COVID-19: Knowledge, Perception of Risk, Preparedness and Vaccine Acceptability among Healthcare Workers in Kenya

Hafso Mohamed Abdulle[1*], Moses Muia Masika[2, 3], Julius Oyugi[1,2]

[1] University of Nairobi Institute of Tropical and Infectious Diseases, Nairobi, Kenya, [2] Department of Medical Microbiology, College of Health Sciences, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya, [3] KAVI Institute of Clinical Research, College of Health Sciences, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya

* unaysa141@gmail.com

Abstract

Background

COVID-19 is highly contagious and healthcare workers are at a higher risk of contracting the disease since they are at the frontline in an effort to control and manage it. Inadequate knowledge on COVID-19 and poor practices and preventive measures among healthcare workers may lead to the rapid spread of the disease thereby limiting the ability to manage the COVID-19 pandemic. The objective of this study was to assess the level of knowledge, risk perception, preparedness for COVID-19 and vaccine acceptability among healthcare workers in Kenya.

Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted from December 2020 to January 2021 to assess healthcare workers' level of knowledge, perception of risk and preparedness to handle COVID-19 in Kenya. A link to an online self-administered questionnaire hosted on the Research Electronic Data Capture application (REDCap) was disseminated to health workers across the country via text messages, emails and social media. We collected data on demographics, knowledge, perception of risk and preparedness for COVID-19 and vaccine acceptance. Data collected in REDCap was then transferred to SPSS version 20 for analysis. Bivariate correlation analyses were used to determine associations between variables. P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 997 participants including doctors (34%), nurses (26%), clinical officers (21%) and lab technologists (12%) were enrolled in the study. About half (53%) of the participants were female. The mean age was 36.54 years (SD = 8.31) and 46% of the participants were aged between 31-40years. About half (55%) of participants worked in county facilities and 64% of the respondents had at least bachelor's degree. The overall knowledge score of health workers for COVID-19 was 80%. Most of the health workers (89%) perceived that they were at high risk of infection. Seventy-two percent of the participants felt that they were either partially or fully prepared to handle patients with COVID-19. Overall, 71% of all health workers would take a vaccine if provided free by the government.

Conclusion

Knowledge of health workers on transmission, clinical manifestations and risk factors for development of severe COVID-19 was good. Majority of the health workers perceived the risk of infection with COVID-19 as high and a significant number felt that they were not fully prepared to handle the pandemic. Majority of health workers would take a COVID-19 vaccine.

Keywords: Kenya, knowledge, 'risk perception', preparedness, vaccine acceptability, COVID-19, 'health workers'

Introduction

Coronaviruses cause infections that range from the common cold to severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). There are five genera in the coronaviridae family, which are alphaleto-, alpha-beta-, delta- and gamma-coronavirus (γ-CoV). While birds are infected by ð and γ-CoV, the mammals can be infected by both α and β-CoV. α and β-coronaviruses have low pathogenicity hence similar to common cold since they present with mild respiratory symptoms (1).

About 8,000 cases and 916 deaths were recorded during a SARS outbreak in 2002-2003 while between 2012 and 2017, the Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) resulted to at least 2066 confirmed cases and 720 deaths (2). MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV are two of the already known β-CoV which lead to respiratory infections that are severe and fatal. In December 2019, in Wuhan, China, a number of cases of pneumonia of unknown origin were reported and a new strain of β-CoV was soon after identified as the cause (2). The genome sequence of this new β-coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) that was isolated from a patient on 7 January 2020 was found to be 96.2% identical to SARS-CoV. It is thought that for humans to be infected, SARS-CoV-2 might have originated from bats to humans through intermediate hosts that are not known yet (1). The disease caused by SARS-CoV-2 was on 11 February 2020 officially named as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) by the WHO (1). To control the spread of COVID-19, WHO asked for a united effort of all countries as it declared on 30 January 2020 that the disease was a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) (3).

The COVID-19 pandemic is spreading at a very high rate. As of 2nd August 2021, the confirmed number of COVID-19 cases was 198,234,951 while the deaths were 4,227,359 worldwide (4). The African continent recorded a total of 4,917,071 COVID-19 confirmed cases and 117,304

deaths (5). There was a total of 203,680 confirmed COVID-19 cases and 3,946 deaths reported by

the government of Kenya (6) through the ministry of health.

Transmission of COVID-19 from human to human occurs through droplets and direct contact and

it may also occur via feco-oral route (7) and this disease has an incubation period of 2 days to 2

weeks. For control of the COVID-19 infection, social distancing, wearing of masks, and

handwashing are some of the preventive measures that have been emphasized by WHO.

COVID-19 has caused widespread panic and fear across the globe. Perception of risk, knowledge,

and attitude towards COVID-19 among other factors have played a role in causing this panic and

fear in people. Pure emotion of fear represents an individual's removal from an immediate risk

position (8) Healthcare workers are at a higher risk of exposure since some of the patients they

handle may be infected, many without any symptoms (9).

Knowledge and attitudes of people influence the seriousness and extent of adherence of these

people to the measures of personal protection and the clinical outcome. In previous studies,

healthcare workers (HCWs) have been found to exhibit high degree of knowledge on emergent

diseases or infections. For instance, for the Romanian HCWs, attitude, knowledge and perception

of the Ebola disease that had emerged in Romania, it was found out that they were generally well

informed about the disease (10). It is crucial that the COVID-19 clinical symptoms are known and

well understood, this is even as the clinical symptoms are indicated as being nonspecific (11). A

study done on health workers globally showed that the healthcare workers had insufficient

knowledge on COVID-19 (12). However, the study also showed that in terms of prevention of

transmission of COVID-19, there were positive perceptions. To understand this disease, 33% of

the HCWs involved in the study were found to rely on official government websites for

information as their primary source. The level of knowledge of health workers was improved due

to the updates given by the government (12). In comparison, in terms of knowledge and practices,

both the rural and urban health centers were found not to have considerable difference overall.

However, health workers from urban areas were found to have scored slightly lower than their

counterparts in precautionary practices such as doing a physical exam on suspected case (13).

Severity and likelihood are the two components that are involved in determining risk perception.

Emotion such as concern and worry, and control illusions are some of the psychological

components that can be used to influence and estimate perceived likelihood. On the other hand,

severity of a disease is estimated by the perception of effects of that disease to the individual e.g.,

morbidity and mortality of a given disease. A person can develop an illusion of control over

circumstances which may have adverse effects and as a result, the person gets more relaxed and

thereby gets involved in risky affairs. Therefore, unrealistic optimism may arise where the person

erroneously estimates that his/her counterpart's risk of being affected by undesirable

circumstances e.g. diseases is higher than his/her personal risk (14). Health workers are not spared

from this reality since they also experience psychological pressures. Fear of contracting a disease

and even spreading it to respective families is reported by health workers most of the time (15).

A study in Hong Kong revealed that most of the participants (97%) were very worried about

COVID-19. Their daily routines were affected according to them and therefore their anxiety

levels had risen. The study suggested that as new incidences and new cases were reported, more

and more anxiety became evident in the community over time (16). With this in mind, there is

need to understand the anxiety and worry that is experienced by HCWs and thus understand their

risk perceptions on COVID-19. Good practices for prevention of emerging diseases were found to

be equal between healthcare respondents who were trained in Infection Prevention Control (IPC)

and those who were not (13).

Kenya has taken measures to protect its citizens against COVID-19. Several interventions have

been put in place to manage the infection rate including free testing for COVID-19, contact

tracing, awareness campaigns, use of face-masks, social-distancing, regular hand-washing and

vaccination among others. Despite the reduction in COVID-19 spread, new COVID-19 cases are

still reported every day. This study sought to assess the perceived risk of infection, level of

knowledge of healthcare workers on clinical manifestation, transmission mechanism, treatment,

COVID-19 vaccine and the rate of fatality as well as the perception of the level of preparedness

for COVID-19 for individual healthcare workers and health institutions in the country. The study

was conducted before the country began COVID-19 vaccination.

Methods
126

Study design and period
127

128   An online cross-sectional study was conducted to assess healthcare workers' level of knowledge,

129   perception of risk and preparedness to handle COVID-19 in Kenya. A self-administered

130   questionnaire hosted on the Research Electronic Data Capture application (REDCap) was used. It

131   was disseminated to health workers across the country via text messages, social media and email.

132   The was conducted from December 2020 to January 2021.

Study population
133

134   We enrolled health workers (mainly medical doctors, nurses, clinical officers, and lab

135   technologists) who work in private and public health facilities or medical training and research

136   institutions in Kenya. Respondents were enrolled through convenience sampling technique.

Inclusion criteria
137

138   • Health workers (medical doctors, nurses, clinical officers, and lab technologists) based in

139   Kenya

140   • Health workers who give consent for participation

Data Collection

Data was collected by use of a questionnaire that contained both open-ended and closed questions. We measured knowledge on COVID-19, perception of risk for infections with COVID-19 and preparedness of health workers to handle the pandemic. The questionnaire was administered online via REDCap. Health workers were sent a link to the questionnaire in a text message, social media and the email. There were 38 questions in the questionnaire where 35 of them were closed while the other 3 were open-ended. It had three sections – knowledge on COVID-19, risk perception, and preparedness to handle the COVID-19 pandemic. The knowledge section had questions on clinical features, COVID-19 vaccine and fatality rate. On the other hand, the risk perception section, explored the perceived risk to the general population, to health workers, self and family. The preparedness section had questions on training on COVID-19 and infection control, supplies, stigmatization of health workers who had recovered from COVID-19 and confidence of health workers in their ability to manage a COVID-19 patient. The data collected from the participants on REDCap application was transferred to the IBM SPSS Statistics software version 20 for analysis.

Pilot survey, validity and reliability

We used a pilot survey of the questionnaire on 20 masters' students from the University of Nairobi Institute of Tropical and Infectious Diseases (UNITID). The students were drawn from various cadres of healthcare workers – nurses, medical doctors and medical lab scientists. This enabled us to test whether the questionnaire is user-friendly and enabled us to assess the validity of the data collected. We tested the reliability of the questions in the questionnaire to check on their clarity in order to produce consistent results when measuring the same study phenomenon. This helped us to detect and correct any questions that may have been ambiguous before using the questionnaire.

Data management

IBM SPSS Statistics software was utilized for data analysis. Descriptive characteristics are presented in text, table and graphs. We used chi-square to test for association between categorical variables and t-test to measure association between numerical and categorical variables. Medical doctors, pharmacists and dentists were analyzed in the same category as doctors.

Nairobi city and Mombasa counties were categorized as urban and the rest of the counties were categorized as rural or semi-rural. A p value of ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical considerations

This protocol was submitted to KNH-UoN Ethics and Research Committee for review. We sought for approval to conduct the study from UoN-KNH ERC before sharing the consent form and the questionnaire to collect data from the respondents. Once the study was approved (**P338/06/2020**), participants were provided with informed consent form through an online link on the REDCap application. After reading the consent form, the participants confirmed their decision to participate or decline to give consent by clicking a button. Those who consented clicked on "I AGREE TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS STUDY" and then were able to access the questionnaire. Those who declined to give consent clicked on "I DO NOT AGREE TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS STUDY" and were not able to access the questionnaire.

We requested the Ethics and Research Committee for authorization to obtain informed consent through the online platform which would not be documented through writing.

To ensure integrity and confidentiality, the data were hosted in a secure cloud server that was only accessed by the investigators. No identifiable information was collected, analyzed or reported. The questionnaires were filled anonymously. Handling and processing of the collected data was

189 in compliance with the Kenya Data Protection Act No.24 of 2019. No personal identifiers were

190 published or shared publicly.

Results

Study participants Characteristics

Nine hundred and ninety-seven health workers were enrolled from all 47 counties in Kenya. Fifty-

three percent of all respondents were female. The proportion and gender of the respondents per

cadre is shown in **Fig 1**. The mean age of all respondents was 36.54 years (SD = 8.31). The age

ranged from 22 to 78 years with the largest age group being 31 – 40 years (50.9%). The

respondents' distribution by age group is shown in **Table 1**. The mean age was 35.9 years for

doctors, nurses 38.8, clinical officers 36.0, lab technologists 35.7, and others (public health

officers, pharmaceutical technologists and nutritionists) 34.0 years. The mean age for nurses was

significantly higher than that of other respondents (38.8 vs 35.7 years, p value < 0.001. Overall,

47% of all respondents were 36 years or older. About half (49%) of doctors, 57% of nurses, 41%

of clinical officers, 43% of lab technologists and 31% of other respondents were 36 years old and

above.

Fig 1: Gender proportions by health profession

Respondents were drawn from county health facilities (54.3%), national hospitals (13.5%),

private health facilities (16%), faith-based hospitals (3.6%), training and research institutions

(8.1%), and other institutions were 4%. A fifth of the respondents (21%) were working at a

COVID-19 treatment center or testing lab at the time of study. Eleven percent of the participants

reported that they worked in a COVID-19 isolation facility every day, with 50 (5%) working 2 to

3 times per week while 4.7% of the HCWs reported working in an isolation facility once a week

or less. On level of education attained, 39.1% of the 997 HCWs had a bachelor's degree, 33.7%

had diploma, 24.9% had post-graduate qualification and 1.7% had certificate. Work experience of

healthcare workers varied across the participants with 27.8% reporting between 11-20 years of

experience, followed by 6-10 years (26.2%), and those with more than 20 years of experience

accounted for 19.4% while healthcare workers with less than 2 years were 7.2%. Counties were

grouped into two; urban and rural/semi-rural counties. A third of the respondents were from urban

counties while 64.7% were from rural and semi-rural counties. Of all respondents, 5.7% reported

that they had contracted the Covid-19 (lab-confirmed). See **Table 1**.

Table 1: **Respondents' Characteristics**

Parameter	Groups	n (%)
Gender	Male	469 (47%)
	Female	526 (53%)
Age		
Mean	36.54	
SD	8.310	
Median	35.00	
IQR	10	
Range(min-max)	22-78	
Age groups	22-30 years	226 (23%)
	31-40 years	458 (46%)
	41-50 years	150 (15%)
	51 and above years	66 (7%)
Profession	Doctors	344 (34%)
	Nurses	262 (26%)

	Clinical officers	209 (21%)
	Lab technologists	118 (12%)
	Others	73 (7%)
Place of work	National hospital	143 (14%)
	County facility	541 (54%)
	Private facility	160 (16%)
	Training and research institution	81 (8%)
	Faith-based facility	36 (4%)
	Others	40 (4%)
Level of education	Certificate	17 (2%)
	Diploma	336 (34%)
	Bachelor's degree	390 (39%)
	Post-graduate qualification	248 (25%)
Work experience	Less than 2 years	72 (7%)
	2-5 years	184 (19%)
	6-10 years	261 (26%)
	11-20 years	277 (28%)
	More than 20 years	193 (19%)
County	Urban	323 (32%)
	Rural and semi-rural	645 (65%)
Working in a COVID-19 isolation facility	Yes	206 (20%)
	No	778 (78%)
Frequency of working in a COVID-19 isolation or testing facility	Every day	107 (11%)
	2 to 3 times a week	50 (5%)
	Once a week or less	47 (5%)

Live alone	Yes	140 (14%)
	No	848 (85%)

Level of knowledge of HCWs for COVID-19

Knowledge score was compared across different professional cadres. There was a statistically significant difference in knowledge score across different groups. The results from these tests are shown in **Table 2.**

Table 2: **Knowledge score on COVID-19 among health workers**

Compare groups	Knowledge score (%)	P-value
Doctors (n= 329)	82	**<0.0001**
Rest of HCWs (n=634)	77	
Nurses (n=249)	76	**0.034**
Rest of HCWs (n=713)	79	
Clinical officers (n=203)	81	**0.019**
Rest of HCWs (n= 760)	78	
Lab technologists (n=113)	75	**0.022**
Rest of HCWs (n=850)	79	
Others (n=69)	79	**<0.0001**
Rest of HCWs (n=894)	69	
Urban (n=314)	80	0.080
Rural (n=624)	77	
35 years and below (n=458)	77	
36 years and above (n=413)	80	**0.014**
Certificate (n=15)	68	**0.044**
Other qualification (n=944)	79	
Diploma (n=321)	76	**0.001**
Other qualification (n=638)	80	

Bachelor's degree (n=382)	79	0.811
Other qualification (n=577)	78	
Post-graduate qualification (n=241)	83	
Other qualification (n=718)	77	**<0.0001**
Had training COVID-19 Yes (n=549)	79	0.497
Had training COVID-19 No (n=411)	78	

Most (94%) of all the respondents identified fever as a symptom of COVID-19. Refer to **Fig 2** for

the score for each symptom. The majority of HCWs were able to identify key risk factors for

severe COVID-19 such as diabetes (93.8%), heart disease (84.4%), and cancer (77.5%).

Meanwhile, (12.6%) of the respondents wrongly identified young age as a risk factor for

development of severe COVID-19.

Fig 2: Health workers' knowledge on clinical manifestations for COVID-19

Perception of risk of infection with COVID-19 for HCWs

A majority (88%) of the respondents (n=997) perceived the level of risk of infection for the

general public as high while 9% of the HCWs perceived it as low. Compared to the rest of the

cadres, nurses were more likely to report the level of risk of infection for COVID-19 to general

public as high (P=0.001). Doctors had the lowest number of respondents (85%) who felt that the

level of risk for the general public getting infected with COVID-19 was high (P<0.001).

Ninety-seven percent of nurses perceived the level of risk of contracting COVID-19 among health

workers to be high as did 94% of the lab technologists. There was no significant relationship

between the perceived risk of getting a COVID-19 infection for HCWs and different health

cadres.

Most nurses and lab technologists felt that there was a high level of risk of infection with COVID-

19 for individuals (self). There was a significant relationship between perception of risk of

COVID-19 infection for self and nurses (94%, P=0.006) and with lab technologists (80%,

P=0.005).

A majority (91%) of the healthcare workers indicated that they would be comfortable to work

with a colleague who had recovered fromCOVID-19. This ranged from 86% for 'other cadres' to

96% for doctors.

Table 3: **Health workers' Perception of level of risk for COVID-19**

Perceived risk of Covid-19 infection for different groups	Response	Doctors	Nurses	Clinical officers	Lab technologists	Others	Overall
The general public	High	280 (86%)	245 (97%)	188 (91%)	100 (86%)	68 (96%)	881 (91%)
	Low	46 (14%)	9 (3%)	18 (9%)	16 (14%)	3 (4%)	93 (9%)
Health workers	High	323 (97%)	256 (99%)	197 (95%)	108 (94%)	68 (96%)	953 (97%)
	Low	9 (3%)	4 (1%)	10 (5%)	7 (6%)	3 (4%)	33 (3%)
Self	High	282 (86%)	236 (93%)	188 (91%)	93 (80%)	65 (91%)	865 (88%)
	Low	47 (14%)	17 (7%)	19 (9%)	23 (20%)	6 (9%)	112 (12%)
Family member	Always	268 (97%)	227(100%)	186 (99%)	98 (100%)	59 (98%)	962 (97%)
	Rarely	8 (3%)	1 (0%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	28 (3%)
Comfortable to work with colleague recovered from COVID-19	Yes	320 (96%)	231 (90%)	185 (88%)	112 (96%)	61 (86%)	909 (92%)
	No	13 (4%)	25 (10%)	24 (12%)	5 (4%)	10 (14%)	78 (8%)

Concerns of Health Workers

Participants highlighted their main concerns about COVID-19. A majority of the participants were more concerned about getting infected with the disease and then in-turn passing it to their family members or colleagues. This is especially if their family members or colleagues had a chronic disease that may lead to a medical complication.

These are some of the comments on concerns raised by the respondents:

"Lifelong complications of COVID-19 on the heart, lungs, brain in case I contract the disease" a doctor (31-40 years).

"Getting infected…Infecting family members" a nurse (41-50 years).

"I am asthmatic and hypertensive. My age also puts me at risk" a clinical officer (51-60 years).

Some of the health workers were concerned about the availability of personal protective equipment in order to enhance their safety. Other health workers were more concerned about the ignorance displayed on measures put in place to control the infection and how generally naïve the public is in regards to risks associated with COVID-19.

"Lack of appropriate PPEs for health care workers. Burn out of health care workers…" a public health officer (41-50 years).

"Ignorance among the population on containment measures they don't fully observe (prevention measures)" a clinical officer (31-40 years).

"Am not well protected at my work place, the public is not keen on protective measures for Covid-19" clinical officer (41-50 years).

Others were more concerned and afraid that if they get infected with COVID-19, they might end up dying. Some of their fears were as shown below:

280   "Getting infected and not getting adequate prompt treatment leading to death" doctor (41-50

281   years).

Preparedness for COVID-19 among Health Workers

A total of 673 (70%) of health workers reported that they had received training on COVID-19.

Doctors were more likely to have been trained on COVID-19 than other cadres (75% vs 64%,

P<0.001). See **Table 4**.

A small proportion (14%) of all the respondents reported that they had all the PPE they need to

protect themselves from COVID-19 infection. On the other hand, 19% of all the HCWs reported

that they did not have PPE while a majority (67%) of the respondents reported that they only had

some PPE. Doctors were more likely to report having sufficient PPE as compared to other cadres

(22% vs 10%, P<0.001) while clinical officers were least likely to report having sufficient PPE

(3% vs 17%, P<0.001).

Almost half (48%) of health workers bought PPE using their own funds within three months prior

to the study. Clinical officers were likely to have bought PPE in the preceding three months than

other cadres (45% vs 29%; P=0.015).

The respondents were asked whether they felt prepared to handle a patient with COVID-19

diseases, 28% of the 997 HCWs felt unprepared while the other 72% either felt partially prepared

or fully prepared. Lab technologists (26%) reported that they felt fully prepared to handle

COVID-19 patients as compared to clinical officers (9%). See **Table 4**.

 Table 4: **Perception of the Level of Preparedness for COVID-19 against healthcare Cadre**

Questions	Response	Doctors (n=344)	Nurses (n=262)	Clinical officers (n=209)	Lab technologist (n=118)	Others (n=73)	Overall
Do you feel prepared to handle COVID-19 patient	Fully Prepared	66 (20%)	43 (17%)	18 (9%)	30 (26%)	12 (17%)	169 (17%)
	Partially prepared	184 (56%)	140 (54%)	124 (60%)	62 (54%)	36 (50%)	546 (55%)
	Unprepared	81 (25%)	78 (30%)	66 (32%)	23 (20%)	24 (33%)	273 (28%)
Is your institution prepared to handle COVID-19 patients	Fully Prepared	95 (28.7%)	45 (17%)	16 (8%)	39 (33%)	16 (22%)	211 (21%)
	Partially prepared	165 (49.8%)	144 (55%)	115 (55%)	58 (50%)	37 (51%)	519 (52%)
	Unprepared	71 (22%)	72 (28%)	78 (37%)	20 (17%)	19 (26%)	261 (26%)
Do you have PPE you need for COVId-19	Have all PPE	71 (22%)	29 (11%)	6 (3%)	22 (19%)	8 (11%)	136 (14%)
	Have some PPE	216 (65%)	185 (71%)	146 (70%)	81 (69%)	40 (56%)	669 (68%)
	Have none PPE	44 (13%)	47 (18%)	57 (27%)	14 (12%)	24 (33%)	186 (19%)
In the last three months how often have you had to buy any PPE for use at work using your own funds	Always	35 (11%)	16 (6%)	24 (12%)	11 (9%)	12 (17%)	98 (10%)
	Often	132 (40%)	93 (3%)	94 (45%)	34 (29%)	24 (33%)	378 (38%)
	Rarely or never	164 (50%)	151 (58%)	91 (44%)	72 (62%)	36 (50%)	514 (52%)
Have you had any training on Covid-19?	Yes	250 (75%)	168 (64%)	131 (63%)	79 (68%)	45 (63%)	673 (69%)
	No	82 (25%)	93 (36%)	78 (37%)	38 (33%)	26 (37%)	318 (32%)
Have you had any training on infection control for COVID-19	Yes	209 (63%)	136 (52%)	104 (50%)	79 (68%)	40 (56%)	568 (57%)
	No	121 (37%)	125 (48%)	104 (50%)	38 (33%)	32 (44%)	421(43%)

A third (32.1%) of health workers from private facilities felt that they were fully prepared to

handle patients with COVID-19 as compared to 11.9% of health workers from national hospitals

who felt that they were fully prepared. On the other hand, private facilities (40.9%) were reported

to be prepared to handle patients with COVID-19 while only 11.5% of the national hospitals were

reported to be fully prepared.

Sixty-eight percent of the respondents indicated that they received training on COVID-19. While

private facilities reported the highest number (78%) of HCWs who received a COVID-19

training, 62.7% of respondents from national hospitals reported that they had received training on

COVID-19 infection. A chi-square test revealed that there was a significant relationship between

place of work (health facility) and whether one got training for COVID-19 or not (P=0.019). See

Table:5

Table 5: **Perception of the Level of Preparedness for COVID-19 against Institution**

Questions	Response	National hospital (n=143)	County facility (n=541)	Private facility (n=160)	Training & research institution (n=81)	Faith-based facility (n=36)	Others (n=40)	Overall
Do you feel prepared to handle a patient with COVID-19	Prepared	16 (12%)	79 (15%)	51 (32%)	11 (14%)	6 (17%)	6 (15%)	169 (17%)
	Partially prepared	79 (59%)	298 (56%)	81 (51%)	46 (58%)	18 (50%)	21 (54%)	543 (55%)
	Unprepared	40 (30%)	159 (30%)	27 (17%)	23 (28.8%)	12 (33.3%)	12 (31%)	273 (28%)
Is your institution prepared to handle COVID-19 patients	Prepared	46 (34%)	62 (12%)	65 (41%)	20 (22%)	8 (32%)	9 (24%)	210 ((27%)
	Partially prepared	63 (47%)	302 (56%)	65 (41%)	51 (55%)	16 (64%)	20 (53%)	517 (53%)
	Unprepared	25 (19%)	176 (33%)	29 (18%)	21 (23%)	1 (4%)	9 (24%)	261 (20%)
Have you had training on infection control for COVID-19	Yes	75 (56%)	276 (51%)	116 (73%)	56 (69%)	26 (72%)	17 (45%)	566 (61%)
	No	59 (44%)	263 (49%)	42 (27%)	25 (31%)	10 (28%)	21 (55%)	420 (39%)
Have you had any training on COVID-19	Yes	84 (63%)	350 (65%)	124 (78%)	57 (70%)	27 (75%)	30 (77%)	672 (71%)
	No	50 (37%)	189 (35%)	35 (22%)	24 (30%)	9 (25%)	9 (23%)	316 (29%)

A larger proportion of respondents from urban counties had received training on infection control

for COVID-19 compared to respondents from rural and semi-rural counties (64.3% vs 54.1%;

P=0.003). A larger proportion of respondents from urban counties reported that their facilities

were fully prepared to handle COVID-19 patients as compared to respondents from rural and

semi-rural counties who felt that they were fully prepared (35.2% vs 14.5%; P<0.001). See

Table:6

Table 6: **Perception of the Level of Preparedness for COVID-19**

Questions	Response	Urban counties (n=323)	Rural and semi-rural counties (n=645)	Overall	P-value
Have you had any training on infection control for COVID-19	Yes	207 (64%)	347 (54%)	554 (59%)	
	No	115 (36%)	294 (46%)	409 (41%)	**0.003**
Have you had any training on COVID-19	Yes	226 (70%)	431 (67%)	657 (69%)	
	No	96 (30%)	211 (33%)	307 (31%)	0.337
Is your institution prepared to handle COVID-19 patients	Fully Prepared	113 (35%)	93 (15%)	206 (24%)	
	Partially prepared	157 (49%)	347 (54%)	504 (52%)	
	Unprepared	51 (16%)	203 (32%)	254 (24%)	**<.001**

Facilities' preparedness for COVID-19

In order to control and curb the spread of the virus, participants felt there was a need to train more

HCWs on COVID-19 infection control, create more awareness and sensitize both the health staff

and the general public among other measures. The following are some of the comments made by

the respondents when asked about what they feel should be done to prepare their health

institutions to handle COVID-19:

"Training of all health workers and equipping the dental clinics with the correct equipment such

as high-volume suctions and not just purchasing PPE as a magic bullet" a doctor (31-40 years).

"Training more people, we have few people who have been trained on handling COVID-19" a lab

technologist (21-30 years).

"To stock full PPE kit, to put up a functional ICU, motivate health workers and enhance COVID-

19 training" a clinical officer (31-40 years).

A majority of the respondents in this group felt that in order for their health institutions to be

prepared to handle COVID-19, a number of things had to be improved hiring of more health

workers, procurement of additional medical equipment such as ventilators, availing more PPEs,

installation of oxygen plants at the hospitals, setting up well-equipped isolation facilities where

there were none and expanding the existing COVID-19 isolation facilities where they existed. The

following are some of their comments on how their facilities can enhance preparation for COVID-

19:

"Supply adequate PPE, train all the staffs, create enough space - well ventilated and ensure water

is available throughout." a clinical officer (41-50 years).

"Install oxygen plants in hospitals, provide complete and functioning ICU, employ more health

workers in the facilities to avoid shortage and provide comprehensive medical cover for health

workers" a nurse (31-40 years).

Acceptability of COVID-19 vaccine among HCWs professions

Overall, 70.9% of all healthcare workers would take a COVID-19 vaccine if provided free by the government. Doctors were most likely (76.1%) while nurses were least likely (64.3%) to accept the vaccine. There was a significant relationship between professional cadre and acceptability of COVID-19 vaccine as shown in **Table 7**. Vaccine acceptability for each cadre is shown in **Fig 3**.

Fig 3: Proportion of health workers who would take a COVID-19 vaccine

Reasons for declining a COVID-19 vaccine

Reasons for declining a COVID-19 vaccine were mainly concerns about the efficacy, unknown side effects and safety of the vaccine. While other respondents felt that vaccines were developed as a monetary scheme, some felt that the vaccine developed might not be safe for use due to the "hurried" approval or that it may not be effective due to the mutative nature of the virus. Respondents' comments on these concerns were as follows:

"The current (COVID-19) vaccines don't meet the vaccine trial period of at least 10 years plus" a lab technologist (31-40 years).

"I fear the side effects of the (COVID-19) vaccine" a nurse (31-40 years).

"The issue of vaccines which are not very clear in that most individuals believe that it is a scheme" a lab technologist (41-50 years).

"Hurried approval of vaccine and mutation of the virus" a nurse (41-50 years).

"Am just not comfortable with that vaccine, not sure whether it will protect me from contacting the disease." a clinical officer (31-40 years).

Some of the respondents reported that they don't trust the government and therefore, they would not accept to take a vaccine even if provided for free. Other respondents reported that for them to take the COVID-19 vaccine, politicians should take it first in order to observe their reactions towards it. These are some of the comments made by HCWs who took part in answering this section:

"I don't trust this government's effort in this fight against COVID-19. I may just get infected after the vaccination due to hidden agendas" a clinical officer (31-40 years).

Other healthcare workers felt that they did not have enough information or knowledge on the COVID-19 vaccine and require more information on the vaccine before using it. Others indicated that they would rely on herd immunity and therefore did not need to take the vaccine.

Table 7: **Vaccine Acceptability among Healthcare Workers**

Parameters	Groups	Vaccine acceptability	P-value
Gender	Male (n=460)	77%	<0.0001
	Female (n=513)	66%	
Age	Below 35 years (n=347)	74.8%	0.004
	Above 36years (n=276)	66%	
County of work	Nairobi (n=272)	70%	0.78
	Other counties (n=674)	71%	
Doctor	(n=330)	76%	0.01
Others	(n=643)	68%	
Nurses	(n=252)	64%	0.007
Others	(n=721)	73%	
Previous infected COVID-19	Yes (n=56)	73%	0.7
	No (n=918)	71%	
Work at a COVID-19 treatment centre or testing lab	Yes (n=201)	62%	0.002
	No (n=763)	73%	
Live alone	Yes (n=135)	77%	0.08
	No (832)	70%	

Discussion

It is critical that health facilities and most importantly health workers are prepared to handle any highly infectious disease at any given time. This will enable them to protect themselves and the general public against such infections. The objective of this study was to determine the level of knowledge, perception of risk and the preparedness of HCWs for COVID-19 in Kenya.

In our study, forty-seven percent of the participants were male, the mean age was 36.54±8.31 years and 64% of the participants had at least a bachelors' degree. In another study on Turkish health workers, 27% of the participants were male while the participants mean age was 33.88±8.72 years and 97.6% indicated they were university graduates. Though the level of knowledge on COVID-19 was good, it differed between the two studies (80% vs 91.7%). The difference in level of education attained by health workers in the two studies may be responsible for the different levels of knowledge on COVID-19 between the two groups (countries).

A majority of the respondents had knowledge on the risk factors and clinical manifestation of COVID-19 with at least 63% identifying the symptoms of the disease. Our findings were in tandem with some of the other similar studies done in other countries. A similar study in Northwest Ethiopia reported that 73.8% of the health workers had good knowledge on COVID-19 (17). Our finding was lower than that in Ethiopia. In contrast, a study on dental practitioners from 23 countries across the world reported a lower mean knowledge score of 34.9% (18). The possible reason for the difference in the knowledge scores between these studies may be due to the different settings for healthcare in these countries. Time may have also played a role in the difference due to more awareness on COVID-19 being created. Knowledge on key risk factors associated with development of severe COVID-19 was also found to be high. However, a proportion of the respondents (12.6%) wrongly highlighted that 'young age' was one of the risk factors that was associated with severe COVID-19 development.

Overall, level of perception of risk of infection with COVID-19 for health workers was found to be high (97%). Respondents also felt that the risk of infection with COVID-19 for the general public was also high (90%). In another study on the Turkish health workers, 69.32% of the respondents perceived the infection with COVID-19 as dangerous (19). The sense of preparedness could be the reason for the difference between the two studies and therefore might explain why the nurses perceived the risk of infection with COVID-19 as high for everyone.

Most health workers would be comfortable to work with a colleague who had recovered from COVID-19. This could be associated with the good knowledge score of the participants on the modes of transmission, and the level of education attained by an individual like a health worker having attained at least a bachelors' degree.

Of all the participants who took part in the study, a majority felt at least partially prepared (72%) to handle the COVID-19 pandemic. However, some gaps such as inadequate PPE and training for COVID-19 infection control were identified. In comparison with a study in Ethiopia, the participants who reported that they were prepared for COVID-19 were lower (59.5%) (20) than in our study. Health workers may feel a sense of preparedness to handle a pandemic when they are trained adequately and provided with the necessary PPE. This may in-turn lead to change of attitude towards improved health service delivery. Inadequate PPE and lack of training are likely to put the health workers at a risk of contracting the infection. This in turn affects the expected service delivery and therefore complicates the fight against COVID-19. While more doctors and lab technologists felt that their institutions were fully prepared to handle patients with COVID-19, only 8% of the clinical officers felt the same leaving room for exploring why this difference in particular exists.

There was a difference between the urban counties and the rural and semi-rural counties in terms of preparedness for control the COVID-19 pandemic. Sixty-four percent of the participants from the urban counties indicated that they had received training specifically for COVID-19 infection

control unlike their colleagues from the rural and semi-rural counties that was accounted for by 54.1% (Table 6). This shows that there are factors that could contribute to such an occurrence. Since there are often fewer and isolated health workers in the rural and semi-rural counties as compared to their counterparts in the urban counties, the reasons for the difference in level of knowledge and preparedness to handle the pandemic might be due to poor connectivity and poor networking among health workers as well as government policies that are urban centered.

A significant number of the respondents (29%) would not take a COVID-19 vaccine if one was offered at the time of the study. Most of the reasons such as possible side effects, efficacy and the 'hurried vaccine approval' cited for the hesitancy can be addressed by providing the correct information on Covid-19 vaccines. In a similar study done in the United States, the reasons for vaccine hesitancy among healthcare workers included safety concerns, the approval speed and effectiveness, however, only 36% of the participants would take the vaccine (21). The concern on the efficacy of vaccines (Oxford–AstraZeneca) under clinical trial have been explained, in that, these vaccines reportedly have more than 90% efficacy against COVID-19 (22).

From our study it was determined that male health workers were more likely to accept the COVID-19 vaccine than the female (77% vs 66%). In comparison to a similar study in Saudi Arabia, it was also found that male health workers were more likely to take a COVID-19 vaccine unlike their female counterparts (67% vs 33) (23). From the two studies, being a female health worker lowered the probability of taking a COVID-19 vaccine.

A few limitations were found in this study; some healthcare workers who participated in the research study did not fully fill the questionnaire. The questionnaire was made as short as possible and by using a user-friendly design hence a response rate of 95%. There also may have been a selection bias by leaving out healthcare workers who may not have had access to internet. Another limitation is that the REDCap application is configured for ease of use on a smart phone

or a computer and therefore health workers without one of these devices may have been unable to participate. This is an inherent weakness in the study.

Conclusion

We assessed the level of knowledge, perception of risk and preparedness of healthcare workers for COVID-19. Generally, the health workers had good knowledge on COVID-19, although it was not a perfect knowledge score. Doctors were found to have good knowledge on clinical manifestation and risk factors for development of severe COVID-19 as opposed to other cadres of healthcare workers. Majority of the HCWs perceived the risk of infection with COVID-19 as high and a significant number of HCWs felt that they were not fully prepared to handle the pandemic. A majority of health workers (about two thirds) were willing to take a COVID-19 vaccine if provided with one.

Recommendation

There is need to fill the knowledge gap among different health cadres to avoid the differences observed. There is also need for robust training on COVID-19 infection control and provision of PPE to boost knowledge and enhance preparedness and improve perception of risk of infection with the disease.

Acknowledgements

We deeply appreciate Collins Mwebi Maina and Saadia Ahmed Guhad for their continued assistance and commitment in drafting this manuscript.

References

1. Guo Y-R, Cao Q-D, Hong Z-S, Tan Y-Y, Chen S-D, Jin H-J, et al. The origin, transmission and clinical therapies on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak – an update on the status. Mil Med Res 2020 71. 2020;7(1):1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/S40779-020-00240-0

2. Yin Y, Wunderink RG. MERS, SARS and other coronaviruses as causes of pneumonia. Respirology. 2018;23(2):130–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/RESP.13196

3. World Health Organization. Health workers exposure risk assessment and management in the context of COVID-19 virus: interim guidance, 4 March 2020 [Internet]. Geneva PP - Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020.

4. World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) [Internet]. 2021.

5. World Health Organization. Coronavirus (COVID-19) | WHO | Regional Office for Africa [Internet]. 2021.

6. World Health Organization. Kenya: WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard With Vaccination Data | WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard With Vaccination Data [Internet]. 2021.

7. Arslan M, Xu B, Gamal El-Din M. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 via fecal-oral and aerosols–borne routes: Environmental dynamics and implications for wastewater management in underprivileged societies. Sci Total Environ. 2020;743:140709. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2020.140709

8. Harper CA, Satchell LP, Fido D, Latzman RD. Functional Fear Predicts Public Health Compliance in the COVID-19 Pandemic. Int J Ment Heal Addict 2020. 2020;1–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11469-020-00281-5

9. Chen X, Liu Y, Gong Y, Guo X, Zuo M, Li J, et al. Perioperative Management of Patients Infected with the Novel CoronavirusRecommendation from the Joint Task Force of the

Chinese Society of Anesthesiology and the Chinese Association of Anesthesiologists.

Anesthesiology. 2020;132(6):1307–16. https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0000000000003301

10.   Pițigoi D, Săndulescu O, Ionescu T, Nițescu B, Nițescu M, Streinu-Cercel A, et al.

Assessment of knowledge, attitudes and perceptions regarding Ebola disease in healthcare

workers from a tertiary care hospital in Romania. Public Health. 2018;164:7–15.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PUHE.2018.07.002

11.   Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in

Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus–Infected Pneumonia.

https://doi.org/101056/NEJMoa2001316. 2020;382(13):1199–207.

https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMOA2001316

12.   Srikanth Bhagavathula A, Ali Aldhaleei W, Rahmani J, Ashrafi Mahabadi M, Kumar

Bandari D, Bhagavathula A, et al. Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Knowledge and

Perceptions: A Survey of Healthcare Workers. MedRxiv. 2020;

https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381

13.   Raab M, Pfadenhauer LM, Millimouno TJ, Hoelscher M, Froeschl G. Knowledge, attitudes

and practices towards viral haemorrhagic fevers amongst healthcare workers in urban and

rural public healthcare facilities in the N'zérékoré prefecture, Guinea: a cross-sectional

study. BMC Public Heal 2020 201. 2020;20(1):1–8. https://doi.org/10.1186/S12889-020-

8433-2

14.   Sridhar S, Brouqui P, Fontaine J, Perivier I, Ruscassier P, Gautret P, et al. Risk perceptions

of MSF healthcare workers on the recent Ebola epidemic in West Africa. New Microbes

New Infect. 2016;12:61–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NMNI.2016.04.010

15.   Gee S, Skovdal M. The role of risk perception in willingness to respond to the 2014–2016

West African Ebola outbreak: a qualitative study of international health care workers. Glob

Heal Res Policy 2017 21. 2017;2(1):1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/S41256-017-0042-Y

16.   Kwok KO, Li KK, Chan HH, Yi YY, Tang A, Wei WI, et al. Community responses during

the early phase of the COVID-19 epidemic in Hong Kong: Risk perception, information

exposure and preventive measures. MedRxiv, 2020.02. 26.20028217. Prieiga per internetą<

https//doi org/101101/202002. 2020;26.

17. Kassie BA, Adane A, Tilahun YT, Kassahun EA, Ayele AS, Belew AK. Knowledge and

attitude towards COVID-19 and associated factors among health care providers in

Northwest Ethiopia. PLoS One. 2020;15(8 august):1–12.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238415 PMID: 32857811

18. Sarfaraz S, Shabbir J, Mudasser MA, Khurshid Z, Al-Quraini AAA, Abbasi MS, et al.

Knowledge and attitude of dental practitioners related to disinfection during the covid-19

pandemic. Healthc. 2020;8(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare8030232 PMID:

32722402

19. Arslanca T, Fidan C, Daggez M, Dursun P. Knowledge, preventive behaviors and risk

perception of the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional study in Turkish health care

workers. PLoS One. 2021;16(4 April):1–11. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250017

PMID: 33836013

20. Zewudie A, Regasa T, Kebede O, Abebe L, Feyissa D, Ejata F, et al. Healthcare

Professionals' Willingness and Preparedness to Work During COVID-19 in Selected

Hospitals of Southwest Ethiopia. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2021;14:391.

https://doi.org/10.2147/RMHP.S289343 PMID: 33568957

21. Shekhar R, Sheikh AB, Upadhyay S, Singh M, Kottewar S, Mir H, et al. COVID-19

Vaccine Acceptance among Health Care Workers in the United States. Vaccines 2021, Vol

9, Page 119. 2021;9(2):119. https://doi.org/10.3390/VACCINES9020119

22. Knoll MD, Wonodi C. Oxford–AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine efficacy. Lancet.

2021;397(10269):72–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32623-4 PMID: 33306990

23. Qattan AMN, Alshareef N, Alsharqi O, Al Rahahleh N, Chirwa GC, Al-Hanawi MK.

Acceptability of a COVID-19 Vaccine Among Healthcare Workers in the Kingdom of

Saudi Arabia. Front Med. 2021;8:83. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2021.644300

Supporting information

554   **S1 Text.** Questionnaire for knowledge, risk perception, preparedness and COVID-19 vaccine

555   acceptability among Kenyan health workers

557   **S1 Dataset.** Dataset set from knowledge, risk perception, preparedness and COVID-19 vaccine

558   acceptability among Kenyan health workers

Respondents' Gender by Profession

Knowledge on COVID-19 Clinical Manifestation

Vaccine Acceptability per Cadre

Cadre	Yes	No
All Cadres (n=973)	70.9%	29.1%
Nurses (n=252)	64.3%	35.7%
Lab Technologists (n=114)	68.4%	31.6%
Other Cadres (n=71)	71.8%	28.2%
Clinical Officers (n=206)	71.8%	28.2%
Doctors (n=330)	76.1%	23.9%

■ Yes □ No