child outcomes
353 dependent on maternal PRS for neuroticism.

354 First, we found little evidence of an association between maternal PRS for neuroticism and
355 child's behavioural and emotional problems as rated by the teacher, as assessed by 95% confidence
356 intervals overlapping with the null (**Supplementary Table 8**). The maternal PRS for neuroticism had
357 little or no influence on teachers' assessment of child's behaviours once accounting for the child's own
358 PRS, minimising the likelihood that mothers with a higher PRS for neuroticism may have influenced the
359 teacher's opinion of the child (e.g., through their behaviours during scholastic meetings). Second, while
360 we found that mothers and teachers did indeed rate child's emotional and behavioural problems
361 differently (mean of the difference in score $\neq 0$) (**Supplementary Table 9**), with mothers rating the
362 child's behavioural and emotional problems higher than teachers, when we examined whether maternal
363 PRS was associated with a "difference score", we did not find any convincing evidence to support this
364 hypothesis. In addition, effect estimates were small and with differing directions of effect, suggesting that
365 the association of the maternal PRS with "difference in score" may be negligible in our sample
366 (**Supplementary Table 10**).

367 To investigate whether our findings were compatible with a causal association of the child PRS
368 with both emotional and behavioural problems we performed two sensitivity analyses. First, to estimate a
369 potential causal association between the child PRS and later emotional and behavioural problems we
370 adjusted for the maternal PRS for neuroticism (i.e., a potential confounder of this association). Our effect
371 estimates remained consistent across most of the results (**Supplementary Table 11**). However,
372 incorporating the maternal PRS into the model led to larger confidence intervals around our effect
373 estimates, reducing their precision.

374 Second, to estimate a potential causal association between the child PRS for neuroticism and later
375 emotional and behavioural problems we re-performed our single-level analyses using a PRS constructed
376 using a genome-wide significant P-value threshold with and without adjustment for the maternal PRS for
377 neuroticism (**Supplementary Table 12**). Effect estimates decreased across all our findings, particularly
378 for those measured at earlier ages. Very weak evidence was found at 4 years of age for an association
379 between the child PRS for neuroticism and the total scores of emotional and behavioural difficulties
380 ($\beta=0.05$, 95% CI: -0.14 to 0.24). However, as early as at 6 years, we found some evidence of an
381 association between the child neuroticism PRS and the total scores of emotional and behavioural

382 difficulties ($\beta=0.22$, 95% CI: 0.02 to 0.42). We also found evidence for an association between the child
383 PRS for neuroticism and a higher risk of separation anxiety, both when assessed using the DSM-IV and
384 ICD-10 criteria (OR: 1.21, 95% CI: 1.05 to 1.37; OR: 1.39, 95% CI: 1.17 to 1.62), social phobia (OR:
385 1.17, 95% CI: 1.05 to 1.29) and specific phobia (OR: 1.16, 95% CI: 1.05 to 1.27). These results remained
386 largely consistent upon adjusting for the maternal PRS for neuroticism.

387

388 **Missing data**

389 Results obtained in the 100 multiply imputed datasets are presented in the **Supplementary**
390 **Materials** (see **Supplementary Table 13** for auxiliary variables and **Supplementary Table 14** for
391 findings generated from imputed datasets). Monte Carlo errors were <10% of the standard error and
392 fraction of missing information (FMI) values were no larger than 11 in the Carey models imputation and
393 54 in the SDQ model imputation, both indicating an adequate level of statistical reproducibility of the
394 multiply imputed analyses⁴⁷. Findings obtained in the multiply imputed datasets were largely consistent
395 (with the most different result observed for the total score of the SDQ at 9 years: N complete case
396 analysis (cca)= 5,516, β_{cca} : 0.45, 95% CI_{cca}: (0.31 to 0.59), P-value_{cca}=2.98 x 10⁻¹⁰, N imputed (i)= 7,451,
397 β_i : 0.50, 95% CI_i: (0.37 to 0.63), P-value_i=4.76 x 10⁻¹⁴) with those reported in the CCA, suggesting that
398 the levels of attrition did not substantially bias our effect estimates under the assumption that data were
399 MAR.

400

401 **Discussion**

402 Psychiatric genetic studies have recently started investigating associations between genetic
403 variants linked to psychological and psychiatric outcomes in adults on their correlates in children and
404 adolescents^{17,48}. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to have examined how a PRS for
405 neuroticism is manifested phenotypically throughout early infancy to late childhood in addition to the first
406 to examine its association with trajectories of internalising and externalising symptoms across these age
407 groups.

408 In this study, we found evidence of an association between a higher child PRS for neuroticism
409 and a host of behavioural and emotional problems across different ages and with some suggestion of an
410 association appearing as early as 6 months of age, though evidence supporting this association weakened
411 once considering multiple testing. In addition, when estimating trajectories of child internalising and
412 externalising problems between 4 and 11 years of age, we found that they both reduced with age, in line

413 with early childhood emotional issues often regulating by late childhood. Both girls and boys had higher
414 overall levels of externalising, as compared to internalising, problems; however, the reduction of
415 externalising trajectories over time was sharper than for internalising trajectories. Furthermore, we found
416 strong evidence that a higher PRS for neuroticism was associated with an increase in the overall level of
417 internalising problems but that the magnitude of effect was halved for the externalising scale. We also
418 found evidence that a higher PRS for neuroticism was associated with smaller rates of reduction of
419 internalising problems, suggesting a dampened recovery from the emotional problems experienced in
420 children with higher levels of this PRS (**Figure 5**). In addition, we found evidence that teachers gave
421 lower scores than parents on internalising and externalising scales to both boys and girls. However, the
422 rate of decrease was also influenced by the reporter of the scale, with the teacher reporting smaller rates
423 of reduction compared to parents (**Figure 6**). Lastly, we found evidence that both parents and teachers
424 scored girls lower on externalising problems and higher on internalising problems as compared to boys.
425 These findings are consistent with psychological and psychiatric literature which has identified higher
426 levels of total difficulties across childhood in boys as compared to girls^{49,50} and literature on teachers
427 reporting fewer problems than parents^{49,51}.

428 Our findings suggest that a child PRS for neuroticism has larger effects as the child ages. Here,
429 we hypothesise two plausible explanations for this observation: first, the child PRS for neuroticism was
430 derived using a GWAS which captures neuroticism as measured in adulthood and not in childhood and
431 thus, as the child becomes older, the phenotype continues to approach the measured construct. This means
432 that the emotional and behavioural measured constructs may have suffered from higher measurement
433 error in earlier ages (e.g., because behavioural expression of emotional problems is more undifferentiated)
434 than when measured later in childhood. Secondly, the child PRS for neuroticism may be increasingly
435 expressed with age in line with previous genetically informed longitudinal studies (such using a twin-
436 design)⁵² that have found that genetic contribution to personality traits such as neuroticism and
437 extraversion reaches its peak at around 40 years of age (see Kandler⁵³ for a review of these studies).

438 Across scales, the largest effect sizes were found for internalising problems and anxiety-related
439 clinical disorders. Nonetheless, our analyses also found evidence that the child neuroticism PRS was
440 associated with increased behavioural or externalising problems (e.g., conduct problems or hyperactivity).

441 Whereas the findings for the internalising problems were largely expected because anxiety and
442 low mood represent different facets of neuroticism, the strong evidence of an association with
443 externalising problems, particularly at younger ages, highlights the importance of considering behavioural
444 problems as a manifestation of a potential underlying emotional disturbance. These results align with a
445 variety of research supporting a substantial overlap between neuroticism and both internalising and

446 externalising psychopathology^{7,54,55}. This may be particularly important in the context of interventions
447 where the externalising/behavioural problems are the target of the intervention⁵⁶. Specifically, for those
448 interventions where little attention is given to potential underlying anxiety and depressive disorders which
449 may be sustaining the behavioural problems and may still persist once those are reduced. In fact, a PRS
450 for neuroticism may be expressed in different ways during childhood as externalising symptoms may be
451 the first reaction of an underlying internalising problem, which could potentially reflect two phenotypes
452 representing distinct traits or simply representing different modalities in which an underlying common
453 factor (e.g., neuroticism) is expressed.

454 Finally, we found strong evidence of an association between the child PRS for neuroticism and
455 other psychological traits (e.g., locus of control and self-esteem) and IQ. As IQ and self-esteem were
456 measured at the same age, it is difficult to speculate whether this observed association between the PRS
457 for neuroticism and IQ could be partially mediated through low scholastic self-esteem or whether this
458 association reflects an effect in the reverse direction (i.e., an association between the PRS and low
459 scholastic self-esteem is mediated by performance on IQ tests).

460 When testing whether our results were compatible with causality (i.e., the effect of the child PRS
461 for neuroticism on our outcomes of interest) by adjusting for maternal PRS (i.e., a potential confounder of
462 these associations), the strength of the evidence attenuated across all findings but effects sizes remained
463 comparable with the unadjusted complete case analyses. In addition, findings for an association between
464 the child PRS and emotional and anxiety related disorders remained robust to this adjustment.
465 Conversely, when we re-constructed the neuroticism PRS using a genome-wide significance threshold to
466 explore whether findings may be more likely to be driven through genetic liability to neuroticism *per se*,
467 we found sharp reductions in all our effect estimates, with little evidence of association remaining for the
468 emotional problems as identified by the SDQ and the anxiety-specific related problems as identified by
469 the DAWBA. These findings suggest that our results are unlikely to be entirely mediated via genetic
470 liability to neuroticism but likely reflect the effect of pleiotropic pathways from the neuroticism PRS to
471 outcomes examined.

472

473 **Strengths and limitations**

474 This study has several strengths. First, we used a large, well-characterised, prospective
475 population-based sample to examine neuroticism-related phenotypes during childhood, a key period of
476 development that closely predates the start of the peak in incidence of internalising problems (i.e., early
477 adolescence)⁵⁷. Second, we employed multiple measures of psychological problems at different ages

478 during childhood and we compared the effect of different reporters on the scores to account for possible
479 misclassification of the outcomes. Third, when trying to estimate the potential causal association between
480 the child PRS and various psychological outcomes, maternal and paternal PRS for neuroticism represent
481 potentially important confounding factors as both scores will correlate with the child's PRS which could
482 have influenced manifestation of emotional and behavioural problems in the child via direct (e.g., genetic
483 effect) and indirect (e.g., mental health phenotype, parenting, broader familiar environment) pathways.
484 Here, as recommended in Tubbs et al⁵⁸, when trying to estimate the causal association between the child
485 PRS and an outcome that could be confounded by parental genetics, we adjusted for at least one of the
486 available PRSs (in our case, the maternal PRS for neuroticism). However, it is important to emphasise
487 that if paternal genotype confounds this association, the child estimate will be biased toward the paternal
488 effect, albeit to a lesser extent than if maternal genotype were not adjusted for. Fourth, our results
489 remained robust to a variety of sensitivity analyses testing attrition and potential differential
490 misclassification.

491 Our study also has several potential limitations. First, there were moderate to high levels of
492 missingness, which may have led to reduced statistical power to detect small effects in addition to
493 potentially introducing bias into our analyses. However, the findings obtained in the imputed datasets
494 were comparable to those obtained using a complete case analysis, indicating that any bias present due to
495 missingness was likely to be minimal, under the assumption that data were missing-at-random. Second,
496 children's emotional and behavioural problems were mostly reported by the parent (i.e., primarily the
497 mother), especially at earlier ages of the child when the teacher rating was not available. As the mother
498 shares genetics with the child, we hypothesised that differential misclassification of the outcome
499 depending on maternal PRS could have occurred (**Supplementary Figure 1**). In fact, previous studies
500 support the existence of a "distortion model"⁵⁹⁻⁶¹, for which reporter's mental health characteristics may
501 be systematically biasing the rating of child emotional and behavioural problems. However, after
502 conducting a variety of sensitivity analyses, we generally found little evidence that misclassification
503 according to the maternal PRS to neuroticism was present. In fact, conflicting research suggests that the
504 magnitude of these biases is often negligible²³; in addition, some of this work indicates that differences in
505 behaviours linked to reporters may be the result of mechanisms other than misclassification. Here, we
506 speculate on a few potential mechanisms. First, differences in scores due to reporters (mother vs teacher)
507 may reflect actual differences in behaviour of children across different situational contexts (i.e., cross-
508 situational differences)⁶², where the child manifests certain behaviours and emotional reactions with
509 higher frequency and/or intensity in a familiar context than in a structured environment such as school.
510 Second, differences in scores may relate to different abilities of parents and teachers in recognising
511 psychological problems of children. In fact, parents may be more sensitive to small fluctuations in mood

512 than teachers who need to pay attention to multiple children simultaneously. Third, parents and children
513 share their genetics; this could open other pathways through which child's emotional and behavioural
514 problems are manifested differently when in the presence of the parent. In fact, parents may be evoking
515 different behavioural and emotional responses in children both via direct (i.e., genetic confounding) and
516 indirect (e.g., parenting practices) genetic effects⁶³. For example, a mother or a father with a high PRS for
517 neuroticism may use more discipline and/or harsher parenting practices which may, in turn, evoke more
518 conduct problems or more withdrawal reactions in the child. In this scenario, a parental PRS would be
519 acting as a confounding factor and parenting practices would be on the causal pathway as mediators of the
520 association (**Supplementary Figure 3**). Dysfunctional parenting practices could reflect both underlying
521 mental health problems (e.g., anxiety and depression) and/or a direct response to a child's behaviour.
522 Similarly, a child PRS as expressed via temperamental difficulties and/or more dysfunctional behaviours
523 may have an evocative effect on parents, eliciting worse parenting practices or poorer mental health in the
524 parents, which could reinforce a dysfunctional cycle. Nevertheless, exploring evocative genetic effects is
525 beyond the scope of this study. Another potential limitation of this study regards its generalisability.
526 Whereas replicating this study in another longitudinal cohort with similar demographics may provide
527 more robustness to the generalisability of these findings to WEIRD (Western, Educated, Industrialised,
528 Rich and Democratic)⁶⁴ populations, the relevance of findings from this study to the full range of human
529 variation and diversity is unknown⁶⁵. Finally, although increases in the sample size of GWASs have led
530 to increased statistical power, the overall variance explained by SNPs used to develop PRSs for
531 neuroticism remains small (around 3%)⁶⁶, limiting the ability of such PRSs to identify small to modest
532 effects of genetic liability to neuroticism on subsequent emotional and behavioural outcomes.

533

534 **Conclusions**

535 Our comprehensive analyses using a large, well-characterised birth cohort study of up to 6,271
536 children suggest that it is possible to detect manifestations of a PRS for neuroticism on temperamental
537 traits, internalising and externalising problems and a variety of clinical disorders as early as in infancy
538 and childhood. Furthermore, we found that a child PRS for neuroticism was associated with trajectories of
539 internalising and externalising problems, influencing both their overall levels and their rate of change
540 across time. These findings, if successfully replicated in independent samples, could be used to inform
541 development of prediction models for later-life psychopathology in high-risk groups. In addition, future
542 studies with complete parental genotype and different assessors of those psychological outcomes
543 evaluated in this study may help to further elucidate the causal nature of the associations reported. Finally,
544 the use of trio designs combined with phenotypic measures of early-life environmental factors may help

545 to further disentangle the direct and indirect pathways leading from parental genotype to negative
546 psychological outcomes in childhood.

547

548 **Data Availability Statement**

549 The data analysed in this study is subject to the following licenses/restrictions: ALSPAC datasets
550 can be accessed pending approval of research proposals. Requests to access these datasets should be
551 directed to alspac-data@bristol.ac.uk, bbl-info@bristol.ac.uk.

552

553 **Ethics Statement**

554 The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethical approval for
555 the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Law and Ethics Committee and Southwest National Health
556 Service (NHS) Research Ethics Committee; participants gave written informed data consent. Written
557 informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

558

559 **Author Contributions**

560 IC contributed to conception of the study. IC, DK, KT and RP contributed to the design of the study. HS
561 derived the PRS at all its thresholds. IC performed the statistical analyses and wrote the first draft of the
562 manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

563 **Funding**

564 The UK Medical Research Council and Wellcome (Grant ref: 217065/Z/19/Z) and the University
565 of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. This publication is the work of the authors and IC will serve
566 as guarantors for the contents of this paper. This work was supported by European Research Council
567 (ERC) under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (grant FP/2007-2013), European
568 Research Council Grant Agreements (grants 758813; MHINT). DS, HS, KT, and RP work in or are
569 affiliated with a unit that receives funding from the University of Bristol and UK Medical Research
570 Council (MC_UU_00011/3, MC_UU_00011/6, MC_UU_00011/7). DS was supported by the John
571 Templeton Foundation (grant ID: 61917). GWAS data was generated by Sample Logistics and
572 Genotyping Facilities at Wellcome Sanger Institute and LabCorp (Laboratory Corporation of America)

573 using support from 23andMe. A comprehensive list of grants funding is available on the ALSPAC
574 website (<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/external/documents/grant-acknowledgments.pdf>).

575

576 **Conflict of Interest**

577 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or
578 financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

579

580 **Acknowledgments**

581 We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their
582 help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and
583 laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists, and
584 nurses.

585

586 **References**

- 587 1. Eysenck, H. J. Neuroticism, Anxiety, and Depression. *Psychol. Inq.* **2**, 75–76 (1991).
- 588 2. Malouff, J. M., Thorsteinsson, E. B. & Schutte, N. S. The Relationship Between the Five-Factor
589 Model of Personality and Symptoms of Clinical Disorders: A Meta-Analysis. *J. Psychopathol.*
590 *Behav. Assess.* **27**, 101–114 (2005).
- 591 3. Saulsman, L. M. & Page, A. C. The five-factor model and personality disorder empirical literature:
592 A meta-analytic review. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* **23**, 1055–1085 (2004).
- 593 4. Khan, A. A., Jacobson, K. C., Gardner, C. O., Prescott, C. A. & Kendler, K. S. Personality and
594 comorbidity of common psychiatric disorders. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **186**, 190–196 (2005).
- 595 5. Lahey, B. B. Public health significance of neuroticism. *Am. Psychol.* **64**, 241–256 (2009).
- 596 6. Barlow, D. H., Sauer-Zavala, S., Carl, J. R., Bullis, J. R. & Ellard, K. K. The nature, diagnosis,
597 and treatment of neuroticism: Back to the future. *Clin. Psychol. Sci.* **2**, 344–365 (2014).
- 598 7. Brandes, C. M., Herzhoff, K., Smack, A. J. & Tackett, J. L. The p factor and the n factor:
599 Associations between the general factors of psychopathology and neuroticism in children. *Clin.*
600 *Psychol. Sci.* **7**, 1266–1284 (2019).
- 601 8. Boomsma, D. I. *et al.* An Extended Twin-Pedigree Study of Neuroticism in the Netherlands Twin
602 Register. *Behav. Genet.* **48**, 1–11 (2018).
- 603 9. Cheesman, R. *et al.* Familial Influences on Neuroticism and Education in the UK Biobank. *Behav.*
604 *Genet.* **50**, 84–93 (2020).
- 605 10. Luciano, M. *et al.* Association analysis in over 329,000 individuals identifies 116 independent
606 variants influencing neuroticism. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 6–11 (2018).
- 607 11. Nagel, M. *et al.* Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for neuroticism in 449,484
608 individuals identifies novel genetic loci and pathways. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 920–927 (2018).
- 609 12. Lewis, C. M. & Vassos, E. Polygenic risk scores: from research tools to clinical instruments.
610 *Genome Med.* **12**, 1–11 (2020).
- 611 13. Rosenberg, N. A. *et al.* Genome-wide association studies in diverse populations. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*
612 **11**, 356–366 (2010).

- 613 14. Jones, H. J. *et al.* Phenotypic Manifestation of Genetic Risk for Schizophrenia During
614 Adolescence in the General Population. *JAMA Psychiatry* **73**, 221 (2016).
- 615 15. Jansen, P. R. *et al.* Polygenic scores for schizophrenia and educational attainment are associated
616 with behavioural problems in early childhood in the general population. *J. Child Psychol.*
617 *Psychiatry* **59**, 39–47 (2018).
- 618 16. Stergiakouli, E. *et al.* Association between polygenic risk scores for attention-deficit hyperactivity
619 disorder and educational and cognitive outcomes in the general population. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **46**,
620 421–428 (2017).
- 621 17. Akingbuwa, W. A. *et al.* Genetic Associations Between Childhood Psychopathology and Adult
622 Depression and Associated Traits in 42 998 Individuals. *JAMA Psychiatry* **77**, 715 (2020).
- 623 18. Skovgaard, A. M., Houmann, T., Landorph, S. L. & Christiansen, E. Assessment and classification
624 of psychopathology in epidemiological research of children 0–3 years of age. *Eur. Child Adolesc.*
625 *Psychiatry* **13**, 337–346 (2004).
- 626 19. Ramchandani, P. Prevalence of childhood psychiatric disorders may be underestimated. *Evid.*
627 *Based Ment. Heal.* **7**, 59 LP – 59 (2004).
- 628 20. Tandon, M., Cardeli, E. & Luby, J. Internalizing disorders in early childhood: A review of
629 depressive and anxiety disorders. *Child Adolesc. Psychiatr. Clin.* **18**, 593–610 (2009).
- 630 21. Clark, D. A., Durbin, C. E., Donnellan, M. B. & Neppl, T. K. Internalizing Symptoms and
631 Personality Traits Color Parental Reports of Child Temperament. *J. Pers.* **85**, 852–866 (2017).
- 632 22. Durbin, C. E. & Wilson, S. Convergent validity of and bias in maternal reports of child emotion.
633 *Psychological Assessment* vol. 24 647–660 (2012).
- 634 23. Olino, T. M., Michelini, G., Mennies, R. J., Kotov, R. & Klein, D. N. Does maternal
635 psychopathology bias reports of offspring symptoms? A study using moderated non-linear factor
636 analysis. *J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry* (2021).
- 637 24. Olino, T. M., Guerra-Guzman, K., Hayden, E. P. & Klein, D. N. Evaluating maternal
638 psychopathology biases in reports of child temperament: An investigation of measurement
639 invariance. *Psychol. Assess.* (2020).
- 640 25. Fraser, A. *et al.* Cohort profile: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC


- 641 mothers cohort. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **42**, 97–110 (2013).
- 642 26. Boyd, A. *et al.* Cohort profile: the ‘children of the 90s’—the index offspring of the Avon
643 Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **42**, 111–127 (2013).
- 644 27. Northstone, K. *et al.* The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC): an update
645 on the enrolled sample of index children in 2019. *Wellcome Open Res.* **4**, 51 (2019).
- 646 28. Choi, S. W. & O’Reilly, P. F. PRSice-2: Polygenic Risk Score software for biobank-scale data.
647 *Gigascience* **8**, (2019).
- 648 29. Carey W, M. S. Infant Temperament Questionnaire (4–8 months). (1977).
- 649 30. Carey, W. B. & McDevitt, S. C. Revision of the infant temperament questionnaire. *Pediatrics* **61**,
650 735–739 (1978).
- 651 31. Goodman, R. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: a research note. *J. child Psychol.*
652 *psychiatry* **38**, 581–586 (1997).
- 653 32. Nowicki, S. & Strickland, B. R. A locus of control scale for children. *J. Consult. Clin. Psychol.* **40**,
654 148 (1973).
- 655 33. Harter, S. *Manual for the self-perception profile for children:(revision of the perceived*
656 *competence scale for children)*. (University of Denver, 1985).
- 657 34. Wechsler, D., Golombok, S. & Rust, J. WISC-III UK. *Sidcup, Kent Psychol. Corp.* (1992).
- 658 35. Bell, C. C. DSM-IV: diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. *Jama* **272**, 828–829
659 (1994).
- 660 36. Brämer, G. R. International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems. Tenth
661 revision. *World Health Stat. Q.* **41**, 32–36 (1988).
- 662 37. Goodman, R., Ford, T., Richards, H., Gatward, R. & Meltzer, H. The Development and Well-
663 Being Assessment: Description and Initial Validation of an Integrated Assessment of Child and
664 Adolescent Psychopathology. *J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry* **41**, 645–655 (2000).
- 665 38. Goodman, A., Heiervang, E., Collishaw, S. & Goodman, R. The ‘DAWBA bands’ as an ordered-
666 categorical measure of child mental health: description and validation in British and Norwegian
667 samples. *Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol.* **46**, 521–532 (2011).

- 668 39. Goldberg, L. R. The development of markers for the Big-Five factor structure. *Psychol. Assess.* **4**,
669 26 (1992).
- 670 40. Lipsitch, M., Tchetgen Tchetgen, E. & Cohen, T. Negative Controls. *Epidemiology* **21**, 383–388
671 (2010).
- 672 41. Royston, P. Multiple imputation of missing values: update of ice. *Stata J.* **5**, 527–536 (2005).
- 673 42. Royston, P. Multiple imputation of missing values: further update of ice, with an emphasis on
674 interval censoring. *Stata J.* **7**, 445–464 (2007).
- 675 43. Sterne, J. A. C. *et al.* Multiple imputation for missing data in epidemiological and clinical
676 research: potential and pitfalls. *Bmj* **338**, b2393 (2009).
- 677 44. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 16. *College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC* (2019).
- 678 45. Naumova, E. N., Must, A. & Laird, N. M. Tutorial in Biostatistics: Evaluating the impact of
679 ‘critical periods’ in longitudinal studies of growth using piecewise mixed effects models. *Int. J.*
680 *Epidemiol.* **30**, 1332–1341 (2001).
- 681 46. VanderWeele, T. J. & Hernán, M. A. Results on differential and dependent measurement error of
682 the exposure and the outcome using signed directed acyclic graphs. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **175**, 1303–
683 1310 (2012).
- 684 47. Madley-Dowd, P., Hughes, R., Tilling, K. & Heron, J. The proportion of missing data should not
685 be used to guide decisions on multiple imputation. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* **110**, 63–73 (2019).
- 686 48. Akingbuwa, W. A., Hammerschlag, A. R., Bartels, M. & Middeldorp, C. M. Systematic Review:
687 Molecular Studies of Common Genetic Variation in Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Disorders.
688 *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* (2021) doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2021.03.020>.
- 689 49. Gartstein, M. A., Bridgett, D. J., Dishion, T. J. & Kaufman, N. K. Depressed mood and maternal
690 report of child behavior problems: Another look at the depression–distortion hypothesis. *J. Appl.*
691 *Dev. Psychol.* **30**, 149–160 (2009).
- 692 50. Clark, D. A. *et al.* Measurement invariance and child temperament: An evaluation of sex and
693 informant differences on the Child Behavior Questionnaire. *Psychol. Assess.* **28**, 1646–1662
694 (2016).
- 695 51. Youngstrom, E., Loeber, R. & Stouthamer-Loeber, M. Patterns and correlates of agreement

- 696 between parent, teacher, and male adolescent ratings of externalizing and internalizing problems.
697 *J. Consult. Clin. Psychol.* **68**, 1038 (2000).
- 698 52. Lemery, K. S. & Goldsmith, H. H. Genetically Informative Designs for the Study of Behavioural
699 Development. *Int. J. Behav. Dev.* **23**, 293–317 (1999).
- 700 53. Kandler, C. Nature and Nurture in Personality Development. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* **21**, 290–296
701 (2012).
- 702 54. Tackett, J. L. Evaluating models of the personality–psychopathology relationship in children and
703 adolescents. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* **26**, 584–599 (2006).
- 704 55. Ormel, J. *et al.* Neuroticism and common mental disorders: Meaning and utility of a complex
705 relationship. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* **33**, 686–697 (2013).
- 706 56. Mingebach, T., Kamp-Becker, I., Christiansen, H. & Weber, L. Meta-meta-analysis on the
707 effectiveness of parent-based interventions for the treatment of child externalizing behavior
708 problems. *PLoS One* **13**, e0202855 (2018).
- 709 57. Natsuaki, M. N., Biehl, M. C. & Ge, X. Trajectories of depressed mood from early adolescence to
710 young adulthood: The effects of pubertal timing and adolescent dating. *J. Res. Adolesc.* **19**, 47–74
711 (2009).
- 712 58. Tubbs, J. D., Zhang, Y. D. & Sham, P. C. Intermediate confounding in trio relationships: The
713 importance of complete data in effect size estimation. *Genet. Epidemiol.* **44**, 395–399 (2020).
- 714 59. Müller, J. M., Achtergarde, S. & Furniss, T. The influence of maternal psychopathology on ratings
715 of child psychiatric symptoms: an SEM analysis on cross-informant agreement. *Eur. Child*
716 *Adolesc. Psychiatry* **20**, 241–252 (2011).
- 717 60. Vierhaus, M. & Lohaus, A. Children and parents as informants of emotional and behavioural
718 problems predicting female and male adolescent risk behaviour: A longitudinal cross-informant
719 study. *J. Youth Adolesc.* **37**, 211 (2008).
- 720 61. Fergusson, D. M., Lynskey, M. T. & Horwood, L. J. The effect of maternal depression on
721 maternal ratings of child behavior. *J. Abnorm. Child Psychol.* **21**, 245–269 (1993).
- 722 62. Achenbach, T. M., McConaughy, S. H. & Howell, C. T. Child/adolescent behavioral and
723 emotional problems: implications of cross-informant correlations for situational specificity.

- 724 *Psychol. Bull.* **101**, 213 (1987).
- 725 63. Warrington, N. M., Hwang, L.-D., Nivard, M. G. & Evans, D. M. Estimating direct and indirect
726 genetic effects on offspring phenotypes using genome-wide summary results data. *Nat. Commun.*
727 **12**, 5420 (2021).
- 728 64. Henrich, J., Heine, S. J. & Norenzayan, A. The weirdest people in the world? *Behav. Brain Sci.*
729 **33**, 61–83 (2010).
- 730 65. Gurven, M., von Rueden, C., Massenkoff, M., Kaplan, H. & Lero Vie, M. How universal is the
731 Big Five? Testing the five-factor model of personality variation among forager–farmers in the
732 Bolivian Amazon. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **104**, 354–370 (2013).
- 733 66. Luciano, M. *et al.* Association analysis in over 329,000 individuals identifies 116 independent
734 variants influencing neuroticism. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 6–11 (2018).
- 735


736 *Figure 1. Hierarchical structure of the selected linear mixed effect model.*



737

738 **Legend:** The levels represent a dependence structure where children's scores at different measurement
739 occasions (level 1) are nested within the type of scale (internalising or externalising) (level 2) which are
740 nested within an individual child (level 3).

741 *Figure 2. Graphical representation of separate linear regressions of child PRS on CTS at 6 and*
742 *24 months.*



743
744 **Legend:** All effect estimates were adjusted for child’s sex and first five principal components of genetic
745 ancestry. The outcome scales were already adjusted for gestational age and child’s age. 95% CIs were not
746 adjusted for multiple testing, therefore the evidence for some of these associations may be weaker than
747 illustrated in the figure.

748

749

750

751 *Figure 3. Graphical representation of separate linear regressions of child PRS on SDQ at*
752 *different ages.*




753

754 **Legend:** All effect estimates were adjusted for child's age, sex and first five principal components of
755 genetic ancestry. Estimates obtained signalled with an asterisk* were obtained by SDQ assessed by the
756 teacher. 95% CIs were not adjusted for multiple testing, therefore the evidence for some of these
757 associations may be weaker than illustrated in the figure.

758

759

760 *Figure 4. Graphical representation of separate ordinal regressions child PRS on DAWBA*
761 *subscales at 7 and 10 years of age.*



762


763 **Legend:** An increase in the log odds indicates an increased risk of being in a higher DAWBA category.

764 95% CIs were not adjusted for multiple testing, therefore the evidence for some of these associations may

765 be weaker than illustrated in the figure.

766

767 *Figure 5. Trajectories of internalising and externalising problems by PRS levels across age*
768 *when SDQ is mother reported by sex of the child.*




769
770 **Legend:** Low PRS corresponds to 1SD lower than average score, Average PRS corresponds to the mean
771 PRS score, and high PRS corresponds to 1 SD higher than average. Circles represent the internalising
772 scale and the triangle the externalising.

773

774

775 *Figure 6. Trajectories of internalising and externalising problems by PRS levels across age*
776 *when SDQ is teacher reported by sex of the child.*



777
778 **Legend:** Low PRS corresponds to 1SD lower than average score, Average PRS corresponds to the mean
779 PRS score, and high PRS corresponds to 1 SD higher than average. Circles represent the internalising
780 scale and the triangle the externalising.

781 *Table 1. Findings for the three-level model investigating the association between PRS and SDQ*
 782 *across time.*

Fixed-effect parameters	Value of category	Log coefficients (95% CIs) †
Scale	<i>Internalising=0, Externalising=1</i>	0.58 (0.56 to 0.60)
Time-level variables		
Age	<i>Age in years, centred at 8 years</i>	-0.03 (-0.03 to -0.02)
Child-level variables		
Sex	<i>Males=0, Females=1</i>	-0.003 (-0.033 to 0.030)
PRS Neuroticism	<i>z-scores</i>	0.06 (0.04 to 0.07)
Reporter	<i>Parent=0, Teacher=1</i>	-0.11 (-0.14 to -0.07)
PRS * Reporter		-0.0005 (-0.023 to 0.024)
PRS * Scale		-0.03 (-0.04 to -0.01)
PRS * Age		0.004 (0.001 to 0.007)
PRS * Age * Scale		-0.003 (-0.01 to -0.0005)
PRS * Scale * Reporter		0.03 (-0.01 to 0.06)
PRS * Reporter * Age		-0.2 (-0.02 to -0.01)
Reporter * Sex		-0.12 (-0.17 to -0.07)
Reporter * Scale		-0.24 (-0.28 to -0.19)
Reporter * Scale * Sex		-0.17 (-0.24 to -0.11)
Reporter * age		0.03 (0.02 to 0.04)
Sex * Age		0.01 (0.01 to 0.02)
Reporter * Sex * Age		-0.04 (-0.05 to -0.02)
Scale * Age		-0.02 (-0.025 to -0.020)
Scale * Sex		-0.20 (-0.23 to -0.16)
Scale * Age * Sex		-0.03 (-0.03 to -0.02)
Maternal education	<i>1=low education, 2=average education, 3=high education</i>	-0.04 (-0.05 to -0.02)
Maternal social class	<i>0=low, 1=high</i>	0.04 (0.01 to 0.06)
Mother's age		-0.01 (-0.012 to -0.01)
First PC		0.47 (-0.62 to 1.57)
Second PC		-2.06 (-3.82 to -0.29)

Third PC	0.41 (-0.65 to 1.46)
Fourth PC	0.18 (-0.88 to 1.23)
Fifth PC	-0.37 (-1.41 to 0.66)
Intercept	1.38 (1.30 to 1.47)
Random-effects Parameters	Variance components (95% CIs)
Unstructured individual child level	
Sd (age_8y)	0.05 (0.04 to 0.05)
Sd (_cons)	0.32 (0.31 to 0.33)
Corr (age_8y, cons)	0.57 (0.51 to 0.62)
Unstructured scale-level	
Sd (reporter)	0.56 (0.55 to 0.58)
Sd (_cons)	0.38 (0.37 to 0.39)
Corr (reporter, cons)	-0.47 (-0.50 to -0.44)
Sd (Residual)	0.46 (0.45 to 0.46)
AIC ^a	102130.7
Log-likelihood	-51029.34

783

784 **Legend:** ^aAkaike Information Criterion. Reporter: 0 indicates parents and 1 indicates teachers; sex: 0
 785 indicates boys and 1 indicates girls; scale: 0 indicates internalising and 1 indicates externalising; maternal
 786 education: 1 indicates low education (equivalent to O level, Certificate of Secondary Education (CSE) or
 787 vocational training), 2 indicates A level, and 3 indicates some degree; Mother's age: Maternal age in
 788 years during pregnancy.

789 †Outcome is transformed into the log-scale and adding 1: $\ln(x+1)$. The coefficients (apart from the
 790 intercept) represent the percentage change in the outcome. By exponentiating the intercept and removing
 791 1 ($\exp(x)-1$), the intercept will indicate the mean score on the actual internalising subscale at 8 years of
 792 age in boys and when reported by the parent.

793 Mean differences on the actual scale scores between low and high PRS at the youngest and oldest ages are
 794 reported in **Supplementary Table 15**.