Title:

The role of Zambia's expansive Inter-agency Coordinating Committee (ICC) in supporting

evidence-based vaccine and health sector programming

Authors:

Zoe Sakas[1], Katie Rodriguez[1], Kyra A Hester[1], Roopa Darwar[1], Bonheur Dounebaine[1], Anna S

Ellis[1], Simone Rosenblum[2], Kimberley R Isett[3], Walter Orenstein[4], Matthew C Freeman[1],

William Kilembe[5], Robert A Bednarczyk[1]

Affiliations:

1. Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA

2. School of Public Policy, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

3. Biden School of Public Policy and Administration, Uni of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA

4. Emory School of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA

5. Center for Family Health Research in Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia

Correspondence to:

Dr Robert A Bednarczyk

Hubert Department of Global Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University

Atlanta, GA 30322, USA | Tel: 404-727-9713 | Email: robert.a.bednarczyk@emory.edu

Abstract

New vaccines, technologies, and regulations, alongside increased demand for vaccines, all

require prioritization and coordination from key players within the vaccine sector. Inter-agency

Coordinating Committees (ICC) support decision-making and coordination at the national-level

and act as key drivers for sustainable improvements in vaccination programming. We employed

a qualitative case study design to investigate critical success factors for the high routine

immunization coverage in Zambia from 2000-2018. Qualitative data were collected between

October 2019 and February 2020, including key informant interviews (n=66) at the national,

provincial, district, and health facility levels. Thematic analysis was applied to understand the

role of the Zambian ICC and its impact on the policy environment overtime. Throughout our

study period, the Zambian ICC demonstrated the following improvements: 1) expanded

membership to include diverse representation; 2) expanded scope and mandate to include

maternal and child health in decision-making; and 3) collaboration with the ZITAG through

distinct roles. The diverse and expansive membership of the Zambian ICC, along with its ability

to foster government commitment and lobby for additional resources, supported improvements in

immunization programming. The Zambian ICC holds considerable influence on government

agencies and external partners which facilitates procurement of funding, policy decisions, and

strategic planning.

Keywords: Vaccine, Zambia, Implementation Science, Health decision-making

1. Introduction

Immunization policies and programs in low and middle-income countries are constantly evolving.[1] The introduction of new vaccines, technologies, and regulations, alongside increased demand for vaccines, all require prioritization and coordination from key players within the vaccine sector.[1] To respond to growing needs, the establishment and maintenance of effective, efficient, and rigorous national-level coordination forums are essential.

In the 1990s, the World Health Organization (WHO) established Inter-Agency Coordinating Committees (ICCs) as country-level forums to coordinate polio eradication programming.[2] In 2006, Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance (Gavi) began using ICCs to support broader management of funds for immunization programming. [3,4] Gavi also requires creation of National Immunization Technical Advisory Groups (NITAG) to present data and evidence-based recommendations to the ICC and other national forums. [5,6,7] Since 2001, Gavi has contributed $164,000,000 to the Zambian government for vaccination programming and health systems strengthening, which has supported the expansion and strengthening of Zambia's ICC and NITAG, referred to as ZITAG. [7, 8]

Literature suggests that ICCs and NITAGs should have distinct roles – the ICC supports supervision and coordination, and the NITAG provides technical expertise to promote rigorous decision-making [1,7]. However, relying on parallel administrative structures may foster confusion around roles and responsibilities, leading to inefficiencies and disruptions in program functioning [6,9,10]. Tailoring the roles and responsibilities of these unique forums to ensure they complement, rather than disrupt, each other may help overcome these challenges.

Successful coordination forums act as key drivers for sustainable improvements in vaccination

programming and coverage [1,5,11]. This study illustrates how Zambia bolstered its ICC for

long-term functionality, expanded ICC membership and scope beyond Gavi requirements, and

distinguished a complementary structure for the Zambian Immunization Technical Advisory

Group (ZITAG). In Zambia, stakeholders reported that this approach worked well to support

immunization programming and improve vaccination coverage [11].

2. Methods

This study is nested within a larger research project which investigates key success factors for

improvements in routine immunization coverage in Zambia, Nepal, and Senegal.

Research questions:

1. What were key drivers of success for high and sustained routine immunization coverage?

    1.1. What were the key implementation and change management strategies employed
        for high growth in vaccine coverage levels?

2. How has the policy and decision-making environment changed over time, and how have
   these changes (or lack thereof) impacted both the functioning of the vaccination program
   and the environment in which it works?

    2.1. How are country wide policy decisions made?

    2.2. What is the interplay of the NITAG and ICC, and how does this top-down
        leadership impact decision-making?

This paper details one of the most influential success factors described by key informants – the

role of the Zambian ICC – and its impact on the policy environment overtime.

2.1 Study design and setting

We employed a qualitative case study design to investigate factors that supported improvements

in routine immunization coverage for children under 1 year of age in Zambia from 2000 to 2018.

Zambia was one of three countries selected as exemplars in vaccine delivery [12]. Country

selection for exemplars was determined by high and sustained DTP1 and DTP3 coverage

(illustrated in Figures 1 and 2, respectively), which served as proxies of the vaccine delivery

system. Lusaka, Central, and Luapula provinces were chosen based on vaccination coverage and

contextual factors. Figure 3 illustrates routine immunization coverage improvements in different

regions in Zambia to demonstrate heterogeneity between study sites [11]. Additional information

about the site selection, sampling, and protocol can be found elsewhere [11,12].

Figure 1. DTP1 coverage in Zambia 2000-2018

Figure 2. DTP3 coverage in Zambia 2000-2018

Figure 3. Heat map illustrating improved DTP3 coverage across all regions of Zambia 2000-2019

2.2 Data collection and analysis

Qualitative data were collected between October 28, 2019 and March 6, 2020. Data collection

included key informant interviews (n=66) at the national, provincial, district, and health facility

levels. Data collection activities are summarized in Table 1. Data were collected by the Center

for Family Health Research in Zambia (CFHRZ). Key informant interview (KII) guides were

translated into Nyanja and Bemba languages by research assistants. Topics guides aimed to

identify key factors that drove the success of the vaccination program in Zambia, according to

key informants, especially during points of catalytic growth in DTP1 and DTP3 coverage. All

interview guides were piloted before use and adjusted iteratively throughout data collection.

Table 1: Summary of research activities, October 2019 – February 2020

Method	Participants	Number of activities	Number of participants
Key Informant Interviews	Ministry of Health	9	10
	Ministry of Education	1	1
	Ministry of Finance	1	1
	Partner organization	11	15
	Provincial Health Office	6	8
	District Health Offices	10	19
	Nurses in-charge of health facilities	7	10
	Community-based volunteers	11	11
	Community leaders	10	10
Total		**66**	**85**

An initial list of KIIs was developed with CFHRZ and MoH officials; we then used snowball

sampling to identify additional key informants. The duration of KIIs averaged one and a half

hours. KIIs were audio-recorded with the permission of participants. Research files, recordings,

and transcriptions were de-identified and password protected. Debriefs were conducted during

data collection to identify emerging themes and follow-up questions. All the topic guides used

for this study, as well as our analysis tools, can be found on our Open Sciences Framework

webpage [13].

We conducted a thematic analysis of the transcripts to identify contributing factors to the success

of the immunization program. We developed a codebook using a deductive approach, applying

constructs from existing implementation science frameworks, and adjusted the codebook based

on the emerging themes. Transcripts were coded and analysed using MaxQDA2020 software

(Berlin, Germany). All transcripts were coded, relevant themes were identified, and visual tools

were used to illustrate the findings. We considered setting and participant roles while identifying

key points, and further contextualized data using historical documents and a literature review.

Further information about data management and analysis can be found elsewhere [11,12].

2.4 Gavi document review

Following qualitative data analysis, we reviewed all Gavi annual reports for Zambia, including

joint appraisals, that were publicly available within our study period [5]. During this document

review, we focused on themes that emerged from the qualitative data. Findings from the

qualitative data were triangulated with information from Gavi documents to strengthen the

analysis.

2.5 Research advisory group and stakeholder feedback

The findings were shared with a research advisory group composed of international and Zambian

stakeholders and experts in the governance, policy, and immunization sectors. Follow-up

questions were shared with in-country key informants to gain more information and detail.

Details about advisory group members can be found elsewhere [12].

2.6 Ethics Statement

The study was approved by the University of Zambia Biomedical Research Ethics Committee

(Federal Assurance No. FWA00000338, REF. No. 166-2019), the National Health Research

Authority in Zambia, and the Institutional Review Board committee of Emory University,

Atlanta, Georgia, USA (IRB00111474). Informed consent was obtained from all 85 participants.

Written consent forms were provided, reviewed, and signed prior to interviews. All consent

forms were approved by the appropriate ethics committees.

3.   Key findings & Implications

Many key informants, especially at the national and regional levels, identified the Zambian ICC

as a key success factor for increasing vaccination coverage. Additionally, the Zambian ICC was

described as a *"key driver of sustainable coverage and equity"* in the 2018 Gavi joint appraisal,

which strengthens the findings from our qualitative data [5]. According to our analysis, the

Zambian ICC has held considerable influence on government agencies and external partners,

which facilitates the procurement of funding, policy decisions, and strategic planning.

"For the EPI [Expanded Programme on Immunization], the Inter-Agency Coordinating

Committee is a very important organ for policy development and resource

mobilization." (WHO personnel)

The Zambian ICC was established in 1999 to meet WHO Polio Eradication Initiative

requirements. The ICC was adapted in 2006 to support the management of Gavi funding for

general immunization programming. The ICC continues to oversee vaccine initiatives, lobby for

funding from the national government and partners, and foster collaboration between the

Ministry of Health (MoH), partners, and community-level stakeholders [5]. The key

responsibility of the ICC is to facilitate decision-making and resource mobilization through

monthly meetings.

Throughout our study period, particularly from 2014 to present, the Zambian ICC has

demonstrated the following improvements: 1) expanded membership to include diverse

representation; 2) expanded scope and mandate to include maternal and child health in decision-

making; and 3) collaboration with the ZITAG through distinct and complimentary roles and

responsibilities. These factors are described further below.

3.1   The Zambian ICC expanded its membership and scope to improve reach,

coordination, and commitment

According to key informants and Gavi joint appraisals from 2014 to 2018, the Zambian ICC

performed above the minimal requirements obligatory for funding [5]. The ICC sufficiently

accomplished recommendations from Gavi related to strategic planning, financing, membership,

information dissemination, and decision-making procedures which are outlined in joint appraisal

reports [5]. These improvements were likely fuelled by recommendations from meetings in 2016

and 2017 which included Gavi representatives, current ICC members, and key stakeholders from

the Zambian government. The extent that the ICC improved from 2014 to 2018 suggests

commitment and motivation from internal leadership and champions. Specifically, the Zambian

ICC expanded both its membership and mandate to promote decision-making and resource

allocation.

3.1.1   Diverse and representative ICC membership

The Zambian ICC includes diverse and representative membership to support collaboration

among technical experts, decision-makers, and community organizations [7]. With its multi-

sectoral composition, the ICC provides a forum for coordination of immunization investments,

bolsters management of key action points, and administers technical working groups [15,16]. The

MoH holds a leadership position and is considered a "*driving force*" of the forum's success,

according to national-level stakeholders. Additionally, over 50% of Zambia's ICC members

report having been members of the ICC for over 6 years, according to a recent Gavi evaluation

[7]. Diversity and longevity of ICC members was described as beneficial to decision-making.

All members of the ICC are expected to share their opinions and vote on decisions to support

collaboration between entities represented on the forum [5,15,16]. Additionally, members of the

ICC may participate in other forums that contribute to development in Zambia, including

meetings with the MoH to develop national health strategic plans and engagement with advisory

committees for other health sectors [7]. This cross-membership supports efficiency of planning

and resource allocation for health and development in Zambia through the alignment of ideas and

priorities between decision-making bodies. Existing literature states that members of the ICC,

ZITAG, and EPI Technical Working Groups are generally informed about decisions, plans, and

evaluations in Zambia [7] – which aligns with our findings.

Table 2 outlines key ICC membership required or recommended by Gavi, and compares the

fulfilment of these guidelines by the Zambian ICC in 2014 and 2018 [5]. Gavi support is reliant

on maintaining an active ICC, which includes at least one member in each of the required

categories:

1) Ministry of Health,

2) EPI Programming,

3) Financial Planning,

4) Health Systems Strengthening,

5) Key Donors, and

6) Implementing Partners.

Additionally, Gavi recommendations include ICC representation from the following:

7) Immunization Experts,

8) Technical Advisory Groups, and

9) Private Sector.

Table 2: ICC membership matrix from 2014 and 2018*

Category	Member	2014	2018
Ministry of Health *Required*	Minister of Health	X	X
	Permanent Secretary		X
	Department of Child Health		X
	Department of Epidemiology		X
	Department of Planning		X
	Department of Health Promotion		X
	Statistical Office		X
Expanded Programme for Immunization (EPI) *Required*	EPI Manager		X
	EPI Officers: Cold chain, M&E		X
	EPI district representatives		X
Financial Planning *Required*	UN Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF)	X	
	World Health Organization	X	X

Category	Organization		
	Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance	X	X
	Japan International Cooperation Agency	X	
	Zambian Department of Planning		X
	UN Development Programme		X
Health Systems Strengthening *Required*	Minister of Health	X	
	Permanent Secretary		X
	UN Development Programme	X	
	World Health Organization	X	X
	UN Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF)		X
	UN Population Fund (UNFPA)		X
	US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention		X
Key Donors *Required*	Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance	X	X
	Japan International Cooperation Agency	X	
	World Bank		X
	UK Department for International Development (DFID)		X
	US Agency for International Development (USAID)		X
Implementing Partners *Required*	Churches Health Association of Zambia (CHAZ)	X	X
	World Health Organization	X	X
	PATH and Better Immunization Data (BID) Initiative	X	X
	UN Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF)	X	X
	World Vision	X	
	Catholic Relief Services	X	
	ZAMRA		X
	John Snow International		X
	Centre for Infectious Disease Research in Zambia (CIDRZ)		X
	Swedish International Dev't Cooperation Agency		X
	United Nations Development Programme		X
	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)		X
Experts *Recommended*	Centre for Infectious Disease Research in Zambia (CIDRZ)	X	X
	Zambia School of Nursing		X
	Chimana College		X
	Medical University		X
Technical Advisory	Child Health TAG	X	

Groups Recommended	RMNCAH-N ICC	X	X
	Centre for Infectious Disease Research in Zambia (CIDRZ)		X
	Churches Health Association of Zambia (CHAZ)		X
	PATH		X
	Zambia School of Nursing		X
Private Sector *Recommended*	John Snow International		X

235 **Information sourced from Gavi joint appraisals and key informant interviews*
236

In 2018, the Zambian ICC membership filled all membership categories. The drastic change in

ICC membership from 2014 to 2018, illustrated by the table below, demonstrates Zambian

commitment to strengthening the ICC and improving collaboration for immunization

programming. Although the membership of the ICC expanded significantly over the last decade,

there are still apparent gaps, including limited private sector representation. Additionally, recent

reports highlight concerns that some members were more of diplomats in their organizations and

might be lacking the required technical capacity [7].

The expansive membership of the ICC affected various components of vaccination

programming, including cold chain expansion and data quality, through the inclusion of

representatives from sub-committees. The Expanded Program on Immunization (EPI) in Senegal

includes a technical working group, which functions separately from the ICC. The EPI technical

working group (EPI-TWG) is divided into four subcommittees: 1) Monitoring and evaluation, 2)

Social mobilization, 3) Cold chain and logistics, and 4) Service delivery. EPI-TWG

subcommittees are known to be highly active and contributed substantially to the success of the

Zambian ICC, especially through providing reports and evidence to both the ICC and ZITAG.

[7] A representative for each sub-committee is represented on the ICC and attends quarterly

meetings for decision-making and resource mobilization. Additionally, integrated meetings,

attended by ICC members and representatives from the national, provincial, and district levels,

are conducted to review data and address gaps in coverage.

Membership of the ICC includes, as illustrated in Figure 4:

• External donors and implementing partners to align priorities and foster mutual trust.

• EPI officers, including representatives from all districts and sub-committees to present

sector-specific updates and support context specific implementation.

• Select representatives from technical institutions, including researchers from the Centre

for Infectious Disease Research in Zambia (CIDRZ), to ensure evidence is considered.

"The ICC is used for [government officials] or partners who support programs in

Zambia. It is a committee for high profile [officials], those who have interest in

immunization. Together we tell them to [describe] the performance of the program and

some of the challenges that it is facing, so that people can lobby for support in terms of

finances and any other things that we have had tried from the program operation." (EPI

Cold Chain Officer)

Figure 4. Description of the ICC Structure in Zambia

3.1.2 Expanded mandate to other areas of health

In 2017, the terms of reference for the Zambian ICC expanded to include a broader focus on

Reproductive, Maternal, Neonatal, Child, and Adolescent Health, and Nutrition (RMNCAH-N).

This decision, discussed during an annual appraisal meeting with key stakeholders, stemmed

from a suggestion by Gavi to the Zambian ICC to expand its scope [5]. Following the appraisal

meeting, the ICC mandate expanded to include organizations that work in child and maternal

health. Key informants from the national and regional level spoke about the positive impact of

this expanded mandate, which allows for efficient and comprehensive strategic planning and

resource allocation.

"In an effort to strengthen the governance and oversight capabilities of the ICC, there

are recommendations to prioritize ICC strengthening in 2018 through an assessment

which will include a comprehensive review of both the TORs and membership of the

group." (Gavi appraisal, 2017)

"The ICC is ideally targeted at the heads of organizations who have an interest in

reproductive and maternal and child health and nutrition issues in the country."

(UNICEF personnel)

Although Gavi appraisals stated that the Zambian ICC began to incorporate broader areas of

health in 2017, there is evidence from interviews that the ICC was working to expand its scope to

include maternal, new-born, and child health representation as early as 2010. This expansion

allowed for a more holistic approach to vaccination, involving ante-natal clinics, traditional birth

attendants, nurses, and other staff members from health facilities. Activities such as child growth

tracking and school enrolment were also tied to vaccination efforts.

"In terms of decision-making at a higher level, the ICC [has] evolved some time back.

There was the RMNCAH-N partnership for maternal, newborn, and child health. It must

have been 2010, there was a partnership which was created to incorporate the maternal

component." (WHO personnel)

The ICC's motivation to include RMNCAH-N was likely in response to prioritization of

women's, children's, and adolescent health within the global health policy environment;

however, this motivation was not entirely clear from our investigation. It is possible that

introduction of the Human Papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine (piloted and scaled up in Zambia in

2013 and 2019, respectively) may have supported this expansion. Representatives focused on

HPV immunization were likely involved with the ICC at that time, establishing a natural

connection between reproductive and vaccination programming, which highlights the importance

of integrated programming and budgeting [17].

Although key informants interviewed for this study spoke positively about the expanded

mandate and cross-sectoral approach, a recent Gavi evaluation revealed that members of the

ZITAG and EPI-TWG had some concerns over the recent changes. [7] Namely, ZITAG

members reported that the link between them and the Zambian ICC was weakened by the

broadening mandate which led to inefficiencies within the immunization sector. [7] Some also

described inefficiencies in decision-making related to vaccine programming due to the ICC's

broader focus. [7]

3.2 Distinct and complementary roles of the Zambian ICC and ZITAG

Our data illustrates that strengthening the relationship between the Zambian ICC and ZITAG for

long-term functionality supported improvements in vaccine programming. However, other recent

studies also highlight the challenges of coordinating between two similar national forums. [A]

The ZITAG was established in 2016 to provide evidence-based recommendations and as a

requirement to continue receiving Gavi funding. [7,8] To date, the ICC and ZITAG have distinct

and complementary roles and responsibilities, as described in Figure 5 and Table 3. Gavi-funded

programs typically focus first on the adoption of an ICC to manage donor funding, and later on

the establishment of the NITAG as a parallel technical group. Key informants from the MoH

described that the collaboration between the Zambian ICC and ZITAG allowed for long-term

functionality without duplication of efforts.

Figure 5. Programming accomplished through collaboration of the ICC and NITAG in Zambia

Table 3: Comparing mandate and membership of ICCs and NITAGs

	ICC	NITAG
Mandate	Fosters collaboration between entities Supports EPI resource mobilization Supports advocacy efforts Acts as a decision-making forum Holds quarterly meetings Lobbies for funding	Collects and analyses data to provide the MoH and ICC with evidence-based advice Holds an advisory role Develops and supports grant proposals Provides technical advice Supports contextualization
Membership	MoH (chair) Representatives from technical groups Donors and implementing partners Civil Society Organizations EPI officers (including sub-committee members and district reps), Private sector	Core members include: experts in public health, health care, epidemiology, vaccine sector, sociology, economics Liaison: Technical partners (WHO, UNICEF) Secretariat: Ensures NITAG is functioning

Through formal interactions, the ZITAG empowers the ICC to make evidence-based decisions.

The ZITAG presents evidence to the ICC either recommending or discouraging a particular

strategy or intervention based on context, formative research, piloting, and situational analysis.

The MoH considers the evidence presented by the ZITAG when formulating national

immunization policies and strategies. Integration of both bodies within national decision-making

is enhanced by the distinct roles of each entity, a component that is necessary for the success of

advisory and coordination committees [1,6]. Despite its recent establishment, Zambian officials

interviewed for this study value the ZITAG's ability to provide context-specific

recommendations for immunization programming [14].

"In terms of professional bodies in health, we have a good number of them that attend

[regular coordination] meetings; also, we have the NITAG that has people pulled from

different professions. So that's quite a key component in the governance system." (EPI

personnel)

Additionally, the ZITAG includes several technical stakeholders, such as academics,

epidemiologists, health care professionals, scientific societies, and technical experts from NGOs,

whereas ICC membership includes a broader range of leadership.[14] Key informants in Zambia

agreed that delays from coordination between the ICC and ZITAG are offset by the benefits of

more robust, evidence-based decisions that are tailored to local context, which aligns with

findings from existing literature.[7] In some cases, members of the ZITAG and EPI-TWG report

questioning the efficiency and transparency of the ICC, which suggests that even further

improvements in coordination and communication between these entities may be needed.

The addition of a fully functioning ZITAG, alongside the decision-making authority of the ICC,

supports strategic planning and advocacy for funding:

"The ZITAG is looking at [immunization] from a very critical standpoint, and from a

very technical standpoint – looking at costs, disease burden, etc. I think [the ZITAG is]

an external body that's incredibly important to give recommendations compared to the

ICC which [does not always include] many technical partners." (CIDRZ personnel)

3.3 How does the Zambian ICC compare with the ICCs of other countries in Africa?

There is limited information available comparing the functionality of ICCs in different countries

or assessing the interplay between ICCs and NITAGs. From our literature review, we found that

Kenya and Tanzania, both with DTP3 coverage comparable to that of Zambia, have also

experienced the benefits of expanding their ICC membership [18-22]. The Kenyan ICC also

utilizes a multisectoral approach, which includes alignment of priorities with other health sectors,

for crafting immunization policy through cooperation with the KENITAG. The ICC of Tanzania

schedules additional meetings with its NITAG for strategizing efforts to reduce bottlenecks in

delivery and improve uptake of immunization services [22]. While all countries receiving Gavi

funding are advised to establish an ICC and NITAG, only some have reported fully utilizing

collaboration of the two bodies for decision-making [19,23]. With active, inclusive, and

collaborative ICCs and NITAGs, Zambia, Kenya, and Tanzania utilized these forums through

defining clear roles and prioritizing the efficacy of immunization programs.

3.4 Transferable lessons

The structure and role of the Zambian ICC may act as a model for other countries by adapting

based on the following transferable lessons:

• Expand ICC membership to include a variety of government officials, local

representatives, community organizations, external partners, and donors to foster

coordination and collaboration across stakeholders.

• Expand ICC mandate and scope to include other areas of health (i.e., reproductive,

maternal, and child health) in order to foster integration of programs and health systems

strengthening while still prioritizing immunization.

• Establish distinct and complementary roles for the ICC and NITAG to ensure efficient,

collaborative, and sustainable integration. Continuously revisit the need for additional

communication between groups.

4. Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, we focused on Zambia as a positive deviant in vaccine

exemplars but were unable to carry out a similar analysis in a non-exemplary country to compare

immunization coverage. Second, the research tools focused on the factors that drove catalytic

change and did not probe on interventions or policies that were unsuccessful. Third, using

qualitative methods to understand historical events was challenging; interviewees often spoke

about current experiences rather than discussing historical factors. However, research assistants

probed respondents to reflect on longitudinal changes in the immunization program.

5. Conclusion

Zambia's status as an exemplar in vaccine delivery was likely supported by the strength, expansion, and prioritization of its national coordination and technical advisory committees. While most countries have functional ICCs, the Zambian ICC demonstrated enhanced strategic planning, decision-making, and lobbying efforts regarding vaccine programming. More research is needed to explore ICC functionality, compare ICCs from different countries, explore costs related to ICC activities, and assess the integral relationship between the ICC and NITAG. Findings from this paper may contribute to the decision-making processes, long-term engagement, membership, and mandates for ICCs in other countries.

Figures in this manuscript include:

Figure 1. DTP1 coverage in Zambia 2000-2018

Figure 2. DTP3 coverage in Zambia 2000-2018

Figure 3. Heat map illustrating improved DTP3 coverage across all regions of Zambia 2000-2019

Figure 4. Description of the ICC structure in Zambia

Figure 5. Programming accomplished through collaboration of the ICC and NITAG in Zambia

Conflict of interest statement

All authors declare: 1) No support from any organisation for the submitted work; 2) no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; 3) no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Contributors

KR, BD, WK, RB contributed to data collection; ZS, KR, KH, RD, BD, AE, KRI, SR, WO, WK, RB, MF contributed to analysis/interpretation; ZS, KR, KH, RD drafted the article; All authors critically revised the article. All authors provided approval of the submitted version. The views expressed are those of the authors alone and do not necessarily reflect the policies or recommendations of the institutions with whom they are affiliated.

Declaration of interests

Authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Center for Family Health Research in Zambia for their partnership in this study. In addition, we thank Sarah Chesemore, Anna Rapp, Tove Ryan, and Ethan Wong from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation; Kate Buellesbach, Nathaniel Gerthe, Gloria Ikilezi, Caitlyn Mason, David Phillips, and Oliver Rothschild from Gates Ventures; and the Vaccine Exemplars Research Advisory Group for their insights, specifically Agnes Binagwaho, Laura Craw, Carolina Danovaro, Anuradha Gupta, Heidi Larson, Penelope Masumbu, Kate O'Brien, Helen Rees, Lora Shimp, and Aaron Wallace. We gratefully acknowledge the participants who gave their time and insights to help us better understand Zambia's vaccine delivery system.

Funding statement

This work was supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, grant number OPP1195041. Pilot and proposal development funds were provided by Gates Ventures.

Data statement

457   The data are not publicly available as all data are confidential.

References:

1. Duclos P. National Immunization Technical Advisory Groups (NITAGs): Guidance for their establishment and strengthening. *Vaccine.* 2010; **28**:A18–A25.

2. Okeibunor J, Nsubuga P, Salla M, *et al.* Coordination as a best practice from the polio eradication initiative: Experiences from five member states in the African region of the World Health Organization. *Vaccine.* 2016; **34**:5203–7.

3. Grundy J. Country-level governance of global health initiatives: an evaluation of immunization coordination mechanisms in five countries of Asia. *Health Policy Plan.* 2009; **25**:186–96.

4. Gandhi G. Charting the evolution of approaches employed by the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunizations (GAVI) to address inequities in access to immunization: a systematic qualitative review of GAVI policies, strategies and resource allocation mechanisms through an equity lens (1999–2014). *BMC Public Health.* 2015; **15**. DOI:10.1186/s12889-015-2521-8.

5. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. Zambia Documents. https://www.Gavi.org/country-documents/zambia.

6. Howard N, Walls H, Bell S, *et al.* The role of National Immunisation Technical Advisory Groups (NITAGs) in strengthening national vaccine decision-making: A comparative case study of Armenia, Ghana, Indonesia, Nigeria, Senegal and Uganda. *Vaccine.* 2018; **36**:5536–43.

7. Simuyemba MC, Chama-Chiliba C, Chompola A, Sinyangwe A, Bchir A, Asiimwe G, Masiye F. Evaluating the functionality and effectiveness of ZITAG and related expanded programme on immunisation technical committees in Zambia. Vaccine X. 2022 Jul 29;12:100193. doi: 10.1016/j.jvacx.2022.100193. PMID: 35942059; PMCID: PMC9356109.

8. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. Evaluation of the Zambia Immunisation Programme – Assessing Prospects for Sustainable Immunisation Financing. 2019.

9. Howard N, Bell S, Walls H, *et al.* The need for sustainability and alignment of future support for National Immunization Technical Advisory Groups (NITAGs) in low and middle-income countries. *Human Vaccines Immunotherapeutics.* 2018; **14**:1539–41.

10. Buffardi AL, Archer RA, Sharp S. Regional bodies supporting national-level evidence-informed decision-making on vaccines. Overseas Development Institute. 2020; published online Jan. https://cdn.odi.org/media/documents/odi-pa-regionalbodieseidm-briefingnote-feb20.pdf.

11. Micek K, Hester KA, Chanda C, Darwar R, Dounebaine B, Ellis AS, et al. Critical success
factors for routine immunization performance: A case study of zambia 2000 to 2018.
Vaccine: X. 2022; 11:100166. doi:10.1016/j.jvacx.2022.100166

12. Bednarczyk RA, Hester KA, Dixit SM, Ellis AS, Escoffery C, Kilembe W, et al. Protocol:
Identification and evaluation of critical factors in achieving high and sustained childhood
immunization coverage in selected low- and lower-middle income countries. BMJ Open.
2021; 12(4). doi:10.1101/2021.12.01.21267018

13. Hester KA. Exemplars in vaccine delivery. Center For Open Science; 2022.
https://osf.io/7ys4a/

14. Adjagba A, Senouci K, Biellik R, *et al.* Supporting countries in establishing and
strengthening NITAGs: Lessons learned from 5 years of the SIVAC initiative. *Vaccine.*
2015; **33**:588–95.
515
516 15. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. National coordination forums self-assessment tool.
517   https://www.gavi.org/news/document-library/national-coordination-forums-self-
518   assessment-tool.
519
520 16. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. Guidance on national coordination forums.
521   https://www.gavi.org/news/document-library/guidance-national-coordination-forums.
522
523 17. Pollack AE, Balkin M, Edouard L, *et al.* Ensuring access to HPV vaccines through integrated
524   services: a reproductive health perspective. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization.*
525   2007; **85**:57–63.
526
527 18. World Health Organization. Diphtheria tetanus toxoid and pertussis (DTP3) - Immunization
528   coverage estimates by country. https://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.main.A827 (accessed
529   April 1, 2021).
530
531 19. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. Joint Appraisal Report - Kenya. 2017.
532   https://www.gavi.org/sites/default/files/document/joint-appraisal-kenya-2017pdf.pdf.
533
534 20. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. Joint Appraisal Report - Kenya. 2019.
535   https://www.gavi.org/sites/default/files/document/2020/Kenya%20Joint%20Appraisal%2
536   02019%20Final.pdf.
537
538 21. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. Joint Appraisal Report - Tanzania. 2019.
539   https://www.gavi.org/sites/default/files/document/2020/Tanzania%20Joint%20Appraisal
540   %202019.pdf.
541
542 22. Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance. Tanzania - 2018 programme support rationale: strategic period
543   (2019-2023). 2018. https://www.gavi.org/sites/default/files/document/programme-
544   support-rationale---hss-2019---tanzaniapdf.pdf.
545

546   23. NITAG Resource Center. Global NITAG Network Members. 2019. https://www.nitag-
547   resource.org/network/members.

ZAMBIA IMPROVED DTP3 COVERAGE THROUGHOUT ALL REGIONS

DTP3 COVERAGE IN ZAMBIA, 2000 - 2019

2000

2005

2010

Zambia improved DTP3 coverage throughout all regions, although progress varied by region

2015

2019

DTP3 Coverage (%)

100
75
50
25