medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.29.21264171; this version posted September 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Title: Acceptability of HCV screening and treatment during pregnancy in women attending antenatal care in Egypt, Pakistan and Ukraine

Authors:
Karen Scott[1], Elizabeth Chappell[1], Aya Mostafa[2], Alla Voloka[3], Nida Najmi[4], Fatma Ebeid[5], Svitlana Posokhova[6], Raheel Sikandar[7], Marta Vasylyev[8], Saima Zulfiqar[9], Vyacheslav Kaminskyy [10], Sarah Pett[1,11,12], Ruslan Malyuta[13], Saeed Hamid[14], Manal El Sayed[5], Diana Gibb[1], Ali Judd[1], Jeannie Collins[1]

1: Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit, University College London, UK
2: Department of Community, Environmental, and Occupational Medicine, Ain Shams University Faculty of Medicine, Cairo, Egypt
3: Shupyk National Medical Academy of Postgraduate Education, Ukraine
4: Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi 74800, Pakistan.
5: Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University Research Institute-Clinical Research Centre (MASRI-CRC), Cairo, Egypt.
6: Odesa National Medical University, Ukraine
7: Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Hyderabad, Pakistan
8: Lviv Regional HIV/AIDS Prevention and Control Center, Lviv, Ukraine
9: Sheikh Zayed Medical College and Hospital, Rahim Yar Khan, Pakistan
10: Kyiv City Center of Reproductive and Perinatal Medicine, Ukraine
11: Institute for Global Health, University College London, UK
12: Kirby Institute for Infection and Immunity in Society, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia
13: United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), Europe and Central Asia Regional Office (ECARO)
14: Department of Medicine, Aga Khan University, Stadium Road, Karachi 74800, Pakistan.

Corresponding author: Karen Scott, MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, Institute of Clinical Trials & Methodology, 90 High Holborn London WC1V 6LJ. karen.scott@ucl.ac.uk. +44 20 7670 4932

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.29.21264171; this version posted September 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Title: Acceptability of HCV screening and potential use of DAAs during pregnancy in women attending antenatal care in Egypt, Pakistan and Ukraine

Abstract: (word count 330/300)
Background: The risk of vertical transmission of hepatitis C virus (HCV) is ≈6%, and evidence suggests HCV negatively affects pregnancy and infant outcomes. Despite this, universal antenatal HCV screening is not available in most settings, and direct acting antivirals (DAA) are yet to be approved for use in pregnancy or breastfeeding period. Larger safety and efficacy trials are needed. At current there is limited understanding of the acceptability of routine HCV screening and use of DAAs in pregnancy but only among women in high HCV burden countries.
Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional survey of pregnant or post-partum (<6 months since delivery) women attending antenatal clinics or maternity hospitals in Egypt, Pakistan and Ukraine. In Ukraine, this included one HIV clinic. Acceptability of free universal antenatal HCV screening and potential uptake of DAA treatment in the scenario of DAAs being approved for use in pregnancy was assessed. Results were stratified by HCV status and in Ukraine by HIV status. Descriptive statistics were used to explore differences in acceptability of treatment in pregnancy by country.
Findings: Among 630 women (n=210 per country) who participated, the median age was 30 [interquartile range (IQR) 26, 34] years, 73% were pregnant and 27% postpartum, and 27% ever HCV antibody or PCR positive. 40% of women in Ukraine were living with HIV. Overall 93% of women supported free universal HCV screening in pregnancy, with no difference by country. 88% would take DAAs in pregnancy if approved for use: 92%, 98% and 73% among women in Egypt, Pakistan and Ukraine, respectively. Motivation for use of DAAs in pregnancy (to avert vertical transmission or for maternal HCV cure) varied by country, HCV status and HIV status (in Ukraine). No predictors for acceptability of DAAs were identified.
Interpretation: Our survey across 3 high burden countries found very high acceptability of free universal HCV screening and DAAs if approved for use in pregnancy. Clinical trials to evaluate the safety and efficacy of DAAs during pregnancy and breastfeeding are urgently required.
Funding: This survey was conducted as part of the "HCVAVERT" study, funded by the UK Medical Research Council (ref MR/R019746/1).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.29.21264171; this version posted September 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Title: Acceptability of HCV screening and potential use of DAAs during pregnancy in women attending antenatal care in Egypt, Pakistan and Ukraine

INTRODUCTION

Chronic hepatitis C (HCV) in women of childbearing age is a major global public health concern with an estimated 15 million women aged 15–49 years[1] living with HCV globally and 3.26 million children[2] living with HCV, many of whom are vertically infected. In 2016, the World Health Organization (WHO) adopted new goals for global elimination of viral hepatitis by 2030. However, pregnant women and children are currently excluded from HCV elimination strategies and few countries recommend routine screening of HCV in pregnancy and DAAs are not licensed for use in pregnancy, breastfeeding or for children aged under 3 years.

International guidelines currently recommend that pregnant women diagnosed with chronic HCV (defined as HCV PCR positive) are referred for treatment after cessation of breastfeeding. However, in many low and middle-income countries (LMIC), women commonly breastfeed for ≥2 years, and have multiple pregnancies in rapid succession which severely limits the opportunity to treat and cure the mother and avert the risk of vertical transmission. Numerous studies in both high and lower middle-income countries have reported extremely poor (<50%) maternal and infant retention in the HCV care cascade of care postpartum and low uptake of treatment among women post-partum.[3-6] In addition to the risk of vertical transmission of HCV, estimated at ~6%, there are also growing evidence of adverse pregnancy and infant outcomes associated with maternal HCV infection. Recent studies have highlighted increased risk of intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy,[7] preterm birth,[8] low birth weight,[9] and mitochondrial toxicity and inflammation from HCV exposure in pregnancy, leading to adverse perinatal outcomes.[10]

Antenatal HCV screening and treatment in pregnancy could cure maternal HCV and potentially avert vertical transmission and associated negative pregnancy and infant outcomes, and substantially advance the global HCV elimination targets. Although universal screening for HCV in pregnancy recommended in some countries, the extent to which it is being implemented is unclear and some countries, such as Pakistan, require out of pocket fees which may limit the uptake.[11] A recent small phase I study in the USA assessed the pharmacokinetics of Harvoni® (sofosbuvir/ ledipasvir) in pregnant women from 24 weeks gestation; the eight women included in the study all completed the 12 week DAA treatment and received adequate drug exposure, achieved viral suppression and functional cure by time of delivery and no safety signals arising.[12] In addition, there are few small studies reporting off-label use of DAAs in pregnant women in routine care or conception during DAA treatment, which also reported no safety signals but were based on small sample sizes.[13, 14] There is increasing recognition of the need for larger clinical trials to assess the safety and efficacy of DAAs to cure pregnant women and prevent vertical transmission of HCV.[15, 16] At current, there remains scarce data on the potential uptake of HCV screening and treatment in pregnancy or breastfeeding period. Only one small study has examined the acceptability of HCV screening and treatment in pregnancy in the USA. The study surveyed 121 non-pregnant women with current or previous chronic HCV attending one facility in the USA, 60% of women reported willingness to take DAA treatment in pregnancy to reduce the risk of vertical transmission of HCV. When asked as a separate question, 21% were willing to receive treatment for self-cure only.[17] There are no comparable data from LMIC settings with high burden of HCV.

In this study, we assessed the acceptability of free universal antenatal HCV screening and the potential uptake of DAA treatment in the scenario of DAAs being approved for use in pregnancy among women attending antennal or maternity clinics in three HCV high burden countries: Egypt, Pakistan and Ukraine. Egypt and Pakistan have some of the largest budget of HCV globally, with generalised epidemics and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.29.21264171; this version posted September 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

estimated prevalence in pregnant women of 9%[18] and 6%[19] respectively. Ukraine is part of the Eastern European region which has one of the highest HCV infection rates, with a concentrated epidemic and a high prevalence of HCV among people living with HIV.[20]

METHODS

Women aged ≥18 years, currently pregnant or delivered in the last 6 months and attending participating antenatal clinics and maternity hospitals in Egypt (1 site), Pakistan (7 sites) and Ukraine (3 sites, including one HIV antenatal clinic) were invited to participate in our survey and gave written informed consent. Ethical approval was obtained from the UCL research ethics committee and local ethics committees.

A self-completed REDCap online survey was developed by the study team and translated into local languages. Participants with poor literacy or limited IT skills had the questions read to them by a study staff member. Minor local adaptions were made for cultural sensitivity (e.g. HIV status was not collected in Egypt or Pakistan as this was considered highly sensitive and both countries have low prevalence of HIV and no routine HIV testing in pregnancy).[21, 22]
The survey was composed of two parts. First, women were asked about their sociodemographic status, their pregnancy and HCV history and knowledge. After completion of this section women were given a prepared factsheet about HCV and pregnancy (Supplementary Materials A), which they were asked to read or were read to them by study staff. Second, women were asked about their views on the acceptability of hepatitis C screening and potential treatment in pregnancy in the scenario that DAAs were approved for use in pregnancy. When asked whether they would take DAAs in pregnancy, women were given three options: (i) "Yes, to cure me, even if it does not lower the chance of my baby getting hepatitis C,"; (ii) "Yes, but only if it lowers the chance of my baby getting hepatitis C or has other potential benefits for my baby" or (iii) No, I would wait until after my pregnancy to start treatment." Our survey was based on a survey developed by Kushner et al. in their acceptability study in the USA.[23]

Overall, 210 women were enrolled in each country between July 2020 and July 2021, providing a total sample size of 630. We aimed to include up to 50% of women with known HCV positive infection status (defined as PCR or antibody positive) in Ukraine and up to 20% in Egypt and Pakistan. The higher target in Ukraine was due to expected higher proportion of women with known HCV status and higher prevalence, particularly among women attending the HIV clinic. We combined women with antibody or PCR positive result as few women in these settings have ever received a PCR test, even if antibody positive as these require out of pocket fees.

Descriptive statistics were used to summarise the characteristics of participants and survey response, overall and by country. Differences by country were explored using chi squared tests for categorical variables, and t tests for continuous variables. Descriptive statistics were used to explore differences in acceptability of treatment in pregnancy by country and demographic and clinical characteristics:(age, education, socioeconomic score (number of rooms excluding kitchen and bathroom/number of people in home) relationship and employment status, pregnancy status (currently pregnant vs. given birth in the last 6 months), HCV status (ever HCV antibody or RNA positive vs. never), HIV status (in Ukraine only), number of previous children, and a composite HCV knowledge score. For HCV knowledge women were asked eight questions about HCV, risk of HCV transmission, availability of treatment and HCV in pregnancy. Each question had equal weighting and a composite score was derived (range from 0-24).

RESULTS

Sociodemographic characteristics of the 630 women enrolled are shown in Table 1. The median age of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.29.21264171; this version posted September 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

women ranged from 29 years in Pakistan to 33 years in Ukraine. Ukraine had the highest proportion of women aged ≥35 years, at 38% compared to 24% in Egypt and 15% in Pakistan. Sixty-percent of women in Ukraine had ever tested HCV positive (antibody or PCR) compared to 3% and 20% in Egypt and Pakistan, respectively. A quarter of women in Egypt and 10% in Pakistan reporting never having a HCV test. Forty percent of women in Ukraine were living with HIV, of whom 33% have ever tested positive for HCV.

The majority of women in Ukraine (93%) were pregnant at the time of survey compared to two-thirds of women in Egypt and Pakistan. Over a third of women in Ukraine were surveyed during their first pregnancy compared to <20% in Egypt and Pakistan.

In terms of socio-economic and demographic characteristics, the large majority of women were married or co-habiting. Below secondary school completion was the highest level of education in ~40% of women in Egypt and Pakistan compared to 9% in Ukraine. The proportion of women who completed university level education was ~40% in Ukraine and Pakistan and 19% in Egypt. The majority of women (>70%) in Egypt and Pakistan were unemployed compared to 47% in Ukraine. The socioeconomic score, where a lower score signifies a high level of overcrowding in the household, indicated that overcrowding was highest in Pakistan and lowest in Ukraine.

Overall the median HCV knowledge score was 12 [IQR 9,15] out of a possible score of 24. HCV knowledge was similar across countries, with a median score of 14 in Ukraine, 11 in Egypt and Pakistan. Awareness of HCV was lowest in Egypt where 13% of women reported to have not heard of HCV before compared to 2% and 3% in Pakistan and Ukraine respectively.

Across all three countries, the acceptability of free universal screening during pregnancy was very high at 88%, 95% and 95% in Egypt, Pakistan and Ukraine respectively (Figure 1).

The majority of women (88%) reported that they would take DAAs in pregnancy if approved for use (Figure 2a). Acceptability was highest in Pakistan (98%) followed by Egypt (91%) and Ukraine (73%). The majority of women who would take DAAs in pregnancy were for the reason of stopping vertical transmission rather than for maternal cure and this was consistent across all countries. Ukraine had the largest proportion of women who would not take DAAs during pregnancy and would prefer to wait until after delivery, at 27% compared to 9% in Egypt and 2% in Pakistan. When we combine results from all countries and stratified by HCV status, acceptability of DAAs was highest among women who had never tested HCV positive at 92% and was lowest among women who had tested positive at 78% (Figure 2b). Among women in Ukraine, the proportion who would choose to have DAAs in pregnancy was similar irrespective of HIV status although women living with HIV were more likely to agree to treatment to stop vertical transmission. (Figure 2c).

Table 2 presents the acceptability of DAAs in pregnancy in each country and overall by key participant characteristics, will data from all countries pooled there was no evidence for a difference in acceptability of DAAs by age, partner's employment status and HCV knowledge score in any of the countries (all p>0.05). When stratified by country, whether a women was pregnant or recently delivered at the time of survey completion was associated with acceptability (p=0.001) among women in Egypt. Factors associated with acceptability for women in Pakistan were age (p=0.014) where slightly more women aged 18-24 years would prefer to wait until after pregnancy and breastfeeding than women in older age groups. Number of previous children (p=0.009) and socioeconomic score (p=0.031). Factors associated with acceptability for women in Ukraine were HCV status (p=0.021) and HIV status (p=0.002), education (p=0.007) and employment status (p=0.008).

Due to high acceptability, exploration of factors associated with the acceptability of screening could not be conducted.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.29.21264171; this version posted September 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is one of the largest studies on acceptability of HCV screening and treatment to date and the first to focus on high HCV burden countries. Acceptability for free universal HCV screening was very high at 93%. In the scenario that DAAs were approved for use in pregnancy, acceptability ranged from 78% in Ukraine to 98% in Pakistan. The different level of acceptability may be due to our study focusing on women who are currently pregnant or in the early post-partum period or it may reflect the broader differences in sociodemographic characteristics of women across countries and their wider acceptance of treatments during pregnancy.

In our study, the majority of women (76%) who would take DAAs in pregnancy were driven by the goal of preventing vertical transmission and adverse pregnancy and infant outcomes and fewer reported maternal cure as the main reason to receive treatment. This trend was consistent across the three countries, by HCV status and HIV status. This estimate is at a substantially higher level than the 60% acceptability reported among non-pregnant women with chronic HCV in the USA.[17]

One of the strengths of our study is the inclusion of women from three lower middle-income countries, all with a broad range of education backgrounds and socioeconomic groups, this was especially the case in Pakistan where we included women attending clinics in public and private facilities.

It is interesting to note that despite a high profile national HCV elimination campaign in Egypt where over 50 million have been screened for HCV and 4 million treated since 2015,[24] there remained a proportion of women (13%) who were not aware of HCV and 25% of women had never been tested. Other countries with high HCV burden such as Nigeria also found a high proportion of pregnancy women (52%) with no knowledge of HCV.[25] To counter the potential lack of HCV knowledge, all women in our study were given basic information sheet on HCV and pregnancy before asking their views on the potential acceptability of DAAs in pregnancy, to ensure they were able to make informed choices.

A key limitation of our study is that our assessment of acceptability is based on a hypothetical question asking women to imagine a scenario where DAAs are safe and approved for use and to assume they are pregnant with chronic HCV. The majority of women included in the study in Pakistan or Egypt have never tested HCV positive or have never been tested and may be more likely to respond positively to such a scenario. In contrast almost 60% of women in Ukraine have ever tested HCV positive (PCR or antibody). Interestingly, in Ukraine women who ever had a HCV positive result had the lowest proportion willing to start treatment in pregnancy at 88%. However, these remain hypothetical responses and uptake of treatments if approved for use may differ.

We found very few individual level factors associated with the acceptability of DAAs, which was in part due the high acceptability observed. It may be difficult to understand the factors which drive women's answers and a more qualitative approach may be needed. In summary our study found very high acceptability of both universal screening and potentially treatment with DAAs in pregnancy.

Studies in the USA[26] and France[27] have reported the cost effectiveness of routine HCV screening in pregnancy even without the option of treatment during pregnancy, driven by the potential benefits of identifying HCV positive women to refer for treatment post breastfeeding and identification of HCV exposed infants to screen. There is a lack of comparable studies in LMIC with high HCV burden, but if integrated with other routine antenatal tests there may be similar benefits, and if treatment of pregnant women were an option, the potential benefits for the woman and infant would be likely to increase further.[28, 29]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.29.21264171; this version posted September 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

It is important to highlight that pregnant women with chronic HCV currently have no option other than waiting until end of breastfeeding before they can seek curative treatment. In settings with poor follow up of women with HCV post-partum and rapid succession of pregnancies, this may result in prolonged delays in access to treatment and risk of disease progression which may affect maternal and infant outcomes for current and future pregnancies.

Research into this area including randomised controlled trials on use of DAAs in pregnancy and delivery, particularly in high burden countries is urgently needed to provide better treatment options for women with HCV globally.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.29.21264171; this version posted September 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

References:

1. Dugan E, Blach S, Biondi M, Cai Z, DePaola M, Estes C, et al. Global prevalence of hepatitis C virus in women of childbearing age in 2019: a modelling study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2021;**6**(3)169-84.
2. Schmelzer J, Dugan E, Blach S, Coleman S, Cai Z, DePaola M, et al. Global prevalence of hepatitis C virus in children in 2018: a modelling study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2020;**5**(4)374-92.
3. Bhardwaj AM, Mhanna MJ, Abughali NF. Maternal risk factors associated with inadequate testing and loss to follow-up in infants with perinatal hepatitis C virus exposure. *J Neonatal Perinatal Med*. 2020;10.3233/NPM-190264.
4. Chappell CA, Hillier SL, Crowe D, Meyn LA, Bogen DL, Krans EE. Hepatitis C Virus Screening Among Children Exposed During Pregnancy. *Pediatrics*. 2018;**141**(6).
5. Kuncio DE, Newbern EC, Johnson CC, Viner KM. Failure to Test and Identify Perinatally Infected Children Born to Hepatitis C Virus-Infected Women. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;**62**(8)980-5.
6. Lazenby GB, Orr C, Guille C, Meissner EG. Increasing prevalence of chronic hepatitis C virus infection in a Southern academic obstetrical clinic. *South Med J*. 2019;**112**(6)325-30.
7. Wijarnpreecha K, Thongprayoon C, Sanguankeo A, Upala S, Ungprasert P, Cheungpasitporn W. Hepatitis C infection and intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol*. 2017;**41**(1)39-45.
8. Huang QT, Huang Q, Zhong M, Wei SS, Luo W, Li F, et al. Chronic hepatitis C virus infection is associated with increased risk of preterm birth: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *J Viral Hepat*. 2015;**22**(12)1033-42.
9. Huang QT, Hang LL, Zhong M, Gao YF, Luo ML, Yu YH. Maternal HCV infection is associated with intrauterine fetal growth disturbance: A meta-analysis of observational studies. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2016;**95**(35)e4777.
10. Moren C, Hernandez S, Guitart-Mampel M, Garrabou G. Mitochondrial toxicity in human pregnancy: an update on clinical and experimental approaches in the last 10 years. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2014;**11**(9)9897-918.
11. Malik F, Bailey H, Chan P, Collins IJ, Mozalevskis A, Thorne C, et al. Where are the children in national hepatitis C policies? A global review of national strategic plans and guidelines. *JHEP Rep*. 2021;**3**(2)100227.
12. Chappell CA, Krans EE, Bunge K, Macio I, Bogen D, Scarsi KK, et al., editors. A phase 1 study of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir in pregnant women with hepatitis C virus. CROI; 2019; Seattle, Washington.
13. AbdAllah M, Alboraie M, Abdel-Razek W, Hassany M, Ammar I, Kamal E, et al. Pregnancy outcome of anti-HCV direct-acting antivirals: Real-life data from an Egyptian cohort. *Liver international : official journal of the International Association for the Study of the Liver*. 2021;**41**(7)1494-7.
14. Yattoo GN. Treatment of chronic hepatitis C with ledipasvir/sofosbuvir combination during pregnancy. Abstract number O-HCV-38. 27th Annual Conference of Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver; New Delhi, India: HepatolInt; 2018. p. S293.
15. Judd A, Collins IJ, Pett S, Gibb DM. Labour pains: eliminating HCV in women and children. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2021;**6**(3)150-1.
16. Ragusa R, Corsaro LS, Frazzetto E, Bertino E, Bellia MA, Bertino G. Hepatitis C Virus Infection in Children and Pregnant Women: An Updated Review of the Literature on Screening and Treatments. *AJP Rep*. 2020;**10**(1)e121-e7.
17. Kushner T, Cohen J, Tien PC, Terrault NA. Evaluating Women's Preferences for Hepatitis C Treatment During Pregnancy. *Hepatol Commun*. 2018;**2**(11)1306-10.
18. Kouyoumjian SP, Chemaitelly H, Abu-Raddad LJ. Characterizing hepatitis C virus epidemiology in Egypt: systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and meta-regressions. *Sci Rep*. 2018;**8**(1)1661-.
19. Al Kanaani Z, Mahmud S, Kouyoumjian SP, Abu-Raddad LJ. The epidemiology of hepatitis C virus in Pakistan: systematic review and meta-analyses. *R Soc Open Sci*. 2018;**5**(4)180257.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.29.21264171; this version posted September 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

20. Hope VD, Eramova I, Capurro D, Donoghoe MC. Prevalence and estimation of hepatitis B and C infections in the WHO European Region: a review of data focusing on the countries outside the European Union and the European Free Trade Association. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2014;**142**(2)270-86.
21. UNAIDS. Global AIDS Monitoring 2020 Country progress report - Egypt 2020.
22. UNAIDS. Global AIDS Monitoring 2020 Country progress report - Pakistan. 2020.
23. Kushner T, Terrault N. Understanding women's preferences regarding treatment of hepatitis C during pregnancy. Abstract #1000. 68th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases: The Liver Meeting 2017; Washington, DC, USA: Hepatology; 2018. p. 535A-6A.
24. Hassanin A, Kamel S, Waked I, Fort M. Egypt's Ambitious Strategy to Eliminate Hepatitis C Virus: A Case Study. *Glob Health Sci Pract*. 2021;**9**(1)187-200.
25. Eleje GU, Rabiu A, Mbachu, II, Akaba GO, Loto OM, Usman HA, et al. Awareness and prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection among pregnant women in Nigeria: A national pilot cross-sectional study. *Womens Health (Lond)*. 2021;**17**17455065211031718.
26. Chaillon A, Rand EB, Reau N, Martin NK. Cost-effectiveness of universal hepatitis C virus screening of pregnant women in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2019;**69**(11)1888-95.
27. Deuffic-Burban S, Huneau A, Verleene A, Brouard C, Pillonel J, Le Strat Y, et al. Assessing the cost-effectiveness of hepatitis C screening strategies in France. *J Hepatol*. 2018;**69**(4)785-92.
28. Maalej NH, Collins IJ, Ades A, Scott K, Judd A, Chappell E, et al., editors. Modelling the potential effectiveness of different screening and treatment strategies for hepatitis c during pregnancy in Ukraine. International Liver Congress; 2021: EASL.
29. Maalej NH, Collins IJ, Ades A, Judd A, Scott K, Mostafa A, et al., editors. Modelling the potential effectiveness of different screening and treatment strategies for hepatitis c during pregnancy in Egypt. 14th Paris Hepatology Conference; 2021: APHC.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.29.21264171; this version posted September 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Tables and Figures

Table 1 Sociodemographic characteristics by country

Variable		Egypt n=210	Pakistan n=210	Ukraine n=210	Total n=630
		n (%) or median [IQR]			
Age group (years)	18-24	42 (20)	29 (14)	27 (13)	98 (16)
	25-34	117 (56)	150 (71)	105 (50)	372 (59)
	≥35	51 (24)	31 (15)	78 (38)	160 (25)
HCV status	Ever HCV positive (antibody or RNA)	7 (3)	42 (20)	123 (59)	172 (27)
	Never HCV positive	150 (71)	147 (70)	72 (34)	369 (59)
	Never tested	53 (25)	21 (10)	15 (7)	89 (14)
HIV status	HIV negative	-	-	126 (60)	126 (20)
	HIV positive	-	-	83 (40)	83 (13)
Pregnancy status	Gave birth in last 6 months	75 (36)	78 (37)	14 (7)	167 (27)
	Currently pregnant	135 (64)	132 (63)	196 (93)	463 (73)
Parity	First pregnancy	35 (17)	40 (19)	81 (39)	156 (25)
	Previous pregnancy	175 (83)	170 (81)	129 (61)	474 (75)
Number of previous children (not including recent/current pregnancy)		2 [1,3]	2 [1,3]	1 [1,2]	2 [1,3]
Relationship status	Divorced, separated, widowed, single	0 (0)	0 (0)	23 (11)	23 (4)
	Married/co-habiting	210 (100)	210 (100)	186 (89)	606 (96)
Education level	Less than secondary	89 (42)	85 (40)	19 (9)	193 (31)
	Completed secondary school/college	81 (39)	39 (19)	110 (52)	230 (37)
	University or higher	40 (19)	86 (41)	81 (39)	207 (33)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.29.21264171; this version posted September 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Socioeconomic (SES) score*		0.7 [0.5,1.0]	0.6 [0.4,0.8]	1.0 [0.6,1.0]	0.7 [0.5,1.0]
Employment status	Full-time or student	22 (10)	35 (17)	71 (34)	128 (20)
	Part-time	13 (6)	22 (10)	39 (19)	74 (12)
	Unemployed/other	175 (83)	153 (73)	100 (47)	428 (68)
Partner's employment status	Full-time or student	98 (47)	159 (76)	145 (69)	402 (64)
	Part-time	95 (45)	40 (19)	38 (18)	173 (27)
	Unemployed/other	17 (8)	11 (5)	4 (2)	32 (5)
	n/a (no partner)	0 (0)	0 (0)	23 (11)	23 (4)
Heard of HCV	No	27 (13)	5 (2)	7 (3)	39 (6)
	Yes	183 (87)	205 (98)	202 (97)	590 (94)
HCV Knowledge Score[¥]		11 [8,14]	11 [9,14]	14 [13,17]	12 [9,15]

*Socioeconomic score is number of rooms (excluding kitchen and bathroom)/number of people in home

[¥]HCV knowledge score was derived from allocating a point for each HCV knowledge question correctly answered, max score 24

Note: for all variables p value for comparison between countries <0.01

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.29.21264171; this version posted September 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 1: Acceptability of universal HCV screening in pregnancy



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.29.21264171; this version posted September 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 2a: Acceptability of DAA treatment if known to be safe during pregnancy, by country & overall



Figure 2b: Acceptability of DAA treatment if known to be safe by HCV status



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.29.21264171; this version posted September 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 2c: Acceptability of DAA treatment if known to be safe by HIV status among women in Ukraine



Table 2: Distribution of acceptability of taking DAAs in pregnancy by country and overall

Variable		Egypt n=210				Pakistan n=210				Ukraine n=210				All countries n=630			
		Yes to cure myself	Yes, but only if stops vertical transmission	No, would wait	P*	Yes to cure myself	Yes, but only if stops vertical transmission	No, would wait	P*	Yes to cure myself	Yes, but only if stops vertical transmission	No, would wait	P*	Yes to cure myself	Yes, but only if stops vertical transmission	No, would wait	P*
		n (%) or median [IQR]				n (%) or median [IQR]				n (%) or median [IQR]				n (%) or median [IQR]			
Age (years)	18-24	7 (17)	29 (69)	6 (14)	0.275	4 (14)	22 (76)	3 (10)	0.014	9 (33)	13 (48)	5 (19)	0.797	20 (20)	64 (65)	14 (14)	0.187
	25-34	36 (31)	73 (62)	8 (7)		12 (8)	137 (91)	1 (1)		25 (24)	50 (48)	30 (29)		73 (20)	260 (70)	39 (10)	
	≥35	17 (33)	30 (59)	4 (8)		1 (3)	29 (94)	1 (3)		19 (25)	37 (47)	22 (28)		37 (23)	96 (60)	27 (17)	
HCV status	Ever HCV positive (antibody or RNA)	2 (29)	4 (57)	1 (14)	0.633	3 (7)	37 (88)	2 (5)	0.602	39 (31)	50 (40)	35 (28)	0.021	44 (25)	91 (53)	38 (22)	<0.001
	Never HCV positive	40 (27)	99 (66)	11 (7)		11 (7)	133 (90)	3 (2)		10 (14)	45 (63)	17 (24)		61 (17)	277 (75)	31 (8)	
	Never tested	18 (34)	29 (55)	6 (11)		3 (14)	18 (86)	0 (0)		4 (29)	5 (36)	5 (36)		25 (28)	52 (59)	11 (13)	
HIV status	HIV negative	-	-	-		-	-	-		42 (33)	50 (39)	35 (28)	0.002				

	HIV positive	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11 (13)	50 (60)	22 (27)					
Pregnancy status	Delivered	33 (44)	37 (49)	5 (7)	0.001	7 (9)	70 (90)	1 (1)	0.688	3 (21)	4 (29)	7 (50)	0.128	43 (26)	111 (66)	13 (8)	0.027
	Currently pregnant	27 (20)	95 (70)	13 (10)		10 (8)	118 (89)	4 (3)		50 (26)	96 (49)	50 (26)		87 (19)	309 (67)	67 (14)	
No. of previous children, per additional child		2 [1,3]	2 [1,3]	1 [0,2]	0.696	2 [2,2]	1 [0,3]	2 [0,4]	0.009	1 [0,1]	0 [0,1]	1 [0,2]	0.458	2 [0,2]	1 [0,2]	1 [0,2]	0.458
Education level	Did not complete secondary school	30 (34)	55 (62)	4 (4)	0.327	7 (8)	74 (87)	4 (5)	0.392	5 (26)	4 (21)	10 (53)	0.007	42 (22)	133 (69)	18 (9)	0.005
	Completed secondary school/college	21 (26)	51 (63)	9 (11)		3 (8)	35 (90)	1 (3)		34 (31)	48 (44)	28 (25)		58 (25)	134 (58)	38 (17)	
	Completed university qualification or higher	9 (23)	26 (65)	5 (13)		7 (8)	79 (92)	0 (0)		14 (17)	48 (59)	19 (23)		30 (14)	153 (74)	24 (12)	
SES score, per point increase*		0.6 [0.5,0.9]	0.7 [0.5,1.0]	0.8 [0.5,1.0]	0.142	0.5 [0.4,0.7]	0.6 [0.4,0.8]	0.6 [0.3,0.7]	0.031	1 [08,1.3]	1 [0.5,10]	0.8 [0.5,1.0]	0.383	0.8 [0.5, 1.0]	0.7 [0.5,1.0]	0.8 [0.5,1.0]	0.383
Employment status	Full-time or student	4 (18)	17 (77)	1 (5)	0.692	1 (3)	32 (91)	2 (6)	0.371	11 (15)	46 (65)	14 (20)	0.008	16 (13)	95 (74)	17 (13)	0.020
	Part-time	4 (31)	8 (62)	1 (8)		2 (9)	19 (86)	1 (5)		14 (36)	13 (33)	12 (31)		20 (27)	40 (54)	14 (19)	
	Unemployed/other	52 (30)	107 (61)	16 (9)		14 (9)	137 (90)	2 (1)		28 (28)	41 (41)	31 (31)		94 (22)	285 (67)	49 (11)	

Partner's employment status	Full-time or student	30 (31)	59 (60)	9 (9)	0.917	11 (7)	145 (91)	3 (2)	0.459	31 (21)	75 (52)	39 (27)	0.416	72 (18)	279 (69)	51 (13)	0.039
	Part-time	26 (27)	62 (65)	7 (7)		4 (10)	34 (85)	2 (5)		15 (39)	13 (34)	10 (26)		45 (26)	109 (63)	19 (11)	
	Unemployed/other	4 (24)	11 (65)	2 (12)		2 (18)	9 (82)	0 (0)		1 (25)	2 (50)	1 (25)		7 (22)	22 (69)	3 (9)	
	No partner	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)		0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)		6 (26)	10 (43)	7 (30)		6 (26)	10 (43)	7 (30)	
HCV Knowledge Score, per additional point		11 [6,13]	11 [8,14]	12 [8,14]	0.661	10 [9,13]	12 [9,14]	9 [9,10]	0.905	15 [13,17]	15 [13,17]	14 [11,16]	0.092	13 [10,15]	12 [9,15]	13 [11,16]	0.092

* p values from chi squared or t tests