medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Assessing the Use of Prescription Drugs in Obese Respondents in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

Laura A. Barrett, MS[1], Aiwen Xing, MS[2], Julia Sheffler, PhD[3], Elizabeth Steidley[1], Terrence J. Adam, RPh, MD, PhD[4], Rui Zhang, PhD[4], Zhe He, PhD[1,3]

[1]School of Information, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA

[2]Department of Statistics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA

[3]Department of Behavioral Sciences and Social Medicine, College of Medicine, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA

[4]Institute for Health Informatics and College of Pharmacy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

Corresponding Author:

Zhe He, PhD
School of Information
College of Communication and Information
Florida State University
142 Collegiate Loop
Tallahassee, Florida 32306-2100
zhe@fsu.edu
Phone: 001(850)644-5775

Competing Interests

None

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Introduction: Obesity is a common disease and a known risk factor for many other conditions such as hypertension, type 2 diabetes, and cancer. Treatment options for obesity include lifestyle changes, pharmacotherapy, and surgical interventions such as bariatric surgery. In this study, we examine the use of prescription drugs and dietary supplements by the individuals with obesity.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional analysis of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data 2003-2014. We used multivariate logistic regression to analyze the correlations of demographics and obesity status with the use of prescription drugs and dietary supplement use. We also built machine learning models to classify prescription drug and dietary supplement use using demographic data and obesity status.

Results: Individuals with obesity are more likely to take cardiovascular agents (OR=1.265, 95% CI 1.222-1.311) and metabolic agents (OR=1.398, 95% CI 1.343-1.456) than individuals without obesity. The best performing model for classifying prescription drug use had the accuracy of 74.5% and the AUROC of 0.817.

Conclusions: This study can inform clinical practice and patient education of the use of prescription drugs and dietary supplements and their correlation with obesity.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

As a major health and economic crisis affecting the modern world, much progress has been made in identifying and developing strategies for preventing and treating obesity. Currently, treatment options include lifestyle changes, pharmacotherapy, and surgical interventions (e.g., intragastric balloons and bariatric surgery).[1] In terms of pharmacotherapy, there are five approved prescription drugs (RXD) (orlistat, 1999; phentermine/topiramate, 2012; liraglutide, 2014; naltrexone/bupropion, 2014; and semaglutide, 2021) that can be prescribed for weight loss.[2] All but orlistat, which reduces the absorption of fat, work by helping the individual to limit caloric intake.[3] There are also four RXD that are similar to amphetamines that can be used short-term (phendimetrazine, diethylpropion, phentermine, and benzphetamine).[4] There have been three other well-known RXD that were approved for use and then removed from the market. The first one is fenfluramine/phentermine (fen-phen) which was discontinued in 1997 because fenfluramine was shown to cause cardiac issues.[5] The second one is sibutramine, which was withdrawn in 2010 due to an increased risk of stroke and myocardial infarction.[6] The third one is lorcaserin, which was withdrawn in February 2020 after a clinical trial showed an increased occurrence of cancers.[7] Due to the cost of pharmacotherapy and surgical interventions, as well as other reasons, dietary supplements (DS) are often used as a cost-sensitive and easily accessible, albeit less scientifically supported, alternative treatment of obesity.[8,9]

Individuals with obesity face an increased risk of chronic diseases, namely depression, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and many cancers including those of the colon, breast, kidney, and pancreas.[10] Many of these conditions require pharmaceutical intervention as part of the treatment plan and individuals with obesity often use RXDs to manage these conditions. Overall, RXD use in the United States has increased.[9] This increase is partly influenced by the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

development of new RXDs, the expansion of RXD coverage by insurance companies, and increased rates of chronic conditions such as obesity.[9] The greatest increase in RXD use has been in those used for treating conditions found to be associated with obesity, specifically antihypertensives, antihyperlipidemic, antidiabetics, and antidepressants.[11]

Recent studies have researched obesity in relation to specific drugs or drug types. [12–16] There have also been recent studies that examined various aspects of obesity such as childhood obesity,[16,17] obesity and hours spent at work,[18] exposure to certain pollutants or chemicals,[19–21] trends in obesity,[22] and obesity and waist circumference.[23] However, there have not been any studies that look at overall RXD use in individuals with obesity. Being able to see this bird's eye view of this relationship is important because understanding the patterns of RXD use among people with obesity, who often have other chronic conditions, can inform both clinical practice and research.[9] This is challenging because there are cross-over issues between RXD, their side effect of weight gain, and their therapeutic effect on obesity and its comorbidities. For example, certain blood-glucose-lowering RXD and psychotropics may lead to unintended weight gain.[24] In this project, we aim to gain an in-depth understanding of both the relationship between obesity and RXD use, as well as the correlations between specific RXD and DS use in individuals with obesity. We also aim to understand if demographic variables and obesity status can assist with classifying an individual's likelihood of using any RXD or DS, not just specific RXD or DS.

Materials and Methods

The National Center for Health Statistics of CDC has been conducting the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) as a continuous cross-sectional health survey.[25] It samples the non-institutionalized population of the United States with a stratified multistage probability model and releases results of a set of health surveys, medical examinations, a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

physical, and laboratory test every two years. Its rigorous quality control ensures high-quality data collection and national representativeness. The NHANES data have been used in many public health and epidemiology studies.[26–32]

Demographic, physical examination, prescription drug (RXD) and dietary supplement (DS) use [8], and health insurance information were extracted from NHANES for survey years 2003 – 2014 (6 survey cycles). This is the same cycle used in a previous study[33] regarding DS use which allowed for comparison. Additionally, starting in 2013-2014 ICD-10 codes were listed with the RXD giving improved information regarding RXD use. The 12-year sample weight (the number of people in the US population that a sample in the combined sample can represent) was calculated according to the analytical guideline of NHANES.[25] The obese group is defined as: (1) BMI ≥ 30 kg/m^2,[34] (2) age ≥ 18.[35] From the original NHANES data, 1937 respondents with no BMI and 32 respondents with no RXD use information were removed from the dataset. Table S1 lists the NHANES file, the NHANES variable names, the associated questions, and how they are referred to in this paper.

Data Analysis

Basic Characteristics: A profile for each group was created that included sex, age, race, annual household income, and health insurance status.

Statistical Analysis: We conducted multivariate logistic regression analyses to access: 1) the associations between using RXD/DS and variables of interests (i.e., demographic characteristics, poverty income ratio, insurance status, and obesity status) and 2) the associations between taking specific types of RXD and obesity status. Weighted multivariate logistic regression analyses were used to obtain odds ratios (OR) and 95% CIs with 12-year sample weight. All interested variables were introduced in the model first then backward elimination with a threshold of p =

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

0.05 was applied to eliminate variables. We kept only the variables that were significant in the initial model in the final model. The significance level was set as 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed by SAS software (SAS Institute Inc), version 9.4.

We performed two separate logistic regression analyses. 1) Usage based on the specific number of RXD/DS that the individual used was the dependent variable. Demographic characteristics were included as independent variables to examine whether taking a specific number of RXD/DS was significantly associated with demographic characteristics within non-obese or obese groups separately. This regression evaluated covariates down to the two groups. 2) Obesity status and demographic characteristics were included as independent variables to test their associations with taking a specific number of RXD/DS within the whole population. In the second analysis, the dichotomous dependent variable was whether participants were prescribed the specific types of RXD; obesity status was set as independent variable with reference as non-obese group.

Classification Modeling: We used Weka[36] to evaluate different machine learning models for classifying whether a respondent used one or more RXD or DS, respectively. In the first round of the modelling, the variables included age group, sex, BMI category, race, annual household income, and insurance status. As we are also interested in seeing whether DS use would help classify RDX use and vice versa, in the second round of modeling, DS use or RXD use was added as a variable for classifying the use of the other type. A third round of modelling was done using the poverty income ratio (PIR) in place of the annual household income. Lastly, we further evaluated if machine learning models were able to classify how many RXD were used. For this round, we created four groups of RDX count (i.e., 0, 1-2, 3-5, >5). We used feature selection based on correlation ("CorrelationAttributeEval" in Weka) to rank the importance of the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

variables. We evaluated four major machine learning algorithms including Naïve Bayes, Logistic Regression, SMO (Weka's implementation of Support Vector Machine), and Random Forest. Deep learning techniques were not employed because of the small number of variables in this dataset. The data was preprocessed to make all numerical data nominal. 10-fold cross validation was employed. In each fold, 90% of the data was used for training and 10% of the data was used for testing. The models were compared using overall accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and AUROC.

Results

Basic Characteristics

Table 1 shows the basic characteristics of the two groups in regard to their RXD use. There are a few differences based on obesity status and demographics. In the non-obese group 52.64% of people report taking 1 or more RXD. The obese group has a higher reported use at 63.68%. In both groups, females report higher use than males. In addition, RXD use increases with age in both groups. Race also plays a role in reported RXD use in both groups; Non-Hispanic Whites have the highest percentages of use while Mexican Americans show the lowest percentages. Lastly, in both groups, those with health insurance reported higher RXD use than those that reported not having insurance.

Table 1. Basic characteristics of the study population

	Non-obese				**Obese**			
	0 RXD		**1 or more RXD**		**0 RXD**		**1 or more RXD**	
Variable	**Weight Count**	**%**	**Weight Count**	**%**	**Weight Count**	**%**	**Weight Count**	**%**
Total	66508809	47.36%	73921759	52.64%	26760055	36.32%	46917732	63.68%
(Total sample count)	10903	49.71%	11090	50.56%	4511	37.74%	7442	62.26%
Gender								
Male	38107616	54.91%	31295757	45.09%	14353846	42.29%	19590434	57.71%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

(Male sample count)	6172	54.76%	5099	45.24%	2210	42.43%	2999	57.57%
Female	28401193	39.99%	42626002	60.01%	12406209	31.22%	27327297	68.78%
(Female sample count)	4731	44.12%	5991	55.88%	2301	34.12%	4443	65.88%
Age								
18-24	14836894	70.18%	6304274	29.82%	4660202	71.00%	1903380	29.00%
(18-24 sample count)	3034	76.69%	922	23.31%	948	74.59%	324	25.49%
25-34	16942291	65.69%	8849137	34.31%	7470412	63.24%	4343207	36.76%
(25-34 sample count)	2569	71.18%	1040	28.82%	1220	66.96%	602	33.04%
35-44	14731496	58.57%	10418677	41.43%	6813783	45.62%	8121188	54.38%
(35-44 sample count)	2136	63.89%	1207	36.11%	1034	49.38%	1060	50.62%
45-54	11677424	44.68%	14456138	55.32%	4735950	30.01%	11047591	69.99%
(45-54 sample count)	1546	48.82%	1621	51.18%	699	33.07%	1415	66.93%
55-64	5554943	29.58%	13224166	70.42%	2308369	18.04%	10485145	81.96%
(55-64 sample count)	942	33.04%	1909	66.96%	417	19.75%	1694	80.25%
65-74	1818148	14.32%	10874221	85.68%	570357	7.32%	7222091	92.68%
(65-74 sample count)	419	16.85%	2068	83.15%	140	8.82%	1448	91.18%
75 and over	947610	8.82%	9795144	91.18%	200980	5.03%	3795126	94.97%
(75 and over sample count)	257	9.96%	2323	90.04%	53	5.57%	899	94.43%
Race								
Mexican American	7904327	72.27%	3033546	27.73%	4381332	61.83%	2704766	38.17%
(Mexican American sample count)	2359	65.93%	1219	34.07%	1197	54.19%	1012	45.81%
Other Hispanic	4330888	63.44%	2496048	36.56%	2023727	55.70%	1609763	44.30%
(Other Hispanic sample count)	755	42.85%	1007	57.15%	440	46.07%	515	53.93%
Non-Hispanic White	39765947	40.57%	58255518	59.43%	14217576	29.17%	34528101	70.83%
(Non-Hispanic White sample count)	3888	38.14%	6307	61.86%	1356	27.06%	3655	72.94%
Non-Hispanic Black	7805243	58.33%	5575636	41.67%	4851478	42.92%	6452578	57.08%
(Non-Hispanic Black sample count)	2288	55.11%	1864	44.89%	1296	39.58%	1978	60.42%
Other Race or Multi-Racial	6702401	59.51%	4561010	40.49%	1285941	44.21%	1622523	55.79%
(Other Race or Multi-Racial sample count)	1361	59.02%	945	40.98%	222	44.05%	282	55.95%
Household Income								
$0 to $34,999	20270690	48.07%	21898357	51.93%	8968445	36.82%	15386882	63.18%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

($0 to $34,999 sample count)	4339	48.86%	4541	51.14%	1953	37.49%	3257	62.51%
$35,000 to $74,999	19710293	47.96%	21385868	52.04%	9041692	37.40%	15136891	62.60%
($35,000 to $74,999 sample count)	2969	50.11%	2956	49.89%	1330	39.05%	2076	60.95%
$75,000 and over	21041758	44.89%	25829035	55.11%	6765778	33.33%	13530986	66.67%
($75,000 and over sample count)	2481	47.97%	2691	52.03%	834	35.26%	1531	64.74%
Poverty Income Ratio								
0-1	11886187	57.03%	8955378	42.97%	5219995	43.82%	6691191	56.18%
(0-1 sample count)	2758	57.26%	2059	42.74%	1223	43.84%	1567	56.16%
1.01-2	13989268	50.62%	13647792	49.38%	6417578	39.10%	9996556	60.90%
(1.01-2 sample count)	2661	50.34%	2625	49.66%	1212	39.21%	1879	60.79%
2.01-3	9359020	46.75%	10660719	53.25%	3902815	34.02%	7567837	65.98%
(2.01-3 sample count)	1356	46.84%	1539	53.16%	585	35.01%	1086	64.99%
3.01-4	8145263	47.14%	9132730	52.86%	3344522	35.14%	6173617	64.86%
(3.01-4 sample count)	1687	46.16%	1968	53.84%	670	33.52%	1329	66.48%
4.01-5	16824617	40.22%	25006634	59.78%	5573688	30.32%	12809216	69.68%
(4.01-5 sample count)	1256	41.13%	1798	58.87%	387	30.59%	878	69.41%
Health Insurance*								
Yes	45836430	40.83%	66422640	59.17%	17826007	29.68%	42227916	70.32%
(Yes sample count)	6868	41.18%	9809	58.82%	2783	29.83%	6546	70.17%
No	20600914	73.47%	7439920	26.53%	8918505	65.61%	4675065	34.39%
(No sample count)	1726	65.88%	894	34.12%	2620	74.56%	894	25.44%

*Overall insurance coverage was 80.5% covered and 19.5% not covered.

Specific RXD Types

For the identified RXD types, we evaluated the association between the specific types of RXD use and obesity status. Table 2 shows the odds ratio between obese and non-obese group taking prescription drugs. While looking at the types of RXD, there were differences in use between the obese and non-obese groups. Cardiovascular agents are the most used RXD type in both groups.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

This is not surprising given the prevalence of cardiovascular diseases in the United States. Compared with those in the non-obese group, individuals with obesity are more likely to take cardiovascular agents (OR=1.265, 95% CI 1.222-1.311) and metabolic agents (OR=1.398, 95% CI 1.343-1.456). Figure 1 (a) shows a comparison of the cardiovascular agents and the metabolic agents based on percent of the RXD used by each age group. In both types of RXD, the obese population uses more of the RXD in the younger age groups, starting clearly at 25-34. The decrease in usage by obese individuals in the 75+ group may, in part, reflect the potential mixed effects of obesity in old age. Studies indicate that people with higher than normal BMI have lower mortality in the 75+ age group, though this is dependent on many other variables.[37–39] Figure 1(b) shows the more detailed breakdown of CVD RDX use by people who are underweight, normal weight, overweight, and obese.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



[Figure 1. (a) Comparison of RXD used by age group. CVD is cardiovascular drug. MA is metabolic agent. (b) Comparison of CVD RXD used by different age groups and weight categories.]

Table 2. Odds ratios between obese and non-obese group taking prescription drugs

Prescription drugs	Obese (%)	Non-Obese (%)	Odds ratios	95% Wald CL		Pr > ChiSq
Cardiovascular agents	29.49	26.77	1.265	1.222	1.311	<.0001

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Metabolic agents	20.83	17.32	1.398	1.343	1.456	<.0001
Central nervous system agents	15.53	15.85	0.884	0.848	0.921	<.0001
Gastrointestinal agents	5.95	6.81	0.894	0.84	0.951	0.0004
Respiratory agent	5.87	6.10	0.933	0.877	0.993	0.0288
Psychotherapeutic agents	5.77	5.94	0.936	0.883	0.993	0.0276
Hormones/hormone modifiers	5.42	7.84	0.617	0.583	0.654	<.0001
Topical agents	2.66	3.62	0.749	0.688	0.814	<.0001
Anti-infectives	2.37	3.56	0.637	0.584	0.696	<.0001
Coagulation modifiers	1.96	2.22	0.972	0.865	1.092	0.6297
Antineoplastics	0.64	0.87	0.763	0.642	0.907	0.0022

Correlation Analysis of RDX and DS Use with Demographic Characteristics

Examining the correlation between reported RDX use and demographic characteristics for the non-obese and obese groups, we found a few items of interest. Table S2 shows the results of this regression analysis. Male from both the non-obese and the obese group were significantly less likely than female to use RXD ($OR_{control} = 0.572$, 95% CI 0.538-0.607, $OR_{obese} = 0.552$, 95% CI 0.508-0.626). Compared with those individuals with obesity older than 75, adults younger than 54 were significantly less likely to use RXD. When controlling for all other variables, non-obese people covered by insurance were around 1.338 times (p<.0001 for non-obese group and p=0.0056 for obese group) as likely to use RXD than those who did not have any insurance coverage. Specifically, individuals with obesity covered by Medicare were 1.435 times more likely to use RXD (p<.0001) than those with no Medicare covered.

When looking at the correlation between reported DS use and demographic characteristics for the non-obese and obese groups, we found a few interesting findings (Table S3). Within the obese group, Mexican American, other Hispanic, and Non-Hispanic Black, and Other Races (including multi-racial) were significantly less likely to take DS compared with Non-Hispanic White. A significant correlation was found between PIR (Poverty income ratio: a ratio of family income to poverty threshold) and DS use for the control group. Non-obese people

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

with a PIR higher than 4 are more likely to take DS. Individuals with obesity covered by private insurance, Medicare, and other government insurance were significantly more likely to take DS, while individuals without obesity covered by insurance were 1.241 times as likely to take DS than those who were not covered by any insurance while holding other variables constant.

We were also interested in how obesity status and demographic characteristics associated with the use RXD or that of DS within the whole population group (Table 3). Female and older people were more likely to take RXD or DS. PIR is an interesting factor, as people with higher PIR were significantly more likely to take DS. For RXD use, only those with PIR >= 5 were found to be statistically significant. This was also the case with the PIR (Poverty income ratio: a ratio of family income to poverty threshold) showing that those with a PIR higher than 1 are more likely to take RXD or DS. The higher the PIR is, the higher odds people use DS. Individuals with obesity were more likely to take RXD (OR= 1.567, 95% CI 1.481-1.658) while less likely to take DS (OR= 0.769, 95% CI 0.731-0.81) compared with individuals without obesity.

Table 3. Reported prescription drug use/dietary supplements use by demographic characteristics and obesity status correlates.

Variable	**RXD use**				**DS use**			
	Odds ratio	95% Wald CL		P value	Odds ratio	95% Wald CL		P value
Gender								
Male	0.549	0.521	0.578	<.0001	0.562	0.535	0.59	<.0001
Female (reference)	1				1			
Age Group								
18-24	0.105	0.084	0.131	<.0001	0.275	0.234	0.323	<.0001
25-34	0.132	0.107	0.164	<.0001	0.375	0.32	0.438	<.0001
35-44	0.198	0.16	0.246	<.0001	0.421	0.361	0.492	<.0001
45-54	0.335	0.27	0.415	<.0001	0.557	0.478	0.649	<.0001
55-64	0.618	0.497	0.768	<.0001	0.846	0.725	0.986	0.0328
65-74	0.693	0.565	0.85	0.0004	0.884	0.777	1.006	0.0611

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

75 over (reference)	1				1			
Race								
Mexican American	0.461	0.416	0.511	<.0001	0.614	0.558	0.676	<.0001
Other Hispanic	0.524	0.462	0.594	<.0001	0.786	0.7	0.882	<.0001
Non-Hispanic White (reference)	1				1			
Non-Hispanic Black	0.603	0.554	0.656	<.0001	0.597	0.552	0.647	<.0001
Other Race – Including Multi-Racial	0.528	0.475	0.587	<.0001	0.895	0.811	0.989	0.0287
Poverty Income Ratio								
0-1 (reference)	1				1			
1-2	0.928	0.85	1.014	0.099	1.247	1.15	1.352	<.0001
2-3	1.017	0.922	1.121	0.7419	1.394	1.275	1.524	<.0001
3-4	0.91	0.82	1.009	0.0738	1.637	1.49	1.8	<.0001
4-5	1.09	0.974	1.22	0.1346	1.792	1.616	1.986	<.0001
>=5	1.142	1.035	1.261	0.0081	2.278	2.08	2.495	<.0001
Covered by any insurance								
Yes	1.539	1.304	1.816	<.0001	1.128	1.021	1.247	0.0178
No (reference)	1				1			
Covered by private insurance								
Yes	1.371	1.176	1.597	<.0001	1.3	1.191	1.419	<.0001
No (reference)	1				1			
Covered by Medicare								
Yes	2.937	2.476	3.483	<.0001	1.349	1.189	1.53	<.0001
No (reference)	1				1			
Covered by Medicaid								
Yes	2.109	1.764	2.521	<.0001				
No (reference)	1							
Covered by other government insurance								
Yes	1.91	1.616	2.257	<.0001	1.214	1.086	1.357	0.0007
No (reference)	1				1			
Obesity								
Yes	1.567	1.481	1.658	<.0001	0.769	0.731	0.81	<.0001
No (reference)	1				1			

Figure 2 shows the correlation between age, BMI, and the number of RDX and DS used by both the obese group and non-obese group. Figure 2(a) illustrates the correlation between the average number of RXD used by a respondent and the age groups. Generally, the average

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

number of RXD used by a respondent increased with an increase in age for both non-obese and obese groups. Regardless of age, individuals with obesity generally take more RXD than individuals without obesity. The distribution of the average number of RXD used shows a positive skewness distribution: the average number is greater than the median within each age group. Figure 2(b) illustrates the correlation between the average number of DS used and the age groups. Similarly, the average number of DS used generally increased with an increase in age for both groups, with people aging from 65 to 74 taking the highest number of DS (mean of non-obese vs. obese: 2.28 vs.1.86). However, the difference in average number of DS used between the obese and non-obese groups was not as clear as that between the number of RXD used and age group. Figure 1(c) illustrates the correlation between the number of RXD/DS used and BMI. BMI mainly clustered around 18 to 37 kg/m^2. People typically take higher numbers of RXD than DS. The largest maximum number of DS used (24) can be found among people with BMI from 18 to 22 kg/m^2. The highest average number of RXD used can be found among people with BMI from 63 to 67 kg/m^2. As both of the BMI categories from 83 to 87 kg/m^2 and from 128 to 132 kg/m^2 have only one person included in the sample, no bar shows in the figure, the points indicate the true value among those groups.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 2. (a) The correlation between the number of RXD used and age; (b) The correlation between the number of DS used and age; (c) the number of RXD/DS used compared to BMI. The shade of color represents the aggregated weights within each age group. The points of line indicate the average number used within each age group. The shade of the color represents the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

aggregated weights within each age group. The points on the line indicate the average number used within each age group.

Classification of RDX Use and DS Use Using Machine Learning

Table S4, S5, S6, S7, and S8 in the Supplementary Material show the detailed results of classifying DS and RXD use. Classification of DS use (binary variable) was not as accurate as classification of RXD use (binary variable). Results from the models run were similar for DS use with the highest overall accuracy being 64.63% and the AUROC at 0.7 (Table S4). The results for RXD use were better, with the highest overall accuracy being 74.27% and the highest AUROC at 0.816 (Table S5). To see if results would change with the addition of the variable DS use (for RXD use classification) and RXD use (for DS classification) the same machine learning algorithms were evaluated again. The overall accuracy and AUROC increased slightly in both DS models (Table S4) and RXD models (Table S5). This shows that adding the extra variable did not make a significant contribution to the classifications. Using the PIR in place of the annual household income also did not significantly change the results for classification of both DS use (Table S6) and RDX use (Table S7). For DS classification, RXD use, insurance status, and sex were the top three important features. For RXD classification, insurance status, DS use, and age were the top three important features. In both cases, BMI category and annual household income were in the least three important features. We further created four groups for RDX count (i.e., 0, 1-2, 3-5, >5) and classified samples into one of these groups, using the same models and variables. In this experiment (Table S8), the best model is logistic regression with an AUROC of 0.76. Note that multiclass classification is inherently more challenging than binary classification.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion

Individuals with obesity experience increased risk for developing chronic diseases across the lifespan, which are often managed using RXD. Overall, RXD use in the United States has increased,[9] with the greatest increases seen in the treatment of conditions found to be associated with obesity, specifically antihypertensives, antihyperlipidemic, antidiabetics, and antidepressants.[11] Further, many individuals may use DS in addition to or instead of RXD. Given the increases in obesity, RXD use, and DS use, it is important to analyze trends in order to better characterize individuals RXD and DS use in relation to obesity.

In this study, we used NHANES data from 2003-2014 to examine RXD and DS use in relation to obesity status. We showed that demographics and obesity status do play a role in usage. In regard to demographic variables, we found that the obese group has a higher reported use of RXD at 63.68%. In both groups, females report higher use than males. In addition, RXD use increases with age in both groups. The difference in usage based on sex is explainable because females tend to have more consistent visits to medical practitioners and typically use more RXD in general, compared to males.[40] The increase in RDX use shown with age can be explained by increased prevalence in clinical comorbidities as the population gets older and is consistent with prior population studies.[41] The reason why the RDX usage decreases by obese individuals in the 75+ group may be that individuals whose obesity was associated with CVD earlier in life may have higher mortality rates. Another possibility is that higher BMI in older age may be protective, as previous research has suggested. Regardless, our findings further highlight that BMI is not as useful of a health parameter in older adults as it is in young and middle-aged adults, which is consistent with previous research.[42] The differences in race can be explained by the healthcare and insurance gap seen in minority races.[43] The increased use by those that are

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

insured can be explained by an increased use of health care services and the associated increase coverage of RXD.[44]

Regarding specific RXD types, cardiovascular agents and metabolic agents were used more by the obese group, while hormone/hormone modifiers and psychotherapeutic RXD usage was higher in the non-obese group. While increased use of cardiovascular and metabolic RXD was expected given the cardiometabolic comorbidities with obesity, higher usage of hormonal and psychotherapeutic RXD in non-obese individuals was surprising. . Prior research has found higher rates of reproductive issues and increases in depression and other mental health disorders associated with obesity; [45] thus, we would expect higher use of related RXD. One explanation may be the association between high TSH and high BMI and low free-T4 and high BMI. These levels may not be outside of the "normal" range for these values but still cause an increase in BMI which could mean that RXD use would not necessarily be indicated.[46] In regard to psychotherapeutic RXD, it is possible that individuals with obesity may have unrecognized or undiagnosed mental health issues that are seen as medically related to obesity rather than mental health. For example, unhealthy coping mechanisms, such as increasing food intake or binging may be related to undiagnosed depressive symptomatology.[47]

In looking at tracking the use of specific RXD prescribed for weight loss, there was a small proportion of the population that utilized these drugs. The problem with further study of these RXD is that many of them were approved outside of the 12-year survey data used in this project. Additionally, many of the drugs used for weight loss are also used for other purposes such as management of diabetes or as a general CNS stimulant. Based on the information available it was unclear why respondents used a specific RXD. This made it difficult to understand and analyze the use of these drugs.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

When looking at both DS use and RXD use, the population with obesity was more likely to use RXD, but less likely to use DS compared with the non-obesity population. This finding is consistent with a previous report that only 33.9% of adults use DS for weight loss.[48] Similar results were also obtained regardless of sex, age, and financial status.[49] However, we found additional novel predictors, such as insurance status. While higher RXD use in obese individuals was expected, lower use of DS was surprising. Given the low percentage of individuals who use DS for weight loss, it is possible that a majority of DS use is related to seeking other purported benefits. For example, there are a plethora of DS marketed toward enhancing brain function or enhancing physical function, which may be more likely to be consumed by either older or non-obese individuals who are already health-conscious.

The experiments with machine learning models showed that predictions of RXD and DS use could be improved. Future studies should examine alternative variables that may better predict RXD and DS use. For example, diagnostic information, out-of-pocket costs, and RXD coverage information may provide valuable additional information for understanding these relationships. Validated models of RXD and DS use would provide valuable information that may inform patient education. Further, physicians and other health care providers may be able to use this information to better understand patient trends and to make informed prescribing decisions. In particular, health care providers may benefit from being able to characterize individuals most likely to use DS, as these may go unreported otherwise.

Overall, our findings indicate that obesity is associated with higher RXD and lower DS use, but income and age are important demographic factors to consider in this relationship. Since it appears that the more used RXD types are associated with comorbid conditions related to obesity instead of treating obesity directly, there may be opportunities for better health

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

education. This may include expanding education on the benefits of lifestyle changes to minimize both obesity and the impact of common comorbid conditions. Further, the lower observed use of DS in the obese population may offer an opportunity for patient education on DS like omega-3 fatty acids and Vitamin B/B-Complex, which are shown to benefit cardiovascular health, omega-3 fatty acids which are shown to benefit weight loss and to maintain blood sugar, and multivitamin/multiminerals which are shown to impact general overall health.[33] Understanding use patterns of DS in obese and non-obese individuals may also provide opportunities for educating patients about potentially harmful or ineffective DS, such as those targeting weight loss specifically.[50]

Based on Figures 1 and 2(a), there seems to be a point in the 20-30 age range that would be ideal for addressing obesity proactively and aggressively before it escalates into having comorbidities that require RXD. Additionally, there is an opportunity for better education in those under 20 as studies show that obesity in childhood and adolescence can lead to obesity as an adult.[51] Education has shifted from education on treating obesity to education on prevention of obesity, given that losing small amounts of weight or maintaining a healthy weight are more effective than treating obesity once it has developed.[52] Future studies should examine how access to healthcare may further impact these relationships, especially in regards to accessing quality obesity prevention education.

Limitations

In most of the survey cycles used, there was no reason or diagnosis code associated with the report of RXD use. This means that we do not know why a respondent was taking a certain RXD. In addition, only primary category was used to type the various RXD. This means that if an RXD has multiple uses or off-label uses that cross-disease categories, it would not be evident

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

in these results. Further, NHANES does not have information specifically geared towards delineating what may be considered a DS whose main purpose is weight loss. Another limitation is that NHANES is a cross-section survey. It is thus not possible to infer any casual relationships between the variables.

Future Work

The most recent release of NHANES survey cycle (2017-2018) does include a diagnosis code with the RXD information. A future project that contains richer data in regard to RXD and DS use would provide more insight. Even though national health surveys like NHANES provide enormous opportunities for answering many important health-related questions, they have not been used widely for patient education and informatics research except for a few studies of our own.[53–55] In future work, we plan to build informatics tools such as a data dashboard to visualize various types of analyses of the NHANES data to provide patients, policy makers, and health providers a way to explore RXD and DS use in the general population and certain population subgroups.

Conclusions

As obesity becomes a larger issue and the weight crisis in the United States becomes increasingly detrimental, more needs to be done to understand the overall health status associated with this population and how to educate the public about obesity, its comorbidities, and preventive measures that may help. Knowing how RXD and DS use are different from those without obesity is only the start. Further steps can be taken to understand why there are differences and how the underlying diseases and conditions can be pre-empted in this group. Further knowledge on the association between obesity, DS, and RXD can inform patient education with the help of informatics tools such as data dashboards. Developing models that

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

help us understand the causes of and lifestyle changes needed to change obesity status should improve overall health in the United States.

Competing Interests

None

References

1. Ruban A, Stoenchev K, Ashrafian H, Teare J. Current treatments for obesity. Clin Med (Lond). 2019 May;19(3):205–12.

2. Yanovski SZ, Yanovski JA. Progress in pharmacotherapy for obesity. JAMA. 2021 Jul 13;326(2):129–30.

3. Gadde KM, Martin CK, Berthoud H-R, Heymsfield SB. Obesity: pathophysiology and management. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018 Jan 2;71(1):69–84.

4. Yanovski SZ, Yanovski JA. Long-term drug treatment for obesity: a systematic and clinical review. JAMA. 2014 Jan 1;311(1):74–86.

5. Li R, Serdula MK, Williamson DF, Bowman BA, Graham DJ, Green L. Dose-effect of fenfluramine use on the severity of valvular heart disease among fen-phen patients with valvulopathy. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1999 Sep;23(9):926–8.

6. Li M-F, Cheung BM. Rise and fall of anti-obesity drugs. World J Diabetes. 2011 Feb 15;2(2):19–23.

7. Sharretts J, Galescu O, Gomatam S, Andraca-Carrera E, Hampp C, Yanoff L. Cancer risk associated with lorcaserin - the FDA's review of the Camellia-Timi 61 trial. N Engl J Med. 2020 Sep 10;383(11):1000–2.

8. Gahche JJ, Bailey RL, Potischman N, Ershow AG, Herrick KA, Ahluwalia N, et al. Federal monitoring of dietary supplement use in the resident, civilian, noninstitutionalized US population, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. J Nutr. 2018 Aug;148(Suppl 2):1436S-1444S.

9. Kantor ED, Rehm CD, Haas JS, Chan AT, Giovannucci EL. Trends in prescription drug use among adults in the United States from 1999–2012. JAMA. 2015 Nov 3;314(17):1818–31.

10. Hruby A, Hu FB. The epidemiology of obesity: a big picture. Pharmacoeconomics. 2015 Jul;33(7):673–89.

11. Randhawa AK, Parikh JS, Kuk JL. Trends in medication use by body mass index and age between 1988 and 2012 in the United States. PLoS One. 2017 Sep 20;12(9).

12. Stokes A, Lundberg DJ, Hempstead K, Berry KM, Baker JF, Preston SH. Obesity and incident prescription opioid use in the U.S., 2000-2015. Am J Prev Med. 2020 Jun;58(6):766–75.

13. Khalil H, Ellwood L, Lord H, Fernandez R. Pharmacological treatment for obesity in adults: an umbrella review. Ann Pharmacother. 2020 Jul;54(7):691–705.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

14. Singh AK, Singh R. Pharmacotherapy in obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of anti-obesity drugs. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol. 2020 Jan;13(1):53–64.

15. Squadrito F, Rottura M, Irrera N, Minutoli L, Bitto A, Barbieri MA, et al. Anti-obesity drug therapy in clinical practice: Evidence of a poor prescriptive attitude. Biomed Pharmacother. 2020 Aug;128.

16. Srivastava SB. Is there a prescription to treat pediatric obesity? Am J Lifestyle Med. 2020 Feb;14(1):36–9.

17. Uche UI, Suzuki S, Fulda KG, Zhou Z. Environment-wide association study on childhood obesity in the U.S. Environ Res. 2020 Dec;191.

18. Doerrmann C, Oancea SC, Selya A. The association between hours spent at work and obesity status: results from NHANES 2015 to 2016. Am J Health Promot. 2020 May;34(4):359–65.

19. Liao S, Wu N, Gong D, Tang X, Yin T, Zhang H, et al. Association of aldehydes exposure with obesity in adults. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2020 Sep 15;201.

20. Wu B, Jiang Y, Jin X, He L. Using three statistical methods to analyze the association between exposure to 9 compounds and obesity in children and adolescents: NHANES 2005-2010. Environ Health. 2020 Aug 31;19(1).

21. Zhang Y, Dong T, Hu W, Wang X, Xu B, Lin Z, et al. Association between exposure to a mixture of phenols, pesticides, and phthalates and obesity: Comparison of three statistical models. Environ Int. 2019 Feb;123:325–36.

22. Palmer MK, Toth PP. Trends in lipids, obesity, metabolic syndrome, and diabetes mellitus in the united states: an NHANES analysis (2003-2004 to 2013-2014). Obesity (Silver Spring). 2019 Feb;27(2):309–14.

23. Kim D, Hou W, Wang F, Arcan C. Factors affecting obesity and waist circumference among us adults. Prev Chronic Dis. 2019 Jan 3;16.

24. Hodish I. Insulin therapy, weight gain and prognosis. Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism. 2018 Sep 1;20(9):2085–92.

25. NHANES Survey Methods and Analytic Guidelines [Internet]. [cited 2021 Mar 9]. Available from: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/analyticguidelines.aspx

26. Gelfand A, Tangney CC. Analyses and interpretation of cannabis use among NHANES adults. European Journal of Preventive Cardiology. 2018 Jan 1;25(1):40–1.

27. Yu X, Hao L, Crainiceanu C, Leroux A. Occupational determinants of physical activity at work: Evidence from wearable accelerometer in 2005-2006 NHANES. SSM Popul Health. 2022 Mar;17.

28. Casillas A, Liang L-J, Vassar S, Brown A. Culture and cognition-the association between acculturation and self-reported memory problems among middle-aged and older latinos in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), 1999 to 2014. J Gen Intern Med. 2022 Jan;37(1):258–60.

29. Perez-Lasierra JL, Casajus JA, González-Agüero A, Moreno-Franco B. Association of physical activity levels and prevalence of major degenerative diseases: Evidence from the national health and nutrition examination survey (NHANES) 1999-2018. Exp Gerontol. 2022 Feb;158.

30. Wang L, Fu Z, Zheng J, Wang S, Ping Y, Gao B, et al. Exposure to perchlorate, nitrate and thiocyanate was associated with the prevalence of cardiovascular diseases. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2022 Jan 6;230.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

31. Dong L, Xie Y, Zou X. Association between sleep duration and depression in US adults: A cross-sectional study. J Affect Disord. 2022 Jan 1;296:183–8.

32. Xu Z, Gong R, Luo G, Wang M, Li D, Chen Y, et al. Association between vitamin D3 levels and insulin resistance: a large sample cross-sectional study. Sci Rep. 2022 Jan 7;12(1).

33. He Z, Barrett LA, Rizvi R, Tang X, Payrovnaziri SN, Zhang R. Assessing the use and perception of dietary supplements among obese patients with National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. AMIA Jt Summits Transl Sci Proc. 2020 May 30;2020:231–40.

34. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Defining adult overweight and obesity [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2021 [cited 2022 Feb 3]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/adult/defining.html

35. Suh E. Age of majority by state in 2022 [Internet]. Policygenius. 2021 [cited 2022 Feb 3]. Available from: https://www.policygenius.com/estate-planning/age-of-majority-by-state/

36. Frank E, Hall M, Trigg L, Holmes G, Witten IH. Data mining in bioinformatics using Weka. Bioinformatics. 2004 Oct 12;20(15):2479–81.

37. Stessman J, Jacobs JM, Ein-Mor E, Bursztyn M. Normal body mass index rather than obesity predicts greater mortality in elderly people: the Jerusalem longitudinal study. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2009;57(12):2232–8.

38. Flegal KM, Kit BK, Orpana H, Graubard BI. Association of all-cause mortality with overweight and obesity using standard body mass index categories: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2013 Jan 2;309(1):71–82.

39. Flegal KM, Graubard BI, Williamson DF, Gail MH. Excess deaths associated with underweight, overweight, and obesity. JAMA. 2005 Apr 20;293(15):1861–7.

40. Ashman JJ, Hing E, Talwalkar A. Variation in physician office visit rates by patient characteristics and state, 2012. NCHS Data Brief. 2015 Sep;(212):1–8.

41. Martin CB, Hales CM, Gu Q, Ogden CL. Prescription drug use in the United States, 2015–2016 [Internet]. 2019 May [cited 2021 Aug 2]. Report No.: 334. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/products/databriefs/db334.htm

42. Batsis JA, Mackenzie TA, Bartels SJ, Sahakyan KR, Somers VK, Lopez-Jimenez F. Diagnostic accuracy of body mass index to identify obesity in older adults: NHANES 1999–2004. Int J Obes. 2016 May;40(5):761–7.

43. Kirby JB, Taliaferro G, Zuvekas SH. Explaining racial and ethnic disparities in health care. Med Care. 2006 May;44(5 Suppl):I64-72.

44. Gu Q, Dillon CF, Burt VL. Prescription drug use continues to increase: U.S. prescription drug data for 2007-2008. National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2010 Sep. Report No.: 42.

45. de Wit L, Luppino F, van Straten A, Penninx B, Zitman F, Cuijpers P. Depression and obesity: a meta-analysis of community-based studies. Psychiatry Res. 2010 Jul 30;178(2):230–5.

46. Knudsen N, Laurberg P, Rasmussen LB, Bülow I, Perrild H, Ovesen L, et al. Small differences in thyroid function may be important for body mass index and the occurrence of obesity in the population. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005 Jul;90(7):4019–24.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

47. Wurtman RJ, Wurtman JJ. Brain serotonin, carbohydrate-craving, obesity and depression. Obesity Research. 1995;3(S4):477S-480S.

48. Pillitteri JL, Shiffman S, Rohay JM, Harkins AM, Burton SL, Wadden TA. Use of dietary supplements for weight loss in the United States: Results of a national survey. Obesity. 2008;16(4):790–6.

49. Lubowiecki-Vikuk A, Król-Zielińska M, Kantanista A. Consumption of dietary supplements to support weight reduction in adults according to sociodemographic background, body mass index, waist-hip ratio, body fat and physical activity. Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition [Internet]. 2019 Nov 5 [cited 2021 Aug 2];38(1). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s41043-019-0191-3

50. Office of Dietary Supplements - dietary supplements for weight loss [Internet]. [cited 2021 Aug 2]. Available from: https://ods.od.nih.gov/factsheets/WeightLoss-HealthProfessional/

51. Simmonds M, Burch J, Llewellyn A, Griffiths C, Yang H, Owen C, et al. The use of measures of obesity in childhood for predicting obesity and the development of obesity-related diseases in adulthood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Health Technol Assess. 2015 Jun;19(43).

52. Gill T, King L, Caterson I. Obesity prevention: necessary and possible. A structured approach for effective planning. Proc Nutr Soc. 2005 May;64(2):255–61.

53. He Z, Wang S, Borhanian E, Weng C. Assessing the collective population representativeness of related type 2 diabetes trials by combining public data from clinicaltrials.gov and NHANES. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2015;216:569–73.

54. He Z, Ryan P, Hoxha J, Wang S, Carini S, Sim I, et al. Multivariate analysis of the population representativeness of related clinical studies. J Biomed Inform. 2016 Apr;60:66–76.

55. He Z, Charness N, Bian J, Hogan WR. Assessing the comorbidity gap between clinical studies and prevalence in elderly patient populations. IEEE EMBS Int Conf Biomed Health Inform. 2016 Feb;2016:136–9.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.18.21266536; this version posted February 16, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure Caption

Figure 1. (a) Comparison of RXD used by age group. CVD is cardiovascular drug. MA is metabolic agent. (b) Comparison of CVD RXD used by different age groups and weight categories.

Figure 2. (a) The correlation between the number of RXD used and age; (b) The correlation between the number of DS used and age; (c) the number of RXD/DS used compared to BMI. The shade of color represents the aggregated weights within each age group. The points of line indicate the average number used within each age group. The shade of the color represents the aggregated weights within each age group. The points on the line indicate the average number used within each age group.

Table Caption

Table 1. Basic characteristics of the study population

Table 2. Odds ratios between obese and non-obese group taking prescription drugs

Table 3. Reported prescription drug use/dietary supplements use by demographic characteristics and obesity status correlates.

Supporting Information

Table S1. NHANES Variables

Table S2. Reported prescription drug use by demographic characteristics among obese and control group.

Table S3. Reported prescription dietary supplements use by demographic characteristics among obese and control group.

Table S4. Performance of machine learning models for classifying DS use

Table S5. Performance of machine learning models for classifying RXD use

Table S6. Performance of machine learning models for classifying DS use using PIR

Table S7. Performance of machine learning models for classifying RXD use using PIR

Table S8. Performance of machine learning models for classifying RXD use into categories