medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Naloxone Buyers Club: Overlooked Critical Public Health Infrastructure for Preventing Overdose Deaths

Doe-Simkins, Maya (1); Wheeler, Eliza Jane (1); Figgatt, Mary C. (2); Jones, T. Stephen (3); Bell, Alice (4); Davidson, Peter J. (5); Dasgupta, Nabarun (2)

(1) Remedy Alliance For the People, Oakland, California, USA
(2) Gillings School of Global Public Health and Injury Prevention Research Center, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC USA
(3) T. Stephen Jones Public Health Consulting, Florence, MA USA
(4) Prevention Point Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA USA
(5) University of California, San Diego, CA USA

ABSTRACT

Background. Community-based naloxone distribution is an evidence-based pillar of overdose prevention. Since 2012, the naloxone Buyers Club facilitated purchase of low-cost naloxone by harm reduction and syringe service programs, the primary conduits for reaching people who use drugs. This innovative purchasing and mutual aid network has not been previously described.

Methods. We analyzed transactional records of naloxone orders (2017-2020, n=965), a survey of current Buyers Club members (2020, n=104), and mutual aid requests (2021, n=86).

Results. Between 2017 and 2020, annual orders for naloxone increased 2.6-fold. 114 unique harm reduction programs from 40 states placed orders for 3,714,110 vials of 0.4 mg/mL generic naloxone through the Buyers Club. States with most orders were: Arizona (600,000 vials), Illinois (576,800), Minnesota (347,450), California (317,200), North Carolina (315,040). Among programs that ordered naloxone in 2020, 52% (n=32) received no federal funding and ordered half as much as funded programs. During the 2021 shortage, mutual aid redistribution was common, with 80% participating as either a donor or recipient. Among 59 mutual aid requestors, 59% (n=35) were willing to accept expired naloxone; the clear preference was for generic injectable naloxone, 95% (n=56).

Conclusions. The naloxone Buyers Club is a critical element of overdose prevention infrastructure. Yet, barriers from corporate compliance officers and federal prescription-only status impede access. These barriers can be reduced by FDA removing the prescription requirement for naloxone and government funding for harm reduction programs.

Correspondence:
Dr. Nabarun Dasgupta
725 MLK Jr. Blvd, CB 7505
Chapel Hill, NC 27599 USA
Phone: +1-919-260-3808
Email: nab@unc.edu

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Keywords: opioid; overdose; naloxone; harm reduction; pharmaceutical; supply chain; standing orders

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

1. INTRODUCTION

Opioid overdose has been a major driver of untimely mortality in the United States for two decades. Social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic and fluctuations in unregulated drug supply have exacerbated the issue (Linas et al., 2021; Mason et al., 2021; Rodda et al., 2020; Slavova et al., 2020). In 2020, over 93,000 people lost their lives to overdose, about 70% from opioids, a 30% annualized increase (Ahmad et al., 2021). These deaths are largely preventable.

Providing the overdose antidote, naloxone (N-allylnoroxymorphone) is an evidence-based intervention (Davis and Carr, 2015; McDonald and Strang, 2016; Walley et al., 2013; Wheeler et al., 2015). People who use drugs are most likely to be on the scene when an overdose occurs. Naloxone kits and training include information on preventing, recognizing, and reversing overdoses, and how to access other health services. Naloxone distribution sites include syringe services programs, health departments, mobile outreach vans, churches, and other community locations (Freeman et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2018). Some programs receive federal or state funding, but no previous publication has documented the scope or impact of this support. We document this previously undescribed, critical, component of public health overdose prevention.

To improve naloxone access, the Opioid Safety and Naloxone Network (OSNN) formed in 2008. As of October 2021, the group had 1,082 members, including people who use drugs, legal and policy experts, harm reduction programs, advocates, public health officials, researchers, medical professionals, and government officials. OSNN is an unincorporated entity and receives no funding. Members of OSNN have been involved in developing advocacy and educational materials instrumental in increasing naloxone access.

A major barrier to naloxone access is price. Funding for harm reduction and syringe service programs, the primary distributors of naloxone to people who use drugs, has been limited and unstable (Green et al., 2012). The price of naloxone has increased substantially during the last decade, with wide variation between branded and generic formulations. The most affordable formulation is generic injectable single-dose 0.4 mg/mL in 1 mL vials (Gupta et al., 2016). It is administered intramuscularly, and packaged by programs with appropriate syringes, which are of different size than syringes used for intravenous drug use.

Styled after early AIDS antiretroviral purchasing groups (Berkowitz, 1999; DeChristoforo and Minor, 1997; James, 1996), the OSNN Buyers Club is a collective of more than a hundred organizations comprising the backbone of community-based naloxone distribution in the United States (Dasgupta et al., 2021). The primary purpose of the Buyers Club is to facilitate the purchase of naloxone. In 2012, led by the late Dan Bigg and three of the authors of this paper, we negotiated a favorable price for the lifesaving medication with Hospira (now part of Pfizer). For nearly a decade, the vast majority of community-based naloxone distribution has quietly relied on this arrangement (Schreiber, 2021). Programs place orders with the Buyers Club, which are batched weekly and sent to Pfizer. Pfizer ships the naloxone to the programs, who pay Pfizer directly.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

The Buyers Club is an unfunded, volunteer effort, and does not impose any fees. In addition to placing the orders, the majority of staff time is spent on assisting programs in filling out the substantial paperwork required by the manufacturer, because naloxone is designated by US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to be prescription-only.

At the request of Pfizer, since 2012, the Buyers Club has operated an access-controlled program. The negotiated agreement with Pfizer requires programs:

- Be a member of OSNN.
- Be a registered non-profit organization or have a fiscal sponsor.
- Distribute naloxone for free to people who use drugs, their friends and family, and direct service providers (e.g., harm reduction or syringe service programs)
- Refrain from re-selling naloxone or supplying institutions (hospitals, health care facilities, first responders).
- Sign a confidentiality agreement prohibiting price disclosure.
- Have a non-residential address to receive shipments.
- Have a prescriber provide a medical license number *and* Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) number to sign off on the purchase and distribution of naloxone.

Those organizations able to meet these stringent criteria often support smaller organizations without medical staff. Buyers Club members with unrestricted funds often engage in mutual aid redistribution of naloxone to these smaller programs serving hard-to-reach and marginalized populations. In this paper, we document the role that mutual aid redistribution plays in ensuring continuity for smaller and innovative programs. A recent legal analysis clarified that federal law does not prohibit this practice (Davis, 2020).

This analysis was conducted in the context of a national shortage of naloxone. In April 2021, Pfizer notified the Buyers Club of a manufacturing issue at the lone factory manufacturing naloxone, unrelated to COVID vaccines (Kornfield, 2021). Other liquid injectables were also affected. Pfizer officially stated that the shortage would not be resolved until February 2022 (Pfizer Hospital, 2021). While institutional (e.g., hospital) purchasers can purchase other generic versions at higher prices, this is not viable for non-profit organizations. While some programs have been able to purchase more expensive injectable (retail $30 per kit, naloxone cost only) or intranasal formulations ($75 per kit) (Schreiber, 2021), this 15-to-30x higher price has exerted significant and sudden financial strain on small programs, grossly limiting the amount of the antidote distributed during the worst period of overdoses in the nation's history (Amaral, 2021; Dalton, 2021; Snow, 2021; Godvin, 2021; Kornfield, 2021; Mckesson, 2021; Schreiber, 2021). As the shortage is ongoing, a full analysis of its impact is beyond scope. However, the shortage has cast light onto systematic failures in naloxone procurement and distribution.

This evaluation describes naloxone purchases, funding sources, mutual aid redistribution, and resource scarcity for community-based public health programs. While surveys of Buyers Club programs have been published previously (Wheeler et al., 2015), we use transactional and survey data from the Buyers Club for the first time to document this critical element of overdose prevention infrastructure.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Data Sources

2.1.1 Naloxone Ordering Data

From 2017, the Buyers Club maintained detailed electronic ordering logs for all participating programs (n=114). Longitudinal data included program name and location, dates of order and receipt, number of units, updated with post-order modifications and delivery exceptions.

2.1.2 Survey Data

From January through March 2021, we surveyed Buyers Club programs to describe experiences with naloxone funding, purchases, and resource scarcity during 2020. All Buyers Club members active at the end of 2020 (n=104) were asked to participate in the survey. We asked all programs regardless of 2020 ordering about resource scarcity, mutual aid, and fundraising. We then asked programs that ordered naloxone in 2020 via the Buyers Club (n=71) about receipt of federal and state funding for naloxone, staff salary, and participant incentives in 2020, using structured questions and free text fields for additional details. The survey was conducted electronically, with data quality checks.

2.1.3 Mutual Aid Data

We analyzed a separate administrative dataset to quantify naloxone requests (n=86) during the 2021 shortage, June 1 to October 31. The Buyers Club maintains a “Get Some/Got Some” electronic request form where members (and associated public health programs) running low on naloxone list the number of vials needed, and programs with extra supply offer willingness to share. These records are appended with supply resolutions by Buyers Club staff. The Open Society Foundations provided unrestricted funding to programs to encourage mutual aid redistribution. In addition to informing scarcity, mutual aid requests provided insight into willingness to use expired naloxone (Pruyn et al., 2019) and formulation preferences.

2.1.4 Contextual Examples

Contextual examples are provided to highlight root causes of supply chain disruptions, exposing policy, legal, and regulatory barriers. Six examples from distinct levels of the supply chain, drawn from free text survey responses and operational experience, are briefly summarized.

2.2 Analytic Approach

We calculated descriptive statistics and constructed visualizations. Missing data are reported as item non-response. Medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) are reported due to mean-median inequality.(Zheng et al., 2017) Heatmaps of naloxone orders were constructed in Stata MP version 17 (College Station, Texas, USA) and visually inspected for patterns. Analytic code and output are available in a Jupyter Notebook (Supplementary Material) (Dasgupta, 2021).

2.3 Ethics and Participation

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

2.3.1 Ethics Statement

This study was reviewed by the University of North Carolina Office of Human Research Ethics, which determined that it does not constitute human subjects research as defined under federal regulations (#21-2451).

2.3.2 Participation of People with Lived Experience

People with lived experience of drug use, overdose reversal and naloxone distribution are represented across more than a hundred programs participating in the Buyers Club. Several authors have more than a decade of experience using naloxone and reversing overdoses.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Naloxone Ordering

Between 2017 and 2020, 114 unique harm reduction programs, from 40 states, placed 965 orders through the Buyers Club (Table 1) totaling 3,714,010 vials of 0.4 mg/mL naloxone in 1 mL vials. Orders placed via the Buyers Club (Figure 1) increased 2.6-fold from 506,120 vials in 2017, to 1,296,290 in 2020. While the average 4-year order volume was 76,151 vials (standard deviation: 136,118), the range between smallest and largest was five orders of magnitude, 20 vials to 600,000. The median order per program was 23,500 vials (IQR: 6,750 to 67,250); the mean-median inequality encourages us to report the latter going forward. The states with the most programs were: California (30), Washington (6), Michigan (6), Minnesota (5), Illinois (4) and North Carolina (4). The five states with programs ordering the most naloxone were: Arizona (600,000 vials), Illinois (576,800), Minnesota (347,450), California (317,200), and North Carolina (315,040).

Visual inspection of longitudinal purchase histories in the heatmap (Figure 2) revealed heterogeneity across time (horizontal axis), over the 114 programs (vertical axis). Increasing color intensity with time represents greater demand moving from left to right. The whitespace also suggests that the Buyers Club supports many smaller programs with intermittent ordering. Many programs also receive naloxone through state health departments and corporate donations and use the Buyers Club as a backstop when other sources are insufficient. Larger programs make monthly purchases through the Buyers Club, reflecting limited storage capacity and locally high demand. April and December are peak months for ordering naloxone as calendar and fiscal year-limited funds expire. Some programs initially purchase monthly, and then choose to order larger amounts less frequently; this is depicted as lighter consecutive-month-runs followed by darker interval purchases. This may represent an initial vetting period as well as programmatic maturity, where storage space is increased, larger purchases become financially feasible, and growing demands of invoicing paperwork, all combine for less frequent but higher volume purchases.

Programs tended to order a couple of times per year; the median was 6 separate orders (IQR: 2 to 12) during the 4-year study period, averaging 8.5 orders (standard deviation 7.6). During the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

study period, most programs (n=95, 83%) ordered more than once from the Buyers Club. The median time between orders was 62 days (IQR: 34 days to 119 days) among repeat purchasers.

3.2 Sources of Funding

At the end of 2020, there were 104 programs in the Buyer's Club, operating in 40 states, all of which participated in the survey (response proportion 100%). A quarter of programs (25.3%, n=25) reported having to limit naloxone distribution due to affordable product scarcity. In 2020, one-in-five programs (20.0%, n=19) reported being required or encouraged to purchase more expensive formulations of naloxone with government grant funds.

The 71 programs that ordered naloxone through the Buyers Club in 2020 were asked about funding sources, with 67 responding (response proportion 94.4%). Among these 67 programs, only 48.4% (n=30) received any federal funding for naloxone (Table 2). The programs that received federal funds purchased more than twice the amount of naloxone (885,640 doses) as the programs that did not receive any federal funds (403,000 doses).

Among programs who ordered naloxone via the Buyers Club in 2020 (n=67), half (49.2%, n=30) reported needing to do their own fundraising for purchasing naloxone (Table 2). Fundraising was accomplished through online crowdsourcing microfinance platforms and memorial pages for people who died of an overdose.

3.3 Mutual Aid Redistribution

From the funding survey, mutual aid redistribution was common practice in 2021, with 79.8% (n=79 out of 104) participating as either a donor or recipient of shared naloxone. During the period of Pfizer's manufacturing-related shortage, June 1 to October 31, 2021, the Buyers Club received 59 requests for naloxone, and 27 offers to share naloxone. Offers to share were made without expectation for cost reimbursement, in compliance with Pfizer contracts. Buyers Club staff consolidated and coordinated the contribution and need requests, for example bundling offers to fill a larger request, or splitting contributions to fill smaller requests. Programs not in the Buyers Club were eligible to request donations, such as programs receiving secondary distribution from registered purchasers. Fifteen programs stated they needed money for shipping or storage. Through mutual aid redistribution 200,050 doses of naloxone were sent to programs in need.

3.4 Expired Naloxone

In an effort not to waste naloxone, recently expired naloxone has long been used by harm reduction programs to reverse overdoses successfully, and independent evaluations have confirmed shelf-life viability in excess of 5 years past printed expiration date (Lyon et al., 2006; Pruyn et al., 2019). However, some programs are prohibited from distributing expired naloxone by state or institutional rules. Among the 59 mutual aid requests for naloxone, 59% of programs (n=35) were willing to accept expired naloxone.

3.5 Formulation Preferences

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

The 59 mutual aid requests for naloxone in 2021 provide insight into which formulations are preferred by programs. Almost all programs (n=56, 95%) requested generic injectable naloxone. Narcan® nasal spray was acceptable to 71% of programs (n=42), and only 37% (n=22) were willing to accept Evzio® auto-injector (which was no longer marketed by the manufacturer at the time of the survey, and may have affected acceptability).

3.6 Contextual Experience

To provide context for quantitative findings, we provide six examples from Buyers Club members and associated public health programs, highlighting barriers to naloxone access at different levels of the supply chain. The prescription requirement limits naloxone access by community programs.

3.6.1 Large Pharmaceutical Manufacturer

Pfizer requires DEA registration of the prescribing physician, even though naloxone is not a controlled substance. In their ordering system, one prescribing physician cannot provide their DEA authorization to multiple harm reduction programs, rendering statewide standing orders useless for naloxone procurement.

3.6.2 Small Generic Manufacturer

In 2021, Hikma Pharmaceuticals' generic naloxone was added to the Buyers Club product list at a discounted price. However, the company requires physicians to sign affidavits clarifying that the naloxone prescription explicitly authorizes "purchase," and not solely "distribution." This applies even to states with standing orders, some of which explicitly forbid purchasing.

3.6.3 Pharmaceutical Distributor

When ordering from the pharmaceutical distributor, a convoluted fix was necessitated by the fact that harm reduction programs are ineligible for accounts in the McKesson division through which prescription drugs are sold, because they are not pharmacies. Therefore, naloxone has to be transferred between the distributor's divisions (from prescription drug to Medical-Surgical), creating delays, supply chain vulnerabilities, and idiosyncratic order quotes or request denials from sales representatives.

3.6.4 Regional Public Health Program

The Northwest Portland Area Indian Health Board serves 43 tribes in Washington, Oregon and Idaho. These rural and remote Native American communities have limited emergency medical care, and most have no access to in-person harm reduction services. While the organization can purchase naloxone, a legal assessment has prohibited them from mailing naloxone across state lines because the status is prescription-only.

3.6.5 Local Public Health Programs

Public health initiatives in Connecticut and Michigan attempted to place vending machines for free naloxone in high overdose and service-scarce areas. Despite state standing orders, fear of enforcement of prescription drug fraud laws by FDA killed many target locations: Lawyers and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

organizational general counsels thwarted or stalled implementation because naloxone is prescription-only. Several vending machines continue to sit in a storage room, unused.

3.6.6 Naloxone Donations

Even when cost is not an issue, regulatory and compliance issues leave overdose prevention efforts stymied. To receive a charitable donation of naloxone (Direct Relief, 2021), programs must comply with regulations for storing and dispensing medications, and have a licensed Medical Director or Pharmacist. In September 2021, the Buyers Club received a commitment from a pharmaceutical company of a 50,000 dose donation (Hikma Pharmaceuticals PLC, 2021). However, only *three* programs were able to complete the burdensome paperwork to receive the emergency donation.

4. DISCUSSION

Every 5 minutes someone in America dies of an overdose. For the first time, we document the critical public health infrastructure that supports community-based naloxone distribution. The Buyers Club facilitated purchase of over 3.7 million doses of naloxone over a four-year period. Despite this success, our data highlights substantial barriers to naloxone distribution, stemming from: 1) FDA classification of naloxone as “prescription-only”; and 2) inadequate financial investment in programs that reach marginalized people. Less than half of the Buyer’s Club programs received government funding for naloxone purchasing. Action by Congress and FDA to remove generic naloxone’s prescription requirement for harm reduction programs would prevent overdose deaths.

Our data reveal that the Buyers Club is anchored by a handful of very high volume programs, with a sizable middle tier of regular but moderate orderers, and a large tail of low volume intermittent purchasers. Whereas a commercial endeavor may deprioritize low volume purchasers, the Buyers Club administrators pay particular attention: These are specialized programs serving niche communities with resource scarcity. Some of these smaller programs serve remote Native American and Appalachian residents, Asian Americans, people recently leaving prison, rural church ministries, etc. These areas and populations already have markedly lower access to in-person harm reduction services, let alone health insurance to pay for naloxone in pharmacies. The Buyers Club plays a critical role in furthering equitable naloxone access that mainstream medicine and public health cannot accomplish.

As a result of naloxone scarcity, programs scrambled to implement a patchwork of various temporary strategies, including fundraising schemes, donations from other programs, or reducing naloxone distribution altogether. Government funding was inadequate and inconsistent. Despite the problems, Buyer’s Club organizations managed to increase naloxone access to vulnerable groups during the COVID-19 era, when many other health agencies suspended or reduced non-pandemic services. However, some programs faced dangerous scarcity. Secure funding access and naloxone supply will result in more life-saving naloxone in the hands of people who use drugs, the group most likely to use naloxone during an overdose.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

State laws and executive orders intend for naloxone to be effectively treated as a non-prescription or over-the-counter product (Gertner et al., 2018; Lambdin et al., 2018; Sohn et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2018). Yet, it remains regulated as prescription-only at the federal level. This discrepancy (manifest as resistance from corporate and government compliance officers), greatly limits the ability of organizations to obtain and distribute naloxone and stifles innovation.

Government intervention is needed to provide a consistent, affordable stream of naloxone. Currently, government funding prioritizes naloxone for law enforcement, libraries, and schools. Compared to community-based programs, these constituencies do not reach populations in greatest need of naloxone as efficiently.

One success story is worth highlighting. The largest purchaser in the Buyers Club (600,000 doses) was Sonoran Prevention Works in Arizona. A recent analysis suggests that Arizona is the only state approaching saturation for adequate naloxone supply (Irvine et al., 2021). This program, supported by federal funding via the state health department, exclusively distributes cheap generic naloxone provided via the Buyers Club. More than 43,000 reversals have been recorded (Arizona Department of Health Services, 2021).

The Buyer's Club is not the only source of community-distributed naloxone. The US Veterans Administration distributed naloxone to 285,279 patients from May 2014 to April 2021 (Oliva et al., 2021). Pfizer donated 1 million doses over four years, 2017 to 2021 (Direct Relief, 2021). The largest state government effort, in California, purchased 600,000 units from 2018 to 2021 on behalf of programs, funded by SAMHSA (California Department of Health Care Services, 2021). These purchases were insufficient: California programs purchased hundreds of thousands of additional doses through the Buyers Club. Cheap, generic naloxone via the Buyers Club is a backstop for inadequate government funding.

The majority of community-based naloxone distribution to people who use drugs is accomplished hand-to-hand, far from retail pharmacy shelves. While retail pharmacies are an intuitive venue for naloxone access, the observed volume of passed through this vast infrastructure is dwarfed by a comparatively smaller group of harm reduction programs. FDA and one branded manufacturer reported retail pharmacy sales of naloxone of 336,100 doses in 2017(Jiang, 2018) and 556,847 in 2018 (Guy et al., 2019), but was concentrated in a handful of states (US Food and Drug Administration, 2018).

During COVID, retail pharmacy sales of naloxone declined 26% (O'Donoghue et al., 2021), while demand from Buyers Club programs increased 29%. People who use drugs often do not feel comfortable purchasing naloxone in pharmacies (Antoniou et al., 2021). Pharmacists may label those asking for naloxone as "drug seeking", further creating an unwelcome environment (Green et al., 2017). Experience from Australia suggests that only pharmacists already providing harm reduction services (e.g., syringes) are receptive to offering take-home naloxone (Nielsen and Olsen, 2021). Co-prescribing of naloxone with prescription opioids also faces low uptake (Haffajee et al., 2020). The main branded manufacturer (Emergent BioSolutions,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Gaithersburg, Maryland) has forwarded a narrative emphasizing retail pharmacy as the primary avenue for over-the-counter naloxone for their expensive nasal spray (Knopf, 2020).

While offering the allure of rapid national distribution and a quick policy fix, international experience shows that the barriers to retail pharmacy distribution of naloxone are formidable (Donovan et al., 2019; Graves et al., 2019; Green et al., 2017; Olsen et al., 2019). On the other hand, research shows that people who use drugs are best-placed to reverse overdoses as they happen (Bennett et al., 2018). The US Veterans Administration reports 3.8% utilization rate for naloxone among law enforcement (Oliva et al., 2021); in one study, friends and family members of people who consume drugs, but are not drug users themselves, had a naloxone refill rate of only 1% (Bennett et al., 2018). In contrast, people who use drugs had a 11% return rate for refills after using naloxone to reverse an overdose (Bennett et al., 2018). Research from the United States (Wagner et al., 2014), Vietnam (Blackburn et al., 2017), and Norway (Eide et al., 2021) also shows that “Super-savers” naturally and consistently emerge during naloxone distribution. These empowered and motivated individuals report reversing multiple overdoses, having gained a positive reputation in the community. It is imperative that we evaluate and address different models of community distribution in order to get lifesaving medication into the right hands without exacerbating stigma.

As a retrospective review of transactional records and survey data, this study has limitations. Multivariable analysis was not undertaken because additional program characteristics were not available. An accurate market analysis is difficult because commercial data vendors do not capture naloxone distributed through all channels, such as donations. Some states with robust naloxone distribution programs (New Mexico, Massachusetts, New York) did not make any purchases from the Buyers Club, and are not represented. While mutual aid redistribution is common, some programs may be wary of disclosing these efforts because of fear of retribution from funding agencies. Naloxone distribution is not a panacea; not all overdoses are witnessed by someone able to administer the antidote (Stam et al., 2019). Despite these limitations, the data presented herein provide the first documentation of the naloxone Buyers Club, and can aid policy and regulatory decisions, as well as inform modelling for overdose prevention.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The naloxone Buyers Club is a unique, and previously unrecognized, facilitator of naloxone access. The network represents the backbone of community-based naloxone distribution in the United States. Yet, corporate compliance and legal barriers result in excessive administrative burdens that limit naloxone access. Reassessing funding priorities and removing the prescription requirement could reduce these barriers to ensure fairer and more efficient overdose prevention.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the member organizations of the Buyers Club, and the people who informally redistribute naloxone to amplify saturation networks- usually via people who use drugs. We also thank the following people for comments on various portions and versions of the text of this manuscript: Corey Davis, Roxanne Saucier, Jessica Leston, and Daniel Raymond.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Annual naloxone orders by Buyers Club member programs.
Yearly volume of orders by 114 harm reduction programs in the United States.

Figure 2. Heatmap of Longitudinal Naloxone Purchases by Buyers Club Member Programs. Each program's (vertical axis) purchasing history was constructed as a longitudinal record (horizontal axis is time), with categorical colored indicators for monthly purchase volume. Each program is represented as a row, ranked in order of cumulative volume. Programs are ranked by descending cumulative order volume of naloxone purchased from 2017 to 2020, as designated on the left margin.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Annual Naloxone Orders by Harm Reduction Programs in the Buyers Club.

Year	Number of Purchasing Programs	Number of US States	Number of Orders	Total Units Ordered	Median Vials per Program (IQR)	Average Vials per Program (SD)	Range Vials per Program
2017	73	26	165	506,120	1,900 (650 to 5,500)	6,933 (13,846)	20 to 80,000
2018	82	32	283	899,000	2,025 (1,000 to 7,350)	10,963 (24,997)	250 to 135,000
2019	84	35	281	1,012,700	2,000 (1,000 to 9,850)	12,056 (28,484)	250 to 170,000
2020	71	30	236	1,296,290	4,400 (1,400 to 16,000)	18,258 (36,794)	250 to 238,000
Four-year Total	114	40	965	3,714,110	23,500 (6,750 to 67,250)	76,151 (136,118)	20 to 600,000

IQR = Interquartile range
SD = standard deviation

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Buyers Club Survey of Resources for Naloxone Distribution, 2020

	Yes N (%)	No N (%)	Unknown, Missing, or Prefer not to answer N	Total
All Buyers Club Members (n=104)				
Did you ever need to limit naloxone distribution because you did not have enough naloxone or funds to purchase naloxone?	25 (25.3%)	74 (74.7%)	5	104
Were you asked to share, loan, gift your naloxone supply with another organization to meet their naloxone supply needs, OR, did you ask another organization to share, borrow, gift their supply with you to meet your naloxone supply needs?	79 (79.8%)	20 (20.2%)	5	104
Were you ever required/encouraged to use a portion of funds to purchase more expensive naloxone products?	19 (20.0%)	76 (80.0%)	9	104
Buyers Club Members Recently Purchasing Naloxone (n=67)*				
Did you get any federal funds to purchase naloxone? (including federal funds passed through the state, county, or city)	30 (48.4%)	32 (51.6%)	5	67
Did you get any federal funds to cover staff, volunteer, participant time or incentives to distribute naloxone? (including federal funds passed through the state, county, or city)	29 (49.2%)	30 (50.8%)	8	67
Did you get any state, county, or city government funds to purchase naloxone? (excluding federal funds passed through the state, county, or city)	29 (46.0%)	34 (54.0%)	4	67
Did you get any state, county, city government funds to cover staff, volunteer, participant time or incentives to distribute naloxone? (excluding federal funds passed through the state, county, or city)	28 (45.2%)	34 (54.8%)	5	67

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Did you have to do fundraising to get enough money OR use unrestricted donations to purchase naloxone?	30 (49.2%)	31 (50.8%)	6	67

* Out of 71 programs ordering naloxone in 2020 in Table 1, four did not complete funding questions, resulting in a sample size of n=67.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

REFERENCES

Ahmad, F., Rossen, L., Sutton, P., 2021. Provisional Drug Overdose Death Counts [WWW Document]. URL https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/drug-overdose-data.htm (accessed 11.9.21).

Amaral, B., 2021. As opioid epidemic rages, an overdose antidote is in short supply - The Boston Globe [WWW Document]. BostonGlobe.com. URL https://www.bostonglobe.com/2021/08/02/metro/opioid-epidemic-rages-an-overdose-antitode-is-short-supply-ri/ (accessed 11.9.21).

Antoniou, T., Pritlove, C., Shearer, D., Martins, D., Tadrous, M., Munro, C., Gomes, T., 2021. A qualitative study of a publicly funded pharmacy-dispensed naloxone program. International Journal of Drug Policy 92, 103146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2021.103146

Arizona Department of Health Services, 2021. Opioid Epidemic [WWW Document]. URL http://www.azdhs.gov/prevention/womens-childrens-health/injury-prevention/opioid-prevention/index.php (accessed 11.9.21).

Bennett, A.S., Bell, A., Doe-Simkins, M., Elliott, L., Pouget, E., Davis, C., 2018. From Peers to Lay Bystanders: Findings from a Decade of Naloxone Distribution in Pittsburgh, PA. Journal of Psychoactive Drugs 50, 240–246. https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2018.1430409

Berkowitz, J., 1999. Buyers' club list, December 1999. AIDS Treat News 3–4.

Blackburn, N.A., Lancaster, K.E., Ha, T.V., Latkin, C.A., Miller, W.C., Frangakis, C., Chu, V.A., Sripaipan, T., Quan, V.M., Minh, N.L., Vu, P.T., Go, V.F., 2017. Characteristics of persons who inject drugs and who witness opioid overdoses in Vietnam: a cross-sectional analysis to inform future overdose prevention programs. Harm Reduct J 14, 62. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12954-017-0188-4

California Department of Health Care Services, 2021. Naloxone Distribution Project. https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/individuals/Pages/Naloxone_Distribution_Project.aspx (accessed 11.9.21).

Dalton, M., 2021. Cost of Naloxone Soars as Overdose-Related Deaths Surge. The Takeaway.

Dasgupta, N., 2021. Analysis of Naloxone Buyers Club Data. The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill University Libraries. https://doi.org/10.17615/TYD7-QY88

Dasgupta, N., Doe-Simkins, M., Wheeler, E., 2021. Opioid Safety and Naloxone Network Buyers Club: Restoring Naloxone. https://doi.org/10.17615/Z548-VP45

Davis, C., 2020. Determining Whether Federal Law Prohibits the Mailing of Naloxone. Network for Public Health Law. URL https://www.networkforphl.org/news-insights/determining-whether-federal-law-prohibits-the-mailing-of-naloxone/ (accessed 11.9.21).

Davis, C.S., Carr, D., 2015. Legal changes to increase access to naloxone for opioid overdose reversal in the United States. Drug and Alcohol Dependence 157, 112–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2015.10.013

DeChristoforo, R., Minor, J.R., 1997. AIDS buyers' clubs. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy 54, 2122–2124. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajhp/54.18.2122

Direct Relief, 2021. Pfizer to Donate 1 Million Naloxone Doses to Direct Relief for Life-Saving Opioid Overdose Reversal. Direct Relief. URL https://www.directrelief.org/2021/04/pfizer-to-donate-1-million-naloxone-doses-to-direct-relief-for-life-saving-opioid-overdose-reversal/ (accessed 11.9.21).

Donovan, E., Case, P., Bratberg, J.P., Baird, J., Burstein, D., Walley, A.Y., Green, T.C., 2019. Beliefs Associated with Pharmacy-Based Naloxone: a Qualitative Study of Pharmacy-Based Naloxone Purchasers and People at Risk for Opioid Overdose. J Urban Health 96, 367–378. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11524-019-00349-1

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Eide, D., Lobmaier, P., Clausen, T., 2021. Who Is Using Take-home Naloxone a Lot? An Examination of Super-savers (preprint). In Review. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-952475/v1

Freeman, P.R., Hankosky, E.R., Lofwall, M.R., Talbert, J.C., 2018. The changing landscape of naloxone availability in the United States, 2011 – 2017. Drug and Alcohol Dependence 191, 361–364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.07.017

Gertner, A.K., Domino, M.E., Davis, C.S., 2018. Do naloxone access laws increase outpatient naloxone prescriptions? Evidence from Medicaid. Drug and Alcohol Dependence 190, 37–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.05.014

Godvin, M., 2021. The US Faces a Naloxone Shortage at the Worst Possible Time. Filter.

Graves, R.L., Andreyeva, E., Perrone, J., Shofer, F.S., Merchant, R.M., Meisel, Z.F., 2019. Naloxone Availability and Pharmacy Staff Knowledge of Standing Order for Naloxone in Pennsylvania Pharmacies. Journal of Addiction Medicine 13, 272–278. https://doi.org/10.1097/ADM.0000000000000492

Green, T.C., Case, P., Fiske, H., Baird, J., Cabral, S., Burstein, D., Schwartz, V., Potter, N., Walley, A.Y., Bratberg, J., 2017. Perpetuating stigma or reducing risk? Perspectives from naloxone consumers and pharmacists on pharmacy-based naloxone in 2 states. Journal of the American Pharmacists Association 57, S19-S27.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.japh.2017.01.013

Green, T.C., Martin, E.G., Bowman, S.E., Mann, M.R., Beletsky, L., 2012. Life After the Ban: An Assessment of US Syringe Exchange Programs' Attitudes About and Early Experiences With Federal Funding. Am J Public Health 102, e9–e16. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2011.300595

Gupta, R., Shah, N.D., Ross, J.S., 2016. The Rising Price of Naloxone — Risks to Efforts to Stem Overdose Deaths. N Engl J Med 375, 2213–2215. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1609578

Guy, G.P., Haegerich, T.M., Evans, M.E., Losby, J.L., Young, R., Jones, C.M., 2019. Vital Signs: Pharmacy-Based Naloxone Dispensing — United States, 2012–2018. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 68, 679–686. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6831e1

Haffajee, R.L., Cherney, S., Smart, R., 2020. Legal requirements and recommendations to prescribe naloxone. Drug and Alcohol Dependence 209, 107896. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2020.107896

Hikma Pharmaceuticals PLC, 2021. Hikma donates 50,000 doses of injectable naloxone to help expand non-profit access to lifesaving treatment for reversing opioid overdoses [WWW Document]. URL https://www.hikma.com/newsroom/article-i5241-hikma-donates-50-000-doses-of-injectable-naloxone-to-help-expand-non-profit-access-to-lifesaving-treatment-for-reversing-opioid-overdoses/ (accessed 11.9.21).

Irvine, M., Oller, D., Boggis, J., Bishop, B., Coombs, D., Wheeler, E., Doe-Simkins, M., Walley, A., Marshall, B.D., Bratberg, J., Green, T., 2021. Estimating Naloxone Need in the United States Across Fentanyl, Heroin, and Prescription Opioid Epidemics: A Modelling Study (SSRN Scholarly Paper No. ID 3929585). Social Science Research Network, Rochester, NY.

James, J.S., 1996. Buyers' club crisis--challenge for AIDS community. AIDS Treat News 1–3.

Jiang, T., 2018. Clinical and regulatory overview of naloxone products intended for use in the community.

Knopf, A., 2020. Sign-on letter requests more flexibility in methadone treatment during COVID-19. Alcoholism & Drug Abuse Weekly 32, 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1002/adaw.32685

Kornfield, M., 2021. Affordable naloxone is running out, creating a perfect storm for more overdose deaths, activists say. Washington Post.

Lambdin, B.H., Davis, C.S., Wheeler, E., Tueller, S., Kral, A.H., 2018. Naloxone laws facilitate the establishment of overdose education and naloxone distribution programs in the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

United States. Drug and Alcohol Dependence 188, 370–376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.04.004

Linas, B.P., Savinkina, A., Barbosa, C., Mueller, P.P., Cerdá, M., Keyes, K., Chhatwal, J., 2021. A clash of epidemics: Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic response on opioid overdose. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment 120, 108158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2020.108158

Lyon, R.C., Taylor, J.S., Porter, D.A., Prasanna, H.R., Hussain, A.S., 2006. Stability profiles of drug products extended beyond labeled expiration dates. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 95, 1549–1560. https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.20636

Mason, M., Welch, S.B., Arunkumar, P., Post, L.A., Feinglass, J.M., 2021. Notes from the Field: Opioid Overdose Deaths Before, During, and After an 11-Week COVID-19 Stay-at-Home Order — Cook County, Illinois, January 1, 2018–October 6, 2020. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 70, 362–363. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7010a3

McDonald, R., Strang, J., 2016. Are take-home naloxone programmes effective? Systematic review utilizing application of the Bradford Hill criteria. Addiction 111, 1177–1187. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.13326

Mckesson, D., 2021. Special Episode (with Dr. Nabarun Dasgupta). Crooked Media.

Nielsen, S., Olsen, A., 2021. Using the behaviour change wheel to understand and address barriers to pharmacy naloxone supply in Australia. International Journal of Drug Policy 90, 103061. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2020.103061

O'Donoghue, A.L., Biswas, N., Dechen, T., Anderson, T.S., Talmor, N., Punnamaraju, A., Stevens, J.P., 2021. Trends in Filled Naloxone Prescriptions Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic in the United States. JAMA Health Forum 2, e210393. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamahealthforum.2021.0393

Oliva, E.M., Richardson, J., Harvey, M.A., Bellino, P., 2021. Saving Lives: The Veterans Health Administration (VHA) Rapid Naloxone Initiative. The Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety 47, 469–480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjq.2021.06.004

Olsen, A., Lawton, B., Dwyer, R., Taing, M.-W., Chun, K.L.J., Hollingworth, S., Nielsen, S., 2019. Why aren't Australian pharmacists supplying naloxone? Findings from a qualitative study. International Journal of Drug Policy 69, 46–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2019.03.020

Pfizer Hospital, 2021. Naloxone Hydrochloride Injection, USP [WWW Document]. URL https://www.pfizerhospitalus.com/products/naloxone-hydrochloride (accessed 10.1.21).

Pruyn, S., Frey, J., Baker, B., Brodeur, M., Graichen, C., Long, H., Zheng, H., Dailey, M.W., 2019. Quality Assessment of Expired Naloxone Products from First-Responders' Supplies. Prehospital Emergency Care 23, 647–653. https://doi.org/10.1080/10903127.2018.1563257

Rodda, L.N., West, K.L., LeSaint, K.T., 2020. Opioid Overdose–Related Emergency Department Visits and Accidental Deaths during the COVID-19 Pandemic. J Urban Health 97, 808–813. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11524-020-00486-y

Schreiber, M., 2021. Price for drug that reverses opioid overdoses soars amid record deaths. The Guardian.

Slavova, S., Rock, P., Bush, H.M., Quesinberry, D., Walsh, S.L., 2020. Signal of increased opioid overdose during COVID-19 from emergency medical services data. Drug and Alcohol Dependence 214, 108176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2020.108176

Snow, K. Dangerous shortage of life-saving drug. September 26, 2021, NBC Nightly News. https://www.nbcnews.com/nightly-news/video/dangerous-shortage-of-life-saving-drug-122041413666 (accessed 11.9.21).

Sohn, M., Talbert, J.C., Huang, Z., Lofwall, M.R., Freeman, P.R., 2019. Association of Naloxone Coprescription Laws With Naloxone Prescription Dispensing in the United States. JAMA Netw Open 2, e196215. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.6215

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Stam, N.C., Gerostamoulos, D., Smith, K., Pilgrim, J.L., Drummer, O.H., 2019. Challenges with take-home naloxone in reducing heroin mortality: a review of fatal heroin overdose cases in Victoria, Australia. Clinical Toxicology 57, 325–330. https://doi.org/10.1080/15563650.2018.1529319

US Food and Drug Administration, 2018. Joint Meeting of the Anesthetic and Analgesic Drug Products Advisory Committee and the Drug Safety and Risk Management Advisory Committee (FDA Briefing Document).

Wagner, K.D., Davidson, P.J., Iverson, E., Washburn, R., Burke, E., Kral, A.H., McNeeley, M., Bloom, J.J., Lankenau, S.E., 2014. “I felt like a superhero”: The experience of responding to drug overdose among individuals trained in overdose prevention. International Journal of Drug Policy 25, 157–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2013.07.003

Walley, A.Y., Xuan, Z., Hackman, H.H., Quinn, E., Doe-Simkins, M., Sorensen-Alawad, A., Ruiz, S., Ozonoff, A., 2013. Opioid overdose rates and implementation of overdose education and nasal naloxone distribution in Massachusetts: interrupted time series analysis. BMJ 346, f174–f174. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f174

Wheeler, E., Jones, T., Gilbert, M., Davidson, P., 2015. Opioid Overdose Prevention Programs Providing Naloxone to Laypersons — United States, 2014. MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 64, 631.

Xu, J., Davis, C.S., Cruz, M., Lurie, P., 2018. State naloxone access laws are associated with an increase in the number of naloxone prescriptions dispensed in retail pharmacies. Drug and Alcohol Dependence 189, 37–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.04.020

Zheng, S., Mogusu, E., Veeranki, S.P., Quinn, M., Cao, Y., 2017. The relationship between the mean, median, and mode with grouped data. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods 46, 4285–4295. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610926.2015.1081948


1,296,290
1,012,700
899,000
506,120
2017
2020

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.14.21266221; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .