

1 **Epidemiological, virological and serological investigation into a SARS-CoV-2 outbreak**
2 **(Alpha variant) in a primary school in Geneva, Switzerland: a prospective longitudinal**
3 **study**

4 **Authors:**

5 Elsa Lorthe,^{1a} Mathilde Bellon,^{2,3a} Grégoire Michielin,⁴ Julie Berthelot,¹ María-Eugenia
6 Zaballa,¹ Francesco Pennacchio,¹ Meriem Bekliz,² Florian Laubscher,⁵ Fatemeh Arefi,⁴ Javier
7 Perez-Saez,^{1,6} Andrew S Azman,^{1,6,7} Arnaud G L'Huillier,^{5,8} Klara M Posfay-Barbe,⁸ Laurent
8 Kaiser,^{3,9} Idris Guessous,^{10,11} Sebastian Maerkl,⁴ Isabella Eckerle^{2,3,5,9b}, Silvia
9 Stringhini^{1,11,12b}; SEROCO-V-Schools Study Group

10 ^a Elsa Lorthe and Mathilde Bellon contributed equally to this manuscript.

11 ^b Isabella Eckerle and Silvia Stringhini contributed equally to this manuscript.

12 **Affiliations:**

13 ¹ Unit of Population Epidemiology, Division of Primary Care Medicine, Geneva University
14 Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland.

15 ² Department of Microbiology and Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of
16 Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland.

17 ³ Center for Emerging Viral Diseases, Geneva University Hospitals and University of Geneva,
18 Geneva, Switzerland.

19 ⁴ Institute of Bioengineering, School of Engineering, École Polytechnique Fédérale de
20 Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.

21 ⁵ Laboratory of Virology, Department of Diagnostics, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva,
22 Switzerland.

23 ⁶ Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health,
24 Baltimore, USA.

25 ⁷ Institute of Global Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland;

26 ⁸ Department of Pediatrics, Gynecology & Obstetrics, Pediatric Infectious Disease Unit,
27 Geneva University Hospitals and Faculty of Medicine, Geneva, Switzerland.

28 ⁹ Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Geneva University Hospitals,
29 Geneva, Switzerland.

30 ¹⁰ Division of Primary Care, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland.

31 ¹¹ Department of Health and Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of
32 Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland.

33 ¹² University Center for General Medicine and Public Health, University of Lausanne,
34 Lausanne, Switzerland.

35

36 **Keywords:** SARS-CoV-2, outbreak, primary school, Alpha variant, serology

37 **Running title:** Alpha variant SARS-CoV-2 school outbreak

38 **Corresponding author information:** Dr Elsa Lorthe, Unit of Population Epidemiology,
39 Chemin Thury 3B, 1206 Geneva, Switzerland. elsa.lorthe@gmail.com, +4122 305 58 61

40 **Alternate corresponding authors:** Prof Silvia Stringhini, Unit of Population Epidemiology,
41 Chemin Thury 3B, 1206 Geneva, Switzerland. silvia.stringhini@hcuge.ch, +4122 305 58 61

42 **40-word summary of the article's main point:** As part of a prospective, primary school-
43 based surveillance study, this SARS-CoV-2 outbreak investigation showed infection attack
44 rates of 11.8% to 62.0% among pupils from 4 classes, 22.2% among teachers, 0% among non-
45 teaching staff and 10.7% among household members, and confirmed child-to-child and child-
46 to-adult transmission.

47

48 **ORCID:**

49 Elsa Lorthe 0000-0002-9654-8104

50 Mathilde Bellon 0000-0001-5308-6053

51 Grégoire Michielin 0000-0002-6809-9612

52 María-Eugenia Zaballa 0000-0002-0613-9519

53 Francesco Pennacchio 0000-0003-4967-7242

54 Meriem Bekliz 0000-0003-3186-1877

55 Javier Perez-Saez 0000-0003-3909-2048

56 Andrew Azman 0000-0001-8662-9077

57 Arnaud L'Huillier 0000-0001-9230-7285

58 Klara M Posfay-Barbe 0000-001-9464-5704

59 Sebastian Maerkl 0000-0003-1917-5268

60 Isabella Eckerle 0000-0002-4850-7172

61 Silvia Stringhini 0000-0002-4387-8943

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79 **Abstract**

80 **Background**

81 Twenty-one months into the pandemic, the extent to which young children get infected and
82 transmit SARS-CoV-2 in school settings remains controversial, in particular with variants of
83 concern. We report a prospective epidemiological, virological and serological investigation of
84 a SARS-CoV-2 outbreak in a primary school in Geneva, Switzerland, in April-May 2021.

85 **Methods**

86 This outbreak investigation is part of a longitudinal, prospective, primary school-based
87 surveillance study (SEROCoV-Schools). It involved repeated testing of pupils and teachers
88 and household members of participants who tested positive. Rapid antigen tests and/or real-
89 time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction were performed at Day 0-2 and Day 5-7;
90 serologies on dried capillary blood samples were performed at Day 0-2 and Day 30. Contact
91 tracing interviews and SARS-CoV-2 whole genome sequencing were carried out for positive
92 cases.

93 **Results**

94 This SARS-CoV-2 outbreak caused by the Alpha variant involved 20 children aged 4 to 6
95 years from 4 classes, 2 teachers and 3 household members. Infection attack rates were
96 between 11.8 and 62.0% among pupils from the 4 classes, 22.2% among teachers and 0%
97 among non-teaching staff. Secondary attack rate among household members was 10.7%.
98 Symptoms were reported by 63% of infected children, 100% of teachers and 66.7% of
99 household members. All analysed sequences but one showed 100% identity. Serological tests
100 detected 8 seroconversions unidentified by SARS-CoV-2 virological tests.

101 **Conclusions**

102 This study confirmed child-to-child and child-to-adult transmission of the infection. SARS-
103 CoV-2 can spread rapidly between children and adults in school settings, and is thereby
104 introduced into households. Effective measures to limit transmission in schools have the
105 potential to reduce the overall community circulation.

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116 **Introduction**

117 Children play an important role in the transmission of many respiratory viral diseases,
118 including beta-coronaviruses and influenza virus, both within schools [1] and within
119 households [2,3]. This has led most countries worldwide to implement school closures as an
120 important component of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)
121 transmission mitigation policies from the very beginning of the pandemic [4].

122 Young children commonly have fewer and milder symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 infection than
123 adults, with a high proportion of asymptomatic infections, and are less likely to experience
124 severe COVID-19 [5]. However, epidemiological and biological data suggest that, when
125 infected, children may transmit as much as adults, as children achieve viral loads comparable,
126 or only minimally lower, to those of adults at the time of diagnosis [6–10].

127 At school, young children have many prolonged close contacts with peers and adults in indoor
128 setting [11], usually do not wear masks, and in many countries they are not systematically
129 tested when symptomatic, including in Switzerland [12]. These circumstances make children
130 and schools a potential strong contributor of the overall community SARS-CoV-2
131 transmission [13]. Yet, twenty-one months into the pandemic, the extent to which young
132 children are infected and transmit SARS-CoV-2 in school settings remains controversial
133 [5,14], in particular with variants of concern. Evidence on transmission direction (adult-to-
134 child, child-to-child, child-to-adult) is also lacking.

135 We aim to report a prospective epidemiological, virological and serological investigation of a
136 SARS-CoV-2 outbreak in a primary school in Geneva, Switzerland, in April-May 2021.

137 **Methods**

138 *Study design*

139 This outbreak investigation is part of a longitudinal, prospective, observational surveillance
140 study (SEROCOv-Schools), which aims to describe the transmission dynamics of SARS-
141 CoV-2 infection within primary schools and early childhood education centres in a sample of
142 institutions in Geneva, and the risk of introduction of SARS-CoV-2 into the children's
143 households. The study started in March 2021. Participants had a baseline assessment which
144 included SARS-CoV-2 serology from a capillary blood test, an antigen rapid diagnostic test
145 (RDT) from an oropharyngeal swab sample, and the completion of an online questionnaire.
146 We collected capillary blood on a Neoteryx Mitra® collection device, and tested for anti-
147 Spike-SARS-CoV-2 IgG on a microfluidic nanoimmunoassay as described previously [15].
148 We used the Panbio COVID-19 Ag rapid test (Abbott) which has been validated in adults for
149 use with oropharyngeal instead of nasopharyngeal swabs [16].

150 Then, a surveillance phase started, with weekly questionnaires and self-declarations (anytime
151 outside of the weekly questionnaires) allowing participants to report COVID-19-like
152 symptoms, contact with a positive case or the diagnosis of a SARS-CoV-2 infection.

153 An outbreak investigation was triggered when a positive case was diagnosed from a positive
154 real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), and involved repeated
155 testing of the class and household members of the triggering case and of all participants who
156 subsequently tested positive. The date of diagnosis of the first positive case in a class was
157 referred to as Day 0 for that class. RDT and/or RT-PCR were performed at Day 0-2 and Day
158 5-7. All oropharyngeal swab samples used for the RDT were tested a second time from the
159 same swab by RT-PCR to confirm the result (in-house SARS-CoV-2 RT-qPCR or Cobas®
160 SARS-CoV-2 Test, Cobas 6800, Roche, Switzerland). SARS-CoV-2 whole genome
161 sequencing was performed for positive samples at the Health 2030 Genome Center (Geneva)
162 using the Illumina COVIDSeq library preparation reagents following the protocol provided by
163 the supplier. Serologies were performed at Day 0-2 and Day 30. Positive cases (or their

164 parents in the case of children) were interviewed using a structured questionnaire
165 investigating symptoms, contact with a positive person, school attendance, extracurricular
166 activities, play dates/birthday parties, family/friend gatherings and best friends, in the 14 days
167 prior to diagnosis.

168 *Ethics*

169 This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Canton of Geneva (Project ID 2020-
170 02957). All parents and teachers were informed about the study and gave written consent
171 while children gave verbal consent to participate.

172 *Study population*

173 For this investigation, the study population consisted of all children and school staff in classes
174 with a positive case as well as household members of the confirmed cases. School staff
175 included teachers (including assistants) and non-teaching staff (administrative, cleaners,
176 catering). Children absent from school for the 2 weeks preceding this outbreak were
177 considered as non-exposed and not included in the analysis.

178 *Context and public health measures*

179 Mitigation of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic in Switzerland included school
180 closures during the first wave from March to May 2020. Thereafter, priority was given to
181 keeping schools open with several types of preventive measures in place which varied widely
182 across institutions. In the investigated school, measures in place during the outbreak included
183 checking children's temperature every morning, sending children home if they had fever or
184 sickness beyond very mild symptoms, restricted access for parents and requiring all adults to
185 wear facemasks. During the period of this outbreak investigation, non-pharmaceutical public
186 health measures in Geneva were gradually relaxed, with restaurants and bars opening their
187 outdoor spaces and entertainment venues opening their indoor spaces.

188 Between April 15 and May 15, the Alpha variant was causing 92% of new SARS-CoV-2
189 infections in Geneva [17]. From April 12, vaccination was opened to all persons aged 45
190 years or older, and to all people in the 16-44 age group from May 19.

191 *Definitions and analyses*

192 Only descriptive analyses are presented here. Infection attack rates (IAR) were defined as the
193 proportion of all participating children and school staff with a positive RDT and/or RT-PCR,
194 and/or a seroconversion between D0-2 and D30 (from seronegative to seropositive according
195 to the test-specific cut-off, unrelated to vaccination). Household secondary attack rates (SAR)
196 were defined as the proportion of household members who tested positive by RDT and/or RT-
197 PCR result or who seroconverted in households with one positive RDT and/or RT-PCR
198 participant enrolled in the study. Adults were defined as individuals ≥ 18 years, whereas
199 children were defined as individuals < 18 years of age. Plausible directions of transmission
200 were determined, when possible, on the basis of symptom onset and testing dates.

201 **Results**

202 *Outbreak description and epidemiological and serological investigation*

203 The first COVID-19 case (triggering case: Teacher 1 [T1], Class 1) was diagnosed by RT-
204 PCR on April 26, 2021, 3 days after the onset of symptoms. The second teacher in Class 1
205 (T2) had symptoms onset on April 24 with an initially negative RT-PCR on April 26 followed
206 by a positive RT-PCR on April 28 (Figure 1).

207 All 21 children from Class 1, aged 4 years old, were tested on April 27, 2021. The IAR was
208 62% (13/21): 1 child had a positive RDT confirmed by a RT-PCR (Kid 1 [K1]); 5 children
209 had a negative RDT, but subsequent RT-PCR testing on the same swab samples came back
210 positive (K2, K3, K8, K11, K12); 2 children absent from school because symptomatic were
211 tested by healthcare providers outside the study setting, both had a positive RT-PCR (K4,

212 K5); 1 child had a positive RT-PCR at the second visit at D7 (K10); and 4 children
213 seroconverted between the first and the last visit at D30 (K13, K14, K15, K16) despite
214 negative swab virological tests at D1 and D7 (Table 1, Figures 1 & 2).

215 Children in another class from the same grade (Class 2) were identified as having close
216 contacts with children of Class 1 during breaks and specific activities. Class 2 was therefore
217 tested on April 29, 2021. Of the 19 children aged 4 years old, 17 were tested by RT-PCR, of
218 whom 2 (K6, K7) tested positive (IAR 11.8%), and 2 did not participate in the outbreak
219 investigation (they both had only a negative RT-PCR performed externally on May 6). No
220 additional case was identified at subsequent visits. We also repeatedly tested 4 teachers and 2
221 non-teaching staff in contact with Classes 1 and 2, none of whom was diagnosed with a
222 SARS-CoV-2 infection (Table 1).

223 Of note, these two classes were placed in quarantine by local health authorities for 10 days
224 from April 30, 2021.

225 The sibling of a positive case from Class 1, attending Class 3 in the same school, tested
226 positive on May 2 (K9), triggering the testing protocol in Class 3. All 17 6-years old tested in
227 Class 3 had a negative RT-PCR on May 4, and 16/16 had a negative RDT on May 11
228 (subsequently confirmed with a RT-PCR). Serological tests at D30 revealed 2 additional
229 seroconversions (K17, K20, one was not tested at D0-2 of the outbreak but seroconverted
230 since baseline at the end of March 2021) (IAR 16.7%). In the other class from the same grade,
231 Class 4, tested because of close and regular contacts with Class 3, 15/15 had a negative RT-
232 PCR on May 4, 13/13 had a negative RDT on May 11, and 2 additional cases of
233 seroconversion (K18, K19) were identified (IAR 13.3%); 2 children in the class did not take
234 part in the study (Table 1, Figure 1). Among 3 teachers and 11 non-teaching staff, none tested
235 positive. Classes 3 and 4 were not placed in quarantine.

236 Transmission occurred in 3 out of 10 investigated households of participants with a positive
237 SARS-CoV-2 test, leading to a secondary attack rate among household members of 3/28
238 (10.7%). Three members of the same household refused to participate in this investigation,
239 two of whom were vaccinated. Secondary attack rates were 1/3 (33.3%) with an adult index
240 case, and 2/26 (7.7%) with a child index case. A teacher spent a few days at his/her parents'
241 place while having symptoms, his/her mother then developed symptoms and tested positive
242 (adult household member [HA17]). The parent (HA5) of a positive child from Class 1 tested
243 negative twice (RDT on May 1, RT-PCR on May 7), though he/she seroconverted (Figure 1,
244 Figure 2). He/she reported no contacts outside his/her household, strictly followed all
245 recommendations, and was not vaccinated between the two blood draws. Finally, a parent
246 (HA1) of two positive children from Classes 1 and 3, who initially tested negative by RT-
247 PCR, tested positive after quarantine with his/her children.

248 Among the 15 cases with a positive SARS-CoV-2 test, 3/3 (100%) adults and 9/12 (69%)
249 children reported symptoms either before or after the positive test, and 3 children were
250 asymptomatic. Among the 8 children who seroconverted without a positive RDT or RT-PCR
251 test, 3 were symptomatic, 4 were asymptomatic, and one did not provide symptom
252 information. Overall, 12/19 (63%) infected children reported symptoms. No severe form of
253 COVID-19 (requiring hospitalization) was reported, and all cases recovered well.

254 *Contact tracing analysis*

255 The two infected teachers live alone and reported no contact with a positive or symptomatic
256 case in their private life or activities, during the 14 days prior to infection. Their presumed
257 source of infection was school as several children from Class 1 were coughing during the
258 week of April 19. The two infected parents were likely infected by their children, as they
259 reported no contact outside the household.

260 Three social activities outside the school setting occurred in the two weeks before the
261 triggering case was diagnosed: the first one (April 18, outdoors) brought together 6 children
262 from Class 1 of whom 5 subsequently tested positive and 3 kids from another school (not
263 included in the study); the second one (April 21, both indoors and outdoors) gathered 4
264 children from Class 1 and Class 2 of whom 3 tested positive (the other one had antibodies at
265 baseline and at Visit 1); the last one (April 24, outdoors) was attended by 2 kids from Class 1
266 and 2 kids from another school (not included in the study), 1 tested positive at the 2nd visit.

267 *Virological investigation*

268 We conducted whole genome sequencing on samples from the 15 participants who tested
269 positive for SARS-CoV-2. Coverage of three isolate genomes (HA1, K9 and K10) were
270 insufficient for any comparisons due to low viral load in the specimen (32%, 20% and 16%
271 coverage only, respectively). The other sequenced genomes belonged to the Alpha variant in
272 the Pangolin nomenclature. The virus sequence of K12 differed from the others in the regions
273 covered. Here, in position 15824-15827 a deletion and one addition that restores the reading
274 frame was observed, resulting in a total of 4 nucleotides difference compared to the other
275 sequences. All 9 sequences with >99% coverage shared 100% identity between genomes in
276 comparison to the reference sequence (Figure 3). Virus specimens that could only be partially
277 sequenced retrieved the same sequence without additional mutations in the regions covered.

278 **Discussion**

279 This is the first investigation of a SARS-CoV-2 outbreak caused by the Alpha variant in a
280 primary school. It involved 20 children from 4 classes, 2 teachers and 3 household members.
281 The index case could not be formally identified, but it is likely that this outbreak started
282 during the week of April 19 and was only identified on April 26 when a teacher tested
283 positive and triggered the investigation. Of note, children in this age group were not routinely

284 tested by the official testing recommendations in Switzerland when symptomatic [12]. This
285 prospective classroom-based study provides evidence of SARS-CoV-2 circulation among
286 young children, school teachers, and introduction into households.

287 Since at least 9 positive cases of this outbreak shared viruses with identical sequence, we
288 conclude that they are part of the same cluster. This could have been either by simultaneous
289 infection through the same source, or transmission chains between affected individuals. One
290 divergent virus sequence was found in one of the positive children, which could be either a *de*
291 *nov*o mutation occurring during the outbreak, or constitute independent introductions into the
292 school, with one leading to this cluster. It cannot be ruled out that, by coincidence, several
293 infection events with viruses sharing the same sequence were introduced from the community
294 independently into the school. However, this hypothesis seems less likely given the number of
295 cases involved, the epidemiological link and time frame, and the limited period of potentially
296 other exposures before the quarantine decision. Overall community circulation at the cantonal
297 level was also relatively low at the time (weekly incidence: about 200 cases/100,000
298 inhabitants).

299 Other main insights are as follows. First, viral circulation of the Alpha variant in young
300 children aged 4-6 years old was high, with a majority of unspecific and mild symptomatic
301 infections, which might explain relatively high secondary attack rates [13]. The observed
302 cluster probably started in one class and spread to two other classes, most likely through
303 direct child-to-child contacts and interactions as for our epidemiological investigation,
304 although there could be non-sampled child or adult intermediaries. We identified two
305 seroconversions in a fourth class, but with no identification of SARS-CoV-2 virus, thereby
306 limiting the conclusions on transmission linked to the other classes.

307 Second, child-to-parent transmission occurred in two different households, and child-to-
308 teacher transmission is probable, which supports previous findings [18,19]. Of note, only a

309 minority of adults (1 household member and 1 non-teaching staff) were vaccinated at the
310 beginning of the outbreak. Child-to-adult transmission seems to depend on the duration of
311 contacts, as no infection was identified among non-teaching staff who spent only limited
312 periods of time (meals) with children. This is contrary to previous findings [20], and may be
313 explained by the young age of our participants and their behaviour involving physical
314 proximity with their teachers [21].

315 Third, social activities outside the school could have contributed to the spread of the infection,
316 as previously reported [22]. However, they could also reflect the bonding between children
317 and a closer contact at school, thus facilitating transmission.

318 Fourth, we evidenced low sensitivity of RDTs with an oropharyngeal specimen for identifying
319 both symptomatic and asymptomatic infected children, which confirms previous results
320 showing that only children with high viral load are identified by such tests [23,24]. We
321 conclude that RDTs with an oropharyngeal specimen are not the most appropriate for
322 surveillance and/or outbreak investigation purposes. Analysis of joint RT-PCR/serological
323 data shows that there is a substantial under-detection of infections in young children, even
324 with RT-PCR testing, although the optimal time point for viral testing might have been
325 missed in the fixed testing scheme of this study. Under-detection of acutely infected children
326 might be an explanation for the discrepancy between official numbers of infected children
327 [25], and studies on virus prevalence and seroprevalence in school settings [26–30].

328 *Strengths and limitations*

329 Few large SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks in young children in school settings have been
330 documented so far [31,32], even fewer involved an investigation of variants of concern. As
331 part of an ongoing prospective study, this investigation started less than 24 hours after the first
332 case was laboratory-confirmed and involved the use of three complementary approaches. We

333 followed up and repeatedly tested all contacts within four classes regardless of symptoms.
334 Repeated serological tests proved useful to retrieve seroconversions following asymptomatic
335 or undiagnosed infections. However, we relied on a limited number of cases. Not all children
336 and adults were tested, which could lead to underestimating IAR and SAR. We might have
337 missed infections among adults who were vaccinated between D0-2 and D30, as we could not
338 distinguish between antibodies due to vaccination and those due to infection. Also, we could
339 not test the household members of cases only detected by seroconversion at D30 with no
340 positive RT-PCR/RDT, leading to a potential underestimation of secondary attack rates. The
341 study was performed before the circulation of the more infectious Delta variant; estimates are
342 therefore likely to be underestimated in a context of Delta dominance [33].

343 **Conclusion**

344 This prospective school-based study provides evidence of SARS-CoV-2 transmission among
345 young children and school teachers and introduction into households. Epidemiological
346 investigation confirmed child-to-child and child-to-adult direction of transmission of the
347 infection. Children may be a significant source of extra-household infections and have the
348 potential to play a role in community transmission, potentially even more so with the more
349 contagious Delta variant. With most of the adult and adolescent population vaccinated,
350 children could act as disease reservoirs. Effective strategies are needed to limit transmission
351 in school settings and vaccination of school staff, and children when available, should be
352 encouraged.

353

354

355

356

357 **Funding:** The SEROCoV-Schools study was supported by the Federal Office of Public
358 Health, the Private Foundation of the Geneva University Hospitals, the Fondation des
359 Grangettes and the Center for Emerging Viral Diseases, and a SNF NRP (National Research
360 Program) 78 Covid-19 Grant 198412 (to S.J.M., I.E., and B.M.).

361 **Conflict of interest statement:** The authors have no conflict of interest in relation with this
362 article.

363 **Acknowledgements:** We are very grateful to the children and teachers participating in the
364 SEROCoV-Schools study and their families. We would like to thank the school staff for their
365 responsiveness and support during the outbreak investigation. The authors also would like to
366 thank the members of the Unit of Population Epidemiology for their daily support in all the
367 tasks required by the SEROCoV-School study.

368 **SEROCoV-Schools Study Group:**

369 Elsa Lorthé, Julie Berthelot, Maria-Eugenia Zaballa, H el ene Baysson, Andrea Jutta Loizeau,
370 Ania Wisniak, St ephanie Testini, Khadija Samir, Natalie Francioli, Severine Harnal, Javier
371 Perez-Saez, Nick Pullen, Francesco Pennacchio, Julien Lamour, Ga elle Bryand-Rumley,
372 Claire Semaani, Viviane Richard, Roxane Dumont, Prune Collombet, Natacha No el, Patrick
373 Bleich, Nacira El Merjani, Caroline Pugin, Jessica Rizzo, Marion Frangville, Antoine Bal,
374 Fanny-Blanche Lombard, Zo Francia Randrianandrasana, Oumar Aly Ba, Chantal Martinez,
375 Paola D’Ippolito, Camille Tible, Viola Bucolli, Livia Boehm, Adrien Jos Rastello, Lucie
376 M enard, Lison Beigbeder, Fr ed eric Rinaldi, Alain Cudet, Alexandre Moulin, Andrew S
377 Azman, Arnaud G L’Huillier, Klara M Posfay-Barbe, Idris Guessous, Silvia Stringhini,
378 Gr egoire Michielin, Sebastian Maerkl, Fatemeh Arefi, Mathilde Bellon, Isabella Eckerle,
379 Laurent Kaiser, Benjamin Meyer, Meriem Bekliz, Florian Laubscher, Francisco Perez

380 Rodriguez, Pascale Sattonnet-Roche, Catia Alvarez, Kenneth Adea, Manel Essaidi-Laziosi,
381 Gil Barbosa Monteiro

382 **References:**

- 383 1. Marchbanks TL, Bhattarai A, Fagan RP, et al. An Outbreak of 2009 Pandemic Influenza
384 A (H1N1) Virus Infection in an Elementary School in Pennsylvania. *Clin Infect Dis.*
385 2011;52(Suppl 1):S154–60.
- 386 2. Cauchemez S, Donnelly CA, Reed C, et al. Household Transmission of 2009 Pandemic
387 Influenza A (H1N1) Virus in the United States. *New England Journal of Medicine.*
388 2009;361(27):2619–27.
- 389 3. Monto AS, DeJonge PM, Callear AP, et al. Coronavirus Occurrence and Transmission
390 Over 8 Years in the HIVE Cohort of Households in Michigan. *J Infect Dis.*
391 2020;222(1):9–16.
- 392 4. Viner RM, Russell SJ, Croker H, et al. School closure and management practices during
393 coronavirus outbreaks including COVID-19: a rapid systematic review. *Lancet Child*
394 *Adolesc Health.* 2020;4(5):397–404.
- 395 5. Wiedenmann M, Goutaki M, Keiser O, Stringhini S, Tanner M, Low N. The role of
396 children and adolescents in the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: a rapid review. *Swiss Medical*
397 *Weekly [Internet].* 17 sept 2021 [cité 2 oct 2021];(37). Disponible sur:
398 <https://smw.ch/article/doi/SMW.2021.w30058>
- 399 6. Zhu Y, Bloxham CJ, Hulme KD, et al. A Meta-analysis on the Role of Children in Severe
400 Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 in Household Transmission Clusters. *Clinical*
401 *Infectious Diseases.* 2021;72(12):e1146–53.

- 402 7. Jones TC, Biele G, Mühlemann B, et al. Estimating infectiousness throughout SARS-
403 CoV-2 infection course. *Science*. 2021;373(6551):eabi5273.
- 404 8. Baggio S, L'Huillier AG, Yerly S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 viral load in the upper respiratory
405 tract of children and adults with early acute COVID-19. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;ciaa1157.
- 406 9. L'Huillier AG, Torriani G, Pigny F, Kaiser L, Eckerle I. Culture-Competent SARS-CoV-
407 2 in Nasopharynx of Symptomatic Neonates, Children, and Adolescents. *Emerg Infect*
408 *Dis*. 2020;26(10):2494-7.
- 409 10. Bellon M, Baggio S, Bausch FJ, et al. SARS-CoV-2 viral load kinetics in symptomatic
410 children, adolescents and adults. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021;ciab396.
- 411 11. Stehlé J, Voirin N, Barrat A, et al. High-Resolution Measurements of Face-to-Face
412 Contact Patterns in a Primary School. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(8):e23176.
- 413 12. BAG. COVID-19 - Recommandations sur la procédure à suivre pour les enfants
414 symptomatiques de moins de 6 ans ainsi que les autres personnes fréquentant les écoles et
415 les structures d'accueil parascolaire/extrafamilial et indications de test chez les enfants de
416 moins de 6 ans [Internet]. 2021. Disponible sur:
417 [https://cdn.paediatricschweiz.ch/production/uploads/2021/03/2021.03.23-Indications-de-](https://cdn.paediatricschweiz.ch/production/uploads/2021/03/2021.03.23-Indications-de-test-chez-les-enfants-symptomatiques-de-moins-de-6-ans.pdf)
418 [test-chez-les-enfants-symptomatiques-de-moins-de-6-ans.pdf](https://cdn.paediatricschweiz.ch/production/uploads/2021/03/2021.03.23-Indications-de-test-chez-les-enfants-symptomatiques-de-moins-de-6-ans.pdf)
- 419 13. Cevik M, Marcus JL, Buckee C, Smith TC. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome
420 Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Transmission Dynamics Should Inform Policy. *Clinical*
421 *Infectious Diseases*. 2021;73(Supplement_2):S170-6.
- 422 14. Yung CF, Kam K, Nadua KD, et al. Novel Coronavirus 2019 Transmission Risk in
423 Educational Settings. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2021;72(6):1055-8.

- 424 15. Swank Z, Michielin G, Yip HM, et al. A high-throughput microfluidic nanoimmunoassay
425 for detecting anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in serum or ultralow-volume blood samples.
426 PNAS [Internet]. 2021 [cité 2 oct 2021];118(18). Disponible sur:
427 <https://www.pnas.org/content/118/18/e2025289118>
- 428 16. Nsoga MTN, Kronig I, Rodriguez FJP, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of Panbio rapid antigen
429 tests on oropharyngeal swabs for detection of SARS-CoV-2. PLOS ONE.
430 2021;16(6):e0253321.
- 431 17. Althaus CL, Baggio S, Reichmuth ML, et al. A tale of two variants: Spread of SARS-
432 CoV-2 variants Alpha in Geneva, Switzerland, and Beta in South Africa [Internet]. 2021
433 [cité 2 oct 2021] p. 2021.06.10.21258468. Disponible sur:
434 <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.06.10.21258468v1>
- 435 18. Ismail SA, Saliba V, Bernal JL, Ramsay ME, Ladhani SN. SARS-CoV-2 infection and
436 transmission in educational settings: a prospective, cross-sectional analysis of infection
437 clusters and outbreaks in England. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2021;21(3):344–53.
- 438 19. Meuris C, Kremer C, Geerinck A, et al. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 After COVID-19
439 Screening and Mitigation Measures for Primary School Children Attending School in
440 Liège, Belgium. *JAMA Network Open*. 2021;4(10):e2128757.
- 441 20. Ladhani SN, Baawuah F, Beckmann J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission in
442 primary schools in England in June–December, 2020 (sKIDS): an active, prospective
443 surveillance study. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health*. 2021;5(6):417–27.
- 444 21. Paul LA, Daneman N, Schwartz KL, et al. Association of Age and Pediatric Household
445 Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *JAMA Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2021 [cité 23 août
446 2021]; Disponible sur: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2021.2770>

- 447 22. Ghinai I, Woods S, Ritger KA, et al. Community Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 at Two
448 Family Gatherings — Chicago, Illinois, February–March 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal*
449 *Wkly Rep.* 2020;69(15):446–50.
- 450 23. Kriemler S, Ulyte A, Ammann P, et al. Surveillance of Acute SARS-CoV-2 Infections in
451 School Children and Point-Prevalence During a Time of High Community Transmission
452 in Switzerland. *Front Pediatr.* 2021;9:645577.
- 453 24. L’Huillier AG, Lacour M, Sadiku D, et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of SARS-CoV-2 Rapid
454 Antigen Detection Testing in Symptomatic and Asymptomatic Children in the Clinical
455 Setting. *J Clin Microbiol.* 59(9):e00991-21.
- 456 25. Posfay-Barbe KM, Andrey DO, Virzi J, et al. Prevalence of IgG against SARS-CoV-2
457 and evaluation of a rapid MEDsan IgG test in children seeking medical care. *Clin Infect*
458 *Dis.* 2020;ciaa1702.
- 459 26. Villani A, Coltella L, Ranno S, et al. School in Italy: a safe place for children and
460 adolescents. *Ital J Pediatr.* 2021;47:23.
- 461 27. Varma JK, Thamkittikasem J, Whittemore K, et al. COVID-19 Infections Among
462 Students and Staff in New York City Public Schools. *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2021 [cité 2
463 oct 2021];147(5). Disponible sur:
464 <https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/147/5/e2021050605>
- 465 28. Hommes F, van Loon W, Thielecke M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Infection, Risk Perception,
466 Behaviour, and Preventive Measures at Schools in Berlin, Germany, during the Early
467 Post-Lockdown Phase: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.*
468 2021;18(5):2739.

- 469 29. Fontanet A, Tondeur L, Grant R, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in schools in a northern
470 French city: a retrospective serological cohort study in an area of high transmission,
471 France, January to April 2020. *Eurosurveillance*. 2021;26(15):2001695.
- 472 30. Dattner I, Goldberg Y, Katriel G, et al. The role of children in the spread of COVID-19:
473 Using household data from Bnei Brak, Israel, to estimate the relative susceptibility and
474 infectivity of children. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2021;17(2):e1008559.
- 475 31. Stein-Zamir C, Abramson N, Shoob H, et al. A large COVID-19 outbreak in a high school
476 10 days after schools' reopening, Israel, May 2020. *Eurosurveillance*.
477 2020;25(29):2001352.
- 478 32. Torres JP, Piñera C, De La Maza V, et al. SARS-CoV-2 antibody prevalence in blood in a
479 large school community subject to a Covid-19 outbreak: a cross-sectional study. *Clin
480 Infect Dis*. 2020;ciaa955.
- 481 33. Li B, Deng A, Li K, et al. Viral infection and transmission in a large, well-traced outbreak
482 caused by the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant [Internet]. 2021 juill [cité 22 oct 2021] p.
483 2021.07.07.21260122. Disponible sur:
484 <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.07.07.21260122v2>
- 485
- 486
- 487
- 488
- 489
- 490
- 491
- 492

493 Table 1: Overview of tests results, symptoms and cases among the 4 investigated classes,
 494 teachers and non-teaching staff

	Visit 1 (D0-2)		Visit 2 (D5-7) ^a		Seroconversion (D30) ^b	Symptoms ^c	Total cases ^d
	RDT +	RT-PCR +	RDT +	RT-PCR +			
Class A	1/17	8 ^e /21	0/1	1/16	4/11	10/13	13/21
Class B	-/-	2/17	-/-	0/15	0/12	1/2	2/19
Class C	0/1	1/17	0/14	0/2	2/16 ^f	0/3	3/18
Class D	-/-	0/15	0/12	0/1	2/10	1/2 ^g	2/15
Teachers	0/4	2/9	0/2	0/3	0/7	2/2	2/9
Non-teaching staff	0/2	0/10	0/4	0/2	0/10	-/-	0/13

495 ^a Tests were not repeated in participants with a SARS-CoV-2 infection diagnosed at Visit 1

496 ^b Among participants with negative swab tests both at Visit 1 and Visit 2, and negative serology at baseline
 497 and/or at Visit 1. Adults who were vaccinated and developed antibodies between visit 1 and visit 3 were not
 498 considered as related to the outbreak.

499 ^c Among participants with a SARS-CoV-2 positive test and/or a seroconversion

500 ^d A case was defined as a participant with a positive RDT and/or a positive RT-PCR and/or a seroconversion

501 ^e Including confirmation of one positive RDT by a subsequent RT-PCR performed on the same day

502 ^f Including 1 seroconversion between 26 March 2021 and 11 May 2021

503 ^g No data on symptoms for one child

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522 **List of figures:**

523 **Figure 1: Timeline of symptoms onset, diagnosis and virological analyses among cases**
524 **with a confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection (positive RDT, RT-PCR or seroconversion)**

525 Legend:

526 Apr: April, HAx: Adult member of household, HCx: Child member of household, Jun: June,
527 Kx: Kid x, Tx: Teacher x, RDT: antigen rapid diagnostic test, RT-PCR: real-time reverse
528 transcription polymerase chain reaction

529

530 **Figure 2: Results of serological tests at baseline, at D0-2 and D30 for all pupils, teachers,**
531 **non-teaching staff and household members included in the outbreak investigation**

532 Legend:

533 This figure displays the results of the serological tests performed at baseline (black square),
534 i.e. at the beginning of the study in March 2021, and during the outbreak investigation at Day
535 0-2 (blue circle) and Day 30 (red diamond). Adults who were vaccinated during the outbreak
536 investigation are indicated by a yellow diamond. Household members who had no serological
537 test are not represented.

538 D: Day, HAx: Adult member of household, HCx: Child member of household, Kx: Kid x, Tx:
539 Teacher x, PCR: real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

540

541 **Figure 3: Virological analysis of positive cases by SARS-CoV-2 full genome sequencing**

542 ID: Identifier, GISAID: Global Initiative on Sharing Avian Influenza Data, Kx: Kid x, Tx:
543 Teacher x

544 Legend:

545 Mutations in comparison to the reference sequence (NC_045512) are highlighted in orange.
546 Green fields indicate no mutation; grey fields indicate insufficient genome coverage; and
547 yellow fields indicate mixed viral population of the two nucleotides given. Numbers indicate
548 nucleotide positions; asterisks (*) mark lineage-defining mutations for the Alpha variant.

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556





