

# 1 **An integrative method for COVID-19 patients' classification from chest X-ray** 2 **using deep learning network with image visibility graph as feature extractor**

3  
4  
5 Mayukha Pal<sup>1,§</sup>, Yash Tiwari<sup>2</sup>, T. Vineeth Reddy<sup>1,3</sup>, P. Sai Ram Aditya<sup>4</sup>, Prasanta K. Panigrahi<sup>5</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup>ABB Ability Innovation Center, Asea Brown Boveri Company, Hyderabad 500084, India.

7 <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Kandi, Sangareddy,  
8 Telangana 502285, India.

9 <sup>3</sup>Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology  
10 Hyderabad, Kandi, Sangareddy, Telangana 502285, India.

11 <sup>4</sup>Department of Artificial Intelligence, Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Kandi,  
12 Sangareddy, Telangana 502285, India.

13 <sup>5</sup>Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Kolkata, Mohanpur 741246, India.

14

15 <sup>§</sup>Corresponding author:

16

17 Dr. Mayukha Pal  
18 R&D Principal Program Manager  
19 ABB Ability Innovation Center  
20 10<sup>th</sup> Floor, Western Aqua, Kondapur  
21 Hyderabad – 500084, TS, India.  
22 Tele: +91-9866161632  
23 Email: mayukhapal@gmail.com

24

## 25 **Abstract**

26 We propose a method by integrating image visibility graph and deep neural network (DL) for  
27 classifying COVID-19 patients from their chest X-ray images. The computed assortative  
28 coefficient from each image horizontal visibility graph (IHVG) is utilized as a physical parameter  
29 feature extractor to improve the accuracy of our image classifier based on Resnet34  
30 convolutional neural network (CNN). We choose the most optimized recently used CNN deep  
31 learning model, Resnet34 for training the pre-processed chest X-ray images of COVID-19 and  
32 healthy individuals. Independently, the preprocessed X-ray images are passed through a 2D Haar  
33 wavelet filter that decomposes the image up to 3 labels and returns the approximation  
34 coefficients of the image which is used to obtain the horizontal visibility graph for each X-ray  
35 image of both healthy and COVID-19 cases. The corresponding assortative coefficients are  
36 computed for each IHVG and was subsequently used in random forest classifier whose output is  
37 integrated with Resnet34 output in a multi-layer perceptron to obtain the final improved  
38 prediction accuracy. We employed a multilayer perceptron to integrate the feature predictor from

1 image visibility graph with Resnet34 to obtain the final image classification result for our  
2 proposed method. Our analysis employed much larger chest X-ray image dataset compared to  
3 previous used work. It is demonstrated that compared to Resnet34 alone our integrative method  
4 shows negligible false negative conditions along with improved accuracy in the classification of  
5 COVID-19 patients. Use of visibility graph in this model enhances its ability to extract various  
6 qualitative and quantitative complex network features for each image. Enables the possibility of  
7 building disease network model from COVID-19 images which is mostly unexplored. Our  
8 proposed method is found to be very effective and accurate in disease classification from images  
9 and is computationally faster as compared to the use of multimode CNN deep learning models,  
10 reported in recent research works.

11  
12 **Keywords:** COVID-19 and SARS Coronavirus; Chest X-ray and Image classification; Haar  
13 wavelet and Image Visibility Graph; Assortative coefficient and Classification learners;  
14 Resnet34 and Convolutional neural network; Multilayer perceptron classifier

15  
16 **Significance**  
17 An integrative method is proposed combining convolutional neural networks and 2D visibility  
18 graphs through a multilayer perceptron, for effective classification of COVID-19 patients from  
19 the chest x-ray images. In our study, the computed assortative coefficient from the horizontal  
20 visibility graph of each wavelet filtered X-ray image is used as a physical feature extractor. We  
21 demonstrate that compared to Resnet34 alone, our proposed integrative approach shows  
22 significant reduction in false negative conditions and higher accuracy in the classification of  
23 COVID-19 patients. The method is computationally faster and with the use of visibility graph, it  
24 also enables one to extract complex network based qualitative and quantitative parameters for  
25 each subject for additional understandings like disease network model building and its structures  
26 etc.

27  
28

29 **1. Introduction**  
30 SARS-CoV-2 virus is highly infectious and spreads faster, affecting the respiratory organs like  
31 lungs, with the respiratory tracks developing various breath related symptoms in the patients.  
32 The severity of the disease in the humans is based on the spread of the infection to the respiratory  
33 organs. Patients suffer with heavy cough, high fever, muscle/body pain, sore throat, loss of  
34 sensation for taste and smell, headache, fatigue, and shortness of breath. In case of severe  
35 infection, the patient's oxygen saturation level drops drastically bringing more medical  
36 complications requiring immediate oxygen support and/or intensive medical care. The disease is  
37 named as COVID-19 by the World Health Organization which declared it as a Pandemic [1-3].  
38 Across the globe, it is observed that proper social distancing, wearing of masks covering nose  
39 and mouth, and proper sanitization effectively controls the spread. It is also consistently  
40 observed that breaking the chain of the infection by imposing lockdown restricting human

1 movement and imposing COVID-19 containment protocol effectively controls the spread in case  
2 of an infection outbreak [4].

3  
4 Continuous lockdown adversely affects the economic activities and GDP of a nation. It also  
5 severely impacts the livelihood of the population working as daily wage laborers, in unorganized  
6 sectors, self-employment businesses and for the citizens below poverty line. Hence it is essential  
7 to balance the economic activities ensuring all sections of the society are able to sustain their  
8 lives, while the nation effectively manages and controls the virus spread. More scientific  
9 approaches like early detection, test automation and other tools would help administration  
10 effectively manage and control the situation [5-6]. Various nations have also started vaccination  
11 programs to increase the human body immunity to fight against the coronavirus and its mutant  
12 strain variants. Another approach of effectively fighting against the virus is to test and diagnose  
13 the disease early so that self-isolation is maintained to further stop the virus spread and also  
14 effective medication is started early for the patient to stop the infection spread within the body  
15 [7]. Nearly 251 million people across the globe have been infected with the coronavirus with  
16 more than 5 million deaths. During surge in the virus inspection, healthcare infrastructures face  
17 acute shortage of medicines, radiology test facilities, medical oxygen, medical equipment and  
18 ICU beds to cater the surge in the high demand challenging test and hospital facility. Generally  
19 rapid antigen test (RAT), reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) tests are  
20 performed for initial diagnosis of COVID-19. Many instances these tests showed false negatives  
21 hence are not much reliable [8-10]. Hence clinicians prefer chest radiology tests to check the  
22 lungs image for the COVID-19 Pneumonia. As computed tomography (CT) scans subject more  
23 radiation exposure for the patients with limited available facilities compared to X-ray hence X-  
24 ray is preferred for initial investigation. For a mass scale community infection, chest X-ray is a  
25 good, low-cost, first-look diagnostic tool with quick results. Also, availability of handheld X-ray  
26 devices allows easy access and early diagnosis in rural areas hence improves test penetration for  
27 effective control of infection spread through early detection.

28  
29  
30 Various machine learning and deep learning tools are used for classification of COVID-19  
31 patients from their chest X-ray images like Random Forest, Support Vector Machine, Artificial  
32 Neural Networks (ANN), convolutional neural network (CNN) [11-20]. Generally, accuracy of  
33 various deep learning methods varies from 78-98% for classifying the COVID-19 patients based  
34 on their X-ray images [21-31]. Recently proposed MSSIM based method with the use of a simple  
35 classification learner showed 97.7 % accuracy for detecting COVID-19 X-ray images with lower  
36 false negative case [32]. As the method is comparing between two images to quantify the  
37 differences hence generates large data points due to cross-correlation analysis while using the  
38 same given dataset, making robust and accurate training for the classification learner. In the  
39 present study, we aim to use X-ray images of the patients to find characteristic features for  
40 classification using DL without use of comparison-based analysis as used in MSSIM method.

1 Inspired by this, we propose here a new method by integrating CNN and visibility graph for  
2 faster computation and accurate classification with low false negative compared to currently used  
3 deep learning algorithms for identification of COVID-19 patients from X-ray image. Our choice  
4 of Resnet34 is due to the fact that, it is the most optimized and recently analyzed deep  
5 convolutional neural networks [33-34] that is used for image classification, object detection,  
6 image segmentation applications as it resolves the inherent problem of degrading gradient  
7 descent through residual blocks adding the skip connections in the identity mapping. The section  
8 2 of the manuscript details the chest x-ray data and the methods used in the analysis while  
9 section 3 of the manuscript discusses the results from our analysis. Section 4 of the manuscript  
10 gives our conclusion and inference to the work.

11

## 12 **2. Materials and Methods**

13 The COVID-19 X-ray image database [35] is used to obtain 500 X-ray images of patients  
14 diagnosed with COVID-19. 500 healthy subject's Chest X-rays is obtained from the open-source  
15 database [36]. These pre-processed images are used for our CNN analysis. Further for our  
16 visibility graph analysis, these X-ray images are resized to make them of equal pixel size after  
17 converting to grayscale first. The images were then resized to 1024x1024 and these image  
18 matrices are of data type double. We applied 3 label Haar wavelet decomposition and considered  
19 the approximation coefficients for our visibility graph analysis. Here to compress the image, we  
20 used 2D Haar discrete wavelet transform (HDWT). Generally, Haar wavelet transform is used to  
21 perform lossy image compression to ensure the compressed image retains its quality. This is one  
22 of the efficient procedures to perform lossless and lossy image compression as it uses averaging  
23 and differencing values in an image matrix to produce a matrix which is mostly sparse having  
24 less non-zero element in the matrix [37]. Haar wavelet transform utilizes a rectangular window  
25 for sampling. In the first label decomposition, a window width of two is used and the width  
26 doubles at each step until the window encompasses the entire data on hand. Each decomposition  
27 generates a new time series and a set of coefficients where the new time series is the average of  
28 the previous label time series over the sampling window and the coefficients represent the  
29 average change in the sampling window. Let us assume a time series  $\{x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots\}$  then the  
30 Haar wavelet coefficient is defined as:

31

$$32 \quad c_i = \frac{x_i - x_{i+1}}{2} \quad (1)$$

33

$$34 \quad \text{The Haar scaling function is written as} \quad a_i = \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2} \quad (2)$$

35

36 The Haar scaling function gives the average version of the data set and is half the size of the  
37 input time series. As the analysis procedure is recursive, the average or the smoothed data  
38 becomes the input for the next label of the wavelet transform. Unlike other wavelet functions, in  
39 Haar wavelet transform, it preserves the average in the smoothed values. The obtained average  
40 coefficient from Haar wavelet in our visibility graph analysis contains all information about the


1 image and reduces matrix dimension to 128x128 size allowing extreme fast computation by  
2 reducing months of time required in the computation for such image visibility graph analysis to a  
3 few hours. Fig. 1 shows X-ray images of a COVID-19 subject obtained from the database along  
4 with a healthy chest image. Fig. 2 represents the sample plot of the approximation coefficient  
5 obtained from 2D Haar wavelet for COVID-19 and healthy subjects.

6  
7 Further these obtained approximation coefficient matrices from Haar wavelet for each image are  
8 considered in image visibility graph analysis [38]. If the analyzing matrix is a  $N \times N$  for the X-ray  
9 image  $I$  where  $I_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  then the image visibility graph (IVG) will have  $N^2$  nodes. Now each node  
10 can be labelled by the indices of its corresponding datum  $I_{ij}$  in a manner that two nodes  $ij$  and  $i'j'$   
11 are linked if  $(i = i') \vee (j = j') \vee [(i = i' + p) \wedge (j = j' + p)]$ , for some integer  $p$ , and if  $I_{ij}$  and  $I_{i'j'}$  are  
12 linked in the visibility graph defined over the ordered sequence which includes  $ij$  and  $i'j'$ . We  
13 define the visibility graph (VG) as an undirected graph of  $n$  nodes, where each node  $i$  is labelled  
14 according to the time order of its corresponding datum  $x_i$ . For visibility graph, two nodes  $i$  and  $j$   
15 where  $i < j$  are connected by an undirected link if and only if a straight line connecting  $x_i$  and  $x_j$   
16 can be drawn without intersecting any intermediate datum  $x_k$  for  $i < k < j$  and also the convexity  
17 criterion is fulfilled:  $x_k < x_i + ((k-i)/(j-i)) [x_j - x_i]$ ;  $\forall k : i < k < j$ . For horizontal visibility graph  
18 (HVG) the two nodes  $i, j$  where  $i < j$  is connected by a link if and only if a horizontal line can be  
19 drawn connecting  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  such that it does not intersect any intermediate datum  $x_k$  for  $i < k < j$ .  
20 Also, we connect  $i$  and  $j$  in the HVG if ordering criterion is fulfilled:  $x_k < \inf(x_i, x_j)$ ,  $\forall k : i < k <$   
21  $j$ . The image horizontal visibility graph (IHVG) obeys the same set of conditions like IVG [39-  
22 41].

23  
24 We computed graphs from the coefficient matrices of the images using IHVG, where pixels are  
25 considered as nodes and the nodes are connected if they lie in a specific direction i.e. rows,  
26 columns, diagonals as per the defined HVG visibility criteria. We obtained 500 IHVG graphs for  
27 COVID-19 patients and 500 for the healthy subjects. Then from each IHVG graph the assortative  
28 co-efficient is computed as a physical feature extractor and used along with our earlier obtained  
29 Resnet34 results through a multilayer perceptron (MLP) to obtain the final classification results.  
30 As the graph for each image is available, we could obtain qualitative and quantitative  
31 characteristics like degree distribution, image descriptor patch frequency, assortative coefficient  
32 etc from the graph for characterization studies and further for possible disease network model  
33 development.

34  
35 In our Resnet34 model, we normalized the pixel values of the input images using mean values  
36 [0.485, 0.456, 0.406] and the standard deviation values [0.229, 0.224, 0.225] then further the  
37 values were rescaled in range of -2 to 2. In CNN, image normalization is employed for faster  
38 convergence, easy training hence faster learning speed with stable gradient descent. Image  
39 augmentation is used in CNN model to improve the network generalization ability however we

- 1 didn't use in Resnet34 model to avoid data bias due to its use in our integrative model with
- 2 image visibility graph.




- 3
- 4 (a) Sample of a COVID-19 Patient chest X-ray image




- 5
- 6 (b) Sample of a healthy subject chest X-ray image
- 7 **Figure-1:** Sample chest X-ray images of different subject types.

1



2

3 (a) Sample of a COVID-19 Patient 2D Haar approximation coefficient



1  
2 (b) Sample of a healthy subject 2D Haar approximation coefficient

3 **Figure-2:** Sample chest X-ray images from the 2D Haar approximation coefficient after label 3  
4 decomposition of the images.

5  
6 We used random forest classifier for the assortative coefficients obtained from visibility graph  
7 and integrating with Resnet34 results through MLP. The classifier was chosen based on the  
8 performance from host of different classifier learners. Among various CNN models analyzed like  
9 Resnet18, Resnet50, we found Resnet34 to be performing better. We used 224x224x3 input  
10 layers with 50 epochs in our Resnet 34 model. We modified the bottom fully connected (fc) layer  
11 of our Resnet34 model with a two-neuron fc layer followed by a softmax activation output layer  
12 for computing the probabilities. The learning rate of the Resnet34 model is set to 0.001 and uses  
13 cross-entropy validation. For integrating the Resnet34 with visibility graph, we made use of an  
14 MLP classifier having relu activation function, adam optimizer with 100 hidden layers for  
15 maximum iteration of 500.


### 16 17 **3. Results and Discussion**

18 From the visibility graph analysis, IHVG graphs were obtained for all preprocessed image  
19 matrices. A sample IHVG graph is shown in Fig 3. To understand various qualitative and




1 quantitative properties of these graphs, we counted the repetitions of small subgraphs in the  
2 IHVG associated to a given input image data matrix which is referred to as visibility patches  
3 (VPs). The computed patch frequency is a local image property descriptor. Visibility patch of  
4 order  $p$  is defined as,  $VP_p$  which is any subgraph from the IHVG formed by a set of  $p^2$  nodes  
5  $\{ij\}_{i=s, j=s'}^{s+p-1, s'+p-1}$  for arbitrary  $s, s'$  satisfying the condition  $1 \leq s, s' \leq N-p$ .  $N$  equal to 128 is the  
6 size of  $N \times N$  data matrix in our visibility graph analysis. In the analysis, lowest order  $p=3$  yields  
7 nontrivial visibility patches. Visibility patches are detected when sliding a  $3 \times 3$  pixel cell of stride  
8 1 in the image extracting the corresponding IHVGs within the cell. It enables reduced checking  
9 of different combination of visibility graph motifs presence or absence hence mathematically  
10 enables tractability of visibility patches for computation. Fig 4 shows the visibility patches for 3  
11 randomly selected X-ray images of both healthy and COVID-19 subjects.

Covid X-ray IHVG



12  
13 (a) COVID-19 Sample IHVG


Healthy X-ray IHVG



1  
2  
3  
4


(b) Sample of a healthy subject IHVG

**Figure-3:** A Sample IHVG graphs for a COVID-19 patient and a healthy subject.




5  
6

(a) Visibility patches for 3 randomly chosen COVID-19 patients as image descriptor




1  
2 (b) Visibility patches for 3 randomly chosen healthy subjects as image descriptor  
3 **Figure-4:** Visibility patches for both COVID-19 and healthy subjects.

4  
5 We analyzed the degree distribution  $P(k)$  where  $P(k) \sim k^{-\lambda}$  to understand statistical properties of  
6 the networks where  $k$  is the degree of a node and is defined as the number of edges connected to  
7 a node. If the degree distribution of the network, follow a power law distribution then we call it a  
8 scale-free network which is characterized by the nodes of the networks that are linked to a  
9 significant fraction of the total number of edges of the network. Log-log plot of the degree  
10 distributions  $P(k)$  for a sample IHVG is shown in Fig 5. We observe that for both healthy and  
11 COVID-19 patients the obtained network is scale free.



13  
14 (a) Degree distribution for a sample COVID-19 IHVG



1  
2 (b) Degree distribution for a sample healthy IHVG

3 **Figure-5:** The degree distribution from the IHVG graph for sample COVID-19 and healthy  
4 subjects.

5  
6 We computed assortative coefficients for each obtained IHVG which is used along with  
7 Resnet34. A complex network is defined as assortative mixing if higher degree nodes of the  
8 network tend to be connected to other higher degree nodes whereas in disassortative mixing the  
9 high degree nodes attach to low degree nodes only. Computationally, these assortative complex  
10 networks remove its highest degree nodes efficiently compared to the disassortative networks.  
11 For an undirected network, the assortative coefficient is computed as:

12  
13 
$$r = \frac{M^{-1} \sum_i j_i k_i - [M^{-1} \sum_i \frac{1}{2} (j_i + k_i)]^2}{M^{-1} \sum_i \frac{1}{2} (j_i^2 + k_i^2) - [M^{-1} \sum_i \frac{1}{2} (j_i + k_i)]^2} \quad (3)$$

14  
15 where  $j_i, k_i$  are the degrees of the two nodes at the ends of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  edge where  $i=1,2$ , upto  $M$ .  
16 Assortative coefficient values vary between -1 to 1 where assortative networks have positive  $r$   
17 values while disassortative networks have negative  $r$  values. For both COVID-19 and healthy  
18 subjects in our analysis, the obtained assortative coefficient values are always positive indicating  
19 that all the networks for disease and healthy are assortative.


20  
21 The obtained 1000 assortative coefficients for both COVID-19 and healthy are labeled with its  
22 attribute category for random forest classifier and are further used along with Resnet34 in a MLP  
23 classifier as a quantitative physical parameter feature extractor for improving classification  
24 performance of standalone Resnet34 as visualized from the confusion matrix. Fig 6 illustrates  
25 our system study approach.

26

| <b>Article Reference</b> | <b>Classification Method</b>                           | <b>Used Class</b>               | <b>Number of CXR Images</b>  | <b>Accuracy (%)</b> | <b>COVID-19 class Sensitivity (%)</b> |
|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>Ref [13]</b>          | COVIDX-Net   | COVID-19<br>Normal              | Total – 50<br>COVID-19: 25<br>and Normal: 25                           | 90.00               | 100.00                                |
| <b>Ref [42]</b>          | ResNet-50<br>and SVM                                   | COVID-19<br>Normal              | Total – 50<br>COVID-19: 25<br>and Normal: 25                           | 95.38               | NA                                    |
| <b>Ref [16]</b>          | ResNet-50  | COVID-19<br>Normal              | Total – 100<br>COVID-19: 50<br>and Normal: 50                          | 98.00               | 96.00                                 |
| <b>Ref [43]</b>          | SqueezeNet<br>and<br>MobileNetV2<br><br>SMO and<br>SVM | COVID-19<br>Normal<br>Pneumonia | Total – 458<br>COVID-19: 295<br>Normal: 65 and<br>Pneumonia: 98        | 98.25               | 99.32                                 |
| <b>Ref [14]</b>          | COVID-Net  | COVID-19<br>Normal<br>Pneumonia | Total – 13800<br>COVID-19: 183<br>Normal: NA and<br>Pneumonia: NA      | 92.60               | 87.10                                 |
| <b>Ref [44]</b>          | Bayes-<br>SqueezeNet                                   | COVID-19<br>Normal<br>Pneumonia | Total – 5949<br>COVID-19: 76<br>Normal: 1583<br>and<br>Pneumonia: 4290 | 98.30               | 100.00                                |
| <b>Ref [23]</b>          | DarkCovidNet   | COVID-19<br>Normal              | Total – 625<br>COVID-19: 125<br>and Normal: 500                        | 98.08               | 90.65                                 |

|                            |   |                     |  |       |        |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------|--|-------|--------|
|                            |   | COVID-19            | Total – 1125                                       | 87.02 |        |
|                            |   | Normal<br>Pneumonia | COVID-19: 125<br>Normal: 500 and<br>Pneumonia: 500 |       |        |
| <b>Ref [33]</b>            | ResNet-34   | COVID-19            | Total – 406  | 98.33 | 100.00 |
|                            |   | Normal              | COVID-19: 203<br>and Normal: 203                   |       |        |
| <b>Ref [32]</b>            | SSIM<br>Coefficient<br>with<br>Ensemble<br>Tree<br>Classifier | COVID-19            | Total – 1000                                       | 97.7  | 97.62  |
|                            |   | Normal              | COVID-19: 500<br>and Normal: 500                   |       |        |
| <b>Proposed<br/>Method</b> | Resnet34 with<br>Visibility<br>Graph                          | COVID-19            | Total – 1000                                       | 98    | 97.87  |
|                            |   | Normal              | COVID-19: 500<br>and Normal: 500                   |       |        |


1  
2 **Table 1:** Comparison of our proposed method with state-of-the-art deep learning COVID-19  
3 detection approaches using chest X-ray (CXR) images



1  
2 **Figure-6:** Our proposed integrative method in analyzing COVID-19 X-ray images for  
3 classification.


4 It is worth emphasizing that when the sample size of chest X-ray images increases as in our case  
5 to 1000 images, the resnet34 model without image augmentation gives 96% classification  
6 accuracy unlike earlier reported accuracy of 98.33% with 406 images [33]. We avoided image  
7 augmentation in our CNN model to overcome the data bias error that might occur in testing. As  
8 shown in Fig6, we make use of Resnet34 on the X-ray images to classify the COVID-19 and  
9 healthy subjects. In a parallel approach, from the preprocessed images passing through Haar  
10 wavelet visibility graph was introduced to learn the structural connectivity from the obtained  
11 network and then random forest classifier is used on VG assortative coefficient to classify the  
12 images. Then we integrate both Resnet34 and VG using a MLP considering the predicted labels  
13 from both for the final classification result of MLP. In our model, we used 1000 chest X-ray  
14 images of which 500 were for COVID-19 while 500 of healthy. We used 800 of these images  
15 equally from both the class for training the Resnet34 model and the random forest classifier  
16 using assortative co-efficient from visibility graph. The remaining 200 is used for validation. The  
17 predicted labels from random forest and Resnet34 were fed to the MLP classifier in which 100  
18 data points were used for training while 100 were used for testing to obtain the final accuracy of  
19 our model. Table 1 summaries performance of our proposed multi-mode ensemble model with  
20 recently developed models. We observe our proposed multi-mode ensemble with introduction of  
21 VG as a unique characteristic feature that increases the accuracy by 2% compared to use of  
22 Resnet34 model alone. Similarly, the F1-score, sensitivity is increased by almost 1.8% compared  
23 to the Resnet34 model alone. Importantly, from the confusion matrix as shown in Table 2 we  
24 also observe our integrative model decreases false negative cases proportionately. The Fig 7

1 shows our proposed method training and test accuracy and loss. Our integrative model loss  
2 against epoch is very close to 0 while it is 0.4 for Resnet34. The Fig 8 shows the receiver  
3 operating characteristic (RoC) curve for our newly proposed model.



4  
5 (a) Confusion matrix for Resnet34 alone (P1)

6



7  
8  
9 (b) Confusion matrix for our proposed integrative method (P2)




1  
2


|                    | P1 | P2    |
|--------------------|----|-------|
| <b>Accuracy</b>    | 96 | 98    |
| <b>Sensitivity</b> | 96 | 97.87 |
| <b>Specificity</b> | 96 | 98.11 |
| <b>Precision</b>   | 96 | 97.87 |
| <b>F1 Score</b>    | 96 | 97.87 |

3  
4 (c) Performance measures of the confusion matrix for both Resnet34 (P1) and our proposed  
5 integrative method (P2)


6  
7 **Table-2:** Confusion matrix for the classifiers with its performance measures.  
8



9



1  
2 **Figure-7:** Our proposed integrative method training and test accuracy, loss over epochs  
3



4  
5 **Figure-8:** The RoC curve for our newly proposed model  
6

7 **4. Conclusion**

1 In conclusion, we have shown our integrative multi-model ensemble method combining  
2 Resnet34 CNN model and 2D visibility graph helps in better classification of COVID-19 chest  
3 X-ray images compared to a CNN model alone or in combinations as reported in prior research  
4 work. Also, our method is computationally very fast and with introduction of Haar wavelet it  
5 drastically reduced computation time for image visibility graph and its associated parameter  
6 calculation while improving classification performance. With introduction of visibility graph  
7 various complex network qualitative and quantitative parameters for the subject image could be  
8 obtained and a disease network model could be built for future research on COVID-19.

9

## 10 **Acknowledgements**

11 This research work did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,  
12 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The author alone is responsible for the content and writing  
13 of the paper.

14

## 15 **Authors' contributions**

16 MPal conceived the idea and conceptualized it, developed the model concept and its code,  
17 performed the data pre-processing and image processing analysis, wrote and reviewed the  
18 manuscript. YT performed the CNN and MLP related code enhancement, analysis, model  
19 optimization and contributed to the manuscript writing. TVR developed primary model  
20 framework and code. PSRA debugged the code and performed CNN analysis. PKP  
21 conceptualized the idea of using haar wavelet with visibility graph, mentored the work and  
22 reviewed the manuscript.

23

## 24 **Reference**

- 25 1. F. Wu, S. Zhao, B. Yu, et al., A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory  
26 disease in China, *Nature* 579, 7798, 265–269 (2020).
- 27 2. C. Huang, Y. Wang, et al., Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel  
28 coronavirus in Wuhan, China, *Lancet* 395, 10223, 497–506 (2020).
- 29 3. T. Singhal, A review of coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19), *Indian J. Pediatr.* 87,  
30 281–286 (2020).
- 31 4. A. Padhi, S. Pradhan, P. P. Sahoo, K. Suresh, B. K. Behera, P. K. Panigrahi, Studying the  
32 effect of lockdown using epidemiological modelling of COVID-19 and a quantum  
33 computational approach using the Ising spin interaction, DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-  
34 78652-0.
- 35 5. M. Pal, Genomic sequence data analysis using chaos game representation and mean  
36 structural similarity index measure to understand COVID-19 strains impacting wave 2  
37 pandemic in India, DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.16342.78401.
- 38 6. M. Pal, A Novel integrative method for genomic sequence classification detecting mutant  
39 variants—A case study using the method applied to understand COVID-19 strains  
40 impacting wave 2 pandemic in India, DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.14379.16168.

- 1 7. J. P. Kanne, B.P. Little, J.H. Chung, B.M. Elicker, L.H. Ketai, Essentials for radiologists  
2 on COVID-19: an update—radiology scientific expert panel, *Radiology* (2020), DOI:  
3 10.1148/radiol.2020200527.
- 4 8. H. X. Bai, B. Hsieh, et al., Performance of radiologists in differentiating COVID-19 from  
5 viral pneumonia on chest CT, *Radiology* (2020), DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2020200823.
- 6 9. X. Xie, Z. Zhong, W. Zhao, C. Zheng, F. Wang, J. Liu, Chest CT for typical 2019- nCoV  
7 pneumonia: relationship to negative RT-PCR testing, *Radiology* (2020), DOI:  
8 10.1148/radiol.2020200343.
- 9 10. A. Schnuriger, M. Perrier, and et.al., Caution in interpretation of SARS-CoV-2  
10 quantification based on RT-PCR cycle threshold value, DOI:  
11 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2021.115366
- 12 11. D. Singh, V. Kumar, Vaishali, M. Kaur, Classification of covid-19 patients from chest ct  
13 images using multi-objective differential evolution–based convolutional neural networks,  
14 *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases*, 1–11 (2020).
- 15 12. P. Rajpurkar, J. Irvin, et al., Chexnet: Radiologist-Level Pneumonia Detection on Chest  
16 X-Rays with Deep Learning, 2017 arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05225.
- 17 13. E. E. D. Hemdan, M.A. Shouman, M.E. Karar, COVIDX-Net: A Framework of Deep  
18 Learning Classifiers to Diagnose COVID-19 in X-Ray Images, 2020 arXiv preprint  
19 arXiv:2003.11055.
- 20 14. L. Wang, Z. Q. Lin, A. Wong, COVID-Net: A Tailored Deep Convolutional Neural  
21 Network Design for Detection of COVID-19 Cases from Chest Radiography Images,  
22 2020 arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.09871.
- 23 15. I. D. Apostolopoulos, T. Bessiana, COVID-19: Automatic Detection from X-Ray Images  
24 Utilizing Transfer Learning with Convolutional Neural Networks, arXiv:2003.11617.
- 25 16. A. Narin, C. Kaya, Z. Pamuk, Automatic Detection of Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19)  
26 Using X-Ray Images and Deep Convolutional Neural Networks, 2020 arXiv preprint  
27 arXiv:2003.10849.
- 28 17. Y. Song, S. Zheng, L. Li, X. Zhang, X. Zhang, Z. Huang, Y. Chong, Deep learning  
29 enables accurate diagnosis of novel coronavirus (COVID-19) with CT images, medRxiv  
30 (2020).
- 31 18. S. Wang, B. Kang, J. Ma, X. Zeng, M. Xiao, J. Guo, B. Xu, A deep learning algorithm  
32 using CT images to screen for Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19), medRxiv (2020).
- 33 19. C. Zheng, X. Deng, Q. Fu, Q. Zhou, J. Feng, H. Ma, X. Wang, Deep learning-based  
34 detection for COVID-19 from chest CT using weak label, medRxiv (2020), DOI:  
35 10.1101/2020.03.12.20027185.
- 36 20. X. Xu, X. Jiang, C. Ma, P. Du, X. Li, S. Lv, et al., Deep Learning System to Screen  
37 Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pneumonia, 2020 arXiv preprint arXiv:200209334.
- 38 21. M. Barstugan, U. Ozkaya, S. Ozturk, Coronavirus (COVID-19) Classification Using CT  
39 Images by Machine Learning Methods, 2020 arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.09424.

- 1 22. K. E. Asnaoui, Y. Chawki, Using X-ray images and deep learning for automated  
2 detection of coronavirus disease, DOI: 10.1080/07391102.2020.1767212.
- 3 23. T. Ozturk, M. Talo, E. A. Yildirim, U. B. Baloglu, O. Yildirim, U. R. Acharya,  
4 Automated detection of COVID-19 cases using deep neural networks with X-ray images,  
5 Computers in Biology and Medicine 121, 103792 (2020).
- 6 24. Y. Pathak, P. K. Shukla, K.V. Arya, Deep bidirectional classification model for COVID-  
7 19 disease infected patients, DOI: 10.1109/TCBB.2020.3009859, IEEE/ACM
- 8 25. A. P. Adedigba, S. A. Adeshina, O. E. Aina, A. M. Aibinu, Optimal hyperparameter  
9 selection of deep learning models for COVID-19 chest X-ray classification; Intelligence-  
10 Based Medicine 5, 100034 (2021).
- 11 26. A. Z. Khuzani, M. Heidari, S. A. Shariati, COVID Classifier: an automated machine  
12 learning model to assist in the diagnosis of COVID-19 infection in chest X-ray images;  
13 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-021-88807-2
- 14 27. P. K. Chaudhary, R. B. Pachori, FBSED based automatic diagnosis of COVID-19 using  
15 X-ray and CT images, DOI: 10.1016/j.compbimed.2021.104454.
- 16 28. S. Pathan, P.C. Siddalingaswamy, T. Ali, Automated Detection of Covid-19 from Chest  
17 X-ray scans using an optimized CNN architecture, DOI: 10.1016/j.asoc.2021.107238
- 18 29. S. Toraman, T. B. Alakuş, İ. Türkoğlu, Convolutional CapsNet: A novel artificial neural  
19 network approach to detect COVID-19 disease from X-ray images using capsule  
20 networks, DOI: 10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110122
- 21 30. J. Li, G. Zhao, Y. Tao, P. Zhai, H. Chen, H. He, T. Cai, Multi-task contrastive learning  
22 for automatic CT and X-ray diagnosis of COVID-19, DOI:  
23 10.1016/j.patcog.2021.107848
- 24 31. F. Demir, DeepCoroNet: A deep LSTM approach for automated detection of COVID-19  
25 cases from chest X-ray images, DOI: 10.1016/j.asoc.2021.107160
- 26 32. M. Pal, P. K. Panigrahi, Effective clustering and accurate classification of the chest X-ray  
27 images of COVID-19 patients from healthy ones through the mean structural similarity  
28 index measure, DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.33801.57441
- 29 33. S. R. Nayak, D. R. Nayak, U. Sinha, V. Arora, R. B. Pachori, Application of deep  
30 learning techniques for detection of COVID-19 cases using chest X-ray images: A  
31 comprehensive study, DOI: 10.1016/j.bspc.2020.102365.
- 32 34. X. Mei, H. -C. Lee and et. al., Artificial intelligence-enabled rapid diagnosis of patients  
33 with COVID-19, DOI: 10.1038/s41591-020-0931-3
- 34 35. J. P. Cohen, COVID-19 Image Data Collection, 2020.  
35 <https://github.com/ieee8023/COVID-chestxray-dataset>.
- 36 36. X. Wang, Y. Peng, L. Lu, Z. Lu, M. Bagheri, R.M. Summers, Chestx-ray8: hospital scale  
37 chest x-ray database and benchmarks on weakly-supervised classification and  
38 localization of common thorax diseases, in: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on  
39 Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2097–2106 (2017).

- 1 37. G. Vaidelienė, J. Valantinas, The use of Haar wavelets in detecting and localizing texture  
2 defects, DOI: 105566/ias.1561.
- 3 38. J. Iacovacci, L. Lacasa, Visibility graphs for image processing, IEEE Transactions on  
4 Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, DOI: 10.1109/TPAMI.2019.2891742.
- 5 39. L. Lacasa, J. Iacovacci, Visibility graphs of random scalar fields and spatial data, Phys.  
6 Rev. E 96, 012318 (2017).
- 7 40. L. Lacasa, B. Luque, F. Ballesteros, J. Luque, J. C. Nuno, From time series to complex  
8 networks: The visibility graph, PNAS, DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0709247105.
- 9 41. D. Zhu, S. Semba, H. Yang, Matching Intensity for Image Visibility Graphs: A New  
10 Method to Extract Image Features, IEEE Access, DOI:10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3050747.
- 11 42. P. K. Sethy, S. K. Behera, Detection of Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Based on Deep  
12 Features, Preprints (2020) DOI:10.20944/preprints202003.0300.v1
- 13 43. M. Toğaçar, B. Ergen, Z. Cömert, COVID-19 detection using deep learning models to  
14 exploit social mimic optimization and structured chest X-ray images using fuzzy color  
15 and stacking approaches, Comput. Biol. Med. 103805 (2020).
- 16 44. F. Ucar, D. Korkmaz, COVIDiagnosis-Net: Deep Bayes-SqueezeNet based diagnosis of  
17 the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) from X-Ray images, Med. Hypotheses 140,  
18 109761 (2020).