medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Repeat Subcutaneous Administration of REGEN-COV® in Adults is Well-Tolerated and Prevents the Occurrence of COVID-19

Flonza Isa,[1] Eduardo Forleo-Neto,[1] Jonathan Meyer,[1] Wenjun Zheng,[1] Scott Rasmussen,[2] Danielle Armas,[3] Masaru Oshita,[4] Cynthia Brinson,[5] Steven Folkerth,[6] Lori Faria,[1] Ingeborg Heirman,[1] Neena Sarkar,[1] Bret J. Musser,[1] Shikha Bansal,[1] Meagan P. O'Brien,[1] Kenneth C. Turner,[1] Samit Ganguly,[1] Adnan Mahmood,[1] Ajla Dupljak,[1] Andrea T. Hooper,[1] Jennifer D. Hamilton,[1] Yunji Kim,[1] Bari Kowal,[1] Yuhwen Soo,[1] Gregory P. Geba,[1] Leah Lipsich,[1] Ned Braunstein,[1] George D. Yancopoulos,[1] David M. Weinreich,[1] Gary A. Herman,[1] the COVID-19 Multi-dose Trial Team

[1]Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, NY; [2]Celerion, Lincoln, NE; [3]Celerion, Tempe, AZ; [4]Benchmark Research, Sacramento, CA; [5]Central Texas Clinical Research, LLC, Austin, TX; [6]Midwest Clinical Research Center, LLC, Dayton, OH.

Correspondence to: Flonza Isa, MD; Email: flonza.isa@regeneron.com

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background: Data show that a single dose of casirivimab and imdevimab (REGEN-COV®) is effective in treating hospitalized individuals and outpatients with COVID-19 and in post-exposure prophylaxis. We present results from a phase 1, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial evaluating the safety, tolerability, and efficacy of repeat monthly doses of subcutaneous (SC) REGEN-COV in uninfected adult volunteers who were healthy or had chronic stable medical conditions.

Methods: Subjects were randomized (3:1) to SC REGEN-COV 1200 mg or placebo dosed every 4 weeks for up to 6 doses. The primary and secondary endpoints evaluated the safety, pharmacokinetics, and immunogenicity of multiple-dose administration of REGEN-COV. Efficacy was evaluated by the incidence of COVID-19 or SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion.

Results: In total, 969 subjects were treated. Repeat monthly dosing of SC REGEN-COV led to a 92.4% relative risk reduction in clinically-defined COVID-19 compared to placebo (3/729 [0.4%] vs 13/240 [5.4%]; odds ratio: 0.07 [95% CI, 0.01–0.27]), and a 100% reduction in laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 (0/729 vs 10/240 [4.2%]; odds ratio 0.00). Development of anti-drug antibodies was low (<5% subjects). No grade ≥3 injection-site reactions (ISRs) or hypersensitivity reactions were reported. A slightly higher percentage of subjects reported TEAEs with REGEN-COV (54.9%) than placebo (48.3%), due to ISRs (all grade 1-2). Serious adverse events were rare and occurred at similar percentages in the REGEN-COV and placebo groups. No deaths were reported in the 6-month treatment period.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Conclusions: Repeated monthly administration of 1200 mg SC REGEN-COV was well-tolerated with low immunogenicity, and showed a substantial risk reduction in COVID-19 occurrence.

(ClinicalTrials.gov identifier, NCT04519437)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the causal agent of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19),[1-3] which emerged in December 2019 and was declared a global pandemic in March 2020.[4-6] Other than vaccines, there are currently no approved therapies that can be used for chronic prevention of COVID-19.[7,8] Such treatments are urgently needed, especially in populations who are immunocompromised or have a medical condition or other reason making them unlikely to respond to or be protected by vaccination.[9]

Casirivimab and imdevimab (REGEN-COV®) is a combination of distinct neutralizing monoclonal antibodies that simultaneously bind non-overlapping epitopes of the receptor binding domain of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, thereby preventing the virus from infecting host cells.[10-12] Preclinical and human studies indicate that administering these 2 antibodies in combination provides potent neutralization against currently circulating viral variants of concern including beta, gamma, epsilon, and delta variants, and may protect against the selection of new treatment-resistant SARS-CoV-2 variants.[10,13] Previous trials with REGEN-COV have shown clinical benefit in the treatment setting, reducing the likelihood of hospitalization and death by over 70% in high-risk SARS-CoV-2 infected outpatients,[14,15] and by reducing mortality in seronegative hospitalized patients by 21%.[16] Additionally, a single dose of REGEN-COV reduced both infection and symptomatic COVID-19 in close contacts of infected individuals.[17] On the basis of these studies, REGEN-COV is currently authorized for treatment and post-exposure prophylaxis of COVID-19 in certain settings in the US,[18,19] and for treatment and prevention of COVID-19 in other jurisdictions (known as Ronapreve™ outside of the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

US).[20] This study evaluated the safety, tolerability, and efficacy of monthly dosing of subcutaneous (SC) REGEN-COV in uninfected individuals.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Methods

Study Design and Treatment

This phase 1, double-blind, placebo-controlled, study was conducted at 7 sites in the US (clinicaltrials.gov identifier, NCT04519437). The study was comprised of a screening/baseline period (up to 7 days), a treatment period (up to 24 weeks), and a follow-up period (28 weeks) (**Supplementary Figure 1**). Subjects underwent an end-of-treatment period visit 1 month after their final dose of study drug, before entering the 28-week follow-up period leading to an end of study visit. As of the data cut-off of May 21, 2021, all eligible subjects had completed the end-of-treatment visit after receipt of up to 6 doses of study drug; follow-up was ongoing, with not all subjects having completed the entire study. The rationale for 1200 mg SC dose selection and methods for dose administration are provided in the **Supplementary Appendix**.

Subjects

Eligible subjects were uninfected adult volunteers, aged 18–90 years, who were healthy or had chronic but stable medical conditions, without signs/symptoms suggestive of COVID-19, and who were confirmed to be SARS-CoV-2 negative by central lab reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) of nasopharyngeal swab ≤72 hours of randomization. While in the treatment period, subjects who met any of the following criteria were discontinued from study drug and were moved into the follow-up period: tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, developed symptomatic COVID-19, experienced an adverse event (AE) that led to study drug discontinuation, received a dose of an investigational COVID-19 vaccine, or were unblinded per protocol to receive a COVID-19 vaccine. A full list of inclusion and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

exclusion criteria as well as diagnostic criteria for COVID-19 are presented in the **Supplementary Appendix**.

COVID-19 Vaccination During the Study

Subjects who elected to receive COVID-19 vaccination were discontinued from study drug and entered follow-up. Handling of COVID-19 vaccination during the study is described in the **Supplementary Appendix**.

Outcome Measures

The primary endpoints were to assess the incidence of AEs of special interest (AESIs), defined as grade ≥3 injection-site reactions (ISRs) or hypersensitivity reactions, that occurred within 4 days of administration of REGEN-COV or placebo, as well as the concentrations of REGEN-COV in serum over time. All AEs were graded for severity using the National Cancer Institute-Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (NCI-CTCAE) v. 5.0. Investigators assessed AEs to determine seriousness, relatedness to investigational product, and whether AESI criteria were met.

Secondary endpoints included: the proportion of subjects with treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs), the proportion of subjects who achieved or exceeded the target concentration of REGEN-COV in serum (20 µg/mL) at the end of each 4-week dosing interval, and immunogenicity (measured by anti-drug antibodies [ADAs]) to REGEN-COV.

Exploratory efficacy endpoints assessed the incidence and severity of symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection (ie, COVID-19) during the treatment and follow-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

up periods and the proportion of baseline anti-SARS-CoV-2 seronegative subjects who converted to seropositive for SARS-COV-2 anti-nucleocapsid IgG antibodies post-baseline; seroconversion from negative to positive for SARS-COV-2 anti-nucleocapsid IgG antibodies was considered indicative of an incident SARS-CoV-2 infection. Diagnosis of COVID-19 and assessment of SARS-CoV-2 serostatus are described in the **Supplementary Appendix**.

Statistical Analysis

The study aimed to enroll approximately 940 subjects (705 and 235 subjects in the REGEN-COV and placebo groups, respectively) to ensure sufficient safety data to support multiple dose administration of REGEN-COV.

Treatment compliance/administration, the exploratory efficacy endpoints, and all clinical safety variables were analyzed in the safety analysis set, which was comprised of all randomized subjects who received any study drug. Subjects who received ≥1 dose of REGEN-COV were classified in the REGEN-COV group. The pharmacokinetic (PK) analysis set included all subjects who received any study drug and had ≥1 non-missing post-baseline result.

Summary statistics were provided for safety analyses, exploratory efficacy analyses (with nominal *P*-values) and PK and immunogenicity variables. Handling of missing data and PK analysis methods are described in the **Supplementary Appendix**.

Study Oversight

Study oversight is described in the **Supplementary Appendix**.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Results

Demographics and Baseline Characteristics

Baseline characteristics were balanced between the REGEN-COV and placebo groups. The overall median age was 48 years, 44.9% of subjects were female, 10% of subjects identified as African American, and 23.4% identified as Hispanic or Latino (**Table 1**). There were 6 (0.6%) subjects who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 via a centralized RT-PCR assay at baseline who had previously tested negative at screening with a local test; these subjects received 1 dose of study drug prior to the availability of the RT-PCR result from the central lab and were discontinued from additional doses of study drug and excluded from efficacy analyses but continued to be followed for safety. Subjects were included in the study regardless of SARS-CoV-2 antibody serostatus. At baseline, 825 (85.1%) subjects were seronegative for both SARS-CoV-2 anti-spike and anti-nucleocapsid antibodies, 101 (10.4%) were seropositive for anti-spike and/or anti-nucleocapsid antibodies, and 43 (4.4%) were of borderline or unknown serostatus (**Table 1**). Medical history was comparable between the REGEN-COV and placebo groups with 20% of subjects reporting ≥1 medical condition considered high-risk for progression to severe COVID-19;[21-23] the most common of these were hypertension and asthma (**Table 1; Supplementary Table 1**).

Efficacy

<u>Incidence of COVID-19</u>

COVID-19 (symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection) determination was made by the investigator based on clinical assessment. In a predefined exploratory analysis of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

efficacy, monthly REGEN-COV reduced the risk of COVID-19 infection over the 6-month dosing interval. There was a 92.4% relative risk reduction (RRR) in COVID-19 infections with REGEN-COV compared to placebo during the treatment period (3/729 [0.4%] vs 13/240 [5.4%]; odds ratio: 0.07 [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.01–0.27]; nominal *P*-value <0.001) (**Figure 1; Supplementary Table 2**). While not all study participants had completed the follow-up period at the time of data cut-off, during the entire study period there was a 93.0% RRR in COVID-19 infections with REGEN-COV compared to placebo (3/729 [0.4%] vs 14/240 [5.8%]) (**Supplementary Table 2**). These COVID-19 cases were evenly distributed throughout the study (**Supplementary Figure 2**).

Two additional subjects who previously received placebo developed COVID-19 infection 2 days and 5 days after COVID-19 vaccination (**Supplementary Table 2; Supplementary Table 3**); these subjects were excluded from the efficacy analysis. In total, including all cases of presumptive COVID-19 regardless of COVID-19 vaccination status, there were 3/729 (0.4%) REGEN-COV and 16/240 (6.7%) placebo recipients who developed COVID-19, corresponding to an approximately 94% RRR, during the study (**Supplementary Table 2; Supplementary Table 3**).

In the REGEN-COV group, each of the 3 (0.4%) subjects who had COVID-19 reported as an AE were seronegative for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies at baseline and at the end-of-treatment period visit (**Supplementary Table 3**). Two of the 3 subjects were negative for SARS-CoV-2 infection as assessed by RT-PCR, and 1 did not have RT-PCR data analyzed. Thus, while counted as a breakthrough from the antibody treatment, none of these 3 patients had laboratory confirmation of infection with SARS-CoV-2. Among these 3 REGEN-COV recipients, symptom duration was

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

11, 16, and 18 days, and symptoms were mostly mild (**Supplementary Table 3**). In contrast, for the 16 (6.7%) subjects who were reported to have developed COVID-19 in the placebo group, 11 had either seroconversion for SARS-COV-2 anti-nucleocapsid IgG antibodies or a documented SARS CoV-2 infection by RT-PCR or antigen test. Among placebo recipients, symptom duration ranged from 5 to 30 days and symptoms were mostly mild, though a few were reported as moderate in severity. In a sub-group analysis of subjects with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, 10/240 (4.2%) in the placebo group and 0/729 in the REGEN-COV group had laboratory-confirmed infection as defined by RT-PCR positivity or seroconversion for SARS-COV-2 anti-nucleocapsid IgG antibodies during the treatment period, corresponding to a 100% RRR for REGEN-COV versus placebo, odds ratio: 0.00 (**Supplementary Table 4**); a listing of all subjects who experienced the AE of COVID-19, including serologic and/or PCR confirmation, is provided in **Supplementary Table 3**.

SARS-CoV-2 Seroconversion

Conversion from SARS-CoV-2 anti-nucleocapsid seronegative to seropositive was used to assess the incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection during the study. Of subjects who were seronegative for anti-spike or anti-nucleocapsid protein (anti-spike immunoglobulin [Ig]G, anti-spike IgA, or anti-nucleocapsid IgG) at baseline, 0/617 (0%) of REGEN-COV recipients were seropositive for anti-nucleocapsid protein (anti-nucleocapsid IgG) compared to 20/208 (9.6%) of placebo recipients at the end-of-treatment period visit, with a 100% risk reduction for anti-SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion, odds ratio: 0.00 (95% CI, 0.00–0.05) (**Supplementary Table 5**). Of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

the 20 placebo recipients with seroconversion, 8 reported symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection during the treatment period (**Supplementary Table 5**).

Safety and Tolerability

Incidence of Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events

Over the 6-month treatment period, there were no AESIs (defined as grade ≥3 ISRs or grade ≥3 hypersensitivity reactions) or deaths reported among any study participants. Serious TEAEs were rare and occurred at similar rates in the REGEN-COV and placebo groups (5/729 [0.7%] vs 2/240 [0.8%]) (**Supplementary Table 6**). No serious TEAEs were assessed as related to study drug. A greater percentage of subjects withdrew from the study due to an AE in the placebo group (12/240 [5.0%]) than in the REGEN-COV group (13/729 [1.8%]) (**Supplementary Table 7**). The most common AE leading to study drug withdrawal was COVID-19 (2/729 [0.3%] REGEN-COV and 11/240 [4.6%] placebo). A slightly higher percentage of subjects reported TEAEs in the REGEN-COV group (400/729 [54.9%]) versus the placebo group (116/240 [48.3%]), which is attributable to the higher rate of ISRs with REGEN-COV (**Table 2**). Aside from ISRs, the only other TEAEs occurring at ≥5% were headache (8.0% vs 7.0%) and COVID-19 (0.4% vs 5.4%) for REGEN-COV versus placebo, respectively (**Supplementary Table 8**).

Injection-Site Reactions

In this multi-dose study, ISRs were experienced by 266/729 (36.5%) REGEN-COV recipients and 40/240 (16.7%) placebo recipients during the 6-month treatment period (**Table 2**). All ISRs for REGEN-COV and placebo were mild (grade 1; 28.9%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

and 15.8%) or moderate (grade 2; 7.5% and 0.8%), respectively (**Table 2**). The majority of ISRs (>60%) resolved within 4 days of dosing (**Supplementary Table 9**), either spontaneously or with the use of over-the-counter treatments. The most prevalent ISRs observed with REGEN-COV were erythema (27.6%) and pruritus (13.0%) (**Supplementary Table 9**).

Across all 7 study sites combined, the rate of REGEN-COV ISRs was stable from dose 1 through 4 (~13% per dose) and was higher with dose 5 and 6 (~19% per dose) (**Supplementary Figure 3A**). However, an imbalance in ISR rates was observed between study sites, with the overall incidence being driven by 2 outlier sites (**Supplementary Figure 3B; Supplementary Table 10**); across the other 5 sites, the rate of ISRs was stable across all 6 doses of REGEN-COV (~6% per dose) (**Supplementary Figure 3C**).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Adverse Events Post COVID-19 Vaccination

During this study, 354/969 (36.5%) of all subjects (256/729 [35.1%] REGEN-COV and 98/240 [40.8%] placebo) received COVID-19 vaccination and, per study protocol, were discontinued from further doses of study drug and continued to be followed for safety (**Supplementary Table 11**). Subjects receiving COVID-19 vaccination had a mean of 66.1 (from -20 to 194) days between last dose of study drug and receipt of vaccination, despite Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidelines[24] recommending a 90-day delay between passive antibody therapy and COVID-19 vaccination (**Supplementary Table 11**). AEs post COVID-19 vaccination occurred in 39 (15.2%) subjects who had previously received REGEN-COV and 18 (18.4%) subjects who had previously received placebo (**Supplementary Table 12**). AEs post COVID-19 vaccination were all grade 1-2, except for a single grade 3 event in a subject previously dosed with REGEN-COV; this grade 3 event of brain mass frontal lobe was assessed as not related to study drug (**Supplementary Table 12**).

Pharmacokinetics and Immunogenicity

PK analysis showed that concentrations of casirivimab and imdevimab in serum reached steady-state following the third dose of REGEN-COV and were maintained throughout the 6-month treatment period. Concentrations 28 days after the first dose of REGEN-COV were 32.7 mg/L for casirivimab and 24.8 mg/L for imdevimab, with accumulation ratios (ratio of concentrations 28 days after the sixth over the first dose) of 2.08- and 1.98-fold after 6 monthly doses, respectively (**Figure 2**). Notably, in the 3 REGEN-COV recipients who reported COVID-19 symptoms, serum

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

concentration of casirivimab and imdevimab were comparable to subjects without any reported COVID-19 symptoms (**Supplementary Table 13**).

We also examined whether repeat dosing would be associated with the formation of ADAs against either casirivimab or imdevimab, and whether these ADAs would impact circulating levels of drug. Casirivimab and imdevimab both showed low treatment-emergent immunogenicity, measured as ADAs in ≤5% of the population: 1.1% for anti-casirivimab and 5% for anti-imdevimab. Approximately 4% of subjects had pre-existing ADAs against casirivimab (3.1%) and imdevimab (3.9%) (**Table 3**). ADAs did not appear to impact circulating levels of study drug, as concentrations of casirivimab and imdevimab were comparable between subjects with positive and negative ADA results (**Supplementary Figure 4**).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion

Recent data have shown that some individuals are not able/expected to mount an adequate immune response to COVID-19 vaccination (eg, individuals with immunocompromising conditions, particularly those with B-cell deficiencies, including those taking immunosuppressive medications), leaving them unprotected and at increased risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection and progression to severe COVID-19.[25-27] Estimates of the number of such immunocompromised individuals are as high as 3% of the US population.[28,29] This highlights an unmet medical need for a significant subset of the population to have access to a chronic preventive therapy, particularly in light of the ongoing resurgence of COVID-19 cases with the emergence of new variants.

This is the first study to investigate monthly dosing of SC administered REGEN-COV. Results from this study show that REGEN-COV is well-tolerated, and extend the findings of the household contact prevention study[17] by showing that monthly REGEN-COV prevents COVID-19 over a 6-month period. In a predefined exploratory efficacy analysis, REGEN-COV treatment resulted in a 92.4% RRR in presumptive COVID-19 versus placebo and a 100% RRR in laboratory-confirmed COVID-19. Although an assessment of efficacy was not the primary purpose of the study, the RRR for the development of COVID-19 seen in subjects treated with REGEN-COV is striking, and similar to the risk reduction seen in the vaccine trials.[30-33] The overall number of COVID-19 events observed in this trial is similar to that seen in the recent PROVENT trial assessing pre-exposure prophylaxis of COVID-19 with another monoclonal antibody combination, AZD7442, which observed a 77% RRR with 25 COVID-19 cases in total during a 6-month treatment period.[34]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Importantly, in our trial, the placebo events were evenly distributed over 6 months, allowing us to demonstrate consistent efficacy across the entire treatment period. In contrast, in the PROVENT study, almost all of the placebo events occurred within the first 3 months of the 6-month trial, limiting the interpretation of efficacy assessments beyond 3 months.

Results of this study also demonstrate a significant reduction in anti-nucleocapsid IgG seroconversion among REGEN-COV treated subjects over the 6-month course of therapy. The production of SARS-CoV-2 anti-nucleocapsid IgG antibodies, as assessed by seroconversion from a seronegative status at baseline to a seropositive status, was considered a proxy of SARS-CoV-2 infection. There was a 9.6% seroconversion rate among placebo recipients compared to the absence of seroconversion (0%) among those who received REGEN-COV, suggesting that REGEN-COV is also effective in preventing asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection when given as chronic treatment for pre-exposure prophylaxis of COVID-19. This finding is particularly impactful for immunocompromised individuals and in the context of long-term care facilities, such as nursing homes, where protection against asymptomatic infection could reduce viral transmission and potentially decrease overall morbidity and mortality in these vulnerable populations.[35-37]

Multiple dose administration of REGEN-COV was well-tolerated. Compared to placebo, REGEN-COV treatment was associated with a slightly higher frequency of ISRs. The overall rate of ISRs with REGEN-COV was ~13% per dose, and 36.5% across all 6 doses combined. However, there was significant variability in the ISR rate between sites, with 2 outlier sites driving the incidence. A previous phase 3 study assessing the efficacy of REGEN-COV 1200 mg as post-exposure prophylaxis

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

has shown an ISR rate of 4% after a single SC dose.[17] This is consistent with the reported ISR rate observed at 5 of the 7 sites in this study (~6% per dose); however, 2 sites exhibited disproportionately higher ISR rates of 19-34% and 17-54% per dose, respectively. All ISRs were mild to moderate in severity (grade 1–2) and the incidence and severity of ISRs did not substantially increase with repeat dosing. There were no grade ≥3 hypersensitivity reactions in this study, and repeated dosing of REGEN-COV showed low treatment-emergent immunogenicity. Concentrations of each component of REGEN-COV, casirimivab and imedivimab, were maintained in the projected therapeutic range for viral neutralization throughout the dosing interval.

A potential limitation of this study was that regular RT-PCR testing was not performed in all participants who presented with a clinical syndrome compatible with COVID-19. However, when only considering laboratory confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, REGEN-COV showed a 100% RRR in the development of COVID-19. The discrepancy could imply that these subjects had illnesses that were not due to SARS-CoV-2, or that sample collection was not close enough to symptom onset.

An additional limitation of this study is that it was conducted before the emergence of several SARS-CoV-2 variants. However, extensive non-clinical testing has demonstrated that REGEN-COV retains its neutralization capacity against all clinically relevant viral variants tested to date, including beta, gamma, epsilon, and delta variants.[10,13]

Although it should not be deemed an appropriate substitute for vaccination in immunocompetent individuals, the efficacy and safety profile of REGEN-COV demonstrated in this study strongly support that REGEN-COV should be used for chronic prevention of COVID-19 in individuals not expected to mount a sufficient

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

immune response to vaccination.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Notes

Funding

This work was supported by Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd.

Conflicts of Interests

FI, MPO, KCT, SG, JDH, and GAH are Regeneron employees/stockholders and have a patent pending, which has been licensed and receiving royalties, with Regeneron. EF-N, JM, WZ, LF, NS, BJM, SB, AM, AD, YK, BK, YS, GPG, LL, NB, and DMW are Regeneron employees/stockholders. CB reports grants or contracts from Gilead, Lilly, and GlaxoSmithKline for clinical trials. IH is a Regeneron consultant and Merck & Co. stockholder. ATH is a Regeneron employee/stockholder, former Pfizer employee and current stockholder, and has a patent pending, which has been licensed and receiving royalties, with Regeneron. GDY is a Regeneron employee/stockholder and has issued patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 10,787,501, 10,954,289, and 10,975,139) and pending patents, which have been licensed and receiving royalties, with Regeneron. SR, DA, MO, and SF have no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the participants who volunteered for the study; the investigators involved in this study; Kaitlyn Scacalossi, PhD, and Caryn Trbovic, PhD, from Regeneron Pharmaceuticals for medical writing support; and Prime, Knutsford, UK, for formatting and copy-editing suggestions.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet (London, England) 2020;395:497-506.

2. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA 2020;323:1061-9.

3. Ludwig S, Zarbock A. Coronaviruses and SARS-CoV-2: a brief overview. Anesth Analg 2020;131:93-6.

4. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19. World Health Organization, 2020. (Accessed August 31, 2021, at https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020.)

5. Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, et al. Author correction: A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. Nature 2020;580:E7.

6. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med 2020;382:727-33.

7. National Institutes of Health Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Treatment Guidelines. 2021. (Accessed August 31, 2021, at https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/.)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

8. Infectious Diseases Society of America Guidelines on the Treatment and Management of Patients with COVID-19. 2021. at https://www.idsociety.org/globalassets/idsa/practice-guidelines/covid-19/treatment/idsa-covid-19-gl-tx-and-mgmt-v4.1.0.pdf.)

9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Interim Clinical Considerations for Use of COVID-19 Vaccines Currently Authorized in the United States. 2021. (Accessed August 31, 2021, at https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/clinical-considerations/covid-19-vaccines-us.html.)

10. Baum A, Fulton BO, Wloga E, et al. Antibody cocktail to SARS-CoV-2 spike protein prevents rapid mutational escape seen with individual antibodies. Science (New York, NY) 2020;369:1014-8.

11. Hansen J, Baum A, Pascal KE, et al. Studies in humanized mice and convalescent humans yield a SARS-CoV-2 antibody cocktail. Science (New York, NY) 2020;369:1010-4.

12. Baum A, Kyratsous CA. SARS-CoV-2 spike therapeutic antibodies in the age of variants. J Exp Med. 2021/04/20 ed2021.

13. Copin R, Baum A, Wloga E, et al. The monoclonal antibody combination REGEN-COV protects against SARS-CoV-2 mutational escape in preclinical and human studies. Cell 2021.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

14. Weinreich DM, Sivapalasingam S, Norton T, et al. REGEN-COV antibody combination and outcomes in outpatients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2021.

15. Weinreich DM, Sivapalasingam S, Norton T, et al. REGN-COV2, a neutralizing antibody cocktail, in outpatients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2021;384:238-51.

16. RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Horby PW, Mafham M, et al. Casirivimab and imdevimab in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. medRxiv 2021:2021.06.15.21258542.

17. O'Brien MP, Forleo-Neto E, Musser BJ, et al. Subcutaneous REGEN-COV antibody combination to prevent Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2021;385:1184-95.

18. Coronavirus (COVID-19) Update: FDA Authorizes Monoclonal Antibody for Treatment of COVID-19. 2020. at https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/coronavirus-covid-19-update-fda-authorizes-monoclonal-antibody-treatment-covid-19.)

19. FDA authorizes REGEN-COV monoclonal antibody therapy for post-exposure prophylaxis (prevention) for COVID-19. 2021. at https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-authorizes-regen-cov-monoclonal-antibody-therapy-post-exposure-prophylaxis-prevention-covid-19.)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

20. Summary of Product Characteristics for Ronapreve. 2021. at https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/regulatory-approval-of-ronapreve/summary-of-product-characteristics-for-ronapreve.)

21. Underlying Medical Conditions Associated with High Risk for Severe COVID-19: Information for Healthcare Providers. 2021. (Accessed August 31, 2021, at https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/clinical-care/underlyingconditions.html.)

22. Rosenthal N, Cao Z, Gundrum J, Sianis J, Safo S. Risk factors associated with in-hospital mortality in a US national sample of patients with COVID-19. JAMA Netw Open 2020;3:e2029058.

23. Williamson EJ, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran K, et al. Factors associated with COVID-19-related death using OpenSAFELY. Nature 2020;584:430-6.

24. Interim Clinical Considerations for Use of COVID-19 Vaccines Currently Authorized in the United States. 2021. (Accessed August 31, 2021, at https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/clinical-considerations/covid-19-vaccines-us.html.)

25. Bird S, Panopoulou A, Shea RL, et al. Response to first vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 in patients with multiple myeloma. Lancet Haematol. 2021/04/23 ed: Elsevier; 2021.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

26. Munro C. Covid-19: 40% of patients with weakened immune system mount lower response to vaccines. BMJ 2021;374:n2098.

27. Lontok A. How Effective Are COVID-19 Vaccines in Immunocompromised People? American Society for Microbiology 2021.

28. Harpaz R, Dahl RM, Dooling KL. Prevalence of Immunosuppression Among US Adults, 2013. JAMA 2016;316:2547-8.

29. Wallace BI, Kenney B, Malani PN, Clauw DJ, Nallamothu BK, Waljee AK. Prevalence of Immunosuppressive Drug Use Among Commercially Insured US Adults, 2018-2019. JAMA Netw Open 2021;4:e214920.

30. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. N Engl J Med 2020;383:2603-15.

31. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. N Engl J Med 2021;384:403-16.

32. Voysey M, Clemens SAC, Madhi SA, et al. Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. Lancet (London, England) 2021;397:99-111.

33. Tenforde MW, Olson SM, Self WH, et al. Effectiveness of Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna vaccines against COVID-19 among hospitalized adults aged >/=65

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

years - United States, January-March 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2021;70:674-9.

34. AZD7442 PROVENT Phase III prophylaxis trial met primary endpoint in preventing COVID-19. 2021. at https://www.astrazeneca.com/media-centre/press-releases/2021/azd7442-prophylaxis-trial-met-primary-endpoint.html.)

35. Interim Infection Prevention and Control Recommendations to Prevent SARS-CoV-2 Spread in Nursing Homes. 2021. (Accessed August 31, 2021, at https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/long-term-care.html.)

36. Kuritzkes DR. Bamlanivimab for Prevention of COVID-19. JAMA 2021;326:31-2.

37. Avanzato VA, Matson MJ, Seifert SN, et al. Case Study: Prolonged Infectious SARS-CoV-2 Shedding from an Asymptomatic Immunocompromised Individual with Cancer. Cell 2020;183:1901-12 e9.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Demographics and Baseline Characteristics

	Placebo n = 240	REGEN-COV 1200 mg SC Q4W n = 729	Total N = 969
Age, years			
Median (Q1, Q3)	48.0 (36.0, 59.0)	48.0 (36.0, 58.0)	48.0 (36.0, 58.0)
≥50, n (%)	112 (46.3)	340 (46.8)	452 (46.6)
≥65, n (%)	36 (15.0)	90 (12.3)	126 (13)
Sex, n (%)			
Female	109 (45.0)	326 (44.9)	435 (44.9)
Ethnicity, n (%)			
Hispanic or Latino	55 (22.9)	172 (23.6)	227 (23.4)
Race, n (%)			
White	208 (86.3)	631 (86.7)	839 (86.6)
Black or African American	24 (10.0)	73 (10.0)	97 (10.0)
Asian	5 (2.1)	12 (1.6)	17 (1.8)
Other	4 (1.6)	12 (1.6)	16 (1.6)
BMI (kg/m^2)			
Mean (SD)	29.3 (6.8)	29.4 (6.3)	29.4 (6.4)
Baseline SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR result, n (%)			
Negative	238 (99.2)	720 (98.8)	958 (98.9)
Positive	0	6 (0.8)	6 (0.6)
Undetermined/missing	2 (0.8)	3 (0.4)	5 (0.5)
Baseline anti-SARS-CoV-2 serology result, n (%)[a]			
Seronegative	208 (86.7)	617 (84.6)	825 (85.1)
Seropositive	24 (10.0)	77 (10.6)	101 (10.4)
Borderline	6 (2.5)	32 (4.4)	38 (3.9)
Undetermined/missing[b]	2 (0.8)	3 (0.4)	5 (0.5)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Medical history, n (%)			
Subjects with at least 1 medical history condition	204 (85.0)	615 (84.4)	819 (84.5)
Subjects with at least 1 medical history condition identified as high-risk for severe SARS-CoV-2 infection[c]	42 (17.5)	152 (20.9)	194 (20.0)

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; Ig, immunoglobulin; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; Q, quartile; Q4W, every 4 weeks; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SC, subcutaneous; SD, standard deviation; SMQ, standardized Medical Dictionary of Regulatory Activities queries.

[a]Baseline serology was defined as seropositive if positive for any of the 3 tests used (anti-S1 domain of spike protein IgG and IgA antibodies [EuroImmun] and anti-nucleocapsid IgG antibodies [Abbott]).

[b]Subjects with undetermined/missing baseline PCR values were included in the analyses.

[c]Identified criteria are defined by the SMQ terms (narrow scope only) fitting Centers for Disease Control criteria for high-risk for severe SARS-CoV-2 infection.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Overview of TEAEs in the Treatment Period

	Placebo n = 240	REGEN-COV 1200 mg SC Q4W n = 729
Number of TEAEs	326	2107
Number of grade ≥3 TEAEs	3	10
Number of serious TEAEs	5	8
Number of AESIs[a]	0	0
Number of TEAEs resulting in study drug being withdrawn	13	15
Number of TEAEs resulting in death	0	0
Subjects with at least 1 TEAE, n (%)	116 (48.3)	400 (54.9)
Subjects with at least 1 ISR	40 (16.7)	266 (36.5)
Grade 1	38 (15.8)	211 (28.9)
Grade 2	2 (0.8)	55 (7.5)
Grade ≥3	0	0
Subjects with at least 1 grade ≥3 TEAE[b], n (%)	3 (1.3)	4 (0.5)
Subjects with at least 1 serious TEAE, n (%)	2 (0.8)	5 (0.7)
Subjects with at least 1 AESI, n (%)	0	0
Subjects with at least 1 TEAE resulting in study drug being withdrawn, n (%)	12 (5.0)	13 (1.8)
Subjects with any TEAE resulting in death, n (%)	0	0

Abbreviations: AESI, adverse event of special interest; ISR, injection-site reaction; Q4W, every 4 weeks; SC, subcutaneous; TEAE, treatment emergent adverse event.

[a]AESIs were defined as grade ≥3 injection-site or hypersensitivity reactions.

[b]No grade ≥3 TEAEs were assessed as related to study drug

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 3. Casirivimab and Imdevimab Immunogenicity by Anti-Drug Antibody Status

ADA Status and Category	Placebo n (%)	REGEN-COV 1200 mg SC Q4W n (%)	Overall n (%)
Casirivimab immunogenicity			
ADA analysis set	237 (100)	717 (100)	954 (100)
Negative	228 (96.2)	687 (95.8)	915 (95.9)
Pre-existing immunoreactivity	8 (3.4)	22 (3.1)	30 (3.1)
Treatment-boosted response	0	0	0
Treatment-emergent response	1 (0.4)	8 (1.1)	9 (0.9)
Imdevimab immunogenicity			
ADA analysis set	237 (100)	717 (100)	954 (100)
Negative	221 (93.2)	653 (91.1)	874 (91.6)
Pre-existing immunoreactivity	9 (3.8)	28 (3.9)	37 (3.9)
Treatment-boosted response	0	0	0
Treatment-emergent response	7 (3.0)	36 (5.0)	43 (4.5)

Abbreviations: AAS, ADA analysis set; ADA, anti-drug antibody; Q4W, every 4 weeks; SC, subcutaneous.

n = number of subjects contributing to each category.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 1. Risk of symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection during the treatment period



No. at risk:							
Placebo	240	236	230	219	205	186	90
REGEN-COV	729	715	696	674	639	608	324

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

The proportion of subjects with the reported adverse event of SARS-CoV-2 infection compared to the number of subjects at risk for infection in the REGEN-COV group and placebo group is shown here by study day. Symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) determination was made by the investigator on the basis of clinical assessment.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889; this version posted November 16, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 2. Pharmacokinetics of the concentrations of casirivimab and imdevimab in serum over time



	D 0	D 7	D 28	D 56	D 84	D 91	D 112	D 140	D 147	D 168	D 224	D 280	D 308
Casirivimab	711	708	696	630	565	4	585	499	527	592	460	211	3
Imdevimab	711	708	695	645	568	4	588	497	532	591	436	188	3
Casirivimab + imdevimab (REGEN-COV)	711	707	695	629	561	4	585	495	526	581	435	188	3

Abbreviations: D, day; LLOQ, lower limit of quantification; SC, subcutaneous.

Serum concentration of casirivimab, imdevimab, and casirivimab+imdevimab (REGEN-COV) in serum are depicted by study day. Sample collection visits on day 91 and day 208 were included in the original version of the protocol but were removed in protocol amendment 3.