

# Modelling optimal vaccination strategies against Covid-19 in a context of Gamma variant predominance in Brazil

Leonardo Souto Ferreira<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Gabriel Berg de Almeida<sup>3</sup>, Marcelo Eduardo Borges<sup>2</sup>, Lorena Mendes Simon<sup>4</sup>, Silas Poloni<sup>1,2</sup>, Ângela Maria Bagattini<sup>5</sup>, Michelle Quarti Machado da Rosa<sup>5</sup>, José Alexandre Felizola Diniz Filho<sup>2,4</sup>, Ricardo de Souza Kuchenbecker<sup>6</sup>, Suzi Alves Camey<sup>7</sup>, Roberto André Kraenkel<sup>1,2</sup>, Renato Mendes Coutinho<sup>2,8</sup>, Cristiana Maria Toscano<sup>5</sup>

1. São Paulo State University (UNESP), Institute for Theoretical Physics, Brazil.

2. Observatório Covid-19 BR.

3. São Paulo State University (UNESP), Infectious Diseases Department, Botucatu Medical School (FMB), Brazil.

4. Federal University of Goiás (UFG), Department of Ecology, Postgraduate Programme in Ecology and Evolution, Brazil.

5. Federal University of Goiás (UFG), Institute of Tropical Pathology and Public Health, Brazil.

6. Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Postgraduate Programme of Epidemiology (IPTSP), Brazil.

7. Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, Department of Statistics, Brazil.

8. Federal University of ABC (UFABC), Center for Mathematics, Computation and Cognition, Brazil.

\* Corresponding author. Email: [leonardo.souto@unesp.br](mailto:leonardo.souto@unesp.br)

Word count: 4061.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

# 1 Summary

2 Brazil experienced moments of collapse in its health system throughout 2021, driven  
3 by a timid initial vaccination strategy against Covid-19, combined with the emergence  
4 of variants of interest (VOC). Mathematical modelling has been used to subsidize  
5 decision-makers in public health planning. Considering the vaccine products available,  
6 effectiveness estimates, the emergence of Gamma as the predominant VOC  
7 circulating in 2021, and national estimated doses available for the next several  
8 months, we developed a Markov-chain mathematical modelling approach to evaluate  
9 optimal strategies for Covid-19 vaccination in Brazil in terms of Covid deaths averted.  
10 Our main findings are that in order to reach higher vaccination impact in Brazil, Covid-  
11 19 immunization strategies should include recovering vaccination coverage rates in  
12 high-risk groups reaching higher coverage; expanding vaccination to younger age  
13 groups should be considered only after ensuring at least 80% coverage in older age  
14 groups; reducing the interval between doses of AZD1222 from 12 to 8 weeks. We also  
15 demonstrate that the latter is only feasible if accompanied by an increase in vaccine  
16 supply of at least 50% in the next six month period.

17

18 **Keywords: Modelling, Markov, Covid-19, Immunization, Vaccines.**

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

## 1 Introduction

2 To date, Brazil has reached over 600,000 deaths due to Covid-19, ranking  
3 second in the world for the absolute number of Covid-19 deaths (1). The first Covid-  
4 19 case was identified in the country in March 2020, and the first wave of the disease  
5 reached its first peak in July. By October 2020, when more than 5 million confirmed  
6 cases and at least 150.000 deaths had been registered in Brazil (2), the first peak of  
7 the disease had been overcome. The downward trend that lasted 16 weeks ceased in  
8 late November 2020, when a new rise in cases and deaths was observed (3). This  
9 coincides with the detection of the variants of concern (VOC) in the country,  
10 challenging Brazil's health systems and the government's public response. As the fifth-  
11 largest world's country, Brazil presented multiple and different epidemic curves  
12 according to the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 between regions in 2020, progressively  
13 reaching countryside smaller cities and developing a national synchronization process  
14 between 2020 and 2021 where outbreaks caused by VOC, such as Delta and Gamma,  
15 were important drivers of sustainable transmission. The Gamma variant (P1 lineage  
16 or GR/501Y.V3), first identified in Brazil (3), spread throughout the country before the  
17 national vaccination campaign, resulting in numerous surges throughout the territory.  
18 In the tenth epidemiological week (EW) of 2021, there was an impressive number of  
19 40,797 hospital admissions, corresponding to an increase of 192% compared with the  
20 worst week of the epidemic in 2020 (EW 28) (4).

21 Covid-19 vaccination rollout started on EW three of 2021 and followed a  
22 prioritization framework proposed by WHO (5), initially targeting health professionals,  
23 older adults, and people with comorbidities, in this order. Vaccination started slowly  
24 and restrictively in its first weeks; the daily-applied doses 7-day rolling average was

1 around 200 thousand doses a day (6). From January to March, vaccination was  
2 jeopardized in different moments by limited vaccine supply. Only after April, the daily  
3 number of administered vaccines reached 600 to 700 thousand (6). The current Covid-  
4 19 vaccines deployed in Brazil are AZD1222 (AstraZeneca/Oxford/Fiocruz), a two-  
5 dose adenovirus vaccine, currently administered in a 12-week dose-interval;  
6 CoronaVac (Sinovac/Butantan), a two-dose inactivated virus vaccine, using a 4-week  
7 dose-interval; BNT162b2 (Pfizer/BioNTech), a two-dose mRNA vaccine, currently  
8 administered in a 12-week dose-interval; and Ad26.COVS.S (Janssen) a single dose  
9 viral vector vaccine.

10 National vaccine rollout and immunization strategy were determined in a  
11 context of high first-dose effectiveness for the original virus, demonstrated in phase 3  
12 clinical trials (7–9). With the advent of emerging VOC and amid an intense second  
13 wave of the pandemic, on EW 34 in 2021 the Brazilian Ministry of Health (MoH) opted  
14 to extend the dosing interval of 2 of the three available vaccines at the time (AZD1222  
15 and BNT162b2 vaccines) to 12 weeks, following WHO recommendations (10,11). The  
16 objective of such an initiative was to increase the number of vaccinated high-risk group  
17 individuals with at least one dose and thus increase the population-level impact in a  
18 context of a limited supply of available vaccines.

19 The Brazilian National Immunization Programme (PNI) was created in 1973 at  
20 the end of the smallpox eradication initiative. It represents a robust public health  
21 programme offering, free of charge, vaccines incorporated into the routine  
22 immunization schedule to all populations through its publicly-funded Universal Health  
23 Care System (SUS) (12). Managed by the Federal Government, together with States  
24 and Municipalities, decentralized and with good capillarity, achieved by more than 36  
25 thousand rooms in 5,570 Brazilian cities, the PNI has historically been able to deliver

1 massive amounts of vaccines in immunization campaigns and get to hard-to-reach  
2 populations. In 2010, for example, in response to the Influenza pandemic, the MoH  
3 managed to administer almost 90 million doses of Influenza vaccines in only five  
4 months (13).

5 Differently from what would be expected in a country with this record on  
6 immunization activities, the National Immunization Campaign for Covid-19 in Brazil  
7 has faced several new obstacles. These included lack of national coordination and  
8 support to evidence-based decision making, inconsistent vaccine supply and  
9 availability over time, limited social communication strategies, and widespread social  
10 networks misinformation about vaccine safety and efficacy. In addition, the anti-vax  
11 movement in the country has been gaining strength throughout the SARS-CoV-2  
12 dissemination process. From the operational point of view, the Covid-19 vaccination  
13 rollout was fragmented, with different vaccination strategies being adopted as a  
14 decentralized process involving state and municipal levels. In a country of continental  
15 dimensions experiencing different regional and local pandemic waves, the lack of  
16 communication and an integrated response brought additional challenges to  
17 vaccination implementation strategies. As such, vaccines were progressively made  
18 available to adults without comorbidities, by age, irrespective of vaccine coverages in  
19 priority risk populations. One critical point is that, in this age-based strategy, a  
20 minimum coverage goal set by age group was not established before the vaccine was  
21 made available for younger groups. This progression was based on a temporal  
22 criterion (for example, a one-week period designated for each age group), varying by  
23 locality.

24 Considering the vaccine products available, effectiveness estimates, the  
25 emergence of Gamma as the predominant VOC circulating in 2021, and national

1 estimated doses available for the next several months, we developed mathematical  
2 modelling approaches to evaluate optimal strategies for COVID-19 vaccination in  
3 Brazil. This work aims to provide immediate answers to pressing questions and a  
4 proposed framework for future analyses to provide decision-makers with modelling  
5 evidence to support the decision-making process at a national level regarding best  
6 strategies to minimize the burden of Covid-19 deaths in Brazil.

7 We specifically aim to address three programmatic questions: what should be  
8 the vaccination coverage of an age group before starting vaccination in a younger  
9 group? What should be the ideal interval between doses of the AZD1222 vaccine that  
10 would result in the greatest impact (considering intervals between 8 and 12 weeks),  
11 assuming a sufficient supply of vaccines? Finally, what is the minimum amount of  
12 vaccines made available over time that will allow the implementation of the optimal  
13 interval between doses?

14

## 15 Methods

### 16 Data sources

17 We use the current number of vaccinated individuals from the Information  
18 System of the National Programme on Vaccination (SI-PNI) from Brazil (2021-08-09  
19 dataset) (6). The dataset contains anonymized information of each vaccinated  
20 individual in Brazil, first or second dose identification, the name of the vaccine, date of  
21 inoculation, and the individual's age.

22 The model considers the current vaccines implemented in Brazil: AZD1222  
23 (AstraZeneca/Oxford/Fiocruz), CoronaVac (Sinovac/Butantan) and BNT162b2

1 (Pfizer/BioNTech). Since our goal is to estimate optimal strategies considering the  
2 interval between vaccine doses, we have not included the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine in  
3 the model. Also, the minor frequency of individuals that received or will receive this  
4 vaccine (4.5 million people out of 211 million people, representing less than 2% of the  
5 population) means that this should not affect our conclusions.


6 We use the number of doses procured by the Brazilian Ministry of Health and  
7 anticipated to be delivered until the end of 2021 as input of the model (6). Since the  
8 number of doses is projected by month or quarter, we assume a constant production  
9 rate in each time interval (See Supplementary material). With this information, we  
10 estimate the number of individuals with two doses per vaccine and age group. We also  
11 estimate the number of individuals with only one dose per vaccine, age group, and  
12 time since first-dose vaccination. This data feeds the mathematical model described  
13 in the next section.

## 14 Model

15 To estimate the number of deaths averted by different vaccination strategies,  
16 we developed a discrete-time Markov Chain model with a constant probability of  
17 infection (14). To avoid modelling stochastic dynamics, we use the mean-field  
18 approximation where the probability of infection turns to a proportion of susceptible  
19 individuals infected per day.

20 The structure of the model is the same as an extended SEIR model (15),  
21 accounting also for asymptomatic, hospitalized, and deceased individuals, thus being  
22 named SEAIRHD (16). The model is age-structured in 10-year groups. This structure  
23 is replicated for each dose (first and second dose) of each vaccine (AZD1222,

- 1 CoronaVac, and BNT162b2), and the vaccines are modelled simultaneously (see
- 2 Figure 1).



3  
4 **Figure 1.** Structure of the mathematical model. The first diagram (A) describes the vaccination  
5 pathways. U accounts for unvaccinated individuals; A, B, and C accounts for individuals  
6 vaccinated with AZD1222, BNT162b2, and CoronaVac, respectively. The subscripts account  
7 for the first (1) or second (2) dose. The second diagram (B) describes the infection pathways.  
8 A susceptible (S) individual is transferred to a pre-symptomatic (or exposed, E) compartment  
9 after infection. After the incubation period, the individual evolves to an asymptomatic (A), mild  
10 (I), or severe (H) infection. The individual eventually recovers (R) or dies (D), if severe.

1           To estimate the number of doses of vaccine that should be allocated for the first  
2 or second dose, we use a modified version of the optimization model developed by  
3 (16) that accounts for varying production (or deployment) rates and also previously  
4 vaccinated individuals with only one dose (see further details on the SM). This  
5 optimization model calculates the number of first or second doses used by day, given  
6 production rate and the interval between doses, minimizing the number of doses that  
7 should be kept in stock while guaranteeing that individuals receive the second dose  
8 when recommended.

9           We assumed a single model for the entirety of Brazil. We also assume that after  
10 initially targeting high-risk populations, vaccination rollout followed an age-  
11 prioritization. We considered the number of administered doses by vaccine, over time,  
12 as being proportional to the total number of doses of each vaccine made available at  
13 the time of vaccination. We considered that the vaccination rate in each age group is  
14 proportional to the unvaccinated population in this group (more details in  
15 Supplementary material).

16           We limited the analysis of optimal time between doses (and the required  
17 supplied doses) only to AZD1222 for the following reasons: 1) there is no evidence to  
18 support the use of CoronaVac vaccine in a longer interval than the recommended four  
19 weeks. 2) At the time of our modelling, there was no data on BNT162b2 effectiveness  
20 against the Gamma variant. In addition, differently than AZD1222 and CoronaVac, this  
21 BNT162b2 vaccine is imported, and thus there is no possibility of expanding  
22 production and distribution capacity.

## 1 Model Parameters

2 We assume four scenarios of the probability of Covid-19 infection: very low,  
3 low, medium, and high (with numerical values 0.0001, 0.0025, 0.0050, and 0.0100,  
4 respectively).

5 Since Brazil does not have systematic serological inquiries, the exact  
6 prevalence of Covid-19 in the population is unknown. We assume that the percentage  
7 of recovered individuals at the start of the simulation is drawn from a uniform  
8 distribution with a minimum and a maximum of 40% and 60%, respectively. These  
9 values vary by each seroprevalence study, with different methodologies and study  
10 sites (17,18). We then use a rather wide guess in our model to include most of the  
11 possible scenarios.

12 For the effectiveness of the vaccines, we used recently published data from  
13 Cerqueira-Silva et al., who studied AZD1222 and CoronaVac in a retrospective cohort  
14 of more than 60 million vaccinated individuals in Brazil in a Gamma predominance  
15 scenario. The vaccine effectiveness (VE) results are presented stratified by age, by  
16 the outcome, and whether the vaccination scheme is complete (with two doses) or  
17 incomplete (only one dose). We assumed that CoronaVac effectiveness against  
18 SARS-CoV-2 infection was zero, as this is an inactivated vaccine and considering  
19 available evidence from the literature (19). Concerning AZD1222 and BNT162b2, the  
20 best available results of VE against infection were used in this work. VE parameters  
21 for CoronaVac, AZD1222, and BNT162b2 are presented in tables 1, 2, and 3,  
22 respectively. We draw the values of the effectiveness of the vaccines from the Beta  
23 distributions that obey the confidence interval of each estimate. These values are  
24 stored, and each scenario of the probability of infection and vaccination strategy are  
25 simulated with the same values of seroprevalence and effectiveness, thus ensuring

1 comparability of strategies. Each scenario is then run using 500 combinations of  
2 values for each result shown in the next section.


3

## 4 Results

5 What should be the vaccination coverage of an age group before starting  
6 vaccination in a younger group?

7 We calculated the excess deaths caused by starting vaccination in a younger  
8 age group after a coverage threshold compared to the strategy of only starting to  
9 vaccinate a younger age group after fully vaccinating the older age group. As shown  
10 in Figure 2, the lower the vaccination coverage reached in older age groups, the  
11 greater the estimated excess of deaths, regardless of the probability of infection.  
12 However, we find that the magnitude of the impact is smaller when the probability of  
13 infection is lower. Furthermore, it can be seen that at least 90% vaccination coverage  
14 is necessary for a minimal excess of deaths to be reached (varying from 10.57 (95%CI:  
15 10.60-13.43) to 620.21 (95%CI: 617.35-774.56) depending on the probability of  
16 infection). Nevertheless, starting vaccination in a younger age group when at least  
17 80% vaccination coverage had been reached in older age groups resulted in a third of  
18 excess Covid-19 deaths compared to starting vaccination when only 40% coverage  
19 had been reached, as shown in Figure 2 below. The estimates for all values of  
20 threshold are tabulated in the SM.

21




1  
2 **Figure 2.** Covid-19 number of excess deaths (median and interquartile ranges) (y-axis) by  
3 minimum 2-dose coverage threshold (x-axis) reached before initiating vaccination of younger  
4 age groups, stratified by the probability of infection (panels), given by very low, low,  
5 and high (with numerical values 0.0001, 0.0025, 0.0050, and 0.0100, respectively) values.

6 What should be the ideal interval between doses of the AZD1222 vaccine  
7 that would result in the greatest impact (considering intervals between 8  
8 and 12 weeks), assuming a sufficient supply of vaccines?


9 Although the results show that 90% coverage is the minimum necessary to  
10 reduce excess deaths to minimum levels, we consider 80% as a feasible target  
11 vaccination threshold. For this threshold, we computed the difference in Covid-19  
12 deaths estimated when considering AZD1222 vaccine schedules with different dose

1 intervals (8, 9, 10, and 11 weeks) compared to the standard currently recommended  
2 12-week interval (Figure 3). We assumed a setting without limitation of AZD1222  
3 vaccine doses, i.e., we ran the model assuming a number of doses up to ten times  
4 higher than the currently available and projected doses of AZD1222. We found that  
5 the lower dose-interval of 8 weeks leads to a greater reduction in the number of Covid-  
6 19 deaths, varying from 99.56 (95%CI: 64.17-133.82) deaths averted in the lower  
7 probability of infection scenario to 9,126.66 (95%CI: 5,941.56-12,298.09) in a scenario  
8 of a high probability of infection. All estimates are tabulated in the SM.



9  
10 **Figure 3.** Covid-19 deaths averted (median and interquartile ranges) (y-axis) by different dose  
11 intervals (colour) compared to 12 weeks, stratified by the probability of infection (x-axis), under  
12 the scenario of no limitation of AZD1222 vaccine supply. The probabilities of infection are  
13 given by very low, low, medium, and high (with numerical values 0.0001, 0.0025, 0.0050, and  
14 0.0100, respectively) values.

1 This result leads to whether the strategy of using a lower dose-interval for  
2 AZD1222 would be effective considering the currently projected vaccine supply until  
3 the end of 2021. When considering the scenario of the currently projected AZD1222  
4 vaccine supply, we see that the reduction in deaths is negligible, less than one death  
5 regardless of the probability of infection (Figure 4). This, in turn, leads to the next  
6 question which this modelling addresses.




7  
8 **Figure 4.** Reduction in deaths (in median percentage and interquartile ranges) (y-axis) by  
9 different dose-intervals (colour) compared to 12 weeks, stratified by the probability of infection  
10 (x-axis), under the scenario of the currently projected AZD1222 vaccine supply. The  
11 probabilities of infection are given by very low, low, medium, and high (with numerical values  
12 0.0001, 0.0025, 0.0050, and 0.0100, respectively) values.

1 What is the minimum amount of vaccines made available over time that  
2 will allow the implementation of the optimal interval between doses?

3           Considering the different dose-intervals for the AZD1222 vaccine, we estimate  
4 that the number of vaccine doses administered needs to be increased by at least 50%  
5 to avoid supply bottlenecks and allow for an impact on Covid-19 deaths reduction be  
6 observed (Figure 5). When comparing these estimates to the ones presented in Figure  
7 3, we can observe the different population impact of the strategy when considering a  
8 scenario in which enough vaccine doses are available to meet the entire demand  
9 (Figure 4) and a scenario in which an insufficient number of vaccine doses is available  
10 to meet the demand, thus requiring an increase in vaccine supply (Figure 5). Even  
11 with a 100% increase in vaccine supply, the impact of reducing the time between  
12 doses to 8 weeks is reduced to at least about one-fifth of the maximum potential impact  
13 (reduction from 9,126.66 (95%CI: 5,941.56-12,298.09) to 2018.58 (95%CI: 1,167.27-  
14 2,823.14) deaths averted in the scenario of a high probability of infection). A higher  
15 increase in vaccine supply was not considered as we assumed this was not a feasible  
16 scenario.

17



1  
2 **Figure 5.** Covid-19 deaths averted (median and interquartile ranges) (y-axis) when  
3 considering lower dose-intervals (colour) compared to 12 weeks, by per cent increase in  
4 AZD1222 vaccine supply (when compared to current projections) (x-axis), stratified by the  
5 probability of infection (panels), given by very low, low, medium, and high (with numerical  
6 values 0.0001, 0.0025, 0.0050, and 0.0100, respectively) values.

## 7 Discussion

8 Experiencing a pandemic requires constant and routine monitoring of the  
9 epidemiologic and its evolution over time, and implementation and adjustments of  
10 public health policies and disease control measures. Covid-19 challenges Brazil in a  
11 context where the Federal Government did not consider the purchase of vaccines as  
12 a priority in the fight against the pandemic, in addition to discouraging the use of

1 masks, social distancing and respect for quarantine measures, claiming that these  
2 measures would bring enormous losses of economic impact. This, associated with the  
3 emergence of new variants of concern (VOC), such as the Gamma variant (26), can  
4 represent a major problem. Being flexible and rethinking strategies has been  
5 mandatory in a large, plural country without a central plan to fight the epidemic (27).

6 By implementing vaccination on a large scale, so far with good efficacy data for  
7 all vaccines with only one dose for the original virus, Brazil focused on vaccinating the  
8 greatest number of people with one dose, ensuring some immunity, and spacing out  
9 the second dose for the maximum periods stipulated by the manufacturers. Thus, until  
10 July 2021, both the AZD1222 and BNT162b2 vaccines were administered with a 12-  
11 week interval between doses. In addition, the eagerness to reach a more significant  
12 number of vaccinated individuals has led states and municipalities to expand  
13 vaccination including early on younger age groups without defining *a priori* a minimum  
14 coverage to be reached in the groups already prioritized, which can also represent a  
15 problem.

16 In the context of scarce vaccine supply, it is crucial to ensure that at-risk  
17 individuals are adequately protected against Covid-19. Since our model limits to age-  
18 stratified populations, ignoring other groups, for example, pregnant women and  
19 immunosuppressed individuals, we can measure the effect of different thresholds of  
20 coverage of older individuals before making vaccine doses available to younger  
21 individuals. Figure 2 shows that ensuring a good vaccine coverage of older individuals  
22 (at least 90% of coverage) reduces the number of deaths considerably, as expected.  
23 However, more important than that, using lower coverages (lower than 80%) as a  
24 threshold generates a sharp increase in the number of additional deaths compared to  
25 vaccinating the whole population of older individuals beforehand. Thus, the first strong

1 recommendation that this article can make to optimize the ongoing vaccination plan in  
2 Brazil is that it is necessary to resume efforts to achieve minimum coverage in older  
3 age groups, so that, only after a minimum coverage of 80%, we can advance  
4 vaccination to younger age groups. This calls for urgent measures from government  
5 policy makers, including social mobilization and communication campaigns, in addition  
6 to active search of unvaccinated individuals. Assuming that Brazil is perfectly capable  
7 of rescuing unvaccinated older people, given the country's brilliant history concerning  
8 vaccination planning and implementation, acceptance by the population, and  
9 especially the capillarity of the health system, we can advance on the issues we  
10 modelled.

11 We demonstrate that, considering vaccine effectiveness estimates obtained in  
12 a scenario of Gamma variant dominance, reducing the interval between AZD1222  
13 doses from 12 to 8 weeks ensures the highest reduction in Covid-19 deaths (up to 10  
14 thousand deaths in the high transmission scenario), independently of the epidemic  
15 situation in Brazil. This study does not investigate smaller intervals between doses, as  
16 current guidelines recommend 8-12 weeks between the two doses (11). However, an  
17 important question raised by this work is that the optimal impact of changing the  
18 interval between doses is not (nearly) achievable by the current vaccine supply (i.e.,  
19 the projected number of doses to be distributed in Brazil in the next 6 months), as a  
20 high number of individuals are left unprotected during the course of the epidemic.  
21 Changing the recommendation in the current scenario, with the number of doses  
22 currently available, would not be interesting, as it would lead to a bottleneck in vaccine  
23 supply with potential damage to the immunization program.

24 To achieve a noticeable impact of changing the interval between doses of  
25 AZD1222, we see that the increase vaccine supply, in terms of number of available

1 doses should be in the order of, at least, 50%, independently of the transmission level  
2 of the epidemic. This might be achievable as Brazil's AZD1222 producer Fiocruz-  
3 Biomanguinhos is upgrading its factory from filling doses to in loco production of active  
4 pharmaceutical ingredients. This would, in principle, enable the production of four  
5 monthly lots of doses instead of the current three lots. Ideally, increasing vaccine  
6 availability by 100%, would result in an ideal scenario in terms of vaccination impact.  
7 We did not model an increase beyond that level, as we assumed it to be unrealistic.  
8 Thus, one more strong recommendation based on mathematical modelling can be  
9 made: decreasing the interval between doses of AZ vaccines from 12 to 8 weeks can  
10 be highly beneficial, in a scenario of 100% increase in vaccine availability by the end  
11 of the year 2021.

12 This recommendation is important to reinforce the need for increasing and  
13 sustaining local vaccine availability and demonstrate that the country cannot let down  
14 its guard in the fight against the pandemic. The upsurge in cases of covid-19, which  
15 ran from late 2020 to mid-2021, now appears to be showing signs of fading, despite  
16 the more recent introduction of the Delta VOC in the country. However, this is observed  
17 in the context of a significant proportion of the population either vaccinated or  
18 previously infected. Relaxing social distancing measures or slowing down vaccination  
19 activities, at this time, may result in increased infection transmission, ultimately leading  
20 to, once again, increase in cases and saturation of the healthcare system.

21 Regarding the Delta VOC, recently introduced and now predominant in Brazil,  
22 which was not modelled in this work, recent evidence indicates that effectiveness of  
23 one dose of AZD1222 is reduced when compared to 1-dose effectiveness against the  
24 Gamma variant. In contrast, the effectiveness post-second dose against severe  
25 disease maintains levels comparable to the Alpha variant (23). Thus, one would

1 assume that the same vaccination strategies identified as optimal should be used to  
2 contain the disease caused by the Delta variant, i.e., reinforcing vaccination coverage  
3 of 60+ age groups to at least 80%, reducing the interval between doses of AZD1222  
4 from 12 to 8 weeks and upgrading the production capabilities to at least 100%.

5 Mathematical modelling has been extensively used to assist policymakers  
6 during the Covid-19 pandemic. The range of scenarios studied includes, but is not  
7 limited to, school reopening (28), the effects of lockdown (29), and, of course,  
8 vaccination strategies. Moore et al. (30) have shown that vaccinating older age groups  
9 should be prioritized to minimize the number of future deaths or years of life lost in the  
10 UK. The same results were found by Bubar et al. (31) when considering the number  
11 of deaths as the outcome. However, under a highly effective vaccine against infection  
12 scenario, vaccinating more mobile age groups reduced the greatest number of  
13 infections in the population. Some agent-based models have been used to assess the  
14 effects of delaying the second dose of mRNA-based vaccines, showing that under the  
15 VE results against Alpha variant, delaying up to 12 weeks would have positive effects  
16 on the number of deaths (32,33).

17 The results presented here are in agreement with those reported by Silva et al.  
18 (34) and Ferreira et al (16). Silva et al. (34), using a hypothetical scenario of the  
19 number of doses, estimate that with a vaccine that alleviates symptoms with first dose  
20 efficacy between 60% and 70%, using an 8-week interval between doses would  
21 reduce the most number of ICU admissions. Notice that this is the case when we  
22 consider the effectiveness of AZD1222 against hospitalizations/deaths (Table 2).  
23 Ferreira et al. (16) considered varying (constant) production rates and have shown  
24 that, besides first dose efficacy, knowledge of vaccine production is also an important  
25 parameter when considering the optimal interval between doses, whereas the optimal

1 windows between doses are robust under different values of effective reproduction  
2 number, a fact confirmed by our modelling, and also supports our choice of fixed  
3 scenarios of the probability of infection. This work improves upon these articles by:  
4 first, considering variable in time production rates of vaccine, following the procured  
5 quantities by the Ministry of Health, instead of hypothetical scenarios. Second,  
6 considering all three vaccines simultaneously in the model, optimizing the allocation  
7 of doses in an ongoing vaccination programme. Third, using the most up-to-date  
8 parameters of effectiveness of vaccines against Variants of Concern.

9 As the vaccination data is reliable in Brazil and data from recent studies  
10 regarding vaccine efficacy for the Gamma variant is available, we were able to  
11 implement a Markov Chain mathematical model to assess optimization strategies in  
12 the National Immunization Programme (PNI). The main findings of this work are: the  
13 Brazilian vaccination programme should recover vaccination rates in at-risk individuals  
14 to ensure sufficiently good coverage; starting vaccination in younger age groups  
15 should happen after ensuring at least 80% coverage in older age groups; reducing the  
16 interval between doses of AZD1222 from 12 to 8 weeks would have the most impact  
17 in the number of averted deaths by vaccination, but only if accompanied with an  
18 increase of at least 50% of procured vaccine doses by the Ministry of Health.

19

20

21

## 1 Acknowledgements

## 2 Declaration of interests

3 The authors have no conflicts of interest.

## 4 Funding and role of the funding source

5 This study was funded by the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and  
6 Technological Development (CNPq) - Process # 402834/2020-8 (request for  
7 proposals MCTIC/CNPq/FNDCT/MS/SCTIE/Decit N° 07/2020).

8 The funding sources played no role in the study design, collection, analysis, or  
9 interpretation of the data, writing the report, or deciding to submit the paper for  
10 publication.

11 LSF received a master's scholarship from Coordination of Superior Level Staff  
12 Improvement (CAPES) (finance code 001). GBA received a technological and  
13 industrial scholarship from CNPq (grant number 301796/2021-1). MEB received a  
14 technological and industrial scholarship from CNPq (grant number 315854/2020-0).  
15 LMS received a technological and industrial scholarship from CNPq (grant number  
16 315866/2020-9). SP was supported by São Paulo State Research Support Foundation  
17 (FAPESP) (grant number: 2018/24037-4). AMB received a technological and industrial  
18 scholarship from CNPq (grant number 402834/2020-8). MQMR received a  
19 postdoctoral scholarship from CAPES (grant number 305269/2020-8). RSK has been  
20 supported by CNPq (proc. 312378/2019-0). JAFD-F has been supported by the CNPq  
21 productivity fellowship and the National Institutes for Science and Technology in  
22 Ecology, Evolution and Biodiversity Conservation (INCT-EEC), supported by  
23 MCTIC/CNPq (proc. 465610/2014-5) and FAPEG (proc. 201810267000023). RAK

1 has been supported by CNPq (grant number: 311832/2017-2) and FAPESP (contract  
2 number: 2016/01343-7). CMT has been supported by the CNPq productivity fellowship  
3 and the National Institute of Science and Technology for Health Technology  
4 Assessment (IATS) (proc: 465518/2014-1).

## 5 Author contributions

6 CMT, RAK, JAFD-F, SAC, RSK, and RMC conceptualized the study. All authors  
7 reviewed the study design and provided critical comments.

8 GBA, AMB, LMS, SAC, RSK, MQMR, and CMT reviewed the literature,  
9 collected and verified the underlying data, and proposed values and intervals for model  
10 parameters. All authors discussed and agreed on model parameters to be considered.

11 LSF, MEB, SP, and RMC were primarily responsible for model structuring,  
12 parametrization, and statistical analyses. All authors participated in the interpretation  
13 of the analyses.

14 LSF and GBA drafted the paper. All authors contributed to revising the paper,  
15 the tables, and the figures critically and for important intellectual content.

16 All authors approved the final submitted version of the paper.

17 All authors have full access to the data, are accountable for all aspects of the  
18 work, and will ensure that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of  
19 the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

20

## 21 Data Availability Statement

22 The authors state that the database used in the analyses are available as a  
23 supplementary file to the paper and can be provided to interested researchers upon  
24 reasonable request.

## 1 References

- 2 1. MINISTÉRIO DA SAÚDE. Boletim epidemiológico especial – Doença pelo Novo Coronavírus – COVID-19.  
3 Semana Epidemiológica 35. [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Nov 15]. Available from: [https://www.gov.br/saude/pt-](https://www.gov.br/saude/pt-br/media/pdf/2021/setembro/10/boletim_epidemiologico_covid_79_1final10set_reduzido.pdf)  
4 [br/media/pdf/2021/setembro/10/boletim\\_epidemiologico\\_covid\\_79\\_1final10set\\_reduzido.pdf](https://www.gov.br/saude/pt-br/media/pdf/2021/setembro/10/boletim_epidemiologico_covid_79_1final10set_reduzido.pdf)
- 5 2. Carvalho TA, Boschiero MN, Marson FAL. COVID-19 in Brazil: 150,000 deaths and the Brazilian  
6 underreporting. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2021 Mar;99(3):115258.
- 7 3. Sabino EC, Buss LF, Carvalho MPS, Prete CA, Crispim MAE, Fraiji NA, et al. Resurgence of COVID-19 in  
8 Manaus, Brazil, despite high seroprevalence. *The Lancet*. 2021 Feb 6;397(10273):452–5.
- 9 4. Bastos LS, Ranzani OT, Souza TML, Hamacher S, Bozza FA. COVID-19 hospital admissions: Brazil's first  
10 and second waves compared. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2021 Aug;9(8):e82–3.
- 11 5. World Health Organization. WHO SAGE Roadmap For Prioritizing Uses Of COVID-19 Vaccines In The  
12 Context Of Limited Supply [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Nov 15]. Available from:  
13 [https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/who-sage-roadmap-for-prioritizing-uses-of-covid-19-](https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/who-sage-roadmap-for-prioritizing-uses-of-covid-19-vaccines-in-the-context-of-limited-supply)  
14 [vaccines-in-the-context-of-limited-supply](https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/who-sage-roadmap-for-prioritizing-uses-of-covid-19-vaccines-in-the-context-of-limited-supply)
- 15 6. Campanha Nacional de Vacinação contra Covid-19 [Internet]. [cited 2021 Nov 15]. Available from:  
16 <https://opendatus.saude.gov.br/dataset/covid-19-vacinacao>
- 17 7. Tanriover MD, Doğanay HL, Akova M, Güner HR, Azap A, Akhan S, et al. efficacy and safety of an inactivated  
18 whole-virion SARS-CoV-2 vaccine (CoronaVac): interim results of a double-blind, randomised, placebo-  
19 controlled, phase 3 trial in Turkey. *Lancet*. 2021 Jul 17;398(10296):213–22.
- 20 8. Falsey AR, Sobieszczyk ME, Hirsch I, Sproule S, Robb ML, Corey L, et al. Phase 3 Safety and Efficacy of  
21 AZD1222 (ChAdOx1 nCoV-19) Covid-19 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med*. 2021 Sep 29;1–14.
- 22 9. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the  
23 BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med*. 2020 Dec 31;383(27):2603–15.
- 24 10. World Health Organization. Interim recommendations for use of the Pfizer–BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine,  
25 BNT162b2, under Emergency Use Listing [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Nov 15]. Available from:  
26 [https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/WHO-2019-nCoV-vaccines-SAGE\\_](https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/WHO-2019-nCoV-vaccines-SAGE_recommendation-BNT162b2-2021.1)  
27 [recommendation-](https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/WHO-2019-nCoV-vaccines-SAGE_recommendation-BNT162b2-2021.1)  
BNT162b2-2021.1

- 1 11. World Health Organization. Interim recommendations for use of the ChAdOx1-S [recombinant] vaccine against  
2 COVID-19 (AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine AZD1222 Vaxzevria™, SII COVISHIELD™) [Internet]. 2021 [cited  
3 2021 Nov 15]. Available from: [https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/WHO-2019-nCoV-vaccines-](https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/WHO-2019-nCoV-vaccines-SAGE_recommendation-AZD1222-2021.1)  
4 [SAGE\\_recommendation-AZD1222-2021.1](https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/WHO-2019-nCoV-vaccines-SAGE_recommendation-AZD1222-2021.1)
- 5 12. Temporão JG. O Programa Nacional de Imunizações (PNI): origens e desenvolvimento. *Hist cienc saude-*  
6 *Manguinhos*. 2003;10:601–17.
- 7 13. Domingues CMAS, de Oliveira WK. Uptake of pandemic influenza (H1N1)-2009 vaccines in Brazil, 2010.  
8 *Vaccine*. 2012 Jul 6;30(32):4744–51.
- 9 14. Taylor HM, Karlin S. An introduction to stochastic modeling. 3rd ed. San Diego: Academic Press; 1998. 631  
10 p.
- 11 15. Keeling MJ, Rohani P. Modeling Infectious Diseases in Humans and Animals. Modeling Infectious Diseases  
12 in Humans and Animals. Princeton University Press; 2011.
- 13 16. Ferreira LS, Canton O, da Silva RLP, Poloni S, Sudbrack V, Borges ME, et al. Assessing optimal time between  
14 doses in two-dose vaccination regimen in an ongoing epidemic of SARS-CoV-2 | medRxiv. 2021 Jul 31 [cited  
15 2021 Nov 15]; Available from: <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.07.28.21261200v1>
- 16 17. Buss LF, Prete CA, Abraham CMM, Mendrone A, Salomon T, de Almeida-Neto C, et al. Three-quarters attack  
17 rate of SARS-CoV-2 in the Brazilian Amazon during a largely unmitigated epidemic. *Science*. 2021 Jan  
18 15;371(6526):288–92.
- 19 18. Miraglia JL, Nascimento Monteiro C, Giannecchini Romagnolo A, Xavier Gomes R, Pitangueiras Mangueira  
20 C, Aparecida Rosseto-Welter E, et al. A seroprevalence survey of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies among  
21 individuals 18 years of age or older living in a vulnerable region of the city of São Paulo, Brazil. *PLoS One*.  
22 2021;16(7):e0255412.
- 23 19. Afrough B, Dowall S, Hewson R. Emerging viruses and current strategies for vaccine intervention. *Clinical &*  
24 *Experimental Immunology*. 2019;196(2):157–66.
- 25 20. Jara A, Undurraga EA, González C, Paredes F, Fontecilla T, Jara G, et al. Effectiveness of an Inactivated  
26 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine in Chile. *N Engl J Med*. 2021 Sep 2;385(10):875–84.

- 1 21. Cerqueira-Silva T, Oliveira V de A, Pescarini J, Júnior JB, Machado TM, Flores-Ortiz R, et al. Influence of age  
2 on the effectiveness and duration of protection in Vaxzevria and CoronaVac vaccines. 2021 Aug 27 [cited  
3 2021 Nov 16]; Available from: <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.08.21.21261501v2>
- 4 22. Voysey M, Clemens SAC, Madhi SA, Weckx LY, Folegatti PM, Aley PK, et al. Single-dose administration and  
5 the influence of the timing of the booster dose on immunogenicity and efficacy of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19  
6 (AZD1222) vaccine: a pooled analysis of four randomised trials. *The Lancet*. 2021 Mar 6;397(10277):881–91.
- 7 23. Nasreen S, Chung H, He S, Brown KA, Gubbay JB, Buchan SA, et al. Effectiveness of mRNA and ChAdOx1  
8 COVID-19 vaccines against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection and severe outcomes with variants of  
9 concern in Ontario. 2021 Sep 30 [cited 2021 Nov 16]; Available from:  
10 <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.06.28.21259420v3>
- 11 24. Hitchings MDT, Ranzani OT, Dorion M, D'Agostini TL, de Paula RC, de Paula OFP, et al. Effectiveness of  
12 ChAdOx1 vaccine in older adults during SARS-CoV-2 Gamma variant circulation in São Paulo. *Nat Commun*.  
13 2021 Oct 28;12:6220.
- 14 25. Dagan N, Barda N, Kepten E, Miron O, Perchik S, Katz MA, et al. BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine in a  
15 Nationwide Mass Vaccination Setting. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2021 Apr 15;384(15):1412–23.
- 16 26. Marquitti FMD, Coutinho RM, Ferreira LS, Borges ME, Portella TP, Silva RLP da, et al. Brazil in the face of  
17 new SARS-CoV-2 variants: emergencies and challenges in public health. *Rev bras epidemiol*. 2021 Jun 7;24.
- 18 27. Ortega F, Orsini M. Governing COVID-19 without government in Brazil: Ignorance, neoliberal authoritarianism,  
19 and the collapse of public health leadership. *Global Public Health*. 2020 Sep 1;15(9):1257–77.
- 20 28. Borges ME, Ferreira LS, Poloni S, Bagattini AM, Franco C, Rosa MQM da, et al. Modeling the impact of school  
21 reopening and contact tracing strategies on COVID-19 dynamics in different epidemiologic settings in Brazil.  
22 2021 Oct 26 [cited 2021 Nov 16]; Available from:  
23 <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.10.22.21264706v2>
- 24 29. Viana J, van Dorp CH, Nunes A, Gomes MC, van Boven M, Kretzschmar ME, et al. Controlling the pandemic  
25 during the SARS-CoV-2 vaccination rollout. *Nat Commun*. 2021 Jun 16;12(1):3674.
- 26 30. Moore S, Hill EM, Dyson L, Tildesley MJ, Keeling MJ. Modelling optimal vaccination strategy for SARS-CoV-  
27 2 in the UK. *PLOS Computational Biology*. 2021 May 6;17(5):e1008849.

- 1 31. Bubar KM, Reinholt K, Kissler SM, Lipsitch M, Cobey S, Grad YH, et al. Model-informed COVID-19 vaccine  
2 prioritization strategies by age and serostatus. *Science*. 2021 Feb 26;371(6532):916–21.
- 3 32. Romero-Brufau S, Chopra A, Ryu AJ, Gel E, Raskar R, Kremers W, et al. Public health impact of delaying  
4 second dose of BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273 covid-19 vaccine: simulation agent based modeling study. *BMJ*.  
5 2021 May 12;373:n1087.
- 6 33. Moghadas SM, Vilches TN, Zhang K, Nourbakhsh S, Sah P, Fitzpatrick MC, et al. Evaluation of COVID-19  
7 vaccination strategies with a delayed second dose. *PLOS Biology*. 2021 Apr 21;19(4):e3001211.
- 8 34. Silva PJS, Sagastizábal C, Nonato LG, Struchiner CJ, Pereira T. Optimized delay of the second COVID-19  
9 vaccine dose reduces ICU admissions. *PNAS*. 2021 Aug 31;118(35).

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

**Table 1. CoronaVac vaccine effectiveness against Gamma Variant of Concern, by outcome, age group, and vaccine dose**

Outcome	Age group	One-dose effectiveness (% and 95% confidence interval)	Two-doses effectiveness (% and 95% confidence interval)	Reference
Infection	All	0*	0*	Assumed
Symptomatic disease	All	16 (14-18)	67 (65-69)	Jara et al. (20)
Hospitalisation	<60	33.7 (27.1-39.7)	84.2 (81.3-86.7)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Hospitalisation	60-69	29.5 (25.8-33.0)	78.2 (76.3-79.8)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Hospitalisation	70-79	32.5 (29.9-35.1)	74.0 (72.6-75.4)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Hospitalisation	80-89	8.2 (2.1-13.8)	63.0 (59.9-66.0)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Hospitalisation	>90	0*	32.7 (22.8-41.3)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Death	<60	41.7 (26.4-53.9)	70.5 (51.4-82.1)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Death	60-69	35.7 (30.3-40.7)	76.5 (66.9-83.3)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Death	70-79	38.2 (34.7-41.5)	78.7 (76.6-80.0)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Death	80-89	10.1 (2.7-10.7)	67.3 (63.6-70.6)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Death	>90	0*	35.4 (23.8-45.1)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)

\*CoronaVac effectiveness for infections is considered zero in this model

\*\*Confidence intervals including zero or negative results were considered zero (0) in this table.

1  
2  
3  
4

**Table 2. AZD1222 Vaccine Effectiveness against Gamma Variant of Concern, by outcome, age-group, and vaccine dose**

<b>Outcome</b>	<b>Age group</b>	<b>One-dose effectiveness (% and 95% confidence interval)</b>	<b>Two-doses effectiveness (% and 95% confidence interval)</b>	<b>Reference</b>
Infection	All	63.9 (46-75.9)	59.9 (35.8-75.0)	Voysey et al. (22)
Symptomatic disease	< 60	50 (27-66)	100**	Nasreen, et al. (23)
Symptomatic disease	> 60	33.4% (26.4-39.7)	77.9% (69.2-84.2)	Hitchings et al (24)
Hospitalisation	< 60	64.1 (62.6-65.5)	94.2 (89.8-96.6)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Hospitalisation	60-69	44.9 (42.4-47.4)	91.7 (84.3-95.6)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Hospitalisation	70-79	32.9 (25.2-39.8)	88.4 (84.6-91.2)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Hospitalisation	80-89	32.9 (28.0-37.4)	86.9 (84.9-88.7)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Hospitalisation	>90	0*	54.9 (35.4-68.5)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Death	< 60	64.8 (61.8-67.6)	93.3 (72.1-98.4)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Death	60-69	45.4 (41.0-49.4)	89.6 (71.8-96.2)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Death	70-79	37.1 (26.9-45.8)	92.5 (88.1-95.3)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)

Death	80-89	38.1 (32.2-43.4)	91.2 (89.1-92.9)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
Death	>90	0*	70.5 (51.4-82.1)	Cerqueira-Silva et al. (21)
<p>*Negative results were considered zero (0) in this table.</p> <p>**Considered 99% in this model.</p>				

1  
2  
3  
4

<b>Table 3. BNT162b2 vaccine effectiveness against Gamma Variant of Concern, by outcome, age-group and vaccine dose</b>				
<b>Outcome</b>	<b>Age group</b>	<b>One-dose effectiveness (% and 95% confidence interval)</b>	<b>Two-doses effectiveness (% and 95% confidence interval)</b>	<b>Reference</b>
Infection	All	60 (53-66)	92 (88-95)	Dagan et al. (25)
Symptomatic disease	All	65 (56-71)	85 (70-93)	Nasreen et al. (23)
Hospitalisation/Deaths	All	83 (75-88)	98 (82-100)	Nasreen et al. (23)

5