Pneumococcal Vaccination Coverage and Uptake Among Adults in Switzerland

Kyra D. Zens[1,2] and Phung Lang[1*]

[1]Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute, Department of Public and Global Health, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

[2]Institute for Experimental Immunology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

*** Correspondence:**
Phung Lang
phung.lang@uzh.ch

Keywords: pneumococcus, pneumococcal vaccination, adult vaccine awareness, vaccination coverage, epidemiologic surveillance.

Abstract

Streptococcus pneumoniae, or pneumococcus, is a common, opportunistic pathogen which can cause severe disease, particularly in adults 65+. In Switzerland, vaccination is recommended for children under 5 and for adults with health predispositions; vaccination of healthy adults 65+ is not recommended. In 2020 we conducted a nationwide, cross-sectional survey of vaccination records to evaluate pneumococcal vaccination coverage and factors affecting uptake among adults 18-85. We found that nationwide coverage was 4.5% without significant regional differences. Coverage was comparable between men and women and between those aged 18-39 (3.0%) and 40-64 (3.2%). Coverage was significantly higher among those 65-85 (9.6%). While 2.7% of individuals reporting no health predisposition were vaccinated, 14.8% with asthma or chronic pulmonary disease, 27.1% with immunosuppression, 12.9% with diabetes, 11.6% with heart, liver, or kidney disease, and 25.9% with >1 health risk were vaccinated. Adjusted odds of vaccination for all health predispositions except heart, liver, or kidney disease were significantly increased. Among unvaccinated individuals "not enough information about the topic" and "not suggested by a doctor/healthcare provider" were the major reasons for abstaining from vaccination. Respondents reporting a health predisposition were significantly less likely to report "not at increased risk due to chronic health conditions or age" as a reason for not being vaccinated (3.7% versus 29.1%) and were more likely to report willingness to be vaccinated in the future compared to those not-at-risk (54.2% versus 39.9%). Our results indicate that pneumococcal vaccination coverage in Switzerland is low among both individuals 65-85 and among those with predisposing health risks. It appears that at-risk individuals are aware of their increased risk, but feel they do not have enough information on the topic to seek vaccination, or have not been recommended a vaccination from their physician.

1 Introduction

Streptococcus pneumoniae, or pneumococcus, is a common commensal bacterium and opportunistic pathogen. While carriage is typically asymptomatic, pneumococcus can cause a variety of infections including otitis media, sinusitis, and pneumonia [1]. Invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) occurs when pneumococcus enters normally sterile tissue sites, such as the bloodstream or cerebrospinal fluid, leading to septicemia or meningitis, and can result in significant illness and death [1; 2]. Rates of IPD are highest in children under 5, adults over 65 and individuals with certain chronic health conditions including chronic pulmonary disease, immunosuppression, heart, liver, or kidney disease, or diabetes [3; 4; 5; 6]. In Switzerland, approximately 80% of fatal pneumococcal infections occur in adults 65 and older [7; 8].

Over 90 pneumococcal serotypes have been described. Currently, two types of vaccines are available which protect against a subset of those which most commonly cause disease; a 23-valent polysaccharide vaccine (PPSV23) and the 7- and 13-valent protein-polysaccharide conjugate vaccines (PCV7 and 13) [9]. In Switzerland, both vaccine types are available. Vaccination with PCV13 is recommended for all children under 5 and officially, but "off label" for older children and adults with certain health conditions which predispose for invasive disease including chronic pulmonary disease, immunodeficiency, diabetes, and heart, liver or kidney disease [10; 11] (see Supplementary Figure 1). While PPSV23 was recommended in Switzerland for adults 65+ beginning in 2000, this was rescinded in 2014 due to concerns over efficacy and PPSV23 is no longer officially recommended for any indication [12]. There is currently no recommendation in place for pneumococcal vaccination of otherwise healthy adults, in contrast to the US, the UK and most European countries [13; 14; 15].

With an annual incidence of approximately 10 cases per 100,000 individuals for IPD alone [16], pneumococcus remains an important cause of vaccine-preventable infections in Switzerland. According to the Swiss National Vaccination Coverage Survey, which evaluates vaccination coverage in children and adolescents, 84% of 2-year-olds and 75% of 8-year-olds had received at least one PCV dose between 2017 and 2019 [17]. There is, however, no equivalent vaccination monitoring system in Switzerland for adults and relatively little is known regarding adult pneumococcal vaccine coverage. Whether at-risk individuals are appropriately vaccinated is not known and how health risk factors predisposing for pneumococcal infection impact pneumococcal vaccine uptake is unclear. Here, we conducted a nationwide, cross-sectional study to assess pneumococcal vaccination and factors affecting uptake among adults in Switzerland.

2 Methods

2.1 Selection and Recruitment of Participants

We conducted a nationwide, cross-sectional study based on obtaining vaccination records by mail [17; 18]. In brief, adults between 18 and 85 with a Swiss mailing address were divided into three age groups (18-39, 40-64, 65-85) and selected from each of the 7 Swiss statistical "large regions" (as defined by the Swiss Federal Statistical Office) by disproportionate stratified random sampling. From each age group/region, 1,280 individuals were invited to participate (n=26,880). Potential participants were contacted by mail beginning in March 2020. To participate, individuals were requested to submit a copy of their vaccination record and to complete a short questionnaire. Non-responders received a single reminder letter in August 2020. As Switzerland is a multilingual country, the language (German, French, or Italian) of both the letter and questionnaire were matched to the official language of the community of each address. The total enrolment period was from

March to December 2020. Participants submitting a completed questionnaire, vaccination record, or both, were eligible for a lottery to receive one of three 1,000 CHF ($1,065) prizes with individuals submitting both entered twice.

2.2 Data Collection

2.2.1 Vaccination Records

Both paper-based forms and private digital vaccination records (i.e. from a healthcare provider) are used in Switzerland. Vaccination records contain dates of vaccination, vaccine names and lot numbers that are manually recorded by a health professional after a vaccination is administered. For this study, participants could submit a copy of their vaccination record and completed questionnaire by mail or online by email or via our digital platform. Copies of paper-based forms or printouts of digital vaccination records obtained from study participants were manually inspected and the number, date(s), and formulation of pneumococcal immunization were recorded.

2.2.2 Self-Report Questionnaire

Participants were asked to report 1) Whether they had experienced one of the following health risks: asthma or a chronic respiratory condition; immunosuppression due to a medical condition or treatment; heart, liver, or kidney disease; diabetes; 2) Whether they smoke >1 cigarette or vape >1mL a day; 3) Whether they have one of the following occupational exposures: work in a healthcare setting with frequent patient contact; or work with children under the age of 5; and 4) If they have not been vaccinated for pneumococcus, what had prevented them and whether they would consider doing so in the future. Completed questionnaires were manually inspected and responses recorded.

2.3 Ethical Considerations

With each mailing, a letter explaining the study's procedures and objectives was included. In this letter, individuals were informed that study participation was voluntary and that they had the possibility to withdraw submitted data at any time. They were informed that, by submitting completed questionnaires and/or vaccination records, they were consenting to participation in the study. All data were treated confidentially and anonymized prior to analysis. The study procedure and method of consent were approved by the Department of Data Protection of the University of Zurich and the Ethics Committee of the Canton of Zurich.

2.4 Statistical Analyses

Prior to analysis, data were adjusted for study design and non-response and post-stratified by age and gender. Vaccination coverage among study participants was calculated by dose counting. Descriptive statistics with 95% confidence intervals were calculated for vaccination coverage (one or more doses) for both demographic and self-reported risk variables from the questionnaire. Regional vaccination coverage was evaluated by linear regression using the Adjusted Wald test and Bonferroni's adjustment. A multivariable logistic regression model was constructed to evaluate the association between age, gender, the presence of self-reported health risks with the probability of being vaccinated and crude and adjusted Odds Ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals calculated. To evaluate the timing of vaccination and vaccine formulation usage, vaccination date and formulation data from vaccinated individuals were used. For analysis of reasons for not vaccinating and intention to vaccinate, questionnaire data were evaluated from non-vaccinated individuals and stratified by the presence or absence of self-reported health risks. Frequencies of expected versus observed values were compared by Pearson's χ^2 test using the Rao & Scott adjustment. Vaccination coverage by

Swiss region was visualized using R v.4.0.5 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Statistical analyses were performed using Stata v.17.0 (StataCorp, LLC, College Station, TX, USA) and GraphPad Prism v.8.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA); p values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3 Results

3.1 Participation

Of 26,880 randomly selected individuals which were contacted, 7,761 (28.9%) responded. Of these, 3,503 submitted a questionnaire without a vaccination record and were excluded from further analysis (Supplementary Figure 2). A total of 4,258 individuals (15.8%, Supplementary Figure 2, Table 1) submitted both a completed questionnaire and vaccination record and were included for analysis. For simplicity, we will refer to these individuals as “participants” or “respondents”. 17.5% of invited women and 14.1% of invited men participated; 55.6% of participants were female (Table 1). Participation by age group was 12.9% for those 18-39, 16.3% for those 40-64 and 18.2% for those 65-85 (Table 1). Participants tended to be older in comparison to the adult population of Switzerland (Table 1). Regionally, participation was highest in Zurich and lowest in Lake Geneva (22.9 and 8.7% of invited individuals, respectively, Table 1).

3.2 Vaccination Coverage by Gender, Age Group, and Region

Among participants, nationwide adult pneumococcal vaccination coverage (at least one dose) was 4.5% (95%CI 3.8-5.2, n=4,258). Vaccination coverage was comparable between men and women (4.8% vs 4.2%, p=0.36, Table 2). Coverage was also comparable between those aged 18-39 (3.0%) and 40-64 (3.2%), but was higher among those 65-85 (9.6%, p<0.001, Table 2). Regionally, vaccination coverage ranged between 2.5% and 5.8% (Eastern and Midland Switzerland, respectively), though these differences were not significant (p=0.11, Supplementary Figure 3).

3.3 Vaccination Coverage by Risk Factors

Using questionnaire data, we evaluated pneumococcal vaccination coverage among individuals self-reporting one or more of health risk factors (n=813). We found that 14.8% of individuals reporting asthma, 27.1% reporting immunosuppression, 12.9% reporting diabetes, and 11.6% reporting heart, liver, or kidney disease, were vaccinated. 25.9% of individuals reporting more than one health risk were vaccinated compared to 2.7% of those not reporting any health risk (Table 2). Independently, asthma, immunosuppression, diabetes, or heart/liver/kidney disease were associated with 4.7, 9.0, 3.4 and 3.1-fold increased odds of pneumococcal vaccination, respectively (Table 2). Having more than one health risk was associated with 8.2-fold increased adjusted odds of vaccination (Table 2). Adjusted odds of pneumococcal vaccination for all health risks except heart/liver/kidney disease were significantly increased (Table 2). Adjusted odds of pneumococcal vaccination for those with more than one health risk were also significantly increased (Table 2). In evaluating health risk by vaccine type, 9.8% of those vaccinated with PCV7 reported a health risk, compared to 59.7% of those vaccinated with PCV13 and 45.0% of those vaccinated with PPSV23.

3.4 Timing of Pneumococcal Vaccination and Vaccine Type

Among vaccinated individuals (n=230) we assessed the year of first pneumococcal vaccination by age to identify possible temporal trends in uptake. Points a and b in Figure 1A indicate the period from 2000-2014 when vaccination was recommended in Switzerland for all individuals 65+. We

observed a peak in pneumococcal vaccination in 2009-2010 and a trend toward increased vaccination beginning in 2014, with the highest level in 2020. As our study was conducted in 2020, however, data for this year are not complete.

We also evaluated the year and age of first pneumococcal vaccination by vaccine type (Figure 1B). Among study participants PCV7, PCV13, and PPSV23 were used. Of all pneumococcal vaccine doses received by study participants (n=297), 9.5% (95%CI 6.4-13.3) were with PCV7, 45.6% (95%CI 39.7-51.3) were with PCV13, and 44.9% (95%CI 38.4-50.0) were with PPSV23 (Figure 1C). Use of PCV7 was generally low, accounting for a maximum of 2.4% of first doses in 2001. 24.6% (95%CI 5.6-47.5) of first vaccinations with PCV7 took place when individuals were aged 5 or younger. Use of PCV13 increased consistently since 2012, reaching 5.5% and 12.9% of total first doses in 2019 and 2020, respectively. All study participants receiving PCV13 as a first vaccination were first vaccinated after age 5. PPSV23 accounted for 4.5%, 10.2% and 4.9% of first doses in 2006, 2009 and 2010, respectively. From 2011, usage ranged from 1.9% to 3.6%. In 2020 PPSV23 represented 4.0% of total first doses. 4.2% (95%CI 0.1-6.4) of first vaccinations with PPSV23 occurred when individuals were aged 5 or younger.

3.5 Vaccine Perception and Intention to Vaccinate

Among unvaccinated individuals (n=4,028) we evaluated reasons for abstaining from pneumococcal vaccination, asking participants to indicate which reason(s) had prevented them from being vaccinated and stratifying on the presence of one or more reported health risk factors (Figure 2A). In general, results were comparable between individuals reporting a health risk and those not reporting such a risk. We found that 70.1% (95%CI 65.8-74.1) of respondents with a health risk and 64.7% (95%CI 62.7-66.6) without listed “not enough information about the topic” as a reason for not receiving pneumococcal vaccination. 44.4% (95%CI 40.0-49.0) of those at-risk and 42.9% (95%CI 40.9-44.8) of those not-at-risk listed “not suggested by a doctor or healthcare provider”. Similarly, approximately 10%, 0.5%, 4% and 6% of participants with and without health risks listed that they were “skeptical of vaccines”, had “declined vaccination”, had “not enough time or interest”, or “other”, as reasons for not vaccinating (Figure 2A). We did observe, however, that respondents reporting a health risk were significantly less likely to report “not at increased risk due to chronic health conditions or age” as a reason for not being vaccinated compared to those not reporting a health risk (3.7%, 95%CI 2.3-6.2, versus 29.1%, 95%CI 27.3-31.0, $p<0.001$).

We further asked unvaccinated individuals whether they intended to be vaccinated against pneumococcus, and again stratified answers by their health risk status (Figure 2B). We found that significantly more at-risk individuals reported that they were willing to be vaccinated compared to those not-at-risk (54.2%, 95%CI 49.6-58.7, versus 39.9%, 95%CI 38.0-41.9, respectively; $p<0.001$). Consistent with this, we observed a corresponding decrease in at-risk individuals reporting that they would not receive the vaccine in the future (7.5%, 95%CI 5.3-10.6, versus 14.9%, 95%CI 13.5-16.4 not-at-risk) and those reporting that they were “unsure” or having no response (38.3%, 95%CI 34.0-42.7, at-risk versus 45.2%, 95%CI 43.2-47.2, not-at-risk)

4 Discussion

Pneumococcal infections remain a leading cause of morbidity and mortality among adults, particularly in those 65+. To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate pneumococcal vaccination of adults, and factors affecting vaccination uptake, throughout Switzerland.

4.1 Vaccination Coverage by Gender, Age Group, and Region

Among participants, national adult (ages 18-85) pneumococcal vaccination coverage (one or more doses) was 4.5% and did not differ significantly by gender or region. While coverage was comparable between individuals aged 18-39 and 40-64 (approximately 3%), it was significantly higher among those 65-85, nearing 10%. In studies from Germany and Catalonia, where pneumococcal vaccination is recommended for all adults 60+, coverage among younger age groups was comparable to that observed here - just under 4% for German adults 18-59 and 5% of Catalonian adults 50-59. Coverage among those 60+, however, was substantially higher than that observed here - 51% among those 60+ in Germany, and 36% among Catalonian adults aged 60-69 and 72% among those 70-79 [19; 20]. In the United States and United Kingdom, where pneumococcal vaccination is recommended for all individuals 65+, coverage among those over 65 was estimated at 62% and 70%, respectively [21; 22]. As pneumococcal vaccination was recommended in Switzerland for adults 65+ without additional health risk factors only from 2000-2014 [12], the low vaccination coverage that we observed among those 65-85 is, perhaps, unsurprising and serves to indicate the impact of official recommendations on vaccine uptake.

Older individuals are known to be among those most at-risk of severe pneumococcal disease [23; 24], indicating the importance of effective pneumococcal vaccination in this group. Recommendation of PPSV23 vaccination, however, was not found to be associated with declines in invasive disease in Switzerland, England or Japan [12; 25; 26; 27]. A recent study of IPD cases in Switzerland found that 30% are caused by serotypes present in PCV13 (and -7). However, of the remaining 70% of cases, serotypes 8, 9N, and 22F, which are present in PPSV23, accounted for the majority of disease, particularly in those 65+ [28]. These findings suggest a role for increased vaccination in protection against pneumococcal disease among those 65+ in Switzerland, but also highlight that the impacts of serotype replacement on vaccination recommendations must be considered.

4.2 Vaccination Coverage by Risk Factors

In evaluating pneumococcal vaccination coverage among individuals reporting one or more health risk(s) predisposing for IPD, we found that chronic respiratory disease, immunosuppression, diabetes, or having more than one of these risks were all associated with increased likelihood of pneumococcal vaccination. These health risks were all found to be independent risk factors for pneumococcal pneumonia in Switzerland, supporting their use as grounds for vaccination [23]. A study in the United States demonstrated the rate of IPD in adults 18-64 with chronic conditions to be over 3 times that of healthy adults; with IPD rates in those with diabetes and chronic heart disease 3 to 4 times higher, and rates among those with chronic liver and lung disease 9 to 10 times higher than that of healthy adults [24]. Importantly, in our study, pneumococcal vaccination coverage in these groups was still low; only ~15% of individuals reporting respiratory disease, ~27% with immunosuppression, ~12% with heart, liver, or kidney disease, and ~13% with diabetes were vaccinated, despite their eligibility to be vaccinated. Just 26% of individuals with multiple health risks were vaccinated. Similarly, 13% of US adults 18-64 with diabetes and 15% of those with lung disease were vaccinated for pneumococcus [29]. Overall, pneumococcal vaccination was estimated at 20% in high-risk patients younger than 65 [30]. In a separate study of IPD cases, 52% of adults with a vaccine indication were unvaccinated [4]. Taken together, these findings indicate that vaccination is increased among those at highest risk in Switzerland, but that at-risk individuals remain substantially under-vaccinated.

4.3 Timing of Vaccination and Vaccine Type Used

Among vaccinated individuals we observed peaks in receiving first pneumococcal vaccine doses in 2009-2010, which correlates with the 2009-2010 H1N1 influenza pandemic, and in 2020, which

correlates with the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. As pneumococcus is an important cause of secondary bacterial pneumonia following viral respiratory infection [31; 32], a severe viral respiratory disease season could be grounds for vaccination.

Of administered pneumococcal vaccine doses, ~10% were PCV7, 46% PCV13, and 45% PPSV23. Use of PCV7 was consistently low, ranging between 0 and 2.4% of first doses annually. This is to be expected as, while PCV7 was recommended from 2001 for all children <5 with predisposing health risks and for all children <2 between 2005 and 2010 [33], there was no PCV7 recommendation for adults at any time. Therefore, PCV7 vaccinations observed in our study would be expected to be received in early childhood. Interestingly, while ~25% of first vaccinations with PCV7 were in individuals when they were aged 5 or younger, the majority of first vaccinations with PCV7 were in older individuals, suggesting "off-label" usage in older children and adults. In 2010 PCV7 was officially replaced by PCV13 and the recommendation for pneumococcal vaccination was extended to all children <5. Use of PCV13 among study participants increased consistently from 2010, exceeding PPSV23 doses in 2017. The recommendation for PCV13 vaccination was extended to adults with predisposing health risks in 2014 [12]. Between 2000 and 2014, PPSV23 was officially recommended for routine vaccination of otherwise healthy adults aged 65+ [12]; its usage peaked in 2009-2010.

Importantly, PPSV23 is based on polysaccharide antigens which elicit a so-called T cell-independent antibody response. Such responses are generally short-lived (typically lasting a few years) and are not "recalled" by booster immunizations. In contrast, protein conjugate vaccines (PCV7 and 13) produce T cell-dependent antibody responses which tend to be more durable and can be boosted by subsequent immunization. It is generally thought that older individuals and individuals with health conditions compromising immune function tend to not respond robustly to polysaccharide antigens (such as PPSV23), thus limiting their effectiveness. Based in part on this, it was recommended in Switzerland to discontinue general vaccination of those 65+ with PPSV23 and to vaccinate only those adults (aged 18+) with health risks with PCV13 [12]. Whether a general PCV13 vaccination recommendation in those 65+ would be of benefit in Switzerland, however, is not completely clear. There remains a need to better understand the efficacy of PCV13 in protection against pneumonia in the elderly, also in the context of serotype replacement as described above [28], and to make appropriate, evidence-based vaccination recommendations for this group.

4.4 Vaccine Perception and Intention to Vaccinate

Among unvaccinated individuals we found that reasons for not receiving pneumococcal vaccination were comparable between individuals reporting a health risk and those not reporting such a risk. The most common reasons for abstaining were "not enough information about the topic" and "not suggested by a doctor/healthcare provider". At-risk respondents, however, were significantly less likely to report "not at increased risk due to chronic health conditions or age" as a reason for not being vaccinated compared to those not-at-risk (29% versus 4%), indicating that individuals with chronic health conditions are aware of their increased risk status. Furthermore, when unvaccinated individuals were asked about their intention to be vaccinated, significantly more at-risk individuals reported willingness to be vaccinated compared to those not-at-risk. A study of adults in the United States newly diagnosed with a chronic condition for which pneumococcal vaccination was indicated estimated that only 8% of individuals were vaccinated in the first year of follow-up and that this increased to only 20% after 5 years [34]. Similarly, a German study of adults with "high-risk" conditions found only ~7-13% of patients were vaccinated for pneumococcus within 3 years of diagnosis [35]. Together, these findings suggest that interventions by a healthcare provider [36; 37;

38], specifically among at-risk individuals, may be an effective way to promote pneumococcal vaccination.

4.5 Study Limitations

An important limitation of this study is that the use of a cross-sectional design allows only correlations, but no causal effects to be determined. A nationwide vaccination registry would be ideal for rapid and accurate assessment of vaccination uptake. Unfortunately, such a representative registry is not yet available in Switzerland. Consequently, personal vaccination records are currently used to collect and evaluate vaccination data. While nearly 30% of contacted individuals submitted a questionnaire, only 16% submitted both a questionnaire and a vaccination record. While this is relatively low, it is not unusual for a study design based on response by mail and is comparable to similar studies which we have previously conducted[17; 18]. Importantly, however, the overall sample size was large enough to estimate pneumococcal vaccination coverage according to distinct age and risk strata of interest, as per study design.

With such a study design also comes the risk that individuals interested in a topic are more likely to participate. It is possible that individuals who have been vaccinated for pneumococcus or those having some previous experience with pneumococcal disease were more likely to participate. In this case, vaccination coverage would be overestimated, as would the frequency of individuals reporting some previous knowledge of pneumococcal disease. Furthermore, the study was conducted during the Covid-19 pandemic, with the first mailing sent just as the first cases were detected in Switzerland toward the end of February 2020 and the enrollment period beginning in March 2020, which was the beginning of the first lockdown period. Individuals may have been more likely to participate if they felt that they were at increased risk for severe respiratory disease.

Potential biases leading to underestimation of vaccination coverage may have occurred if vaccinations were not recorded after administration or if an individual was unable to provide a complete vaccination record. While we observed the highest level of vaccinations in 2020, our data are not complete for this year as the enrollment period was from March through December, and, for example, some early participants may have responded in March, but received a vaccination in July. This would lead to an underestimation of vaccination coverage in this year. Furthermore, self-reporting of health risks may have resulted in underreporting of, or inaccurately reporting a comorbidity. We additionally did not ask in our questionnaire about asplenia, sickle cell disease, lymphoma, leukemia, or myeloma, or cerebrospinal fluid leak as additional risk factors, which are indications for pneumococcal vaccination according to the Swiss FOPH.

4.6 Conclusions

Importantly, even considering potential limitations, our findings indicate that at-risk individuals in Switzerland are substantially undervaccinated against pneumococcus. Furthermore, our questionnaire data suggest that these individuals are aware of their increased risk, but that they do not have enough information on the topic to seek vaccination or have not been recommended a vaccination from their physician. Although coverage is increased by the presence of a health risk factor, in the best case less than 30% of adults with a given risk factor (immunosuppression) had received pneumococcal vaccination. Additionally, while age has been found to be an independent risk factor for pneumococcal pneumonia and rates of IPD in this age group are more than 3 times those of younger adults, vaccination rates among this group remain under 10%. These data support the need for effective programs to promote pneumococcal vaccination among those persons under 65 with chronic

illnesses, and further indicate that an evaluation of the effectiveness of PCV13 vaccination in protecting those 65+ from IPD may be warranted.

5 Conflict of Interest

KDZ declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. PL declares compensation for a presentation at a Pfizer training workshop in 2019.

6 Author Contributions

KDZ and PL conceived and designed the study. KDZ and PL acquired study funding. KDZ organized the database, analyzed the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. KDZ and PL critically revised the manuscript and approved the submitted version.

7 Funding

This study was supported by an Investigator Initiated Research Grant from Pfizer (grant number 53579447-WP2331124). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

8 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Anna Fraefel, Silvestro Superti-Furga, and Carlotta Superti-Furga for their help with data collection and organization during the study.

9 Data Availability Statement

The dataset analyzed for this study is available upon request, without undue reservation, by contacting the study authors (phung.lang@uzh.ch).

10 References

[1] J.J.C. Drijkoningen, and G.G.U. Rohde, Pneumococcal infection in adults: burden of disease. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 20 (2014) 45-51.

[2] F. Blasi, M. Mantero, P. Santus, and P. Tarsia, Understanding the burden of pneumococcal disease in adults. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 18 (2012) 7-14.

[3] K.L. O'Brien, L.J. Wolfson, J.P. Watt, E. Henkle, M. Deloria-Knoll, N. McCall, E. Lee, K. Mulholland, O.S. Levine, and T. Cherian, Burden of disease caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae in children younger than 5 years: global estimates. The Lancet 374 (2009) 893-902.

[4] M.H. Kyaw, C.E. Rose, Jr., A.M. Fry, J.A. Singleton, Z. Moore, E.R. Zell, and C.G. Whitney, The influence of chronic illnesses on the incidence of invasive pneumococcal disease in adults. J Infect Dis 192 (2005) 377-86.

[5] A.J. van Hoek, N. Andrews, P.A. Waight, J. Stowe, P. Gates, R. George, and E. Miller, The effect of underlying clinical conditions on the risk of developing invasive pneumococcal disease in England. J Infect 65 (2012) 17-24.

[6] Annual Epidemiological Report 2016 – Invasive pneumococcal disease, Annual Epidemiological Report on Communicable Diseases in Europe, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2016.

[7] Pneumokokkenerkrankungen 2012 [Pneumococcal Disease 2012]. in: S.F.O.o.P. Health, (Ed.), Swiss Federal Office of Public Health, 2014.

[8] Pneumokokken-Erkrankungen [Pneumococcal Illnesses]. in: S.F.O.f.P.H. (FOPH), (Ed.), Krankheiten A-Z [Diseases A-Z], Swiss Federal Office for Public Health, Bern, Switzerland, 2021.

[9] Pneumococcal vaccines WHO position paper - 2012 - recommendations. Vaccine 30 (2012) 4717-8.

[10] Empfohlene Impfungen für Personen mit einem erhöhten Risiko von Komplikationen oder invasiven Infektionen [Recommended Vaccinations for Persons with Increased Risk of Complications or Invasive Infections]. in: S.F.O.o.P. Health, (Ed.), Swiss Federal Office of Public Health, Bern, Switzerland, 2020.

[11] Schweizerischer Impfplan 2021. in: S.F.O.o.P. Health, (Ed.), Swiss Federal Office of Public Health, Bern, Switzerland, 2021.

[12] Pneumokokkenimpfung: Empfehlungen zur Verhinderung von invasiven Pneumokokkenerkrankungen

bei Risikogruppen [Pneumococcal Immunization: Recommendations to Reduce Invasive Pneumococcal Disease]. in: S.F.O.o.P. Health, (Ed.), Swiss Federal Office of Public Health, 2014.

[13] Pneumococcal Disease: Recommended vaccinations. in: E.C.f.D.P.a. Control, (Ed.), Vaccine Scheduler, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2021.

[14] Pneumococcal Vaccination: What Everyone Should Know. in: C.f.D.C.a. Prevention, (Ed.), Vaccines and Preventable Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020.

[15] Who should have the pneumococcal vaccine? in: N.H. Service, (Ed.), Vaccinations, National Health Service, 2019.

[16] Pneumokokken: invasive Erkrankung [Pneumococcus: Invasive Disease]. in: S.F.O.o.P. Health, (Ed.), Zahlen zu Infektionskrankheiten [Numbers for Infectious Illnesses], Swiss Federal Office of Public Health, Bern, Switzerland, 2021.

[17] Kantonales Durchimpfungsmonitoring Schweiz [Cantonal Vaccination Monitoring, Switzerland]. in: S.F.O.o.P. Health, (Ed.), Swiss Federal Office of Public Health, Bern, Switzerland, 2021.

[18] V. Baroutsou, K.D. Zens, P. Sinniger, J. Fehr, and P. Lang, Analysis of Tick-borne Encephalitis vaccination coverage and compliance in adults in Switzerland, 2018. Vaccine 38 (2020) 7825-7833.

[19] U. Theidel, A. Kuhlmann, and A. Braem, Pneumococcal vaccination rates in adults in Germany: an analysis of statutory health insurance data on more than 850,000 individuals. Dtsch Arztebl Int 110 (2013) 743-50.

[20] A. Vila-Corcoles, O. Ochoa-Gondar, I. Hospital, C. de Diego, E. Satué, J. Bladé, X. Ansa, J.A. Guzmán, E. Salsench, and F. Ramos, Pneumococcal vaccination coverages among low-, intermediate-, and high-risk adults in Catalonia. Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics 12 (2016) 2953-2958.

[21] A.C. O'Halloran, P.J. Lu, and T. Pilishvili, Pneumococcal vaccination coverage among persons ≥65 years--United States, 2013. Vaccine 33 (2015) 5503-5506.

[22] Pneumococcal Polysaccharide Vaccine

(PPV) coverage report, England, April

2017 to March 2018. in: P.H. England, (Ed.), Public Health England, 2018.

[23] W.C. Albrich, F. Rassouli, F. Waldeck, C. Berger, and F. Baty, Influence of Older Age and Other Risk Factors on Pneumonia Hospitalization in Switzerland in the Pneumococcal Vaccine Era. Frontiers in Medicine 6 (2019).

[24] K.M. Shea, J. Edelsberg, D. Weycker, R.A. Farkouh, D.R. Strutton, and S.I. Pelton, Rates of Pneumococcal Disease in Adults With Chronic Medical Conditions. Open Forum Infectious Diseases 1 (2014).

[25] N.J. Andrews, P.A. Waight, R.C. George, M.P. Slack, and E. Miller, Impact and effectiveness of 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine against invasive pneumococcal disease in the elderly in England and Wales. Vaccine 30 (2012) 6802-8.

[26] A. Djennad, M.E. Ramsay, R. Pebody, N.K. Fry, C. Sheppard, S.N. Ladhani, and N.J. Andrews, Effectiveness of 23-Valent Polysaccharide Pneumococcal Vaccine and Changes in Invasive Pneumococcal Disease Incidence from 2000 to 2017 in Those Aged 65 and Over in England and Wales. EClinicalMedicine 6 (2018) 42-50.

[27] R. Shimbashi, M. Suzuki, B. Chang, H. Watanabe, Y. Tanabe, K. Kuronuma, K. Oshima, T. Maruyama, H. Takeda, K. Kasahara, J. Fujita, J. Nishi, T. Kubota, K. Tanaka-Taya, T. Matsui, T. Sunagawa, and K. Oishi, Effectiveness of 23-Valent Pneumococcal Polysaccharide Vaccine against Invasive Pneumococcal Disease in Adults, Japan, 2013–2017. Emerging Infectious Disease journal 26 (2020) 2378.

[28] O.R.-A. Oyewole, P. Lang, W.C. Albrich, K. Wissel, S.L. Leib, C. Casanova, and M. Hilty, The Impact of Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine (PCV) Coverage Heterogeneities on the Changing Epidemiology of Invasive Pneumococcal Disease in Switzerland, 2005–2019. Microorganisms 9 (2021) 1078.

[29] Influenza and pneumococcal vaccination coverage among persons aged > or =65 years and persons aged 18-64 years with diabetes or asthma--United States, 2003. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 53 (2004) 1007-12.

[30] W.W. Williams, P.-J. Lu, A. O'Halloran, C.B. Bridges, D.K. Kim, T. Pilishvili, C.M. Hales, L.E. Markowitz, C. Centers for Disease, and Prevention, Vaccination coverage among adults, excluding influenza vaccination - United States, 2013. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 64 (2015) 95-102.

[31] K. Grabowska, L. Högberg, P. Penttinen, Å. Svensson, and K. Ekdahl, Occurrence of invasive pneumococcal disease and number of excess cases due to influenza. BMC Infectious Diseases 6 (2006) 58.

[32] D.E. Morris, D.W. Cleary, and S.C. Clarke, Secondary Bacterial Infections Associated with Influenza Pandemics. Frontiers in Microbiology 8 (2017).

[33] Empfehlungen zur Pneumokokkenimpfung bei Kindern unter 5 Jahren: Wechsel vom 7- zum 13-valenten konjugierten Impfstoff [Recommendation for Pneumococcal Vaccination of Children Under 5: Change from 7- to 13-valent Conjugate Vaccine]. in: S.F.O.o.P. Health, (Ed.), Swiss Federal Office of Public Health, Bern, Switzerland, 2010.

[34] T. Petigara, and D. Zhang, Pneumococcal Vaccine Coverage in Adults Aged 19-64 Years, Newly Diagnosed With Chronic Conditions in the U.S. Am J Prev Med 54 (2018) 630-636.

[35] N. Schmedt, J. Schiffner-Rohe, R. Sprenger, J. Walker, C. von Eiff, and D. Häckl, Pneumococcal vaccination rates in immunocompromised patients—A cohort study based on claims data from more than 200,000 patients in Germany. PLOS ONE 14 (2019) e0220848.

[36] R. Eilers, P.F. Krabbe, and H.E. de Melker, Factors affecting the uptake of vaccination by the elderly in Western society. Prev Med 69 (2014) 224-34.

[37] M. Higuchi, K. Narumoto, T. Goto, and M. Inoue, Correlation between family physician's direct advice and pneumococcal vaccination intention and behavior among the elderly in Japan: a cross-sectional study. BMC Family Practice 19 (2018) 153.

[38] J.M. Nagata, I. Hernández-Ramos, A.S. Kurup, D. Albrecht, C. Vivas-Torrealba, and C. Franco-Paredes, Social determinants of health and seasonal influenza vaccination in adults ≥65 years: a systematic review of qualitative and quantitative data. BMC Public Health 13 (2013) 388.

11 Figures



Figure 1. Timing of Pneumococcal Vaccination and Vaccine Type Usage. In Figure 1A and 1B, point (a) denotes official recommendation of PPSV23 for adults 65+ and those at risk of severe disease in Switzerland; point (b) denotes an end to the official recommendation for vaccination of adults 65+ and a switch to PCV13 for those at risk of severe disease, (n=230, 2 values were excluded because of missing date information in the vaccination record). Note that 2020 was a partial study year. **(A)** Year of First Vaccination: The fraction of individuals receiving at least one pneumococcal vaccine dose which received their first pneumococcal vaccination in a given year, by age group. **(B)** Vaccine Type Usage by Year: The fraction of first pneumococcal vaccine doses in a given year, by vaccine type. **(C)** Total Pneumococcal Vaccine Doses by Type: The percentage of total pneumococcal vaccine doses received by individuals, by vaccine type (n=297 doses from 230 individuals, 11 values were excluded because of missing vaccine type information in the vaccination record).



Figure 2. Reasons for Not Vaccinating and Intention to Vaccinate Among Unvaccinated. **(A)** Reasons for not obtaining pneumococcal vaccination among unvaccinated: The fraction of unvaccinated individuals reporting the indicated reasons for not obtaining pneumococcal vaccination, stratified by individuals reporting one or more health risks, or individuals reporting no health risks (n=4028). **(B)** Willingness to Receive Pneumococcal Vaccination: The fraction of unvaccinated individuals reporting willingness for obtaining pneumococcal vaccination in the future, stratified by individuals reporting one or more health risks, and individuals reporting no health risks (n=4028).

12 Tables

Table 1. Participant Demographics by Gender, Age and Region

Region	Total Switz.* (Adults 18-85)		Sample Size (n)	Participants (n)	Response (% Sample Size)	
Overall	6,870,644		26,880	4,258	15.8	
Gender		**% Switz.**				**% Participants**
Male	3,412,195	49.7	13,380	1,890	14.1	44.4
Female	3,458,449	50.3	13,500	2,368	17.5	55.6
Age Group		**% Switz.**				**% Participants**
18-39	2,449,363	35.6	8,960	1,160	12.9	27.2
40-64	3,008,509	43.8	8,960	1,463	16.3	34.4
65-85	1,412,772	20.6	8,960	1,635	18.2	38.4
Large Region		**% Switz.**				**% Participants**
Lake Geneva	1,306,621	19.0	3,840	334	8.7	7.8
Midland Switzerland	1,506,037	21.9	3,840	540	14.1	12.7
Northwest Switzerland	938,052	13.7	3,840	609	15.8	14.3
Zurich	1,231,204	17.9	3,840	881	22.9	20.7
Eastern Switzerland	948,401	13.8	3,840	624	16.3	14.6
Central Switzerland	655,449	9.5	3,840	791	20.6	18.6
Ticino	284,880	4.2	3,840	479	12.5	11.3

*2019 Population data from Swiss Federal Statistical Office

Table 2. Logistic Regression of Sex, Age Group and Self-Reported Health Risk Factors on Adult Pneumococcal Vaccination Coverage

Gender	**% Vaccinated (95% Confidence)**	**Crude Odds Ratio (95% CI)**	**p Value**	**Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)**	**p Value**
Male	4.80 (3.79-6.07)	1.17 (0.84-1.61)	0.357	Ref.	--
Female	4.15 (3.37-5.09)	0.86 (0.62-1.18)	0.357	0.78 (0.55-1.11)	0.164
Age Group					
18-39	3.04 (2.02-4.56)	0.56 (0.36-0.89)	0.014	Ref.	--
40-64	3.24 (2.35-4.44)	0.58 (0.40-0.85)	0.005	0.88 (0.51-1.52)	0.649
65-85	9.59 (8.13-11.13)	3.26 (2.38-4.48)	<0.001	2.48 (1.53-4.00)	<0.001
Disease					
No Risk Reported	2.67 (2.09-3.40)	0.17 (0.12-0.24)	<0.001	Ref.	--
Asthma	14.75 (11.24-19.12)	4.65 (3.21-6.73)	<0.001	5.09 (3.28-7.91)	<0.001
Immunosupression	27.10 (18.18-38.33)	9.01 (5.23-15.53)	<0.001	16.10 (8.20-31.60)	<0.001
Diabetes	12.86 (7.75-20.60)	3.35 (1.86-6.03)	<0.001	3.32 (1.48-7.45)	0.004
Heart/Liver/Kidney Disease	11.53 (7.96-16.43)	3.07 (1.96-4.81)	<0.001	1.26 (0.65-2.44)	0.500
>1 Health Risk	25.91 (16.65-37.96)	8.15 (4.53-14.67)	<0.001	9.69 (5.19-18.09)	<0.001