Humoral immune responses to COVID-19 vaccination in people living with HIV on suppressive antiretroviral therapy

Zabrina L. Brumme[1,2*], Francis Mwimanzi[1], Hope R. Lapointe[2], Peter Cheung[1,2], Yurou Sang[1], Maggie C. Duncan[1,2], Fatima Yaseen[3], Olga Agafitei[1], Siobhan Ennis[1], Kurtis Ng[1], Simran Basra[1,3,4], Li Yi Lim[1,3], Rebecca Kalikawe[1], Sarah Speckmaier[2], Nadia Moran-Garcia[2], Landon Young[5], Hesham Ali[6], Bruce Ganase[7], Gisele Umviligihozo[1], F. Harrison Omondi[1,2], Kieran Atkinson[2], Hanwei Sudderuddin[2,8], Junine Toy[2], Paul Sereda[2], Laura Burns[9], Cecilia T. Costiniuk[10], Curtis Cooper[11,12], Aslam H. Anis[13,14,15], Victor Leung[5,16], Daniel Holmes[9,16], Mari L. DeMarco[9,16], Janet Simons[9,16], Malcolm Hedgcock[17], Marc G. Romney[5,16], Rolando Barrios[2,13], Silvia Guillemi[2,18], Chanson J. Brumme[2,8], Ralph Pantophlet[1,3], Julio S.G. Montaner[2,8], Masahiro Niikura[1], Marianne Harris[2,18], Mark Hull[2,8], Mark A. Brockman[1,2,3*]

*ZLB and MAB contributed equally

[1] Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada;
[2] British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, Vancouver, Canada;
[3] Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada;
[4] Department of Chemistry, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada;
[5] Division of Medical Microbiology and Virology, St. Paul's Hospital, Vancouver, Canada;
[6] John Ruedy Clinic, St, Paul's Hospital, Vancouver, Canada
[7] AIDS Research Program, St. Paul's Hospital, Vancouver, Canada
[8] Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada;
[9] Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Providence Health Care, Vancouver, Canada;
[10] Division of Infectious Diseases and Chronic Viral Illness Service, McGill University Health Centre and Research Institute of the McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
[11] Department of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada
[12] Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, Canada
[13] School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada
[14] CIHR Canadian HIV Trials Network, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada
[15] Centre for Health Evaluation and Outcome Sciences, Vancouver, Canada
[16] Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada
[17] Spectrum Health, Vancouver, Canada
[18] Department of Family Practice, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Canada

Running title: COVID19 vaccine in people with HIV

Corresponding Author Contact Information:
Zabrina L. Brumme, Ph.D.
Professor, Faculty of Health Sciences
Simon Fraser University
8888 University Drive
Burnaby, BC, Canada, V5A 1S6
Tel: 778 782-8872
Fax: 778-782-5927
email: zbrumme@sfu.ca; zbrumme@bccfe.ca

ABSTRACT

Background. Our understanding of COVID-19 vaccine immune responses in people living with HIV (PLWH) remains incomplete.

Methods. We measured circulating antibodies against the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and ACE2 displacement activities after one and two COVID-19 vaccine doses in 100 adult PLWH and 152 controls.

Results. All PLWH were receiving suppressive antiretroviral therapy, with median CD4+ T-cell counts of 710 (IQR 525-935) cells/mm^3. Median nadir CD4+ T-cell counts were 280 (IQR 120-490) cells/mm^3, and ranged as low as <10 cells/mm^3. After adjustment for sociodemographic, health and vaccine-related variables, HIV infection was associated with 0.2 $\log_{10}$ lower anti-RBD antibody concentrations (p=0.03) and ~7% lower ACE2 displacement activity (p=0.037) after one vaccine dose. Following two vaccine doses however, the association between HIV and weaker responses no longer remained. Rather, older age, a higher burden of chronic health conditions, and having received two ChAdOx1 doses (as opposed to a heterologous or dual mRNA vaccine regimen) were the most significant correlates of weaker humoral responses. No significant association was observed between the most recent or nadir CD4+ T-cell counts and responses to COVID-19 vaccination in PLWH following two vaccine doses.

Conclusions. These results suggest that PLWH whose viral loads are well-controlled on antiretroviral therapy and whose CD4+ T-cell counts are in a healthy range will generally not require a third COVID-19 vaccine dose as part of their initial immunization series, though other factors such as older age, co-morbidities, type of initial vaccine regimen and durability of vaccine responses will influence when this group may benefit from additional doses. Further

studies of PLWH who are not receiving antiretroviral treatment and/or who have low CD4+ T-cell counts are needed.

Key words: COVID-19, vaccine, humoral responses, HIV, antibodies, ACE2 displacement

INTRODUCTION

As people living with HIV (PLWH) may be at increased risk for severe COVID-19, possibly as a result of immunosuppression, higher rates of multi-morbidity and social determinants of health [1-4], COVID-19 vaccination is expected to benefit this group [5]. Our understanding of immune responses to COVID-19 immunization in PLWH however remains limited, in part because relatively few PLWH were included in the clinical trials for the COVID-19 vaccines that have now been widely administered in Canada and Europe (~196 for the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine [6, 7], 176 for the mRNA-1273 mRNA vaccine [8] and 54 and 103 PLWH respectively in the UK and South Africa for the ChAdOx1 viral vectored vaccine [9]). Furthermore, immune response data from PLWH in these trials are currently only available for ChAdOx1 [10, 11]. "Real-world" COVID-19 vaccine immune response data from PLWH are also limited. While all three of these vaccines have shown effectiveness following their initial mass rollouts [12-14], and while clinical trial and observational data have shown robust vaccine-induced humoral immune responses in the general population [15-17], impaired responses have been reported in certain immuno-compromised groups including solid organ transplant recipients [18, 19], cancer patients [20-22], and individuals on immunosuppressive or immune-depleting therapies [23-25].

Antiretroviral therapy durably suppresses HIV to undetectable levels in plasma, restores CD4+ T-cell numbers, and can reverse HIV-induced immune dysfunction to a substantial extent [26-29], but persistent immunopathology can nevertheless lead to blunting of immune responses to vaccination in PLWH [30-32]. Though "real world" COVID-19 vaccine immunogenicity data in PLWH are emerging [33-36], these studies have featured limited numbers of PLWH and/or controls, and none have adjusted for chronic health conditions that may blunt immune responses

[37]. Here, we characterize SARS-CoV-2-specific humoral immune responses after immunization with one and two doses of a COVID-19 vaccine in 100 PLWH and 152 control participants ranging from 22 to 88 years of age.

METHODS

Participants and sampling. A total of 100 adult PLWH were recruited through three HIV care clinics in Vancouver, British Columbia (BC), Canada and through community outreach. A total of 152 control participants without HIV included 24 adults <65 years of age recruited for the present study, along with 39 community-dwelling adults >65 years of age and 89 health care workers who were recruited for a parallel study of COVID-19 vaccine immune responses across the adult age spectrum [38]. HIV-negative status of control participants was determined by self-report. Serum and plasma were collected prior to COVID-19 vaccination (where possible), one month after the first COVID-19 vaccine dose, and at one month after the second dose. Specimens were processed on the day of collection and frozen until analysis. COVID-19 convalescent individuals were identified at study entry by the presence of serum antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 nucleoprotein (N).

Ethics approval. This study was approved by the University of British Columbia/Providence Health Care and Simon Fraser University Research Ethics Boards. All participants provided written informed consent.

Data sources and immune assessments. Sociodemographic data, chronic health conditions and COVID-19 vaccination information were collected by self-report and confirmed through medical

records where available. We assigned a score of 1 for each of the following 11 chronic health conditions: hypertension, diabetes, asthma, obesity (defined as having a body mass index ≥30), chronic diseases of lung, liver, kidney, heart or blood, cancer, and immunosuppression due to chronic conditions or medication, to generate a total score ranging from 0-11. Clinical information for PLWH was recovered from the BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS Drug Treatment Program Database, which houses clinical records for all PLWH receiving care in BC. For PLWH, having a recent CD4+ T-cell count <200 cells/mm^3 was classified as "immunosuppression" in the chronic health conditions score.

Binding antibody assays. We measured total binding antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (N) and spike receptor binding domain (RBD) in serum using the Roche Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 and Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S assays, respectively. Post-infection, both anti-N and anti-RBD assays should be positive, whereas post-mRNA vaccination only the anti-RBD should be positive, enabling identification of convalescent samples. Both assays are electro-chemiluminescence sandwich immunoassays, and report results in arbitrary units (AU)/mL, calibrated against an external standard. The measurement range for the S assay is from 0.4 - 25,000 AU/mL, which the manufacturer indicates can be considered equivalent to international binding antibody units (BAU) as defined by the World Health Organization [39].

ACE2 displacement assay. We assessed the ability of plasma antibodies to block the interaction between RBD and the ACE2 receptor using the V-plex SARS-CoV-2 Panel 11 (ACE2) kit on a MESO QuickPlex SQ120 instrument (Meso Scale Discovery) at the manufacturer's recommended 1:20 dilution. ACE2 displacement was calculated as 100 - [AU of ACE2 binding

in the presence of plasma / AU of ACE2 binding in the absence of plasma] and is reported as a percentage.

Statistical analysis. Comparisons of binary variables between groups were performed using Fisher's exact test. Comparisons of continuous variables between groups were performed using the Mann-Whitney U-test (for unpaired data) or Wilcoxon test (for paired data). Correlations between continuous variables were performed using Spearman's correlation. Multiple linear regression was employed to investigate the relationship between sociodemographic, health and vaccine-related variables and humoral outcomes. Analyses performed following one dose included age (per decade increment), sex at birth (female as reference group), ethnicity (non-white as reference group), number of chronic health conditions (per number increment), sampling date following vaccine dose (per day increment), and type of vaccine received (mRNA vaccine as reference group). Analyses performed following two doses additionally included the interval between doses (per week increment) and having received two ChAdOx1 doses (versus having received a heterologous or dual mRNA vaccine regimen). All tests were two-tailed, with p=0.05 considered statistically significant. Analyses were conducted using Prism v9.2.0 (GraphPad).

RESULTS

Cohort characteristics and COVID-19 vaccine rollout in British Columbia, Canada

Characteristics of the 100 PLWH and 152 controls are shown in **Table 1**. All PLWH were receiving antiretroviral therapy; the most recent plasma viral load, measured a median of 32 (Interquartile range [IQR] 7-54) days before enrolment, was <50 copies HIV RNA/mL for 95

PLWH, and between 71-162 copies /mL for the remaining 5 PLWH, though prior values were <50 copies/mL in all 5 of these cases. The most recent CD4+ T-cell count, measured a median of 44 (IQR 18-136) days before enrolment, was 710 (IQR 525-935; range 130-1800) cells/mm^3. The estimated nadir CD4+ T-cell count, recorded a median of 8 (IQR 3.4-15) years before enrolment, was 280 (IQR 120-490; range <10-1010) cells/mm^3.

PLWH and controls were similar in terms of age, but were different in terms of sex and ethnicity, with the PLWH group including more males and white ethnicity (**Table 1**). PLWH and controls had similar numbers of chronic health conditions (median 0; IQR 0-1; range 0-3 in both groups); the most common conditions were hypertension and asthma. At study entry, 8% of PLWH and 10% of controls were identified as COVID-19 convalescent based on the presence of anti-N antibodies. An additional one (1%) PLWH and two (1.5%) controls developed anti-N antibodies during follow-up consistent with SARS-CoV-2 infection after one vaccine dose; these participants were retained in the "COVID-19 naive at study entry" group (as excluding them did not affect results; not shown).

All participants received two COVID-19 vaccine doses between December 2020 and August 2021, with 97% of controls receiving an mRNA vaccine for their first dose compared to 83% of PLWH (**Table 1**). This is because health care workers, who represent 59% of controls, were eligible for vaccination before ChAdOx1 was approved in Canada, while members of the public, including PLWH, received the vaccine(s) recommended for their age group during the mass rollout. More PLWH received heterologous (ChAdOx1/mRNA) regimens compared to controls (7% and 1.3%, respectively); this is because mRNA vaccines were recommended as second doses for all Canadians [40] after reports of rare thrombotic events associated with the ChAdOx1 vaccine emerged [41]. The between-dose interval was also longer for the controls

(median 89 days, vs. 58 for PLWH). This is because BC extended the interval to 112 days beginning on March 1, 2021 due to limited vaccine supply [42], which meant that health care workers who were vaccinated around that time waited the longest for their second doses, while those vaccinated later waited a shorter time, as supplies increased. Samples were collected prior to vaccination where possible (66% of PLWH and 97% of controls), one month after the first vaccine dose (98% of both PLWH and controls) and one month after the second dose (96% of PLWH and 99% of controls).

Anti-RBD binding antibody responses after first and second vaccine doses

Among participants naive to COVID-19 at study entry, all but three (one PLWH and two controls) developed anti-RBD antibodies after one dose, though overall concentrations in PLWH were a median ~0.4 $\log_{10}$ lower than controls (Mann-Whitney p=0.0001) (**Figure 1A and Supplemental Figure 1A**). In contrast, anti-RBD antibody concentrations in COVID-19 convalescent participants (analyzed as a group, as there was no statistically significant difference between PLWH and controls in this category, Mann-Whitney p=0.17) were >2 $\log_{10}$ higher than in the COVID-19 naive participants (both p<0.0001), consistent with prior studies demonstrating robust immune responses after a single vaccine dose in previously infected individuals [43, 44].

In multivariable analyses controlling for sociodemographic, health and vaccine-related variables, the strongest independent predictors of lower antibody responses after one dose were older age (every decade of age associated with an adjusted ~0.1 $\log_{10}$ lower response; p=0.0002), and higher number of chronic health conditions (every additional condition associated with an adjusted 0.14 $\log_{10}$ lower response; p=0.005) (**Table 2**). HIV infection was associated with an

adjusted 0.2 $\log_{10}$ lower antibody response after one vaccine dose (p=0.03). Prior COVID-19 was associated with an adjusted 1.9 $\log_{10}$ higher response after one dose (p<0.0001).

The second vaccine dose substantially boosted anti-RBD binding antibody concentrations in all but two participants, one PLWH with immunodeficiency due to a chronic blood disorder, and one control participant in their 80s with three chronic health conditions (**Figure 1B**). Overall, the second dose boosted anti-RBD levels in COVID-19 naïve individuals (both PLWH and controls) by a median of >2 $\log_{10}$ compared to those measured one month after the first dose, but marginal increases (median 0.14 $\log_{10}$) were seen in COVID-19 convalescent participants (**Supplemental Figure 1B**). Overall, after two doses, median antibody concentrations in COVID-19 naive PLWH were only ~0.1 $\log_{10}$ lower than those in naive controls (median 3.9 [IQR 3.64-4.09] versus median 4.0 [IQR 3.75-4.21] $\log_{10}$ respectively; Mann-Whitney p=0.04), and only ~0.2 $\log_{10}$ lower than those found in convalescent participants (**Figure 1B**).

In multivariable analyses, HIV infection was no longer associated with antibody concentrations after two vaccine doses (p=0.8, **Table 2**). Rather, older age, a greater number of chronic conditions and having received two ChAdOx1 doses were independently predictive of lower responses, with every 10 years of older age, each additional chronic condition, and having received ChAdOx1 only being associated with 0.05 $\log_{10}$, 0.12 $\log_{10}$ and 0.64 $\log_{10}$ decreases in response, respectively (all p<0.02). A longer dose interval was also associated with a marginally higher antibody response (0.02 $\log_{10}$ per additional week, p=0.057). After two doses, there was no longer a significant association between prior COVID-19 infection and antibody response (p=0.54).

Among PLWH who were naive to COVID-19 at study entry, we observed a weak positive correlation between most recent CD4+ T-cell count and antibody concentration after one

dose (Spearman's ρ=0.18, p=0.09), but no correlation after the second dose (**Figure 1C**). Similarly we observed a weak positive correlation between *nadir* CD4+ T-cell count and antibody concentration after one dose (Spearman's ρ=0.19, p=0.07) but no correlation after the second dose (**Supplemental Figure 2A**).

ACE2 receptor displacement activities after first and second vaccine doses

We next assessed the ability of plasma to block the RBD-ACE2 interaction, which represents a higher throughput approach to estimate potential viral neutralization activity (also referred to as a surrogate viral neutralization test, sVNT [45]). After one vaccine dose, PLWH and controls who were COVID-19 naive at study entry exhibited median 44% and 58% ACE2 displacement activities, respectively, indicating lower function among PLWH (p<0.0001) (**Figure 2A**). In contrast, convalescent participants exhibited a median 99.7% ACE2 displacement activity after one vaccine dose (p<0.0001 compared to both naive groups). In multivariable analyses, HIV infection remained significantly associated with an adjusted ~7% lower ACE2 displacement activity after one vaccine dose (p=0.037), with older age (adjusted ~1.8% lower activity per every decade increment, p=0.034) and having received ChAdOx1 as the first dose (adjusted 17.5% lower activity compared to an mRNA vaccine as first dose, p=0.0003) remaining additional independent predictors of lower ACE2 displacement activity. Prior COVID-19 infection remained associated with an adjusted 37% higher ACE2 displacement activity following one vaccine dose (p<0.0001).

Following two vaccine doses, the median ACE2 displacement activity in COVID-19 naive PLWH and controls rose to >95% and there was no longer a statistically significant difference between them (Mann-Whitney p=0.4) (**Figure 2B**). Furthermore, while the median

ACE2 displacement activity in convalescent individuals remained statistically significantly higher compared to both naïve groups (both $p<0.02$), the magnitude of this difference was marginal. In fact, the second dose boosted ACE2 displacement activities in PLWH to a slightly greater extent than in controls (**Supplemental Figure 1C, D**). Consistent with this, multivariable analyses identified older age, a larger number of chronic conditions, and dual ChAdOx1 vaccination − but not HIV − as being independently associated with lower ACE2 displacement function after two vaccine doses (adjusted ~1.7% lower ACE2 displacement function for every decade of older age, 2.7% lower function for every additional health condition, and 29% lower function for dual ChAdOx1 vaccination; all $p<0.02$) (**Table 2**). Among PLWH who were naive to COVID-19 at study entry, we observed weak positive correlations between both most recent and nadir CD4 + T-cell counts and ACE2 displacement activity after one dose, but these associations did not remain following two doses (**Figure 2C; Supplemental Figure 2B**).

Humoral response against the SARS-CoV-2 delta variant

Given recent concerns that certain SARS-CoV-2 variants may be more transmissible or evade aspects of host immunity [46, 47], we examined the ACE2 displacement activity in plasma against the widespread B.1.617.2 (Delta) variant. After one vaccine dose, plasma from all groups was impaired in its ability to block ACE2 receptor engagement by the Delta RBD compared to the original (Wuhan) RBD, where the magnitude of this impairment was a median of ~8%, ~19% and ~1% for COVID-19 naive PLWH, naive controls and convalescents, respectively (Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test, all $p\leq0.0001$) (**Figure 3A**). After two vaccine doses, these impairments remained, albeit at a much lower magnitude (a median of ~2%, ~8% and ~1% for naive PLWH, naive controls and convalescents, respectively, all $p<0.0001$). These results

suggest that vaccine-elicited humoral responses may be less able to prevent infection by the Delta variant, which is consistent with a recent report showing reduced ability of plasma from convalescent and vaccinated individuals to neutralize this strain [48].

DISCUSSION

Our results add to a growing body of evidence that adult PLWH receiving stable antiretroviral therapy, who have suppressed plasma HIV loads and who have CD4+ T-cell counts in a healthy range generally mount robust humoral immune responses to COVID-19 vaccines [10, 33-35]. Though HIV infection was associated with marginally (0.2 $\log_{10}$) lower overall anti-RBD antibody concentrations and ~7% lower ACE2 displacement activities following a single vaccine dose after adjustment for sociodemographic, health and vaccine-related variables, the effect of HIV infection no longer remained after two vaccine doses. Rather, older age and a higher burden of chronic health conditions were independently associated with weaker humoral responses after two vaccine doses, consistent with previous reports [37, 49-52]. In addition, having received a dual ChAdOx1 vaccine regimen, as opposed to a heterologous or autologous mRNA vaccine regimen, was associated with significantly lower humoral responses, also consistent with previous reports [53, 54]. A longer interval between doses (where the maximum dose interval among study participants was 122 days) was also associated with marginally higher binding antibody concentrations, though not ACE2 displacement activities, which is partially consistent with reports of improved neutralizing antibody and T-cell responses using extended dosing intervals of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine [55].

Notably, among PLWH in our study, all of whom were receiving suppressive antiretroviral therapy, we observed only a very weak positive correlation between the most

recent CD4+ T-cell count and humoral responses after the first vaccine dose. Importantly, this association disappeared following the second vaccine dose. While CD4+ T-cell counts <250 cells/mm^3 have been associated with lower antibody levels following one COVID-19 vaccine dose [36], we were unable to confirm this finding as only two PLWH in the present study had CD4+ T-cell counts in this range, and both of them mounted strong vaccine responses. Moreover, although we found weak positive correlations between nadir CD4+ T-cell counts (which were as low as <10 cells/mm^3 in our cohort) and humoral responses following one dose, these associations also disappeared following the second dose. This indicates that, for PLWH currently receiving suppressive antiretroviral therapy, having had low CD4 T+ cell counts in the past will not necessarily compromise immune responses to COVID-19 vaccines presently.

We also observed that the ability of vaccine-induced plasma antibodies to disrupt the ACE2/RBD interaction was modestly yet significantly reduced against the RBD of the now widespread SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant compared to the original strain for all participant groups. Given the ability of SARS-CoV-2 variants to evade at least some aspects of vaccine-elicited immunity [46], this suggests that all individuals, regardless of HIV status, will remain more susceptible to infection by this variant, even after vaccination.

Our study has several limitations. Our results may not be generalizable to individuals with untreated HIV and/or who have CD4+ T-cell counts <200 cells/mm^3. Our study did not include children or adolescents living with HIV. As the precise immune correlates of protection for SARS-CoV-2 transmission and disease severity remain incompletely characterized [56], the implications of our results on individual-level protection from SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 remain uncertain. The relationship between vaccine-induced antibody concentrations in blood and at mucosal sites, which may be a better correlate of protection, is also incompletely

understood, though a recent study identified anti-RBD IgG antibodies in saliva in 100% of participants following a two-dose COVID-19 vaccine series [57]. We did not investigate vaccine-induced T-cell responses, though two recent studies have demonstrated comparable anti-spike T-cell responses in PLWH compared to controls [10, 34]. Due to power considerations, we did not investigate potential differences in immune responses between the two mRNA vaccines [58, 59]. The latest time-point we analyzed was one month after the second vaccine dose, so analyses of COVID-19 vaccine durability are also needed.

Taken together with existing data [10, 33-35], our results indicate that, one month after having received two COVID-19 vaccine doses, adults with HIV on suppressive antiretroviral therapy with CD4+ T-cell counts in the healthy range mount broadly comparable humoral immune responses to individuals without HIV. Furthermore, we found no evidence that a low nadir CD4+ T-cell count negatively influenced the response to COVID-19 vaccination in this group. Rather, our results identified older age, additional chronic health conditions, and having received a dual ChAdOx1 regimen (as opposed to a heterologous or dual mRNA vaccine regimen) − but not HIV − as negative modulators of humoral responses following COVID-19 vaccination in this population.

These results suggest that PLWH whose viral loads are well-controlled on antiretroviral therapy and whose CD4+ T-cell counts are in a healthy range will generally not require a third COVID-19 vaccine dose as part of their initial immunization series, though other factors such as older age, co-morbidities, type of initial vaccine regimen and durability of vaccine responses will influence when this group may benefit from additional doses. Further studies of PLWH who are not receiving antiretroviral treatment and/or who have low CD4+ T-cell counts are needed.

FUNDING

This work was supported by funding from Genome BC, the Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research, and the BCCDC Foundation for Public Health through a rapid SARS-CoV-2 vaccine research initiative in BC award (VAC-009 to MAB, ZLB). It was also supported by the Public Health Agency of Canada through a COVID-19 Immunology Task Force COVID-19 Award (to MAB, ZLB), the Canada Foundation for Innovation through Exceptional Opportunities Fund – COVID-19 awards (to CJB, MAB, MN, MLD, RP, ZLB), a British Columbia Ministry of Health–Providence Health Care Research Institute COVID-19 Research Priorities Grant (to CJB) and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health (R01AI134229 to RP). LYL was supported by an SFU Undergraduate Research Award. GU and FHO are supported by Ph.D. fellowships from the Sub-Saharan African Network for TB/HIV Research Excellence (SANTHE), a DELTAS Africa Initiative [grant # DEL-15-006]. The DELTAS Africa Initiative is an independent funding scheme of the African Academy of Sciences (AAS)'s Alliance for Accelerating Excellence in Science in Africa (AESA) and supported by the New Partnership for Africa's Development Planning and Coordinating Agency (NEPAD Agency) with funding from the Wellcome Trust [grant # 107752/Z/15/Z] and the UK government. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of AAS, NEPAD Agency, Wellcome Trust or the UK government. MLD and ZLB hold Scholar Awards from the Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the leadership and staff of Providence Health Care, including long-term care and assisted living residences, for their support of this study. We thank the phlebotomists and laboratory staff at St. Paul's Hospital, the BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS and Simon Fraser University for assistance. Above all, we thank the participants, without whom this study would not have been possible.

REFERENCES

1. Geretti AM, Stockdale AJ, Kelly SH, et al. Outcomes of COVID-19 related hospitalization among people with HIV in the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterization Protocol (UK): a prospective observational study. Clin Infect Dis **2020**.
2. Boulle A, Davies MA, Hussey H, et al. Risk factors for COVID-19 death in a population cohort study from the Western Cape Province, South Africa. Clin Infect Dis **2020**.
3. Tesoriero JM, Swain CE, Pierce JL, et al. COVID-19 Outcomes Among Persons Living With or Without Diagnosed HIV Infection in New York State. JAMA network open **2021**; 4:e2037069.
4. Bhaskaran K, Rentsch CT, MacKenna B, et al. HIV infection and COVID-19 death: a population-based cohort analysis of UK primary care data and linked national death registrations within the OpenSAFELY platform. Lancet HIV **2021**; 8:e24-e32.
5. BC_Centre_for_Excellence_in_HIV/AIDS_Committee_on_Drug_Evaluation_and_Therapy. Committee Statement Update on the use of COVID-19 vaccines in Persons Living with HIV, **2021**.
6. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. N Engl J Med **2020**; 383:2603-15.
7. Thomas SJ, Moreira ED, Jr., Kitchin N, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months. N Engl J Med **2021**.
8. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. N Engl J Med **2021**; 384:403-16.
9. Voysey M, Clemens SAC, Madhi SA, et al. Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. Lancet **2021**; 397:99-111.
10. Frater J, Ewer KJ, Ogbe A, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 in HIV infection: a single-arm substudy of a phase 2/3 clinical trial. Lancet HIV **2021**; 8:e474-e85.
11. Madhi SA, Koen AL, Izu A, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 in people living with and without HIV in South Africa: an interim analysis of a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1B/2A trial. Lancet HIV **2021**; 8:e568-e80.
12. Dagan N, Barda N, Kepten E, et al. BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine in a Nationwide Mass Vaccination Setting. N Engl J Med **2021**; 384:1412-23.
13. Tenforde MW, Self WH, Naioti EA, et al. Sustained Effectiveness of Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna Vaccines Against COVID-19 Associated Hospitalizations Among Adults - United States, March-July 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **2021**; 70:1156-62.
14. Haas EJ, Angulo FJ, McLaughlin JM, et al. Impact and effectiveness of mRNA BNT162b2 vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 infections and COVID-19 cases, hospitalisations, and deaths following a nationwide vaccination campaign in Israel: an observational study using national surveillance data. Lancet **2021**; 397:1819-29.
15. Walsh EE, Frenck RW, Jr., Falsey AR, et al. Safety and Immunogenicity of Two RNA-Based Covid-19 Vaccine Candidates. N Engl J Med **2020**; 383:2439-50.
16. Widge AT, Rouphael NG, Jackson LA, et al. Durability of Responses after SARS-CoV-2 mRNA-1273 Vaccination. N Engl J Med **2021**; 384:80-2.

17. Jeewandara C, Kamaladasa A, Pushpakumara PD, et al. Immune responses to a single dose of the AZD1222/Covishield vaccine in health care workers. Nat Commun **2021**; 12:4617.
18. Rabinowich L, Grupper A, Baruch R, et al. Low immunogenicity to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination among liver transplant recipients. J Hepatol **2021**; 75:435-8.
19. Grupper A, Rabinowich L, Schwartz D, et al. Reduced humoral response to mRNA SARS-CoV-2 BNT162b2 vaccine in kidney transplant recipients without prior exposure to the virus. Am J Transplant **2021**; 21:2719-26.
20. Massarweh A, Eliakim-Raz N, Stemmer A, et al. Evaluation of Seropositivity Following BNT162b2 Messenger RNA Vaccination for SARS-CoV-2 in Patients Undergoing Treatment for Cancer. JAMA oncology **2021**; 7:1133-40.
21. Monin L, Laing AG, Muñoz-Ruiz M, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of one versus two doses of the COVID-19 vaccine BNT162b2 for patients with cancer: interim analysis of a prospective observational study. Lancet Oncol **2021**; 22:765-78.
22. Palich R, Veyri M, Vozy A, et al. High seroconversion rate but low antibody titers after two injections of BNT162b2 (Pfizer-BioNTech) vaccine in patients treated with chemotherapy for solid cancers. Ann Oncol **2021**; 32:1294-5.
23. Moor MB, Suter-Riniker F, Horn MP, et al. Humoral and cellular responses to mRNA vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 in patients with a history of CD20 B-cell-depleting therapy (RituxiVac): an investigator-initiated, single-centre, open-label study. The Lancet Rheumatology **2021**.
24. Deepak P, Kim W, Paley MA, et al. Effect of Immunosuppression on the Immunogenicity of mRNA Vaccines to SARS-CoV-2 : A Prospective Cohort Study. Ann Intern Med **2021**.
25. Apostolidis SA, Kakara M, Painter MM, et al. Cellular and humoral immune responses following SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination in patients with multiple sclerosis on anti-CD20 therapy. Nat Med **2021**.
26. Plana M, García F, Gallart T, et al. Immunological benefits of antiretroviral therapy in very early stages of asymptomatic chronic HIV-1 infection. Aids **2000**; 14:1921-33.
27. Kaufmann GR, Zaunders JJ, Cunningham P, et al. Rapid restoration of CD4 T cell subsets in subjects receiving antiretroviral therapy during primary HIV-1 infection. Aids **2000**; 14:2643-51.
28. Bart PA, Rizzardi GP, Tambussi G, et al. Immunological and virological responses in HIV-1-infected adults at early stage of established infection treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy. Aids **2000**; 14:1887-97.
29. Lundgren JD, Babiker AG, Gordin F, et al. Initiation of Antiretroviral Therapy in Early Asymptomatic HIV Infection. N Engl J Med **2015**; 373:795-807.
30. El Chaer F, El Sahly HM. Vaccination in the Adult Patient Infected with HIV: A Review of Vaccine Efficacy and Immunogenicity. Am J Med **2019**; 132:437-46.
31. Kernéis S, Launay O, Turbelin C, Batteux F, Hanslik T, Boëlle PY. Long-term immune responses to vaccination in HIV-infected patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis **2014**; 58:1130-9.
32. Geretti AM, Doyle T. Immunization for HIV-positive individuals. Curr Opin Infect Dis **2010**; 23:32-8.
33. Levy I, Wieder-Finesod A, Litchevsky V, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in people living with HIV-1. Clin Microbiol Infect **2021**.

34. Woldemeskel BA, Karaba AH, Garliss CC, et al. The BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine Elicits Robust Humoral and Cellular Immune Responses in People Living with HIV. Clin Infect Dis **2021**.
35. Ruddy JA, Boyarsky BJ, Bailey JR, et al. Safety and antibody response to two-dose SARS-CoV-2 messenger RNA vaccination in persons with HIV. Aids **2021**.
36. Nault L, Marchitto L, Goyette G, et al. Covid-19 vaccine immunogenicity in people living with HIV-1. bioRxiv **2021**:2021.08.13.456258.
37. Zimmermann P, Curtis N. Factors That Influence the Immune Response to Vaccination. Clin Microbiol Rev **2019**; 32.
38. Brockman MA, Mwimanzi F, Lapointe HR, et al. Reduced magnitude and durability of humoral immune responses by COVID-19 mRNA vaccines among older adults. medRxiv **2021**:2021.09.06.21263149.
39. Mattiuzzo G, Bentley EM, Hasall M, et al. Establishment of the WHO International Standard and Reference Panel for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody. In: WHO_Expert_Committee_on_Biological_Standardization, ed. Vol. WHO/BS/2020.2402. Geneva: World_Health_Organization, **2020**.
40. National_Advisory_Committee_on_Immunization_(NACI). An Advisory Committee Statement (ACS) National Advisory Committee on Immunization (NACI): Recommendatinos on the use of COVID-19 vaccines: Public Health Agency of Canada, **2021**.
41. Wise J. Covid-19: European countries suspend use of Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine after reports of blood clots. Bmj **2021**; 372:n699.
42. National_Advisory_Committee_on_Immunization_(NACI). An Advisory Committee Statement (ACS) National Advisory Committee on Immunization (NACI); Extended dose intervals for COVID-19 vaccines to optimize early vaccine rollout and population protection in Canada in the context of limited vaccine supply: Public Health Agency of Canada, **2021**.
43. Goel RR, Apostolidis SA, Painter MM, et al. Distinct antibody and memory B cell responses in SARS-CoV-2 naïve and recovered individuals following mRNA vaccination. Science immunology **2021**; 6.
44. Hirotsu Y, Amemiya K, Sugiura H, et al. Robust Antibody Responses to the BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine Occur Within a Week After the First Dose in Previously Infected Individuals and After the Second Dose in Uninfected Individuals. Front Immunol **2021**; 12:722766.
45. Tan CW, Chia WN, Qin X, et al. A SARS-CoV-2 surrogate virus neutralization test based on antibody-mediated blockage of ACE2–spike protein–protein interaction. Nature Biotechnology **2020**; 38:1073-8.
46. Gupta RK. Will SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern affect the promise of vaccines? Nat Rev Immunol **2021**; 21:340-1.
47. Plante JA, Mitchell BM, Plante KS, Debbink K, Weaver SC, Menachery VD. The variant gambit: COVID-19's next move. Cell Host Microbe **2021**; 29:508-15.
48. Planas D, Veyer D, Baidaliuk A, et al. Reduced sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 variant Delta to antibody neutralization. Nature **2021**; 596:276-80.
49. Pereira B, Xu XN, Akbar AN. Targeting Inflammation and Immunosenescence to Improve Vaccine Responses in the Elderly. Front Immunol **2020**; 11:583019.

50. Merani S, Pawelec G, Kuchel GA, McElhaney JE. Impact of Aging and Cytomegalovirus on Immunological Response to Influenza Vaccination and Infection. Front Immunol **2017**; 8:784.
51. Collier DA, Ferreira I, Kotagiri P, et al. Age-related immune response heterogeneity to SARS-CoV-2 vaccine BNT162b2. Nature **2021**; 596:417-22.
52. Müller L, Andrée M, Moskorz W, et al. Age-dependent immune response to the Biontech/Pfizer BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccination. Clin Infect Dis **2021**.
53. Normark J, Vikström L, Gwon YD, et al. Heterologous ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 and mRNA-1273 Vaccination. N Engl J Med **2021**; 385:1049-51.
54. Schmidt T, Klemis V, Schub D, et al. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of heterologous ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/mRNA vaccination. Nat Med **2021**; 27:1530-5.
55. Payne R, Longet S, Austin J, et al. Sustained T Cell Immunity, Protection and Boosting Using Extended Dosing Intervals of BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine: SSRN, **2021**.
56. Feng S, Phillips DJ, White T, et al. Correlates of protection against symptomatic and asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. medRxiv **2021**:2021.06.21.21258528.
57. Ketas TJ, Chaturbhuj D, Portillo VMC, et al. Antibody Responses to SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccines Are Detectable in Saliva. Pathogens & immunity **2021**; 6:116-34.
58. Nanduri S, Pilishvili T, Derado G, et al. Effectiveness of Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna Vaccines in Preventing SARS-CoV-2 Infection Among Nursing Home Residents Before and During Widespread Circulation of the SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 (Delta) Variant - National Healthcare Safety Network, March 1-August 1, 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **2021**; 70:1163-6.
59. Abe KT, Hu Q, Mozafarihashjin M, et al. Neutralizing antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 variants in vaccinated Ontario long-term care home residents and workers. medRxiv **2021**:2021.08.06.21261721.

Table 1: Participant characteristics

Characteristic	PLWH (n=100)	controls (n=152)	p-value
HIV-related variables			
Receiving antiretroviral therapy, n (%)	100 (100%)	-	-
Most recent plasma viral load in copies /mL, median [IQR] (range)	<50 [<50 - <50]	-	-
Most recent CD4+ T-cell count in cells/mm3, median [IQR]	710 [525-935]	-	-
Nadir CD4+ T-cell count in cells/mm3, median [IQR]	280 [120-490]	-	-
Sociodemographic and health variables			
Age in years, median [IQR]	54 [40-61]	47 [35-70]	0.38
Male sex at birth, n (%)	88 (88%)	50 (33%)	<0.0001
Ethnicity, n (%)			
white/Caucasian	69 (69%)	78 (51%)	0.0042
Black	5 (5%)	1 (0.7%)	-
Asian	10 (10%)	56 (37%)	-
Latin American	8 (8%)	4 (2.6%)	-
Middle Eastern/Arab	3 (3%)	0 (0%)	-
Mixed ethnicity	3 (3%)	8 (5.3%)	-
Not disclosed	2 (2%)	5 (3.3%)	-
COVID-19 convalescent (anti-N Ab+) at entry, n (%)	8 (8%)	15(10%)	0.66
Number of chronic health conditions, median [IQR]	0 [0-1]	0 [0-1]	0.18
Vaccine related variables			
mRNA vaccine for first dose, n (%)	83 (83%)	148 (97%)	<0.0001
First dose type			
BNT162b2, n (%)	60 (60%)	133 (87.5%)	-
mRNA-1273 a, n (%)	23 (23%)	15 (10%)	-
ChAdOx1, n (%)	17 (17%)	4 (2.6%)	-
mRNA vaccine for second dose, n (%)	89 (92%)	150 (99%)	0.0063
Complete regimen details			
mRNA - mRNA	83 (83%)	148 (97%)	-
ChAdOx1 - mRNA (heterologous)	7 (7%)	2 (1.3%)	-
ChAdOx1- ChAdOx1	8 (8%)	1 (0.7%)	-
ChAdOx1 - not disclosed	2 (2%)	1 (0.7%)	-
Time between doses in days, median [IQR]	58 [53-68]	89 [65-98]	<0.0001
Specimen related variables			
Specimen collected pre-vaccine, n (%)	66 (66%)	148 (97%)	-
Specimen collected one month after first dose, n (%)	98 (98%)	149 (98%)	-
Day of collection one month after first dose, median [IQR]	30 [29-32]	30 [28-32]	0.026
Specimen collected one month after second dose, n (%)	96 (96%)	151 (99%)	-
Day of collection one month after second dose, median [IQR]	30 [29-30]	30 [29-32]	0.06

Table 2: Multivariable analyses of the relationship between sociodemographic, health and vaccine-related variables on immunogenicity outcomes after first and second COVID-19 doses

Immunogenicity outcome	Variable	Time point					
		1 month after 1st dose (V2)			1 month after 2nd dose (V3)		
		Estimate	95% CI	p	Estimate	95% CI	p
Log_{10} anti-RBD Abs	HIV	-0.20	-0.39 to -0.019	**0.030**	-0.022	-0.19 to 0.15	0.80
	Age (per decade increment)	-0.095	-0.14 to -0.046	**0.0002**	-0.052	-0.093 to -0.010	**0.014**
	Male sex	-0.13	-0.31 to 0.045	0.15	0.011	-0.14 to 0.16	0.88
	White Ethnicity	-0.11	-0.27 to 0.050	0.18	0.049	-0.085 to 0.18	0.47
	# Chronic conditions (per # increment)	-0.14	-0.24 to -0.043	**0.005**	-0.12	-0.20 to -0.033	**0.0063**
	ChAdOx1 as first vaccine	-0.24	-0.51 to 0.036	0.089	-	-	-
	Dual ChAdOx1 regimen	-	-	-	-0.64	-0.99 to -0.30	**0.0003**
	Dose interval (per week increment)	-	-	-	0.0224	-0.00070 to 0.046	0.057
	Days since vaccine	0.023	-0.0011 to 0.047	0.061	-0.0035	-0.026 to 0.019	0.76
	COVID-19 convalescent	1.89	1.63 to 2.13	**<0.0001**	0.067	-0.15 to 0.28	0.54
ACE2 displacement (%)	HIV	-6.71	-13.02 to -0.4071	0.037	0.50	-3.79 to 4.79	0.82
	Age (per decade increment)	-1.83	-3.520 to -0.1398	**0.034**	-1.68	-2.73 to -0.62	**0.0019**
	Male sex	-5.84	-11.95 to 0.2643	0.061	-1.55	-5.32 to 2.21	0.42
	White Ethnicity	-4.22	-9.708 to 1.277	0.13	1.56	-1.86 to 4.97	0.37
	# Chronic conditions (per # increment)	-0.80	-4.246 to 2.642	0.65	-2.73	-4.84 to -0.62	**0.01**
	ChAdOx1 as first vaccine	-17.49	-26.90 to -8.092	**0.0003**	-	-	-
	Dual ChAdOx1 regimen	-	-	-	-29.25	-38.11 to -20.38	**<0.0001**
	Dose interval (per week increment)	-	-	-	0.34	-0.91 to 0.26	0.27
	Days since vaccine	0.62	-0.21 to 1.46	0.14	-0.14	-0.72 to 0.43	0.62
	COVID-19 convalescent	37.04	28.38 to 45.70	**<0.0001**	2.74	-2.72 to 8.21	0.32

Figure 1


A
Anti-RBD antibody concentration (log10) after first dose
p<0.0001
p=0.0001
ULOQ
LLOD
PLWH naive
control naive
convalescent
B
Anti-RBD antibody concentration (log10) after second dose
p=0.15
p=0.02
p=0.04
ULOQ
LLOD
PLWH naive
control naive
convalescent
C
Anti-RBD antibody concentration (log10)
ULOQ
Spearman's ρ=0.11, p=0.3
Spearman's ρ=0.18, p=0.09
LLOD
after dose 1
after dose 2
most recent CD4+ T-cell count (cells/mm3)

Figure 1 (previous page): Binding antibody responses to spike RBD following one and two COVID-19 vaccine doses. *Panel A*: Binding antibody responses to the SARS-CoV-2 spike RBD in plasma following one dose of a COVID-19 vaccine in PLWH (black circles) and controls (grey circles) who were COVID-19 naive at study entry. Convalescents, denoting participants with anti-N antibodies at study entry, are colored as above. Red bars and whiskers represent median and IQR. P-values were computed using the Mann-Whitney U-test and are uncorrected for multiple comparisons. LLOD: assay lower limit of detection. ULOQ: assay upper limit of quantification. *Panel B*: Binding antibody responses after two vaccine doses, colored as in A. *Panel C:* Correlation between most recent CD4+ T-cell count and binding antibody responses after one dose (red circles) and two doses (blue circles). Dotted lines are to help visualize the trend.

Figure 2


A
p<0.0001
p<0.0001
ACE2 displacement (%)
100
50
0
PLWH naive
control naive
convalescent
B
p<0.02
p=0.4
ACE2 displacement (%)
100
50
0
PLWH naive
control naive
convalescent
C
ACE2 displacement (%)
100
80
60
40
20
0
Spearman's ρ=0.12, p=0.25
Spearman's ρ=0.18, p=0.09
after dose 1
after dose 2
0
500
1000
1500
2000
most recent CD4+ T-cell count (cells/mm3)

Figure 2 (previous page): Ability of vaccine-induced antibodies to block ACE2-receptor binding following one and two COVID-19 vaccine doses. *Panel A*: ACE2 displacement activities following one dose of a COVID-19 vaccine in PLWH (black circles) and controls (grey circles) who were COVID-19 naive at study entry. Convalescents (participants with anti-N antibodies at study entry) are colored as above. Red bars and whiskers represent median and IQR. Grey shaded area denotes the range of values observed using baseline (pre-vaccine) plasma samples from COVID-19 naive participants (see supplemental Figure 1). P-values were computed using the Mann-Whitney U-test and are uncorrected for multiple comparisons. *Panel B*: ACE2 displacement activities after two vaccine doses, colored as in A. *Panel C:* Correlation between most recent CD4+ T-cell count and ACE2 displacement activities after one dose (red circles) and two doses (blue circles). Dotted lines are to help visualize the trend.

Figure 3



Figure 3: ACE2 displacement activities against the original and Delta SARS-CoV-2 variants after one and two doses of COVID-19 vaccine. *Panel A:* ACE2 displacement activities against the original wild-type (wt) and Delta variant Spike-RBD in naive PLWH, naive controls, and convalescent individuals after one vaccine dose. Data are shown as violin plots with horizontal red lines depicting the mean, 1st and 3rd quartiles. P-values were computed using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test, and are uncorrected for multiple comparisons. *Panel B*: Same as panel A, but for responses after two vaccine doses.