

1 **Effects of racial/ethnic disparities in healthcare utilization on antibiotic use, United**  
2 **States, 2016/2018**

3 Scott W. Olesen, PhD<sup>1\*</sup>, Sanjat Kanjilal, MD MPH<sup>2</sup>, Stephen M. Kissler, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Daphne S. Sun,  
4 BS<sup>1</sup>, Yonatan H. Grad, MD PhD<sup>1\*</sup>

5  
6 1 Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Harvard Chan School of Public Health,  
7 Boston, MA, USA

8 2 Department of Population Medicine, Harvard Medical School & Harvard Pilgrim Healthcare  
9 Institute, Boston, MA, USA

10

11 \* Correspondence:

12 Harvard Chan School of Public Health

13 665 Huntington Ave

14 Building 1 Room 715

15 Boston, MA 02115

16 USA

17 732.351.2311

18 olesen@hsph.harvard.edu

19

20 Word count: 1445

21

22 Keywords: antibiotics, race/ethnicity, equity, healthcare utilization

23

24 **ABSTRACT**

25 White Americans make more office-based and emergency department visits per capita than  
26 other races/ethnicities, but the proportion of visits during which antibiotics are administered or  
27 prescribed is similar across races/ethnicities. Racial/ethnic disparities in antibiotic use may be  
28 due more to disparities in healthcare access and utilization than to prescriber behavior.

29

30 **INTRODUCTION**

31 Antibiotics are an important therapy for treating infectious disease, but use of antibiotics carries  
32 risk of negative effects, like adverse events or antibiotic resistance. Avoiding antibiotic overuse  
33 through antibiotic stewardship helps avoid unnecessary and inappropriate antibiotic use.

34 However, underuse of antibiotics, when a person has an infection for which antibiotics are  
35 medically recommended but the person does not receive the antibiotic, is also problematic [1].

36 Even for conditions like sore throat or otitis media, which typically resolve without complications,  
37 failure to use antibiotics can sometimes lead to substantial morbidity [2].

38

39 Disparities in antibiotic use rates, when one population uses more or less antibiotics than  
40 another group, are a likely indicator of antibiotic overuse or underuse [1,3]. Many studies have  
41 shown that prescribing practice varies by patient race/ethnicity, usually with whites more likely to  
42 receive antibiotics or broader-spectrum antibiotics relative to people of other races [1,4–15],  
43 although other studies have found no effect [16–23] or even the opposite effect [24,25]. The  
44 most common topic of these studies is antibiotic prescribing for acute upper respiratory  
45 infections in children. A typical finding is that if two children, one white and one Black, present  
46 with identical symptoms, the white child is more likely to receive antibiotics.

47

48 Studies of antibiotic prescribing per healthcare visit, while important, do not address all the  
49 factors that could lead to disparities in antibiotic use. First, rates of bacterial infections may vary

50 between populations. Second, access to healthcare and healthcare seeking behavior may differ  
51 across populations. In other words, the probability that someone with an infection presents at a  
52 healthcare visit may vary by population. Third, different populations may be more or less likely  
53 to receive antibiotics when they present at a healthcare visit. Studies of prescribing per visit only  
54 address the third step, but previous work suggests that geographical differences in antibiotic  
55 use rates are due more to differences in healthcare access and utilization than to prescribing  
56 practice [26] and that temporal trends in racial disparities in antibiotic use among children are  
57 due more to changes in healthcare utilization than to changes in prescribing practice [7].

58  
59 Here, we use a nationally representative survey of healthcare visits to assess the relative  
60 importance of healthcare utilization and prescribing practice on antibiotic use by race/ethnicity.  
61 We hypothesized that differences in healthcare utilization, measured as per capita rates of  
62 ambulatory care visits, and differences in prescribing practice, measured as the probability that  
63 an antibiotic will be used given that certain diagnoses are present, both contribute to any  
64 racial/ethnic differences in antibiotic use.

65

## 66 **METHODS**

67 We analyzed data from the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NAMCS) and National  
68 Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NHAMCS), two nationally representative samples  
69 used to characterize antibiotic prescribing practice [27,28]. Each survey is a sample of office-  
70 based and emergency department visits with associated patient demographics, diagnosis  
71 codes, and prescriptions as provided by physicians or hospital staff. Missing race/ethnicity data  
72 are imputed using a model developed by NAMCS/NHAMCS staff [28]. We used the two most  
73 recent years with data from both surveys, 2016 and 2018. NHAMCS from 2017 data were not  
74 available [29].

75

76 Each healthcare visit was classified as antibiotic-appropriate, potentially antibiotic-appropriate,  
77 or antibiotic-inappropriate by a two-step process [30]. First, using previously established  
78 categorizations of the ICD-10 diagnosis codes, each diagnosis code associated with each visit  
79 was classified as always antibiotic-appropriate, sometimes antibiotic-appropriate, or never  
80 antibiotic-appropriate. Second, each visit was classified based on its diagnoses. A visit with at  
81 least one always-appropriate diagnosis was classified as antibiotic-appropriate. Remaining  
82 unclassified visits with at least one sometimes-appropriate code were classified as potentially  
83 antibiotic-appropriate. Remaining unclassified visits with at least one never-appropriate code  
84 were classified as antibiotic-inappropriate.

85  
86 Separately, each visit was classified as a visit with antibiotics if any of the prescribed or  
87 administered medications included oral antibiotics [27].

88  
89 Note that all visits are classified according to their associated diagnoses, regardless of whether  
90 antibiotics were prescribed or not. Thus, most visits are considered antibiotic-inappropriate, not  
91 because patients are seeking antibiotics for antibiotic-inappropriate conditions, but rather  
92 because most healthcare visits are not made for the purpose of treating bacterial infections.

93  
94 Differences in rates were assessed using  $t$ -tests, with  $p < 0.01$  considered statistically  
95 significant, as recommended in the NHAMCS documentation [28]. Differences in proportions  
96 were assessed using  $\chi^2$  tests. All analyses were performed using R (version 4.0.5) [31], with the  
97 *survey* package (version 4.0) [32] used to account for the complex survey design in variance  
98 estimation. The population denominators for each race/ethnicity provided in the  
99 NAMCS/NHAMCS documentation, which are drawn from US Census estimates, were used for  
100 population-based rate estimates. Code to reproduce these analyses is available at GitHub (doi:  
101 10.5281/zenodo.6233588).

102

## 103 **RESULTS**

104 During 2016 and 2018, rates of physician office and hospital emergency room visits varied by  
105 race/ethnicity. Non-Hispanic whites made the highest rate of visits, followed by non-Hispanic  
106 Blacks, Hispanics, and non-Hispanic people of multiple or other races (Figure 1a, Table S1).  
107 The difference in rates of visits was mostly due to different rates of visits with no associated  
108 diagnoses for which antibiotics would be appropriate or sometimes appropriate (*t*-tests, Figure  
109 1a, Table S1).

110

111 Non-Hispanics whites had a higher rate of visits with antibiotics administered or prescribed  
112 compared to non-Hispanic people of multiple or other races, but differences in rates of visits  
113 with antibiotics were not statistically significant for non-Hispanic whites compared to non-  
114 Hispanic Blacks or to Hispanics (Table S2).

115

116 The proportion of visits during which antibiotics were prescribed or administered (5.5%, 95% CI  
117 4.9% to 6.0%) did not vary statistically significantly by race/ethnicity ( $p = 0.57$ ,  $\chi^2$  test), even  
118 when stratifying by the antibiotic-appropriateness of the diagnoses associated with the visit ( $p >$   
119  $0.05$ ,  $\chi^2$  tests; Figure 1b, Table S3).

120

## 121 **DISCUSSION**

122 In this analysis of nationally representative survey data, whites made more healthcare visits per  
123 capita. However, there was no statistically significant difference across races/ethnicities in the  
124 proportion of visits that included antibiotics, even when stratifying by whether antibiotics were  
125 appropriate for the visit. These results suggest that differences in healthcare utilization are at  
126 least as important as per-visit prescribing practice to disparities in antibiotic use. For example,  
127 whites had a 27% higher rate of healthcare visits than Blacks (7.0 vs. 5.5 annual visits per

128 capita), but the point estimate in the proportion of visits with antibiotics was 6% lower for whites  
129 (5.7% vs. 6.0% of visits with antibiotics prescribed). Thus, any difference in the overall rate of  
130 antibiotic use between whites and Blacks is likely more attributable to differences in the number  
131 of opportunities for antibiotics to be prescribed rather than to the probability that an antibiotic will  
132 be prescribed at a visit.

133  
134 This study's primary strength is its use of a nationally representative survey. Its most important  
135 limitation is that the sampling frame is healthcare visits. A survey of visits can estimate visits by  
136 race/ethnicity and the proportions of visits with antibiotics, but it cannot address the proportion  
137 of infections that are treated with antibiotics because not every infection that merits antibiotics  
138 results in a visit. Thus, without knowing the underlying rates of disease, we cannot determine  
139 whether patients of different races/ethnicities with antibiotic-treatable infections are more or less  
140 likely to present for treatment [1]. For example, if non-whites had higher underlying rates of  
141 bacterial infections, then whites' approximately equal rates of antibiotic-appropriate visits  
142 actually represent a disparity in healthcare access or healthcare seeking.

143  
144 This study has other important limitations. First, these national surveys did not have sufficient  
145 statistical power to characterize any differences across races/ethnicities in the probability of per-  
146 visit antibiotic use. For example, the point estimate for the proportion of Blacks' antibiotic-  
147 appropriate visits that had antibiotics was higher than that for whites, but the confidence  
148 intervals were wide and overlapping (Figure 1b, Table S3). Second, these surveys do not  
149 include every kind of visit type and exclude urgent care, telephone or telehealth contact, hospital  
150 outpatient departments, Veterans Administration hospitals, and inpatient care. People of  
151 different races/ethnicities seek care in different healthcare contexts [7] and so these results are  
152 necessarily incomplete. Third, there may be systematic biases in the diagnosis codes that  
153 prescribers assign to people of different races with the same underlying disease [1]. Finally, the

154 available data cannot assess the effect of the Covid-19 pandemic on antibiotic prescribing  
155 patterns.

156  
157 Despite these limitations, these results point to two important components for addressing equity  
158 in the quality of care for bacterial infections: first, characterizing racial/ethnic differences in  
159 underlying rates of antibiotic-treatable infections, and second, mitigating barriers to healthcare  
160 access and utilization.

161

## 162 **STATEMENTS**

### 163 **Conflicts of interest**

164 SWO is an employee of Biobot Analytics, Inc. YHG has consulted for GSK, holds grants from  
165 Pfizer and Merck, and serves on the scientific advisory board for Day Zero Diagnostics. SK is an  
166 unpaid scientific advisor for PhAST Diagnostics and participated in a one-time scientific advisory

167

### 168 **Patient content**

169 This work is not human subjects research.

170

### 171 **Funding**

172 YHG: Wellcome Trust Award 219812/Z/19/Z and internal Harvard T. H. Chan School award. SK:  
173 AHRQ K08 HS027841-02.


174

### 175 **Data availability**

176 Source data are openly available from the National Center for Health Statistics  
177 ([https://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/Health\\_Statistics/NCHS/Datasets](https://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/Health_Statistics/NCHS/Datasets), <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs>).

178

179 **Figure 1.** (a) Annual office-based and emergency department visits per capita, stratified by  
 180 patient race/ethnicity and by antibiotic-appropriateness of the diagnoses recorded for the visit.  
 181 Error bars show 95% confidence intervals. \*: *t*-test,  $p < 0.01$ . (b) Proportion of visits with  
 182 antibiotics administered or prescribed.



183  
 184

## 185 REFERENCES

- 186 1. Fleming-Dutra KE, Shapiro DJ, Hicks LA, Gerber JS, Hersh AL. Race, Otitis Media, and  
187 Antibiotic Selection. *Pediatrics* **2014**; 134:1059–1066.
- 188 2. Little P. Delayed prescribing of antibiotics for upper respiratory tract infection. *BMJ* **2005**;  
189 331:301.
- 190 3. Cosgrove SE, Klein EY. Reassessing the Link Between Healthcare Access and Outpatient  
191 Antibiotic Prescribing. *J Infect Dis* **2021**; 223:2017–2019.
- 192 4. Olesen SW, Grad YH. Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Antimicrobial Drug Use, United States,  
193 2014–2015 - Volume 24, Number 11—November 2018 - Emerging Infectious Diseases  
194 journal - CDC. *Emerg Infect Dis* **2018**; 24:2126–2128.
- 195 5. Goyal MK, Johnson TJ, Chamberlain JM, et al. Racial and Ethnic Differences in Antibiotic  
196 Use for Viral Illness in Emergency Departments. *Pediatrics* **2017**; :e20170203.
- 197 6. Steinman MA, Landefeld SC, Gonzales R. Predictors of Broad-Spectrum Antibiotic  
198 Prescribing for Acute Respiratory Tract Infections in Adult Primary Care. *JAMA* **2003**;  
199 289:719–725.
- 200 7. Halasa NB, Griffin MR, Zhu Y, Edwards KM. Differences in antibiotic prescribing patterns for  
201 children younger than five years in the three major outpatient settings. *J Pediatr* **2004**;  
202 144:200–205.
- 203 8. Gonzales R, Steiner JF, Sande MA. Antibiotic Prescribing for Adults With Colds, Upper  
204 Respiratory Tract Infections, and Bronchitis by Ambulatory Care Physicians. *JAMA* **1997**;  
205 278:901–904.
- 206 9. Vanderweil SG, Pelletier AJ, Hamedani AG, Gonzales R, Metlay JP, Camargo CA.  
207 Declining Antibiotic Prescriptions for Upper Respiratory Infections, 1993–2004. *Acad Emerg*  
208 *Med* **2007**; 14:366–369.
- 209 10. Coco AS, Horst MA, Gambler AS. Trends in broad-spectrum antibiotic prescribing for  
210 children with acute otitis media in the United States, 1998–2004. *BMC Pediatr* **2009**; 9:1–  
211 10.
- 212 11. Barlam TF, Morgan JR, Wetzler LM, Christiansen CL, Drainoni M-L. Antibiotics for  
213 Respiratory Tract Infections: A Comparison of Prescribing in an Outpatient Setting. *Infect*  
214 *Control Amp Hosp Epidemiol* **2015**; 36:153–159.
- 215 12. Kronman MP, Hersh AL, Feng R, Huang Y-S, Lee GE, Shah SS. Ambulatory Visit Rates  
216 and Antibiotic Prescribing for Children With Pneumonia, 1994–2007. *Pediatrics* **2011**;  
217 127:411–418.
- 218 13. Ladd E. The Use of Antibiotics for Viral Upper Respiratory Tract Infections: An Analysis of  
219 Nurse Practitioner and Physician Prescribing Practices in Ambulatory Care, 1997–2001. *J*  
220 *Am Acad Nurse Pract* **2005**; 17:416–424.

- 221 14. Yaeger JP, Temte JL, Hanrahan LP, Martinez-Donate P. Roles of Clinician, Patient, and  
222 Community Characteristics in the Management of Pediatric Upper Respiratory Tract  
223 Infections. *Ann Fam Med* **2015**; 13:529–536.
- 224 15. Kornblith AE, Fahimi J, Kanzaria HK, Wang RC. Predictors for under-prescribing antibiotics  
225 in children with respiratory infections requiring antibiotics. *Am J Emerg Med* **2018**; 36:218–  
226 225.
- 227 16. McKay R, Mah A, Law MR, McGrail K, Patrick DM. Systematic Review of Factors  
228 Associated with Antibiotic Prescribing for Respiratory Tract Infections. *Antimicrob Agents*  
229 *Chemother* **2016**; 60:4106–4118.
- 230 17. Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, Pavia AT, Shah SS. Antibiotic Prescribing in Ambulatory Pediatrics in  
231 the United States. *Pediatrics* **2011**; 128:1053–1061.
- 232 18. Copp H, Shapiro D, Hersh A. National Ambulatory Antibiotic Prescribing Patterns for  
233 Pediatric Urinary Tract Infection, 1998-2007. *PEDIATRICS* **2011**; 127:1027–1033.
- 234 19. Handy LK, Bryan M, Gerber JS, Zaoutis T, Feemster KA. Variability in Antibiotic Prescribing  
235 for Community-Acquired Pneumonia. *Pediatrics* **2017**; 139:e20162331.
- 236 20. Sidell D, Shapiro NL, Bhattacharyya N. Demographic Influences on Antibiotic Prescribing for  
237 Pediatric Acute Otitis Media. *Otolaryngol-Head Neck Surg* **2012**; 146:653–658.
- 238 21. Stone S, Gonzales R, Maselli J, Lowenstein SR. Antibiotic prescribing for patients with  
239 colds, upper respiratory tract infections, and bronchitis: A national study of hospital-based  
240 emergency departments. *Ann Emerg Med* **2000**; 36:320–327.
- 241 22. Barnett ML, Linder JA. Antibiotic Prescribing for Adults With Acute Bronchitis in the United  
242 States, 1996-2010. *JAMA* **2014**; 311:2020–2022.
- 243 23. Barnett ML, Linder JA. Antibiotic Prescribing to Adults With Sore Throat in the United  
244 States, 1997-2010. *JAMA Intern Med* **2014**; 174:138–140.
- 245 24. Shaver AL, Jacobs DM, LaMonte MJ, Noyes K. Antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory  
246 tract infections in the United States outpatient setting. *BMC Fam Pract* **2019**; 20:91.
- 247 25. Okunseri C, Zheng C, Steinmetz C, Okunseri E, Szabo A. Trends and racial/ethnic  
248 disparities in antibiotic prescribing practices of dentists in the United States. *J Public Health*  
249 *Dent* **2017**;
- 250 26. Kissler SM, Klevens RM, Barnett ML, Grad YH. Childhood respiratory outpatient visits  
251 correlate with socioeconomic status and drive geographic patterns in antibiotic prescribing. *J*  
252 *Infect Dis* **2021**;
- 253 27. Fleming-Dutra KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic  
254 Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. *JAMA* **2016**; 315:1864–1873.
- 255 28. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Ambulatory Health Care Data Datasets and  
256 Documentation. 2021; Available at:

- 257 [https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ahcd/datasets\\_documentation\\_related.htm](https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ahcd/datasets_documentation_related.htm). Accessed 13  
258 February 2022.
- 259 29. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Notices for NAMCS and NHAMCS Public Use  
260 Data File Users. 2021; Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ahcd/notice.htm>. Accessed  
261 12 February 2021.
- 262 30. Chua K-P, Fischer MA, Linder JA. Appropriateness of outpatient antibiotic prescribing  
263 among privately insured US patients: ICD-10-CM based cross sectional study. *BMJ* **2018**;  
264 364:k5092.
- 265 31. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna: R  
266 Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2021. Available at: <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- 267 32. Lumley T. Analysis of Complex Survey Samples. *J Stat Softw* **2004**; 9.
- 268