

1 **Title:** Likelihood of infecting or getting infected with COVID-19 as a function of vaccination status, as  
2 investigated with a stochastic model for New Zealand (Aotearoa)

3  
4 **Author:**

5 Leighton M. Watson

6 Postdoctoral Researcher

7 Department of Earth Sciences

8 University of Oregon

9 Eugene, OR, USA

10 [lwatson2@uoregon.edu](mailto:lwatson2@uoregon.edu)

11

12 **Abstract:**

13 *Aim:* The New Zealand government is transitioning from the Alert Level framework, which relies on  
14 government action and population level controls, to the COVID-19 Protection Framework, which relies  
15 on vaccination rates and allows for greater freedoms (for the vaccinated). As restrictions are eased, there  
16 is significant interest in understanding the relative risk of spreading COVID-19 posed by unvaccinated  
17 and vaccinated individuals.

18

19 *Methods:* A stochastic branching process model is used to simulate the spread of COVID-19 for  
20 outbreaks seeded by unvaccinated or vaccinated individuals. The likelihood of infecting or getting  
21 infected with COVID-19 is calculated based on vaccination status.

22

23 *Results:* A vaccinated traveler infected with COVID-19 is 9x less likely to seed an outbreak than an  
24 unvaccinated traveler infected with COVID-19. For a vaccination rate of 50%, unvaccinated individuals  
25 are responsible for 87% of all infections whereas 3% of infections are from vaccinated to vaccinated.  
26 When normalized by population, a vaccinated individual is 6.8x more likely to be infected by an  
27 unvaccinated individual than by a vaccinated individual. For a total population vaccination rate of 78.7%,  
28 which is equivalent to the 90% vaccination target for the eligible population (over 12 years old), this  
29 means that vaccinated individuals are 1.9x more likely to be infected by an unvaccinated individual than  
30 by a vaccinated, even though there are 3.7x more vaccinated individuals in the population.

31

32 *Conclusions:* This work demonstrates that most new infections are caused by unvaccinated individuals.  
33 These simulations illustrate the importance of vaccination in stopping individuals from becoming infected  
34 with COVID-19 and in preventing onward transmission.

35 **Body of Text:**

36

37 The 2021 Delta outbreak of COVID-19 in New Zealand caused the government to transition from an  
38 elimination strategy to suppression, which relies heavily on vaccination rates. Since the detection of the  
39 outbreak on 17 August 2021, double-dose vaccination rates have increased from approximately 19% of  
40 the total population to 70% on 21 November 2021.<sup>1,2</sup> As a result, the COVID-19 pandemic is turning into  
41 a pandemic of the unvaccinated; only 12% of cases and 5% of hospitalizations in the current outbreak  
42 have been fully vaccinated (defined as more than two weeks since the second dose of the two-dose Pfizer-  
43 BioNTech vaccine).<sup>1,2</sup> As the country begins to transition to the COVID-19 Protection Framework, which  
44 predominantly uses vaccination certificates, instead of the Alert Level system, which uses population  
45 level controls, and as the Auckland border is relaxed to allow fully vaccinated or negative-tested  
46 individuals to travel, it is important to understand the relative likelihood of vaccinated versus  
47 unvaccinated individuals spreading COVID-19.

48

49 Here, I use the stochastic model developed in [3] to estimate the likely number of infections caused by an  
50 outbreak seeded by an unvaccinated versus vaccinated individual. This information can help inform  
51 reopening decisions and restrictions on travel (e.g., requiring vaccination or a negative test prior to  
52 travel). I also calculate the likelihood of infecting others or getting infected with COVID-19 based on  
53 vaccination status. Mathematical modeling is a useful tool for understanding these probabilities because,  
54 as the number of COVID-19 cases in the community has increased, contact tracers have prioritized  
55 preventing onwards transmission compared to finding the source of infections.<sup>4</sup> As a result, the likelihood  
56 of infecting others or getting infected as a function of vaccination status is not available for real-world  
57 cases but can be determined from model simulations.

58

59

60 **Methods**

61

62 A stochastic branching process model is used to simulate the initial spread of a COVID-19 outbreak,  
63 similar to previous work by [5-7]. The model tracks the number of infections and the vaccination status of  
64 the infecting and infected individuals. The stochastic model used here is the same as presented in [3] with  
65 the pertinent details summarized below.

66

67 Each infected individual infects a random number of other individuals,  $N$ , drawn from a Poisson  
68 distribution.<sup>5</sup> For symptomatic individuals, the Poisson distribution is defined by  $\lambda = RC$  where  $R$  is the

69 reproduction number and  $C$  is the effectiveness of population level controls (e.g., Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 in the  
70 Alert Level Framework or Green, Orange, or Red in the COVID-19 Protection Framework).<sup>5</sup> For an  
71 asymptomatic individual, the Poisson distribution is defined by  $\lambda = RC/2$ , which assumes that  
72 asymptomatic individuals infect, on average, half as many people as symptomatic individuals.<sup>8</sup> In this  
73 work, I only consider  $C=1$  as it is unclear how to parameterize the impact of the different levels of the  
74 COVID-19 Protection Framework.

75  
76 The generation times between an individual becoming infected and infecting  $N$  other individuals are  
77 independently sampled from a Weibull distribution with  $a=5.57$  and  $b=4.08$  where  $a$  is the scale  
78 parameter and  $b$  is the shape parameter (mean=5.05 days and variance=1.94 days).<sup>9</sup> The model assumes  
79 that 33% of new infections are asymptomatic (subclinical) with the remainder symptomatic (clinical).<sup>10-12</sup>  
80

81 The Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine, which is the only COVID-19 vaccine currently being widely administered  
82 in New Zealand, is assumed to be 70% effective against infection and 50% effective against transmission  
83 for breakthrough infections.<sup>7,13</sup>

84  
85 As detailed in [3], the model does not include any testing, contact tracing, or isolation of cases. It is  
86 unclear how testing rates will change in a highly vaccinated public or how effective self-isolation will  
87 prove to be. Therefore, I focus purely on the impact of vaccination rates, particularly on the early stages  
88 of an outbreak when cases may be circulating undetected.

89  
90 Age is not accounted for in this model, either in the vaccination rollout where older individuals are more  
91 likely to be vaccinated, or in the susceptibility where older individuals are more likely to experience  
92 severe disease or death. Age also plays a role in transmission with young children are less likely to  
93 transmit the virus<sup>14,15</sup> as well as through different ages groups having different levels of mobility and  
94 hence different numbers of contacts. See [7, 13] for a New Zealand focused model that accounts for age.  
95 Other limitations include not accounting for ethnicity, either in vaccination rates or differential risk  
96 factors for different ethnic groups,<sup>16</sup> or socio-economic status; COVID-19 spreads rapidly through  
97 overcrowded households as well as posing a greater risk to those who do not have the economic resources  
98 to safely isolate or the ability to work-from-home.<sup>16</sup> Vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals are  
99 modelled as equally likely to interact (based on the vaccination rate).

100  
101  
102

## 103 **Results**

104

105 The simulations are seeded with either one vaccinated or one unvaccinated individual at  $t=0$ , where  $t$  is  
106 the time in days, and are run for 31 days (~1 month) with time steps of 1 day. The simulations are run  
107 100,000 times for each scenario to get a representative sampling of the possible outcomes from the  
108 stochastic model.

109

### 110 *Vaccination Status of Seed Infection*

111

112 The regional boundary around Auckland will be relaxed on 15 December 2021 and people will be able to  
113 travel in and out of Auckland if they are fully vaccinated or have proof of a negative test within 72 hours  
114 of traveling.<sup>17</sup> Despite these protective measures, it is likely that the movement of people out of Auckland  
115 will result in COVID-19 being seeded in other locations around the country (as has already been observed  
116 with outbreaks in Waikato and Northland and other cases scattered around the country). Here, I consider  
117 the possible numbers of infections in an outbreak based on the vaccination status of the seed infection  
118 (Figure 1). The simulations are performed for a vaccination rate of 78.7% of the total population; this is  
119 approximately 90% of the eligible population (over 12 years old), which is the government's vaccination  
120 target for all District Health Boards. Note that this work assumes that an infected individual can travel and  
121 seed a new outbreak. I do not model the impact of vaccination or testing requirements on preventing  
122 infected people from travelling and catching cases before they travel and seed new outbreaks.


123

124 Figure 1a shows the probability of a given number of infections 31 days into an outbreak seeded by a  
125 vaccinated individual or unvaccinated individual, with the cumulative probabilities in Figure 1b. For both  
126 vaccinated and unvaccinated seed infections, there is a small possibility of large outbreaks developing  
127 within the first 31 days of an infection being seeded (5% chance of >506 cases and >657 cases for the  
128 vaccinated and unvaccinated seed, respectively). However, for a vaccinated seed infection it is much  
129 more likely that COVID-19 does not spread beyond the initial case; for a vaccinated seed infection there  
130 is a 54% chance that COVID-19 does not spread to anyone else while for an unvaccinated seed infection  
131 there is only a 6% chance. This is because the vaccine is assumed to be 50% effective at preventing  
132 onward transmission.<sup>7,13</sup> For an unvaccinated seed infection, there is a 54% chance that the outbreak has  
133 up to 151 infections after 31 days.

134

135 Figure 1 shows the importance of vaccination in stopping outbreaks from being seeded. A vaccinated  
136 traveler is 9x less likely to seed an outbreak in a community than an unvaccinated traveler (note that this

137 model does not account for the protection provided by testing requirements prior to traveling, which  
138 would reduce the risk factor posed by unvaccinated travelers). This illustrates the importance that  
139 travelers are vaccinated (or tested prior to travelling, or both), especially if travelling from regions with  
140 significant COVID-19 community transmission (e.g., Auckland) to regions with low vaccination rates  
141 (e.g., Northland). Continued community testing (not modeled here) is required to rapidly identify any  
142 outbreaks that are seeded before they grow.  
143  
144



145  
146 **Figure 1:** (a) Histograms showing probability of number of infections 31 days into an outbreak seeded by  
147 (blue) one vaccinated individual or by (red) one unvaccinated individual. (b) Cumulative probability of  
148 each number of infections for an outbreak seeded by (blue) one vaccinated individual or by (red) one  
149 unvaccinated individual.


150  
151  
152

153 *Likelihood of Infection/Infected Based on Vaccination Status*

154

155 The model tracks the number of vaccinated and unvaccinated cases as well as the vaccination status of the  
156 individuals that cause the infections. This enables me to calculate the probability of infection based on the  
157 vaccination status of the infecting individual and the infected individual. The results are calculated from  
158 the mean of the 100,000 realizations.

159



160

161 **Figure 2:** Likelihood that a new infection is caused by a (U) unvaccinated or (V) vaccinated individual  
162 and that the new infection is in an unvaccinated or vaccinated individual. (a) shows a total vaccination  
163 rate of 50%, where there are an equal number of vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals in the  
164 population, while (b) shows a total vaccination rate of 78.7%, which is approximately the 90% eligible  
165 population target. (a) also shows the expected result when normalizing by population.

166 Figure 2a shows the results for a vaccination rate of 50% of the total population, which means that there  
167 are an equal number of unvaccinated individuals and vaccinated individuals in the population. 87% of all  
168 infections are caused by unvaccinated individuals, with 67% of all infections being from unvaccinated  
169 individuals to unvaccinated individuals. By contrast, only 13% of infections are caused by vaccinated  
170 individuals, and only 3% of these are from vaccinated to vaccinated. This illustrates the importance of  
171 vaccination in preventing individuals from (a) getting infected and (b) passing COVID-19 on to others.  
172 Figure 2a also illustrates that while the vaccine provides significant protection from getting infected,  
173 vaccinated individuals can still get infected, predominantly from unvaccinated individuals. Vaccinated  
174 individuals are 6.8x more likely to be infected by an unvaccinated individual than by a vaccinated  
175 individual. Although Figure 2a is calculated for a vaccination rate of 50%, it also gives the values that  
176 would be observed at different vaccination rates after normalizing for population. This illustrates that the  
177 majority of infections are caused by unvaccinated individuals.

178

179 Figure 2b shows the results for a total vaccination rate of 78.7%. Unvaccinated individuals are  
180 responsible for 65% of infections despite only making up 21.3% of the population. By contrast,  
181 vaccinated individuals are only responsible for 35% of infections while making up 78.7% of the  
182 population. Even at these high levels of vaccination where there are 3.7x as many vaccinated individuals  
183 are unvaccinated individuals, a new infection is almost twice as likely to be caused by an unvaccinated  
184 individual. A vaccinated individual has a 65% chance of being infected by an unvaccinated individual  
185 compared to a 35% chance of being infected by a vaccinated individual (1.9x more likely to be infected  
186 by unvaccinated individual even though there are far fewer unvaccinated individuals in the population).  
187 Figure 2b illustrates that, even at high levels of vaccination, unvaccinated individuals are the main cause  
188 for continued spread of COVID-19 with only 18% of infections from vaccinated to vaccinated. This  
189 suggests that restricting unvaccinated individuals from high-risk locations (i.e., potential super-spreader  
190 events) will help to minimize the spread of COVID-19.

191

## 192 **Conclusions**

193 As vaccination rates increase and the government transitions to the COVID-19 Protection Framework that  
194 replaces population level controls with vaccination certificates, there is a need to better understand the  
195 risk posed by unvaccinated versus vaccinated individuals. Here, I use a stochastic model to simulate the  
196 potential numbers of infections in an outbreak seeded by a vaccinated individual versus by an  
197 unvaccinated individual. Unvaccinated individuals are much more likely to seed an outbreak with 54%  
198 chance of causing an outbreak with over 107 cases after 31 days. By contrast, for a vaccinated seed  
199 infection, there is a 54% chance that the outbreak does not spread beyond the initial seed. Vaccinated

200 travelers are 9x less likely to seed an infection than unvaccinated travelers. This model does not account  
201 for testing requirements on travel and future work should combine this work with a testing model.

202

203 I also calculate the likelihood of getting infected and of infecting others based on vaccination status.

204 Unvaccinated individuals are much more likely to spread the virus and are responsible for 87% of all  
205 infections for a vaccination rate of 50% and 65% of all infections for a vaccination rate of 78.7%.

206 Transmission between vaccinated individuals is rare and responsible for only 3% of all infections for a  
207 vaccination rate of 50% and 18% for a vaccination rate of 78.7% (the high likelihood at higher

208 vaccination rates reflects the increased amount of vaccination individuals in the population). This

209 illustrates that COVID-19 is becoming a pandemic of the unvaccinated and is predominantly spread by

210 the unvaccinated. Vaccination is essential for individual protection as well as for preventing continued

211 spread of COVID-19.

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233



234 **References**

235

- 236 1. COVID-19 Vaccine Data. Ministry of Health. [cited 2021 Nov 26]. Available from  
237 [https://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/diseases-and-conditions/covid-19-novel-coronavirus/covid-](https://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/diseases-and-conditions/covid-19-novel-coronavirus/covid-19-data-and-statistics/covid-19-vaccine-data)  
238 [19-data-and-statistics/covid-19-vaccine-data](https://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/diseases-and-conditions/covid-19-novel-coronavirus/covid-19-data-and-statistics/covid-19-vaccine-data).
- 239 2. Singh H. The Spinoff Covid-tracker: the live graphs that tell the story of delta in Aotearoa. 2021.  
240 [cited 2021 Nov 26]. Available from [https://thespinoff.co.nz/society/30-10-2021/the-spinoff-](https://thespinoff.co.nz/society/30-10-2021/the-spinoff-covid-tracker/)  
241 [covid-tracker/](https://thespinoff.co.nz/society/30-10-2021/the-spinoff-covid-tracker/).
- 242 3. Watson LM. Simulating the impact of vaccination rates on the initial stages of a COVID-19  
243 outbreak in New Zealand (Aotearoa) with a stochastic model. Submitted to the New Zealand  
244 Medical Journal, 23 Nov 2021. Available from  
245 <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.11.22.21266721v1>
- 246 4. New Zealand Government Press Conference on 14 October 2021. Transcript available from  
247 <https://www.beehive.govt.nz/feature/covid-19-updates>
- 248 5. Plank MJ, Binny RN, Hendy SC, et al. A stochastic model for COVID-19 spread and the effects  
249 of alert level 4 in Aotearoa New Zealand. medRxiv. 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.04.08.20058743.
- 250 6. Plank MJ, Hendy SC, Binny RN, Maclaren O. Modeling the August 2021 COVID-19 outbreak in  
251 New Zealand. Technical Report, Te Pūnaha Matatini. 2021.
- 252 7. Steyn N, Plank MJ, Binny RN, et al. A COVID-19 vaccination model for Aotearoa New Zealand,  
253 Technical Report, Te Pūnaha Matatini. 2021.
- 254 8. Davies NG, Klepac P, Liu Y, et al.; CMMID COVID-19 working group, Eggo RM. Age-  
255 dependent effects in the transmission and control of COVID-19 epidemics. Nat Med. 2020  
256 Aug;26(8):1205-1211. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0962-9.
- 257 9. Hendy SC, Steyn N, James A, et al. Mathematical modelling to inform New Zealand's COVID-  
258 19 response. J. R. Soc. N. Z. 2021 51(S1), S86-S106, doi: 10.1080/03036758.2021.1876111.
- 259 10. Byambasuren O, Cardona M, Bell K, et al. Estimating the extent of asymptomatic COVID-19 and  
260 its potential for community transmission: systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMMI. 2020  
261 Dec;5(4):223-34. doi: 10.3138/jammi-2020-0030
- 262 11. Lavezzo E, Franchin E, Ciavarella C, et al.; Imperial College COVID-19 Response Team,  
263 Brazzale AR, Toppo S, Trevisan M, Baldo V, Donnelly CA, Ferguson NM, Dorigatti I, Crisanti  
264 A; Imperial College COVID-19 Response Team. Suppression of a SARS-CoV-2 outbreak in the  
265 Italian municipality of Vo'. Nature. 2020 Aug;584(7821):425-429. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-  
266 2488-1.

- 267 12. Pollán M, Pérez-Gómez B, Pastor-Barriuso R, et al; ENE-COVID Study Group. Prevalence of  
268 SARS-CoV-2 in Spain (ENE-COVID): a nationwide, population-based seroepidemiological  
269 study. *Lancet*. 2020 Aug 22;396(10250):535-544. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31483-5.
- 270 13. Steyn N, Plank MJ, Hendy SC. Modelling to support a future COVID-19 strategy for Aotearoa  
271 New Zealand, Technical Report, Te Pūnaha Matatini. 2021.
- 272 14. Ludvigsson JF. Children are unlikely to be the main drivers of the COVID-19 pandemic – a  
273 systematic review. *Acta Paediatrica*. 2020 Aug;109(8):1525-30. doi: 10.1111/apa.15371
- 274 15. Dattner I, Goldberg Y, Katriel G, et al. The role of children in the spread of COVID-19: using  
275 household data from Bnei Brak, Israel, to estimate the relative susceptibility and infectivity in  
276 children. *PLOS Comput Biol* 17(2):e1008559. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008559
- 277 16. Steyn, N, Binny RN, Hannah K, et al. Maori and Pacific people in New Zealand have a higher  
278 risk of hospitalization for COVID-19. *New Zealand Medical Journal*, 134(1538),28-43.
- 279 17. New Zealand Government Press Conference on 17 November 2021. Transcript available from  
280 <https://www.beehive.govt.nz/feature/covid-19-updates>