The impact of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination on Alpha & Delta variant transmission
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Abstract

Background
Pre-Delta, vaccination reduced transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from individuals infected despite vaccination, potentially via reducing viral loads. While vaccination still lowers the risk of infection, similar viral loads in vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals infected with Delta question how much vaccination prevents onward transmission.

Methods
We performed a retrospective observational cohort study of contacts of SARS-CoV-2-infected index cases using contact testing data from England. We used multivariable logistic regression to investigate the impact of index case and contact vaccination on transmission, and how this varies with Alpha and Delta variants (classified using S-gene detection/calendar trends) and time since second vaccination.

Results
51,798/139,164 (37.2%) contacts tested were PCR-positive. Two doses of BNT162b2 or ChAdOx1 vaccines in Alpha variant index cases independently reduced PCR-positivity in contacts (aOR, adjusted odds ratio vs. unvaccinated=0.18[95%CI 0.12-0.29] and 0.37[0.22-0.63] respectively). The Delta variant attenuated vaccine-associated reductions in transmission: two BNT162b2 doses reduced Delta transmission (aOR=0.35[0.26-0.48]), more than ChAdOx1 (aOR=0.64[0.57-0.72]; heterogeneity p<0.001). Variation in viral load (Ct values) explained only a modest proportion of vaccine-associated transmission reductions.
Transmission reductions declined over time since second vaccination, for Delta reaching similar levels to unvaccinated individuals by 12 weeks for ChAdOx1 and attenuating substantially for BNT162b2. Protection from vaccination in contacts also declined in the 3 months after second vaccination.

Conclusions

Vaccination reduces transmission of Delta, but by less than the Alpha variant. The impact of vaccination decreased over time. Factors other than PCR-measured viral load are important in vaccine-associated transmission reductions. Booster vaccinations may help control transmission together with preventing infections.
SARS-CoV-2 vaccines have been shown in randomised controlled trials\(^1^–^3\) and real-world population studies\(^4^,^5\) to prevent infection and adverse outcomes from several SARS-CoV-2 variants including Alpha (B.1.1.7) and Delta (B.1.617.2).\(^6^–^8\)

Vaccination also potentially prevents onward transmission by at least two mechanisms. Firstly, by reducing symptomatic and asymptomatic infections and therefore the number of infectious individuals, and secondly via reduced onward spread from those who become infected despite vaccination. Household studies have demonstrated vaccination reduces onward transmission of the Alpha variant from those infected despite vaccination in the UK,\(^9\) Israel\(^10^,\,^11\) and Finland.\(^12\) One hypothesised mechanism is lower viral loads observed in post-vaccination Alpha infections\(^7^,\,^13\) compared in unvaccinated individuals, as viral load is associated with the likelihood of infection in contacts.\(^14^,\,^15\)

However, viral loads in Delta variant infections occurring after vaccination are similar in vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals,\(^8^,\,^16\) although the duration of viral shedding may be reduced.\(^17^,\,^18\) This questions whether vaccination can control Delta spread as effectively as Alpha, and whether, with increased transmissibility,\(^19\) this explains the rapid global dissemination of Delta despite rising vaccination coverage.

We use national contact testing data from England to investigate the impact of vaccination on onward transmission of SARS-CoV-2, and how this varies with Alpha and Delta variants.
and time since second vaccination. We also investigate how much reductions in
transmission after vaccination are explained by variation in PCR cycle threshold (Ct) values.

Methods

Setting and variants

We performed a retrospective observational cohort study of contacts of symptomatic and
asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2-infected index cases. Data were obtained from the national
contact tracing and testing service in England, NHS Test and Trace. Contacts were eligible for
inclusion if they accessed NHS Test and Trace PCR testing 1-10 days after the index case’s
PCR test (typically following symptoms, but also after positive asymptomatic antigen
screening tests), i.e. including contact pairs where the index case was the most likely source
for any infection in the contact. Only index cases with PCR tests performed by three
national “lighthouse” laboratories (Milton Keynes, Alderley Park, Glasgow) were included, as
these tests used the same standardised workflow and PCR assay (Thermo Fisher TaqPath,
assessing for S gene, N gene and ORF1ab targets). Contacts could be tested by any
community/hospital laboratory reporting results to NHS Test and Trace. Vaccination status
in cases and contacts was obtained from National Immunisation Management Service (see
Supplement).

Contacts of index cases tested between 01-January-2021 and 31-July-2021 were included as
follows. Index cases were classified as the Alpha (B.1.1.7) variant based on S-gene target
failure (SGTF), while this was considered a reliable proxy for Alpha, namely to 06-June-2021
(after which <5% cases had SGTF). From 10-May-2021 national spread of Delta meant that
>98% of sequenced cases were either due to the Alpha or Delta variants, such that we used detection of S-gene on or after 10-May-2021 as a proxy for Delta (see Supplement).

We restricted our analysis to contacts undergoing testing, excluding untested contacts, to control as much as possible for biases related to health-seeking behaviour (including differences before and after vaccination), access to testing, and case ascertainment.

Statistical analysis

We used multivariable logistic regression to investigate how onward transmission, i.e., SARS-CoV-2 PCR-positive tests in contacts, varied with index case vaccination status. Index case vaccination status was defined using administrative classifications as: unvaccinated, partially vaccinated (from day of first vaccine to 13 days after second vaccine), or fully vaccinated (≥14 days after second vaccine), further considering whether vaccination was AstraZeneca ChAdOx1 or Pfizer-BioNTech BNT162b2. We also investigated how onward transmission varied with Alpha vs. Delta index cases and whether any effects varied by vaccine by including pre-specified interaction terms. We additionally included a model term for time since 14 days after second BNT162b2 or ChAdOx1 vaccine to estimate the effect of time since second vaccine.

We adjusted for the following additional covariates: contact event type; index case factors - age, sex, and symptom status; contact factors - age, sex, vaccination status and time since vaccination (as above); local deprivation, local SARS-CoV-2 incidence, and calendar time (to capture changes in behaviour/social distancing, the likelihood of acquisition from a third party, population-wide vaccine uptake, and the percentage of unvaccinated people.
previously infected) (Table S1). We used natural cubic splines and log transformation to
account for non-linearity and tested for interactions (see Supplement).

We refitted models including index case Ct values to investigate whether the effect of index
case vaccination status was explained by viral load (approximated Ct value\textsuperscript{21}).

Ethics

The study was performed as public health surveillance and NHS Test and Trace program
quality assurance, under Section 251 of the NHS Act 2006 with approvals from Public Health
England (PHE), the Department of Health and Social Care and NHS Test and Trace. PHE’s
Research Ethics and Governance Group (PHE’s Research Ethics Committee) reviewed the
study protocol and confirmed compliance with all regulatory requirements. As no regulatory
or ethical issues were identified, it was agreed that full ethical review was not needed, and
the protocol was approved.

Results

151,821 contacts of 99,597 index cases underwent PCR testing between 02-January-2021
and 02-August-2021. 12,657 contacts were excluded with incomplete data (8.3%, see
Supplement). Of the remaining 139,164 contacts (95,716 index cases), 51,798(37.2%) tested
PCR-positive. The median(IQR)[range] index case and contact ages were 38(26-50)[0-102]
and 38(23-50)[0-104] years respectively. 50,356(53%) index cases and 77,277(56%) contacts
were female (see Table S1-S2 for details by case and contact vaccine status). Contact events
were predominantly within households (97,387;70%), but also in household visitors (14,066;10%), at events and activities (14,270;10%) and at work/education (13,441;10%).

Index case vaccination and onward transmission

27,666/55,977(49%) contacts of unvaccinated index cases tested PCR-positive, as did 5,256/14,398(37%) and 9,623/36,085(27%) contacts of partially ChAdOx1 and BNT162b2 vaccinated cases, and 7,559/25,422(30%) and 1,694/7,282(23%) contacts of fully ChAdOx1 and BNT162b2 vaccinated cases. For index cases vaccinated twice with BNT162b2 or ChAdOx1 the median(IQR) days from second vaccine to an Alpha variant PCR-positive test was 41(26-62) or 27(18-43) respectively and 89(69-110) and 50(34-69) for Delta.

In a multivariable model (Tables 1, S4), BNT162b2 vaccination in Alpha variant index cases independently reduced PCR-positive results in contacts, with two doses (aOR, adjusted odds ratio at 14 days post-second vaccine vs. unvaccinated=0.18[95%CI 0.12-0.29]) reducing onward transmission more than one (aOR=0.74[0.70-0.80]). There was weak evidence that ChAdOx1 was less effective than BNT162b2 at preventing transmission after one (aOR=0.82[0.76-0.88], p=0.11 vs BNT162b2) and two doses (aOR=0.37[0.22-0.63], p=0.085 vs BNT162b2).

The Delta variant was associated with increased onward transmission vs. Alpha for symptomatic index cases (aOR=1.30[1.10-1.54]) and to a greater extent for asymptomatic index cases (aOR=2.14[1.75-2.60]). Post-second dose vaccine-associated reductions on onward transmission were also attenuated with Delta, for both BNT162b2 by 1.9-fold (aOR=1.93[1.25-2.99]) and ChAdOx1 by 1.7-fold (aOR=1.71[1.01-2.90]).
BNT162b2 doses reducing onward transmission of Delta by a greater extent than ChAdOx1
daOR=0.35[0.26-0.48] vs aOR=0.64[0.57-0.72], respectively, heterogeneity p<0.001).

Vaccination in contacts

The estimated effect of contact vaccination status does not reflect overall vaccine
effectiveness, as study inclusion was conditional on the contact being tested (typically after
developing symptoms). However, as expected, PCR-positivity was highest in unvaccinated
contacts (34,137/70,370(49%)), followed by those partially vaccinated with ChAdOx1,
(3,401/10,325(33%)) and BNT162b2 (5,809/18,017(32%)); those fully vaccinated with
ChAdOx1 (6,163/27,343(23%)) and BNT162b2 (2,288/13,109(17%)) had the lowest rates. In
a multivariable model (Tables 1, S4), with Alpha contacts fully vaccinated with BNT162b2
had lower rates of PCR-positive tests than ChAdOx1 (aOR at 14 days post second vaccine vs.
unvaccinated=0.06[0.04-0.10] vs. aOR=0.29[0.17-0.49] respectively, heterogeneity p<0.001).
With Delta, more BNT162b2 vaccinated contacts tested PCR-positive than with Alpha, but
there was no evidence that PCR-positivity changed in fully ChAdOx1 vaccinated contacts.

Nevertheless, two doses of BNT162b2 remained more effective against Delta than ChAdOx1
(aOR vs. unvaccinated=0.10[0.08-0.13] vs aOR=0.28[0.25-0.32], respectively, heterogeneity
p<0.001).

Duration of protection and transmission reductions

Vaccine-associated reductions in onward transmission declined over time since second
vaccination in index cases (Figure 1A, Table S4). Independently of contact vaccination status,
for each doubling of weeks since 14 days after second vaccination in index cases, the odds
of a contact testing PCR-positive increased 1.13-fold (95%CI 1.09-1.17) for ChAdOx1 and
1.20-fold (1.10-1.31) for BNT162b2 with no evidence of a difference between vaccines (p=0.19). There was no evidence that fitting different rates by variant improved model fit. However, higher probabilities of PCR-positive results in contacts 14 days after second vaccination for Delta vs. Alpha meant that by 12 weeks post second ChAdOx1 dose there was no evidence that onward Delta transmission rates differed between those not vaccinated and those having received two ChAdOx1 doses and the impact of BNT162b2 had also attenuated substantially.

Although 14 days post second vaccination in contacts, those receiving BNT162b2 vs. ChAdOx1 were at lower risk of testing positive, the protective effect of vaccination in contacts waned faster for BNT162b2 than ChAdOx1 (Figure 1B, aOR per doubling of weeks since 14 days after second vaccination=1.37[95%CI 1.27-1.48] vs. 1.16[1.11-1.21] for ChAdOx1; heterogeneity p=0.002).

Other transmission risk factors

Multiple other factors were associated with contacts testing positive (Figure 2, Table S4, Figures S2-S4), including contact event type and index case age, with the highest rates of PCR-positivity after household contact with index cases aged ≥30 years and the lowest rates following contact with index cases <20 years at work or education (Figure 2A). Contacts in their 30s, 40s and 70s had the highest rates of positive tests after household contact, while contacts in their 20s had the highest rates after contact events outside their own home (Figure 2B). Contacts of index cases of the opposite sex were more likely to test positive, except for children where contacts of girls were more likely to test positive (Figure 2C). Male contacts were more likely than female contacts to be infected outside the home (Figure S2).
Case-contact pairs of similar ages were most likely to test positive, particularly with increasing age (Figure 2D). Contacts of asymptomatic index cases were less likely to test positive (aOR for Alpha=0.28[95%CI 0.26-0.30], Delta=0.61[0.50-0.73]) likely related to both lower viral loads (Figure 3) and symptoms. Contacts living in more deprived areas and areas with higher SARS-CoV-2 incidence (Figure S3) were more likely to test positive. Positivity also varied by calendar time (Figure S4).

Extent of vaccine impact on transmission explained by viral load

Consistent with previous reports, vaccination with BNT162b2 or ChAdOx1 was associated with lower viral loads in Alpha index cases at the time of their positive test, e.g. after two vaccinations in the presence of symptoms, median Ct values (IQR) were 27.9(19.7-32.8) and 25.5(18.1-33.0) vs. 18.4(15.8-22.8) if unvaccinated. However, Delta variant infections had similar viral loads independent of vaccination status (Figure 3), and higher viral loads than Alpha in both symptomatic and asymptomatic infections.

We investigated if adjusting for index case Ct values could explain the attenuated reductions in onward transmission after vaccination seen with the Delta variant. Estimates, adjusted for Ct value, of the impact of index case vaccination status and variants showed variation in overall (Figure 4A). This potentially explains why vaccination still reduces onward transmission of Delta despite Ct values at the index positive test being similar regardless of vaccination status. Higher viral loads (lower Ct values) were independently associated with increased transmission for both Alpha and Delta, but with a greater reduction in transmission of Alpha vs. Delta at lower viral loads (Figure 4B).
Discussion

Using large-scale contact tracing data, we show that BNT162b2 and ChAdOx1 vaccination both reduce onward transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from individuals infected despite vaccination. However, reductions in transmission are lower for both vaccines for the Delta variant compared to Alpha. Vaccines continue to provide protection against infection with Delta, but to a lesser degree than with Alpha, particularly considering symptomatic infections or infections with moderate/high viral loads.\(^8\) Therefore, Delta erodes vaccine-associated protection against transmission by both making infection more common and increasing the likelihood of transmission from vaccinated individuals who become infected.

It has been hypothesised that vaccines reduce onward transmission from infected vaccinated individuals by reducing viral loads, as higher viral loads are associated with transmission.\(^{14,15}\) Therefore, it is perhaps surprising we found that most of the effect of vaccines persisted after adjusting for Ct values, i.e., factors other than PCR-measured viral load at diagnosis are important in vaccine-associated transmission reductions. The single measured Ct value only approximates viral load at the time of transmission, as viral loads are dynamic over time.\(^{22}\) Hence, observed viral loads may not be representative of viral loads at transmission, however, the strong relationship between measured Ct values and risk of onward transmission argues against this\(^{15}\) (replicated here, Figure 4B). Therefore, it is possible vaccination acts by facilitating faster clearance of viable infectious virions,\(^{17,18}\) but leaving damaged ineffective virions behind that still contain PCR-detectable RNA. This may
mean antigen assays have advantages in predicting the risk of onward transmission in those
vaccinated, but this needs further study.

We found that index cases infected with the Delta variant and vaccinated with BNT162b2
had lower odds of having PCR-positive contacts compared to index cases receiving
ChAdOx1, with potentially insufficient power to resolve differences for Alpha. Contacts
vaccinated twice with BNT162b2 also had lower rates of Alpha and Delta infections than
those vaccinated with ChAdOx1.

Protection against onward transmission waned within 3 months post second vaccination.
For Alpha this still left good levels of protection against transmission, but for Delta this
eroded much of the protection against onward transmission, particularly for ChAdOx1,
which by 3 months post second vaccine had no evidence of difference in transmission
compared to that seen in unvaccinated individuals. “Waning” of protective behaviour over
time may also underlie some of the differences seen, with vaccination facilitating reduced
social distancing and mask wearing. However, reductions in antibody levels\textsuperscript{23} and vaccine
effectiveness\textsuperscript{8} over time suggest biological explanations for increasing transmission over
time are likely important. Additionally, some of the observed decline may be attributable to
the fact that those clinically vulnerable with weaker immune systems were vaccinated
longer ago. We also find that the probability of a contact testing positive increased with
time since their second vaccination. Although BNT162b2 provided higher levels of
protection for contacts throughout the 3 months post-second vaccine, protection against
infection waned faster for BNT162b2 than ChAdOx1, as also seen in a representative UK
survey.\textsuperscript{8}
This study has several limitations. We considered only contacts who underwent PCR testing, to minimise bias introduced by differences in testing behaviour that may occur for multiple reasons including vaccination of contacts. This means we cannot estimate secondary attack rates by case and contact vaccination status, and that absolute protective effects of vaccination on transmission may be under-estimated as vaccine-protected uninfected contacts may not have sought testing. Our approach is also not likely to eliminate bias, particularly if test-seeking behaviour is related to perceived vaccine efficacy, given non-specificity of many symptoms. We did not have sufficient data to consider the impact of previous infection status, which is also imperfectly ascertained in national testing programs.

It is likely that part of the explanation for the declines over time in the adjusted probability of contacts testing positive (Figure S4), is increasing prevalence of prior infection in the unvaccinated group, along with changes in test seeking behaviour and the incidence of other infections causing similar symptoms. We also had to use SGTF and time as a proxy for Alpha vs. Delta infection rather than sequencing, which means some low viral load Delta infections with SGTF may have been misclassified as Alpha, however we restricted the time period of our dataset to minimise this. As we considered all PCR results in contacts, not just those tested with assays including an S-gene target, we could not assess SGTF concordance as supporting evidence for transmission between case-contact pairs. Finally, we did not have data to adjust for comorbidities; with clinically vulnerable individuals and healthcare workers vaccinated earlier, this may have partly impacted some of our findings, particularly on waning over time and differences by vaccine type.
The Delta variant has spread globally and caused resurgences of infection even in the setting of high vaccination coverage. Increased onward transmission from individuals who become infected despite vaccination is an important reason for its spread. Booster vaccination campaigns being considered and implemented are likely to help control transmission as well as preventing infections.
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## Table 1. Relationship between PCR-positive results in contacts, and index case and contact vaccination status according to Alpha/Delta variant in the index case

Results for those with two vaccine doses are estimated at day 14 post second vaccine, see Figure 3 for trends with time post-second vaccine. aOR, adjusted odds ratio, CI confidence interval. Adjustment made for contact event type; index case factors - age,
sex, and symptom status; contact factors - age, sex; local deprivation, local SARS-CoV-2 incidence and calendar time (see Table S4 and Figures S2-S8 for details).
Figure 1. Estimated probability of a positive PCR test in contacts by time since second vaccination in index cases (panel A) and in contacts (panel B), variant, and vaccine type. For panel A estimates are displayed for an unvaccinated contact and for panel B for an unvaccinated index case. The relationships shown also apply to vaccinated contacts and cases, as the underlying odds ratios are independent of contact and case vaccine status respectively, however absolute probabilities differ. The dashed horizontal lines indicate the
probability of a positive-PCR result in an unvaccinated contact of an unvaccinated index case. The shaded area indicates the 95% confidence interval. Adjustment made for covariates, set to reference values: contact event type (set to Household or accommodation); index case factors – age (median), sex (female), and symptom status (symptomatic); contact factors – age (median), sex (female); local deprivation (median), local SARS-CoV-2 incidence (median) and calendar time (median).
Figure 2. Estimated probability of a positive PCR test in contacts by contact event type and index case age (panel A) or contact age (panel B), contact age and case and contact sex (panel C) and case and contact age (panel D). For each panel all other covariates are set to reference values for categorical values and median values for continuous variables, i.e. contact event type set to Household or accommodation; index case factors – age (median), ...
sex (female), vaccination status (unvaccinated) and symptom status (symptomatic); contact factors – age (median), sex (female), vaccination status (unvaccinated); local deprivation (median), local SARS-CoV-2 incidence (median) and calendar time (median). Shaded ribbons and error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.
Figure 3. Distribution of viral loads by index case vaccination status, variant, and symptoms. The solid line in each violin plot indicates the median. See Lee et al for details of equivalent viral loads in copies per ml ($\log_{10}(VL) = 12.0 - 0.328^\text{Ct}$).
Figure 4. Extent of vaccine-associated transmission reductions explained by change in viral load (Ct values) in index case (panel A) and relationship between Ct values and transmission by lineage (panel B). Adjusted odds ratios are shown in panel A and error bars (panel A) or the shaded ribbon (panel B) indicate the 95% confidence interval.