

Randomized Controlled Trial of Early Outpatient COVID-19 Treatment with High-Titer Convalescent Plasma

Corresponding Author

David Sullivan, MD

Key words: COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19 convalescent plasma, blood transfusion, therapy

Funding: This study was funded principally by the U.S. Department of Defense's (DOD) Joint Program Executive Office for Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear Defense (JPEO-CBRND), in collaboration with the Defense Health Agency (DHA) (contract number: W911QY2090012) with additional support from Bloomberg Philanthropies, State of Maryland, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID)3R01AI152078-01S1, NIH National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS) U24TR001609-S3, Division of Intramural Research NIAID NIH, Mental Wellness Foundation, Moriah Fund, Octapharma, HealthNetwork Foundation and the Shear Family Foundation. The study sponsors did not contribute to the study design, the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, and the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge the study participants who generously gave of their time and biological specimens. Initial work was catalyzed by grants from Bloomberg Philanthropies and the State of Maryland

Word Count Main Text: 2679

David J. Sullivan* M.D., Kelly A. Gebo* M.D. M. P. H., Shmuel Shoham M.D., Evan M. Bloch M.B.Ch.B., Bryan Lau, Ph.D., Aarthi G. Shenoy, M.D., Giselle S. Mosnaim, M.D., M.S., Thomas J. Gniadek, M.D., Ph. D., Yuriko Fukuta, MD, Ph.D., Bela Patel, M.D., Sonya L. Heath,

M.D., Adam C. Levine, M.D., M.P.H., Barry R. Meisenberg, M.D., Emily S. Spivak, M.D., M.H.S., Shweta Anjan, M.D., Moises A. Huaman, M.D., M.Sc., Janis E. Blair, M.D., Judith S. Currier, M.D., M.Sc., James H. Paxton, M.D., Jonathan M. Gerber, M.D., Joann R. Petrini, Ph.D., M.P.H., Patrick B. Broderick, M.D., William Rausch, Sc.B., C.I.P., Marie Elena Cordisco, M.A., Jean Hammel M.D., Benjamin Greenblatt, M.D., Valerie C. Cluzet, M.D., M.S.C.E., Daniel Cruser, M.D., Kevin Oei, D.O., Matthew Abinante D.O., M.P.H., Laura L. Hammitt, M.D., Catherine G. Sutcliffe, Ph.D., Donald N. Forthal, M.D., Martin S. Zand, M.D., Edward R. Cachay, M.D., Jay S. Raval, M.D., Seble G. Kassaye, M.D., E. Colin Foster, Michael Roth, Christi E. Marshall, Anusha Yarava, Pharm.D., Karen Lane, C.C.R.P., Nichol A. McBee, M.P.H., Amy L. Gawad M.P.H., Nicky Karlen, Atika Singh M.S.P.H., Daniel E. Ford, M.D. Ph.D., Douglas A. Jabs, M.D., M.B.A, Lawrence J. Appel M.D., M.P.H., David M. Shade, J.D., Stephan Ehrhardt, M.D., M.P.H., Sheriza N. Baksh, Ph.D., M.P.H., Oliver Laeyendecker, Ph.D., M.B.A., Andrew Pekosz, Ph.D., Sabra L. Klein, Ph.D., Arturo Casadevall*, M.D., Ph.D, Aaron A.R.Tobian* M.D. Ph.D., Daniel F. Hanley*, M.D.

*Shared authorship

From the Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases (S.S., K.G.) Department of Pathology (E.B., C.M. A.T.), Department of Neurology, Brain Injury Outcomes Division (A.Y., K.L., N. M., A.G., N.K. D.H.), Department of Ophthalmology (DJ), Welch Center (L.A.), Institute for Clinical and Translational Research (D.F.), Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine; the Departments of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology (A.C., D.S., S.K., A.P.), International Health (L.H., C.S.), and Epidemiology (B.L., D.S., S.E.) Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD; Division of Intramural Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, NIH (O.L.);

Department of Medicine, Division of Hematology and Oncology, Medstar Washington Hospital Center, Washington, DC (A.S.); Division of Allergy and Immunology, Department of Medicine (G.M.), Department of Pathology (T.G.), Northshore University Health System, Evanston, IL; Department of Medicine, Section of Infectious Diseases, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX (Y.F.); Department of Medicine, Divisions of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, TX (B.P.); Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL (S.H.); Department of Emergency Medicine, Rhode Island Hospital/Brown University, Providence, RI (A.L.); Luminis Health, Annapolis, MD (B. M.); Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT (E.S); Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL (S.A.); Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH (M.H.); Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Mayo Clinic Hospital, Phoenix, AZ (J.B.); Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA (J.C.); Department of Emergency Medicine, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI (J.P.); Department of Medicine, Division of Hematology and Oncology, University of Massachusetts, Worcester, MA (J.G.); Nuvance Health, Danbury, CT (J.P., W.R., M.E.C); Nuvance Health Danbury Hospital, Danbury, CT (P.B.); Nuvance Health Norwalk Hospital, Norwalk, CT (J.H., B.G.); Nuvance Health Vassar Brothers Medical Center, Poughkeepsie, NY (V.C., D.C.); Ascada Research, Fullerton, CA (K.O, M.A.); Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA (D.F.); Department of Medicine, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY (M.Z.); Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, CA

(E.C.); Department of Pathology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM (J.R.);
Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Medstar Georgetown University
Hospital, Washington, D.C. (S.K.), The Bliss Group, New York City, NY, (M.R.) The Next
Practice, Austin, TX (C.F.).

ABSTRACT word count 235

BACKGROUND: The efficacy of polyclonal high titer convalescent plasma to prevent serious complications of COVID-19 in outpatients with recent onset of illness is uncertain.

METHODS: This multicenter, double-blind randomized controlled trial compared the efficacy and safety of SARS-CoV-2 high titer convalescent plasma to placebo control plasma in symptomatic adults ≥ 18 years positive for SARS-CoV-2 regardless of risk factors for disease progression or vaccine status. Participants with symptom onset within 8 days were enrolled, then transfused within the subsequent day. The measured primary outcome was COVID-19-related hospitalization within 28 days of plasma transfusion. The enrollment period was June 3, 2020 to October 1, 2021.

RESULTS: A total of 1225 participants were randomized and 1181 transfused. In the pre-specified modified intention-to-treat analysis that excluded those not transfused, the primary endpoint occurred in 37 of 589 (6.3%) who received placebo control plasma and in 17 of 592 (2.9%) participants who received convalescent plasma (relative risk, 0.46; one-sided 95% upper bound confidence interval 0.733; $P=0.004$) corresponding to a 54% risk reduction. Examination with a model adjusting for covariates related to the outcome did not change the conclusions.

CONCLUSION: Early administration of high titer SARS-CoV-2 convalescent plasma reduced outpatient hospitalizations by more than 50%. High titer convalescent plasma is an effective early outpatient COVID-19 treatment with the advantages of low cost, wide availability, and rapid resilience to variant emergence from viral genetic drift in the face of a changing pandemic.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT04373460.

INTRODUCTION

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) which causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has an eight percent United States hospitalization rate, and over 5 million deaths worldwide. To date most therapies have targeted disease progression or death in hospitalized patients. Three outpatient monoclonal antibody (mAb) therapies received Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Emergency Use Authorization (EUA), after data showed reductions in disease progression and hospitalizations when given within 5 to 7 days of illness onset¹⁻³. Alternative outpatient therapies are needed, particularly in settings where mAb therapy is either unavailable (e.g. in low and middle income countries)⁴, scarce, or ineffective (i.e. in the context of mAb-resistant variants)⁵.

COVID-19 convalescent plasma (CCP) is safe in hospitalized populations^{6,7}. High titer CCP given early in the hospital also reduced deaths by 50%⁸ but the limited evidence from randomized clinical trials has been mixed with some studies showing efficacy in reducing mortality^{9,10} and others not¹¹⁻¹⁴. Generally, CCP is most effective when provided early and high-titer¹⁵. However, outpatient randomized trial data are limited¹⁶. Outpatient CCP use showed 48% efficacy when used within 3 days of mild COVID-19 symptoms in a trial conducted in Argentina¹⁷, whereas a study of CCP among emergency department (ED) patients at high risk for progression of COVID-19 was halted due to perceived futility¹⁸.

We sought to determine if high titer CCP (greater than 1:320 SARS-CoV-2 spike protein titers), transfused within 9 days of symptom onset would be effective at preventing hospitalization in adults over age 18 regardless of comorbidities and COVID-19 vaccine receipt.

METHODS

TRIAL DESIGN AND OVERSIGHT

The Convalescent Plasma to Limit SARS-CoV-2 Associated Complications (CSSC-004) Study was a double-blind randomized controlled trial comparing high titer CCP to placebo control plasma. The study was conducted under an FDA Investigational New Drug application sponsored by Johns Hopkins University (IND 19725). Enrollment sites and investigators are listed in supplementary appendix.

Johns Hopkins served as the single-IRB (sIRB). For the Center for American Indian Health sites, the protocol was also independently reviewed and approved by the Navajo Nation Health Human Research Review Board and the National Indian Health Service IRB. The protocol was also approved by the Department of Defense (DoD) Human Research Protection Office (HRPO). An independent medical monitor reviewed all Serious Adverse Events (SAE) and an independent, masked, three physician, panel adjudicated COVID-19 related hospitalizations and severity scores (Appendix). An independent Data Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) provided interim safety and efficacy reviews (Appendix). The trial was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, International Council for Harmonization Good Clinical Practice guidelines, and all applicable regulatory requirements.

The authors responsible for trial design, data assembly, analysis and manuscript writing are listed in the Appendix. All authors vouch for trial protocol adherence, the completeness and accuracy of the data and analyses.

PARTICIPANTS

We enrolled SARS-CoV-2 positive participants age ≥ 18 years within 8 days of symptom onset and transfusion by day 9. Exclusion criteria included prior COVID-19 hospitalization or planned hospitalization within 24 hours of enrollment, prior transfusion reactions, inability to comply with the protocol transfusion or follow up, or mAb receipt before enrollment. Persons

who received a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine before or during follow-up, received corticosteroid treatment, or were pregnant or breast-feeding were eligible. All participants provided written informed consent.

RANDOMIZATION AND INTERVENTION

After screening and consent, participants from all sites were randomized by a central web-based system using blocked assignments to receive ABO compatible control plasma or CCP (both~250 mL) in a 1:1 ratio. Both investigational products were compatibility matched and then over labeled as “Thawed Plasma [volume] Store at 1-6°C; New Drug Limited by Federal (or United States) law to investigational use” thereby preserving verification codes. Control plasma or CCP was transfused over approximately one hour within 24 hours of enrollment followed by observation for 30 minutes.

Eligible donors were qualified with SARS-CoV-2 antibody (Euroimmun) with minimum titers of $\geq 1:320$ as determined using a validated ELISA assay in a CLIA certified laboratory. The March 9, 2021 FDA EUA¹⁹ for high titer hospital CCP was used as the basis to further standardize units for study transfusion after July 2021. After qualification, the donor CCP was characterized for antibody levels by Euroimmun ratio at 1:101 and endpoint titers²⁰.

OUTCOMES

The measured primary outcome was cumulative COVID-19 related hospitalization incidence in CCP treatment versus control group by day 28. While death before hospitalization was part of a composite primary outcome, it did not occur in the study. Hence, the primary outcome is equivalent to COVID-19 hospitalization. COVID-19 relatedness for hospitalizations was adjudicated by a three physician panel masked to treatment group. Other pre-specified

endpoints included hospital disease severity measured by ICU admission, invasive mechanical ventilation, or death in hospital.

SAFETY ASSESSMENTS

Adverse events (AEs) were monitored throughout the study. Safety outcomes included transfusion-related SAEs manifested as severe transfusion reactions, acute respiratory distress syndrome and grade 3 or 4 adverse events. An independent medical monitor evaluated AEs, SAEs and changes in baseline safety laboratory values.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The statistical analysis plan was finalized before database lock and unmasking (Appendix). A sample size of 1280 was determined to have 80% power using a one-sided test to detect at least a 25% reduction in hospital risk and was inflated to 1344 to allow for potential loss to follow-up. We calculated the risk difference (RD) and the restricted mean survival time (expected mean time to hospitalization or death by 28 days) in a modified intention to treat (mITT) analysis, that excluded those who did not receive transfusion of study plasma. We estimated the cumulative incidence using the doubly robust estimator based upon targeted minimum loss-based estimator (TMLE)²¹. Analyses were adjusted for baseline variables potentially related to the outcome in order to increase estimate precision and account for potential dependent censoring²¹. To determine which pre-specified candidate variables to include, we conducted variable selection by random survival forest in the entire sample, while masked to treatment allocation. We used imputation for missing values in an algorithm to select covariates for inclusion in a TMLE model. Time-to-event analysis was computed from the time of transfusion until an endpoint. A one-sided test with type I error of 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance.

RESULTS

TRIAL POPULATION

From June 3, 2020 to October 1, 2021, a total of 1225 participants at 23 sites were randomized with 589 transfused with control plasma and 592 transfused with CCP for 1181 participants included in the mITT analysis (**Figure 1**). The trial was halted after enrolling over 90% of its initial target due to declining hospitalizations among enrolled participants (5-6 per hundred enrollees for the first 1000 enrollees, but less than 1 per hundred enrollees thereafter).

There were no clinically significant imbalances in baseline characteristics, comorbidities, COVID-19 vaccines, vital signs or clinical laboratory results (**Table 1**). The median age was 44 years with 80 (7%) ≥ 65 years and 411 (35%) ≥ 50 years. Black/African American (n=163) or Hispanic (n=170) each comprised more than 10% of the participants. American Indian or Native Pacific Islander were 21 (2%) total. Women represented 57% of the participants, including three pregnant participants. The median time from symptom onset to transfusion was 6 days.

STUDY CONVALESCENT PLASMA

There were 333 unique donor units transfused into 592 individuals with many identical plasma aliquots from large volume single donations going to 2-4 recipients. Of the CCP units 300 of 333 (90%) were donated from April to December 2020 with the remaining 33 in January to April of 2021. Plasma unit serological analysis revealed that 99% had SARS-CoV-2 spike protein antibody titers 1:1,620 or higher and 95% 1:4,820 or higher²⁰. The unique plasma unit median titer was 1:14,580 with 81% meeting the current FDA high titer definition by Euroimmun testing¹⁹. Control plasma units were either donated in 2019 or tested seronegative for SARS-CoV-2.

PRIMARY ENDPOINT-HOSPITALIZATIONS

In the prespecified mITT trial population excluding those not transfused, the endpoint COVID-19 related hospitalization within 28 days occurred in 37 of 589 participants who received placebo control plasma and in 17 of 592 participants who received CCP (relative risk [0.46] compared with controls; 95% upper limit confidence interval 0.733; $P=0.004$ (**Table 2**). The relative risk reduction was 54%. A pre-specified adjusted TMLE analysis yielded similar conclusions to the unadjusted analysis (**Table 2**) as the cumulative incidences were similar (**Figure 2a**), suggesting a longer time (one day) to (**Table 2, Figure 2b**) and a lower risk (4%) of (**Table 2, Figure 2c**) hospitalization among those randomized to CCP vs. control. Results were similar in subgroups defined by sex and vaccination status (**Table 2**). The hospitalizations occurred predominately in unvaccinated individuals (53/54). The antibody levels in the CCP units transfused into the 17 subsequent hospitalized participants were similar to antibody levels in the CCP units transfused into those not hospitalized. The duration of hospitalization was similar at 6 days in both groups after excluding the three deaths in the control group.

HOSPITAL SEVERITY

Among the mITT population 26 in the control group and 12 participants in the CCP group progressed to a hospital oxygen requirement (**Table 2**). All 3 post-hospitalization deaths were in the control plasma group.

OTHER TRIAL ANALYSES

In an intention-to treat analysis including all randomizations (1225) and all participants with hospitalizations (64) within 28 days of transfusion, hospitalizations occurred in 42 of 615 (6.8%) randomized control participants and in 22 of 610 (3.8%) CCP participants (relative risk 0.52; 95% upper bound confidence interval 0.806; $P=0.008$) (**Table 2**). Three hospitalized individuals were consented, randomized but not transfused, and 7 hospitalizations were

adjudicated as non-COVID-19 related hospitalizations prior to unblinding. All 1181 transfused participants had confirmed hospital status by day 28.

SAFETY

There were 87 total reported AEs, 53 in the control group and 34 in the CCP group (Table S1). All 44 of the listed AE pneumonias were also included in the Table 2 hospitalizations. One transfusion was stopped after 2-3 mL were administered, secondary to development of diffuse erythema and nausea; the participant was evaluated in the ED and discharged (Table S2). One control group individual progressed to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), mechanical ventilation and death adjudicated secondary to COVID-19 (Table S3).

DISCUSSION

In this randomized trial, administration of high titer SARS-CoV-2 convalescent plasma reduced outpatient hospitalizations by more than 50% among participants with recent infection. Immune sera or plasma has been used safely for infectious diseases treatment for over 100 years¹⁶. Mixed results in previous infectious diseases outbreaks may be due to lack of modern study designs, small sample sizes, differential viral response to passive antibodies, inclusion of low titer antibody units, or late administration in relation to disease onset²². The results of our multi-site study strengthen prior experience with antibody-based therapies, which show effectiveness requires early administration with sufficient quantity to mediate an antiviral effect²².

Our study builds upon and enlarges the impact of the Argentinian study in 160 older COVID-19 outpatients, randomized to received CCP or placebo within three days of symptom onset, which demonstrated a 48% reduced hypoxia or tachypnea risk¹⁷. Our findings in all age

groups with 75% transfused beyond 3 days may be more practical due to implementation delays in diagnostic testing. Our study results stand in contrast to the CCP COVID-19 treatment study, conducted at 48 EDs¹⁸. Patient enrollment during ED presentation, possibly represents a population with more advanced disease. Indeed, a quarter of the hospitalized patients met the endpoint during the ED randomization/transfusion visit, limiting the time for CCP to exert an effect. Additionally, the trial outcome included an equal number of return visits to the ED/urgent care in the treatment arms.

Likewise, our findings are similar to those of trials that evaluated mAbs to SARS-CoV-2 with regards to efficacy including the magnitude of effect (50 to 70% reduction in medical visits)¹. Our study included a participant population with symptoms up to 8 days, whereas the sotrovimab trials included symptoms less than 5 days² and the bamlanivumab and etesevimab study were limited to 3 days³. In both interventions, the active agent is viral specific antibody. Whereas mAbs are available in high-income countries, they are expensive to produce, require time for new drug approval and may not be widely available during surge conditions. In contrast, CCP is available in low- and middle-income countries, has no patent limitations, and is relatively inexpensive to produce, with many single donors being able to provide multiple high titer units, as evident from this trial. Because it provides a diverse mix of antibodies with different specificities and functions, CCP is much less vulnerable to the emergence of antibody resistance. In fact, CCP has been used for rescue therapy in immunocompromised patients who developed mAb-resistant SARS-CoV-2 variants⁵. Since any individual who recovers from variant SARS-CoV-2 mounts antibodies against that variant, CCP is an antibody-based therapy that locally keeps up with variants²³. Hence, CCP is likely to remain an important therapeutic option for COVID-19.

In our study, the most common reason for hospitalization was symptomatic hypoxia, resulting from pulmonary inflammation in response to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Plasma antibodies mediate several antiviral activities including direct virus neutralization, complement activation, viral particle phagocytosis and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity²⁴. The accumulated COVID-19 vaccine data point to lower antibody levels to prevent severe disease than infection²⁵.

Our study faced important challenges. First, standards of care and available therapies changed throughout the study period. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 mAbs became available in late November 2020, which steadily decreased the individuals eligible for CCP. Also, as vaccine utilization increased, the frequency of study hospitalizations decreased. The variants of concern became more prevalent during the study period, first with alpha and then delta in summer of 2021. The study plasma was largely obtained in 2020 from donors who recovered from COVID-19 with ancestral forms of SARS-CoV-2. The trial logistics required multiple blood banks with the ability to provide plasma for all blood types at 23 sites during a pandemic when many health care systems were working on limited, fluctuating capacity. However, routine blood banking standards were able to support proper supply logistics with remote coordination. The risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection required appropriate infection prevention measures in outpatient sites, often specially constructed and separated from hospital populations. The safety of CCP allowed for pregnant women to enroll, a population at high risk for COVID-19 progression who have been excluded from previous COVID-19 treatment trials.

In addition to challenges, our trial had limitations. First, for practical purposes, the trial outcome was hospitalization for COVID-19, not deaths. Noteworthy, the three participant deaths occurred in the control plasma group. Second, the hospitalized rate in the control group was 6%,

less than the 8% average for the United States. Third, only 35% of participants transfused were over age 50 and the trial was not large enough for definitive subgroup analyses on medical comorbidities or pregnancy. Strengths of this randomized controlled trial include a large, diverse study population enrolled at over 20 sites throughout the United States, inclusion of all ages over 18 years, double blind intervention with control plasma and high rates of both transfusion and follow-up.

Our trial has important public health implications, especially in resource-constrained settings. High titer CCP should be considered for initial deployment in COVID-19 and future pandemics while monoclonal therapies and vaccines are being developed. This simple and potentially inexpensive intervention may reduce early pandemic morbidity and mortality. Development of infusion centers that can rapidly deploy CCP for outpatient pandemic use will be an important consideration for future health care systems. Even in the current pandemic, continued propagation of SARS-CoV-2 variants with evolving resistance to currently available antivirals and even mAbs, should raise the importance of maintaining capacity to rapidly escalate CCP availability and distribution especially as locally sourced recent plasma should include antibodies to circulating strains²⁶. Antibody concentration is heterogeneous among donors²⁰ and future pandemics should consider restricting therapeutic plasma to the upper 30 to 40 percent of plasma units with high titer over 1:1000.

In conclusion, early high titer SARS-CoV-2 convalescent plasma outpatient administration reduced hospitalizations by more than 50%. Given our robust findings and concordance with the small Argentinian ambulatory trial¹⁷, high titer CCP should be considered for outpatient COVID-19 care as an extension of current hospital based CCP EUA.

References

1. Weinreich DM, Sivapalasingam S, Norton T, et al. REGN-COV2, a Neutralizing Antibody Cocktail, in Outpatients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384(3):238-251. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2035002.
2. Gupta A, Gonzalez-Rojas Y, Juarez E, et al. Early Treatment for Covid-19 with SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibody Sotrovimab. *N Engl J Med* 2021. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2107934.
3. Dougan M, Nirula A, Azizad M, et al. Bamlanivimab plus Etesevimab in Mild or Moderate Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021;385(15):1382-1392. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2102685.
4. Bloch EM, Goel R, Montemayor C, Cohn C, Tobian AAR. Promoting access to COVID-19 convalescent plasma in low- and middle-income countries. *Transfus Apher Sci* 2021;60(1):102957. DOI: 10.1016/j.transci.2020.102957.
5. Pommeret F, Colomba J, Bigenwald C, et al. Bamlanivimab + etesevimab therapy induces SARS-CoV-2 immune escape mutations and secondary clinical deterioration in COVID-19 patients with B-cell malignancies. *Ann Oncol* 2021;32(11):1445-1447. DOI: 10.1016/j.annonc.2021.07.015.
6. Joyner MJ, Wright RS, Fairweather D, et al. Early safety indicators of COVID-19 convalescent plasma in 5000 patients. *J Clin Invest* 2020;130(9):4791-4797. DOI: 10.1172/JCI140200.
7. Joyner MJ, Bruno KA, Klassen SA, et al. Safety Update: COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma in 20,000 Hospitalized Patients. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2020;95(9):1888-1897. DOI: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.06.028.

8. Liu STH, Lin HM, Baine I, et al. Convalescent plasma treatment of severe COVID-19: a propensity score-matched control study. *Nat Med* 2020;26(11):1708-1713. DOI: 10.1038/s41591-020-1088-9.
9. O'Donnell MR, Grinsztejn B, Cummings MJ, et al. A randomized double-blind controlled trial of convalescent plasma in adults with severe COVID-19. *J Clin Invest* 2021;131(13). DOI: 10.1172/JCI150646.
10. Rasheed AM, Fatak DF, Hashim HA, et al. The therapeutic potential of convalescent plasma therapy on treating critically-ill COVID-19 patients residing in respiratory care units in hospitals in Baghdad, Iraq. *Infez Med* 2020;28(3):357-366. (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32920571>).
11. Agarwal A, Mukherjee A, Kumar G, et al. Convalescent plasma in the management of moderate covid-19 in adults in India: open label phase II multicentre randomised controlled trial (PLACID Trial). *BMJ* 2020;371:m3939. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.m3939.
12. Group RC. Convalescent plasma in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised controlled, open-label, platform trial. *Lancet* 2021;397(10289):2049-2059. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00897-7.
13. Li L, Zhang W, Hu Y, et al. Effect of Convalescent Plasma Therapy on Time to Clinical Improvement in Patients With Severe and Life-threatening COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2020;324(5):460-470. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.10044.
14. Begin P, Callum J, Jamula E, et al. Convalescent plasma for hospitalized patients with COVID-19: an open-label, randomized controlled trial. *Nat Med* 2021. DOI: 10.1038/s41591-021-01488-2.

15. Tobian A, Cohn CS, Shaz B. COVID-19 Convalescent plasma. *Blood* 2021. DOI: 10.1182/blood.2021012248.
16. Bloch EM, Shoham S, Casadevall A, et al. Deployment of convalescent plasma for the prevention and treatment of COVID-19. *J Clin Invest* 2020. DOI: 10.1172/JCI138745.
17. Libster R, Perez Marc G, Wappner D, et al. Early High-Titer Plasma Therapy to Prevent Severe Covid-19 in Older Adults. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384(7):610-618. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2033700.
18. Korley FK, Durkalski-Mauldin V, Yeatts SD, et al. Early Convalescent Plasma for High-Risk Outpatients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021;385(21):1951-1960. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2103784.
19. Hinton DM. Convalescent Plasma EUA Letter of Authorization 06032021. In: FDA, ed. *FDA in brief*: FDA; 2021.
20. Klein SL, Pekosz A, Park HS, et al. Sex, age, and hospitalization drive antibody responses in a COVID-19 convalescent plasma donor population. *J Clin Invest* 2020;130(11):6141-6150. DOI: 10.1172/JCI142004.
21. Diaz I, Colantuoni E, Hanley DF, Rosenblum M. Improved precision in the analysis of randomized trials with survival outcomes, without assuming proportional hazards. *Lifetime Data Anal* 2019;25(3):439-468. DOI: 10.1007/s10985-018-9428-5.
22. Casadevall A, Pirofski LA, Joyner MJ. The Principles of Antibody Therapy for Infectious Diseases with Relevance for COVID-19. *mBio* 2021;12(2). DOI: 10.1128/mBio.03372-20.

23. Casadevall A, Henderson JP, Joyner MJ, Pirofski LA. SARS-CoV-2 variants and convalescent plasma: reality, fallacies, and opportunities. *J Clin Invest* 2021;131(7). DOI: 10.1172/JCI148832.
24. Natarajan H, Crowley AR, Butler SE, et al. Markers of Polyfunctional SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies in Convalescent Plasma. *mBio* 2021;12(2). DOI: 10.1128/mBio.00765-21.
25. Khoury DS, Cromer D, Reynaldi A, et al. Neutralizing antibody levels are highly predictive of immune protection from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat Med* 2021;27(7):1205-1211. DOI: 10.1038/s41591-021-01377-8.
26. Kunze KL, Johnson PW, van Helmond N, et al. Mortality in individuals treated with COVID-19 convalescent plasma varies with the geographic provenance of donors. *Nat Commun* 2021;12(1):4864. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-021-25113-5.

Figure Legend

Figure 1. Enrollment, Randomization and Treatment Populations

Potential participants who a diagnostic test positive for SARS-CoV-2 and ≤ 8 days of COVID-19 were assessed both for eligibility by study personnel and by investigators to confirm that they were safe for outpatient management. Participants may have had ≥ 1 reason for exclusion from the trial. The intention-to-treat population included all randomized participants and the modified-ITT excluded randomized participants who did not receive assigned trial product.

Figure 2. Probability of hospitalization a). Cumulative incidence of COVID-19 related hospitalization, unadjusted with confidence interval presented along with TMLE model estimates; b). adjusted estimated difference in the expected time to hospitalization (> 0 : increased expected days to hospitalization for CCP); c). adjusted estimate of the risk difference between treatment arms (< 0 : lower risk of hospitalization for CCP). 95% CI=One-sided 95% confidence interval.

Table 1: Baseline of mITT population characteristics by treatment arms.

	Control	CCP
Median age (IQR) - yr	44 (33 - 55)	42 (31.5 - 54)
Age - no. (%)		
Age 18-34	165 (28.0)	190 (32.1)
Age 35-49	208 (35.3)	207 (35.0)
Age 50-64	176 (29.9)	155 (26.2)
Age 65+	40 (6.8)	40 (6.8)
Female sex - no. (%)	352 (59.8)	323 (54.6)
Race - no. (%)		
Asian	22 (3.7)	22 (3.7)
Black	71 (12.1)	92 (15.5)
Native American	9 (1.5)	8 (1.4)
Other/Multiple	10 (1.7)	9 (1.5)
Pacific Islander	2 (0.3)	2 (0.3)
White	475 (80.6)	459 (77.5)
Ethnicity - no. (%)		
Hispanic/Latino	90 (15.3)	80 (13.5)
Not-Hispanic/Latino	499 (84.7)	512 (86.5)
Physical characteristics - no. (%)		
Age >= 50	216 (36.7)	195 (32.9)
BMI >= 25	400 (67.9)	388 (65.5)
BMI >= 30	234 (39.7)	210 (35.5)

BMI >= 35	107 (18.2)	97 (16.4)
Health Conditions - no. (%)		
Hypertension	136 (23.1)	140 (23.6)
Diabetes	50 (8.5)	49 (8.3)
COPD	7 (1.2)	0 (0.0)
Asthma	73 (12.4)	59 (10.0)
Coronary artery disease	11 (1.9)	13 (2.2)
Congestive heart failure	4 (0.7)	2 (0.3)
Stroke	6 (1.0)	7 (1.2)
Immunosuppressed	2 (0.3)	0 (0.0)
HIV+	12 (2.0)	13 (2.2)
Chronic kidney disease	5 (0.8)	6 (1.0)
Pregnant	1 (0.2)	2 (0.3)
Organ transplant	2 (0.3)	3 (0.5)
Active cancer	3 (0.5)	3 (0.5)
Cancer, any	25 (4.2)	27 (4.6)
Liver disease	9 (1.5)	6 (1.0)
Tobacco use (past or present)	19 (3.2)	34 (5.7)
Median symptom duration before randomization (IQR) -		
days	5 (4 - 7)	5 (4 - 7)
Median symptom duration before transfusion (IQR) -		
days	6 (4 - 7)	6 (4 - 7)
Vaccination status - no. (%)		

Unvaccinated	481 (81.7)	493 (83.3)
Partially vaccinated	31 (5.3)	27 (4.6)
Fully vaccinated	77 (13.1)	72 (12.2)
Vital signs (Median, IQR)		
Median heart rate - bpm	79 (70 - 87)	78 (69 - 88)
Median respiratory rate br/min	18 (16 - 19)	18 (16 - 18)
Median oxygen saturation - pct	98 (97 - 99)	98 (97 - 99)
		98.1 (97.5 -
Median temperature - deg F	98 (97.5 - 98.5)	98.6)
Abnormal vital signs - no. (%)		
Heart rate > 100	29 (4.9)	36 (6.1)
O2 saturation < 94	15 (2.5)	11 (1.9)
Temperature > 100.3	10 (1.7)	11 (1.9)
Laboratory values (Median, IQR)		
	0.4 (0.225 -	0.4155 (0.225 -
Median CRP - mg/dL	1.096)	1.1)
Median AST - U/L	24 (19 - 31)	24 (19 - 31)
Median ALT - U/L	23 (16 - 35)	24 (17 - 37)
	1.398 (1.01 -	
Median lymphocytes - k/uL	1.79)	1.4 (1.09 - 1.76)
Abnormal laboratory values - no. (%)		
CRP > 1.0	152 (25.8)	152 (25.7)
AST > 40	67 (11.4)	78 (13.2)

ALT > 40	113 (19.2)	125 (21.1)
Lymphocytes < 1.1	161 (27.3)	145 (24.5)

Table 2. COVID-19 hospitalization or death prior to day 28 by treatment group

	Control	CCP	
	(N=589)	(N=592)	P-value¹
Number randomized, n	615	610	
Number transfused, n	589	592	
Participants with primary outcome (hospitalization), n	37	17	0.004
Unadjusted Relative Risk [95% one-sided CI upper bound]		0.46 [0.73]	0.004
Hospitalization not due to COVID-19², n	3	4	0.77
Details of primary outcome severity, n			
Death after hospitalization	3	0	
ICU Hospitalization (no mechanical ventilation)	4	3	
Non-ICU hospitalization due to COVID-19, requiring supplemental oxygen	26	12	
Non-ICU hospitalization due to COVID-19, not requiring supplemental oxygen	4	2	
A stay of >24 hours for observation in an ED, field hospital or other healthcare unit or receipt of oxygen for >24 hours, outside of hospital	0	0	

¹ P-values calculated using one-sided Fisher's exact test for count data and Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test.

² Number of first hospitalizations that were not due to COVID-19 (i.e.- hallucinations due to pre-existing mental illness, renal colic, constipation or pancreatitis)

Expected time free of primary outcome³ (days)	26.27	27.26	
		0.99 (0.28)	
Difference (SE) [95% one-sided CI lower bound]		[0.54]	0.0002
Probability of remaining free of hospitalization³	0.93	0.97	
		-0.04	
Difference (SE) [95% one-sided CI lower bound]		(0.01)[-0.02]	0.0003
Primary outcome events by sex⁴			
Female	21	9	
Male	16	8	
Primary outcome events by vaccination status^{4,5}			
Unvaccinated	36	17	
Partially vaccinated	1	0	
Fully vaccinated	0	0	

³ Adjusted for age, trial site, BMI, baseline albumin, bicarbonate, c-reactive protein, glucose, potassium, and baseline abnormal head, eyes, ears, nose, and throat physical examination, as specified from a random survival forest analysis of baseline characteristics. Primary analysis data set restricted via principal component analysis, reducing data set to 990 complete case participants with full data (494 transfused with control plasma, 496 transfused with convalescent plasma). Changing the model by decreasing covariates did not change inferences.

⁴ Numbers of participants in each category found on Table 1

⁵ Fully vaccinated 14 days following final administration

⁶ Cox proportional hazards models with only treatment assignment and then adjusted for age; age and site; age site, and BMI; and finally same covariates as TMLE model, showed congruence with TMLE results as hazard ratios were approximately 0.45 across proportional hazards models.

CONSORT Diagram




Figure 1. Enrollment, Randomization and Treatment Populations

Potential participants who a diagnostic test positive for SARS-CoV-2 and < 8 days of COVID-19 were assessed both for eligibility by study personnel and by investigators to confirm that they were safe for outpatient management. Participants may have had >1 reason for exclusion from the trial. The intention-to-treat population included all randomized participants and the modified-ITT excluded randomized participants who did not receive assigned trial product.




Figure 2. Probability of hospitalization a). Cumulative incidence of COVID-19 related hospitalization, unadjusted with confidence interval presented along with TMLE model estimates; b). adjusted estimated difference in the expected time to hospitalization (> 0: increased expected days to hospitalization for CCP); c). adjusted estimate of the risk difference between treatment arms (<0: lower risk of hospitalization for CCP). 95% CI=One-sided 95% confidence interval.