

## Heterologous SARS-CoV-2 Booster Vaccinations – Preliminary Report

**Running Title** SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine Booster Trial

**Authors:**

Robert L. Atmar, M.D.\*, Kirsten E. Lyke, M.D.\*, Meagan E. Deming, M.D., Ph.D, Lisa A. Jackson, M.D., M.P.H., Angela R. Branche, M.D., Hana M. El Sahly, M.D., Christina A. Rostad, M.D., Judith M. Martin, M.D., Christine Johnston, M.D., M.P.H., Richard E. Rupp, M.D., Mark J. Mulligan, M.D., Rebecca C. Brady, M.D., Robert W. Frencck, Jr., M.D., Martín Bäcker, MD, Angelica C. Kottkamp, M.D.,\_Tara M. Babu, M.D., M.S.C.I., Kumaravel Rajakumar, M.D., M.S., Srilatha Edupuganti, M.D., M.P.H., Ann R. Falsey, M.D., Christine M. Posavad, Ph.D., Janet I. Archer, M.Sc., Sonja Crandon, B.S.N., Seema U. Nayak, M.D., Daniel Szydlo, M.S., Jillian Zemanek, M.P.H., Clara P. Dominguez Islas, Ph.D, Elizabeth R. Brown, Sc.D., Mehul S. Suthar, Ph.D., M. Juliana McElrath, M.D., Ph.D., Adrian B. McDermott, Ph.D., Sarah E. O’Connell, M.S., David C. Montefiori, Ph.D., Amanda Eaton, M.B.A., Kathleen M. Neuzil, M.D., David S. Stephens, M.D., Paul C. Roberts, Ph.D., John H. Beigel, M.D., and the DMID 21-0012 Study Group\*\*

\* Authors contributed equally to the work

\*\* The Mix and Match Team members are listed in the Supplemental Appendices

ClinicalTrials.gov # NCT04889209

**Corresponding Authors:**

Robert L. Atmar, M.D.

1 Baylor Plaza, MSBCM280

Houston, TX 77030

Phone: +1 713-798-6849

Email: ratmar@bcm.edu

Kirsten E. Lyke, M.D.

Center for Vaccine Development and Global Health

685 W. Baltimore Street

Baltimore, MD 21201

Phone: +1 410-706-0462/5328

Email: [klyke@som.umaryland.edu](mailto:klyke@som.umaryland.edu)

Authors;

Robert L. Atmar, M.D., [ratmar@bcm.edu](mailto:ratmar@bcm.edu) Departments of Medicine and Molecular Virology & Microbiology,  
Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030

Kirsten E. Lyke, M.D., [klyke@som.umaryland.edu](mailto:klyke@som.umaryland.edu), Center for Vaccine Development and Global Health  
collaborating with the Institute of Human Virology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore,  
MD 21201

Meagan E. Deming, M.D., Ph.D., [Meagan.Deming@som.umaryland.edu](mailto:Meagan.Deming@som.umaryland.edu), Center for Vaccine Development and  
Global Health, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21201

Lisa A. Jackson, MD, MPH, [lisa.a.jackson@kp.org](mailto:lisa.a.jackson@kp.org), Kaiser Permanente Washington Health Research Institute,  
Seattle, WA

Angela R. Branche, M.D. [angela\\_branche@urmc.rochester.edu](mailto:angela_branche@urmc.rochester.edu), Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious  
Diseases, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14642

Hana El Sahly, M.D., [hanae@bcm.edu](mailto:hanae@bcm.edu) Departments of Molecular Virology & Microbiology and Medicine,  
Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030

Christina A. Rostad, M.D., [christina.rostad@emory.edu](mailto:christina.rostad@emory.edu) Department of Pediatrics and Center for Childhood  
Infections and Vaccines, Emory University School of Medicine and Children's Healthcare of Atlanta,  
Atlanta, GA 30322

Judith M. Martin, M.D., [Judy.Martin@chp.edu](mailto:Judy.Martin@chp.edu), Department of Pediatrics, University of Pittsburgh School of  
Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Christine Johnston, M.D, M.P.H. [cjohnsto@uw.edu](mailto:cjohnsto@uw.edu) Departments of Medicine and Laboratory Medicine, University of Washington, Vaccine and Infectious Diseases Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA 98104

Richard E. Rupp, M.D., [rrupp@utmb.edu](mailto:rrupp@utmb.edu) Sealy Institute for Vaccine Sciences, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX 77555

Mark J. Mulligan, MD, [mark.mulligan@nyulangone.org](mailto:mark.mulligan@nyulangone.org), NYU Langone Vaccine Center and Division of Infectious Diseases and Immunology, Department of Medicine, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016

Rebecca C. Brady, M.D., [rebecca.brady@cchmc.org](mailto:rebecca.brady@cchmc.org) Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH 45229-3039

Robert W. Frenck, Jr., M.D., [robert.frenck@cchmc.org](mailto:robert.frenck@cchmc.org) Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH 45229-3039

Martín Bäcker, MD, [Martin.Backer@nyulangone.org](mailto:Martin.Backer@nyulangone.org), NYU Langone Hospital—Long Island Vaccine Center Research Clinic and Division of Infectious Disease, Department of Medicine, NYU Long Island School of Medicine, Mineola, NY 11501

Angelica C. Kottkamp, MD, [Angelica.Kottkamp@nyulangone.org](mailto:Angelica.Kottkamp@nyulangone.org), NYU Langone Vaccine Center Bellevue Hospital Research Clinic and Division of Infectious Diseases and Immunology, Department of Medicine, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016

Tara M. Babu, MD, MSCI [tbabu2@uw.edu](mailto:tbabu2@uw.edu), Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98104

Kumaravel Rajakumar, M.D., [kumaravel.rajakumar@chp.edu](mailto:kumaravel.rajakumar@chp.edu), Department of Pediatrics, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Srilatha Edupuganti, M.D., [sedupug@emory.edu](mailto:sedupug@emory.edu), Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Hope Clinic of Emory Vaccine Center, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30030

Ann R. Falsey, M.D., [Ann\\_Falsey@urmc.rochester.edu](mailto:Ann_Falsey@urmc.rochester.edu), Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14642

Christine M. Posavad, PhD [cposavad@fredhutch.org](mailto:cposavad@fredhutch.org) Vaccine and Infectious Disease Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center; Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

Janet I Archer, MSc, [JArcher@fhi360.org](mailto:JArcher@fhi360.org), FHI360, Durham, NC 27701

Sonja Crandon, B.S.N. [sonja.crandon@nih.gov](mailto:sonja.crandon@nih.gov), Division of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

Seema U. Nayak, M.D. [seema.nayak@nih.gov](mailto:seema.nayak@nih.gov), Division of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

Daniel Szydlo, MS, [dszydlo@scharp.org](mailto:dszydlo@scharp.org), Statistical Center for HIV/AIDS Research and Prevention (SCHARP), Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA 98109

Jillian Zemanek, M.P.H. [jzemanek@scharp.org](mailto:jzemanek@scharp.org), Statistical Center for HIV/AIDS Research and Prevention (SCHARP), Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA 98109

Clara P. Dominguez Islas, PhD, [cdomingu@fredhutch.org](mailto:cdomingu@fredhutch.org), Vaccine and Infectious Diseases Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA 98109

Elizabeth R. Brown, Sc.D. [erbrown@fredhutch.org](mailto:erbrown@fredhutch.org), Vaccine and Infectious Diseases Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA 98109

Mehul S Suthar, PhD [mehul.s.suthar@emory.edu](mailto:mehul.s.suthar@emory.edu) Emory Vaccine Center, Yerkes National Primate Research Center; Department of Pediatrics; Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Emory School of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, GA

M. Juliana McElrath, MD, PhD, [jmcelrat@fredhutch.org](mailto:jmcelrat@fredhutch.org), Vaccine and Infectious Disease Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center; Department of Medicine and Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

Adrian B. McDermott, PhD [adrian.mcdermott@nih.gov](mailto:adrian.mcdermott@nih.gov) Vaccine Research Center, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892

Sarah E. O'Connell, MS [sarah.o'connell@nih.gov](mailto:sarah.o'connell@nih.gov) Vaccine Research Center, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892

David C. Montefiori, PhD, [david.montefiori@duke.edu](mailto:david.montefiori@duke.edu) Duke Human Vaccine Institute and Department of Surgery, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC

Amanda Eaton, [amanda.eaton@duke.edu](mailto:amanda.eaton@duke.edu) Department of Surgery, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC

Kathleen M. Neuzil, M.D., [kneuzil@som.umaryland.edu](mailto:kneuzil@som.umaryland.edu) , Center for Vaccine Development and Global Health, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21201

David S. Stephens, M.D., [dstep01@emory.edu](mailto:dstep01@emory.edu), Department of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, GA

Paul C Roberts, Ph.D. [robertspc@nih.gov](mailto:robertspc@nih.gov), Division of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

John Beigel, M.D. [jbeigel@niaid.nih.gov](mailto:jbeigel@niaid.nih.gov), Division of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

## **ABSTRACT – 240 words**

### **Background:**

While Coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) vaccines are highly effective, breakthrough infections are occurring. Booster vaccinations have recently received emergency use authorization (EUA) for certain populations but are restricted to homologous mRNA vaccines. We evaluated homologous and heterologous booster vaccination in persons who had received an EUA Covid-19 vaccine regimen.

### **Methods:**

In this phase 1/2 open-label clinical trial conducted at ten U.S. sites, adults who received one of three EUA Covid-19 vaccines at least 12 weeks prior to enrollment and had no reported history of SARS-CoV-2 infection received a booster injection with one of three vaccines (Moderna mRNA-1273 100- $\mu$ g, Janssen Ad26.COVS.S  $5 \times 10^{10}$  virus particles, or Pfizer-BioNTech BNT162b2 30- $\mu$ g; nine combinations). The primary outcomes were safety, reactogenicity, and humoral immunogenicity on study days 15 and 29.

### **Results:**

458 individuals were enrolled: 154 received mRNA-1273, 150 received Ad26.CoV2.S, and 154 received BNT162b2 booster vaccines. Reactogenicity was similar to that reported for the primary series. Injection site pain, malaise, headache, and myalgia occurred in more than half the participants. Booster vaccines increased the neutralizing activity against a D614G pseudovirus (4.2-76-fold) and binding antibody titers (4.6-56-fold) for all combinations; homologous boost increased neutralizing antibody titers 4.2-20-fold whereas heterologous boost increased titers 6.2-76-fold. Day 15 neutralizing and binding antibody titers varied by 28.7-fold and 20.9-fold, respectively, across the nine prime-boost combinations.

### **Conclusion:**

Homologous and heterologous booster vaccinations were well-tolerated and immunogenic in adults who completed a primary Covid-19 vaccine regimen at least 12 weeks earlier.

(Funded by National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases; Clinical Trials.gov number, NCT04889209)

**Key Words** (3-10): Covid-19, SARS-CoV-2, Booster, Vaccine, mRNA, recombinant adenovirus, antibody

## **INTRODUCTION:** (2690 words – 2700 allowable)

The successful development of safe and effective vaccines targeting the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a remarkable achievement. High vaccine efficacy against clinical outcomes, tolerability and safety resulted in Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) of three Covid-19 vaccines between December 2020 and February 2021.<sup>1-3</sup> Widespread roll-out of these vaccines, as well as vaccines from other manufacturers worldwide, has resulted in more than 6.4 billion doses of vaccine administered, with 187.2 million U.S. individuals (65.3% of the population) being fully vaccinated as of October 8, 2021.<sup>4</sup>

Although the vaccines currently approved or available under EUA in the U.S. provide high levels of protection against severe illness and death, the increased transmission of the Delta variant resulted in increasing numbers of breakthrough infections in fully vaccinated individuals.<sup>5-7</sup> This coincided with evidence of waning of immunity in some vaccinated populations.<sup>6-8</sup> Booster vaccines may enhance waning immunity and expand the breadth of immunity against SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. Levels of binding and neutralizing antibodies correlate with vaccine efficacy for both mRNA and adenovirus-vectored vaccines, and likely have utility in predicting efficacy after boosting.<sup>9-12</sup> A homologous booster with 30µg BNT162b2 increased neutralizing antibody titers against wild-type virus and the Delta variant to more than 5 times as high as after dose 2.<sup>13</sup> A booster injection of 50 µg of mRNA-1273 increased neutralizing antibody titers against wild-type virus and the Delta variant 3.8-fold and 2.1-fold higher, respectively, than after the primary series.<sup>14</sup> Based on evidence of increased neutralizing titers after a third dose of vaccine, homologous BNT162b2 booster vaccination received EUA and is currently recommended for high-risk populations and select EUA applications for homologous boosting with mRNA-1273 and Ad26.CoV2.S are under review by FDA.



Heterologous prime boost strategies may offer immunological advantages to optimize the breadth and longevity of protection achieved with currently available vaccines. Administration of an mRNA vaccine after the initial ChAdOx1 dose as the second dose of a two-dose regimen was safe and had enhanced immunogenicity compared to the two-dose homologous ChAdOx1 vaccine.<sup>15-17</sup> The use of heterologous booster vaccines, if similarly tolerable and immunogenic, could also simplify the logistics of administering booster vaccines.

We conducted a phase 1/2 clinical trial to assess the safety, reactogenicity, and immunogenicity of booster vaccination in persons who previously received a Covid-19 vaccine under EUA at least 12 weeks earlier to rapidly generate data about the safety and immunogenicity of booster doses, including heterologous boosting regimens. We report the initial results of this trial.

## **METHODS**

### **Trial Design and Participants:**

This phase 1/2 adaptive design, open-label clinical trial was performed in sequential stages at ten clinical sites. Eligible participants were healthy adults who had received a Covid-19 vaccine available under EUA (Ad26.COV2.S [Janssen], mRNA-1273 [Moderna, Inc], or BNT162b2 [Pfizer/BioNTech]) at least 12 weeks earlier and reported no prior history of SARS-CoV-2 infection or monoclonal antibody infusion. We did not screen for past or current evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection to facilitate rapid enrollment into the study. Full eligibility criteria are available in the protocol (available at [NEJM.org](https://www.nejm.org)).

The trial was reviewed and approved by a central institutional review board and overseen by an independent safety monitoring committee. All participants provided written informed consent prior to undergoing any study-related activities.

## **Vaccine**

The study vaccines used were mRNA-1273 100- $\mu$ g (Stage 1), Ad26.COV2.S  $5 \times 10^{10}$  virus particles (Stage 2), and BNT162b2 30- $\mu$ g (Stage 3),<sup>1-3</sup> providing 9 different combinations of primary vaccination-boost (Stage 1, groups 1-3; Stage 2, groups 4-6; Stage 3, groups 7-9). Vaccines were administered as directed in their respective EUAs.

## **Trial Procedures:**

Study participants were enrolled in two age groups, 18-55 years and  $\geq 56$  years, with approximately equal numbers in the two age strata and the three EUA-dosed vaccine groups (N = 50/group). After informed consent was obtained, participants were screened by medical history, targeted physical examination, and urine pregnancy test (if indicated), and eligible persons received the study booster vaccine. Participants were observed for 30 minutes after vaccination. Blood was collected for immunogenicity assessments at Days 1 (pre-vaccination), 15, and 29.

Participants used a memory aid to record local and systemic solicited adverse events (AEs) for 7 days following vaccination. Unsolicited AEs through 28 days following vaccination also were collected. Grading of AEs used the FDA's Toxicity Grading Scale for Healthy Adult and Adolescent Volunteers Enrolled in Preventive Vaccine Clinical Trials.<sup>18</sup> All serious adverse events (SAEs), new onset chronic medical conditions (NOCMCs), adverse events of special interest (AESIs), or related medically attended adverse events (MAAEs) are collected for the duration of the 12-month study and are reported through Study Day 29 in this report.

## **Immunogenicity:**

Immunogenicity was assessed on Day 1 prior to booster vaccination and at Days 15 and 29 (unavailable for all groups) after boost. Serum binding antibody (bAb) levels against S-2P were evaluated with the MSD® 384-well Custom Serology Assay Electrochemiluminescence Immunoassay (4-plex ECLIA) version 2 (in validation) and using the 10-plex ECLIA for emerging SARS-CoV-2 variant spike proteins.<sup>19</sup> SARS-CoV-2 neutralization titers expressed as the serum inhibitory dilution required to achieve 50% and 80% neutralization (ID50 and ID80, respectively) were determined by group, age group and timepoint, using pseudotyped lentiviruses presenting SARS-CoV-2 spike variants D614G, and for groups 1 to 3, B.1.617.2 (Delta) and B.1.351 (Beta), as described previously.<sup>20</sup> For the Beta variant, only a random subset of samples (20 per EUA vaccine, distributed equally between age groups and sites) was analyzed. Neutralizing activity was expressed in International Units (IU50/mL and IU80/mL) for the D614G neutralization assay.

### **Statistical Analysis:**

Safety analyses included all participants who received the booster vaccines. Immunogenicity analyses included only those who had at least one post-boost serum sample available for testing. No statistical comparisons between groups were planned and the analyses of safety and immunogenicity endpoints are only descriptive. The selected sample sizes of 50 per group and 25 per age stratum, allow for 99.5% and 92.8% probability of observing at least one an AE with a true event rate of 10%, respectively. Confidence intervals were not adjusted for multiplicity. The statistical analysis plan for this study is available at [NEJM.org](https://www.nejm.org).

## **RESULTS**

## **Trial population**

Four hundred fifty-eight participants were enrolled: 154, 150 and 154 in each of the three stages (Fig. S1). The demographic characteristics were similar across stages (Table 1). The interval between priming EUA vaccination and booster vaccination was shortest among those who were boosted with mRNA-1273, reflecting the temporal progression of enrollment across the sequential study stages (Table 1). Two participants (one each in groups 4 and 6 of Stage 2) had serologic evidence (nucleocapsid protein antibody) of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection and were included in the analyses. No more than 6% of samples were unavailable for analysis per group. One participant in group 5 (Stage 2) developed Covid-19 two days prior to Study Day 29 and is included in the analysis.

## **Vaccine Safety**

Two SAEs, unrelated to study vaccination, were reported. One (acute renal failure due to rhabdomyolysis from a fall) was reported 30 days after mRNA-1273 vaccination, and the other (acute cholecystitis) occurred 24 days after Ad26.COVS2.S vaccination. No pre-specified study-halting rules were met, and no new onset chronic medical conditions occurred through study day 29. One related AESI (severe vomiting that led to a medically attended visit the day after vaccination) occurred in group 5 (Ad26.COVS2.S boost). The number (and percentage) of participants reporting unsolicited AEs, of any severity grade, that were deemed related to the study product was 24/154 (15.6%) in mRNA-1273 recipients, 18/150 (12.0%) in Ad26.COVS2.S recipients and 22/154 (14.3%) in BNT162b2 recipients (Table S1-S3). Most participants reporting related AEs ranked the AE as Grade 2 severity at most. There were four related Grade 3 AEs: one participant in the mRNA-1273 group (vomiting) and three in the Ad26.COVS2.S group (one each of vomiting, fatigue/abnormal feeling, insomnia).

Injection site AEs were common, with local pain or tenderness being reported in 75-86%, 71-84%, and 72-92% of mRNA-1273, Ad26COV2.S and BNT162b2 recipients, respectively (Fig. 1, Tables S4-S6). Most injection site reactions were graded as mild, and only two (one mRNA-1273 and one Ad26COV2.S) reported as severe. Malaise, myalgias, and headaches were also commonly reported (Fig. 1, Table S7-S9). The proportion of participants reporting severe systemic solicited events/symptoms (out of the total 458 enrolled in all three stages) are as follows: malaise and/or fatigue in 2.0-4.5%, myalgia in 0-3.3%, headache in 0.7-3.3%, nausea in 0-2.7%, chills in 0-3.3%, arthralgia in 0.6-2.0% and fever in 0.7-2.7%. Local and systemic reactions were most likely to occur in the 1-3 days after booster vaccination, and there were no clear patterns of reaction frequency for solicited or unsolicited AEs by primary EUA vaccine received or age group (Tables S1-S9).

## **Immunogenicity**

### **SARS-CoV-2 Binding Antibody Responses**

All participants but one (Ad26.COVS.S) had demonstrable bAb prior to vaccine administration (Table 2, Fig. 2). Baseline bAb to the WA-1 strain was 3-15-fold lower in those who received single-dose Ad26.COVS.S compared to the EUA-dosed mRNA vaccines (Table 2, Tables S10-S27). All groups demonstrated an increase in bAb following boost. A 2-fold or greater rise in bAb was noted in 98-100% of Ad26.COVS.S recipients, 96-100% of mRNA-1273 recipients and 98-100% of BNT162b2 recipients following mRNA booster dosing. The geometric mean fold rises in bAb titers ranged from 4.6-5.6 at Day 15, and were greatest for those who received BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 boost after an Ad26.COVS.S primary vaccination (33- and 56-fold respectively). The Ad26.COVS.S boost increased bAb titers in all

EUA dosed recipients but the Ad26.CoV.2-primed recipients achieved a level 7-10-fold lower compared to those who received an mRNA vaccine priming regimen. Antibody titers to the Delta variant were also evaluated in the 10-plex ECLIA (Tables S28-S33). At baseline, bAb levels to the Delta variant were 34%-45% lower compared with binding of the Wa-1 strain in the same assay. Following boost, all participants had detectable bAb to the Delta variant and the level was only 15-36% lower compared with the Wa-1 strain. Sera from the older and younger age groups had similar bAb levels. Serologic responses to Wa-1 and Beta strains for 4-plex ECLIA (arbitrary units/mL) (Tables S10-S21, S34-39), and WA-1 and Delta on the 10-plex ECLIA are reported in the Supplementary Appendix (Tables S22-S27, S34-S39).

### **SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibody Responses**

All sera from participants who had received mRNA-1273 as an EUA vaccine had pre-booster neutralizing activity to the D614G strain at study enrollment, while sera from 24 (15.8%) individuals who had received Ad26.COVS and five (3.3%) who had received BNT162b2 had no detectable D614G neutralizing activity. Serum neutralization (IU50/mL) levels prior to booster vaccination were approximately three- and ten-fold lower for BNT162b2 and Ad26.COVS recipients, respectively, compared to recipients of mRNA-1273, irrespective of interval between EUA vaccination or booster vaccination administered (Table 2, Tables S40-S45). The Study Day 15 post-boost neutralization titers ranged from 676.1-901.8 IU50/ml for participants boosted with mRNA-1273, 31.2-382.2 IU50/ml for those boosted with Ad26.COVS, and 341.3-677.9 IU50/mL for those boosted with BNT162b2. The geometric mean fold rises in neutralization titers were greatest for Ad26.COVS EUA vaccine recipients, followed by BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 recipients. In general, Day 15 titers post-boost were highest in mRNA-1273-primed participants, followed by BNT162b2 and Ad26.COVS, irrespective of the booster

vaccine administered. Persons who received an mRNA-based booster vaccination had a four-fold increase in their neutralization response more frequently than those who were boosted with Ad26.COV.S. Similar findings were observed when IU80/mL neutralization levels were assessed (Tables S46-S51).

At the time of this report, neutralization responses against the Delta and Beta variants were only available in those boosted with mRNA-1273. Overall, pre-booster serum neutralization levels were lower for the Delta and Beta variants than those measured against the D614G variant. All but four participants (two Ad26.COV2.S, two mRNA-1273) and two (one Ad26.COV2.S, one mRNA-1273) persons had four-fold or greater increases in ID50 titers to the Delta and Beta variants, respectively following the booster vaccination; similar findings were observed when ID80 neutralization levels were assessed (Tables S64-S71).

## DISCUSSION

We report interim findings from this clinical trial examining the safety, tolerability and immunogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 booster vaccination in healthy adults who had previously received a full EUA Covid-19 vaccine series. All booster vaccines were immunogenic in subjects irrespective of the primary EUA regimen. The fold increases from baseline in both binding and neutralizing antibody titers were similar or greater after heterologous boosts compared to homologous boosts. Reactogenicity was similar to that described in prior evaluations of Ad26.COV2.S, mRNA-1273 and BNT162b2 vaccines<sup>1-3</sup> and did not differ between heterologous and homologous boosts. No safety concerns were identified.

Serum binding and neutralizing antibody activity correlates with protection from Covid-19 following mRNA and adenovirus-vectored vaccination.<sup>9,11</sup> However, these correlates of protection were

calculated based on data collected prior to widespread Delta variant circulation, although a recent analysis found that neutralizing antibody levels also correlate with protection from variants of concern, including Delta.<sup>12</sup> A substantial increase in neutralizing antibody titers was observed in all study participants following booster vaccination irrespective of booster and primary vaccine series.

All groups, with the exception of the homologous Ad26.COVS.S prime-boost group, achieved post-boost neutralizing geometric mean IU50/mL levels of >100, which in a previous study correlated with 90.7% vaccine efficacy.<sup>9</sup> This cutoff, however, predicts efficacy for preventing symptomatic disease (Covid-19). These data strongly suggest that homologous and heterologous booster vaccine will increase protective efficacy against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. Predicting vaccine efficacy against severe SARS-CoV-2 infection and death is significantly more challenging, and binding and neutralizing antibodies alone may not reflect measures of protection against these more important outcomes.<sup>12</sup>

The geometric mean neutralizing level of 901.8 IU50/mL after homologous boost of the mRNA-1273 EUA vaccine recipients was substantially higher than that achieved (GMT 247 IU50/mL) approximately four weeks after completion of the primary two-dose mRNA-1273 vaccine series among persons who did not develop Covid-19,<sup>9</sup> indicating a robust anamnestic response following booster vaccination. Similar responses have been reported after homologous boosting with mRNA-1273, BNT162b2, and Ad26.COVS.S vaccines.<sup>13,21,22</sup> Neutralizing activity against the Delta and Beta variants also increased substantially following booster vaccination among those evaluated in Stage 1. Many of these study participants did not have detectable neutralizing activity to these variants prior to boost, but all had detectable responses following booster vaccination. The neutralizing activity post-boost against the Delta variant was about 3-fold lower compared to D614G, and this decrement was similar regardless of primary vaccine series. This is similar to the lower neutralization values for Delta compared to D614G



seen after primary vaccination with mRNA-1273.<sup>19</sup> Despite the lower titer against Delta, mRNA-1273 has been shown to be protective against hospitalization and death from infection from the Delta variant.<sup>23</sup> These data suggest that boosting with the original mRNA-1273 vaccine could maintain continued protection against variants of concern and comports with previous reports.<sup>13,14,21</sup> Data for the neutralization titers against Delta and Beta after Stage 2 and 3 boosts will soon be available.

Our study has limitations. It was not designed to directly compare responses between different booster regimens. The sample size is insufficient for inter-group comparisons, and the demographics of those studied are not representative of the US population. Volunteers were not randomized into groups, nor stratified with respect to population characteristics or interval from last vaccination. Similarly, the sample size and interim follow-up period were not sufficient to identify rare or late adverse events following booster vaccination, and the interval between completion of the primary series and the booster vaccination evaluated is shorter than the 6 months authorized for BNT162b2. The immunogenicity data are limited to immune responses through Study Day 29, and only serologic responses are reported. The different homologous and heterologous vaccination regimens may vary in terms of cellular and humoral immune responses as well as longevity of the response.

In summary, this preliminary report demonstrates that boosting with any of the three vaccines currently licensed or authorized for emergency use in the US will stimulate an anamnestic response in persons who previously received of the primary series of any of these vaccines. Homologous boosts provided a wide range of immunogenicity responses, with heterologous boosts providing comparable or higher titers. Reactogenicity and adverse events were similar across booster groups. These data suggest that if a vaccine is approved or authorized as a booster, an immune response will be generated regardless of the primary Covid-19 vaccination regimen.

**Disclosure:** The results presented here are interim data from an ongoing study and the database is not locked. Data have not been subjected to source verification or standard quality check procedures that would ordinarily occur at database lock. All sites are monitored by independent contractors.

### **Acknowledgements**

The trial was sponsored and primarily funded by the Infectious Diseases Clinical Research Consortium through the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), under award numbers UM1AI48372, UM1AI148373, UM1AI148450, UM1AI148452, UM1AI148573, UM1AI148574, UM1AI148575, UM1AI148576, UM1AI148684, UM1 AI148689 and with support from the NIAID Collaborative Influenza Vaccine Innovation Centers (CIVICs) contract 75N93019C00050 and NIH Vaccine Research Center.

We would like to acknowledge the efforts of the Safety Monitoring Committee members - Lindsey R. Baden, M.D., Andrew T. Pavia, M.D., and Kawsar Talaat, M.D. Moreover, we would like to acknowledge the volunteers for their willingness to participate in this trial and to Dr. Gregory Deye who provided thoughtful discussions resulting in the early trial design. Additionally, we would like to acknowledge Moderna, Inc., Johnson & Johnson/Janssen, and Pfizer/BioNTech Pharmaceuticals for their collaboration, scientific input, and sharing of documents needed to implement this trial. All products were acquired through the government procurement process.

A complete list of members of the Mix and Match Study Group is provided in the Supplementary Appendix available at [NEJM.org](http://NEJM.org).

## REFERENCES

1. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383(27):2603-2615. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2034577.
2. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, Kotloff K, Frey S, Novak R, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384(5):403-416. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2035389.
3. Sadoff J, Gray G, Vandebosch A, Cardenas V, Shukarev G, Grinsztejn B, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Single-Dose Ad26.COV2.S Vaccine against Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384(23):2187-2201. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2101544.
4. CDC. COVID Data Tracker: COVID-19 Vaccinations in the United States. [https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#vaccinations\\_vacc-total-admin-rate-total](https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#vaccinations_vacc-total-admin-rate-total). Accessed October 9, 2021.
5. Brown CM, Vostok J, Johnson H, Burns M, Gharpure R, Sami S, et al. Outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 Infections, Including COVID-19 Vaccine Breakthrough Infections, Associated with Large Public Gatherings - Barnstable County, Massachusetts, July 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021;70(31):1059-1062. DOI: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7031e2.
6. Rosenberg ES, Holtgrave DR, Dorabawila V, Conroy M, Greene D, Lutterloh E, et al. New COVID-19 Cases and Hospitalizations Among Adults, by Vaccination Status — New York, May 3–July 25, 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021;70.
7. Nanduri S, Pilishvili T, Derado G, Soe MM, Dollard P, Wu H, et al. Effectiveness of Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna Vaccines in Preventing SARS-CoV-2 Infection Among Nursing Home Residents Before and During Widespread Circulation of the SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 (Delta) Variant — National Healthcare Safety Network, March 1–August 1, 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021;70.

8. Fowlkes A, Gaglani M, Groover K, Thiese MS, Tyner H, Ellingson K, et al. Effectiveness of COVID-19 Vaccines in Preventing SARS-CoV-2 Infection Among Frontline Workers Before and During B.1.617.2 (Delta) Variant Predominance - Eight U.S. Locations, December 2020-August 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021;70(34):1167-1169. DOI: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7034e4.
9. Gilbert PB, Montefiori DC, McDermott A, Fong Y, Benkeser DC, Deng W, et al. Immune Correlates Analysis of the mRNA-1273 COVID-19 Vaccine Efficacy Trial. *medRxiv* 2021. DOI: 10.1101/2021.08.09.21261290.
10. Feng S, Phillips DJ, White T, Sayal H, Aley PK, Bibi S, et al. Correlates of protection against symptomatic and asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat Med* 2021. DOI: 10.1038/s41591-021-01540-1.
11. Khoury DS, Cromer D, Reynaldi A, Schlub TE, Wheatley AK, Juno JA, et al. Neutralizing antibody levels are highly predictive of immune protection from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat Med* 2021;27(7):1205-1211. DOI: 10.1038/s41591-021-01377-8.
12. Cromer D, Steain M, Reynaldi A, Schlub TE, Wheatley AK, Juno JA, et al. SARS-CoV-2 variants: levels of neutralisation required for protective immunity. *medRxiv* 2021. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.11.21261876>.
13. Falsey AR, Frenck RW, Jr., Walsh EE, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Neutralization with BNT162b2 Vaccine Dose 3. *N Engl J Med* 2021. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc2113468.
14. Choi A, Koch M, Wu K, Chu L, Ma L, Hill A, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 variant mRNA vaccine boosters in healthy adults: an interim analysis. *Nat Med* 2021. DOI: 10.1038/s41591-021-01527-y.
15. Barros-Martins J, Hammerschmidt SI, Cossmann A, Odak I, Stankov MV, Morillas Ramos G, et al. Immune responses against SARS-CoV-2 variants after heterologous and homologous ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/BNT162b2 vaccination. *Nat Med* 2021. DOI: 10.1038/s41591-021-01449-9.
16. Schmidt T, Klemis V, Schub D, Mihm J, Hielscher F, Marx S, et al. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of heterologous ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/mRNA vaccination. *Nat Med* 2021. DOI: 10.1038/s41591-021-01464-w.

17. Liu X, Shaw RH, Stuart ASV, Greenland M, Aley PK, Andrews NJ, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of heterologous versus homologous prime-boost schedules with an adenoviral vectored and mRNA COVID-19 vaccine (Com-COV): a single-blind, randomised, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* 2021;398(10303):856-869. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01694-9.
18. FDA. Food and Drug Administration. Toxicity grading scale for healthy adult and adolescent volunteers enrolled in preventive vaccine clinical trials: Guidance for industry. (<https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/toxicity-grading-scale-healthy-adult-and-adolescent-volunteers-enrolled-preventive-vaccine-clinical>). September 2007. Accessed September 6, 2021.
19. Pegu A, O'Connell S, Schmidt SD, O'Dell S, Talana CA, Lai L, et al. Durability of mRNA-1273 vaccine-induced antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Science* 2021. DOI: 10.1126/science.abj4176.
20. Shen X, Tang H, McDanal C, Wagh K, Fischer W, Theiler J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.1.7 is susceptible to neutralizing antibodies elicited by ancestral spike vaccines. *Cell Host Microbe* 2021;29(4):529-539 e3. DOI: 10.1016/j.chom.2021.03.002.
21. Sadoff J, Le Gars M, Cardenas V, Shukarev G, Vaissiere N, Heerwegh D, et al. Durability of antibody responses elicited by a single dose of Ad26.COVS.2 and substantial increase following late boosting. *MedRxiv* 2021. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.25.21262569>
22. Wu K, Choi A, Koch M, LingZhi M, Hill A, Nunna N, et al. Preliminary Analysis of Safety and Immunogenicity of a SARS-CoV-2 Variant Vaccine Booster. *MedRxiv* 2021. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.05.21256716>
23. Bernal JL, Andrews N, Gower C, Gallagher E, Simmons R, Thelwall S, et al. Effectiveness of Covid-19 Vaccines against the B.1.617.2 (Delta) Variant. *NEJM* 2021;385:585-594. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2108891.

### **Figure 1 | Reactogenicity**

Injection site and systemic reactions reported within 7 days after administration of the Ad26.COV2.S, mRNA-1273, and BNT162b2 boosts are depicted by primary EUA immunization regimen. Local and systemic reactions following boost were graded as mild (does not interfere with activity), moderate (interferes with activity) or severe (prevents daily activity).

### **Figure 2 | Binding Antibody and Neutralizing Antibody Titers**

SARS-CoV-2 IgG binding antibody titers (A-C) and pseudovirus neutralization IU50/mL titers (D-F) at Study Day 1 (baseline), Study Day 15 (post-boost), and Study Day 29 (subset analysis; day 29 data not available for all groups). Binding antibody responses to wildtype (S-2P-WA-1 control), as measured by 4-plex ECLIA, and neutralizing antibody (NAb) levels to D614G, grouped by primary EUA immunization regimen (Ad26.COV2.S, mRNA-1273 and BNT162b2) and booster dosing are depicted. Titers were bridged to international standards and reported as Binding Antibody Units/mL and International Units (IU) 50% inhibitory dose/mL (IU50/mL). Individual values are shown as grey circles. Box plots represent median (horizontal line within the box) and 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles (lower and upper borders of the box), and the whiskers drawn to the value nearest to, but within, 1.5 times the interquartile range below and above the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile, respectively. The red dots represent participants possessing detectable antibody to the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein at enrollment, indicative of a prior SARS-CoV-2 infection.

<b>Table 1. Characteristics of the Participants at Enrollment</b>									
<b>Group</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Primary EUA Immunization Vaccine</b>	Janssen Ad26.COVS-2 5x10 <sup>10</sup> vp	Moderna mRNA-1273 100-mcg	Pfizer/BioNTech BNT162b2 30-mcg	Janssen Ad26.COVS-2 5x10 <sup>10</sup> vp	Moderna mRNA-1273 100-mcg	Pfizer/BioNTech BNT162b2 30-mcg	Janssen Ad26.COVS-2 5x10 <sup>10</sup> vp	Moderna mRNA-1273 100-mcg	Pfizer/BioNTech BNT162b2 30-mcg
<b>Booster</b>	Moderna mRNA-1273 100-mcg			Janssen Ad26.COVS-2 5x10 <sup>10</sup> vp			Pfizer/BioNTech BNT162b2 30-mcg		
<b>Total Number</b>	53	51	50	50	49	51	53	51	50
<b>Sex – no. (%)</b>									
Female	26 (49.1)	32 (62.7)	29 (58.0)	27 (46.0)	16 (32.7)	23 (45.1)	29 (54.7)	26 (51.0)	23 (46.0)
Male	27 (50.9)	19 (37.3)	21 (42.0)	23 (54.0)	33 (67.3)	28 (54.9)	24 (45.3)	25 (49.0)	27 (54.0)
<b>Age – years</b>									
Mean (s.d.)	56.8 (14.5)	53.1 (16.2)	54.8 (17.4)	50.1 (13.9)	49.9 (16.8)	50.3 (15.4)	47.7 (14.5)	54.3 (16.8)	50.4 (17.9)
Range	24-81	24-76	22-85	24-77	20-75	20-76	22-74	23-75	19-80
<b>Race – no. (%)</b>									
Asian	4 (7.5)	5 (9.8)	4 (8.0)	3 (6.0)	5 (10.2)	6 (11.8)	1 (1.9)	2 (3.9)	1 (2.0)
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.9)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Black/African American	1 (1.9)	2 (3.9)	3 (6.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (3.9)	0 (0.0)	2 (3.9)	1 (2.0)
White	46 (86.8)	41 (80.4)	43 (86.0)	44 (88.0)	43 (87.8)	40 (78.4)	50 (94.3)	47 (92.2)	43 (86.0)
Multi-racial	1 (1.9)	3 (5.9)	0 (0.0)	3 (6.0)	1 (2.0)	2 (3.9)	1 (1.9)	0 (0.0)	4 (8.0)
Other	1 (1.9%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.0%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.0%)
<b>Ethnicity – no (%)</b>									
Non-Hispanic	49 (92.5)	46 (90.2)	47 (94.0)	47 (94.0)	49 (100.0)	48 (94.1)	51 (96.2)	49 (96.1)	45 (90.0)
Hispanic/Latino	4 (7.5)	4 (7.8)	3 (6.0)	2 (4.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (5.9)	2 (3.8)	2 (3.9)	5 (10.0)
Unknown/Not reported	0 (0.0)	1 (2.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
<b>Boost Interval weeks</b>									
Mean (s.d.)	13.7 (1.0)	16.4 (1.9)	16.8 (2.2)	17.7 (2.0)	19.3 (4.2)	20.6 (5.8)	19.9 (2.5)	22.9 (4.6)	24.1 (5.2)
Range	12.0-15.9	12.4-20.0	12.0-20.9	13.9-21.0	12.6-26.0	12.3-41.3	10.9-23.0	12.6-28.7	14.3-31.9

**Table 2. SARS-CoV-2 IgG Binding and Neutralizing Antibody Assays** IgG serum antibody responses to wildtype (S-2P-WA-1) and IU<sub>50</sub> neutralizing antibody titers to pseudovirus D614G and bridged to international standards and reported as Binding Antibody Units/mL via a 4-plex ECLIA assay and international units ID<sub>50</sub>/mL (IU<sub>50</sub>/mL), respectively. Results are reported by Primary immunization EUA Vaccine, Booster vaccine and Timepoint.

<b>Table 2. SARS-CoV-2 IgG Binding and Neutralizing Antibody Assays</b>									
Group	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Primary EUA Immunization Vaccine	Janssen	Moderna	Pfizer/BioNTech	Janssen	Moderna	Pfizer/BioNTech	Janssen	Moderna	Pfizer/BioNTech
	Ad26.COVS-2-S	mRNA-1273	BNT162b2	Ad26.COVS-2-S	mRNA-1273	BNT162b2	Ad26.COVS-2-S	mRNA-1273	BNT162b2
	5x10 <sup>10</sup> vp	100-mcg	30-mcg	5x10 <sup>10</sup> vp	100-mcg	30-mcg	5x10 <sup>10</sup> vp	100-mcg	30-mcg
Booster	Moderna mRNA-1273 100-mcg			Janssen Ad26.COVS-2-S 5x10 <sup>10</sup> vp			Pfizer/BioNTech BNT162b2 30-mcg		
<b>IgG Serum Binding Antibody (Binding Antibody Units/mL)</b>									
<b>Wildtype (S-2P-WA-1)</b>									
<b>N at Study Day 15</b>	51	50	50	50	49	50	50	48	48
<b>Day 1 GMT* (95% CI)†</b>	57.1 (44.6-73.2)	859.2 (668.3-1104.6)	356.6 (262.5-484.5)	71.3 (47.9-106.2)	638.8 (513.7-794.2)	320.6 (250.7-410.1)	75.1 (54.8-102.8)	534.4 (444.8-642.0)	223.5 (177.4-281.7)
<b>Day 15 GMT (95% CI)</b>	3203.1 (2499.5-4104.9)	6799.8 (5771.8-8010.9)	6155.0 (4895.4-7738.7)	326.0 (235.8-450.7)	3029.4 (2433.2-3771.7)	1904.7 (1497.8-2422.2)	2549.5 (2038.1-3189.3)	5195.6 (4433.1-6089.3)	3409.1 (2760.6-4209.8)
<b>Day 29 GMT (95% CI)</b>	2803.1 (2263.3-3471.7)	5917.4 (5070.4-6905.9)	5170.2 (4156.9-6430.6)	368.6 (290.8-467.4)	4560.1 (3544.2-5867.2)	2599.6 (2085.9-3239.9)	In process	In process	In process
<b>Percentage with two-</b>	100.0%	96.0%	98.0%	86.0%	83.7%	92.0%	98.0%	100.0%	100.0%



<b>fold rise at Day 15 (95% CI)</b>	(93.2-100.0%)	(86.3-99.5%)	(89.4-99.9%)	(73.3-94.2%)	(70.3-92.7%)	(80.8-97.8%)	(89.4-99.9%)	(92.6-100.0%)	(92.6-100.0%)
<b>Geometric mean</b>	56.1	7.9	17.3	4.6	4.7	6.2	32.8	9.7	14.9
<b>fold rise (95% CI)</b>	(40.7-77.2)	(6.2-10.1)	(13.3-22.4)	(3.7-5.7)	(3.6-6.2)	(4.7-8.1)	(24.6-43.8)	(8.0-11.8)	(11.8-18.9)
<b>Neutralizing Antibody Titer (International Unit (IU)/mL)</b>									
<b>D614G ‡</b>									
<b>Day 1 GMT (95% CI)</b>	8.9 (6.2-12.8)	88.7 (67.7-115.9)	24.8 (18.0-34.2)	7.6 (4.9-11.8)	61.7 (45.0-84.6)	18.6 (13.4-25.7)	9.4 (6.4-13.6)	57.6 (45.0-73.7)	21.4 (15.3-30.0)
<b>Day 15 GMT (95% CI)</b>	676.1 (517.5-883.3)	901.8 (727.5-1117.8)	785.8 (596.4-1035.2)	31.42 (22.3-44.3)	382.1 (290.5-502.5)	216.4 (157.8-296.9)	341.3 (239.6-486.3)	677.9 (559.4-821.3)	446.7 (340.3-586.3)
<b>Day 29 GMT (95% CI)</b>	431.7 (322.6-577.6)	700.0 (568.6-861.8)	495.7 (370.4-663.4)	In process	In process	In process	In process	In process	In process
<b>Percentage with four-fold rise at Day 15 (95% CI)</b>	100.0% (93.2%-100.0%)	86.0% (73.3%-94.2%)	100.0% (92.9%-100.0%)	50.0% (35.5%-64.5%)	61.2% (46.2%-74.8%)	82.0% (68.6%-91.4%)	98.0% (89.0%-99.9%)	93.8% (82.8-98.7%)	97.9% (88.9-99.9%)
<b>Day 15 geometric mean fold rise (95% CI)</b>	75.9 (55.0-104.8)	10.2 (8.0-12.8)	31.7 (23.8-42.2)	4.2 (3.0-5.8)	6.2 (4.5-8.5)	12.5 (8.7-17.9)	35.1 (23.9-51.6)	11.5 (9.0-14.8)	20.0 (14.6-27.4)


\* GMT- Geometric mean titers

† CI- Confidence Intervals

‡ Sample size matches binding antibody


### Boost Ad26.COV2.S

**A**




### Boost mRNA-1273

**B**



### Boost BNT162b2

**C**



Maximum Severity Grade:  Mild  Moderate  Severe

