

1 **Effects of racial/ethnic disparities in healthcare utilization on antibiotic use, United**
2 **States, 2016/2018**

3 Scott W. Olesen, PhD^{1*}, Sanjat Kanjilal, MD MPH², Stephen M. Kissler, PhD¹, Daphne S. Sun,
4 BS¹, Yonatan H. Grad, MD PhD^{1*}

5
6 1 Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Harvard Chan School of Public Health,
7 Boston, MA, USA

8 2 Department of Population Medicine, Harvard Medical School & Harvard Pilgrim Healthcare
9 Institute, Boston, MA, USA

10

11 * Correspondence:

12 Harvard Chan School of Public Health

13 665 Huntington Ave

14 Building 1 Room 715

15 Boston, MA 02115

16 USA

17 732.351.2311

18 olesen@hsph.harvard.edu

19

20 Word count: 1445

21

22 Keywords: antibiotics, race/ethnicity, equity, healthcare utilization

23

24 **ABSTRACT**

25 White Americans make more office-based and emergency department visits per capita than
26 other races/ethnicities, but the proportion of visits during which antibiotics are administered or
27 prescribed is similar across races/ethnicities. Racial/ethnic disparities in antibiotic use may be
28 due more to disparities in healthcare access and utilization than to prescriber behavior.

29

30 **INTRODUCTION**

31 Antibiotics are an important therapy for treating infectious disease, but use of antibiotics carries
32 risk of negative effects, like adverse events or antibiotic resistance. Avoiding antibiotic overuse
33 through antibiotic stewardship helps avoid unnecessary and inappropriate antibiotic use.

34 However, underuse of antibiotics, when a person has an infection for which antibiotics are
35 medically recommended but the person does not receive the antibiotic, is also problematic [1].

36 Even for conditions like sore throat or otitis media, which typically resolve without complications,
37 failure to use antibiotics can sometimes lead to substantial morbidity [2].

38

39 Disparities in antibiotic use rates, when one population uses more or less antibiotics than
40 another group, are a likely indicator of antibiotic overuse or underuse [1,3]. Many studies have
41 shown that prescribing practice varies by patient race/ethnicity, usually with whites more likely to
42 receive antibiotics or broader-spectrum antibiotics relative to people of other races [1,4–15],
43 although other studies have found no effect [16–23] or even the opposite effect [24,25]. The
44 most common topic of these studies is antibiotic prescribing for acute upper respiratory
45 infections in children. A typical finding is that if two children, one white and one Black, present
46 with identical symptoms, the white child is more likely to receive antibiotics.

47

48 Studies of antibiotic prescribing per healthcare visit, while important, do not address all the
49 factors that could lead to disparities in antibiotic use. First, rates of bacterial infections may vary

50 between populations. Second, access to healthcare and healthcare seeking behavior may differ
51 across populations. In other words, the probability that someone with an infection presents at a
52 healthcare visit may vary by population. Third, different populations may be more or less likely
53 to receive antibiotics when they present at a healthcare visit. Studies of prescribing per visit only
54 address the third step, but previous work suggests that geographical differences in antibiotic
55 use rates are due more to differences in healthcare access and utilization than to prescribing
56 practice [26] and that temporal trends in racial disparities in antibiotic use among children are
57 due more to changes in healthcare utilization than to changes in prescribing practice [7].

58
59 Here, we use a nationally representative survey of healthcare visits to assess the relative
60 importance of healthcare utilization and prescribing practice on antibiotic use by race/ethnicity.
61 We hypothesized that differences in healthcare utilization, measured as per capita rates of
62 ambulatory care visits, and differences in prescribing practice, measured as the probability that
63 an antibiotic will be used given that certain diagnoses are present, both contribute to any
64 racial/ethnic differences in antibiotic use.

65

66 **METHODS**

67 We analyzed data from the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NAMCS) and National
68 Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NHAMCS), two nationally representative samples
69 used to characterize antibiotic prescribing practice [27,28]. Each survey is a sample of office-
70 based and emergency department visits with associated patient demographics, diagnosis
71 codes, and prescriptions as provided by physicians or hospital staff. Missing race/ethnicity data
72 are imputed using a model developed by NAMCS/NHAMCS staff [28]. We used the two most
73 recent years with data from both surveys, 2016 and 2018. NHAMCS from 2017 data were not
74 available [29].

75

76 Each healthcare visit was classified as antibiotic-appropriate, potentially antibiotic-appropriate,
77 or antibiotic-inappropriate by a two-step process [30]. First, using previously established
78 categorizations of the ICD-10 diagnosis codes, each diagnosis code associated with each visit
79 was classified as always antibiotic-appropriate, sometimes antibiotic-appropriate, or never
80 antibiotic-appropriate. Second, each visit was classified based on its diagnoses. A visit with at
81 least one always-appropriate diagnosis was classified as antibiotic-appropriate. Remaining
82 unclassified visits with at least one sometimes-appropriate code were classified as potentially
83 antibiotic-appropriate. Remaining unclassified visits with at least one never-appropriate code
84 were classified as antibiotic-inappropriate.

85
86 Separately, each visit was classified as a visit with antibiotics if any of the prescribed or
87 administered medications included oral antibiotics [27].

88
89 Note that all visits are classified according to their associated diagnoses, regardless of whether
90 antibiotics were prescribed or not. Thus, most visits are considered antibiotic-inappropriate, not
91 because patients are seeking antibiotics for antibiotic-inappropriate conditions, but rather
92 because most healthcare visits are not made for the purpose of treating bacterial infections.

93
94 Differences in rates were assessed using t -tests, with $p < 0.01$ considered statistically
95 significant, as recommended in the NHAMCS documentation [28]. Differences in proportions
96 were assessed using χ^2 tests. All analyses were performed using R (version 4.0.5) [31], with the
97 *survey* package (version 4.0) [32] used to account for the complex survey design in variance
98 estimation. The population denominators for each race/ethnicity provided in the
99 NAMCS/NHAMCS documentation, which are drawn from US Census estimates, were used for
100 population-based rate estimates. Code to reproduce these analyses is available at GitHub (doi:
101 10.5281/zenodo.6233588).

102

103 **RESULTS**

104 During 2016 and 2018, rates of physician office and hospital emergency room visits varied by
105 race/ethnicity. Non-Hispanic whites made the highest rate of visits, followed by non-Hispanic
106 Blacks, Hispanics, and non-Hispanic people of multiple or other races (Figure 1a, Table S1).
107 The difference in rates of visits was mostly due to different rates of visits with no associated
108 diagnoses for which antibiotics would be appropriate or sometimes appropriate (*t*-tests, Figure
109 1a, Table S1).

110

111 Non-Hispanics whites had a higher rate of visits with antibiotics administered or prescribed
112 compared to non-Hispanic people of multiple or other races, but differences in rates of visits
113 with antibiotics were not statistically significant for non-Hispanic whites compared to non-
114 Hispanic Blacks or to Hispanics (Table S2).

115

116 The proportion of visits during which antibiotics were prescribed or administered (5.5%, 95% CI
117 4.9% to 6.0%) did not vary statistically significantly by race/ethnicity ($p = 0.57$, χ^2 test), even
118 when stratifying by the antibiotic-appropriateness of the diagnoses associated with the visit ($p >$
119 0.05 , χ^2 tests; Figure 1b, Table S3).

120

121 **DISCUSSION**

122 In this analysis of nationally representative survey data, whites made more healthcare visits per
123 capita. However, there was no statistically significant difference across races/ethnicities in the
124 proportion of visits that included antibiotics, even when stratifying by whether antibiotics were
125 appropriate for the visit. These results suggest that differences in healthcare utilization are at
126 least as important as per-visit prescribing practice to disparities in antibiotic use. For example,
127 whites had a 27% higher rate of healthcare visits than Blacks (7.0 vs. 5.5 annual visits per

128 capita), but the point estimate in the proportion of visits with antibiotics was 6% lower for whites
129 (5.7% vs. 6.0% of visits with antibiotics prescribed). Thus, any difference in the overall rate of
130 antibiotic use between whites and Blacks is likely more attributable to differences in the number
131 of opportunities for antibiotics to be prescribed rather than to the probability that an antibiotic will
132 be prescribed at a visit.

133
134 This study's primary strength is its use of a nationally representative survey. Its most important
135 limitation is that the sampling frame is healthcare visits. A survey of visits can estimate visits by
136 race/ethnicity and the proportions of visits with antibiotics, but it cannot address the proportion
137 of infections that are treated with antibiotics because not every infection that merits antibiotics
138 results in a visit. Thus, without knowing the underlying rates of disease, we cannot determine
139 whether patients of different races/ethnicities with antibiotic-treatable infections are more or less
140 likely to present for treatment [1]. For example, if non-whites had higher underlying rates of
141 bacterial infections, then whites' approximately equal rates of antibiotic-appropriate visits
142 actually represent a disparity in healthcare access or healthcare seeking.

143
144 This study has other important limitations. First, these national surveys did not have sufficient
145 statistical power to characterize any differences across races/ethnicities in the probability of per-
146 visit antibiotic use. For example, the point estimate for the proportion of Blacks' antibiotic-
147 appropriate visits that had antibiotics was higher than that for whites, but the confidence
148 intervals were wide and overlapping (Figure 1b, Table S3). Second, these surveys do not
149 include every kind of visit type and exclude urgent care, telephone or telehealth contact, hospital
150 outpatient departments, Veterans Administration hospitals, and inpatient care. People of
151 different races/ethnicities seek care in different healthcare contexts [7] and so these results are
152 necessarily incomplete. Third, there may be systematic biases in the diagnosis codes that
153 prescribers assign to people of different races with the same underlying disease [1]. Finally, the

154 available data cannot assess the effect of the Covid-19 pandemic on antibiotic prescribing
155 patterns.

156
157 Despite these limitations, these results point to two important components for addressing equity
158 in the quality of care for bacterial infections: first, characterizing racial/ethnic differences in
159 underlying rates of antibiotic-treatable infections, and second, mitigating barriers to healthcare
160 access and utilization.

161

162 **STATEMENTS**

163 **Conflicts of interest**

164 SWO is an employee of Biobot Analytics, Inc. YHG has consulted for GSK, holds grants from
165 Pfizer and Merck, and serves on the scientific advisory board for Day Zero Diagnostics. SK is an
166 unpaid scientific advisor for PhAST Diagnostics and participated in a one-time scientific advisory

167

168 **Patient content**

169 This work is not human subjects research.

170

171 **Funding**

172 YHG: Wellcome Trust Award 219812/Z/19/Z and internal Harvard T. H. Chan School award. SK:
173 AHRQ K08 HS027841-02.


174

175 **Data availability**

176 Source data are openly available from the National Center for Health Statistics
177 (https://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/Health_Statistics/NCHS/Datasets, <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs>).

178

179 **Figure 1.** (a) Annual office-based and emergency department visits per capita, stratified by
 180 patient race/ethnicity and by antibiotic-appropriateness of the diagnoses recorded for the visit.
 181 Error bars show 95% confidence intervals. *: *t*-test, $p < 0.01$. (b) Proportion of visits with
 182 antibiotics administered or prescribed.



183
 184

185 REFERENCES

- 186 1. Fleming-Dutra KE, Shapiro DJ, Hicks LA, Gerber JS, Hersh AL. Race, Otitis Media, and
187 Antibiotic Selection. *Pediatrics* **2014**; 134:1059–1066.
- 188 2. Little P. Delayed prescribing of antibiotics for upper respiratory tract infection. *BMJ* **2005**;
189 331:301.
- 190 3. Cosgrove SE, Klein EY. Reassessing the Link Between Healthcare Access and Outpatient
191 Antibiotic Prescribing. *J Infect Dis* **2021**; 223:2017–2019.
- 192 4. Olesen SW, Grad YH. Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Antimicrobial Drug Use, United States,
193 2014–2015 - Volume 24, Number 11—November 2018 - Emerging Infectious Diseases
194 journal - CDC. *Emerg Infect Dis* **2018**; 24:2126–2128.
- 195 5. Goyal MK, Johnson TJ, Chamberlain JM, et al. Racial and Ethnic Differences in Antibiotic
196 Use for Viral Illness in Emergency Departments. *Pediatrics* **2017**; :e20170203.
- 197 6. Steinman MA, Landefeld SC, Gonzales R. Predictors of Broad-Spectrum Antibiotic
198 Prescribing for Acute Respiratory Tract Infections in Adult Primary Care. *JAMA* **2003**;
199 289:719–725.
- 200 7. Halasa NB, Griffin MR, Zhu Y, Edwards KM. Differences in antibiotic prescribing patterns for
201 children younger than five years in the three major outpatient settings. *J Pediatr* **2004**;
202 144:200–205.
- 203 8. Gonzales R, Steiner JF, Sande MA. Antibiotic Prescribing for Adults With Colds, Upper
204 Respiratory Tract Infections, and Bronchitis by Ambulatory Care Physicians. *JAMA* **1997**;
205 278:901–904.
- 206 9. Vanderweil SG, Pelletier AJ, Hamedani AG, Gonzales R, Metlay JP, Camargo CA.
207 Declining Antibiotic Prescriptions for Upper Respiratory Infections, 1993–2004. *Acad Emerg*
208 *Med* **2007**; 14:366–369.
- 209 10. Coco AS, Horst MA, Gambler AS. Trends in broad-spectrum antibiotic prescribing for
210 children with acute otitis media in the United States, 1998–2004. *BMC Pediatr* **2009**; 9:1–
211 10.
- 212 11. Barlam TF, Morgan JR, Wetzler LM, Christiansen CL, Drainoni M-L. Antibiotics for
213 Respiratory Tract Infections: A Comparison of Prescribing in an Outpatient Setting. *Infect*
214 *Control Amp Hosp Epidemiol* **2015**; 36:153–159.
- 215 12. Kronman MP, Hersh AL, Feng R, Huang Y-S, Lee GE, Shah SS. Ambulatory Visit Rates
216 and Antibiotic Prescribing for Children With Pneumonia, 1994–2007. *Pediatrics* **2011**;
217 127:411–418.
- 218 13. Ladd E. The Use of Antibiotics for Viral Upper Respiratory Tract Infections: An Analysis of
219 Nurse Practitioner and Physician Prescribing Practices in Ambulatory Care, 1997–2001. *J*
220 *Am Acad Nurse Pract* **2005**; 17:416–424.

- 221 14. Yaeger JP, Temte JL, Hanrahan LP, Martinez-Donate P. Roles of Clinician, Patient, and
222 Community Characteristics in the Management of Pediatric Upper Respiratory Tract
223 Infections. *Ann Fam Med* **2015**; 13:529–536.
- 224 15. Kornblith AE, Fahimi J, Kanzaria HK, Wang RC. Predictors for under-prescribing antibiotics
225 in children with respiratory infections requiring antibiotics. *Am J Emerg Med* **2018**; 36:218–
226 225.
- 227 16. McKay R, Mah A, Law MR, McGrail K, Patrick DM. Systematic Review of Factors
228 Associated with Antibiotic Prescribing for Respiratory Tract Infections. *Antimicrob Agents*
229 *Chemother* **2016**; 60:4106–4118.
- 230 17. Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, Pavia AT, Shah SS. Antibiotic Prescribing in Ambulatory Pediatrics in
231 the United States. *Pediatrics* **2011**; 128:1053–1061.
- 232 18. Copp H, Shapiro D, Hersh A. National Ambulatory Antibiotic Prescribing Patterns for
233 Pediatric Urinary Tract Infection, 1998-2007. *PEDIATRICS* **2011**; 127:1027–1033.
- 234 19. Handy LK, Bryan M, Gerber JS, Zaoutis T, Feemster KA. Variability in Antibiotic Prescribing
235 for Community-Acquired Pneumonia. *Pediatrics* **2017**; 139:e20162331.
- 236 20. Sidell D, Shapiro NL, Bhattacharyya N. Demographic Influences on Antibiotic Prescribing for
237 Pediatric Acute Otitis Media. *Otolaryngol-Head Neck Surg* **2012**; 146:653–658.
- 238 21. Stone S, Gonzales R, Maselli J, Lowenstein SR. Antibiotic prescribing for patients with
239 colds, upper respiratory tract infections, and bronchitis: A national study of hospital-based
240 emergency departments. *Ann Emerg Med* **2000**; 36:320–327.
- 241 22. Barnett ML, Linder JA. Antibiotic Prescribing for Adults With Acute Bronchitis in the United
242 States, 1996-2010. *JAMA* **2014**; 311:2020–2022.
- 243 23. Barnett ML, Linder JA. Antibiotic Prescribing to Adults With Sore Throat in the United
244 States, 1997-2010. *JAMA Intern Med* **2014**; 174:138–140.
- 245 24. Shaver AL, Jacobs DM, LaMonte MJ, Noyes K. Antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory
246 tract infections in the United States outpatient setting. *BMC Fam Pract* **2019**; 20:91.
- 247 25. Okunseri C, Zheng C, Steinmetz C, Okunseri E, Szabo A. Trends and racial/ethnic
248 disparities in antibiotic prescribing practices of dentists in the United States. *J Public Health*
249 *Dent* **2017**;
- 250 26. Kissler SM, Klevens RM, Barnett ML, Grad YH. Childhood respiratory outpatient visits
251 correlate with socioeconomic status and drive geographic patterns in antibiotic prescribing. *J*
252 *Infect Dis* **2021**;
- 253 27. Fleming-Dutra KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of Inappropriate Antibiotic
254 Prescriptions Among US Ambulatory Care Visits, 2010-2011. *JAMA* **2016**; 315:1864–1873.
- 255 28. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Ambulatory Health Care Data Datasets and
256 Documentation. 2021; Available at:

- 257 https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ahcd/datasets_documentation_related.htm. Accessed 13
258 February 2022.
- 259 29. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Notices for NAMCS and NHAMCS Public Use
260 Data File Users. 2021; Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ahcd/notice.htm>. Accessed
261 12 February 2021.
- 262 30. Chua K-P, Fischer MA, Linder JA. Appropriateness of outpatient antibiotic prescribing
263 among privately insured US patients: ICD-10-CM based cross sectional study. *BMJ* **2018**;
264 364:k5092.
- 265 31. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna: R
266 Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2021. Available at: <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- 267 32. Lumley T. Analysis of Complex Survey Samples. *J Stat Softw* **2004**; 9.
- 268