Title: The role of Zambia's expansive Inter-agency Coordinating Committee (ICC) in supporting efficient, effective, and evidence-based vaccine and health sector programming

Authors:
Zoe Sakas[1], Katie Rodriguez[1], Kyra A Hester[1], Roopa Darwar[1], Bonheur Dounebaine[1], Anna S Ellis[1], Simone Rosenblum[2], Kimberley R Isett[3], Walter Orenstein[4], Matthew C Freeman[1], William Kilembe[5], Robert A Bednarczyk[1]

Affiliations:

1. Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA
2. School of Public Policy, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA
3. Biden School of Public Policy and Administration, Uni of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA
4. Emory School of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA
5. Center for Family Health Research in Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia

Correspondence to:
Dr Robert A Bednarczyk
Hubert Department of Global Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University
Atlanta, GA 30322, USA | Tel: 404-727-9713 | Email: robert.a.bednarczyk@emory.edu

Abstract

Introduction

New vaccines, technologies, and regulations, alongside increased demand for vaccines, all require prioritization and coordination from key players within the vaccine sector. Inter-agency Coordinating Committees (ICC) are forums used to support decision-making and coordination at the national-level and act as key drivers for sustainable improvements in vaccination programming. However, literature examining the functionality of ICCs is limited and outdated.

Methods

We employed a qualitative case study design to investigate critical success factors for high and sustained routine immunization coverage in Zambia from 2000-2018.Qualitative data were collected between October 2019 and February 2020, including key informant interviews (n=66) at the national, provincial, district, and health facility levels. Thematic analysis was applied to understand the role of the Zambia ICC and its impact on the policy environment overtime.

Results

Throughout our study period, particularly from 2014 to present, the Zambian ICC has demonstrated the following improvements: 1) expanded membership to include diverse representation; 2) expanded scope and mandate to include maternal and child health in decision-making; and 3) effective collaboration with the NITAG through distinct and complimentary roles and responsibilities. The Zambian ICC holds considerable influence on government agencies and external partners, which facilitates the procurement of funding, policy decisions, and strategic planning – therefore contributing to the high routine immunization coverage.

Conclusion:

Findings from this paper may contribute to decision-making processes, long-term engagement, membership, and mandates for ICCs in other countries. Transferable lessons include: 1) Expand ICC membership to include a variety of government officials, local representatives, community organizations, external partners, and donors to foster coordination and collaboration across stakeholders; 2) Expand ICC mandate and scope to include other areas of health (i.e., reproductive, maternal, and child health) to foster integration of programs and health systems strengthening; and 3) Establish distinct and complementary roles and responsibilities for the ICC and NITAG to ensure efficient, collaborative, and sustainable integration.

Article Summary – Strengths and Weaknesses of Methods

1. Zambia was identified as an exemplar in vaccine delivery through analysis of DTP1 and DTP3 coverage from 2000 to 2018, compared to other similar countries – therefore, we were able to identify factors that contributed to effective vaccination programming, which included national-level coordination through the ICC. However, we were unable to carry out a similar analysis in a non-exemplary country to compare immunization coverage.
2. We interviewed a wide-range of key informants (n=66) to understand diverse perspectives – including representatives from different levels of the health system and from external partner organizations.
3. All interview guides were piloted before use and adjusted iteratively throughout data collection. Debriefs were conducted during data collection to identify emerging themes and follow-up questions. However, the research tools focused on the factors that drove catalytic change and did not probe on interventions or policies that were unsuccessful.
4. Initial findings were shared with a research advisory group composed of international and Zambian stakeholders and experts within the governance, policy, and immunization sectors. Findings from the qualitative data were also triangulated with information from Gavi documents to strengthen the analysis.
5. Using qualitative methods to understand historical events was challenging; interviewees often spoke about current experiences rather than discussing historical factors. However, research assistants probed respondents to reflect on longitudinal changes in the immunization program.

1. Introduction

Immunization policies and programs in low and middle-income countries are constantly evolving – with new demands and expectations for donors, policy makers, in-country stakeholders, and non-profit organizations.[1] The introduction of new vaccines, technologies, and regulations, alongside increased demand for vaccines, all require prioritization and coordination from key players within the vaccine sector.[1] To respond to growing needs, the establishment, maintenance, and sustainability of effective, efficient, and rigorous national-level coordination forums are essential.

In the 1990s, the World Health Organization (WHO) established Inter-Agency Coordinating Committees (ICCs) as country-level forums to coordinate polio eradication programming.[2] In 2006, Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance (Gavi) began using ICCs to support broader management of its funds for immunization programming.[3,4] Additionally, the ICC differentiates itself from a separate coordinating body required by Gavi, the National Immunization Technical Advisory Group (NITAG). NITAGs present context-specific data to facilitate evidence-based policy and program development, functioning on the adoption end of the vaccine system, rather than operations.[5,6]

Literature suggests that ICCs and NITAGs should have distinct roles – the ICC supports supervision and coordination, and the NITAG provides technical expertise to promote rigorous decision-making.[1] However, relying on parallel administrative structures may foster confusion around roles and responsibilities, leading to inefficiencies and disruptions in program functioning.[6-8] Tailoring the roles and responsibilities of these unique forums to ensure they complement, rather than disrupt, each other may help overcome these challenges.

Successful coordination forums act as key drivers for sustainable improvements in vaccination programming and coverage.[1,5,9] However, there is limited literature on the functionality of ICCs. To begin to fill the existing gap in literature, this study illustrated how Zambia bolstered its ICC for long-term functionality, expanded ICC membership and scope beyond Gavi requirements, and distinguished a complementary structure for the Zambian Immunization Technical Advisory Group (ZITAG). In Zambia, this approach worked well to support immunization programming and improve vaccination coverage.[9]

2. Methods

This study is nested within a larger research project which investigates key success factors for improvements in routine immunization coverage in Zambia, Nepal, and Senegal.

Research questions:

1. What were the key drivers of success for high and sustained growth in routine immunization coverage levels?
    1.1. What were the key implementation and change management strategies employed for high growth in vaccine coverage levels?
2. How has the policy and decision-making environment changed over time, and how have these changes (or lack thereof) impacted both the functioning of the vaccination program and the environment in which it works?
    2.1. How are country wide policy decisions made?
    2.2. What is the interplay of the NITAG and ICC, and how does this top-down leadership impact decision-making?

This paper details one of the most influential success factors described by key informants – the role of the Zambian ICC – and its impact on the policy environment overtime.

2.1 Study design and setting

We employed a qualitative case study design to investigate factors that supported improvements in routine immunization coverage for children under 1 year of age in Zambia from 2000 to 2018. Zambia was one of three countries selected as exemplars in vaccine delivery.[10] Country selection for exemplars was determined by high and sustained DTP1 and DTP3 coverage (illustrated in Figures 1 and 2, respectively), which served as proxies of the vaccine delivery system. Lusaka, Central, and Luapula provinces were chosen based on vaccination coverage and contextual factors. Figure 3 illustrates routine immunization coverage improvements in different regions in Zambia to demonstrate heterogeneity between study sites.[9] Additional information about the site selection, sampling, and protocol can be found elsewhere.[9,10]

2.2 Data collection and analysis

Qualitative data were collected between October 2019 and February 2020, including key informant interviews (n=66) at the national, provincial, district, and health facility levels. Data collection activities are summarized in Table 1. Data were collected by the Center for Family Health Research in Zambia (CFHRZ). Key informant interview (KII) guides were translated into Nyanja and Bemba languages by research assistants. Topics guides aimed to identify key factors that drove the success of the vaccination program in Zambia, according to key informants, especially during points of catalytic growth in DTP1 and DTP3 coverage. All interview guides

were piloted before use and adjusted iteratively throughout data collection. An initial list of KIIs was developed with CFHRZ and MoH officials; we then used snowball sampling to identify additional key informants. The duration of KIIs averaged one and a half hours. KIIs were audio-recorded with the permission of participants. Research files, recordings, and transcriptions were de-identified and password protected. Debriefs were conducted during data collection to identify emerging themes and follow-up questions. All the topic guides used for this study, as well as our analysis tools, can be found on our Open Sciences Framework webpage (available here: https://osf.io/7ys4a/?view_only=739ca7a72f9749118b4aa3d2f7b655d9).

We conducted a thematic analysis of the transcripts to identify contributing factors to the success of the immunization program. We developed a codebook using a deductive approach and *a priori* data analysis, applying constructs from existing implementation science frameworks, and adjusted the codebook based on the emerging themes. Transcripts were coded and analysed using MaxQDA2020 software (Berlin, Germany). All transcripts were coded, relevant themes were identified, and visual tools were used to illustrate the findings. We considered setting and participant roles while identifying key points, and further contextualized data using historical documents and a literature review. Further information about data management and analysis can be found elsewhere.[9,10]

Table 1: Summary of research activities, October 2019 – February 2020

Method	Participants	Number of activities	Number of participants
Key Informant Interviews	Ministry of Health	9	10
	Ministry of Education	1	1
	Ministry of Finance	1	1
	Partner organization	11	15
	Provincial Health Office	6	8
	District Health Offices	10	19
	Nurses in-charge of health facilities	7	10
	Community-based volunteers	11	11
	Community leaders	10	10
Total		**66**	**85**

2.4 Gavi document review

Following qualitative data analysis, we reviewed all Gavi annual reports for Zambia, including joint appraisals, that were publicly available within our study period.[5] During this document review, we focused on themes from the qualitative data, including the roles of the Zambian ICC and NITAG. Findings from the qualitative data were triangulated with information from Gavi documents to strengthen the analysis.

2.5 Research advisory group and stakeholder feedback

The findings were shared with a research advisory group composed of international and Zambian stakeholders and experts within the governance, policy, and immunization sectors. Follow-up questions were shared with in-country key informants to gain more information and detail. Details about advisory group members can be found elsewhere.[10]

2.6 Ethical approval

The study was approved by the University of Zambia Biomedical Research Ethics Committee (Federal Assurance No. FWA00000338, REF. No. 166-2019), the National Health Research Authority in Zambia, and the Institutional Review Board committee of Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA (IRB00111474).

2.7 Patient and public involvement

Study participants or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

3. Key findings & Implications

Many key informants, especially at the national and regional levels, identified the Zambian ICC as a key success factor for increasing vaccination coverage. Additionally, the Zambian ICC was described as a *"key driver of sustainable coverage and equity"* in the 2018 Gavi joint appraisal, which strengthens the findings from our qualitative data.[5] According to our analysis, the Zambian ICC holds considerable influence on government agencies and external partners, which facilitates the procurement of funding, policy decisions, and strategic planning.

> *"For the EPI [Expanded Programme on Immunization], the Inter-Agency Coordinating Committee is a very important organ for policy development and resource mobilization." (WHO personnel)*

The Zambian ICC was established in 1999 to meet WHO Polio Eradication Initiative requirements. The ICC was adapted in 2006 to support the management of Gavi funding for general immunization programming. The ICC continues to oversee vaccine initiatives, lobby for funding from the national government and partners, and foster collaboration between the Ministry of Health (MoH), partners, and community-level stakeholders.[5] The key responsibility of the ICC is to facilitate decision-making and resource mobilization through monthly immunization meetings.

Throughout our study period, particularly from 2014 to present, the Zambian ICC has demonstrated the following improvements: 1) expanded membership to include diverse representation; 2) expanded scope and mandate to include maternal and child health in decision-

making; and 3) effective collaboration with the NITAG through distinct and complimentary roles and responsibilities. These factors are described further below.

3.1 The Zambian ICC supports effective and efficient decision-making through its expansive membership and scope

According to key informants and Gavi joint appraisals from 2014 to 2018, the Zambian ICC performed above the minimal requirements obligatory for funding.[5] The ICC sufficiently accomplished recommendations from Gavi related to strategic planning, financing, membership, information dissemination, and decision-making procedures which are outlined in joint appraisal reports.[5] These improvements were likely fuelled by recommendations from meetings in 2016 and 2017 which included Gavi representatives, current ICC members, and key stakeholders from the Zambian government. The extent that the ICC improved from 2014 to 2018 suggests commitment and motivation from internal leadership and champions. Specifically, the Zambian ICC expanded both its membership and mandate to promote efficient, effective, and rigorous decision-making and resource allocation.

3.1.1 Diverse and representative ICC membership

The Zambian ICC includes diverse and representative membership to support collaboration among technical experts, decision-makers, and community organizations. With its multi-sectoral composition, the ICC provides a forum for coordination of immunization investments, bolsters management of key action points, and supervises technical working groups. [12,13] The MoH holds a leadership position and is considered a "*driving force*" of the forum's success, according to national-level stakeholders.

All members of the ICC are expected to share their opinions and vote on decisions to support collaboration between entities represented on the forum.[5,12,13] Additionally, members of the ICC may participate through other forums that contribute to overall development in Zambia, including meetings with the MoH to develop national health strategic plans and engagement with advisory committees for other health sectors. This cross-membership supports efficiency of planning and resource allocation for health and development in Zambia through the alignment of ideas and priorities between decision-making bodies.

Table 2 outlines key ICC membership required or recommended by Gavi, and compares the fulfilment of these guidelines by the Zambian ICC in 2014 and 2018.[5] Gavi support is reliant on maintaining an active ICC, which includes at least one member in each of the required categories:

1) Ministry of Health,

2) EPI Programming,
3) Financial Planning,
4) Health Systems Strengthening,
5) Key Donors, and
6) Implementing Partners.

Additionally, Gavi recommendations include ICC representation from the following:

7) Immunization Experts,
8) Technical Advisory Groups, and
9) Private Sector.

In 2018, the Zambian ICC membership filled all membership categories. The drastic change in ICC membership from 2014 to 2018, illustrated by the table below, demonstrates Zambian commitment to strengthening the ICC and improving collaboration for immunization programming. Although the membership of the ICC expanded significantly over the last decade, there are still apparent gaps, including limited private sector representation.

The expansive membership of the ICC affected various components of vaccination programming, including cold chain expansion and data quality, through the inclusion of representatives from sub-committees. The Expanded Program on Immunization (EPI) in Senegal includes a technical working group, which functions separately from the ICC. The EPI technical working group is divided into four subcommittees: 1) Monitoring and evaluation, 2) Social mobilization, 3) Cold chain and logistics, and 4) Service delivery. A representative for each sub-committee is represented on the ICC and attends quarterly meetings for decision-making and resource mobilization. Additionally, integrated meetings, attended by ICC members and representatives from the national, provincial, and district levels, are conducted to review data and address gaps in coverage.

Membership of the ICC includes, as illustrated in Figure 4:

- External donors and implementing partners to align priorities and foster mutual trust.
- EPI officers, including representatives from all districts and sub-committees to present sector-specific updates and support context specific implementation.
- Select representatives from technical institutions, including researchers from the Centre for Infectious Disease Research in Zambia (CIDRZ), to ensure evidence is considered.

> *"The ICC is used for [government officials] or partners who support programs in Zambia. It is a committee for high profile [officials], those who have interest in immunization. Together we tell them to [describe] the performance of the program and some of the challenges that it is facing, so that people can lobby for support in terms of*

finances and any other things that we have had tried from the program operation." (Cold Chain Officer)

Table 2: ICC membership matrix from 2014 and 2018*

Category	Member	2014	2018
Ministry of Health *Required*	Minister of Health	X	X
	Permanent Secretary		X
	Department of Child Health		X
	Department of Epidemiology		X
	Department of Planning		X
	Department of Health Promotion		X
	Statistical Office		X
Expanded Programme for Immunization (EPI) *Required*	EPI Manager		X
	EPI Officers: Cold chain, M&E		X
	EPI district representatives		X
Financial Planning *Required*	UN Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF)	X	
	World Health Organization	X	X
	Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance	X	X
	Japan International Cooperation Agency	X	
	Zambian Department of Planning		X
	UN Development Programme		X
Health Systems Strengthening *Required*	Minister of Health	X	
	Permanent Secretary		X
	UN Development Programme	X	
	World Health Organization	X	X
	UN Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF)		X
	UN Population Fund (UNFPA)		X
	US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention		X
Key Donors *Required*	Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance	X	X
	Japan International Cooperation Agency	X	
	World Bank		X
	UK Department for International Development (DFID)		X
	US Agency for International Development (USAID)		X
Implementing Partners *Required*	Churches Health Association of Zambia (CHAZ)	X	X
	World Health Organization	X	X
	PATH and Better Immunization Data (BID) Initiative	X	X

	UN Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF)	X	X
	World Vision	X	
	Catholic Relief Services	X	
	ZAMRA		X
	John Snow International		X
	Centre for Infectious Disease Research in Zambia (CIDRZ)		X
	Swedish International Dev't Cooperation Agency		X
	United Nations Development Programme		X
	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)		X
Experts *Recommended*	Centre for Infectious Disease Research in Zambia (CIDRZ)	X	X
	Zambia School of Nursing		X
	Chimana College		X
	Medical University		X
Technical Advisory Groups Recommended	Child Health TAG	X	
	RMNCAH-N ICC	X	X
	Centre for Infectious Disease Research in Zambia (CIDRZ)		X
	Churches Health Association of Zambia (CHAZ)		X
	PATH		X
	Zambia School of Nursing		X
Private Sector *Recommended*	John Snow International		X

**Information sourced from Gavi joint appraisals and key informant interviews*

3.1.2 Expanded mandate to other areas of health

In 2017, the terms of reference for the Zambian ICC expanded to include a broader focus on Reproductive, Maternal, Neonatal, Child, and Adolescent Health, and Nutrition (RMNCAH-N). This decision, discussed during an annual appraisal meeting with key stakeholders, stemmed from a suggestion by Gavi to the Zambian ICC to expand its scope.[5] Following the appraisal meeting, the ICC mandate expanded to include organizations that work in child and maternal health. Key informants from the national and regional level spoke about the positive impact of this expanded mandate, which allows for efficient and comprehensive strategic planning and resource allocation.

> *"In an effort to strengthen the governance and oversight capabilities of the ICC, there are recommendations to prioritize ICC strengthening in 2018 through an assessment*

which will include a comprehensive review of both the TORs and membership of the group." (Gavi appraisal, 2017)

"The ICC is ideally targeted at the heads of organizations who have an interest in reproductive and maternal and child health and nutrition issues in the country." (UNICEF personnel)

Although Gavi appraisals stated that the Zambian ICC began to incorporate broader areas of health in 2017, there is evidence from interviews that the ICC was working to expand its scope to include maternal, new-born, and child health representation as early as 2010. This expansion allowed for a more holistic approach to vaccination, involving ante-natal clinics, traditional birth attendants, nurses, and other staff members from health facilities. Activities such as child growth tracking and school enrolment were also tied to vaccination efforts.

"In terms of decision-making at a higher level, the ICC [has] evolved some time back. There was the RMNCAH-N partnership for maternal, newborn, and child health. It must have been 2010, there was a partnership which was created to incorporate the maternal component." (WHO personnel)

The ICC's motivation to include RMNCAH-N was likely in response to prioritization of women's, children's, and adolescent health within the global health policy environment; however, this motivation was not entirely clear from our investigation. It is possible that introduction of the Human Papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine (piloted and scaled up in Zambia in 2013 and 2019, respectively) may have supported this expansion. Representatives focused on HPV immunization were likely involved with the ICC at that time, establishing a natural connection between reproductive and vaccination programming, which highlights the importance of integrated programming and budgeting.[14]

3.2 Distinct and complementary roles of the Zambian ICC and NITAG

Our data demonstrates that strengthening the relationship between the Zambian ICC and NITAG for long-term functionality supported improvements in vaccine programming. The Zambian NITAG, referred to as ZITAG, was established in 2016 as a requirement to continue receiving Gavi funding. To date, the ICC and ZITAG have distinct and complementary roles and responsibilities, as described in Figure 5 and Table 3. Gavi-funded programs typically focus first on the adoption of an ICC to manage donor funding, and later establishment of the NITAG as a parallel technical group. Key informants from the MoH described that the collaboration between the Zambian ICC and ZITAG allowed for long-term functionality without duplication of efforts.

Table 3: Comparing mandate and membership of ICCs and NITAGs

	ICC	***NITAG***
Mandate	Fosters collaboration between entities Supports EPI resource mobilization Supports advocacy efforts Acts as a decision-making forum Holds quarterly meetings Lobbies for funding	Collects and analyses data to provide the MoH and ICC with evidence-based advice Provides an advisory role Develops and supports grant proposals Provides technical advice Supports contextualization
Membership	MoH (chair) Representatives from technical groups Donors and implementing partners Civil Society Organizations EPI officers (including sub-committee members and district reps), Private sector	Core members include: experts in public health, health care, epidemiology, vaccine sector, sociology, economics Liaison: Technical partners (WHO, UNICEF) Secretariat: Ensures NITAG is functioning

Through formal interactions, the ZITAG empowers the ICC to make evidence-based decisions. The ZITAG presents evidence to the ICC either recommending or discouraging a particular strategy or intervention based on context, formative research, piloting, and situational analysis. The MoH considers the evidence presented by the ZITAG when formulating national immunization policies and strategies. Integration of both bodies within national decision-making is enhanced by the distinct roles of each entity, a component that is necessary for the success of advisory and coordination committees.[1,6] Despite its recent establishment, Zambian officials interviewed for this study value the ZITAG's ability to provide context-specific recommendations for immunization programming.[11]

> *"In terms of professional bodies in health, we have a good number of them that attend [regular coordination] meetings; also, we have the NITAG that has people pulled from different professions. So that's quite a key component in the governance system." (EPI personnel)*

Additionally, the ZITAG includes several technical stakeholders, such as academics, epidemiologists, health care professionals, scientific societies, and technical experts from NGOs, whereas ICC membership includes a broader range of leadership.[11] Key informants in Zambia agreed that the slight delays that may result from NITAG involvement in ICC decision-making are offset by the benefits of more robust, evidence-based decisions that are tailored to local specificities. The addition of a fully functioning NITAG, alongside the decision-making authority of the ICC, supports strategic planning and advocacy for funding:

> *"The ZITAG is looking at [immunization] from a very critical standpoint, and from a*

very technical standpoint – looking at costs, disease burden, etc. I think [the ZITAG is] an external body that's incredibly important to give recommendations compared to the ICC which [does not always include] many technical partners." (CIDRZ personnel)

3.3 How does the Zambian ICC compare with the ICCs of other countries in Africa?

There is limited information available comparing the functionality of ICCs in different countries or assessing the interplay between ICCs and NITAGs. From our literature review, we found that Kenya and Tanzania, both with DTP3 coverage comparable to that of Zambia, have also experienced the benefits of expanding their ICC membership.[15-19] The Kenyan ICC also utilizes a multisectoral approach, which includes alignment of priorities with other health sectors, for crafting immunization policy through cooperation with the KENITAG. The ICC of Tanzania schedules additional meetings with its NITAG for strategizing efforts to reduce bottlenecks in delivery and improve uptake of immunization services.[19] While all countries receiving Gavi funding are advised to establish an ICC and NITAG, only some have reported fully utilizing collaboration of the two bodies for decision-making.[16,20] With active, inclusive, and collaborative ICCs and NITAGs, Zambia, Kenya, and Tanzania effectively utilized these forums through defining clear roles and prioritizing the efficacy of immunization programs.

3.4 Transferable lessons

The structure and role of the Zambian ICC may act as a model for other countries by adapting based on the following transferable lessons:

- Expand ICC membership to include a variety of government officials, local representatives, community organizations, external partners, and donors to foster coordination and collaboration across stakeholders.
- Expand ICC mandate and scope to include other areas of health (i.e., reproductive, maternal, and child health) to foster integration of programs and health systems strengthening.
- Establish distinct and complementary roles and responsibilities for the ICC and NITAG to ensure efficient, collaborative, and sustainable integration.

4. Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, we focused on Zambia as a positive deviant in vaccine exemplars but were unable to carry out a similar analysis in a non-exemplary country to compare immunization coverage. Second, the research tools focused on the factors that drove catalytic change and did not probe on interventions or policies that were unsuccessful. Third, using qualitative methods to understand historical events was challenging; interviewees often spoke about current experiences rather than discussing historical factors. However, research assistants probed respondents to reflect on longitudinal changes in the immunization program.

5. Conclusion

Zambia's status as an exemplar in vaccine delivery was likely supported by the strength, expansion, and prioritization of its national coordination and technical advisory committees. While most countries have functional ICCs, the Zambian ICC demonstrated an impressive ability to make, act on, monitor, and review decisions made regarding vaccine programming. However, more research is needed to explore ICC functionality, compare ICCs from different countries, explore costs related to ICC activities, and assess the integral relationship between the ICC and NITAG. Findings from this paper may contribute to the decision-making processes, long-term engagement, membership, and mandate for ICCs in other countries.

Figures in this manuscript include:

Figure 1. DTP1 coverage in Zambia 2000-2018

Figure 2. DTP3 coverage in Zambia 2000-2018

Figure 3. Heat map illustrating improved DTP3 coverage across all regions of Zambia 2000-2019

Figure 4. ICC Structure

Figure 5. Effective Programming accomplished through collaboration of the ICC and NITAG in Zambia

Conflict of interest statement

All authors declare: 1) No support from any organisation for the submitted work; 2) no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; 3) no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Contributors

KM, BD, WK, RB contributed to data collection; ZS, KM, KH, RD, BD, AE, KRI, SR, WO, WK, RB, MF contributed to analysis/interpretation; ZS, KM, KH, RD drafted the article; All authors critically revised the article; All authors provided approval of the submitted version.

Declaration of interests

Authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

The views expressed are those of the authors alone and do not necessarily reflect the policies or recommendations of the institutions with whom they are affiliated.

Funding statement

This work was supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, grant number OPP1195041. Pilot and proposal development funds were provided by Gates Ventures.

Data statement

The data are not publicly available as all data are confidential.

References:

1. Duclos P. National Immunization Technical Advisory Groups (NITAGs): Guidance for their establishment and strengthening. *Vaccine* 2010;**28**:A18–A25.

2. Okeibunor J, Nsubuga P, Salla M, *et al*. Coordination as a best practice from the polio eradication initiative: Experiences from five member states in the African region of the World Health Organization. *Vaccine* 2016;**34**:5203–7.

3. Grundy J. Country-level governance of global health initiatives: an evaluation of immunization coordination mechanisms in five countries of Asia. *Health Policy Plan* 2009;**25**:186–96.

4. Gandhi G. Charting the evolution of approaches employed by the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunizations (GAVI) to address inequities in access to immunization: a systematic qualitative review of GAVI policies, strategies and resource allocation mechanisms through an equity lens (1999–2014). *BMC Public Health* 2015;**15**.DOI:10.1186/s12889-015-2521-8.

5. Zambia Documents. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. https://www.Gavi.org/country-documents/zambia.

6. Howard N, Walls H, Bell S, *et al.* The role of National Immunisation Technical Advisory Groups (NITAGs) in strengthening national vaccine decision-making: A comparative case study of Armenia, Ghana, Indonesia, Nigeria, Senegal and Uganda. *Vaccine* 2018;**36**:5536–43.

7. Howard N, Bell S, Walls H, *et al.* The need for sustainability and alignment of future support for National Immunization Technical Advisory Groups (NITAGs) in low and middle-income countries. *Hum Vaccin Immunother.* 2018;**14**:1539–41.

8. Buffardi AL, Archer RA, Sharp S. Regional bodies supporting national-level evidence-informed decision-making on vaccines. Overseas Development Institute. 2020; published online Jan. https://cdn.odi.org/media/documents/odi-pa-regionalbodieseidm-briefingnote-feb20.pdf.

9. Micek K, Hester KA, *et al*. Critical success factors for routine immunization performance: A case study of Zambia 2000 to 2018. 2020. *Submitted to peer-reviewed journal.* Available on pre-print: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.30.21267060

10. Bednarczyk R, Hester KA, *et al.* Identification and evaluation of catalytic factors in achieving high and sustained childhood immunization coverage in selected low-income countries. 2020. *Submitted to peer-reviewed journal.* Available on pre-print: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267018

11. Adjagba A, Senouci K, Biellik R, *et al.* Supporting countries in establishing and strengthening NITAGs: Lessons learned from 5 years of the SIVAC initiative. *Vaccine* 2015;**33**:588–95.

12. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. National coordination forums self-assessment tool. https://www.gavi.org/news/document-library/national-coordination-forums-self-assessment-tool.

13. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. Guidance on national coordination forums. https://www.gavi.org/news/document-library/guidance-national-coordination-forums.

14. Pollack AE, Balkin M, Edouard L, *et al.* Ensuring access to HPV vaccines through integrated services: a reproductive health perspective. *Bull World Health Organ* 2007;**85**:57–63.

15. World Health Organization. Diphtheria tetanus toxoid and pertussis (DTP3) - Immunization coverage estimates by country. https://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.main.A827 (accessed April 1, 2021).

16. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. Joint Appraisal Report - Kenya. 2017. https://www.gavi.org/sites/default/files/document/joint-appraisal-kenya-2017pdf.pdf.

17. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. Joint Appraisal Report - Kenya. 2019. https://www.gavi.org/sites/default/files/document/2020/Kenya%20Joint%20Appraisal%202019%20Final.pdf.

18. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. Joint Appraisal Report - Tanzania. 2019. https://www.gavi.org/sites/default/files/document/2020/Tanzania%20Joint%20Appraisal%202019.pdf.

19. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. Tanzania - 2018 programme support rationale: strategic period (2019-2023). 2018. https://www.gavi.org/sites/default/files/document/programme-support-rationale---hss-2019---tanzaniapdf.pdf.

20. NITAG Resource Center. Global NITAG Network Members. 2019. https://www.nitag-resource.org/network/members.

Figure 1. **DTP1 coverage in Zambia 2000-2018**

Figure 2. DTP3 coverage in Zambia 2000-2018

Figure 3. Heat map illustrating improved DTP3 coverage across all regions of Zambia 2000-2019



Figure 4. Inter-Agency Coordinating Committee (ICC) Structure



Figure 5. Effective Programming accomplished through collaboration of the ICC and NITAG in Zambia