medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

SPECIFIC DETECTION OF SARS-COV-2 VARIANTS B.1.1.7 (ALPHA) AND B.1.617.2 (DELTA) USING A ONE-STEP QUANTITATIVE PCR ASSAY

Oran Erster[1§], Ella Mendelson[1,2], Areej Kabat[1], Virginia Levy[1], Batya Menasheh[1], Hadar Asraf[1], Roberto Azar[1], Yaniv Ali[1], Efrat Bucris[1], Dana Bar-Ilan[1], Orna Mor[1,2], Michal Elul[1], Michal Mandelboim[1,2], Danit Sofer[1], Shai Fleishon[1], Neta S Zuckerman[1‡], Itay Bar-Or[1‡]

[1]Central Virology Laboratory, Public Health Services, Ministry of Health, Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Ramat Gan, Israel.
[2]School of Public Health, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel.
[§]Corresponding author contact information: oran.erster@sheba.health.gov.il
[‡]These authors contributed equally to this study

ABSTRACT

In this report, we describe the development of an RT-qPCR assay, termed Alpha Delta assay, which can detect SARS-COV-2 (SC-2) and distinguish between the Alpha (B.1.1.7) and Delta (B.1.617.2) variants. The Alpha- and Delta-specific reactions in the assay target mutations that are strongly linked to the target variant. The Alpha reaction targets the D3L substitution in N gene, and the Delta reaction targets the spike gene 156-158 mutations. Additionally, we developed a second Delta-specific assay, used as a confirmatory test for the Alpha Delta assay that targets the 119-120 deletion in the Orf8 gene. Both reactions have similar sensitivities of 15-25 copies per reaction, similar to the sensitivity of commercial SC-2 detection tests. The Alpha Delta assay and the Orf8-119del assay were successfully used to classify clinical samples that were subsequently analyzed by whole genome sequencing. Lastly, we show that the Alpha Delta and Orf8-119del assays correctly identified the presence of Alpha and Delta lineages RNA in wastewater samples. This study provides a rapid, sensitive and cost-effective tool for detecting and classifying two worldwide dominant SC-2 variants. It also highlights the importance of a timely diagnostic response to the emergence of new SC-2 variants with significant consequences on global health.

Keywords: SARS-COV-2, RT-qPCR, Alpha (B.1.1.7), Delta (B.1.617.2), classification

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

1. INTRODUCTION

The emergence of new SC-2 variants has been a major concern due to their potential to increase morbidity and mortality, thereby causing further difficulty in fighting and containing the worldwide COVID19 pandemic [1,2,3]. Since the end of 2020, more than 20 SC-2 variants with distinct genomic signature have been identified worldwide, some of these variants divided into additional subclades (https://covariants.org/, https://cov-lineages.org/lineage_list.html). Some of them were characterized by markedly increased infectivity, which led to recurring outbreaks and "take-over" effect of specific variants, such as B.1.1.7 (Alpha) in a matter of 4-5 weeks [1]. Other variants, such as B.1.351 (Beta) and P1 (Gamma) spread rapidly and showed a significant potential to become dominant [4], but were eventually pushed aside by others. Variant B.1.617, initially identified in India on late 2020, spread rapidly and one of its sub-lineages- B.1.617-2 became a globally dominant variant within a few months. The transmission pattern of Alpha and Delta variants suggests that they both spread from their country of origin, rapidly reaching North and South America, Europe, Africa and Australia [4]. In Israel, two large morbidity waves were associated with the introduction of new variants. First, the Alpha variant high morbidity wave, which started in mid-December 2020 and declined by mid-March 2021 following the successful nation-wide immunization campaign with two doses of the BNT162-b2 vaccine [5]. Then, the current high morbidity wave dominated by the Delta variant, which started in July 2021 in parallel to vaccines waning immunity, and continues [6]. Immunological studies demonstrated that some of the emerging variants have the potential to evade, at least partially, the neutralizing effect of both convalescent patients and vaccinated individuals [2,3,7,8,9]. The spreading of such variants my therefore compromise the effectiveness of global vaccination efforts, aimed to contain the COVID19 pandemic.

A fundamental pillar of the campaign against COVID19 is the ability to detect the presence and identity of SC-2 RNA in both infected individuals and environmental samples. This pressing need led to an unprecedented number of commercial detection kits based on molecular and serological principles, with varying degrees of sensitivity, throughput, reliability and turnaround time [10, 11,12 and references therein]. Due to their epidemiological and medical importance, the rapid identification of circulating variants becomes a central tool in the fight against the pandemic.

In addition to diagnosis of clinical samples, the monitoring of SC-2 RNA in environmental samples, mostly, but not exclusively, wastewater, proved as an important tool [6,13,14]. Detection of SC-2

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

RNA in such samples is exceptionally challenging due to their very low abundance and the presence of PCR inhibitors, which render this approach even more difficult. Several reports describe the successful identification of SC-2 RNA in environmental samples, and some describe the classification of the examined samples, using sequencing [6,15,16]. Classification of samples using sequencing requires sufficient quality and quantity, which are often not available, with environmental samples. Moreover, this approach is currently much more expensive compared with PCR-based assays, and cannot be scaled-up readily, to facilitate rapid screening of a large number of samples efficiently.

In order to address the need for rapid classification of SC-2 positive samples, several manufacturers released sets of molecular tests that specifically detect key mutations that are associated with increased transmissibility or vaccine resistance (www.seegene.com, www.thermofisher.com, www.kogene.co.kr). However, most of these mutations are common to multiple variants and therefore cannot be used to assign a sample of interest to a certain lineage with high degree of confidence using a single test. We recently developed quantitative PCR (qPCR)-based molecular tests that target mutations that are strongly associated with variants Alpha and Beta, thereby enabling rapid classification of such samples, with high confidence. We demonstrated that the selected target mutations successfully reflect the lineage of the examined sample, as confirmed by Sanger and whole genome sequencing [17]. In this report, we describe the development and utilization of two qPCR reactions that target mutations that are, thus far, unique to variant B.1.617 (Delta lineage). We combined one of these reactions with the N_{D3L} reaction that detects the Alpha variant, and the inclusive E-sarbeco reaction [18] we described previously, to a new selective multiplex assay. This assay can determine if the examined samples is of lineage Alpha, Delta, or none of them. We show that the new multiplex assay, which we term “Alpha Delta assay”, is rapid, sensitive and reliable, as confirmed by sequencing. Finally, we demonstrate the capability of the new assay to detect and classify SC-2 RNA extracted from environmental samples.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Preparation of clinical and environmental samples

Clinical samples were prepared during the laboratory diagnostic routine from nasopharyngeal swabs, as described before [17]. RNA was extracted using either MagNA- Pure 96 system (Roche, https:// lifescience.roche.com/) or PPS MagLEAD system (http://www.pss.co.jp/english/). The extractions were used for routine clinical diagnostics and were incubated at -80°C for long-term storage prior to the novel assay development. Environmental samples were processed as described before [6]. Briefly, sewage samples were centrifuged and filtered before extraction was commenced with either Nuclisense EasyMag (Biomerieux, https://www.biomerieux-usa.com/clinical/) or EMag extraction systems. Immediately after extraction, all samples were preserved at -80°C until PCR testing was performed.

2.2. Cell culture

In order to culture positive SC-2 samples, the medium from the selected collection tubes was filtered using a 0.22µM filter (https://www.merckmillipore.com/) and used for inoculation of Vero E6 cells. The cells were allowed to reach 70% confluence and were then incubated for 1 hour at 37°C with 300µl of the filtered medium. After 1 hour, the medium was removed and the cells were incubated in MEM-EAGLE medium with 2% FCS. Cells were monitored daily for the presence of cytopathic effect (CPE). Upon CPE onset, the culture medium was collected and viral RNA was extracted. Resulting RNA extractions were kept at -80°C until use.

2.3. Design of B.1.671-specific RT-qPCR assays

Sequences classified as B.1.617 were obtained from the GISAID database (https://www.epicov.org/epi3/frontend#2d1c1e) and were analyzed by alignment with reference sequence NC_045512 to identify mutated regions that can be used for differential analysis. Uniqueness of the selected mutations was confirmed by performing global analysis for the selected mutations in the NextStrain website, to identify other lineages with identical mutations (https://nextstrain.org/ncov/gisaid/global). Corresponding primers and probes that detect only the mutated sequences were designed for each region, and examined *In silico* for secondary structure formation, specificity and compatibility with qPCR assay using the Geneious software

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

(https://www.geneious.com/) and using the NCBI BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). The primers and probes used in this study are detailed in **Table 1**.

2.4. RT-qPCR assay

MDX106 Inhibitor-tolerant RT-qPCR mix was from Bioline (Bioline, https://www.bioline.com/). Primers and probes were either from Metabion (https://www.metabion.com/) or from Merk-Sigma Israel (https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/IL/en). Reaction components were assembled as described in **Table 2**, using the MDX106 Inhibitor-tolerant mix. The reactions were run in CFX-96 thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, https://www.bio-rad.com/), using the following profile:
45°C for 10'10", 45X[95°C for 2'20", 95°C for 4", 60°C for 22"]. Fluorescence was recorded at 60°C, at the end of each amplification cycle. Results were analyzed using the CFX Maestro software (Bio-Rad).

2.5. Sanger sequencing of culture-derived and clinical samples

The N-terminal domain (NTD) of the SC-2 Spike gene and the C-terminal domain (CTD) of SC-2 Orf8 were amplified using the primer pairs detailed in **Table 1**. Primer pair T7-21494 Fwd + Cov19 22475 were used for the Spike region amplification, and primer pair pT7 27945 Fwd + 28525 Rev were used for the Orf8 region amplification. PCR was performed using the PCRBIO 1-Step Go RT-PCR Kit (https://pcrbio.com/row/products/pcr/pcrbio-1-step-go-rt-pcr-kit/) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The PCR products were resolved in 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis to confirm adequate amplification. Each product was then used as template for dye labeling reaction using the BigDye kit (https://www.thermofisher.com/) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing was performed using the ABI 3500 genetic analyzer (https://www.thermofisher.com/).

2.6. Generation of In-vitro transcribed standard RNA

RNA standards of the reaction targets were designed and synthesized as described before (Erster *et al.* 2021). The primers for the amplification of the S_{157del} and $Orf8_{119del}$ reaction targets are detailed in **Table 1**. RT-PCR was performed with Fwd primers containing the minimal T7 promoter sequence. Resulting PCR products were purified using the Machery-Nagel NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (https://www.mn-net.com/). The

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

purified products were then used for In vitro transcription with the T7-Megascript kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Fisher, https://www.thermofisher.com/). The concentration of the resulting RNA was measured using the NanoDrop spectrophotometer (https://www.thermofisher.com/il/en/). All reaction products were kept at -80°C until use.

2.7. Evaluation of analytical and clinical sensitivity of the Alpha-Delta assay

In order to facilitate rapid classification of the examined sample, four reactions were combined in a single assay. The following reactions were combined: inclusive E-sarbeco, which detects SC-2 RNA regardless of the variant (FAM fluorophore), N_{D3L} reaction, which detects the Alpha variant (HEX channel), S_{157del} reaction, which detects the Delta variant (TexasRed Channel), and an endogenous control reaction for the human RNAse P gene (Cy5 channel). The details of the E, N_{D3L} and RNAse P reactions were described previously (Erster *et al.* 2021). The combined multiplex was termed Alpha-Delta assay hereafter. The analytical sensitivity of the Alpha-Delta assay was evaluated using serial dilutions of the *In-vitro* transcribed targets of each reaction. All four targets were mixed together in an initial concentration of 10^{-4} ng/µl, and 10-fold dilutions were prepared in nuclease-free TE buffer pH7.5 (IDT, https://eu.idtdna.com/). The clinical sensitivity was evaluated by serially diluting positive samples in an extraction of a SC-2 – negative sample.

2.8. Collection and preparation of wastewater samples

Wastewater collection was based on composite automated sampler for 24 hour located in different wastewater treatment plants. The samples were transferred under cold conditions to the lab and were processed within 24 hours. Concentration process uses 20 ml of raw sewage in duplicates. The raw sewage was centrifuged at 4700g for 5 min. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube containing 0.5gr $MgCl_2$ (0.26M). The tube was gently shaken for 5 min, followed by 0.45-µm pore-size and 47-mm diameter electronegative MCE membranes (Merck Millipore Ltd) filtration. The membrane was immediately transferred to a new tube containing 3 ml of lysis buffer (NucliSENS easyMAG). The tube was gently shaken to extract the trapped RNA virus. Total nucleic acids (NA) were extracted using the NucliSENS easyMAG system (bioM'rieux, Marcyl'Etoile, France) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Extracted NA were eluted in 55 µl elution buffer and stored at −70 °C until sequencing.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

2.9. Whole genome sequencing

COVID-seq kit was used for library preparation as per manufacturer's instructions (Illumina, https://www.illumina.com). Library validation and mean fragment size was determined by Tapestation 4200 via DNA HS D1000 kit (Agilent, https://www.agilent.com/). Libraries were pooled, denatured and diluted to 10pM and sequenced on NovaSeq system (Illumina).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Design of the Spike$_{157del}$ and Orf8$_{119del}$ reactions

Alignment of genomes identified as the Delta lineage from the GISAID database (https://www.gisaid.org/) with SC-2 reference sequence NC_045512 showed that all Delta sequences contained a substitution in position deletion in positions 22045-22050, which translates into a.a. E to G substitution in position 156 and deletion in positions 157-158 in the Spike protein (**Figure 1A**). Accordingly, a specific reaction was designed to detect that deletion, denoted S_{157del} reaction hereafter, by using a probe that can only bind to the mutated sequence (21987 probe). In order to establish that the selected mutations were indeed unique to the Delta lineage, global analysis of SC-2 genomes was performed using the NextStrain website (https://nextstrain.org/), with the deletion in positions 22045-22050 as a search term. The resulting dendrogram showed that the double deletion was indeed unique to the Delta lineage and was absent from other known lineages (Supplementary **Figure 1A**).

The Delta genomes alignment showed a second unique deletion, mapped to nucleotide positions 28248-28253 in reference sequence NC_045512C, at the C-terminal end of Orf8, spanning amino acids 119-120 of the protein (**Figure 2A**). Global genome analysis performed as described for the 22045-22050 deletion, showed that the deletion at positions 28248-28253 was also unique for Delta lineage (**Figure 2B**). A specific probe was designed to identify the deletion-containing sequence (28199). Secondary structure simulation suggested that the original probe sequence is likely to generate a strong stem-loop structure, thereby significantly reducing the reaction efficiency.in order to avoid this undesired outcome, a single G to T substitution was incorporated at position 10, in order to avoid the stem-loop formation (**Supplementary Figure S1**). Two primers were then designed to amplify the deletion region and complete the RT-qPCR assay. The sequences of the Orf8$_{119\text{-}120del}$ primers and probe are detailed in **Table 1**. Sequencing of the C-terminal domain (CTD) of the Orf8 gene from the same four samples classified by the S_{157del} assay

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

as Delta, confirmed the presence of the Orf8 119-120 deletion, which is the target of the $Orf8_{119del}$ reaction (**Figure 2B**). Additional confirmation for the accuracy of the two reactions was performed by sequencing four samples that were identified as Delta-suspected. Each sample was sequenced in the S_{157del} region and the $Orf8_{119del}$ region. As shown in **Supplementary Figure S2**, all sample contained both deletions.

3.3. Development and evaluation of the Alpha-Delta assay

In order to facilitate detection of SC-2 RNA regardless of the lineage and identify the Alpha or Delta lineages in a single test, the three reactions were combined in one multiplex termed "Alpha-Delta assay". A control reaction detecting human RNAseP gene was also included in the multiplex for an endogenous control, as described previously [17]. Analytical sensitivity of the Alpha-Delta assay was evaluated using serial dilutions of mixed RNA targets of the four reactions combined in the assay. Each dilution was examined in a triplicate. The limit of detection (LOD) for the SC-2 targets was determined to be 33.3 copies/reaction for the E-sarbeco reaction, 12.9 copies for the N_{D3L} reaction, and 14.5 copies for the S_{157del} reaction. The LOD for the hRNAse P reaction was 35.4 copies/reaction (**Supplementary Figure S3**). The $Orf8_{119del}$ reaction was developed as a confirmatory assay for inconclusive Delta suspected samples. This reaction was used in combination with the E-sarbeco reaction that served as an inclusive SC-2 control reaction to ensure the presence of the SC-2 RNA. The analytical LOD of that reaction was determined to be 23.6 copies/reaction (**Supplementary figure S3**). The specificity of the Delta-specific reactions was tested using RNA extractions from lineages 19A/19B (Wuhan lineage), Alpha, Beta and Gamma. As detailed in Supplementary **Table S1**, all lineages were negative for both the S_{157del} and $Orf8_{119del}$ reactions.

Analysis of the amplification curves of the different Delta assay variations showed that in some samples, mostly with a high RNA concentration (Cq≤22), a weak signal in the HEX channel was observed, assumingly resulting from low-affinity N_{D3L} reaction (**Figure 3D**). However, in these cases, the Cq values of the E-sarbeco reaction and the S_{257del} reaction (in Delta samples) were always at least 10 cycles earlier than that of the N_{D3L} reaction, thereby clearly indicating that the sample was not of the Alpha lineage. Both the S_{157del} and the $Orf8_{119del}$ reactions were very specific and did not give a positive signal in non-Delta samples (**Figure 3**). In order to determine a possible mutual effect of the four reactions combined together, serial dilutions of Delta RNA from cultured

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

cells were tested, showing similar sensitivity of both the E-sarbeco and S_{157del} reactions, thus confirming the maintained sensitivity of the Alpha-Delta multiplex (**Supplementary figure S4**).

3.4 Examination of clinical samples using the Alpha-Delta assay followed by WGS analysis

Between January and May 2021, the prevalent lineage in Israel was Alpha. The Delta lineage began spreading in the country during mid-June 2021. The Alpha-Delta assay was therefore used to classify clinical samples whose lineage attribution was unknown, in order to enable rapid epidemiological investigations and to aid decision making regarding whole genome sequencing (WGS) prioritization. Later on, it was used as a routine test at the Israel Central Virology Laboratory. During June-August 2021, over 1,400 clinical samples were classified as Delta, Alpha or neither, using this assay. This classification was subsequently confirmed by Illumina WGS analysis. The details of 62 such samples selected randomly are listed in **Tables 3 and 4**. All samples classified by the Alpha-Delta qPCR assay as suspected Delta, were either identified as such by the WGS analysis, or classified as "no lineage" (**Table 3**). Those who were designated "no lineage" were mostly with Cq value higher than 32, and were all with 70% or less sequencing coverage **(Table 4**). These samples, which were classified as "Delta-suspected" by the Alpha-Delta assay, were positive for the Orf8 119-120 deletion, but the S_{157del} region was not fully sequenced. Comparison of the PCR results with the Illumina WGS analysis showed that of the 62 samples randomly selected, 19 were not classified by the WGS analysis, but were positive for the Delta-specific deletions, either the $S157_{del}$ or the $Orf8_{119del}$, or both (**Table 3**). Examination of the Cq values obtained for the WGS non-classified samples, showed that two samples had values of 27 and 29 (14957 and 14968, respectively), and all others were higher than 30 (**Table 3**). The sequence coverage of the unclassified samples ranged between 20.9% and 70% (**Table 4**). These data suggest that the positive identification of both the $Orf8_{119del}$ and the S_{157del} mutations by the qPCR assay, enable a more definite classification of these samples, even in the absence of a complete sequencing coverage. The assay also detected a small number of Alpha lineage samples (two in the samples presented in **Tables 3, 4**), where the N_{D3L} mutation was detected by both the qPCR assay and the WGS analysis (**Tables 3, 4**).

3.5 Detection of the Alpha and Delta lineage in wastewater samples

The capability of the Alpha-Delta and confirmatory $Orf8_{119del}$ assays to detect the presence of the Delta lineage RNA in sewage samples was evaluated using samples from different periods of the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

COVID19 pandemic, from December 2020 until mid-June 2021. As detailed in **Table 5**, the assay correctly identified the samples from 2020 as non-Alpha, non-Delta. Samples collected during the peak of the third morbidity wave in Israel were all identified as positive for the Alpha variant, and samples collected from July 2021 were all identified as positive for the Delta variant (**Table 5**). The Cq values of the N_{D3L} and S_{157del} reactions, when positive, were comparable to those of the E-sarbeco reaction, demonstrating sufficient sensitivity of these reactions with RNA extraction of wastewater. These results demonstrate the capability of the Alpha-Delta assay to detect the presence of SC-2 in wastewater and determine whether the Alpha or Delta lineages, or both, are circulating in the examined regions. In order to evaluate the capability of the $ORF8_{119del}$ reaction to identify the Delta linage RNA in wastewater samples, it was applied to samples that were previously identified as non-Alpha, non-Delta, or as Delta positive. Samples selected randomly from 2020 were all negative for the presence of the $ORF8_{119del}$ mutation, while recent samples from July 2021 were all identified as positive for the mutation (**Table 6**). Although the Cq values obtained with the $ORF8_{119del}$ reaction were 2-3 cycles higher than those of the S_{157del} reaction in this test, all samples were correctly identified. Collectively, these results show that both the Alpha-Delta multiplex and the confirmatory $ORF8_{119del}$ test can be used for examination of identification of the Alpha and Delta lineages in wastewater samples.

4. DISCUSSION

The emergence of SC-2 variants that have the potential to affect both COVID-19 spreading and vaccine evasion, poses additional challenge for current intensive diagnostics efforts worldwide. The primary goal of diagnostic SC-2 tests was initially to detect the presence of SC-2 RNA, either in clinical or environmental samples. However, the need for rapid classification of SC-2 sample for their lineage, once a sample is determined as SC-2 positive, becomes essential, when attempting to monitor emergence or incursion of new variants, into a country, a geographic region, or a community. Surveillance through sequencing is probably the ultimate tool to identify the emergence of new and potentially important variants [19]. However, whole genome sequencing (WGS) is expensive, time-consuming, required exceptionally skilled personnel and cannot be readily scaled-up for high throughput testing, compared to rapid molecular tests [16,20]. In this

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

dynamic situation of emerging variants, rapid, cost-effective and high throughput identification of circulating SC-2 variants is required.

We recently reported on the development and utilization of a rapid multiplex RT-qPCR assay that successfully classified SC-2 samples as Alpha or Beta, or neither. We demonstrated that it could be readily scaled-up to accommodate high throughput testing [17]. Here, we describe a new assay that combines general detection of SC-2, together with specific classification of the two major variants Alpha and Delta. Previous reports of in-house selective assays, as well as commercial variant detection kits, rely mostly on the identification of common mutations that are not specific to a single variant [21,22,23]. Other VOC detection approaches rely on multi-step expensive procedures [24,25]. Such assays are not suitable for rapid determination the identity of an examined sample, based on a single assay. Confirmation of the Alpha Delta assay results by Sanger and Illumina sequencing reinforced its importance as a first line rapid and reliable tool for classification of major VOCs, obviating the need for further examination. The identification of both Orf8 and Spike gene Delta-specific deletions, in samples with insufficient sequencing coverage, demonstrated the usefulness of the Alpha Delta assay, in determining the identity of "sequencing-resistant" samples. Such problems can result from low RNA quantity (as evident by the Cq values detailed in **Table 3**), or other factors preventing the completion of the sequencing procedure. This is particularly important in classification of wastewater-derived samples, where the target RNA is a pool from multiple individuals, is diluted and the sample contains chemical inhibitors. The Alpha Delta assay successfully detected the presence of the Delta variant even in very low RNA concentration, thereby enabling sensitive monitoring of the circulation of this variant during its incursion into the country. This assay was also used for screening international arrivals, which is particularly important when attempting to prevent the spreading of new variants. While other reported approaches to detect SC-2 variants in international travelers rely on lengthy multi-step procedures [24,25] this assay is rapid (~80 minutes from extraction to answer) and can be implemented in most standard laboratories that perform routine PCR tests. This is particularly advantageous when an accelerated epidemiological investigation or other actions need to be taken, and complete genomic analysis is not available.

The $Orf8_{119del}$ reaction was used in this study as a confirmatory assay, complementing the first-line Alpha Delta assay. This is useful to confirm ambiguous results, and to provide additional support for lineage classification, when sequencing analysis is not available. The S_{157del} and the $Orf8_{119del}$ reactions showed similar performance in both clinical and wastewater samples and are

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

therefore equally useful in the current situation where both deletions are unique for the Delta variant. However, if a new variant will emerge, where one of these mutations is present, re-assessment of the multiplex combination can be performed, in order to adjust the reactions to the required assay specificity. The ability to assemble different reactions into a single multiplex assay is advantageous with respect to the intended use of the assay, as we show here. When the Beta variant was introduced in Israel, we used the combination of the Alpha Beta and inclusive E reactions to classify the examined samples. Upon introduction of the Delta variant, we modified the assay and added a confirmatory reaction, to accommodate the pressing need to identify the Delta and Alpha variants, but also identify non-Alpha, non-Delta positive samples, all in a single 80-minutes standard RT-qPCR assay.

This study therefore demonstrates that in addition to implementation of a useful diagnostic tool, the approach of a developing tailor-made variant-specific qPCR assay can improve the SC-2 diagnostic capability in any qPCR-qualified laboratory, and can complement variant classification when WGS analysis either fails or is not available. Furthermore, such an assay can be valuable for epidemiological studies, enabling a quick and affordable assessment of the circulation of major variants in a community, or in a geographic region of interest. It is conceivable that the emergence of future SC-2 variants, and possibly other infectious viruses, will warrant further development of such diagnostic tools.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

ETHICAL STATEMENT

The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Sheba Medical Center institutional review board (7045-20-SMC). Patient consent was waived as the study used remains of clinical samples and the analysis used anonymous clinical data.

TABLES

Table 1. Primers and probes used for the development of the $S_{156\text{-}157del}$ and $Orf8_{119\text{-}120del}$ assays

Name	Sequence 5'->3'	Comments
$S_{156\text{-}157del}$		
22003 Fwd	GTGTTTATTACCACAAAAACAACAA	Forward primer for qPCR
21987 probe	GATGGACAGTGGAGTTTATTCTAGTG	Fluorophore: TexasRed, Quencher: BHQ-2
22075 Rev	GGCTGAGAGACATATTCAAAAGTGC	Reverse primer for qPCR
T7 21494 Fwd	**TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG**GACTTATAATTAGAGAAAACAACAG[1]	Forward primer for In vitro control standard
Cov19 22475	GTTTCTGAGAGAGGGTCAAGTGCAC	Reverse primer for In vitro control standard
$Orf8_{del}$		
28225 Fwd	GAAGACTTTTTAGAGTATCATGAC	Forward primer for qPCR
28232 Rev	TTTTGGGGTCCATTATCAGAC	Reverse primer for qPCR
28199 probe	CGTGTTGTTGTAATCTAAACGAACAAAC	Fluorophore: HEX, Quencher: BHQ-2
pT7 27945 Fwd	**TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG**CCATATGTAGTTGATGACCCGTGTC[1]	Forward primer for In vitro control standard
28525 Rev	CCATCTTGGACTGAGATCTTTCATTTTAC	Reverse primer for In vitro control standard
E-sarbeco		
E_Sarbeco_F1b	GTTAATAGCGTACTTCTTTTTCTTGC	Forward primer for qPCR
E_Sarbeco_R2	ATATTGCAGCAGTACGCACACA	Reverse primer for qPCR
E_Sarbeco_P1	ACACTAGCCATCCTTACTGCGCTTCG	Fluorophore: FAM, Quencher: BHQ-1
$N_{B.1.1.7}$		
28257D3L Fwd	TAAACGAACAAACTAAATGTCTCTA	
CoV19_N1-R	TCTGGTTACTGCCAGTTGAATCTG	
CoV19_N1-P	ACCCCGCATTACGTTTGGTGGACC	
RNASE P		
Rnase P-Fwd	AGATTTGGACCTGCGAGCG	
Rnase P-Rev	GAGCGGCTGTCTCCACAAGT	
Rnase P-P	TTCTGACCTGAAGGCTCTGCGCG	Fluorophore: Cy5, Quencher: BHQ-2

[1]Minimal T7 promoter sequence is in bolded letters

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Reaction mix composition for the S_{157del} and $Orf8_{119del}$ assays.

B617 $S_{156-157del}$ +E + RNSP	**Conc / Rxn**	**Volume/reaction (µl)**
Meridian MDX 4X mix	X1	6.25
H_2O		8.55
28225 Fwd	500nM	0.25
28232 Rev 40uM	500nM	0.25
28199 Probe 20uM	250nM	0.25
E-Sarbeco-F1b 40µM	400nM	0.25
E-Sarbeco-R 40µM	400nM	0.25
E-Sarbeco-P FAM 20µM	200nM	0.25
RNasP-F	2X (of 300nM)	0.25
RNasP-R	2X (of 300nM)	0.25
RNasP-P / Cy-5	2X (of 200nM)	0.2
Total		16.3

B617 $Orf8_{119-120del}$ multiplex mix	**Final concentration**	**Volume/reaction (µl)**
Meridian MDX 4X mix	X1	6.25
H_2O		9.25
28225 Fwd	500nM	0.25
28232 Rev 40uM	500nM	0.25
28199 Probe HEX 20uM	250nM	0.25
E-Sarbeco-F1b 40µM	400nM	0.25
E-Sarbeco-R 40µM	400nM	0.25
E-Sarbeco-P FAM 20µM	200nM	0.25

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 3. Cq values and classification of the Alpha-Delta assay, compared with the Pangolin classification (https://cov-lineages.org/resources/pangolin.html) as determined by the WGS analysis of 62 representative samples.

Sample	CoV19 E	N_{D3L}	S_{157del}	ORF-8_{119del}	Pangolin Clade	RT-PCR classification
14918	25		27	26	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14920	36		38	40	None	Suspected B.617.2
14921	26		28	26	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14922	23		25	23	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14923	17		19	18	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14924	27		30	27	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14925	36		37	34	None	Suspected B.617.2
14926	22		24	22	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14928	37		N/A	39	None	Suspected B.617.2
14929	20		22	20	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14931	31		33	30	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14932	34		40	33	None	Suspected B.617.2
14933	21		23	21	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14934	29		31	29	None	Suspected B.617.2
14936	35		40	33	None	Suspected B.617.2
14937	21		23	21	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14938	34		42	34	None	Suspected B.617.2
14939	33		35	32	None	Suspected B.617.2
14940	28		30	28	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14941	24.68	25.51	N/A	N/A	B.1.1.7	Suspected B.1.1.7
14945	29		31	30	None	Suspected B.617.2
14946	25		28	26	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14947	25		27	26	B.1.404	Suspected B.617.2
14948	33		35	33	None	Suspected B.617.2
14949	22		24	23	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14950	27		29	28	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14951	36		37	35	None	Suspected B.617.2
14952	24		26	25	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14953	22		24	22	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14954	25		28	21	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14955	25		27	26	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14957	25		27	26	None	Suspected B.617.2
14958	22		23	22	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14960	27		29	27	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14963	32		34	32	None	Suspected B.617.2
14965	23		25	23	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14966	23		15	23	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14967	31		33	31	None	Suspected B.617.2
14968	27		29	28	None	Suspected B.617.2
14969	26		28	27	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14970	25		28	26	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14971	24		26	24	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14972	32		39	32	None	Suspected B.617.2
14973	28		29	28	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14975	23		25	24	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14978	21		21	21	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14980	26		28	27	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
14999	27	N/A	29	29	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
15006	28	NA	30	29	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
15012	28	NA	30	29	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
15014	31	NA	32	33	None	Suspected B.617.2
15019	25	NA	28	26	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
15024	31	NA	32	32	None	Suspected B.617.2
15036	30	NA	31	31	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 3 - continued

Sample	CoV19 E	N_{D3L}	S_{157del}	ORF-8_{119del}	Pangolin Clade	RT-PCR classification
15043	35	NA	36	36	None	Suspected B.617.2
15046	27	NA	28	28	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
15048	29	28	NA	NA	B.1.1	Suspected B.1.1.7
15051	28	NA	30	29	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
15053	28	NA	29	28	B.1.617.2	Suspected B.617.2
15085	33	NA	33	32	None	Suspected B.617.2

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 4. WGS Coverage, deletions sequenced by WGS analysis, and classification of clinical samples examined using the Alpha-Delta assay. The Alpha samples are highlighted.

Delta NGS report 14918-14980 samples

Sample	AA DELETIONS	coverage %	Pangolin Clade
14918	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.87	B.1.617.2
14920	E619-(S);V620-(S);P621-(S);V622-(S);A623-(S)	56.46	None
14921	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	97.67	B.1.617.2
14922	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.64	B.1.617.2
14923	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.04	B.1.617.2
14924	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	97.58	B.1.617.2
14925	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8)	41.58	None
14926	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.64	B.1.617.2
14928	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8)	22.57	None
14929	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.95	B.1.617.2
14931	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);R158-(S)		B.1.617.2
14932	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8)	57.41	None
14933	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.83	B.1.617.2
14934	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8)		None
14936	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8)	56.55	None
14937	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.79	B.1.617.2
14938		57.23	None
14939	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8)	68.74	None
14940	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	97.29	B.1.617.2
14941	S3675-(ORF1a);G3676-(ORF1a);F3677-(ORF1a);H69-(S);V70-(S);Y144-(S)	99.78	B.1.1.7
14945	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8)	69.39	None
14946	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.18	B.1.617.2
14947	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	98.34	B.1.404
14948	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8)	60.82	None
14949	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.83	B.1.617.2
14950	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	98.94	B.1.617.2
14951	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8)	36.15	None
14952	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	98.97	B.1.617.2
14953	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.82	B.1.617.2
14954	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.45	B.1.617.2
14955	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.72	B.1.617.2
14957		20.91	None
14958	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.8	B.1.617.2
14960	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99	B.1.617.2
14963	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8)	69.01	None
14965	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.73	B.1.617.2
14966	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.61	B.1.617.2
14967	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8)	70.23	None
14968	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8)		None
14969	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)		B.1.617.2
14970	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	98.53	B.1.617.2
14971	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.9	B.1.617.2
14972	C1732-(ORF1b);L1733-(ORF1b);C1734-(ORF1b)	36.73	None
14973	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	97.09	B.1.617.2
14975	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.55	B.1.617.2
14978	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.68	B.1.617.2
14980	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	99.82	B.1.617.2
14999	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	98.63	B.1.617.2

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 4 –continued

Sample	AA DELETIONS	coverage %	Pangolin Clade
15006	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	97.86	B.1.617.2
15012	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	94.57	B.1.617.2
15014	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8)		None
15019	L206-(M);D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)		B.1.617.2
15024	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	94.55	B.1.617.2
15036	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	97.86	B.1.617.2
15043	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8)	47.82	None
15046	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	97.87	B.1.617.2
15048	H69-(S);V70-(S);Y144-(S)	98.28	B.1.1
15051	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	98.38	B.1.617.2
15053	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8);F157-(S);R158-(S)	91.98	B.1.617.2
15085	D119-(ORF8);F120-(ORF8)	33.42	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 5. Detection of Alpha and Delta lineage in wastewater samples using the Alpha-Delta assay.

Samples collected during 2020 and during July 2021 were examined using the Alpha-Delta assay and the Orf8$_{119del}$ confirmatory test. The Cq values for each reaction are shown. N/A – No Amplification.

Sample	CVL E	$N_{B.1.1.7}$	S-157del	Sample	CVL E	$N_{B.1.1.7}$	S-157del
S-2299	35	N/A	N/A	S-12689	28	N/A	29
S-2302	32	N/A	N/A	S-12694	28	N/A	29
S-2307	32	N/A	N/A	S-12698	30	N/A	31
S-2310	30	N/A	N/A	S-12733	28	N/A	29
S-2314	31	N/A	N/A	S-12737	26	N/A	27
S-2318	30	N/A	N/A	S-12741	28	N/A	28
S-2328	31	N/A	N/A	S-12745	29	N/A	30
S-2332	31	N/A	N/A	S-12754	27	N/A	28
S-2336	31	N/A	N/A	S-12762	30	N/A	30
S-2340	32	N/A	N/A	S-12766	29	N/A	29
S-2344	30	N/A	N/A	Env_128	31	N/A	29
S-2349	33	N/A	N/A	Env_129	33	N/A	31
S-2353	32	N/A	N/A	Env_130	31	N/A	30
S-2357	32	N/A	N/A	Env_134	33	N/A	30
S-2382	30	N/A	N/A	Env_138	32	N/A	29
S-2386	31	N/A	N/A	Env_140	30	N/A	28
S-2390	30	N/A	N/A	Env_143	31	N/A	30
S-2394	34	N/A	N/A	Env_144	29	N/A	28
S-2399	31	N/A	N/A	Env_145	30	N/A	29
S-2402	32	N/A	N/A	Env_146	36	N/A	33
S-2407	31	N/A	N/A	Env_152	35	N/A	30
S-2424	30	N/A	N/A	Env_163	29	N/A	30
S-2457	32	N/A	N/A	Env_168	32	N/A	29
S-2461	34	N/A	N/A	Env_172	33	N/A	32
S-2480	32	N/A	N/A	Env_173	30	N/A	31
S-2493	33	N/A	N/A	Env_177	30	N/A	32
S-2498	30	N/A	N/A	Env_186	30	N/A	31
S-2501	29	N/A	N/A	Env_197	29	N/A	29
S-2505	31	N/A	N/A	Env_198	30	N/A	30
S-2509	33	N/A	N/A	S-12686	31	N/A	31
S-7369	31.50	32.74	N/A				
S-7419	31.36	33.01	N/A				
S-7451	31.79	33.40	N/A				
S-7455	31.01	33.16	N/A				
S-7459	30.63	31.79	N/A				
S-7463	30.83	32.03	N/A				
S-7467	31.26	32.39	N/A				
S-7592	31.21	32.19	N/A				
S-7600	31.11	32.39	N/A				
S-7604	31.76	33.44	N/A				
S-7613	32.14	33.61	N/A				
S-7678	32.75	34.74	N/A				
S-7699	29.06	30.15	N/A				
S-7718	32.71	34.16	N/A				
S-7722	31.89	33.72	N/A				
S-7727	31.78	33.10	N/A				
S-7734	32.72	33.49	N/A				
S-7742	32.71	33.92	N/A				
S-7754	32.02	33.40	N/A				
S-7762	32.07	33.69	N/A				
S-7369	31.50	32.74	N/A				
S-7419	31.36	33.01	N/A				
S-7451	31.79	33.40	N/A				
S-7455	31.01	33.16	N/A				
S-7459	30.63	31.79	N/A				
S-7463	30.83	32.03	N/A				
S-7467	31.26	32.39	N/A				
S-7592	31.21	32.19	N/A				

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 6. Detection of Delta lineage in wastewater samples using the Orf8$_{119del}$ test. Randomly selected wastewater samples that were previously tested with the Alpha Delta assay, were subsequently examined using the confirmatory Orf8119del test. N/A – No Amplification.

Sample	CVL E	S-157del	Orf8-119del
S-2480	32	N/A	N/A
S-2493	33	N/A	N/A
S-2498	30	N/A	N/A
S-2501	29	N/A	N/A
S-2505	31	N/A	N/A
S-2509	33	N/A	N/A
12689	28	29	31
12694	28	29	31
12698	30	31	32
12733	28	29	31
12737	26	27	30
12741	28	28	31
12745	29	30	32
12754	27	28	30
12762	30	30	32
12766	29	29	32
Env_128	31	29	32
Env_129	33	31	34
Env_130	31	30	32
Env_134	33	30	34
Env_138	32	29	31
Env_140	30	28	31
Env_143	31	30	33
Env_144	29	28	30
Env_145	30	29	31
Env_146	36	33	33
Env_152	35	30	33
Env_163	29	30	32
Env_168	32	29	32
Env_172	33	32	35
Env_173	30	31	34
Env_177	30	32	34
Env_186	30	31	34
Env_197	29	29	32
Env_198	30	30	33
S-12686	31	31	34

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

REFERENCES

[1] Davies NG, Abbott S, Barnard RC, Jarvis CI, Kucharski AJ, Munday JD, Pearson CAB, Russell TW, Tully DC, Washburne AD, Wenseleers T, Gimma A, Waites W, Wong KLM, van Zandvoort K, Silverman JD; CMMID COVID-19 Working Group; COVID-19 Genomics UK (COG-UK) Consortium, Diaz-Ordaz K, Keogh R, Eggo RM, Funk S, Jit M, Atkins KE, Edmunds WJ. Estimated transmissibility and impact of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in England. Science. 2021. eabg3055. doi: 10.1126/science.abg3055.DOI: 10.1126/science.abg3055

[2] Mlcochova P, Kemp S, Dhar MS, Papa G, Meng B, Ferreira IATM, Datir R, Collier DA, Albecka A, Singh S, Pandey R, Brown J, Zhou J, Goonawardane N, Mishra S, Whittaker C, Mellan T, Marwal R, Datta M, Sengupta S, Ponnusamy K, Radhakrishnan VS, Abdullahi A, Charles O, Chattopadhyay P, Devi P, Caputo D, Peacock T, Wattal DC, Goel N, Satwik A, Vaishya R, Agarwal M; Indian SARS-CoV-2 Genomics Consortium (INSACOG); Genotype to Phenotype Japan (G2P-Japan) Consortium; CITIID-NIHR BioResource COVID-19 Collaboration, Mavousian A, Lee JH, Bassi J, Silacci-Fegni C, Saliba C, Pinto D, Irie T, Yoshida I, Hamilton WL, Sato K, Bhatt S, Flaxman S, James LC, Corti D, Piccoli L, Barclay WS, Rakshit P, Agrawal A, Gupta RK. SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 Delta variant replication and immune evasion. Nature. 2021 Sep 6. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03944-y. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 34488225.

[3] Zhou D, Dejnirattisai W, Supasa P, Liu C, Mentzer AJ, Ginn HM, Zhao Y, Duyvesteyn HME, Tuekprakhon A, Nutalai R, Wang B, Paesen GC, Lopez-Camacho C, Slon-Campos J, Hallis B, Coombes N, Bewley K, Charlton S, Walter TS, Skelly D, Lumley SF, Dold C, Levin R, Dong T, Pollard AJ, Knight JC, Crook D, Lambe T, Clutterbuck E, Bibi S, Flaxman A, Bittaye M, Belij-Rammerstorfer S, Gilbert S, James W, Carroll MW, Klenerman P, Barnes E, Dunachie SJ, Fry EE, Mongkolsapaya J, Ren J, Stuart DI, Screaton GR. Evidence of escape of SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.351 from natural and vaccine-induced sera. Cell. 2021 Apr 29;184(9):2348-2361.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.02.037. Epub 2021 Feb 23. PMID: 33730597; PMCID: PMC7901269.

[4] Chakraborty C, Sharma AR, Bhattacharya M, Agoramoorthy G, Lee S-S. 2021. Evolution, mode of transmission, and mutational landscape of newly emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants. mBio 2021.12:e01140-21. doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01140-21.

[5] Rossman H, Shilo S, Meir T, Gorfine M, Shalit U, Segal E. COVID-19 dynamics after a national immunization program in Israel. Nat Med. 2021 Jun;27(6):1055-1061. doi: 10.1038/s41591-021-01337-2. Epub 2021 Apr 19. PMID: 33875890.

[6] Bar-Or I, Weil M, Indenbaum V, Bucris E, Bar-Ilan D, Elul M, Levi N, Aguvaev I, Cohen Z, Shirazi R, Erster O, Sela-Brown A, Sofer D, Mor O, Mendelson E, Zuckerman NS. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 variants by genomic analysis of wastewater samples in Israel. Sci Total Environ. 2021 May 24;789:148002. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148002. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 34323811; PMCID: PMC8142738.

[7] Cele S, Gazy I, Jackson L, Hwa SH, Tegally H, Lustig G, Giandhari J, Pillay S, Wilkinson E, Naidoo Y, Karim F, Ganga Y, Khan K, Bernstein M, Balazs AB, Gosnell BI, Hanekom W, Moosa MS; Network for Genomic Surveillance in South Africa; COMMIT-KZN Team, Lessells RJ, de Oliveira T, Sigal A. Escape of SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 from neutralization by convalescent plasma. Nature. 2021 May;593(7857):142-146. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03471-w

[8] Lustig Y, Nemet I, Kliker L, Zuckerman N, Yishai R, Alroy-Preis S, Mendelson E, Mandelboim M. Neutralizing Response against Variants after SARS-CoV-2 Infection and One Dose of BNT162b2. N Engl J Med. 2021 Apr 7:NEJMc2104036. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2104036.

[9] Dejnirattisai W, Zhou D, Supasa P, Liu C, Mentzer AJ, Ginn HM, Zhao Y, Duyvesteyn HME, Tuekprakhon A, Nutalai R, Wang B, López-Camacho C, Slon-Campos J, Walter TS, Skelly D, Costa Clemens SA, Naveca FG, Nascimento V, Nascimento F, Fernandes da Costa C, Resende PC, Pauvolid-Correa A, Siqueira MM, Dold C, Levin R, Dong T, Pollard AJ, Knight JC, Crook D, Lambe T, Clutterbuck E, Bibi S, Flaxman A, Bittaye M, Belij-Rammerstorfer S, Gilbert SC, Carroll MW, Klenerman P, Barnes E, Dunachie SJ, Paterson NG, Williams MA, Hall DR, Hulswit RJG, Bowden TA, Fry EE, Mongkolsapaya J, Ren J, Stuart DI, Screaton GR. Antibody evasion

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

by the P.1 strain of SARS-CoV-2. Cell. 2021 May 27;184(11):2939-2954.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.03.055. Epub 2021 Mar 30. PMID: 33852911; PMCID: PMC8008340.

[10] Qasem A, Shaw AM, Elkamel E, Naser SA. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Diagnostic Tools: A Focus on Detection Technologies and Limitations. Curr Issues Mol Biol. 2021 Jul 20;43(2):728-748. doi: 10.3390/cimb43020053. PMID: 34287238.

[11] Graham M, Ballard SA, Pasricha S, Lin B, Hoang T, Stinear T, Druce J, Catton M, Sherry N, Williamson D, Howden BP. Use of emerging testing technologies and approaches for SARS-CoV-2: review of literature and global experience in an Australian context. Pathology. 2021 Aug 10:S0031-3025(21)00431-1. doi: 10.1016/j.pathol.2021.08.001. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 34425991; PMCID: PMC8352662.

[12] Pavia CS, Plummer MM. The evolution of rapid antigen detection systems and their application for COVID-19 and other serious respiratory infectious diseases. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2021 Jun 26:S1684-1182(21)00134-1. doi: 10.1016/j.jmii.2021.06.003. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 34272205; PMCID: PMC8234251.

[13] Randazzo W, Cuevas-Ferrando E, Sanjuán R, Domingo-Calap P, Sánchez G. Metropolitan wastewater analysis for COVID-19 epidemiological surveillance. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2020 Sep 1;230:113621. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2020.113621. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 32911123; PMCID: PMC7462597.

[14] Ahmed W, Angel N, Edson J, Bibby K, Bivins A, O'Brien JW, Choi PM, Kitajima M, Simpson SL, Li J, Tscharke B, Verhagen R, Smith WJM, Zaugg J, Dierens L, Hugenholtz P, Thomas KV, Mueller JF. First confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewater in Australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in the community. Sci Total Environ. 2020 Aug 1;728:138764. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138764. Epub 2020 Apr 18. PMID: 32387778; PMCID: PMC7165106.

[15] Yaniv K, Ozer E, Shagan M, Lakkakula S, Plotkin N, Bhandarkar NS, Kushmaro A. Direct RT-qPCR assay for SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern (Alpha, B.1.1.7 and Beta, B.1.351) detection and quantification in wastewater. Environ Res. 2021 Oct;201:111653. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2021.111653. Epub 2021 Jul 7. PMID: 34245731; PMCID: PMC8262398.

[16] John G, Sahajpal NS, Mondal AK, Ananth S, Williams C, Chaubey A, Rojiani AM, Kolhe R. Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) in COVID-19: A Tool for SARS-CoV-2 Diagnosis, Monitoring New Strains and Phylodynamic Modeling in Molecular Epidemiology. Curr Issues Mol Biol. 2021 Jul 30;43(2):845-867. doi: 10.3390/cimb43020061. PMID: 34449545.

[17] Erster O, Mendelson E, Levy V, Kabat A, Mannasse B, Asraf H, Azar R, Ali Y, Shirazi R, Bucris E, Bar-Ilan D, Mor O, Mandelboim M, Sofer D, Fleishon S, Zuckerman NS. 2021. Rapid and high-throughput reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) assay for identification and differentiation between SARS-CoV-2 variants B.1.1.7 and B.1.351. Microbiol Spectr 9:e00506-21. doi.org/ 10.1128/ Spectrum. 00506-21.

[18] Corman VM, Eckerle I, Bleicker T, Zaki A, Landt O, Eschbach-Bludau M, van Boheemen S, Gopal R, Ballhause M, Bestebroer TM, Muth D, Müller MA, Drexler JF, Zambon M, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RM, Drosten C. Detection of a novel human coronavirus by real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction. Euro Surveill. 2012 Sep 27;17(39):20285. doi: 10.2807/ese.17.39.20285-en

[19] Robishaw JD, Alter SM, Solano JJ, Shih RD, DeMets DL, Maki DG, Hennekens CH. Genomic surveillance to combat COVID-19: challenges and opportunities. Lancet Microbe. 2021 Sep;2(9):e481-e484. doi: 10.1016/S2666-5247(21)00121-X. Epub 2021 Jul 27. PMID: 34337584; PMCID: PMC8315763.

[20] van Dorp L, Acman M, Richard D, Shaw LP, Ford CE, Ormond L, Owen CJ, Pang J, Tan CCS, Boshier FAT, Ortiz AT, Balloux F. Emergence of genomic diversity and recurrent mutations in SARS-CoV-2. Infect Genet Evol. 2020 Sep;83:104351. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2020.104351. Epub 2020 May 5. PMID: 32387564; PMCID: PMC7199730.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.11.21264831; this version posted October 26, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

[21] Migueres M, Lhomme S, Trémeaux P, Dimeglio C, Ranger N, Latour J, Dubois M, Nicot F, Miedouge M, Mansuy JM, Izopet J. Evaluation of two RT-PCR screening assays for identifying SARS-CoV-2 variants. J Clin Virol. 2021 Sep 2;143:104969. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2021.104969. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 34509927.

[22] Lu RJ, Zhao L, Huang BY, Ye F, Wang WL, Tan WJ. Real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction assay panel for the detection of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 and its variants. Chin Med J (Engl). 2021 Aug 16. doi: 10.1097/CM9.0000000000001687. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 34402479.

[23] Zelyas N, Pabbaraju K, Croxen MA, Lynch T, Buss E, Murphy SA, Shokoples S, Wong A, Kanji JN, Tipples G. Precision Response to the Rise of the SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 Variant of Concern by Combining Novel PCR Assays and Genome Sequencing for Rapid Variant Detection and Surveillance. Microbiol Spectr. 2021 Sep 3;9(1):e0031521. doi: 10.1128/Spectrum.00315-21. Epub 2021 Aug 11. PMID: 34378966.

[24] Tierling S, Kattler K, Vogelgesang M, Pfuhl T, Lohse S, Lo Porto C, Schmitt B, Nastasja S, Salhab A, Smola S, Walter J. Rapid Base-Specific Calling of SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern Using Combined RT-PCR Melting Curve Screening and SIRPH Technology. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2021 Jul 14;8(8):ofab364. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofab364. PMID: 34409122; PMCID: PMC8364759.

[25] Williams GH, Llewelyn A, Brandao R, Chowdhary K, Hardisty KM, Loddo M. SARS-CoV-2 testing and sequencing for international arrivals reveals significant cross border transmission of high risk variants into the United Kingdom. EClinicalMedicine. 2021 Aug; 38:101021. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.101021. Epub 2021 Jul 14. PMID: 34278277; PMCID: PMC8277981.

FIGRURES

A



B



<u>Figure 1.</u> Idenfication of B.1.617-specific Spike gene deletion. (A) Alignment of 32 genomic sequences of lineage B.1.617 samples with reference sequence NC_045512 showed a deletion in nucleotide positions 22045-22050, corresponding to a.a. positions 156-157 in the protein sequence. The 156-157del gap is marked with brackets. (B) Global analysis of SC-2 genomes available in the NextStrain database (https://nextstrain.org/sars-cov-2/) showing that this 6-bp deletion is present only in the Delta lineage, highlighted in blue.



<u>Figure 2.</u> Idenfication of B.1.617-specific Orf8 gene deletion. (A) Alignment of 32 genomic sequences of lineage B.1.617 samples with reference sequence NC_045512 showed a deletion in nucleotide positions 28248-28253, corresponding to a.a. positions 119-120 at the C-terminal end of the protein sequence. The 119-120del gap is marked with brackets. (B) Global analysis of SC-2 genomes available in the NextStrain database (https://nextstrain.org/sars-cov-2/) showing that this 6-bp deletion is present only in the Delta lineage, highlighted in blue.

\



<u>Figure 3.</u> Amplification curves of the Alpha Delta and Orf8 assays. (A) Non-B.1.1.7, Non-B.1.617 sample with no background signal. (B) Non-B.1.1.7, Non-B.1.617 sample with ND3L reaction background. (C) B.1.617 sample with no background signal. (D) B.1.617 sample with ND3L reaction background. (E) B.1.617 sample reaction (F) B.1.617 E-sarbeco + Orf8199del reaction.