medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on hospital services for patients with cardiac diseases: a scoping review

Authors:

Mats de Lange * [1], MD

Ana Sofia Carvalho * [1, 2], MD

Óscar Brito Fernandes [2,3], MSc, MEd

Hester Lingsma [2], PhD

Niek Klazinga [1], MD, PhD

Dionne Kringos [1], MSc, PhD

* Co-first authors

[1] Department of Public and Occupational Health, Amsterdam Public Health research institute, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Meibergdreef 9, 1105 AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands

[2] Department of Public Health, Erasmus University Medical Center, Postbus, 3000 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands

[3] Department of Health Economics, Corvinus University of Budapest, Fővám tér 8, H-1093, Budapest, Hungary

Address for correspondence:

Ana Sofia Carvalho

Department of Public Health,

Erasmus University Medical Center,

Postbus, 3000 CA Rotterdam

The Netherlands

E: asofia.dc@gmail.com

T: +351916303458

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Aim: To assess the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on hospital care for cardiac patients.

Methods and results: Scoping review, including studies with empirical data on changes in the use of health services measured by performance indicators during January - June 2020. Database searches yielded 6277 articles, of which 838 articles met the inclusion criteria during initial screening. After full-text screening, 94 articles were considered for data extraction. In total, 1637 indicators were retrieved, showing large variation in the indicators and their definitions. Most of the indicators that provided information on changes in number of admissions (n=118, 88%) signalled a decrease in admissions; 88% (n=15) of the indicators showed patients' delayed presentation and 40% (n=54) showed patients in a worse clinical condition. A reduction in diagnostic and treatment procedures was signalled by 95% (n=18) and 81% (n=64) of the indicators reporting on cardiac procedures, respectively. Length of stay decreased in 58% (n=21) of the indicators and acute coronary syndromes treatment times increased in 61% (n=65) of the indicators. Outpatient activity decreased in 94% (n=17) of the indicators related with outpatient care, whereas telehealth utilization increased in 100% (n=6). Outcomes worsened in 40% (n=35) of the indicators, and mortality rates increased in 52% (n=31).

Conclusion: All phases of the hospital cardiac care pathway were affected. This information could support the planning of care during the ongoing pandemic and in future events. Furthermore, to ensure continuity of care during crises, fostering the use of standardised indicators is paramount.

Keywords:

1- Acute coronary syndrome
2- Cardiovascular disease
3- Quality of health care
4- Quality indicators
5- Continuity of care

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

As of 14 November 2021, the virus causing COVID-19 disease, SARS-CoV-2, has infected 253 million people globally and caused 5.1 million deaths (1-3). Governments have implemented many nonpharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to mitigate the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus (4) in tandem with the rollout of vaccination programmes (5).

During the pandemic, concerns started to arise that the increasing number of patients diagnosed with COVID-19 would exceed hospital capacity and overwhelm healthcare systems (6). Therefore, in addition to NPIs and vaccination programmes, the use of health resources for non-COVID care was minimized and elective procedures and appointments were postponed (7,8). The World Health Organisation (WHO) has been calling for attention to the impact of COVID-19 on health care services for patients with non-communicable diseases (NCDs) (9), which seems to be severe and involving multiple clinical areas (10-15). A reduction of healthcare utilization for non-COVID conditions and an increase in case severity were already signalled during the first phase of the pandemic (16,17). Medical professionals also drew attention to people avoiding or delaying care out of fear of getting infected (18,19).

Cardiac care has often been mentioned as being affected by the COVID-19 pandemic (20,21). According to the WHO, cardiovascular diseases, which include cardiac diseases, are the leading cause of death globally (22). Before the pandemic, a decelerating pace in the improvement of cardiovascular disease mortality was already identified as a major contributor to the slowdown of life expectancy gains in several Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) member countries (23). The continuity of care for patients with cardiac diseases during crisis is a major concern among healthcare providers (20,24), who seek to strengthen referrals and care pathways, and establishing and maintaining novel models of care. Given the burden of cardiac diseases in healthcare systems globally (22,23), attention for the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on cardiology patients is justified. Previous studies noticed a decrease in patients presenting with (acute) cardiac conditions and mentioned delays in those patients who eventually did present for hospital care (25-27), which could lead to worse clinical outcomes (28).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

In a relatively short period, large amounts of scientific evidence have become available on the impact of the pandemic on patients with cardiac diseases in varying countries. This literature is scattered, which makes it difficult to draw conclusions regarding the impact on cardiac care delivery and the use of data to steer health care services delivery improvements during the current pandemic and future public health crises. Hence, reviewing the existing literature and quantifying the magnitude of this impact helps to systematize, synthesize, and consolidate the evidence, and to formulate recommendations for policy and practice.

This scoping review is part of a larger project that focuses on performance indicators used for a range of clinical areas during the COVID-19 pandemic. In this study, we aim to assess the impact of the pandemic on hospital care for cardiac patients in OECD countries, focusing on the different phases of the hospital cardiac care pathway (admission, diagnosis, treatment, outpatient care, outcomes).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Methods

We pursued a scoping review methodology, considering the extensive number of scientific articles, their heterogeneous character, and the lack of a clear and structured overview of the large sums of literature that have become available on the impact of the pandemic on patients with cardiac conditions. A scoping review can be used to examine emerging evidence and give an overview of the literature and studies available on a certain theme (29). We followed the methodological framework developed by Arksey and O'Malley(30), further developed by Levac et al (31), and the PRISMA extension for scoping reviews to report our methodology (32) (Supplementary material S1).

Search strategy

An initial search in MEDLINE database was performed to get an overview of the number of potentially relevant articles for this study. Given the large number of articles, the MEDLINE and Embase databases were selected to search for this scoping review, as we considered them to sufficiently cover the literature related with delivery of health care services. Pilot searches were conducted to identify a list of suitable search terms. A medical research librarian was consulted to improve the search strategy and adapt it to both databases. The final search strategy included the following key terms and synonyms: COVID-19, pandemic, non-communicable disease, chronic disease, performance indicator, healthcare quality, healthcare utilization, healthcare delivery and other closely related terms. The full search strategy for Embase and MEDLINE can be found in Supplementary material S2. The comprehensive search was conducted by the research librarian on 17-03-2021. No limitations were set regarding language or year of publication. Duplicates were removed using EndNote software. Additional articles of relevance were added by hand-searching the reference lists of the included studies.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Study selection

The following *inclusion criteria* were set: 1) studies using empirical data on the use of health services; 2) studies had to describe health outcomes and/or performance indicators during the COVID-19 pandemic; 3) studies that are presented as original journal articles using quantitative or qualitative methods, such as cohort studies, case-control, cross-sectional designs, case reports, systematic reviews, surveys, and meta-analyses. The following *exclusion criteria* were set: 1) non-primary studies (such as editorials and commentaries); 2) prediction models; 3) clinical case reports; and 4) diseases management or health services organization guidelines; 5) studies about the impact on healthcare workers, patients diagnosed with COVID-19, children, or pregnant women; 6) studies primarily performed in non-OECD countries; 7) articles from which only an abstract was available.

Methods of selection

An initial screening of the retrieved studies based on title and abstract was performed independently by two researchers (ASC, OBF) using Rayyan (https://www.rayyan.ai/). Studies considered relevant after this phase were exported to a spreadsheet to support full-text screening, which was performed independently by three researchers (MdL, ASC, OBF). For this study only articles on cardiac diseases were analysed. The reason for exclusion of articles was recorded at this point. In case of doubt, the other co-authors were consulted, and a decision was made.

Data extraction and charting

Data extracted from the included articles were collated in a spreadsheet informed by a pilot on 15 studies (Supplementary material S3). Data extraction was performed independently by three researchers (MdL, ASC, OBF). Extracted data included information on generic and methodological aspects of the article (e.g., authors, title, setting), and information about the indicators reported (e.g., indicator title, and data inclusion/exclusion considerations). For every indicator, we identified the trend reported in the articles (increase/decrease/stable).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Synthesis of the results

The indicators and respective trends were categorised and grouped according to different phases of the hospital cardiac care pathway by MdL, followed by a review conducted by ASC (Supplementary material S4).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Results

Database searches yielded 6277 articles. Of these, 838 articles focusing on non-communicable diseases met the inclusion criteria. After full-text screening of 117 articles focused on cardiac hospital care, a total of 94 articles were included in this review (Fig. 1). Twenty-three full-text studies were excluded.



Figure 1 - PRISMA flow diagram of the literature search. Abbreviations: OECD - Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

General characteristics of the included articles

The included articles reported on 109 different countries (Fig. 2). Eighty-six articles provided information on one country only. Eight articles involved multiple countries, of which seven also included non-OECD countries. Most articles reported on Italy (n = 20, 21%), followed by the United Kingdom (n = 17, 18%), and Germany (n = 14, 15%).



Figure 2 - Countries reported on in the included articles (including articles reporting on multiple countries), colour-graded according to number of included papers (n=109)

Most of the studies used a retrospective cohort design (n = 66, 70%), other studies used a prospective cohort (n = 13, 14%), or survey design (n = 12, 13%). The included studies used three different time periods when comparing pandemic versus pre-pandemic indicators. Most studies compared a COVID-19 affected period in 2020 to the same period in the previous year (n = 54, 56%). Other studies (n = 34, 36%) compared the COVID-19 affected period to a period immediately before. Lastly, a COVID-19 affected period was compared to the average of the same period in several previous years (n = 27, 29%).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Impact of COVID-19 on the hospital cardiac care pathway

The indicators were categorised and grouped according to different phases of the hospital cardiac care pathway (Fig. 3), to visualise their combined trends.



Figure 3 - Categorisation of indicators according to different phases of the hospital cardiac care pathway. *Abbreviations: ACS - Acute Coronary* Syndrome

Admission

A total of 287 indicators regarding the 'admission' phase of the hospital cardiac care pathway were identified. These indicators were grouped into three separate categories: 'admission to care', 'delayed presentation', and 'patients' clinical severity at presentation'.

Admission to care

Regarding numbers of admissions for cardiac diseases to hospital services, 134 indicators were identified from 49 articles (33-81). Most of the indicators concerned patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS), heart failure, or cardiac arrythmia. Of these indicators, 118 (88%) reported a decrease

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

in number of admissions, compared to a non-COVID-19 period, 13 indicators (9.7%) reported a stable number of admissions, whereas 3 indicators (2.2%) reported an increase in admission numbers.

Delayed presentation

Regarding the patients' timing of presentation to hospital services, 17 indicators were identified from 12 articles (43,50,55,68,79,82-88). All the indicators in this category reported on patients with ACS. Most indicators defined delayed presentation as more than twelve hours after symptom onset. Of these indicators, 15 (88%) reported an increase of the number of patients with a delayed presentation to hospital services for cardiac care compared to a non-COVID-19 period. One indicator (5.9%) reported a stable number of patients with a delayed presentation, and one indicator a decrease.

Patients' clinical severity

Regarding the patients' clinical severity, 136 indicators were identified from 38 articles (34,38,43,44,46,48,50,53,55,57,59,61,66,68-70,73,77,79,81,83,84,87,89-103). Most of the indicators were markers for patients with ACS, such as left ventricular ejection fraction, Killip class or biomarkers at admission. Other indicators reported on heart failure and cardiac surgery. Of these indicators, 54 (40%) reported a worse clinical condition at admission when compared with patients during the COVID-19 period, 72 indicators (53%) reported a stable clinical condition, and 10 indicators (7.4%) reported a better clinical condition.

Diagnosis

A total of 19 indicators regarding the 'diagnosis' phase of the hospital cardiac care pathway were identified from 11 articles (49,58,61,65,71,79,81,90,104-106). All the indicators reported on the number of diagnostic procedures, including transthoracic and transoesophageal echocardiograms, non-invasive ischemia tests and coronary angiographies. Of these indicators, 18 (95%) reported a decrease during the COVID-19 period. One indicator (5.3%) reported a stable number of diagnostic procedures performed.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Treatment

A total of 221 indicators regarding the 'treatment' phase of the cardiac care pathway were identified. These indicators were grouped into three separate categories: 'procedure numbers', 'length of stay', and 'ACS treatment pathway times'.

Procedure numbers

Regarding the number of treatment procedures, 79 indicators were identified from 32 articles (35,37,43,49,50,58-61,64,65,67,71,72,79,84,86-88,90,91,94,97,99,106-113). The indicators collected mainly concerned the number of percutaneous coronary interventions. Of these indicators, 64 (81%) reported a decrease in the number of treatment procedures performed during the COVID-19 period, and 15 indicators (19%) reported a stable number of procedures.

Length of stay

Regarding the patients' in-hospital length of stay, 36 indicators were identified from 25 articles (36,39,46,50,54,55,57,59,61,64,68,75,77,79,81-85,88,94,96,97,111,114). Most of the indicators reported on patients with ACS. Of these indicators, 21 (58%) reported a decrease in the length of stay during the COVID-19 period, 13 indicators (36%) reported a stable length of stay, and 2 indicators (5.6%) reported an increased length of stay.

Acute Coronary Syndrome treatment pathway times

Regarding the treatment times for ACS care, 106 indicators were identified from 35 articles (34,37,40,43,47,48,55,64,68,70,73,77,79,81-86,89-91,93-98,100-104,114,115). Treatment pathway times reported in the papers were symptom-to-contact, symptom-to-door, symptom-to-diagnosis, symptom-to-balloon (total ischaemia time), contact-to-door, contact-to-balloon, door-to-ECG, door-to-balloon, ECG-to-balloon, diagnosis-to-balloon, first medical contact-to-catheter laboratory arrival, catheter-to-puncture, catheter laboratory arrival-to-balloon, puncture-to-balloon, and procedure time. Of these indicators, 65 (61%) reported an increase in ACS treatment pathway times during the COVID-19

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

period, 37 indicators (35%) reported stable treatment pathway times, and 4 indicators (3.8%) reported decreased treatment pathway times.

Outpatient care

A total of 24 indicators regarding the 'outpatient care' phase of the cardiac care pathway were identified. These indicators were grouped into two separate categories: 'outpatient activity' and 'telehealth'.

Outpatient activity volume

Regarding outpatient activity, 18 indicators were identified from 8 articles (49,60,62,81,116-119). Most of the indicators concerned only to in-person outpatient activity. Of these indicators, 17 (94%) reported a decrease in outpatient activity volume during the COVID-19 period. One indicator (5.6%) reported a stable number.

Telehealth

Regarding the use of telehealth, 6 indicators were identified from 6 articles (49,62,116,117,119,120). All the indicators reported an increased use of telehealth.

Outcomes

A total of 148 indicators regarding the 'outcomes' phase of the cardiac care pathway were identified. These indicators were grouped into two separate categories: 'outcomes and complications' and 'mortality rates'.

Outcomes and complications

Regarding patients' outcomes and complications, 88 indicators were identified from 31 articles (34,37,41,47,50,55,59,66,68,70,71,73,77,82-85,89-93,96,97,100-103,111,112,119). Most indicators

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

were on patients with ACS, in particular ST-elevated myocardial infarctions (STEMI), such as left ventricular ejection fraction at discharge, Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) risk score after percutaneous coronary intervention and major adverse cardiovascular events. Of these indicators, 35 (40%) reported worse outcomes during the COVID-19 period, 46 indicators (52%) reported a stable outcome, and 7 (8.0%) a better outcome.

Mortality rates

Regarding mortality rates, 60 indicators were identified from 37 articles (38-41,43,44,46-48,50,54,55,57,59,61,64,66-68,73,75,77,79,81,82,87,92,93,96-99,102,103,111,112,121). The indicators mainly concerned in-hospital mortality or 30-day case mortality rates. Of these indicators, 31 (52%) reported an increase in mortality rates during the COVID-19 period, 26 (43%) reported stable mortality rates, and 3 indicators (5%) show decreased mortality rates.

An overview of the trends reported by the indicators in each phase of the hospital cardiac care pathway (defined as desirable, undesirable, or stable, following clinical reasoning) is shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 4 – Hospital Cardiac Care Pathway Indicators' Trends during the COVID-19 Pandemic's early stages (Jan-Jun 2020)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion

This study aimed to provide an overview of the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on hospital services for patients with cardiac diseases. We analysed more than 1600 indicators that were used in 94 papers, reporting on 109 different countries. Our findings show that all phases of the hospital care pathway for patients with cardiac diseases (admission, diagnosis, treatment, outpatient care and outcomes) have been, in different degrees, affected during the pandemic. Admission numbers dropped substantially, and patients arrived later and in a worse clinical condition at the hospital. The number of diagnostic and treatment procedures decreased, ACS treatment pathway times increased, and patients were discharged from the hospital after a shorter length of stay. Outpatient activity decreased, whereas the use of telehealth services increased. Finally, worse clinical outcomes and an increase in mortality rates were reported. These findings can be useful to inform clinicians and policy makers on the main areas affected in the cardiac care pathway, contributing to the monitoring and improvement of health care delivery during the current pandemic and in future unexpected crises. Additionally, these results can be helpful in planning the recovery of care for patients with cardiac diseases.

The drop in admissions to hospital services reported in almost all included articles is probably signalling healthcare avoidance, caused by patients afraid of being infected with SARS-CoV-2 in the hospital (122). Another explanation could be an actual reduction in the incidence of cardiac diseases during the pandemic. Several explanations have been opted for this reduction in incidence, such as changes in physical activity during lockdowns or a reduction of air pollutants (123-126). Patients with cardiac diseases presenting later to the hospital and arriving in a worse clinical condition than before the pandemic could also have been caused by the fear and avoidance mentioned before, with patients waiting for longer periods before seeking care.

Following the drop in admission numbers and decreased outpatient activity, a decrease in the number of diagnostic and treatment procedures were to be expected. On top of patients potentially avoiding care and a possible lower incidence rate of cardiac diseases, elective procedures and surgeries were cancelled (127). These three factors together have likely contributed to reduce procedure volume. The decreased length of hospital stay that we found in most indicators is explained by several authors by a shortage of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

hospital bed capacity or the physicians' intention to minimise the risk of patients' exposure to the virus. For instance, the European Society of Cardiology recommended that patients "should stay in the hospital for the shortest time possible" (128), and healthcare providers in the United Kingdom were advised to reduce NSTEMI inpatient stays to 36-48 hours if normal pathways could not be followed (61). The increased ACS treatment pathway times and the decreased length of stay, together, indicate that the hospital cardiac care pathway was under pressure during the COVID-19 pandemic and the delivery of care got squeezed.

The reduction in outpatient care volume we show in this study mostly comes from indicators reporting on in-patient visits. Therefore, it is probably an underestimation of the actual outpatient care provided. The change towards using telehealth shows that innovative measures were taken during the pandemic to avoid the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection, and health systems adapted quickly to reach out to patients with other means than in-person consultations. In theory, strengthening the use of telehealth to provide continuity of care is beneficial. However, little is known about the quality of care provided and potential inequalities that may have risen from the use of telehealth (e.g., access for all patient groups).

Our findings suggest patients with cardiac conditions are showing worse outcomes and increased mortality rates during the COVID-19 pandemic. The indicators that reported changes on mortality mostly concerned short-term mortality rates (up to 30 days). An even larger impact on mortality might be found when long-term mortality rates will be assessed in later studies. These studies will have to contribute to capturing the real impact that this paper has outlined. The repercussions of care avoidance and cancelled or delayed diagnostic and treatment procedures could reveal itself in the years to come.

To our knowledge, this is the first scoping review that gives an overview of the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the hospital cardiac care pathway in OECD countries. The scoping review methodology gives the benefit of mapping the published literature that has come available during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic (January - June 2021). However, a scoping review has its limitations. Ideally a systematic review with meta-analyses would be performed. For the articles included in this paper this would be extremely difficult, given their different methodologies, indicators, indicators' definitions, and comparison periods. In fact, the heterogeneity in study characteristics and indicators make it hard to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

compare data in a reliable way. In July 2020, the International Training Network for Healthcare Performance Intelligence Professionals (HealthPros) (129) suggested that to compare, manage and improve health systems responsiveness to the pandemic, commonly agreed-upon standardized data and indicators are necessary (130). We recommend devising a uniformly accepted set of indicators with clear definitions to use in future pandemics.

Another limitation is that only articles reporting on OECD countries were included in this scoping review. The global repercussions of the COVID-19 pandemic on hospital services for cardiac care will therefore likely be larger than reported in this paper, given the smaller capacity to handle changes in hospital care in low-income countries. On the other hand, publication bias may have played a role in portraying a more severe impact of the pandemic. Physicians might publish sooner when effects of the pandemic are being clearly noticed in their hospital. It could also be the case that the overload with clinical tasks did not allow physicians to find the time to do scientific work, which may counterbalance this limitation. Regardless, we consider our findings to be useful and signalling major trends.

Based on the included articles we are not able to provide an analysis of what exactly caused these changes in the hospital cardiac care pathway. Being able to contextualise these results with future research will be of use for implementing measures to improve care during the current and future pandemics or disasters. We also welcome studies on the quality and access of telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic. It would be relevant to study if and how this could improve quality and access of services delivery for the better, also in non-crisis times.

Conclusion

This study shows that all phases of the hospital care pathway for patients with cardiac diseases were affected during the COVID-19 pandemic. These results signal the hospital services delivery process for cardiac care came under pressure. Lessons should be learnt, and steps taken to be able to safeguard the continuity of care during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, and other crises in the future. We call for more research on monitoring and understanding the changes in hospital care. Furthermore, to guide the decisions of health system actors on the implementation of measures to ensure the continuation of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

essential care during future crises, fostering the use of an international standardised set of indicators is paramount, making optimal use of existing health information infrastructures.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Supplementary data:

Supplementary material S1 – Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist

Supplementary material S2 – Search strategy

Supplementary material S3 – Data Extraction Form

Supplementary material S4 – Collected and grouped indicators

Figure Legends

Figure 2 - PRISMA flow diagram of the literature search. *Abbreviations: OECD - Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development*. (821 x 876 pixel)

Figure 2 - Countries reported on in the included articles (including articles reporting on multiple countries), colour-graded according to number of included papers (n=109) (3915 x 2069 pixel)

Figure 3 - Categorisation of indicators according to different phases of the hospital cardiac care pathway. *Abbreviations: ACS - Acute Coronary* Syndrome (2113 x 1348 pixel)

Figure 4 – Hospital Cardiac Care Pathway Indicators' Trends during the COVID-19 Pandemic's early stages (Jan-Jun 2020) (4409 x 2405 pixel)

Acknowledgements

MdL and ASC contributed equally as co-first authors to the development and execution of this study. The authors wish to thank Wichor Bramer from the Erasmus MC Medical Library for developing and updating the search strategies used in this study.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The participation of ÓBF occurred within a Marie Skłodowska-Curie Innovative Training Network (HealthPros – Healthcare Performance Intelligence Professionals) that has received funding

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement Nr. 765141 (https://healthpros-h2020.eu).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not required.

Availability of data and materials

The data underlying this article are available in Zenodo.org, at https://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5745755.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed to conceptualize the study. ASC, MdL and OBF performed the data collection. MdL performed the data analysis with collaboration and revision by ASC. MdL and ASC drafted the article. All authors provided feedback and contributed to revising the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. *Nature (London)* 2020;579:265-269.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32015508 10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3

2. Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Centre. Johns Hopkins University and Medicine, COVID-19 Map.
https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html (14-11-2021)

3. Listings of WHO's response to COVID-19.
https://www.who.int/news/item/29-06-2020-covidtimeline (28-7-2021)

4. Haug N, Geyrhofer L, Londei A et al. Ranking the effectiveness of worldwide COVID-19 government interventions. *Nature human behaviour* 2020;4:1303-1312.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33199859 10.1038/s41562-020-01009-0

5. Understanding Vaccination Progress by Country.
https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/vaccines/international (27-08-2021)

6. Moghadas SM, Shoukat A, Fitzpatrick MC et al. Projecting hospital utilization during the COVID-19 outbreaks in the United States. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences - PNAS* 2020;117:9122-9126.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32245814 10.1073/pnas.2004064117

7. Moletta L, Pierobon ES, Capovilla G et al. International guidelines and recommendations for surgery during Covid-19 pandemic: A Systematic Review. *International journal of surgery (London, England)* 2020;79:180-188.
https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.05.061 10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.05.061

8. Temporary Emergency Guidance to US Stroke Centers During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic: On Behalf of the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association Stroke Council Leadership. *Stroke (1970)* 2020;51:1910-1912.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32233972 10.1161/STROKEAHA.120.030023

9. World Health Organization. NCDs and COVID-19.
https://www.who.int/teams/noncommunicable-diseases/covid-19 (29-7-2021)

10. Fisher L, Polonsky W, Asuni A, Jolly Y, Hessler D. The early impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on adults with type 1 or type 2 diabetes: A national cohort study. *J Diabetes Complications* 2020;34:107748.10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2020.107748

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

11. Nakayama A, Takayama N, Kobayashi M et al. Remote cardiac rehabilitation is a good alternative of outpatient cardiac rehabilitation in the COVID-19 era. *Environ Health Prev Med* 2020;25:48.10.1186/s12199-020-00885-2

12. Nyante SJ, Benefield TS, Kuzmiak CM, Earnhardt K, Pritchard M, Henderson LM. Population-level impact of coronavirus disease 2019 on breast cancer screening and diagnostic procedures. *Cancer* 2021;127:2111-2121.10.1002/cncr.33460

13. Oba A, Stoop TF, Löhr M et al. Global Survey on Pancreatic Surgery During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Ann Surg* 2020;272:e87-e93.10.1097/SLA.0000000000004006

14. Sokolski M, Gajewski P, Zymliński R et al. Impact of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak on Acute Admissions at the Emergency and Cardiology Departments Across Europe. *Am J Med* 2021;134:482-489.10.1016/j.amjmed.2020.08.043

15. Weber T, Januszewicz A, Rosei E et al. The corona-virus disease 2019 pandemic compromised routine care for hypertension: a survey conducted among excellence centers of the European Society of Hypertension. *Journal of hypertension* 2021;39:190-195. http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&NEWS=n&CSC=Y&PAGE=fulltext&D=ovft&AN=00004872-202101000-00025 10.1097/HJH.0000000000002703

16. Roy CM, Bollman EB, Carson LM, Northrop AJ, Jackson EF, Moresky RT. Assessing the Indirect Effects of COVID-19 on Healthcare Delivery, Utilization, and Health Outcomes: A Scoping Review. *European journal of public health* 2021;31:634-640. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33755130 10.1093/eurpub/ckab047

17. Lloyd MR, Stephens SJ, Hong JC et al. The impact of COVID-19 on breast cancer stage at diagnosis. *JCO* 2021;39:528-528. https://ascopubs.org/doi/abs/10.1200/JCO.2021.39.15_suppl.528 10.1200/JCO.2021.39.15_suppl.528

18. Gong K, Xu Z, Cai Z, Chen Y, Wang Z. Internet Hospitals Help Prevent and Control the Epidemic of COVID-19 in China: Multicenter User Profiling Study. *Journal of medical Internet research* 2020;22:e18908. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32250962 10.2196/18908

19. Rosenbaum L. The Untold Toll - The Pandemic's Effects on Patients without Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:2368-2371.10.1056/NEJMms2009984

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

20. Bhatia RT, Gati S, Papadakis M, Sharma S. The Impact of COVID-19 on the Continuity of Cardiovascular Care. *European Heart Journal* 2021;42:215-217. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa742 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa742

21. Ball S, Banerjee A, Berry C et al. Monitoring indirect impact of COVID-19 pandemic on services for cardiovascular diseases in the UK. *Heart* 2020;106:1890-1897.10.1136/heartjnl-2020-317870

22. World Health Organization. Factsheet on Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cardiovascular-diseases-(cvds) (16-8-2021)

23. OECD, The King's Fund. Is Cardiovascular Disease Slowing Improvements in Life Expectancy? 2020;

24. Kiss P, Carcel C, Hockham C, Peters SAE. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the care and management of patients with acute cardiovascular disease: a systematic review. *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes* 2021;7:18-27.10.1093/ehjqcco/qcaa084

25. Gadella A, Sastre MÁ, Maicas C, Rodríguez-Padial L, Arias MÁ. ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction in times of COVID-19: back to the last century? A call for attention. *Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed)* 2020;73:582-583.10.1016/j.rec.2020.04.009

26. Moroni F, Gramegna M, Ajello S et al. Collateral Damage: Medical Care Avoidance Behavior Among Patients With Myocardial Infarction During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JACC Case Rep* 2020;2:1620-1624.10.1016/j.jaccas.2020.04.010

27. De Rosa S, Spaccarotella C, Basso C et al. Reduction of hospitalizations for myocardial infarction in Italy in the COVID-19 era. *Eur Heart J* 2020;41:2083-2088.10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa409

28. Cho KH, Han X, Ahn JH et al. Long-Term Outcomes of Patients With Late Presentation of ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2021;77:1859-1870.10.1016/j.jacc.2021.02.041

29. Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, Tufanaru C, McArthur A, Aromataris E. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC medical research methodology* 2018;18:143. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30453902 10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x

30. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International journal of social research methodology* 2005;8:19-32. http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/1364557032000119616 10.1080/1364557032000119616

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

31. Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology. *Implementation science : IS* 2010;5:69. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20854677 10.1186/1748-5908-5-69

32. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Annals of internal medicine* 2018;169:467-473.10.7326/M18-0850

33. Alba A, Frankfurter C, Buchan T et al. REDUCED RATE OF HOSPITAL PRESENTATIONS FOR HEART FAILURE DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC IN TORONTO, CANADA. *Can J Cardiol* 2020;36:S74. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7529371/ 10.1016/j.cjca.2020.07.147

34. Araiza-Garaygordobil D, Montalto C, Martinez-Amezcua P et al. Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Hospitalizations for Acute Coronary Syndromes: a Multinational Study. *QJM* 2021;10.1093/qjmed/hcab013

35. Ball S, Banerjee A, Berry C et al. Monitoring indirect impact of COVID-19 pandemic on services for cardiovascular diseases in the UK. *Heart* 2020;106:1890-1897.10.1136/heartjnl-2020-317870

36. Bhatt AS, Moscone A, McElrath EE et al. Fewer Hospitalizations for Acute Cardiovascular Conditions During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020;76:280-288.10.1016/j.jacc.2020.05.038

37. Boeddinghaus J, Nestelberger T, Kaiser C et al. Effect of COVID-19 on acute treatment of ST-segment elevation and Non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome in northwestern Switzerland. *Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc* 2021;32:100686.10.1016/j.ijcha.2020.100686

38. Bollmann A, Hohenstein S, König S, Meier-Hellmann A, Kuhlen R, Hindricks G. In-hospital mortality in heart failure in Germany during the Covid-19 pandemic. *ESC Heart Failure* 2020;7:4416-4419. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/ehf2.13011 10.1002/ehf2.13011

39. Bromage DI, Cannatà A, Rind IA et al. The impact of COVID-19 on heart failure hospitalization and management: report from a Heart Failure Unit in London during the peak of the pandemic. *European Journal of Heart Failure* 2020;22:978-984. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/ejhf.1925 10.1002/ejhf.1925

40. Bruoha S, Yosefy C, Gallego-Colon E et al. Impact in total ischemic time and ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction admissions during COVID-19. *Am J Emerg Med* 2021;45:7-10.10.1016/j.ajem.2021.02.020

41. Bugger H, Gollmer J, Pregartner G et al. Complications and mortality of cardiovascular emergency admissions during COVID-19 associated restrictive measures. *PLoS One* 2020;15:e0239801.10.1371/journal.pone.0239801

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

42. Butt JH, Fosbøl EL, Gerds TA et al. All-cause mortality and location of death in patients with established cardiovascular disease before, during, and after the COVID-19 lockdown: a Danish Nationwide Cohort Study. *European Heart Journal* 2021;42:1516-1523.
https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehab028 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab028

43. Claeys MJ, Argacha J, Collart P et al. Impact of COVID-19-related public containment measures on the ST elevation myocardial infarction epidemic in Belgium: a nationwide, serial, cross-sectional study. *Acta Cardiol* 2020;:1-7.10.1080/00015385.2020.1796035

44. Colivicchi F, Di Fusco SA, Magnanti M, Cipriani M, Imperoli G. The Impact of the Coronavirus Disease-2019 Pandemic and Italian Lockdown Measures on Clinical Presentation and Management of Acute Heart Failure. *J Card Fail* 2020;26:464-465.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7224656/ 10.1016/j.cardfail.2020.05.007

45. De Filippo O, D'Ascenzo F, Angelini F et al. Reduced Rate of Hospital Admissions for ACS during Covid-19 Outbreak in Northern Italy. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383:88-89.10.1056/NEJMc2009166

46. Doolub G, Wong C, Hewitson L et al. Impact of COVID-19 on inpatient referral of acute heart failure: a single-centre experience from the south-west of the UK. *ESC Heart Failure* 2021;8:1691-1695.
https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/ehf2.13158 10.1002/ehf2.13158

47. Erol MK, Kayıkçıoğlu M, Kılıçkap M et al. Treatment delays and in-hospital outcomes in acute myocardial infarction during the COVID-19 pandemic: A nationwide study. *Anatol J Cardiol* 2020;24:334-342.10.14744/AnatolJCardiol.2020.98607

48. Fabris E, Bessi R, De Bellis A et al. COVID-19 impact on ST-elevation myocardial infarction incidence rate in a Italian STEMI network: a U-shaped curve phenomenon. *Journal of Cardiovascular Medicine* 2021;22:344–349.
https://journals.lww.com/jcardiovascularmedicine/Fulltext/2021/05000/COVID_19_impact_on_ST_elevation_myocardial.3.aspx 10.2459/JCM.0000000000001153

49. Fersia O, Bryant S, Nicholson R et al. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on cardiology services. *Open Heart* 2020;710.1136/openhrt-2020-001359

50. Fileti L, Vecchio S, Moretti C et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on coronary invasive procedures at two Italian high-volume referral centers. *J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown)* 2020;21:869-873.10.2459/JCM.0000000000001101

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

51. Folino AF, Zorzi A, Cernetti C et al. Impact of COVID-19 epidemic on coronary care unit accesses for acute coronary syndrome in Veneto region, Italy. *Am Heart J* 2020;226:26-28. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7201234/ 10.1016/j.ahj.2020.04.021

52. Gąsior M, Gierlotka M, Tycińska A et al. Effects of the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic on the number of hospitalizations for myocardial infarction: regional differences. Population analysis of 7 million people. *Kardiol Pol* 2020;78:1039-1042.10.33963/KP.15559

53. Gitt AK, Karcher AK, Zahn R, Zeymer U. Collateral damage of COVID-19-lockdown in Germany: decline of NSTE-ACS admissions. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2020;:1-3. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7351542/ 10.1007/s00392-020-01705-x

54. Gluckman TJ, Wilson MA, Chiu S et al. Case Rates, Treatment Approaches, and Outcomes in Acute Myocardial Infarction During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic. *JAMA Cardiol* 2020;5:1419-1424.10.1001/jamacardio.2020.3629

55. Gramegna M, Baldetti L, Beneduce A et al. ST-Segment-Elevation Myocardial Infarction During COVID-19 Pandemic: Insights From a Regional Public Service Healthcare Hub. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv* 2020;13:e009413.10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.120.009413

56. Holy EW, Jakob P, Manka R et al. Impact of a nationwide COVID-19 lockdown on acute coronary syndrome referrals. *Cardiol J* 2020;27:633-635. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8078978/ 10.5603/CJ.a2020.0091

57. Kapelios CJ, Siafarikas C, Bonou M, Liatis S, Barbetseas J. The effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on acute coronary syndrome hospitalizations and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Greece. *Public Health* 2021;191:17-19.10.1016/j.puhe.2020.12.006

58. Khalil E, Ozcan S. Comparison of the number of cardiovascular admissions before and after covid-19: Experience from Turkey. *Acta Medica Mediterranea* 2020;:2433-2437. https://doi.org/10.19193/0393-6384_2020_4_378

59. König S, Hohenstein S, Meier-Hellmann A, Kuhlen R, Hindricks G, Bollmann A. In-hospital care in acute heart failure during the COVID-19 pandemic: insights from the German-wide Helios hospital network. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2020;22:2190-2201.10.1002/ejhf.2044

60. König S, Ueberham L, Pellissier V et al. Hospitalization deficit of in- and outpatient cases with cardiovascular diseases and utilization of cardiological interventions during the COVID-19 pandemic: Insights from the German-wide helios hospital

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

network. *Clinical cardiology* 2021;44:392-400.
https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/clc.23549 10.1002/clc.23549

61. Mafham MM, Spata E, Goldacre R et al. COVID-19 pandemic and admission rates for and management of acute coronary syndromes in England. *The Lancet* 2020;396:381-389.
https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(20)31356-8/abstract 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31356-8

62. MCILVENNAN CK, ALLEN LA, DEVORE AD, GRANGER CB, KALTENBACH LA, GRANGER BB. Changes in Care Delivery for Patients With Heart Failure During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Results of a Multicenter Survey. *J Card Fail* 2020;26:635-636.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7272147/ 10.1016/j.cardfail.2020.05.019

63. Moreno R, Alonso JJ, Caballero R et al. Age and Gender influence on time of arrival for STEMI patients during Covid-19 pandemic. *Am J Emerg Med* 2021;42:244-245.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7274966/ 10.1016/j.ajem.2020.06.013

64. Nef HM, Elsässer A, Möllmann H et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on cardiovascular mortality and catherization activity during the lockdown in central Germany: an observational study. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2021;110:292-301.10.1007/s00392-020-01780-0

65. Negreira Caamaño M, Piqueras Flores J, Mateo Gómez C. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic in cardiology admissions. *Med Clin (Engl Ed)* 2020;155:179-180.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7381901/ 10.1016/j.medcle.2020.05.006

66. Papafaklis MI, Katsouras CS, Tsigkas G et al. "Missing" acute coronary syndrome hospitalizations during the COVID-19 era in Greece: Medical care avoidance combined with a true reduction in incidence? *Clin Cardiol* 2020;43:1142-1149.10.1002/clc.23424

67. Pinto RD, Ferri C, Mammarella L et al. Increased cardiovascular death rates in a COVID-19 low prevalence area. *The Journal of Clinical Hypertension* 2020;22:1932-1935.
https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/jch.14013 10.1111/jch.14013

68. Primessnig U, Pieske BM, Sherif M. Increased mortality and worse cardiac outcome of acute myocardial infarction during the early COVID-19 pandemic. *ESC Heart Failure* 2021;8:333-343.
https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/ehf2.13075 10.1002/ehf2.13075

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

69. Rattka M, Baumhardt M, Dreyhaupt J et al. 31 days of COVID-19-cardiac events during restriction of public life-a comparative study. *Clinical research in cardiology* 2020;109:1476-1482. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32494921 10.1007/s00392-020-01681-2

70. Reinstadler SJ, Reindl M, Lechner I et al. Effect of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Treatment Delays in Patients with ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. *J Clin Med* 2020;9 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7408681/ 10.3390/jcm9072183

71. Roffi M, Capodanno D, Windecker S, Baumbach A, Dudek D. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on interventional cardiology practice: results of the EAPCI survey. *EuroIntervention* 2020;16:247-250.10.4244/EIJ-D-20-00528

72. Schnaubelt S, Domanovits H, Niederdoeckl J et al. The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Incidences of Atrial Fibrillation and Electrical Cardioversion at a Tertiary Care Emergency Department: An Inter- and Intra-year Analysis. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2020;7:595881.10.3389/fmed.2020.595881

73. Secco GG, Zocchi C, Parisi R et al. Decrease and Delay in Hospitalization for Acute Coronary Syndromes During the 2020 SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology* 2020;36:1152-1155. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0828282X2030502X 10.1016/j.cjca.2020.05.023

74. Singh S, Fong HK, Desai R, Zwinderman AH. Impact of COVID-19 on acute coronary syndrome-related hospitalizations: A pooled analysis. *Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc* 2021;32 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7836359/ 10.1016/j.ijcha.2021.100718

75. Sokolski M, Gajewski P, Zymliński R et al. Impact of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak on Acute Admissions at the Emergency and Cardiology Departments Across Europe. *Am J Med* 2021;134:482-489.10.1016/j.amjmed.2020.08.043

76. Solomon MD, McNulty EJ, Rana JS et al. The Covid-19 Pandemic and the Incidence of Acute Myocardial Infarction. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383:691-693.10.1056/NEJMc2015630

77. Tomasoni D, Adamo M, Italia L et al. Impact of COVID-2019 outbreak on prevalence, clinical presentation and outcomes of ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown)* 2020;21:874-881.10.2459/JCM.0000000000001098

78. Vassilikos VP, Pagourelias ED, Katsos K et al. Impact of social containment measures on cardiovascular admissions and sudden cardiac death rates during Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) outbreak in Greece. *Hellenic J Cardiol* 2020; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7491429/ 10.1016/j.hjc.2020.09.009

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

79. Vecchio S, Fileti L, Reggi A, Moschini C, Lorenzetti S, Rubboli A. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on admissions for acute coronary syndrome: review of the literature and single-center experience. *G Ital Cardiol (Rome)* 2020;21:502-508.10.1714/3386.33635

80. Vest AR, Upshaw JN, Dean K et al. The Decrease in Hospitalizations For Heart Failure During the Covid-19 Pandemic: A Community and Academic Hospital Comparison Study. *J Card Fail* 2020;26:S71. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7527197/ 10.1016/j.cardfail.2020.09.207

81. Wu J, Mamas M, Rashid M et al. Patient response, treatments, and mortality for acute myocardial infarction during the COVID-19 pandemic. *European Heart Journal - Quality of Care and Clinical Outcomes* 2021;7:238-246. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjqcco/qcaa062 10.1093/ehjqcco/qcaa062

82. Aldujeli A, Hamadeh A, Briedis K et al. Delays in Presentation in Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Cardiol Res* 2020;11:386-391.10.14740/cr1175

83. Hammad TA, Parikh M, Tashtish N et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on ST-elevation myocardial infarction in a non-COVID-19 epicenter. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2021;97:208-214.10.1002/ccd.28997

84. Kitahara S, Fujino M, Honda S et al. COVID-19 pandemic is associated with mechanical complications in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Open Heart* 2021;810.1136/openhrt-2020-001497

85. Perrin N, Iglesias JF, Rey F et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on acute coronary syndromes. *Swiss Med Wkly* 2020;150:w20448.10.4414/smw.2020.20448

86. Toner L, Koshy AN, Hamilton GW, Clark D, Farouque O, Yudi MB. Acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention in the COVID-19 era: comparable case volumes but delayed symptom onset to hospital presentation. *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes* 2020;6:225-226.10.1093/ehjqcco/qcaa038

87. Wilson SJ, Connolly MJ, Elghamry Z et al. Effect of the COVID-19 Pandemic on ST-Segment-Elevation Myocardial Infarction Presentations and In-Hospital Outcomes. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv* 2020;13:e009438.10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.120.009438

88. Yong CM, Ang L, Welt FGP et al. Cardiac procedural deferral during the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2020;96:1080-1086.10.1002/ccd.29262

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

89. Barbieri L, Tumminello G, Lucreziotti S et al. Mortality in STEMI Patients During the COVID Era: Has the Pandemic Changed Our Clinical Practice? *Cardiovasc Revasc Med* 2021;22:120-121. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7488593/ 10.1016/j.carrev.2020.09.014

90. Chen Y, Rathod KS, Hamshere S et al. COVID-19 and changes in activity and treatment of ST elevation MI from a UK cardiac centre. *Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc* 2021;33:100736.10.1016/j.ijcha.2021.100736

91. De Luca G, Cercek M, Jensen LO et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic and diabetes on mechanical reperfusion in patients with STEMI: insights from the ISACS STEMI COVID 19 Registry. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* 2020;19:215.10.1186/s12933-020-01196-0

92. De Rosa S, Spaccarotella C, Basso C et al. Reduction of hospitalizations for myocardial infarction in Italy in the COVID-19 era. *Eur Heart J* 2020;41:2083-2088.10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa409

93. Haddad K, Potter BJ, Matteau A, Gobeil F, Mansour S. Implications of COVID-19 on Time-Sensitive STEMI Care: A Report From a North American Epicenter. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med* 2021;30:33-37.10.1016/j.carrev.2020.09.024

94. Hannan EL, Wu Y, Cozzens K et al. Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction Before and During COVID in New York. *Am J Cardiol* 2021;142:25-34.10.1016/j.amjcard.2020.11.033

95. Hauguel-Moreau M, Pillière R, Prati G et al. Impact of Coronavirus Disease 2019 outbreak on acute coronary syndrome admissions: four weeks to reverse the trend. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2020;:1-2. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7323878/ 10.1007/s11239-020-02201-9

96. Kobo O, Efraim R, Saada M et al. The impact of lockdown enforcement during the SARSCoV-2 pandemic on the timing of presentation and early outcomes of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *PLoS One* 2020;15:e0241149.10.1371/journal.pone.0241149

97. Kwok CS, Gale CP, Kinnaird T et al. Impact of COVID-19 on percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Heart* 2020;106:1805-1811.10.1136/heartjnl-2020-317650

98. Little CD, Kotecha T, Candilio L et al. COVID-19 pandemic and STEMI: pathway activation and outcomes from the pan-London heart attack group. *Open Heart* 2020;710.1136/openhrt-2020-001432

99. Nader J, Anselmi A, Tomasi J et al. Adult cardiac surgery during COVID-19 lockdown: Impact on activity and outcomes in a high-volume centre. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis* 2021;114:364-370.10.1016/j.acvd.2020.12.003

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

100. Pascual Calleja I, Álvarez Velasco R, Almendarez Lacayo M, Arboine Aguirre L, Avanzas Fernández P, Moris de la Tassa, César. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on acute myocardial infarction care times. *Emergencias* 2020;32:440-442.

101. Popovic B, Varlot J, Metzdorf PA, Jeulin H, Goehringer F, Camenzind E. Changes in characteristics and management among patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction due to COVID-19 infection. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2021;97:E319-E326.10.1002/ccd.29114

102. Rodríguez-Leor O, Cid-Álvarez B, Pérez de Prado A et al. Impact of COVID-19 on ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction care. The Spanish experience. *Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed)* 2020;73:994-1002.10.1016/j.rec.2020.08.002

103. Scholz KH, Lengenfelder B, Thilo C et al. Impact of COVID-19 outbreak on regional STEMI care in Germany. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2020;109:1511-1521.10.1007/s00392-020-01703-z

104. Garcia S, Stanberry L, Schmidt C et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on STEMI care: An expanded analysis from the United States. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2021;98:217-222.10.1002/ccd.29154

105. Nappi C, Megna R, Acampa W et al. Effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on myocardial perfusion imaging for ischemic heart disease. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2020;:1-7.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7417201/ 10.1007/s00259-020-04994-6

106. Quadri G, Rognoni A, Cerrato E et al. Catheterization laboratory activity before and during COVID-19 spread: A comparative analysis in Piedmont, Italy, by the Italian Society of Interventional Cardiology (GISE). *Int J Cardiol* 2021;323:288-291.10.1016/j.ijcard.2020.08.072

107. Casey L, Khan N, Healy DG. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on cardiac surgery and transplant services in Ireland's National Centre. *Ir J Med Sci* 2020;:1-5.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7335226/ 10.1007/s11845-020-02292-6

108. Elliott JM, Crozier IG. Decreases in cardiac catheter laboratory workload during the COVID-19 level 4 lockdown in New Zealand. *Intern Med J* 2020;50:1000-1003.10.1111/imj.14922

109. Iafrancesco M, Farina P, Bruno P et al. Impact of Covid-19 Pandemia on Provision of Surgical Treatment for Patients With Cardiovascular Disease. *Circulation* 2020;142:A15347-A15347.
https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/circ.142.suppl_3.15347 10.1161/circ.142.suppl_3.15347

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

110. Ishii H, Amano T, Yamaji K, Kohsaka S, Yokoi H, Ikari Y. Implementation of Percutaneous Coronary Intervention During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Japan - Nationwide Survey Report of the Japanese Association of Cardiovascular Intervention and Therapeutics for Cardiovascular Disease. *Circ J* 2020;84:2185-2189.10.1253/circj.CJ-20-0708

111. Kwok CS, Gale CP, Curzen N et al. Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in England: Insights From the British Cardiovascular Intervention Society PCI Database Cohort. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv* 2020;13:e009654.10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.120.009654

112. Mohamed MO, Kinnaird T, Curzen N et al. In-Hospital and 30-Day Mortality After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in England in the Pre-COVID and COVID Eras. *J Invasive Cardiol* 2021;33:E206-E219.

113. Rodríguez-Caulo EA, Carnero Alcázar M, Garrido Jiménez JM, Barquero Aroca JM. National survey: Impact of COVID-19 on cardiovascular surgery services in Spain (SECCE-COVID19 Study. *Cirugía Cardiovascular* 2021;28:67-70. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1134009621000164 10.1016/j.circv.2021.01.003

114. Soylu K, Coksevim M, Yanık A, Bugra Cerik I, Aksan G. Effect of Covid-19 pandemic process on STEMI patients timeline. *Int J Clin Pract* 2021;75:e14005.10.1111/ijcp.14005

115. Rebollal-Leal F, Aldama-López G, Flores-Ríos X et al. Impact of COVID-19 outbreak and public lockdown on ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction care in Spain. *Cardiol J* 2020;27:425-426.10.5603/CJ.a2020.0098

116. Einstein AJ, Shaw LJ, Hirschfeld C et al. International Impact of COVID-19 on the Diagnosis of Heart Disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2021;77:173-185.10.1016/j.jacc.2020.10.054

117. European Society of Hypertension Corona-virus Disease 19 Task Force. The corona-virus disease 2019 pandemic compromised routine care for hypertension: a survey conducted among excellence centers of the European Society of Hypertension. *J Hypertens* 2021;39:190-195.10.1097/HJH.0000000000002703

118. Sankaranarayanan R, Hartshorne-Evans N, Redmond-Lyon S et al. The impact of COVID-19 on the management of heart failure: a United Kingdom patient questionnaire study. *ESC Heart Fail* 2021;8:1324-1332.10.1002/ehf2.13209

119. Wosik J, Clowse MEB, Overton R et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on patterns of outpatient cardiovascular care. *Am Heart J* 2021;231:1-5.10.1016/j.ahj.2020.10.074

120. Chagué F, Boulin M, Eicher J et al. Impact of lockdown on patients with congestive heart failure during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *ESC Heart Fail* 2020;10.1002/ehf2.13016

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267100; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

121. Wadhera RK, Shen C, Gondi S, Chen S, Kazi DS, Yeh RW. Cardiovascular Deaths During the COVID-19 Pandemic in the United States. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2021;77:159-169.10.1016/j.jacc.2020.10.055

122. Czeisler MÉ. Delay or Avoidance of Medical Care Because of COVID-19–Related Concerns — United States, June 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2020;69
https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6936a4.htm 10.15585/mmwr.mm6936a4

123. Al-Kindi SG, Brook RD, Biswal S, Rajagopalan S. Environmental determinants of cardiovascular disease: lessons learned from air pollution. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2020;17:656-672.
https://www.nature.com/articles/s41569-020-0371-2 10.1038/s41569-020-0371-2

124. Venter ZS, Aunan K, Chowdhury S, Lelieveld J. COVID-19 lockdowns cause global air pollution declines. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2020;117:18984-18990.10.1073/pnas.2006853117

125. Krumholz M.D. HM. Where Have All the Heart Attacks Gone? *The New York Times* 2020;
https://www.nytimes.com/2020/04/06/well/live/coronavirus-doctors-hospitals-emergency-care-heart-attack-stroke.html

126. Coronavirus doctor's diary: Is lockdown good for your heart? *BBC News* 2020;
https://www.bbc.com/news/health-52535044

127. Harky A, Harrington D, Nawaytou O et al. COVID-19 and cardiac surgery: The perspective from United Kingdom. *J Card Surg* 2020;
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7537188/ 10.1111/jocs.15039

128. The European Society for Cardiology. ESC Guidance for the Diagnosis and Management of CV Disease during the COVID-19 Pandemic.
https://www.escardio.org/Education/COVID-19-and-Cardiology/ESC-COVID-19-Guidance,
https://www.escardio.org/Education/COVID-19-and-Cardiology/ESC-COVID-19-Guidance (13-10-2021)

129. HealthPros network.
https://www.healthpros-h2020.eu/index.php/output (23-08-2021)

130. Kringos D, Carinci F, Barbazza E et al. Managing COVID-19 within and across health systems: why we need performance intelligence to coordinate a global response. *Health Res Policy Syst* 2020;18:80.10.1186/s12961-020-00593-x