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Abstract: Objective: To evaluate the necessity of Covid-19 vaccination in children aged < 12 y by comparing the clinical characteristics in unvaccinated children aged < 12 y with vaccinated patients aged ≥ 12y during the Delta surge (B.1.617.2) in Putian, Fujian, China. Methods: A total of 226 patients with SARS-Cov-2 Delta variant (B.1.167.2; confirmed by Realtime PCR positive and sequencing) were enrolled from Sep 10th to Oct 20th, 2021, including 77 unvaccinated children (aged < 12y) and 149 people aged ≥ 12y, mostly vaccinated. The transmission route was explored and the clinical data of two groups were compared; the effect factors for the time of the nucleic acid negativization (NAN) were examined by R statistical analysis. Results: The Delta surge in Putian spread from children in schools to factories, mostly through family contact. Compared with those aged ≥ 12y, patients aged < 12y accounted for 34.07% of the total and showed milder fever, less cough and fatigue; they reported higher peripheral blood lymphocyte counts [1.84(1.32,2.71)×10^9/L vs. 1.31(0.94,1.85)×10^9/L; p<0.05], higher normal CRP rate (92.21% vs. 57.72%), lower IL-6 levels [5.28(3.31,8.13) vs. 9.10(4.37,15.14); p < 0.05]. Upon admission, their COVID19 antibodies (IgM and IgG) and IgG in convalescence were lower [0.13(0.00,0.09) vs. 0.12(0.03,0.41), p<0.05; 0.02(0.00,0.14) vs. 1.94(0.54,6.40), p < 0.05; 5.46(2.41,9.26) vs. 73.63 (54.63,86.55), p < 0.05, respectively], but longer NAN time (18
days vs. 16 days, \( p=0.13 \)). **Conclusion:** Children aged < 12y may be critical hidden spreaders, which indicates an urgent need of vaccination for this particular population.
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**Introduction**

Since its outbreak in early 2020, Covid-19 has caused a global pandemic, with a cumulative total of over 235,634,315 infections and a toll of tens of thousands of deaths (4,973,007 in total as of October 27, 2021). Amid the development of the Covid-19 pandemic, several mutants of the virus have been discovered, including Alpha (the B.1.1.7 in the United Kingdom), Beta (the B.1.351 in South Africa), Gamma, Lamda, Delta etc., among which the Delta variant (B.1.617.2) was first identified in Maharashtra in late 2020 [1] and spread to India and then to at least more than 180 countries or regions, making it the most aggressive widespread variant around the world. The first locally-transmitted case of Delta variant in China was reported in Guangzhou on May 21, 2021, which was introduced by imported overseas cases and resulted in a total of 167 localized outbreaks. So far, four more surges have been reported successively in Yunnan Ruili Airport, Nanjing Lukou Airport, Zhengzhou and Putian. Studies have shown that compared with the wild strain, the
Delta variant shows characteristics of a shorter incubation period (4.7 vs. 6.3 days), faster transmission, higher viral load (10^6 vs. 10^4 copies) and significantly longer time for nucleic acid negativization (NAN), and deteriorates 2.98 times faster and 1.45 times more likely into a critical status for the elderly patient population aged 60 years and older [2]. The global launch of the vaccination against Covid-19 has been effective for the delta variant, with Pfizer bioNTech reducing the symptomatic population from 94% to 64% and Oxford Astrazea from 73% to 60% [3] and Moderna vaccine (mRNA 1273) preventing 81% of hospitalizations and 76% of infections and Pfizer bioNTech (BNT162B2) vaccine reducing 75% of hospitalizations and 42% of infections [4]. Several studies have also shown that vaccination provides 90% protection for frontline workers [5]. The CDC in the United States cautions that people who have not been vaccinated will face an infection risk of 11 times higher [6]. All these findings suggest that the Covid-19 nucleic acid vaccination can provide effective protection from Delta variant infection and prevent it from developing into severe diseases. On the other hand, the L452R and P681R mutants of Delta (B.1.617.2) enhance its binding to the ACEI receptor of human alveolar epithelial cells, inducing faster transmission, and trigger immune escape, resulting in compromised vaccination and impaired immunity [7-11]. Studies showed that after vaccination, the viral load in patients infected with the Delta variant was
equivalent to that of unvaccinated patients [12]. Moreover, the protection
gainst Delta variant is weaker than against other mutant strains, which
may result in breakthrough cases [13], which has posed new challenges to
the global efforts in containing the Covid-19 pandemic.

At present, vaccination programs for adolescents (≥12 years of age) have
been approved and implemented in countries such as China, the United
States, and the United Kingdom, but no mass vaccination has been
conducted globally for children and adolescents under the age of 12 years
due to remaining controversies over the risk-benefit issues of vaccination
in children and adolescents [14, 15]. Studies have shown that children
generally have favorable prognosis and only experience mild symptoms,
ranging from symptoms of acute upper respiratory tract infection, mild
fever, to digestive symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain,
and diarrhea, which may account for the hesitation of a vaccination need
for this particular population [16]. On the other hand, some scholars
believe that the negligence of these infected children often turns them
into hidden spreaders of the virus and aggravates the epidemic [17]. A
study once proposed a mathematical model of SEIR disease transmission
with the United Kingdom as an example and projected that if adolescents
and children were included in the vaccination program, the overall
COVID-19 mortality rate would be reduced by 57% and the number of
long-term COVID-19 infection cases would be reduced by 75% [18]. The
findings from this study suggest that vaccination in children may play an important role in reducing the overall morbidity and mortality of COVID-19. According to the current vaccination policy in China, the children under 12 years of age in this Putian surge (accounting for over 30% of the total) were not yet vaccinated against Covid-19 while most patients over 12 years of age were vaccinated, so a comparative analysis of the epidemic and its characteristics in this population will help determine the necessity of vaccination in children under 12 years of age.

**Study design and participants**

The study enrolled a total of 226 individuals with positive SARS-CoV-2 Delta VOC (confirmed by SARS-Cov-2 PCR), who were admitted to the designated hospital (The Affiliated Hospital of Putian University) from September 10 to October 20, 2021. Relevant information was collected regarding the patients’ epidemiological details, clinical data, laboratory results, kinetics of viral load, and information of last negative nucleic acid test. According to the current vaccination policy of China, the individuals were divided into two groups: Group 1 (aged<12y), who had not been vaccinated, and Group 2 (aged ≥12y), most of whom had been vaccinated with Chinese inactivated vaccine from Sinopharm or Sinovac company.

**Data collection**

Standardized data collection forms were employed to collect, from
electronic medical records, the clinical and laboratory data, including clinical manifestations, laboratory results, treatment and prognosis. In terms of laboratory data, the cycle threshold (CT) value was measured by SARS-Cov-2 real-time PCR and the serological results included blood test, biochemical analysis, CRP, IL-6, SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody titer, blood coagulation function, and microbe testing. The above serological tests were performed 24 hours after admission and antibody in convalescence was tested within 10 to 20 days after admission. The first day of onset was designated as the day of COVID-19 diagnosis for asymptomatic patients and the day of COVID-19 symptoms or the day of COVID-19 diagnosis (whichever was earlier) for symptomatic patients. On the 10th day of the disease course, nasopharyngeal swabs were administered every day until the negative nucleic acid testing was successively reported twice with an interval of over 24 hours. The time for NAN was defined as the duration from the first day of positive nucleic acid report to the time of the second negative nasopharyngeal swab testing of the two successive negative nucleic acid tests [19].

**Viral RNA sequencing**

Fujian Provincial CDC performed the whole genome second-generation sequencing of the SARS-Cov-2 specimens by positive fluorescence real-time PCR. The epidemic strain was confirmed to be the Delta variant (B.1.617.2), with which all patients were infected.
Clinical management

All enrolled COVID-19 patients were admitted to the hospital, quarantined, and monitored for their vital signs, body temperature and respiration. Patients whose SpO₂ was lower than 93% were treated with oxygen therapy. Most patients were treated with Chinese traditional medicine. Some patients with fever or severe illness received the treatment of BRII 196/198 neutralizing antibody after informed consent. According to the national guidelines, glucocorticoids were only suitable for specific people, and antibiotics were used for patients with bacterial infections. In terms of disease severity, all patients were classified as asymptomatic, mild (no pneumonia by chest radiography), moderate (pneumonia by chest CT), severe (SpO₂<93%; oxygen therapy required), or critical (RICU admission or mechanical ventilation required). Patients were discharged when the discharging standards were met [19]. The collection of clinical data was reviewed at discharge.

Statistical Analysis

All data were analyzed with the SPSS 23 software. The data of continuous parameters were expressed as mean (variance), or median (interquartile range, IQR), and assessed by T-test for normal variables and Wilcoxon rank sum test for non-normal variables. Categorical variables were presented as frequency (percentage) and analyzed by Chi Square test and Fisher’s precision probability test. The NAN-related factors were...
estimated by the least-absolute method with R data analysis software. Two-tailed $p$ value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Ethics approval**

All patients signed informed consent and the ethics approval was granted by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Hospital of Putian University (No.202152)

**Results**

**Baseline information of the patients**

A total of 226 infections were enrolled, of which 77 cases were under the age of 12 years (34.07%), with 44 males (57.14%) and a median age of 9[6,9], and 149 cases were aged $\geq$12 years (65.93%), with 50 males (33.56%) and a median age of 39[32,50]. The children aged <12y were unvaccinated and had no underlying conditions, while 94.63% (141/149) of patients aged $\geq$12 years were vaccinated, of whom 9 (6.04%) received one dose of vaccine and 132 (88.59%) received two doses of vaccine and 18 (12.08%) reported coexisting conditions (11 diabetes, 6 hypertension, and 1 chronic kidney disease) (Table 1). On admission, the detected RT-PCR cycle threshold values (CT value) of children aged <12y were almost the same as that of patients aged $\geq$12y [ORF1lab value: 21.28(17.74, 26.15) vs. 23.21(17.09, 27.51), $p=0.38$; N value: 20.68(15.93, 25.33) vs. 22.50(15.59, 26.79), $p=0.46$] (Table 2).
Transmission route of SARS-CoV-2 Delta (B.1.617.2) in Putian

In this local surge in Putian, Fujian, the first index case was a middle-aged male, who was infected during quarantine after entry into China and transmitted the SARS-CoV-2 Delta (B.1.617.2) to his two children (G1). The activities of the children in the school spread the virus to their classmates (G2), which further extended the transmission to the factories (G4) through family contact (G3). All of these cases were epidemiologically or genetically traced back to the first case (The specific transmission route is shown in Fig1).

Comparison of clinical characteristics in patients aged <12y and those aged ≥12 y

Compared with patients aged ≥12y, 75.32% (58/77) of children aged <12y developed milder fever (p<0.05), minor symptoms such as cough (18.18% vs. 44.30%, p<0.05), expectoration (7.79% vs. 24.16%, p<0.05) and fatigue (16.88% vs. 34.23%, p<0.05). But no significant difference was found in the day of fever onset after diagnosis [1(1,3) vs. 2(1,4)] and duration of fever [1 day(0,2.5) vs. 1day(0,3.5), p>0.05] (Table 2).

Compared with those aged ≥12y, the younger group reported higher white blood cell count [5.89(1.90)×10^9/L vs. 6.45(2.04)×10^9/L, p=0.05] and lymphocyte count [1.84(1.32,2.71)×10^9/L vs. 1.31(0.94,1.85)×10^9/L, p < 0.05], higher normal CRP rate (92.21% vs. 57.72%) (p < 0.05), lower IL-6 levels [5.28(3.31,8.13) vs. 4.52(2.74,7.00), p < 0.05] (Table 2).
9.10(4.37,15.14), \( p < 0.05 \); they also showed lower levels of COVID-19 antibody IgM and IgG on admission and IgG in convalescence [0.13(0.00,0.09) vs. 0.12(0.03,0.41), \( p < 0.05 \); 0.02(0.00,0.14) vs. 1.94(0.54,6.40), \( p < 0.05 \); 5.46(2.41,9.26) vs. 73.63(54.63,86.55), \( p < 0.05 \), respectively], but higher antibody IgM in convalescence [1.05(0.51,2.31) vs. 0.51(0.20,1.69), \( p = 0.016 \)] (Table 2, Fig2).

Compared with patients aged \( \geq 12y \), the younger group had milder illness \( (p<0.05) \), with a higher asymptomatic rate (6.49% vs. 0%), more mild cases (44.16% vs. 32.89%), and fewer moderate and severe and critical cases (49.35% vs. 63.09 %; 0% vs. 4.02%, respectively); they also had a lower frequency of pneumonia (53.25% vs. 63.09%, \( p=0.05 \)) and respiratory failure (0% vs. 4.03%) but longer NAN median [18(13,23.5) vs. 16(12,21), \( p=0.13 \)]. In the infected patients aged \( \geq 12y \), 5 patients was admitted to ICU, with a median stay length of 9 days [7, 21], 2 (1.34%) receiving endotracheal intubation; 42 (28.19%) received BRII196/198 neutralizing antibody therapy; 5 (3.36%) were given convalescent plasma; and 2 people (1.34%) received hormones treatment. However, no such treatments were administered to the children aged<12y (Table 2).

**Analysis of factors related to NAN**

The data were modeled by R data analysis software. The results showed that the younger group reported a much longer NAN time when compared with patients aged \( \geq 12y \), which was negatively correlated with
the IgG level on admission, the days of fever onset after diagnosis, and
the nucleic acid CT value (ORF1ab) on admission, but positively with
the occurrence of pneumonia, the degree of fever, and the severity of the
disease (Table 3).

Discussion

SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 (Delta variant) first emerged in October 2020
and has been prevalent in the world (https://www.gisaid.org/hcov19-variants/). The transmission rate of the
SARS-COV-2 Delta variant increases by 97% when compared with that
of the wild-type strain [20]. Patients infected with Delta variant are twice
likely to be hospitalized when compared with those with Alpha variant
[21]. In the Guangdong Delta variant surge (May 2021), the viral load in
patients was about 1,000 times higher than that of those infected with the
original 2019 coronavirus strain [2]. The viral load and transmission
speed in the Putian Delta variant surge (Sept. 10th, 2021) were similar to
those in Guangzhou. But, in the Putan surge, children were the first and
prominent spreaders, which is consistent with the findings of other
studies. Previous studies show that school and family are critical
transmission places for SARS-COV-2 [22, 23], in which the risk of
household transmission was expected to be 60% higher for the Delta
variant than for the Alpha variant [24]. In summary, children can be
critical spreaders in the epidemic of Delta variant.
The Putian Delta variant surge revealed characteristics of younger individuals, milder symptoms and higher vaccination rate. Most of the patients aged $\geq 12y$ had been vaccinated with two doses of inactivated SARS-COV-2 vaccine while only 1/5 of the patients in Guangdong Delta surge (May 2021) were vaccinated [25]. Of note, the current study showed that for patients aged $\geq 12y$, the Delta variant-related diseases were much milder in Putian than in Guangzhou surge, with fewer than one-fifth severe cases [25], which is consistent with the results of previous studies [26-28].

In addition, the median NAN time was obviously shorter than that in Guangzhou (16 vs. 19 days) [25]. Further analyses showed that the COVID-19 antibody IgG in the vaccinated population (aged $\geq 12y$) increased much more rapidly and was higher than that of the unvaccinated minor group. Furthermore, a previous study documents that the nucleic acid CT value of the vaccinated group increased more rapidly than that of the unvaccinated group, indicating a much faster virus clearance by vaccination [7]. Taken together, these findings suggest that vaccination can provide sufficient protection from disease deterioration and accelerate the elimination of virus.

However, the mutation of SARS-COV-2 delta variants may cause immune escape and reduce the sensitivity to neutralizing antibodies, leading to the breakthrough infection of Delta variants even after
vaccination [13]. Therefore, delta variants may still be potent for quite a long time in the future and unvaccinated children may be critical hidden spreaders due to the absence of effective immunity barrier. In our cohort, children aged <12 y were unvaccinated and accounted for more than 1/3 of the total patient population, much more than those in Guangzhou [25]. In accordance with previous studies, the symptoms of this group were mild as a whole, with milder fever, fewer other symptoms, and no severe cases, when they were compared with those who were over the age of 12 years and vaccinated [25, 29]. They had higher lymphocyte counts, as in Vono M’s study [30], which may be related to the innate immunity of this population [31-33]. Lower levels of CRP and IL-6 may indicate a lower overall inflammatory response and a good prognosis [34]. However, in contrast to the mild symptoms, the overall median NAN time of children under the age of 12 years was longer than that of those aged 12y and over. Although studies show that virus inactivation time is much shorter than the NAN time [35], the NAN time is still closely related to the elimination of virus and its infectivity. Further analysis showed that the population aged <12y and the COVID-19 IgG level on admission were two of the most important factors for NAN time. We hypothesize that as they are unvaccinated, the production of novel antibody is slower in the population aged <12y, resulting in slow virus clearance. These results suggest that if no due attention is paid to children with mild
symptoms, they may carry the virus for a longer time and become hidden disseminators of Delta virus.

Previous studies have showed that a large number of RBD specific IgG memory B cells can be induced after mild infection with COVID-19 virus [36]. Children's B lymphocytes may be activated faster and can produce much more effective antibodies [30]. The vaccination can produce more memory B lymphocytes in the younger population, which may promote antibody production and speed up virus clearance in the early stage of SARS-CoV-2 infection, so as to reduce their risk as hidden communicators. Therefore, despite the lingering medical disputes, we believe that it is of great significance to promote vaccination in the population aged <12y in order to combat the novel sars-cov-2 variant. It is worth mentioning that, presently, the clinical trials with the mRNA 1273 and bnt162b2 vaccines of Pfizer company [37], and the inactivated vaccines (coronavac) of Kexing and Sinopharm company have been carried out in children and adolescents aged 3-17y, which reported a high serum conversion rate of neutralizing antibody (96% ~ 100%) and favorable overall safety [38, 39]. The Chinese government has recently approved the emergency use of COVID-19 inactivated vaccine in the population aged 3-17 [40]. However, as the immune system of children, especially infants, is in the process of continuous development and improvement and the relevant application data of covid-19 vaccine is
limited at present, more clinical trials should be conducted to clarify the efficacy and risk. Accordingly, it is suggested that COVID-19 vaccination for children should be promoted in an orderly manner and that the effectiveness and adverse reactions of vaccination should be closely monitored [40].

Some limitations still remain in this study: 1. Although the transmission route of all cases was relatively clear and complete, data only came from local patients of Putian, so no multi-center data are accessed. 2. Although COVID-19 antibodies IgM and IgG had been detected, neutralizing antibody (RBD) was not tested and immunofunctional studies were not conducted. Further studies should be pursued to better evaluate the immune function of children age <12 in order to unravel the underlying mechanisms.
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Fig 1 The transmission network of SARS-Cov-2 Delta (B.1.167.2) in Putian

The transmission chain of the 226 infected patients was shown in the network. Each transmission generation is shown in rhombus or circles with different colors. The first-generation patients (rhombus with black solid line, G1) were in the middle. G1 was phylogenetically linked to an imported case (rhombus with red dotted line, G0). Colored arrows indicate different transmission routes. The transmission includes household, cluster (at school and factory), community (sporadic case in the community) and others (work and social contacts). Severe (dotted line) and critical (solid line) patients were labelled with squared shapes. Asterisks indicate patients in or to other cities (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, please refer to the web version of this article.)

Fig 2 Serum SARS-CoV-2 IgM and IgG antibody levels on admission and in convalescence in the 226 individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 Delta (B.1.167.2).

Dots represent IgM/IgG level in children aged <12 years (group1) and those aged ≥12 years (group2). Box plots indicate the median and interquartile range (IQR) and the whiskers represent the maximum and minimum values. The results showed lower COVID antibody IgM and IgG level on admission, and IgG level in convalescence [0.13(0.00,0.09) vs. 0.12(0.03,0.41), \( p < 0.05 \); 0.02(0.00,0.14) vs. 1.94(0.54,6.40), \( p < 0.05 \); 5.46(2.41,9.26) vs. 73.63(54.63,86.55), \( p < 0.05 \), respectively], but higher antibody IgM level in convalescence [1.05(0.51,2.31) vs. 0.51(0.20,1.69), \( p=0.016 \)].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline information of 226 individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 Delta B.1.167.2</th>
<th>All patients (n = 226)</th>
<th>&lt;12 (n=77)</th>
<th>&gt;=12 (n=149)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>32[9,46]</td>
<td>9[6,9]</td>
<td>39[32,50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>44 (57.14% )</td>
<td>50 (33.56% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>33 (42.86% )</td>
<td>99 (66.44% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccinated ( % )</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
<td>141 (94.63% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-dose vaccination</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
<td>9 (6.04% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-dose vaccination</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
<td>132 (88.59% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic illness</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
<td>18 (12.08% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
<td>11 (7.38% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
<td>6 (4.03% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular diseases</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic liver diseases</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory diseases</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nervous system diseases</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood system diseases</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney diseases</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
<td>1 (0.67% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic disease</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
<td>0 (0.00% )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Comparative analysis of clinical features of SARS-CoV-2 Delta (B.1.167.2) infection between children aged <12y and patients aged ≥12y

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>All patients (n = 226)</th>
<th>&lt;12 (n=77)</th>
<th>≥12 (n=149)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fever n (%)</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>58(75.32%)</td>
<td>89(59.73%)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degrees of Fever n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low fever n (%)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>31(40.26%)</td>
<td>30(20.13%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate fever n (%)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>21(27.27%)</td>
<td>37(24.83%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High fever n (%)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7(9.09%)</td>
<td>20(13.42%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough n (%)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>14(18.18%)</td>
<td>66(44.30%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expectoration n (%)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6(7.79%)</td>
<td>36(24.16%)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal congestion n (%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0(0.00%)</td>
<td>3(2.01%)</td>
<td>0.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sore throat n (%)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0(0.00%)</td>
<td>4(2.68%)</td>
<td>0.302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea n (%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0(0.00%)</td>
<td>3(2.01%)</td>
<td>0.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue n (%)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>13(16.88%)</td>
<td>51(34.23%)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inappetence n (%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2(2.60%)</td>
<td>6(4.03%)</td>
<td>0.719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache or Sore muscle n</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3(3.90%)</td>
<td>10(6.71%)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea n (%)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2(2.60%)</td>
<td>5(3.36%)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT value at diagnosis (ORF1lab)</td>
<td>22.20</td>
<td>21.28</td>
<td>23.21[17.09]</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT value at diagnosis (N)</td>
<td>21.90</td>
<td>20.68</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[15.61 , 26.32]</td>
<td>[15.93 , 25.33]</td>
<td>[15.59 , 26.79]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever onset after diagnosis</td>
<td>Median ( IQR )</td>
<td>1[1,4]</td>
<td>1[1,3]</td>
<td>2[1,4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever time Median ( IQR )</td>
<td>1[0,3]</td>
<td>1[0,2.5]</td>
<td>1[0,3.5]</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disease severity**

- **Asymptomatic**: 5 (6.49%) 0 (0.00%)
- **Mild**: 83 34 (44.16%) 49 (32.89%)
- **Moderate**: 132 38 (49.35%) 94 (63.09%)
- **Severe**: 4 0 (0.00%) 4 (2.68%)
- **Critical**: 2 0 (0.00%) 2 (1.34%)

**Laboratory diagnostics**

- **WBC count ( x10^9/L )**: Average
  - 6.25(2.01)
  - 5.89(1.90)
  - 6.45(2.04)
  - 0.05

- **Lymphocyte count ( x10^9/L )**: Median ( IQR )
  - 1.46 (1.02,2.22)
  - 1.84 (1.32,2.71)
  - 1.31 (0.94,1.85)
  - <0.001

- **CRP<5mg/l**: Median
  - 7.00
  - 5.28
  - 9.10
  - <0.001

- **IL-6(pg/ml)**: Median ( IQR )
  - 28.00
  - 29.7
  - 27.00
  - 0.002

- **APTT ( s ) Median ( IQR )**: 22.40
  - 26.50
  - 19.80
  - <0.001

- **D-D ( ug/ml )**: Median
  - 0.31
  - 0.30
  - 0.32
  - 0.549

- **AST(U/L),Median ( IQR )**: 13.10
  - 12.50
  - 14
  - 0.039

- **ALT(U/L),Median ( IQR )**: 223.10(65.53)
  - 263.88(71.70)
  - 202.263(51.01)
  - <0.001
IgG level on admission (COI)  0.97 [0.017,4.03] 0.02 [0.00,0.14] 1.94 [0.54,4.40] <0.001
IgM level on admission (COI)  0.068 [0.01,0.30] 0.13 [0.00,0.09] 0.12 [0.034,0.41] <0.001
IgG level in convalescence (COI)  60.64 [5.27,82.48] 5.46 [2.41,9.26] 73.63 [54.63,86.5] <0.001
IgM level in convalescence (COI)  0.76 [0.24,1.82] 1.05 [0.51,2.31] 0.51 [0.20,1.69] 0.016

Treatment and prognosis

Pneumonia n (%)  135 41(53.25%) 94(63.09%) 0.12
Respiratory failure n (%)  6 0(0.00%) 6(4.03%) 0.302
Intubation rate,n (%)  2 0(0.00%) 2(1.34%) 0.549
TCM treatment,n (%)  214 67(87.01%) 147(98.66%) <0.001
Antibiotic usage rate, n (%)  15 7(9.09%) 8(5.37%) 0.398
Neutralizing antibody of BRII,n (%)  42 0(0.00%) 42(28.19%) <0.001
Convalescent plasma therapy,n (%)  5 0(0.00%) 5(3.36%) 0.169
Hormone therapy,n (%)  2 0(0.00%) 2(1.34%) 0.549
ICU occupancy
Nucleic acid negativization time(days),Median (IQR)  16[12,22] 18[13,23.5] 16[12,21] 0.13
Death rate,n (%)  0 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 1

1. Data include average (SD), median (IQR), n (%) or n/N (%). N is the number of patients with available data. 2. The category variable is "number of people (proportion)", In numerical variables, the normal variable is "mean (standard deviation)" and the non-normal variable is "median (quartile spacing, quartile spacing)".

Table3 The effect factors related to NAN time for the patients with SARS-Cov-2 Delta (B.1.167.2) infection
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>17.39952</td>
<td>1.96963</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever onset after diagnosis</td>
<td>-0.31900</td>
<td>0.12200</td>
<td>0.00957**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG level on admission</td>
<td>-0.03822</td>
<td>0.01846</td>
<td>0.03967*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT value at diagnosis</td>
<td>-0.09493</td>
<td>0.05040</td>
<td>0.05000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ORF1*lab</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degrees of Fever</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low fever</td>
<td>0.83710</td>
<td>0.81097</td>
<td>0.30315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate fever</td>
<td>0.97663</td>
<td>1.08943</td>
<td>0.37103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High fever</td>
<td>2.80145</td>
<td>1.02665</td>
<td>0.00689*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia1</td>
<td>3.08877</td>
<td>0.82044</td>
<td>0.00022***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease severity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>1.48801</td>
<td>2.10680</td>
<td>0.48078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>0.19659</td>
<td>2.17822</td>
<td>0.92817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>2.92080</td>
<td>3.29679</td>
<td>0.37665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical</td>
<td>15.03535</td>
<td>3.49264</td>
<td>0.00003***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group2</td>
<td>-4.71411</td>
<td>1.53909</td>
<td>0.00248**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*indicates that the P value of the variable is less than 0.05.

**indicates that the P value of the variable is less than 0.01.

***indicates that the P value is less than 0.001.

In the exploration of NAN time of patients, because most indicators do not obey normal distribution, this article adopts the method of least squares estimation to evaluate 25 possible influencing variables such as fever duration, type, lymphocyte count, etc. Based on the existing data, this paper uses R data analysis software to model the data, and gradually removes the insignificant influencing factors to obtain the above related factors.

NAN: Nucleic acid negativization